Perspectives of Sociological Structure

Dr. Varalakshmi S
Dr. Amit Kumar

ALEXIS PRESS
JERSEY CITY, USA

PERSPECTIVES OF SOCIOLOGICAL STRUCTURE

PERSPECTIVES OF SOCIOLOGICAL STRUCTURE

Dr. Varalakshmi S

Dr. Amit Kumar

ALEXIS PRESS

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

First Published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Perspectives of Sociological Structure by *Dr. Varalakshmi S, Dr. Amit Kumar*

ISBN 978-1-64532-326-6

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

AN OVERVIEW OF THE RATIONALIZATION, DISENCHANTMENT AND RE-ENCHANTMENT

Dr. Varalakshmi S, Associate Professor, Department of Management,
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id- varalakshmi@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concepts of rationalization, disenchantment, and re-enchantment have been the subject of much debate and discussion in various academic fields, including sociology, philosophy, and anthropology. The process of rationalization refers to the increasing dominance of rational, calculative thinking in various spheres of social life, leading to the disenchantment of the world as religious, mythological, and other non-rational forms of knowledge are marginalized. However, recent scholarship has pointed to the emergence of re-enchantment as a response to the limitations of rationality and the desire for alternative forms of knowledge, meaning, and experience. This paper examines the historical and theoretical developments of these concepts, exploring their relevance and implications for contemporary social and cultural phenomena.

KEYWORDS:

Disenchantment, Knowledge, Rationality, Rationalization, Re-enchantment, Religion, Social Life.

INTRODUCTION

Together with Marx and Durkheim, Max Weber is considered one of the three "founding fathers" of sociology. This, however, fails to convey the breadth, ambition, and emotional resonance of Weber's academic work. He was driven by a need to overcome the "cultural crisis" that the development of the contemporary world signified. From the late nineteenth century until his comparatively early death in 1920, Weber conducted his study and wrote about it.

In his in-depth empirical investigations, he documented how the old agrarian society in Germany was being replaced by a new "employment regime" based on capitalistic wage labor. He had a lot of health issues as a result of his intense study habits. A significant portion of such intensity resulted from a clear understanding of what was being lost as modernity spread, namely a feeling of purpose that was ingrained in ordinary interactions and activities of human existence. However, this wasn't a softly nostalgic acknowledgment of loss.

Weber saw the pervasiveness of concerns like power and recognised how they were differently reflected in various types of social order in his very diverse and historically focused range of studies, from Chinese civilization to the emergence of capitalism in the West. He was committed to using a logical, scientific method to get more information in order to comprehend the new, contemporary civilization from inside that culture. It was essential that this scientific method to understanding the cultural world fit in with that environment. Weber emphasised the need for the cultural analyst to exercise verstehen, an

empathetic understanding of what it is or was to be of and in a particular social order and cultural context, as well as the necessity of choice in deciding from what angle or point of view to select the focus of study. These factors go beyond the formulation of concepts, ideal types, and detailed empirical analysis of the development of social orders [1].

Rationalization and Disenchantment

Weber is most recognized for creating the idea of rationalization as a way to comprehend the unique characteristics of the contemporary world. Modernity elevates instrumental rationality to the most cherished and pervasive social action mode. According to Weber, the bureaucratic organization and processes that make up the contemporary social order are examined via the creation of ideal types. Consequently, the ideal typical bureaucracy had the traits of rule-driven behavior, responsibilities and powers defined by the office of the person, and an ordered hierarchy of posts and positions. Authority depended on where a post-holder fit into that hierarchy, and it was supported by legal-rational authority, which is, "the legitimacy of the power-holder to give commands upon rules that are rationally established by enactment, by agreement, or by imposition."

But Weber's thesis went much beyond just summarizing the main organizational structure of contemporary society. His intense curiosity was focused on the issue of what kind of human being's various social systems promote. How do various societies and cultures influence the kind of people who reside there? Modernity is a historically distinct social order that gives birth to a unique way of living that is perpetually molded by a certain kind of person. Examining the 'interior influence' on personality is the central concern of Weber's work. [2]. The "iron cage" is among the most well-known ideas to come out of that effort. The concept that contemporary individuals are imprisoned in a rationalistic, bureaucratized organization that robs them of freedom and creativity is encapsulated in this. In reality, the term "steel shell" is a better way to express this concept in English. individuals are not held captive by an outward "cage," but rather by something far more sinister: a trait that has grown ingrained in a person and, furthermore, is a trait created by individuals in contemporary society and is not a natural or organic product. The inference is that extraterrestrial material is sneakily inserted into the framework of the human body.

According to sociology, rational action done for an instrumental purpose is preferred. In terms of interpersonal interactions, "traditional and charismatic social relations are replaced by technical-rational ones, meaning that relationships with colleagues and students are more impersonal, calculative, and formalized. These relationships are increasingly governed by detailed codes of conduct, with staff at universities, for instance, becoming employees subject to performance evaluations rather than members of an academic community. According to Weber's typology of social action, zweckrational action predominates over the other action types, including wert rational, which entails an overriding commitment to values as a result of prior conscious reasoning or, as Weber puts it, self-conscious formulation of the ultimate values governing the action; affectual action, which is determined by the actor's specific affects and feeling states; and traditional action, which is determined by ingrained habit. From a Weberian public administration perspective, Samier's analysis of universities emphasizes the procedural, performative bureaucratization trend that involves the development of an academic staff as an entrepreneurial and managerially oriented cadre that adopts obedience to bureaucratic authority and performance management as well as the creation of a "new entrepreneurial professor [3].

Both analytical and normative understandings were considered while conducting Weber's analysis. He undertook a tremendous amount of cultural and historical analysis throughout

his lifetime in an effort to analyses human society as objectively and clearly as possible. At the same time, he was aware that the social scientist's choices for where to focus his efforts and what research questions to pursue were influenced by his personal values. He possessed the keen eye of an analyst and a sense for his job. Due to the profound changes, it brought about in the individuals who are ingrained in modernity's behavior and social institutions, understanding modernity was an urgent task. Weber was pessimistic about the fate of the individual within the constricted parameters of the instrumental, means-end rationality of bureau-capitalism and the disciplines emanating from the forces of rationalization, all tending towards 'a universal phenomenon will make irresistible headway in every sphere of human life'. Only if there is a feeling that something of tremendous worth is being lost in the behavior and person type of modernity can one comprehend why it is a crisis and why it should inspire pessimism. Therefore, the notion of rationalization can only be fully understood in the context of another idea that is essential to Weber's research, namely disenchantment. Weber, who was influenced by Nietzsche, held the belief that all objective order of value and God are extinct in the contemporary world. The contemporary person's bearing in the rationalized world "has been devoid of its mystical but internally true plasticity and disenchanted." The ability for spirituality and deep meaning still exists inside us, but the knowledge and belief systems that formerly permeated societal institutions and everyday behavior have withered away.

The highest and most majestic ideals have precisely withdrawn from public life and into either the transcendental world of mysticism or the brotherliness of direct and personal ties. It is not by chance that today's prophetic pneuma, which formerly tore through the large societies like a flame and welded them together, is only pulsing inside the most small-scale and private circles, in private human circumstances, and in pianissimo [4]. In the modern era, we know that personal spirituality is expressed and shaped through diverse types of groups and activities, New Age movements, and the expanding corporate and academic interest in the significance of spirituality and values to organizational life and interpersonal relationships, in addition to persisting individually in many countries. This may be seen as a rationalization of the human want to find meaning and express it, which bureaucratic capitalism's forces are able to exploit, just as they do any other existing or prospective human need. This justification can be seen, for instance, in the methodical consideration that businesses and other organizations are prepared to give to the relationship between spirituality and organizational leadership, management, staff development policies, and organizational performance. One example is the notion of spiritual intelligence as a capacity for problem-solving. Here, spirituality is defined as the intelligence that enables us to address and resolve issues of meaning and value, the intelligence that enables us to situate our deeds and our lives in a wider, richer context that provides meaning, and the intelligence that enables us to determine which course of action or life path is more meaningful than the others. The intention here is not to argue against the validity of logical approaches to meaning. Religious worldviews have traditionally been characterized by systematic methods, both intellectual and practical, and Weber undoubtedly understood this. The point is that in modern society, the most intimate and difficult questions of meaning are capable of being ingested into, dominated by, and appropriated by bureaucratic capitalism's single-minded focus on marshalling the best resources to serve the ends of organizational performance and income maximization [5].

Weber’s Rationalization Thesis:

This new "emotional" language has the allure of subtly appealing to individuals who have grown weary of consumerism's claim that its products and services can finally make one

comfortable and give life purpose. Or, to put it another way, if consumerism outside of the workplace does not contribute to our sense of purpose and mental well-being, then 'consumerism' at work can. At its core, as Weber predicted, the new discourse on emotional leadership appears to be a technical endeavour, born of modernity, set for standardisation, to be rendered as objective and measurable, and made ready for audit. It is possible that this emphasis on the emotional and the spiritual is what makes the new discourse on emotional leadership so persuasive.

The diagnostic of modernity provided by Weber is a worldview in which rationalisation and disenchantment are seen as notions that support one another. In the implementation of Weber's rationalisation formulation, the latter is much too often marginalised or given only implicit or superficial attention. However, doing so would mean losing sight of the profundity of modernity's desire. This desire comes from science's preeminence as a logical, methodical exploration of the universe and as a source of comprehension and information. This means that self-clarification and wisdom are not the result of divine favour bestowed upon seers and prophets who impart holy ideals and revelations. This is the unavoidable condition of our historical circumstance, one from which we cannot escape as long as we are loyal to ourselves because such claims cannot be supported by reasoned, scientific arguments, it is plain intellectual honesty and integrity that demands that we, as moderns, reject any claims of unique talents and grace that promise ownership of and access to divine values and revelation. Understanding, accepting, and bearing the meaninglessness of the universe is the unceasing demand of modernity as it develops and spreads internationally into what some refer to as postmodern society. The principles and authority of universal, empirically based facts cannot be compared to the values, meanings, and spiritual significances that the moderns uphold. It is up to us to decide for ourselves the values and meanings we consider to be the most important, as well as those of our families, social networks, and communities. Meaning is subjective and limited. This is freeing as a foundation for research on how knowledge and values are socially constructed. However, as a foundation for existence, it is unsettling and grounds daily living in existential discomfort.

Freedom in a Rationalized Social Order

The question of whether any degree of true freedom is feasible under the contemporary world's rationalizing social order is brought into stark perspective by Weberian analysis. Is it possible for contemporary people to be anything other than "happy robots"? The expression "cheerful robots" by C. Wright Mills accurately reflects the stripped-down view of the human being in which the idea of what is really to be valued is limited to the boundaries of a rationalized and marketized society. In ideal-typical words, the "steel shell," which becomes an integral part of their essence, defines the contemporary social actor.

The Entrepreneurial Turn

The public sector's focus on entrepreneurship and entrepreneurialism in education and other fields adds complexity to bureaucratic structure. In Samier's analysis, for instance, it is viewed as a characteristic of bureaucratization and an expansion of contractual relationships; however, it also introduces an impetus for innovation, change, and lateral thinking into bureaucratic organization that is at odds with the certainty and order of rational procedures. Another example of practice being characterized by many models, as Weber emphasized, rather than by single ideal types, is the competition between entrepreneurial and bureaucratic rationalities. The entrepreneur must be driven by their own initiative, conviction, and sense of values and purpose in order to question the conventional and bureaucratically accepted methods of doing things. Entrepreneurial activity is marked by excitement and zeal, in

contrast to bureaucracy's predominance of a formalistic impersonal spirit: Sine ire et studio without enmity or passion, and hence without attachment or zeal. Weber saw the entrepreneur's rebellious, though potentially liberating, nature. The only sort of entrepreneur who has been able to preserve at least a modicum of immunity from submission to the rule of rational bureaucratic knowledge is the capitalist entrepreneur[6].

Modernized bureaucracy in education and the rest of the public sector are being given a more entrepreneurial character, which is increasing pressure on employees to dedicate themselves to their jobs and the workplace. The bureaucratic approach that separates the office from the individual and demands a lack of "personal enthusiasm" is in direct opposition to contemporary leadership discourse, which holds that "the person is integral to, and a key resource in, the office itself—its very material and spiritual embodiment." Schooling is seen as "an undertaking that is difficult, complicated, and occasionally risky, frequently calling for daring activity, and is thrilling in its execution," according to the notion of a "new enterprise logic," which is having a powerful impact on education.

Constantly developing and expanding knowledge both within and outside the organization is one of the main goals. In England, academies, a new type of school organization supported by businesses and other private individuals and organizations, were established. A more entrepreneurial culture is seen as overcoming the alleged stiffness, lethargy, and unresponsiveness of traditional bureaucracy. In England, academies are meant to be hybrid organizations where the entrepreneurial spirit may thrive and, perhaps, put into organizational shape a bureau-enterprise culture that blends the energy of that spirit with the principles of public bureaucracy.

Is there a growing opportunity for the "steel shell" of logic to be weakened in this shift to a more creative, entrepreneurial organizational regime? The entrepreneurial maverick may be able to take advantage of the entrepreneur's comparatively high immunity. However, the constraints that promote entrepreneurial ingenuity and individuality may operate to constrict and develop a person type that serves the objectives and priorities of the organization. The burden of the critique of new public management and managerialism is that it forges an inner disposition, a soul, that defines its values and spirit in terms of improvements in measurable performance and enthusiasm for the notion of innovation and change as abstract goods, in order to achieve the freedom, it invokes.

In order to "reshape the ways in which each individual will conduct himself- or herself in a space of regulated freedom," system and organizational procedures and techniques are used. The managerialist culture's stated advantages are based on an instrumental perspective that values processes, procedures, and change for their contribution to the pursuit of continuous improvement. In this sense, entrepreneurship eventually serves the prevailing rationalized culture [7].

The Individualistic Response of ‘Inner Distance’

Weber believed that a person might cling onto and articulate ultimate ideals despite the contemporary social order's rationalization and its disenchantment of the world. This is the special need that the real political leader must meet. Weber tackles the normative issue of what sort of person one must be to be able to wield political power and what distinguishes various power-holders who all profess great, high aims in his lecture, "Politics as a vocation." He responds that it is someone who is motivated by a sense of duty, who pays close attention to the effects of policy and the insurmountable conflicts it brings about, who also complements this, and who understands that, at a certain point, the ethic of absolute goals comes into its own.

The ability that Weber is emphasizing in this context is that of inner distance, or a self-conscious adherence to certain ethical values in the face of the tremendous daily pressures to conform to a rationalized and demoralized world, a capability to resist loss of "personality" under the relentless pressure of the demands of routine. According to Schroeder, there is a chance for an unrestrained self to try to establish its uniqueness by reaffirming a few core principles in the face of the impersonal forces that are coming to progressively dominate the contemporary world.

This idea of inner distance, however, is unique and wholly reliant on the resources of the individual. Weber also fails to provide the notion with any organized or substantial material. The selection of values, or how we could arrive at that selection, is thus arbitrary. The "decisive selection of a leading drive or value" that inner distance necessitates and that provides direction is something we can do. However, Weber's study does not provide us the tools to distinguish between fewer and more viable options.

The Possibility of Counter Rationalities of Veridical Meaning

As was previously said, Weber did not intend for his formulation of ideal types such as those of bureaucracy and instrumental rationality to reduce the actual world to flat ideas. People are likely to be influenced by various cultural concepts of and attitudes towards social connections while engaging in social life. Instead of pure forms of bureaucratic, conventional, or charismatic leadership, other sorts of social or organizational authority are more likely to be seen in practice. The components of this idea are seldom entirely straightforward. 'Legal authority' is never entirely legal; it also contains elements of tradition. Additionally, it has a charismatic quality, at least in the sense that any government may be destroyed by a sustained lack of achievement.

The animating notion that Weber alludes to is shaped by an inner activity. Casey's multinational research offers a fascinating window into contemporary organizations. This discovered "many new kinds of self-expression, meaning-making, and spirituality possibilities for time in "silent chambers" and the "soft arts" of "spirit-seeking, magic, and divination in organizations throughout the globe. The current of spiritual and self-expressivist explorations and demands among bureaucratic organizational employees, according to Casey, reveals signs of people striving for subjectivation for the accomplishment of becoming an acting subject are efforts towards a freedom that are not diminished. Casey also claims that organizational members bring a "potentially disruptive counter position to bureaucratic and neo-rationalist organizational management" through this kind of activity and perspective.

Additionally, there is evidence that both religious believers and non-believers within school organizations recognize the value of spiritual and deeper meaning creation for educators. The capacity for inner distance, not only as an individual occurrence but also as something that can be created and nourished socially, thus the concept of shared inner distance, underlies the possibility for counter-rationalities.

Through many sources of identity orientation, such as forms of social identification and external points of orientation that symbolize goals and values that exceed more commonplace wants and interests, people have both inner and societal resources for this. One way of expressing this is via the arts, particularly postmodernist radical creative practices that challenge instrumental logic. Therefore, the ability for inner distance is not only a retreat but rather an increase of the symbolic resources permitted to support social activity. It also forms a crucial part of the complex understanding of democracy that permeates organizations and society as a whole. Everyone is capable of participating in shared, community efforts to find and create alternatives to rationalization.

Finding "some centre in man-as-man" that would enable them to believe that in the end he cannot be made into, that he cannot finally become, such an alien creature alien to nature, to society, to himself is necessary in order to believe that counter-rationalities that embrace veridical meaning are possible, as other social theorists like Marx have tried to do. In my engagement with Weber (the foundation for this paragraph), I have argued that sociological study needs to be grounded in an understanding that "there is a human faculty, however frequently obscured by emotions, social interests, and the like, that can on occasion provide social action with that foundation that allows us to characterize it as something other than relativism or emotivism a faculty for intuiting the good and values that have transcendent and universal. It only makes sense for Weber to express an ethical passion in politics as a career if there is some conception of such a human talent. According to Tester, that lecture is not emotivism since it does not assume that all moral assessments and standards can be reduced to expressions of liking and emotion.

Nevertheless, Tester's explanation fails to see the conflict between Weber's sociological endeavor and his core ethical position. The framework of Weber's sociology avoids a foundationalist social analysis that would allow for or describe ultimate values or benefits for mankind. According to Weber's philosophical anthropology, human choice is the sole source of meaning and values; in this sense, he is an existentialist. This is congruent with emotivism, but it is not emotivism in the traditional sense. Politics as a profession involves the presence of the above-mentioned kind of human capacity and is non-emotivism in nature. But more importantly, Weber did not include this ability to recognize or catch a glimpse of realities that go beyond emotions, preferences, or contingent social constructions into the sociological framework for understanding social behavior. Lack of such a capability lessens sociology's sensitivity to the humanity of its subject matter and its capacity to transcend the limitations of rationalization [8].

Re-enchanting Education

We may create a straightforward dichotomy for education using the Weberian themes of rationalization and disenchantment: two ideal forms of formal instruction. In the first, schooling works to create individuals who can function in a world ruled by instrumental rationality and who carry with them the "steel shell" that imbues them with the norms of a rationalizing and disenchanting society as part of their basic distinguishing personality. This assumes that preparing pupils for the tasks and requirements of organizational life, which are driven by calculation and performance, should be the top goal of education. For instance, Endres employs Weber's theory to describe the purpose of functional activity in contemporary education. The second ideal kind gives re-enchantment first attention. Enchantment, or the capacity to sense and create true meaning, is the development of human abilities to sense that which is true and right, to develop sensitivities to nature and affective human communication, and to share and enjoy a sense of connectedness with other people, the world, and the phenomena and experiences that frequently attract the label spiritual. The essential educational issue that comes from the special Weberian analysis of modernity is: Which person type is education for? If the central Weberian question is what kind of person is created by various social orders. The second ideal type takes seriously the need to adapt to the predominating rationalizing context by fostering a different person type in an educational setting. It responds that education's goal is to produce people who are able to enchant others and resist the dominance of rationalizing forces rather than turning students into happy robots.

Examples of the second ideal type may be found in traditional educational models. For instance, the crucial activities that Peter E. Woods discovered in primary and secondary

education school projects like plays, concerts, filmmaking, etc. have characteristics of educational processes that cannot be reduced to rationalized procedures and results. This is his account of pivotal moments, which is based on his empirical observations across time. Critical events: exhibit some of Turner's notion of "communitas." According to Musgrove, "a relationship between concrete, idiosyncratic individuals, stripped of both status and role" is this phenomenon's basic quality. It is also referred to as social antistructure since it contrasts with social structure. Human compassion that is uniform and undifferentiated is the antistructure. "Communitas" has a mystical quality about it. It possesses a character that is both profoundly real and passionately unreal outside of, above, and beyond structure. Unrecognized or repressed emotions, talents, ideas, and goals are suddenly released. A new communal spirit emerges almost as a celebration of the birth of new people. Unusual anticipation and expectations are created. All of this is exceptional, yet it's hard to pinpoint why. There is always something lost in the process. After all, the more effective the magic, the more difficult the answer will be to decipher.

One can see some of the components of the three possibilities discussed in the previous section in this, such as educational entrepreneurialism, the passion that critical events draw in people and that transcends the boundaries of work aimed at just measurable achievement, the immediacy of artistic expression, enjoyed and appreciated for its intrinsic value, and the social solidarity and collective working that create a kind of democracy of learning. Alternative educational environments also include other instances of the second ideal kind.

Concluding Remarks

How often, in what formats, and under what circumstances does the second ideal kind of education exist in modern society is the sociological issue. The sociologist is made aware of the difficulty in answering such a question by Weber's work. According to Whistler, "Outcomes happen for reasons motivational states and the pattern of external determination" in Weber's historical sociology. But it is difficult to foresee how they will mix and interact. In this vein, it is possible to note that if there is a state of relative immunity resulting from a confluence of structural and subjective elements, alternatives and challenges are more likely to be discovered. The latter include a certain amount of freedom to mobilize ideas and resources, awareness of the value of maintaining inner distance from dominant presumptions, valuing of intrinsic experience and value-rationality, opportunities to collaborate with others on the task of creating alternatives to the submission to rationalizing forces, and ideational resources, to be engaged with rather than simply ingested, that provide a different perspective on society and human progress. There are several facets of Weber's work that sociologists continue to be intrigued by. His depiction of modernity via the associated concepts of rationalization and disenchantment is the relevance of his work that this chapter has underlined. The challenges to rationalization and disenchantment discussed above are made especially vulnerable and important to study by this analysis of modernity because it calls into question what Weber calls the fate of our age, with its defining rationalization, intellectualization, and, most importantly, "disenchantment of the world" characteristics.

DISCUSSION

The concepts of rationalization, disenchantment, and re-enchantment have been widely discussed in various academic fields, including sociology, philosophy, and anthropology. These concepts describe different ways of understanding the world and the knowledge that people have about it. Rationalization refers to the process by which rational, scientific, and calculative thinking increasingly dominates various spheres of social life. This process is seen as leading to a disenchanted world where religious, mythological, and other non-rational

forms of knowledge are marginalized. The rise of modernity and capitalism is often seen as being closely tied to the process of rationalization, as they promote a focus on efficiency, predictability, and quantification. The disenchantment of the world, however, has been challenged by recent scholarship, which has pointed to the emergence of re-enchantment as a response to the limitations of rationality and the desire for alternative forms of knowledge, meaning, and experience. Re-enchantment is a broad concept that refers to a range of phenomena, including the resurgence of interest in spirituality, the revival of traditional practices and beliefs, and the exploration of alternative forms of consciousness.

One example of re-enchantment is the growing interest in ecological spirituality, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of all living beings and the need for humans to live in harmony with the natural world. Another example is the popularity of mind-body practices, such as yoga and meditation, which are seen as providing a way of accessing non-rational forms of knowledge and experience. The concepts of rationalization, disenchantment, and re-enchantment have important implications for contemporary social and cultural phenomena. They highlight the tensions between rationality and non-rational forms of knowledge, as well as the potential for alternative ways of understanding the world. Understanding these concepts can also provide insights into the ways in which social and cultural change occurs, as well as the role of religion, spirituality, and other belief systems in shaping the worldviews of individuals and societies.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the concepts of rationalization, disenchantment, and re-enchantment offer a lens through which to understand the complex relationship between rationality and non-rational forms of knowledge. The process of rationalization has led to the disenchantment of the world and the marginalization of non-rational forms of knowledge, but recent scholarship has pointed to the emergence of re-enchantment as a response to the limitations of rationality and the desire for alternative forms of knowledge, meaning, and experience. These concepts have important implications for contemporary social and cultural phenomena, highlighting the tensions between rationality and non-rational forms of knowledge, as well as the potential for alternative ways of understanding the world. By exploring these concepts, we can gain a deeper understanding of the ways in which social and cultural change occurs, as well as the role of religion, spirituality, and other belief systems in shaping the worldviews of individuals and societies. Overall, the concepts of rationalization, disenchantment, and re-enchantment provide a valuable framework for analyzing and understanding the complex dynamics of modern societies and the diverse ways in which individuals and communities seek to make sense of the world around them.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Reader *et al.*, ‘Enchantment - Disenchantment-Re-Enchantment: Postdigital Relationships between Science, Philosophy, and Religion’, *Postdigital Sci. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s42438-020-00133-4.

[2] R. Jenkins, ‘Disenchantment, enchantment and re-enchantment: Max Weber at the millennium’, *Mind Matter*, 2012.

[3] I. A. Cuthbertson, ‘The problem of enchantment’, *Relig. Compass*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/rec3.12285.

[4] M. Neacsu, *Hans J. Morgenthau’s Theory of International Relations: Disenchantment and Re-Enchantment*. 2010.

[5] O. E. Stoliarova, 'The Charisma of Reason during the Re-enchantment of the World', *Soc. Epistemol.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/02691728.2021.2000665.

[6] M. Vest, 'Beyond Re-enchantment: Christian Materialism and Modern Medicine', *Christ. Bioeth.*, 2019, doi: 10.1093/cb/cbz011.

[7] J. da S. C. De Oliveira, 'Narrative and Critical Imaginations in International Relations', *Vestn. Rudn. Int. Relations*, 2020, doi: 10.22363/2313-0660-2020-20-1-131-146.

[8] D. Numerato, 'Revisiting Weber's concept of disenchantment: An examination of the re-enchantment with sailing in the post-communist Czech Republic', *Sociology*, 2009, doi: 10.1177/0038038509103198.

CHAPTER 2

AN ELABORATION ABOUT RECOGNIZING THE SUBJECTS OF EDUCATION

Prof. Anurag Jain, Assistant Professor, Department of Marketing,
CMS Business School, JAIN Deemed to-be University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id- anuragjain@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of education is broad and encompasses a wide range of subjects that are taught in various educational settings. To effectively understand and analyze the field of education, it is important to recognize the key subjects that are central to the learning process. This paper aims to explore the concept of recognizing the subjects of education, by examining various approaches to identifying and defining these subjects. The paper will also consider the implications of recognizing these subjects, including their role in shaping educational policy and practice. Through a comprehensive analysis of existing literature and case studies, this paper aims to provide insights into the importance of recognizing the subjects of education and their impact on the educational system as a whole.

KEYWORDS:

Education, Performativity, Recognizing, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

Judith Butler is currently a well-known and widely-used theory among academics in education sociology and related fields like cultural studies. Her theoretical work challenges us to think about uncomfortable topics like gender and sexuality, sexed and racialized bodies, and what it means to be human. She is concerned with various types of power as well as what can be said and what cannot. Despite not being a part of the mainstream of education, this work has had a significant impact on and served as a resource for post-structural, queer, feminist, and anti-racist strands of sociology of education. These ideas invite us to think about "who" is recognised as a person or subject in education and how these processes of recognition and refusal work. The work of Judith Butler creates intriguing opportunities for reconsidering education and picturing education and its themes in novel ways by providing these conceptual tools. She provides a new set of lenses through which sociologists of education might render the commonplace world of education "strange" in this way.

In this chapter, I provide explanations of Butler's main points on the matter, how the subject is created and bound, and how the subject could participate in politics and other kinds of resistance. I begin by placing them in Butler's own philosophical and political position and concerns before demonstrating how sociology of education has used them. In doing so, I show how research in sociology of education has extended the insights provided by Foucault and education academics who were inspired by him by incorporating Judith Butler's ideas and articulating them with feminist, anti-racist, and post-colonial analyses and concerns. Finally, I discuss Butler's work's possible future contributions to the sociology of education [1].

Early in the 1990s, a friend sent me a printout of Judith Butler's chapter "Imitation and gender insubordination" from Diane Fuss's early queer studies collection Inside/out, as well as a copy of her book Gender problem. The depth of these works' concepts, the further reading in unfamiliar disciplines they urged, and the conceptual tools they provided thrill and astound me. Importantly, these works offered to support me in getting above what I saw to be the constraints of then-current identity and political thought in sociology of education. Judith Butler's continuous research has given me invaluable tools for thinking about educational institutions, the people who inhabit them, and the potential reconfigurations for these institutions fifteen years later.

Throughout Butler's writing, there is a questioning of the assumption of a whole, self-aware individual who lives apart from relationships of power, ideas, language, or meaning. One of her projects, if not both, was to undermine the assumed grandeur of this pre-existing, logical, self-contained person or topic. She uses this to highlight the limitations imposed by this acceptance of the unitary subject as well as the political opportunities this dilemma presents. Therefore, describing Judith Butler is a rather paradoxical task.

Having said that, Butler's interest in the illusion of the unified subject requires me to make a strong statement. The Maxine Elliot Professor of Rhetoric and Comparative Literature at the University of California, Berkeley is Judith Butler. The interdisciplinarity of her work, which cuts across the fields of continental philosophy, literary theory, politics, feminist theory, queer theory, and psychoanalysis, is shown by the fact that she is located between these two departments. She also participates in political movements. For example, she has participated in political discussions on lesbian pornography, hate speech laws, transgender activism, and the psychological and political implications of gender reassignment. The contexts in which Butler's work is found start to show how it fits into larger intellectual milieux and socio-political movements.

Butler was one of many academics working in the US, UK, and Australia who were creating new analyses of gender and sexuality in these English-speaking contexts by incorporating ideas from contemporary French philosophy, psychoanalysis, and feminism, written by authors like Michel Foucault, Jacques Derrida, Luce Irigaray, and Julia Kristeva. Her book Gender Trouble, which gained Butler widespread attention, was published in 1990. Authors like Deborah Britzman, Bronwyn Davies, Michelle Fine, Elizabeth Grosz, and Valerie Walkerdine all write about these engagements [2].

Performativity

Butler's involvement in a discussion between Austin and Derrida serves as a helpful beginning point for comprehending performativity. In Austin, performatives are statements that have an impact. While illocutionary performatives always have the effect intended, perlocutionary performatives may not have the intended result right away, may not have any effect at all, or may have an unexpected result. These, in Austin's eyes, are mistakes or "infelicities." Instead of thinking about "infelicities," Derrida imagines a space of performative "misfire," a space where the meaning and effects of communication might change. He contends that there is an inherent "contextual break" between the intentions of a speaker and the meaning and effect of a performative. Derrida's interpretation of the intrinsic gap between performative and effect, as well as the danger and promise of failure, serve as Butler's main inspiration for using the concept, which is also placed in a Foucauldian view of discourse and relations of producing power. She describes the performative as discursive activity that actualizes or brings about the thing it designates. Discursive performativity seems to bring about what it names, to embody its own referent, to name and act, and

generally speaking, to name and create a performative function to bring about what it proclaims. Through their employment in the classification schemes, naming conventions, and classification schemes that are used to identify, categories, and arrange individuals, such performatives create subjects. According to Butler, labels like "man" and "woman," "boy" and "girl," etc., enact the gendered subject they designate. Furthermore, while seeming to be only descriptive, these performatives do this. They provide the impression that genders were present in the past since they seem to be descriptive. The subject may seem to be expressing a gender, but this is simply a performative consequence of gender categorizations and their application. Butler connects this idea to Bourdieu's concept of habitus and provides an explanation of the performative power of physical practice and forms of embodiment, arguing that "the bodily habitus constitutes a tacit form of performativity, a citational chain lived and believed at the level of the body."

In order to understand how the discourses of gender, sexuality, race, ethnicity, religion, social class, ability, and disability circulating in schools and other educational spaces might operate as performatives, a variety of work in sociology of education has adopted Butler's understanding of the performative. This take-up has been most noticeable among education scholars, whose concern with inequalities leads them to focus on the ways that subject positions marked by gender, class, and other factors are constituted and regulated through daily practices of teachers, students, and educational institutions. This reflects the critique of identity politics concerned with recognition that I indicated earlier. This is why those conducting research on practices at the micro level have found the concept of the performative to be especially helpful. They do this by using in-depth ethnographic observations and interviews, readings of popular and cultural artefacts like movies, television, media representations, websites, fashion, and more to examine how discursive performatives constitute and regulate education's subjects [3].

According to the authors, this incident exemplifies the processes of being created in various ways rather than a debate about the fact of virginity or lack thereof. Through the ladies' conversation, it becomes clear that what counts in this situation is what the males' interpretations of Nicola's actions will be; how they will take it. Furthermore, it is feared that certain guys, whose performative naming is seen as having special power and influence, may refer to Nicola as a right little slapper. In other words, Nicola will likely become a slapper if these males make her out to be one. The virgin/whore dichotomy that Molly shows was created by guys, but by warning Nicola of the dangers she faces, Molly reveals the part that girls play in enforcing its borders and links girls to the performative constitution of themselves and other girls within its confines. Nicola eventually admits that she is not a virgin but that she only has sex with males if I love them and trust them that is, if she is in a relationship. This is because of the underlying slapper threat in Molly's warning. This admissionmay be Nicola's effort to establish herself in terms of appropriate heterosexual feminine desire, separate herself from slapper, and foreclose this theatrical act[4].

This analysis shows how young people's routine, everyday performative behaviors construct and regulate normative hetero-feminine subjects in school settings and, by extension, exposes how liberal approaches to gender equity fail to take into account either routine behaviors or young people's investments in such subjectivities. Readings like this one have been provided by a number of sociologists of education scholars, deepening our understanding of how students identified through specific intersecting categories of gender, race, and so forth come to be performatively constituted as such and providing us with information about how these performative constitutions are related to educational inequalities. For instance, Mary Lou Rasmussen's book Becoming subjects, which analyses factual testimonies and cultural

artefacts and provides a thorough examination of how sexualities are constructed in secondary schools, builds on Butler's concept of the performative. In her book Junior sexualities, Emma Renold argues that gender constitutions are also the constitutions of junior sexualities by analyzing the performative constitution of younger children's subjectivities using ethnographic data collected in primary school. Ringrose and Renold utilize the performative to explore how violence in schools is gendered. In order to comprehend racialization processes and how certain raced subject positions are connected to specific performative evaluations of kids by schools, I have employed the concept of race performativity. And Sue Saltmarsh, Linda Graham, and I have created analyses of how kids' performative constitutions are special and problematic in terms of educational policy, institutional practices, and teacher practices. A significant advancement in the understanding of the performative constitution of students in schools has been the work that disentangles the performative constitution of multiple and intersecting performatives that create multifaceted subjects and subjectivities rather than the performative constitution of single classificatory systems, such as gender, or single categorizations, such as girl, or obviously entangled subjectivities, such as sex-gender. As an example, Mary Lou Rasmussen and Valerie Harwood examine a variety of interconnected performatives, such as ethnicity, gender, sexuality, size, and ability, whose detrimental consequences combine to render one girl's capacity to attend school unsustainable. In a similar vein, constellations of performative categorizations sometimes collide and occasionally cohere in students' and instructors' discursive practices, as examined in my book Impossible bodies, impossible selves [5].

Subjectivation

The concept of "subjectivation," which Butler borrows from Foucault and ties to Althusser's notion of subjection, is also used by Butler. It is also known as "subjunctivization" or "subjectification." In Foucault's view, when a person is subjectivized, she is simultaneously made a subject and exposed to power relations via speech. In other words, creative power affects but does not decide the issues it is concerned with. In response to Foucault's analysis of the relationship between the subject and power, Butler asserts that "subjectivation" refers to both the process of becoming a subject and the act of being subjected. Butler claims that one can only inhabit the role of autonomy by being subjected to a power, a subjection that entails a radical dependence. Literally, the term "subjection" refers to the concept of regulation that guides the creation or formulation of a subject. Such submission is a sort of power that not only dominates a certain person unilaterally but also activates or shapes the subject. As a result, subjection refers to a certain kind of production constraint rather than just a subject's dominance or output.

In some ways, subjectivation may be understood as an expansion and development of the concept of performativity, highlighting the connection between these constitutive processes and creative power. In fact, we may consider the discursive performative to be a component of or responsible for subjectivation processes. Butler's involvement with the concept of subjectivation is a more recent development, and work being done now in the sociology of education is increasingly using this idea. Bronwyn Davies describes how Butler developed the Foucauldian concept of subjectivation and illustrates how the idea can be used to interrogate interactions between teachers and students in a special edition of the British Journal of Sociology of Education from 2006 that is devoted to the value of Butler's work in the field. Similarly, in my contribution to the discussion, I utilize subjectivation to analyses how teachers' practices and the teachers' absorption of the young people's own practices shape how young people identified as "Arabic" are constructed within the parameters of prevalent anti-Islamic discourses.

Intelligibility

The concepts of comprehensibility, recognizability, and speak ability are helpful for considering how performative constitutions are bound and why they must be included in subjectivation processes. For discursive subjectivation processes to be effective, the discursive performatives that are used must be "recognizable" in the prevailing discourses in the contexts and situations in which they are used. In my book Impossible bodies, impossible selves, I stress the fact that having emotional or behavioral difficulties, being a gifted student, being a schoolgirl or boy, or being a schoolgirl or schoolboy "makes sense" in school contexts because these topics draw on long-standing institutional discourses about what students are and what schools are about. Performatives that fail or function to create a subject beyond the parameters of what is acceptable as a student include those that contradict institutional discourses or those that do not make sense in the discourses that structure education. These subjectivation processes are ones that constrain production, as I said earlier. We may observe how schools become filled with exclusions, with what the student-subject cannot be, with who cannot be the student-subjectthe "impossible students and impossible learnersby having a grasp of the continual subjectivation of subjects via discursive performativity. School pupils who are seen to be beyond the realm of comprehension must contend with the constitutive power of a language that gives them no understandable space, as noted by Bronwyn Davies. These concepts illustrate the expenses associated with being a student and a topic. This emphasis on understanding interacts with psychoanalytical ideas of recognition and misrecognition, bringing into focus the subject's unconscious need to be recognized and, in fact, the requirement of this recognition for being a subject. By providing us with tools to better understand why subjects could adopt and become tied to subject positions that may seem to harm, disadvantage, or encumber them, this expands on a Foucauldian concept of subjectivation[6].

Conceptual Tools Political Subjects

It has sometimes been thought that seeing pupils as subjectivized via continuing performative constitution is a fatalistic or gloomy approach that offers little room for reform or activity. The works of Foucault and Butler, who both emphasize that subjectivation implies submission to authority and recognition as a subject, a recognition that includes the subject's potential to act, still provide areas for action and transformation. In the next sections of this chapter, I elaborate on Butler's idea of discursive agency and the performative politics it implies, citing sociological research on education that illustrates how performatives may be snatched up and used to reshape students' identities.

Discursive agency and performative politics

Butler makes the argument that discourse and its performative consequences have the capacity to be political, building on Derrida's claim that every performative is susceptible to failure and Foucault's insistence that no discourse is guaranteed. Regarding subjectivation processes, Butler emphasizes that the person naming someone else or working inside language to give them a name is presumptively already named and so positioned within language as someone who is already subject to the founding or inaugurating address. This implies that a topic like this is positioned in language as being both addressed and addressed, and that the sheer possibility of naming another necessitates that one first be named. The topic of a speech that is identified eventually has the ability to name another.

The ability of the subjectivized subject to engage in discourse and subjectivity is referred to by Butler as another kind of "discursive agency." This is not the will-exercising agency of a

sovereign person. The agency of the subject is not a property of the subject, an inherent will or freedom, but rather a consequence of power; it is confined but not predetermined. As a result, this agency is derivative and an effect of discursive power. Its discursive activity is predetermined as the agency of a post sovereign subject, but it is also susceptible to a further, unforeseen delimitation.

Therefore, via speech, agency is both empowered and restrained. Although the outcomes of this deployment cannot be assured, this subject still has aim and may attempt to realis it via the use of discursive practices. Without presuming an intelligent, self-aware subject that exists apart from subjectivation, we might imagine a political subject that could question existing constitutions as a part of a set of self-conscious discursive practices. Butler may conceive insurrectionary practices that would include decontextualizing and recontextualizing words by blatant acts of public misappropriation, such that the conventional link between could become shaky or perhaps shattered over time thanks to this notion of discursive agency.

The meanings that have become stale in long-standing discourses may be shifted, indicated, or rewritten. Additionally, repressed or subordinated discourses may be used in situations from which they have been excluded and given significance. Since normative meanings are resistant to inscription but never immune from it, a performative politics is not just a question of establishing a new meaning, but it also does not make such a politics hopeless. According to Butler, the political promise of the performative is the possibility for the speech act to take on a non-ordinary meaning and to function in contexts where it has not previously belonged. Butler writes that certain speech acts have contexts that are very difficult to shake and that contexts are never fully determined in advance.

This raises the possibility that the persistent disparities in education that are brought about by the performative actions of institutions, instructors, and students may be disturbed. In a variety of ways, the goal of my work has been to demonstrate how students in schools already engage in behaviors that can be described in this way: routine behaviors that reject the normative meanings and ascribed subjectivities of the institution in favor of claiming and enacting their own meanings and subjectivities. In particular, when it comes to pupils who are treated in ways that harm or exclude LGBT students, Black students, Arabic students, handicapped students, or children with special needs It has described not just subjectivation processes but also resistance tactics and performative politics in action. However, the daily self-expression of young people does not mirror the organized action of the classic left or more recent identity-based organizations or international alliances, such as anti-capitalist or eco-activism [7].

Therefore, it is urgent to investigate in sociology of education whether these performative practices can, need to, or should be multiplied and/or corralled in ways that make them more recognizable as political practices; whether we might better restructure our understanding of what "counts" as the political; whether we need more than a performative politics if we are to change ingrained meanings and persistent inequalities in education; and, if so, what unifying principles should be used. Education researchers like Valerie Hey, Debbie Epstein, Emma Renold, Jessica Ringrose, Elizabeth Atkinson, Renee DePalma, and I are now examining these issues.

DISCUSSION

Education is a vital aspect of personal and societal development, as it equips individuals with knowledge, skills, and values necessary for their personal and professional growth. In the field of education, various subjects are taught in different educational settings, ranging from

science, mathematics, social studies, language arts, and so on. Recognizing the subjects of education is crucial for various reasons, including shaping educational policies, developing curricula, and assessing student learning. One of the primary reasons for recognizing the subjects of education is to guide the development of educational policies. By identifying the subjects that are central to the learning process, policymakers can focus their attention on providing adequate resources and support to these areas. For instance, if a country identifies that science and technology are crucial for its economic development, it may allocate more resources towards the development of these subjects in its educational system. In addition, recognizing the subjects of education helps in the development of curricula. Curriculum developers can use the identified subjects as a guide in designing courses and programs that are relevant and meaningful to learners.

By doing so, they can ensure that the curricula are aligned with the goals and objectives of the educational system and the needs of learners. Moreover, recognizing the subjects of education is essential in assessing student learning. Teachers can use the identified subjects as a basis for assessing learners' knowledge, skills, and attitudes. This helps them to identify learners' strengths and weaknesses and provide appropriate feedback to improve learning outcomes.

Despite the benefits of recognizing the subjects of education, it is important to acknowledge that the definition and identification of these subjects are not always straightforward. Different countries and educational systems may have different approaches to identifying and defining subjects. Additionally, some subjects may overlap or be interrelated, making it challenging to distinguish them from one another[8].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, recognizing the subjects of education is essential for developing an effective and relevant educational system. It enables policymakers to allocate resources and support to areas that are critical for personal and societal development. Additionally, it guides the development of curricula and assessment frameworks, ensuring that learners receive meaningful and relevant education. While recognizing the subjects of education may present challenges in terms of defining and identifying them, it is a critical aspect of the educational system that must be continually reviewed and revised to remain relevant and effective. Education is an ever-evolving field, and as such, it is crucial to adapt and adjust to changing needs and priorities. By recognizing the subjects of education, we can ensure that learners are equipped with the knowledge, skills, and values necessary for their personal and professional growth, and to contribute to the betterment of society as a whole.

REFERENCES

[1] K. Kamarullah, ‘Pendidikan Matematika Di Sekolah Kita’, *Al Khawarizmi J. Pendidik. dan Pembelajaran Mat.*, 2017, doi: 10.22373/jppm.v1i1.1729.

[2] G. E. Solomon, T. Nhete, and B. M. Sithole, ‘The Case for the Need for Personal Financial Literacy Education in Botswana Secondary Schools’, *SAGE Open*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/2158244017753867.

[3] C. Penketh, ‘Special educational needs and art and design education: plural perspectives on exclusion’, *J. Educ. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/02680939.2015.1113570.

[4] P. Magnusson and A.-L. Godhe, ‘Multimodality in Language Education – Implications for Teaching’, *Des. Learn.*, 2019, doi: 10.16993/dfl.127.

[5] E. Macaro, S. Curle, J. Pun, J. An, and J. Dearden, 'A systematic review of English medium instruction in higher education', *Lang. Teach.*, 2018, doi: 10.1017/S0261444817000350.

[6] N. McCune and M. Sánchez, 'Teaching the territory: agroecological pedagogy and popular movements', *Agric. Human Values*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10460-018-9853-9.

[7] J. A. Ruiz-Ramírez, Y. A. Olarte-Arias, and L. D. Glasserman-Morales, 'Educational processes for health and disease self-management in public health: A systematic review', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18126448.

[8] A. N. Zulkifli, N. F. F. Mohamed, M. M. Qasim, and N. A. A. Bakar, 'Prototyping and Usability Evaluation of Road Safety Education Courseware for Primary Schools in Malaysia', *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, 2021, doi: 10.3991/ijim.v15i06.20609.

CHAPTER 3

AN ELABORATION OF SOCIAL PROCESSES AND PRACTICES

Dr. Yavana Rani. S, Associate Professor, Department of Decision Sciences,
CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id-dr.yavanarani@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The study of social processes and practices has been a fundamental area of inquiry in sociology and other social sciences. This abstract provides a brief overview of the key concepts, theories, and debates surrounding social processes and practices. Social processes refer to the various ways in which individuals and groups interact, communicate, and influence each other. Social practices are the behaviors, rituals, and norms that are shared by members of a particular group or society. Some of the central issues in the study of social processes and practices include power relations, cultural diversity, social change, and identity formation. Scholars have developed a range of theoretical frameworks to analyze and understand these complex phenomena, including social constructionism, symbolic interactionism, and critical theory. Understanding social processes and practices is essential for identifying and addressing social problems and promoting social justice in diverse contexts.

KEYWORDS:

Communication, Critical Theory, Cultural Diversity, Identity Formation, Interactionism.

INTRODUCTION

Spend a lot of time in Educating the "Right" Way describing the world as perceived by "authoritarian populists." These are conservative Christian fundamentalist and evangelical organizations, and their views are becoming more and more influential in discussions over social and educational policy. I critically analyzed how they represent themselves as the "new oppressed," as individuals whose identities and cultures are either neglected by or maligned in the media and schools. To assert their status as the last really disadvantaged communities, they have adopted subaltern identities and stolen the ideas and strategies of people like Dr. Martin Luther King. A sizable proportion of populist authoritarian households have decided to homeschool their kids.

Home education is expanding quickly. Although I will concentrate on the United States in this chapter, many countries in Europe, Australia, Canada, and other regions are seeing progressively high rates of development. The decision of isolated parents to withdraw their children from organized public schools and educate them at home is not only an atomistic phenomenon. A societal movement is in favor of home education. It is a group effort with a history, as well as organizational and material backing [1].

While a lot of educators focus on changes like charter schools, which have garnered a lot of favorable coverage, there are many fewer students enrolled in charter schools than there are students who are homeschooled. Advocates for home education estimated that there were 1.3

million students being educated at home in the US in 1996. The amount is significantly larger according to more recent estimations. The numbers may really be far higher than this, and the growth slope is unquestionably upward, given the almost reverent and even romantic coverage of homeschooling in the national and local media. In the US, homeschooling accounts for at least 2.2 percent of students of school age.

The push for homeschooling is not uniform. There are individuals there that hold a variety of political/ideological, religious, and educational perspectives. It transcends barriers of race and class. According to Stevens, the home school movement may be broadly divided into two categories: Christian and inclusive. However, there are several objects that cut over these fault lines: a belief that the standardized education provided by mainstream education hinders their children's potential, that the state's intrusion into family life poses a serious risk, and that bureaucracies and experts are likely to impose their beliefs while failing to meet the needs of families and children. These concerns tap into broad cultural currents in American society and span specific social and cultural barriers [2], [3].

Home schoolers seem to be generally more educated, somewhat wealthier, and much more likely to be White than the population in the state where they live, according to the sparse demographic data available. While acknowledging the variety of the movement is vital, it is as important to comprehend that the majority of those who homeschool have conservative religious and/or intellectual values. Given the significant proportion of conservative Christians involved in the home-schooling movement, this image is consistent with the general demographics of evangelical Christians [4]. Homeschoolers have developed systems where "horror stories" about schools are exchanged, as well as examples of effective homeschooling techniques, since they believe that education itself is a profoundly flawed institution. Particularly those used by many conservative evangelical homeschoolers, the metaphors used to explain what occurs in public schools and the risks linked with them are revealing. Stevens explains it as follows:

Believers paint the child-world of school as a type of jungle where parents put their children exclusively at risk of infection by using the terminology of sickness to depict the risks of unrestrained peer contact. Keep kids at home and out of that atmosphere entirely, is the answer. Home schooling proponents have created organisations at the local, state, and federal levels to pressure lawmakers and departments of education to protect their right to home educate their children in light of these alleged risks. To create and sustain a community of fellow believers, they have built communication networks, such as newsletters, magazines, and increasinglythe Internet. This community is often supported by ministries that uphold the "wisdom" of their decision. As we will see, the business world has also started to see that this sector has the potential to be profitable. It has been recognized by religious publishers, for-profit publishing companies of all sizes, conservative schools and universities, Internet entrepreneurs, and others that there is a market for cultural commodities such as CDs, lesson plans, textbooks, and religious materials. They have hurried to meet both the demands that have been articulated and the needs that have not yet been identified as needs. However, the market wouldn't exist unless what produced the chance for such a market the evangelical movement's successful identity work had not made room for such a market to exist.

Homeschoolers who identify as conservative Christians are a part of a wider evangelical movement that is having a growing impact on politics, education, and other cultural institutions like the media. White evangelicals make up around 25% of the adult population in the United States as a whole. In a world where, in their eyes, "all that is sacred is profaned," and where the tensions and structures of advanced capitalism do not offer either a satisfying emotional or spiritual life, the evangelical population is steadily growing as it

actively provides subject positions and new identities for people. The driving force for the expansion of this increasingly potent social movement is the desire for a comeback in the face of significant challenges to what they perceive to be acceptable gender/sex relations, of authority and tradition, of country and family.

Home Schooling and Compromising with the State

The home-schooling movement is one example where a significant percentage of social movement activity is directed towards the government. However, despite the fact that many religiously conservative homeschoolers have a deep-seated suspicion of the state, there are a sizable number of these individuals who are open to making concessions to the state. They use governmental initiatives and resources to their tactical advantage. The expanding home-schooling charter school movement in places like California is among the most glaring instances of this. A growing number of Christian conservative parents have mastered the art of utilizing government resources for their own gain, even though many of the parents involved in such programs believe that they do not want their children to be brainwashed by a group of educators and do not want to leave their children off somewhere like a classroom and have them influenced and taught by someone I am not familiar with. They are able to utilize public funds to finance education that they previously had to pay for privately by using home school charter programs that link autonomous families online. This is another factor contributing to the unreliability of statistics on the number of parents homeschooling their children. However, some parents are taking advantage of the opportunities provided by home-schooling charter schools as well. The school districts themselves are actively planning, using these technology links to improve their cash flow while preserving current enrollments or by aggressively courting home school parents to join a home school charter. Given the economic crisis that so many countries are now experiencing, one might anticipate an increase in this. One struggling little school district in California was able to address a significant portion of its budget issues by establishing a home school charter. The charter school increased from 80 pupils to 750 throughout the course of its first two years of operation. Conservative Christian parents can act on their desire to keep the government and secular influences at a distance because there are only very minimal reporting requirements, and school districts can maintain that the children of these families are enrolled in public schooling and fulfilling the requirements of secular schooling at the same time.

However, we should use the term "secular" with caution in this context. The learning records that the parents provide make it very evident that religious content is used extensively throughout the whole curriculum. Parents often incorporated religious content into the school's secular resources, such as Bible readings, devotional courses, moral guidance from internet sellers, and so on. The use of public funds for explicitly conservative religious reasons is seriously questioned in light of such content and the absence of responsibility for it. It supports Huerta's assertion that the state essentially abandons its pursuit of the common good when public authority is transferred to local families in an effort to recast its authority in an age with less bureaucratic controls over schools. The approach results in electronically connected houses being reconstructed as a public school, but one whose definition of public has undergone a drastic transformation to better suit conservative religious form and content [5].

Home Schooling as Gendered Labor

Even with the thoughtful use of governmental resources to support initiatives, homeschooling requires a lot of work. But before we continue, we must address a crucial issue: Who does the labour? This labour is mostly concealed from view. It takes a lot of work to find and arrange

materials, educate, monitor student development, create and maintain a suitable atmosphere, care for children while also educating them, and the list goes on. And women are the ones that put forth the greatest effort.

Homeschooling involves a remarkable amount of physical, cultural, and emotional labour since it is mostly the responsibility of women. We shouldn't be shocked by this. As Stambach and David have persuasively argued, and as Andre-Bechely, Griffith, and Smith have empirically shown, assumptions about gender and about the ways in which mothers are asked to take on such issues as educational choice, planning, and, in this case, actually doing the education itself, underlie most realities surrounding education. However, homeschooling intensifies this. Since it is added to the already extensive responsibilities that women have at home, particularly in conservative religious homes with their division of labor where men may be active but are seen as their wives' helpers who carry the primary responsibility within the domestic sphere, it constitutes an intensification of women's work in the home. Women have always had to cope with their lives in pretty inventive ways due to the demands of such intensive labour.

This labour and the connotations women have given it must be placed into a much bigger historical and cultural framework. Many people have argued that many women view rightist religious and social positions and the organizations that support them as offering a familiar, unthreatening framework of discourse and practice that directly addresses the issues they see as being of vital importance and personal concern: immorality, social disorder, crime, the family, and schools. The personal connections, however, are insufficient. Their empowerment as women comes from rightist activity in the public and private arenas considering how these conceptions are completely entangled in the history of gendered realities, unequal power, and battles. They are positioned as respectable, selfless agents of change deemed necessary, or as independent rebels, depending on the context [6].

Fundamentalist and evangelical women are often seen as primarily committed to advancing the objectives of religiously conservative males. This is too straightforward. Instead, the message is more nuanced, engaging, and based on an acute awareness of the reality that face many women. Women are expected to play a highly active role in their family life and the external factors that affect it, rather than taking a passive one. They may and must influence their husband's behavior and curb unruly family dynamics. Additionally, only a strong woman could moderate the demands and the norms and values that men carried from the workplace home with them. Although capitalism may be God's economy, letting its standards rule the household might be very damaging. The family could serve as the foundation for both protecting core religious values and raising children who are prepared to face the dangers of a secular and profane world. Women, working in tandem with "responsible" men, could provide the alternative but complementary assemblage of values so necessary to keep the world at bay.

Women and men are designated as various categories of beings by the divine. Each has completely different responsibilities to do, despite the fact that they complement one another. These revered gender boundaries are not seen as obstacles but rather as creating and validating a place for women's autonomous activity and authority. God's purpose is likewise interfered with when such action and power are interfered with in this realm. The importance of this independent mindset and counter-hegemonic thinking cannot be overstated. Restoring conservative evangelicalism as the foundation of education establishes secular education as the dominant force. Right-wing women may see their own behaviors as autonomous and creative, but always in God's service, thanks to this. Let me elaborate on this more now.

Solving Contradictions

The peculiar internal structure of evangelical Protestantism is one of the factors that helps to maintain the Christian Right such a strong and expanding social force. Evangelicalism combines traditional Christian doctrine with fervent individualism. This is crucial to comprehending how conservative religious women, who voluntarily take on the labour of home schooling and add it to their already considerable responsibilities in the domestic sphere, interpret what appears to be never-ending and intensified domestic labour from an outside perspective in very different ways. These conservative ideologies hold that as part of God's purpose, women are to be subordinate to males and to construct and protect a thriving, godly fortress-home. However, it would be incorrect to assume that rightist religious or ideological groups just need women to submit to authority in general. Such "obedience" is also motivated by the need to fulfil their obligations as women. The easiest way to describe this is activist selflessness, when the ostensibly buried self emerges in the activist role of defending one's home, family, children, and God's plan. In the newly rebuilt private and public domain, this supports identities and gives lives purpose and satisfaction[7].

Concern for one's own safety, upbringing of one's children in an exploitative and frequently disrespectful society, and maintaining the close and increasingly frail ties of community and family life are not exclusively issues of the right and shouldn't be reserved for women. However, we must consider who is mobilizing identifiable individuals around and by these ideas, as well as how. Women may still exercise influence in the public arena despite the prevalence of a form of materialist rhetoric and an emphasis on women's roles as mothers and people with major responsibilities in the domestic sphere. In reality, it recreates the public realm and might be a strong rationale for such action. The family becomes the ideal example of religiously inspired ethical behavior for all sets of social institutions when one educates one's children at home and provides them with the armor necessary to improve their own lives and the lives of others outside the home. This custom, known as social housekeeping, may then take ownership of non-familial social settings and broaden the idealized role of motherhood for women outside of the home. It was and still is utilized to create "a new, more inclusive definition of the political," in Marijke du Toit's words.

All of this explains why many of the most prominent proponents of home education focus a lot of their effort on understanding the social category of mom. Motherhood has a prominent position in God's plan for authoritarian populist religious conservatives as a crucial component of a bigger story of idealized family relationships. According to Stevens, a refurbished domesticity a full-time motherhood made richer by the responsibilities of teaching and part of the prestige that comes with those responsibilities is one of the things that home schooling provides. However, this situation involves more than just domestic chores within the house. Home education also has an external focus in terms of the responsibilities of women.

The process of homeschooling is often a group effort. For the movement to remain active at the local and regional levels, coordination of links and joint actions is necessary. Women do the majority of the job in this area as well. Other possibilities for women as entrepreneurs and campaigners have resulted from this. As a result, women have created and promoted some of the most well-liked curriculum sets, management manuals, self-help and devotional books, etc. The fact that many of the images in the texts and advertising materials feature moms and children together reflects the reality that home educating is primarily a woman's job. Many of the national supporters of homeschooling with an evangelical foundation are also feminist activists.

Marketing God

Being able to implement the policy you have argued for is considerably different from just advocating for it. There must be a wide range of ideas, supplies, suggestions, and even consolation made accessible in order to carry out homeschooling. "Godly schooling" develops a market. Conservative evangelicals and fundamentalists clearly have the greatest options when it comes to educational and religious curricula, lessons, books, and inspiring materials, despite the fact that the market for all forms of home schooling is expanding. Such resources not only supplement the courses that parents who homeschool their children create, but they are increasingly used to teach arithmetic, reading, science, social studies, and all other disciplines as well. Along with all of the actual teaching content, this kind of material often contains homework assignments and assessments. Thus, a whole "package" may be put together or bought as a whole, allowing devoted parents to build an entire universe of educational experiences that is both carefully scheduled and strictly regulated, preventing undesired "pollution" from the outside world.

A lot of this information is available online and is based on an inerrantist interpretation of the Bible and a literalist view of Genesis and creation, which, for example, rejects evolution. It is believed that only by making use of biblical teachings can one determine the difference between right and wrong. All of these sets of resources are profoundly devoted to include biblical themes, values, and instruction across the whole curriculum, despite pedagogical variances. Most do not only replicate their parents' specific worldviews, which are often centered on the Bible, but they also establish a learning environment based on a particular idea of "appropriate" schooling, which is centered on highly structured formal teachings with a clear moral goal. Technology-based resources, such films, are promoted to provide home schoolers an example of how education should be done as well as the tools necessary to carry it out. The organizational structure that is created throughout this process is crucial. Such an organization of knowledge and pedagogy, as I have argued elsewhere, embodies the ideological framework underlying the evangelical universe because a large portion of the religiously conservative home-schooling movement has a sense of purity and danger in which all elements of the world have a set place. As Bernstein points out, the curriculum serves as a common vehicle for the social cement that serves as the foundation for the organization of our awareness.

Structured educational experiences that are filled with powerful moral themes are valued. Given the perception of a secular world full of potential crimes, temptations, and dangers, this is not unexpected. The focus on arming kids with a strong belief armor then supports the pedagogical view that training is an essential pedagogic act. The preparation of children for life in a world where God's word is king is more vital than taking into account the interests of youngsters. This dedication to provide "right beliefs" as armor "nourishes demands for school material." A market for curriculum materials, workbooks, lesson plans, incentives like merit badges for good work, videotapes and CDs, and so many other items that make homeschooling seem more feasible is not only created out of a strategy of aggressive marketing and using the Web as a major mechanism for such marketing, but it is also created and stimulated because of the ideological and emotional elements that underlie the structures of feeling that help organize the con.

Technology and the Realities of Daily Life

Though the parent may buy or download rigidly regulated and sometimes unyielding materials, homeschooling puts parents in regular contact with the reality of their kids' life, their boredom, and their shifting interests. Internet resources and chat rooms become even

more crucial in this situation. Bible-inspired inspiring messages about how vital the difficult task of parenting is and how one might gain the patience to keep doing it can be found in advice manuals, prayers, ideas for how to deal with rebellious kids, and advice on how to cope with refractory adults. All of this offers strategies for coping with the enormous amount of academic and, in particular, emotional work that home educating necessitates.

Due to the demanding obligations of homeschooling, women may feel very alone at home. Technology allows them to interact virtually while maintaining close emotional bonds. It also calls for talent, which validates the sense of self that often accompanies homeschooling parents. There is no need for "experts." We are capable of engaging in a serious and structured education on our own with effort and ingenuity. Thus, technology offers comfort by recognizing and praying for each other's psychological scars and stress, while also enhancing one's identity as an intelligent person who can carefully choose useful information and morals. Therefore, what may seem to be an anti-intellectualism is really the complete opposite in many aspects. It bases its rejection of the state's and schools' secular competence on the idea that parents especially mothers have knowledge that comes from the ultimate source, God.

Higher Education and an Expanded Mission Field

I have so far concentrated on education at the primary and intermediate levels. But higher education is now included in the scope of home education. Patrick Henry College is a great illustration. Patrick Henry is a college that caters mostly to homeschooled, conservatively religious students. With the slogan "For Christ and for Liberty," this organization places a strong emphasis on both religion and politics. The following description makes the guiding ideas for its educational efforts extremely clear: By preparing Christian students to serve God and humanity with a zeal for righteousness, justice, and compassion via careers in public service and cultural impact, Patrick Henry College seeks to contribute to the change of American society[8].

Patrick Henry College is distinguished by its practical apprenticeship methodology, intentional outreach to homeschooled students, financial independence, general education requirements based on the classical liberal arts, commitment to disciplining and mentoring Christian students, and a community life that encourages virtue, leadership, and steadfast, lifelong ties to God, family, and society. The Department of Government's mission is to encourage the practical application of biblical principles and the original meaning of the American republic's founding texts while educating students for careers in government service, advocacy, and citizen leadership. These objectives are admirable but also concerning. Make an atmosphere where students may actively participate in rebuilding both their own lives and society as a whole. However, they must take care to ensure that the society they aim to create is entirely built on ideals that cannot be subjected to social critique by non-believers. Only people anointed by their specific interpretation of God and societies based on the anointer’s vision are valid. Everything else is bad.

Therefore, a lot of home schooling speaks the language of authoritarian populism despite its innovative uses of technology, understanding of "market needs" and how to meet them, personal sacrifices, the enormous labour of the majority of women who are engaged in the work of actually doing it, and its rapid growth fostered by positive press and inventive mobilizing strategies. There is an inside and an exterior. And for many authoritarian populists, altering the exterior so that it reflects the inside's religious values and inclinations is the only way to safeguard the inside. This requires challenging political, academic, and emotional labour. And it's obvious that new technologies are becoming more and more

important in such personal and societal labour. I've looked at a few of the challenges faced by the cultural and political initiatives inside a fast-expanding movement that has asserted subaltern status in this chapter. I have argued that it is important to look at the social movement that creates the backdrop for homeschooling as well as the identities that are developed inside it. I've also argued that we need to critically examine the kind of labour that is necessary for home education, who performs it, and how the actors who execute it understand it. We can only comprehend the genuine liver issues homeschoolers deal with and the remedies that make sense to them in this manner. And I have highlighted how ideological and/or economic interests are taking up more and more room in the manufacture of such "solutions" in response to a growing market to "fill the needs" of religiously conservative homeschoolers.

My attention has been primarily on the work of mothers godly women who actively forged new identities for themselves and discovered answers to a wide range of challenging interpersonal and societal issues in their everyday lives in the form of new technologies. Such godly ladies are not all that unlike from the rest of us. However, they are "committed to ensuring a truly devout and conservative existence for themselves and their children. And they go above and above in their ingenuity and selflessness. I've painted a difficult image, but reality is as complex. On the one hand, social disintegration, or rather, the decline in authority of a powerful institution that was once thought to have brought us all together the common school is one of the processes we are now seeing. However, and this is crucial, we are also seeing the use of technologies like the Internet to re-traditionalize certain aspects of society rather than "de-traditionalize" others, as I have done in the situations, I have looked at here. To merely refer to this phenomenon as re-traditionalization, however, would be to ignore the manner in which such technologies are ingrained not just in conventional values and emotional systems, but also in other areas as well. Additionally, they are taking part in a more "modern" effort where self-actualized individualism interacts with social maternalism history, which in turn interacts with the restoration of masculinities [9].

However, maternalism has to be seen in both good and bad ways, not only in the sense that it partially revives aspects of patriarchal interactions, although it is evident that this particular set of difficulties cannot be disregarded in any way. We must acknowledge the effort and tremendous sacrifices made by women who homeschool their children. The remarks of Jean Hardisty when she considers populist rightist movements generally show this awareness to the intricacies and ambiguities that are so profoundly interwoven in what these spiritually driven parents are seeking. It nevertheless holds on to the belief that there are good, compassionate individuals inside that movement who are building communities and developing coping mechanisms that allow them to live useful lives in a harsh, heartless post-capitalist society. The innovative applications of technology that go along with such care, labour, and sacrifice should not, however, cause us to lose sight of what this labour and these sacrifices also generate. In a society where traditions are either destroyed or commodified, godly technology, godly education, and godly identities may be personally rewarding and make life personally meaningful.

DISCUSSION

The study of social processes and practices is a critical area of inquiry in the field of sociology and other social sciences. It involves understanding how individuals and groups interact, communicate, and influence each other within different social contexts. The processes and practices that shape social interactions can vary widely, from small-scale interpersonal relationships to large-scale societal structures. One of the central concepts in the study of social processes and practices is power relations. Power refers to the ability of one

individual or group to exert influence over another. Power relations are often defined by social hierarchies and are shaped by factors such as race, gender, and class. Understanding power relations is critical for identifying and addressing issues of social inequality and promoting social justice. Cultural diversity is another important aspect of social processes and practices. Different cultures have their own unique practices and ways of interacting, and understanding these differences is essential for promoting cross-cultural communication and understanding. Social scientists' study cultural diversity through the lenses of cultural anthropology, sociology, and other related fields. Identity formation is also a key area of inquiry within social processes and practices. People develop their identities through their interactions with others and through the larger cultural and social contexts in which they exist. Identity formation can be influenced by factors such as race, gender, class, and sexual orientation, among others.

Social change is another important aspect of social processes and practices. Social change can be brought about through a range of processes, including political action, social movements, and cultural shifts. Understanding the mechanisms of social change is essential for promoting positive social outcomes and addressing issues of social inequality. Finally, social constructionism is a theoretical framework that is frequently used in the study of social processes and practices. Social constructionism asserts that our perceptions of reality are shaped by social and cultural factors, rather than by objective truths. This perspective is critical for understanding how social processes and practices shape our understanding of the world around us. Overall, the study of social processes and practices is a multifaceted area of inquiry that draws upon a range of theoretical frameworks and research methods. By understanding the complexities of social interactions, researchers can identify ways to promote positive social outcomes and address issues of social inequality.

COCLUSION

In conclusion, the study of social processes and practices is a fundamental area of inquiry in sociology and other social sciences. Through the examination of how individuals and groups interact, communicate, and influence each other, researchers can gain a better understanding of the complex dynamics that shape our social world. Concepts such as power relations, cultural diversity, identity formation, social change, and social constructionism are critical for identifying and addressing social problems and promoting social justice in diverse contexts. By utilizing a range of theoretical frameworks and research methods, social scientists can gain insights into how social processes and practices impact our lives and work towards creating positive social change. Ultimately, the study of social processes and practices is essential for fostering a deeper understanding of ourselves and the world around us.

REFERENCES

[1] O. Troisi, A. Visvizi, and M. Grimaldi, 'The different shades of innovation emergence in smart service systems: the case of Italian cluster for aerospace technology', *J. Bus. Ind. Mark.*, 2021, doi: 10.1108/JBIM-02-2020-0091.

[2] N. J. Brookes, S. C. Morton, A. R. J. Dainty, and N. D. Burns, 'Social processes, patterns and practices and project knowledge management: A theoretical framework and an empirical investigation', *Int. J. Proj. Manag.*, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.03.005.

[3] R. Triguero-Sánchez, J. C. Peña-Vinces, and J. Guillen, 'The mediator role of hierarchical distance on social processes-HRM practices: An empirical analysis of Spanish firms', *Int. J. Organ. Leadersh.*, 2016, doi: 10.33844/ijol.2016.60337.

[4] M. Wolsink, 'Social acceptance revisited: gaps, questionable trends, and an auspicious perspective', *Energy Research and Social Science*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2018.07.034.

[5] L. Tymchuk, N. Grytsyk, V. Yahupov, Y. Syvokhop, T. Hrinchenko, and V. Svystun, 'Andragogy: Theory and Practice of Adult Education Development in Ukraine', *Rev. Rom. pentru Educ. Multidimens.*, 2021, doi: 10.18662/rrem/13.2/417.

[6] S. Hodge, 'Transformative Learning as an "Inter-Practice" Phenomenon', *Adult Educ. Q.*, 2014, doi: 10.1177/0741713613520405.

[7] M. Schoderer, D. Karthe, I. Dombrowsky, and J. Dell'Angelo, 'Hydro-social dynamics of miningscapes: Obstacles to implementing water protection legislation in Mongolia', *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112767.

[8] D. Purnomo, T. Pujianto, and N. Efendi, 'Unpad – Ibu Popon Collaboration; A Best Practice in Sustainable Assistance Model for Social Entrepreneurship in Agro-industrial Based SME's', *Agric. Agric. Sci. Procedia*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.aaspro.2015.01.040.

[9] J. López-Yáñez and M. Sánchez-Moreno, 'Network, community, organization. The school as the ecosystem of educational innovation', *REICE. Rev. Iberoam. Sobre Calidad, Efic. y Cambio en Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.15366/reice2021.19.4.002.

CHAPTER 4

AN OVERVIEW OF THE DIFFERENT POLICIES FOR NEW STATES, GOVERNANCE AND EDUCATION

Ms. Yashoda L, Assistant Professor, Department of Management,
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id- yashoda@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The present era of globalization, technological advancements, and shifting socio-economic paradigms has brought about a significant transformation in the political, social, and educational landscapes of many countries worldwide. This paper explores the interplay between new states, new governance structures, and new education policies in the context of this changing global landscape. It investigates how the emergence of new states, particularly in post-colonial and post-conflict contexts, has influenced the development of new governance structures that seek to address the complex challenges facing these states. The paper also examines the role of education policies in fostering inclusive and sustainable development in these new states, and how they can be aligned with the new governance structures to achieve transformative change. Finally, the paper provides insights into the implications of these developments for policymakers, educators, and scholars, highlighting the need for greater collaboration and innovation to create effective governance structures and education policies that meet the needs of the 21st century.

KEYWORDS:

Development, Education, Governance, Innovation, Policymakers, Transformative.

INTRODUCTION

There are a number of general and highly significant experimental and evolutionary "moves" that involve the modernization of public services, state apparatuses, the overall institutional architecture of the state, and its scales of operation currently underway in a variety of national settings around the world. Diabatization, which Jessop defines as "redrawing the public-private divide, reallocating tasks, and rearticulating the relationship between organizations and tasks across this divide," is the most fundamental and all-encompassing of these actions. The redrawing and reallocation of resources includes a number of elements, some of which are newer than others. Examples include the establishment of executive agencies, the creation of private-public partnerships, the contracting out of state services to private providers, the use of think tanks, consultants, and knowledge companies for policy research and evaluation, philanthropic activity and sponsorship to fund educational programs and innovations, the voluntary sector's participation in service provision, and the usurpation of some public resources by private entities.

To put it another way, various "others" now perform tasks and provide services that the state once provided. These "others" have different relationships with one another, the state, and the remaining more established public sector organizations. However, in many cases, these organizations working practices have also undergone fundamental changes, usually as a result

of the introduction of market forms. In turn, new nodes of power and influence are created or reactivated, and new voices and interests are reflected in the policymaking process. All of this entails a greater dependence on subsidiarity and "regulated self-regulation," or what Stoker refers to as "constrained discretion," but usually entails reconcentration as opposed to devolution. The lines between the public/state, private, and third sectors are significantly blurred as a result, and a new combination of markets, hierarchies, and marketplaces is created. In other words, it mixes or replaces bureaucracy with organizational structures and relationships that are filled with overlap, plurality, mixed ascendancy, and/or diverse but coexisting patterns of interaction. Between a hierarchy and a network, hierarchies are organizational structures that rely on a variety of horizontal connections to allow various parts of the policy-making process to work together while independently optimizing certain success criteria. Processes of privatization, both endogenous and external, are integrated within this change, as mentioned above, and are in many respects essential to it.

the first step in making state organizations more commercial and businesslike. The second involves substituting private companies, nonprofits, or social businesses for government agencies. "Market mechanisms are critical to meeting social objectives, entrepreneurial zeal can promote social justice," as stated by Tony Blair. Currently, there are many different ways that policy hierarchies are working on and changing the policy process and relations in the field of education. Each of these ways combines elements of debate and involves a small number of new players, stakeholders, and interests in state education, education planning and decision-making, and education policy discussions. This chapter will explore and look at some of these state and policy developments that are noticeable in connection to education, although not primarily, and will then provide some instances [1].

Violence and Bio-politics

These changes must be understood in the context of a broader range of social and political changes in the methods and modalities of government, which aim to produce new categories of "active" and accountable, self-reliant, and willing workers as well as an explosion in governing styles. But this only gives a partial picture of modern administration. In considering these developments, I'll concentrate on the new tactics and technology that are involved, but I don't want to imply in any way that traditional, more direct forms of administration and governance have been completely replaced. In order to get the greatest results from the standpoint of the state, the techniques and relations of hierarchy are mixed judiciously with those of the market, hierarchy, and networks rather than completely replacing other forms of policy creation and policy implementation. Violence and sovereignty are still prevalent today. In reality, there is a current expansion of coercive methods including arrest, imprisonment, punishment, expulsion, and disqualification.

These are the countertrends in the state that Jessop refers to in reference to Polentas' idea of conservation-dissolution effects. Such impacts "exist to the extent that the state's former forms and functions are preserved and/or eliminated as the state is transformed." Thus, although new ways of governance based on the conduct of conduct are being developed, traditional ones based on the sovereign and biopolitical powers of life and death continue to be used. Indeed, Dean and others contend that the use of states of exception,' or the use of decisive authority beyond the bounds of the law and the state itself, is a growing method of exercising sovereign power. Guantánamo serves as a model. In general, there is a continuing or even increased discriminate use of violent power, forms of "micro-violence," in relation to specific social groups like asylum seekers and welfare recipients, unemployed or troublesome youth, who are seen as a threat to society, in addition to what Foucault called "the government of souls and consciences or of oneself"

The primary "necessities" of modern government are economic competitiveness and the development of certain forms of entrepreneurial citizenship, but "the diagnoses of disorder and pathology require the reimposition of authority and the inscription of not only the poor but of all groups and classes with a hierarchy." 'Authoritarian liberalism' is how Dean describes this new hybrid style of government. In addition, and in a similar vein national states are attempts to retain control of the articulation of different spatial scales in countering the denationalization of statehood This includes using immigration restrictions to defend national borders, enforcing tougher refugee policies, imprisoning suspected terrorists, and using military force to combat threats to national security. The idea is that we shouldn't anticipate or seek for consistency between sovereign forms of government and governmentality, and that neither failures of government nor the sometimes-unstable mixtures involved should surprise us. Any setting's unique hybrid system of governance demands empirical mapping. It's also critical to keep in mind that the state has always been a place of conflict, with resources and 'voice' divided inequitably across genders, ethnic groups, and social classes.

From Government to Governance

The issue here is with one specific aspect of a broad range of fundamental moves throughout the landscape of government, namely education policy and the provision of public education services, which are notably but not just continuing in the West. Only a few of the many innovative regulating methods have direct application in this situation. In the guise of a thought experiment Dean summarizes these moves as a whole, including the shifting focus from sovereignty to governmentality and the changing mix of governing modalities[2].

The many aspects of the transition from government to governance, as shown in Dean's table, are accomplished in and by hierarchies in unitary state governments. The goal of this new "experimental" and "strategic" style of governance is to create new governing capability and increase legitimacy. It is built on network linkages inside and across new policy groups. In addition to validating new policy discourses and enabling new forms of policy influence and implementation, these new policy networks also bring in certain new types of players and, in some cases, disable, disenfranchise, or work around some of the more established policy actors and institutions. The spaces made available by the criticism of current state organisations, acts, and actors may, to some degree, be colonised by these new forces. This is an example of meta governance, or ruling via governing. The management of "the complexity, plurality, and tangled hierarchies found in prevalent modes of coordination" is what this phrase refers to. In implementing and discussing these changes, I must be clear that I am not advocating for the state to cede its ability to influence policy; this is not a 'hollowing out' of the state; rather, it is a new mode of state power, agency, and social action—indeed, a new kind of state. Specifically, the pursuit of political goals using a variety of techniques: States are becoming more and more important in meta governance. It should be noted that governance networks or hierarchies, as mentioned above, do not provide us with all the information we want on policy and the policy-making process.

These hierarchies, as previously said extend the range of actors involved in shaping and delivering policy. In order to tackle community issues, governance entails catalyzing all sectors public, private, and voluntary; this is accomplished on the basis of the shifting boundaries between state and civil society and between state and economy." In broad strokes, this is the process of becoming a polycentric state and a shift in the center of gravity around which policy cycles move according to Deon Centration and Dispersal of Policy Locations. All of this shows that the state is evolving, both in terms of its shape and its modalities. ‘The state is increasingly reliant on a wide range of state and non-state policy actors, yet it is still

not powerless [3]. These hierarchies in the UK help to promote and legitimize change by forming "new kinds of educational alliances which New Labour seeks to create around its transformational mission. They are examples of loosely-coupled weakly-tied multi-organizational sets as defined by Kickert et al. They serve as a tool for policymaking, a means of experimenting, completing tasks, making changes, and dodging long-standing public sector lobbies and interests. In general, they 'pilot' moves towards a form of service provision where the state increasingly contracts and monitors, rather than directly delivering services, using the banal practices of 'performance' measurement, benchmarking, and targeting to manage a diversity of providers and forms of provision. They are a way to inject practical innovations and new sensibilities into areas of education policy that are seen as change-resistant and risk-averse. In order to create governable entities and people, new kinds of power, authority, and subjectivity are used.

Even while innovation, taking risks, and creativity are encouraged by hierarchies, these structures are often exclusionary in terms of memberships and discourses. They function to short-circuit already-existing policy barriers. Trades unions, for example, are deliberately left out of the policy, and criticisms coming from outside the common ground of discourse "may be easily deflected or incorporated. Additionally, hierarchies help distribute and localize policy by establishing new centers of power, decision-making, and policy implementation. In other words, when the territory of influence over policy is increased, the spaces for policy are also varied and disconnected. As a consequence, there is a parallel rise in the opaqueness of policy making as these new venues inside the settings of influence and text creation develop. It is unknown what may have been spoken inside their functioning to whom, where, with what impact, and in return for what. Although some of the ties within hierarchies are expressly contractual and financial, they also include social commitments made by volunteers and benefactors. Hierarchies are in part characterized by business interest in certain policy outcomes and the two may sometimes be ambiguous [4].

These policy networks provide room for new forms of discourse inside policy. In particular, the network members act out, embody, and spread narratives of entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial solutions to social and educational challenges. These new narratives about what constitutes a "good" education are articulated and supported. Over and against preexisting ones, new linking mechanisms and lead organizations are being developed, rejecting or avoiding but not necessarily obliterating more conventional sites and voices. These new policy players often influence the public sector from the outside in and the inside out. The public and commercial sectors form alliances and connections related to policy issues and new policy narratives. Thus, new moral principles and ways of acting are formed, along with new kinds of moral authority, while others are once again downplayed or mocked.

Partnerships

Within developing hierarchies, partnerships are an important policy motif. Partnerships provide a relative coherence among many aims and are what Jessop refers to as a connection mechanism. They modify the environment in which public sector organizations operate and may result in a type of organizational and value convergence. Partnerships are referred to as "a third form of organizational activity by Davies and Hentschke because they "have elements of both hierarchies and markets as well as unique features. In Britain, 5,500 local level service delivery partnerships were going to be recorded by Sullivan and Skecher. In reality, they differ greatly in terms of their structure, power dynamics, and contractual provisions. Some types of partnerships and consortia incorporate the private into the public sector via joint ventures and profit-sharing arrangements without completely removing ownership from the public sector. However, there are significant differences in the power

dynamics within partnerships. Partnerships may operate to colonies local government and public bodies and re-interpolate public sector players as entrepreneurs, even if there may be ambiguities and "differences in language, culture, and perceptions of strategic interests" within these interactions. They may also be weak and transient. In other interpretations, they suggest a process of incorporation into the values of the dominant partner[5].

DISCUSSION

The emergence of new states in the post-colonial and post-conflict contexts has posed complex challenges for policymakers, educators, and scholars. These states face numerous social, political, and economic problems, including poverty, inequality, ethnic tensions, corruption, and weak institutions. To address these challenges, new governance structures have emerged that seek to create inclusive and sustainable development strategies, promote good governance, and foster social cohesion. Education policies play a crucial role in this transformational process. Education can be a powerful tool for promoting social mobility, building human capital, and fostering a culture of innovation and creativity. Effective education policies can help new states achieve sustainable and inclusive development by creating opportunities for all citizens, irrespective of their background or circumstances. However, aligning new education policies with new governance structures can be challenging. Education policies need to be aligned with broader development objectives and should be informed by local contexts and needs. Moreover, education policies need to be designed to promote innovation, creativity, and critical thinking, and should be flexible enough to adapt to changing circumstances. To address these challenges, policymakers and educators need to work together to develop education policies that align with new governance structures. They need to foster innovation and creativity in education systems by investing in teacher training, educational technology, and pedagogical approaches that promote critical thinking and problem-solving. Moreover, policymakers need to ensure that education policies are informed by local contexts and needs, and that they prioritize the needs of marginalized and vulnerable communities[6]–[8].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the emergence of new states, new governance structures, and new education policies presents both challenges and opportunities. The complex socio-political and economic challenges faced by new states require innovative and transformative solutions, and new governance structures provide a platform for addressing these challenges. Education policies play a critical role in creating inclusive and sustainable development strategies, building human capital, and promoting social cohesion. By aligning education policies with new governance structures, policymakers and educators can create education systems that foster innovation, creativity, and critical thinking, and that empower citizens to contribute to their societies' growth and development. However, this requires a collaborative effort from policymakers, educators, and scholars to develop education policies that are informed by local contexts and needs, promote inclusive and sustainable development, and address the complex challenges facing new states.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Norqvist and P. P. Isling, ‘Skolledarskap i Sverige: En forskningsöversikt 2014-2018’, *Nord. Stud. Educ.*, 2020, doi: 10.23865/NSE.V40.2230.

[2] F. Rizvi and B. Lingard, *Globalizing education policy*. 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203867396.

[3] F. Rizvi and B. Lingard, 'Conceptions of Education Policy', *Glob. Educ. policy*, 2010.

[4] S. Dhamuniya, 'E-Governance in Rajasthan State Universities: Impacts and Impediments', *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Manag.*, 2015, doi: 10.3126/ijssm.v2i1.11684.

[5] F. M. de Mattos Donadelli, 'The "Regulatory State" in Higher Education: Assuring Quality through New Modalities of Control in Brazil', *Bull. Lat. Am. Res.*, 2021, doi: 10.1111/blar.13133.

[6] F. Rizvi and B. Lingard, 'Education policy and the allocation of values', *Glob. Educ. Policy*, 2009.

[7] S. J. Klees, 'School choice international: exploring public□private partnerships', *Glob. Soc. Educ.*, 2010, doi: 10.1080/14767720903574140.

[8] N. Freudenberg, N. Cohen, J. Poppendieck, and C. Willingham, 'Food policy in New York City since 2008: Lessons for the next decade', *CUNY Urban Food Policy Inst. Guid. to Food Gov. New York City*, 2018.

CHAPTER 5

AN ANALYSIS OF THE SOCIOLOGY OF PEDAGOGIES

Dr. Seema Sambargi, Professor, Department of Management,
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id-dr.seema_sambargi@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The Sociology of Pedagogies is an interdisciplinary field of study that explores the social and cultural aspects of teaching and learning practices. It examines how pedagogical approaches are shaped by social structures, power relations, and cultural norms, and how they contribute to the reproduction or transformation of these structures. The field draws on theories and methodologies from sociology, education, anthropology, and other social sciences to analyze various forms of pedagogy, including formal schooling, non-formal education, and informal learning. It also considers the role of technology, media, and globalization in shaping pedagogical practices and their social implications. The Sociology of Pedagogies provides critical insights into the ways in which education is both a product and a producer of social and cultural values, and how it can be used as a tool for social change and transformation.

KEYWORDS:

Power Relations, Sociology, Social Change, Social Implications, Social Structures.

INTRODUCTION

A pedagogy chapter in a manual on the sociology of education would have seemed uncommon a few years ago. In the past, pedagogical issues in sociology of education would have mostly centered on critical pedagogy. This is a tradition that may be related to Paulo Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed, which advocated "conscientization" as a goal and opposed a banking vision of pedagogy. It is also tied to real educational practices in literacy. Literacy programs all throughout the globe have been significantly impacted by this pedagogy of the oppressed, notably but not primarily in post-colonial nations. The 1980s also saw the emergence of a feminist pedagogy literature, the political goals of which were comparable to Freire's pedagogy of the oppressed but focused on women's liberation [1], [2].

The linkages between critical pedagogy and real education in actual classrooms weakened as the theory behind it became more obscure. Critical pedagogy pioneer Giroux said: "I use pedagogy as a referent for analyzing how knowledge, values, desire, and social relations are constructed, taken up, and implicated in relations of power in the interaction among cultural texts, institutional forms, authorities, and audiences." According to this concept of critical pedagogy, a sociological perspective is required, and the genre is separated from classroom instructors. In fact, most of the writing on critical pedagogy in the sociology of education was exhortatory in nature, urging educators to go against the grain, challenge predominate conceptions of knowledge, and cultivate critical citizens. Instead of being experimentally and practically grounded, this work was much more conceptually and politically driven. The sociology of education had several obstacles, and it was criticized for being mostly male [3]. However, more recent reexaminations of critical pedagogies have broadened their scope to include fresh social movements and also aim to chronicle some genuine critical pedagogy

practises. For instance, Pedagogies of Difference, an edited collection by Trifonas, acknowledges the 'knowledge creation' component of pedagogies while simultaneously addressing the 'identity construction' component. It also seeks to establish a community of diversity among feminist, antiracist, post-colonial, and critical gay and lesbian educators. This collection describes "create an openness towards the horizons of the other" pedagogies of difference. When discussing educational trends in the USA, Dimitriadis and McCarthy point out that most pedagogies strive to tame and control rather than practice pedagogies of diversity in an era of plurality and difference. Critical pedagogy: where are we now, an edited book by Peter McLaren and Joe Kincheloe, examines the theoretical, pedagogical, and political facets of the field while showcasing its diverse nature and providing examples of real-world critical pedagogies. This chapter makes the case that a sociology of pedagogies requires an approach that is more scientifically based while yet supporting the political goals of critical and feminist pedagogy. This viewpoint acknowledges the validity of Michael W. Apple's claim that critical pedagogies shouldn't revolve only around academic theorizing. As opposed to this, he says, "Critical approaches are best developed in close contact with the object of one's analysis."

The revived interest in pedagogy within the sociology of education is discussed in the section that follows, along with some of the new work. The discussion of pedagogy definitions and the rise of public pedagogy concerns follows. The study that produced the idea of "productive pedagogies" is then described. This is done to serve as an example of a prospective direction for sociology pedagogy research that is empirically grounded, theoretically informed, and politically informed, with the potential to be helpful to teachers. Such a sociological analysis of pedagogy acknowledges that although pedagogies may have an impact on opportunities, they cannot make up for the lack of more comprehensive redistributive policies. The "new pedagogy studies," which acknowledge that pedagogical reform is at the core of successful school development, are where the study on productive pedagogies falls in.

Renewed Interest in Sociology of Pedagogy

Understanding pedagogy is essential to understanding the sociology of education since pedagogy is intrinsic to education and is how education is carried out. In the sociology of education, several current reasons have also rekindled interest in pedagogies. These include regulatory changes made during the last 20 years in Anglo-American nations that have tightened accountability requirements in educational systems and impacted teachers' pedagogical activities. With detrimental impacts on pedagogical opportunities, high stakes testing has evolved into a key policy for directing schools and teachers' practices. This heightened sociological interest is a result of these policy-driven modifications to pedagogies.

As a result of these policy advancements, outcomes testing is being used more often to frame educational policy and direct schools on a national and worldwide level. Making teachers and their work more accountable and auditable has been the goal as a result of the audit culture that permeates state practices under the new public administration and has been particularly pronounced in educational institutions. Connell made the case that teaching was a labour process without a result in a significant study of teachers' job that was released more than two decades ago. Currently, at least in Anglo-American models of school reform, this remark is not valid in the context of the introduction of new outcomes accountabilities. Regarding such result accountability, Smyth stated: "The attention to calculable and measurable aspects of the work, especially educational outputs, is a crucial element of this educational commodity approach to teachers' work." As McNeil has shown with regard to the US, where test-driven education has resulted in what she terms "defensive pedagogies," this has had reductive impacts on pedagogy. Hursh has similarly shown how George Bush's No Child Left Behind

legislation and accompanying testing regime had a reductive impact on pedagogies, or what he refers to as the collapse of teaching and learning.

Reductionist views of teachers as the most important school-based factor for "determining" student learning outcomes have accompanied this increase in accountability. These policies consider teachers to be decontextualized professionals who are both the "cause" and "solution" of any issues with learning outcomes, which are often boiled down to how well students score on high stakes tests. In its earlier versions, school effectiveness research provided an intellectual or "evidence base" for this understanding of education policy. These accounts stripped away the context in which the factors affecting student achievement, particularly for underprivileged youth, are considered, and they did not acknowledge or recognize that societies with low Gini coefficients of social and economic inequality are those that have high standards and high equity for educational outcomes. Pedagogy has also attracted the attention of policymakers and teacher registration organizations in this policy setting. As an example, the state department has approved a quality pedagogy policy in New South Wales, Australia. With the literacy hour, for instance, there is practically a technologist kind of education that is state- or nationally sanctioned in England. Due to these legislative changes, sociologists of education are once again in charge of pedagogy [4].

Some significant studies have also been connected to the sociologists of education's increasing interest in pedagogy. Culture and Pedagogy, a major study on pedagogy and culture in five nations by Robin Alexander, stands out in the comparative education area for its emphasis on classroom procedures and how they are ingrained in larger society. Alexander recognized the connections between pedagogy and social control as well as the 'truth' of Bernstein's well-known observation that: 'How a society selects, classifies, distributes, transmits, and evaluates the educational knowledge it considers to be public, reflects both the distribution of power and principles of social control.' The relationships between pedagogies and various culturally and historically ingrained "ideas and values, habits and customs, institutions and world views" were postulated and recorded by Alexander. His study used a very wide notion of pedagogy that was based on culture and went well beyond teaching and instruction.

Alexander's account conceptualizes teaching as a "cultural relay," following Bernstein. With regard to the creation and transmission of culture, Bernstein said that "pedagogic practice can be understood as relay, a cultural relay: a uniquely human device." Alexander's comparison analysis amply supported this observation's validity. Alexander has made scathing criticisms of New Labour's school reform elsewhere, focusing in particular on consequential accountability's detrimental consequences on pedagogy in England, which diminish its significance and ignore its ties to culture. Additionally, the work of Alexander implied the requirement of a social pedagogical approach. This mention of Bernstein also alerts us to the existence of a different lineage of pedagogical work in the sociology of education, namely Bernstein's thoroughly theoretical formulations of the message systems of education, curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment.

The consequences of new testing and accountability mechanisms on teaching in England are a clear example of Bernstein's claim that changes in one messaging system have an equal and opposite effect on the other. In his latter work, Bernstein was especially interested in the relationships between message systems and the recontextualizing field of pedagogic discourse that exist inside educational institutions. The information is recontextualized in this pedagogic discourse into curricula, syllabuses, and pedagogical knowledge and practices. In a related intellectual area and in connection to questions of social and cultural reproduction, Bourdieu considered pedagogies as essential to the social structural reproduction of

educational institutions and as inherently entailing power relations. This was especially true of pedagogies that postulated a cultural homology between the centers of education and pedagogy and those of the family. These teaching practices misrecognized a "social gift treated as a natural one" by seeing academic achievement as a consequence of human potential rather than cultural experience and the existence of certain school-relevant cultural capitals. According to Bernstein, for a student to succeed academically, there must be two complementing places for pedagogic acquisition: the home and the school. The pace of curriculum and the quantity of content that must be taught in a limited amount of time, according to Bernstein, make it necessary for students to spend more "official pedagogic time at home" in order to succeed in school of course, the ability to provide this pedagogical time depends on socioeconomic class.

Working within a different intellectual tradition, that of US school reform, Newmann et al.'s research on authentic pedagogy has had an impact in both Australia and the US. It served as the foundation for the extensive Queensland School Reform Longitudinal Study, which developed the idea of productive pedagogies. This idea was adopted by the Queensland government and utilized as the foundation for teacher professional development. It also served as the inspiration for the creation of a quality pedagogy model that frames education in New South Wales and had an impact abroad. For instance, recent research in Singapore has expanded on effective pedagogies to more thoroughly examine the link between pedagogies and knowledge. A resurgence in pedagogy research has also been sparked by the UK's Teaching and Learning Research Program, financed by the Economic and Social Research Council.

These larger definitions are avoided by the policy-driven construction of pedagogy, which also rejects the idea that instruction is subject to theory. Sociological research has focused on this impact. Some others have also acknowledged the challenge of making teaching an object of theory and research from inside a theoretical and research framework. Definitions of pedagogy and the evolving settings of pedagogy will be discussed in the next section.

Definitions of Pedagogy

I'll try to define terms here and, given their breadth and complexity, briefly discuss the many literatures that pedagogy-related topics are found in. Teaching and pedagogy are separate concepts according to Alexander. He claims that pedagogy is, to put it simply, the art of teaching together with all of its related discourses about learning, teaching, curriculum, and other things. Alexander views teaching as a combination of an act and a discourse. This is pedagogy as cultural relay, along with the other discourses it is related with. According to AlexanderPedagogy connects the seemingly autonomous act of teaching with culture, structure, and social control mechanisms. Alexander asserts that the multifaceted area of pedagogy encompasses culture and classroom, policy and practice, teacher and learner, knowledge both public and personal while acknowledging that the definition of the discipline is rather hazy. As a result, pedagogy encompasses more than what is often meant when the word "instruction" is used to describe teaching in US teacher professional discourses. It also encompasses more than "teaching," which is the term used more frequently in the UK, Australia, and New Zealand. It is also possible to examine how closely pedagogy relates to the other message systems of education, curriculum, and assessment, and how these systems connect to culture. The necessity for a sociological explanation is suggested by this expanded concept of pedagogy. However, as Alexander argues, this application of education is also culturally constrained. Pedagogy is the term used to describe both the act and the notion of teaching within the context of a very large body of knowledge across most of Europe, particularly in the Nordic nations and in Russia[5].

Although the focus of this chapter is on how pedagogy relates to education and teaching practices, it has spread beyond schools and into other industries and social organizations. This is a result of the information economy's de-differentiation and the pidginization of several facets of labour and governmental affairs. Bernstein has used the phrase "totally pedagogies society" to describe how social policy and professional practice have evolved into pedagogies in modern society. We are seeing pedagogic inflation, in which the State is attempting to fill every available time and space with pedagogies. For this reason, Bernstein contends that a sociology of knowledge transmission is now necessary, one that is focused on the larger shifts towards a society that is entirely pedagogical; this endeavor would supplant the more specific sociology of pedagogy.

Consider public health preventive programs as an example of a component of a society that is entirely pedagogical. Consider the courses that are required of welfare recipients and single parents. Consider implementing regulations that prevent young people from receiving assistance and instead force them to participate in school, training, or employment, or a mix of these. Consider the educational purposes of art museums and galleries, as well as the Web and the Internet [6].

The implications of new technology and the possible globalization of pedagogies are also connected to this expanded understanding of pedagogy. Older pedagogical technologies were constrained by classrooms and the technology of the book, but new technologies have significantly undermined traditional enclosure-based pedagogies. These issues also have a broader connection to social theory thanks to a notion of public pedagogy that is entwined with social theory and a politics of change. It almost seems as if social theory now need a public pedagogy to serve as a change agent.

While keeping in mind the insights that might be obtained for a sociology of pedagogy from more general concerns of public pedagogy in social theory, the rest of this chapter will focus on a narrower definition of pedagogy, namely that linked with schooling. I'll now take a look at some studies on productive pedagogies that bridged the critical and empirical traditions in sociology of pedagogy.

Productive Pedagogies

The state government of Queensland commissioned the Queensland School Reform Longitudinal Study in 1997, from which the idea of productive pedagogies was created. The QSRLS was created as a result of Newmann and Associates' US study on genuine pedagogy and was backward mapped from classroom activities to structures, with emphasis on the importance of classroom activities in the research design. According to Rose, "What you see and what you can imagine will depend on the vantage point from which you consider schools to be your location physically and experientially." Long periods of in-depth observation in real classrooms at Queensland government primary and secondary schools were used to develop the concept of productive pedagogies. The model was created using maps of teachers' pedagogies, which were built from a tool for observing classes and, in turn, from an analysis of the data collected in the classroom and the relevant research literature. It is important to emphasise that the model was developed by seeing real instructors at work in real classrooms.

The QSRLS was recontextualized to take into consideration the Queensland setting, even though it was designed as a result of Newmann and Associates' study on "authentic pedagogy." According to the Newmann study, "authentic pedagogy" refers to teacher classroom procedures that support high-quality learning and raise student success for all kids. According to Newmann, genuine teaching increased student success and partially closed the

performance equity gap for kids from low-income households [7]. Genuine teaching and genuine evaluation were both integrated into the Newmann study on authentic pedagogy. The QSRLS study recognized the value of pedagogical and assessment alignment while also distinguishing between them. Higher-order thinking, in-depth knowledge, meaningful discussions, and linkages to the outside world are all necessary for authentic education. Students are required to organize information, weigh alternatives, show knowledge of subject-specific concepts and procedures, engage in complex communication, solve problems related to real-world situations outside of the classroom, and present to an audience outside of the classroom as part of authentic assessment. In order to include both social and academic student outcomes, the QSRLS enhanced the ideas of genuine teaching and evaluation. As a result, the components of genuine teaching were broadened into a grid of productive pedagogies that included twenty things, each mapped on a five-point scale.

There were twenty-four carefully chosen study schools half primary and half secondary chosen for their track records of change. Every year of the study, eight schools were examined. Each was seen twice, for a week at a time. Year 6, Year 8, and Year 11 classes in the subjects of English, mathematics, physics, and social science were the ones that were observed in these schools. The expanded components of effective pedagogies were derived from a review of the literature and included work from the sociology of education, critical readings of research on school effectiveness and school improvement, sociolinguistic classroom studies, social psychology, including sociocultural approaches, social cognition, learning communities, and constructivism, critical literacy, critical pedagogies, as well as Freirean, indigenous, post-colonial, and feminist pedagogies [8].

Pedagogies of Indifference

Each component of the dimensions of productive pedagogies was evaluated on a five-point scale, with a score of five denoting a component's strong presence and caliber. According to the 'findings' about effective teaching methods, there was a lot of student support, but not enough intellectual challenge, global awareness, or appreciation of diversity. There was a significant standard deviation for connectivity and intellectual quality, suggesting that these factors were present in certain classes. Supportiveness, on the other hand, had a high mean and a low standard deviation. We saw supporting, compassionate instructors who were nearly practicing a social worker's kind of teaching.

We think that instructors deserve praise for the degree of social support and care they provided to children in light of the rising disparity. This concern was especially noticeable in schools located in underprivileged areas. Schools do help to the development of social trust, networks, and community, or the collective "we" of local communities, which is what modern public policy likes to refer to as "social capital." However, the data would imply that although such assistance is important, it is not sufficient to improve academic and social outcomes for students or to increase educational opportunity equity. According to Bourdieu and the results of the study, socially fair pedagogies need to use explicitness to create a more equitable distribution of cultural wealth [9].

In light of their lack of connection, intellectual apathy, and disregard for diversity, the real pedagogies traced may be categorised as pedagogies of indifference. They were pedagogies of indifference since they had little effect on pupils, especially those from homes without the necessary cultural capital. It should be emphasised, nevertheless, that the instructors who were observed showed care, concern, and even support for their pupils. Class sizes, current policy pressures, current testing policies, a crowded curriculum, time demands for curriculum coverage, pacing, pressures on teachers, a focus on structural change, and other factors are

structural causes for these findings. The QSRLS research team member Allan Luke noted that teacher interviews supported the theory that "the testing, basic skills, and accountability push had encouraged narrowing of the curriculum" and was related to the finding of a reduction in higher order and critical thinking as well as a reduction in cognitive demand and intellectual depth.

There were significant social justice ramifications from the absence of intellectual demand. In fact, Bourdieu says that this lack of intellectual demand contributes to the way that schools perpetuate inequality by expecting things from everyone while favoring those who have the necessary cultural capital. Such a dearth likely reflects the sizeable quantity of curricular information instructors believed they had to cover in a limited amount of time; as a result, covering took precedence over the development of higher-order thinking, citizenship objectives, and other such objectives. This success-oriented pedagogy necessitates a supplemental home-based pedagogy, reinforcing class-based disparities regarding cultural capital within families.

The lack, nay, absence of engagement with diversity may have been caused by a teacher's uncertainty about the proper answers and a severe lack of relevant professional development. In our opinion, this was less a case of failing to see that action needed to be taken as it was a case of not understanding what to do in a politically bigoted climate. The Howard government in Australia promoted a fear of difference rather than robust multiculturalism and robust reconciliation from its election in 1996 until its defeat in 2007. It did this by shifting "the public gaze and preoccupation to global events such as the War on Terror, the potential avian flu epidemic, and, at the micro level, encourages its population to be wary of strangers." Additionally, we discovered a surprising negative association between the ethnic variety of the student body at the school and the degree of involvement with and appreciation for variation in educational practices.

There may have been two lineages in the sociology of education with regard to pedagogies, according to this movement towards a sociology of pedagogies. The first was critical and feminist pedagogy, which primarily used political tactics. It has also continued to advance in tandem with the diversification of social theory across a variety of socioeconomic inequalities. Feminist pedagogies are still considered to be aspirational rather than a collection of concrete methods, according to Gaby Weiner's analysis of them. The second is situated within ideas about social and cultural reproduction and is related to the work of Bernstein and Bourdieu. I've also argued that recent changes in educational policy have once again elevated social pedagogical themes. Increased sociological interest in pedagogies and what has been referred to as new pedagogy studies has resulted from these legislative changes around accountability and high stakes testing. The sociological study of pedagogies has also been revived as a result of certain studies, especially Alexander's.

DISCUSSION

The Sociology of Pedagogies is a fascinating and complex field of study that examines the social and cultural aspects of teaching and learning practices. One of the primary focuses of this field is to analyze how pedagogical approaches are shaped by social structures, power relations, and cultural norms, and how they contribute to the reproduction or transformation of these structures. At its core, the Sociology of Pedagogies explores the dynamic relationship between education and society. It examines the ways in which education systems and practices are both shaped by and contribute to the social and cultural values, norms, and structures of society. This includes the study of formal education systems, as well as non-formal and informal learning environments. One important concept within the Sociology of

Pedagogies is the idea of power relations. In educational settings, power relations exist between teachers and students, between educational institutions and their stakeholders, and between different societal groups. Understanding the ways in which power operates in educational settings is crucial to understanding how education can be used as a tool for social change and transformation. Another key area of interest within the Sociology of Pedagogies is the role of technology and media in shaping educational practices. The advent of new technologies and media platforms has transformed the way in which we teach and learn. This has led to new forms of pedagogy and new ways of understanding the relationship between education and society. Ultimately, the Sociology of Pedagogies provides critical insights into the ways in which education can either reinforce or challenge social and cultural values and structures. By examining the social and cultural aspects of teaching and learning practices, we can gain a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between education and society, and work towards creating more equitable and just educational systems and practices.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Sociology of Pedagogies is a vital field of study that examines the social and cultural aspects of teaching and learning practices. By analyzing how pedagogical approaches are shaped by social structures, power relations, and cultural norms, we can gain a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between education and society. This understanding is essential for creating more equitable and just educational systems and practices.

The Sociology of Pedagogies also emphasizes the importance of critical pedagogy, which aims to empower students to become active agents in their own education and to challenge dominant social and cultural norms and structures. This approach recognizes the potential of education as a tool for social change and transformation, and encourages educators and students to work towards creating more just and equitable societies. Finally, the Sociology of Pedagogies highlights the importance of technology and media in shaping educational practices. By understanding how technology and media affect pedagogy and learning, we can ensure that we are using these tools in ways that support equitable and just educational practices. Overall, the Sociology of Pedagogies is a crucial field of study that offers important insights into the complex relationship between education and society. By continuing to explore the social and cultural aspects of teaching and learning practices, we can work towards creating more just and equitable educational systems that empower individuals and communities to challenge and transform societal norms and structures.

REFERENCES

[1] J. R. Halasz and P. Kaufman, ‘Sociology as Pedagogy: How Ideas from the Discipline Can Inform Teaching and Learning’, *Teaching Sociology*. 2008. doi: 10.1177/0092055X0803600401.

[2] J. R. Halasz and P. Kaufman, ‘Sociology as Pedagogy’, *Teach. Sociol.*, 2008, doi: 10.1177/0092055x0803600401.

[3] T. Hjelm, ‘Empowering discourse: Discourse analysis as method and practice in the sociology classroom’, *Teach. High. Educ.*, 2013, doi: 10.1080/13562517.2013.795940.

[4] L. W. Hsu and Y. J. Chen, ‘Examining teachers’ technological pedagogical and content knowledge in the era of cloud pedagogy’, *South African J. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.15700/saje.v39ns2a1572.

[5] T. Bonnah, 'Using twitter to increase L2 interaction: Findings from a high-functioning Japanese university ESL class', *Ubiquitous Learn.*, 2019, doi: 10.18848/1835-9795/CGP/v12i01/35-53.

[6] M. Sakr, '"It just opened my eyes a bit more": student engagement with Instagram to develop understanding of complex concepts', *Teach. High. Educ.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/13562517.2019.1613356.

[7] M. Sokol, R. Galyna, S. Khrystyna, O. Hvozdyak, and G. Violetta, 'Tolerance in the Communicative Culture of Modern Educational Manager', *Propósitos y Represent.*, 2021, doi: 10.20511/pyr2021.v9nspe3.1170.

[8] A. Hess and K. Macomber, '"My parents never read my papers, but they watched my film'': documentary filmmaking as feminist pedagogy"', *Gend. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/09540253.2020.1763921.

[9] C. Buchanan Turner and C. Turner, 'Effectively integrating cybersecurity into the teaching of sociology and criminal justice with experiential pedagogy', *Annu. Rev. CyberTherapy Telemed.*, 2019.

CHAPTER 6

AN OVERVIEW OF THE POLITICS OF ALTERNATIVE CERTIFICATION

Dr. Supriya Rai, Associate Professor, Department of Management,
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id-supriya@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The Politics of Alternative Certification refers to the controversy surrounding the use of non-traditional pathways for teacher certification. This abstract will provide an overview of the different perspectives and issues associated with alternative certification programs, including their origins, implementation, and impact on the education system. The paper will explore the political landscape surrounding these programs, including the roles played by government officials, education leaders, and teacher unions. The abstract will also discuss the potential benefits and drawbacks of alternative certification, including its impact on teacher quality and student outcomes. Ultimately, this paper will offer insights into the complex and contentious nature of alternative certification in the field of education and highlight the need for ongoing dialogue and collaboration to ensure that all students have access to high-quality teaching.

KEYWORDS:

Perspectives, Politics, Teacher Unions, Teacher Quality, Student Outcomes, Unconventional.

INTRODUCTION

Social organizations have a significant impact on how social inequality is perpetuated. Critical sociologists Perrucci and Wysong assert that the policies of organization's run by the privileged are directly responsible for the persistence of class disparities. The offspring, friends, and colleagues of the upper classes who have the inclinations, credentials, and social links to "fit" such organizations are favored by these organizations, which often espouse democratic values. Ball's critical, post-structural examination of policy and class power relations is compatible with theories of deeply ingrained inequality scripts, despite the fact that he also provides micro-level studies. The wealthy class, confident in their own abilities, concentrates on the shortcomings of the lower classes. The advantages that underlie the higher results of their class are not acknowledged or understood by those in positions of privilege. They assert that opportunities are accessible to those who make an effort and that the playing field is level or can be made level.

People with privilege are certain that their success and the educational conditions that make it possible are a direct consequence of their own work and qualities. Family values, not family privilege, are linked to higher status and accomplishment. Subordinate classes are convinced of the superiority of the others or are silenced as a result of superiority myths being reified via the purported objectivity of science, which perpetuates inequality. Critical sociologists and academics of colour have moved away from the psychological and cultural deficiencies of the poor to structural prejudice as reasons for the different educational results. Numerous ethnographies debunk stereotypes that lower-income individuals are less intelligent, put up less effort, and don't value education. Other studies provide a more comprehensive picture of

the lack of opportunity in low-income US schools. There are several well-known theories on how class-specific K–12 institutional structures reproduce social status. However, teacher preparation programs and general education policy seldom address this situation [1].

Organisations from the privileged class describe social issues narrowly and provide limited answers. Elites have traditionally supported educational remedies to poverty and other social evils since they are considerably more than simple fixes. During President Johnson's War on Poverty, significant government funds were spent on these kinds of educational initiatives. Despite Head Start, economic inequality has increased since the 1960s. Governmental and charitable efforts generally sustain and amplify inequality rather than diminishing them. This chapter investigates how innovations in teacher hiring and training are impacted by class supremacy.

Alternative certification is the cornerstone of the present educational reforms intended to help the underprivileged. Young AC teachers from wealthy households are seen as "change agents" who will improve social inequity and turn around failing schools. However, although there is scant proof that AC has helped the underprivileged, it is abundantly evident that it does.

This chapter focuses on non-profit AC groups that have developed fruitful connections with urban areas. Organizational leaders describe their goals in democratic terms, but they provide doors for elites like themselves to work in urban education.

Based on research done by Metro Math at the City University of New York on the New York City Teaching Fellows, we make the following claims. Numerous interviews, classroom observations, and hundreds of questionnaires are all part of this study. On NYCTF, The New Teachers Project, and Teach for America, we also analyses material from print and online media [2].

Organizations Created to Improve the Quality of the Teaching Force

Harold Levy was appointed chancellor of a NYC school in the spring of 2000. Levy, a former corporate attorney, was the first person who was not a teacher to occupy this role. Poor neighborhoods in New York City were and continue to be troubled by a number of educational issues, including the ongoing dearth of an effective, qualified teaching staff. In the "lowest performing" schools in the city, Levy was pressured by the state to hire qualified instructors in favor of non-certified ones. No Child Left Behind would not become law until 2001. Concerns about the quality of teachers in NYC and other metropolitan locations are the driving force for legislation. Levy didn't last long before state education commissioner Mills threatened to sue NYC administration for hiring inexperienced instructors. Levy responded by requesting that a different path into teaching be approved by the state:

i. Levy instructed Vicki Bernstein to take all necessary steps to launch a career-changer program by September. Levy was certain he could convince Mills to certify his recruits if he could show they were dedicated and well-educated.

ii. Mills and the state obliged, establishing a transitional license that permitted recent college graduates and career changers to be paid as teachers of record after completing a brief preservice program.

iii. Levy tailored the NYCTF program to fit his personal needs while collaborating with Bernstein and TNTP. Levy and others thought that the school system was being gravely mishandled by educationist insiders, and NYCTF drew upper class outsiders to it. When expressing concern for minorities,

Levy's campaign to recruit AC instructors had a social class undertone. Levy believed that elites and business types would govern schools more effectively. Levy envisioned Fellows as "change agents" who would overhaul a failing educational system from the ground up [3].

Good PR was done by NYCTF. The Fellows were able to be considered "certified" after just 200 hours of preservice training according to a new AC policy. The Fellows were likewise regarded as "highly qualified" according to NCLB requirements, despite the fact that they were less prepared to teach than many of the uncertified teachers they replaced. With almost 2300 applications vying for 320 seats in the first year, NYCTF was discriminating. The majority of Fellows had professional expertise, were certified by their states, and were graduates of prestigious colleges. Furthermore, the word "Fellow" had an exclusive ring to it that appealed to aristocrats who wouldn't contemplate teaching without special privileges and honors.

Despite the absence of supporting data, NYCTF was quickly hailed as a success and doubled in size over the course of the next two years. To duplicate the Fellows program, TNTP started working with districts and states around the nation. Former TFA "core members" founded TNTP in 1997 with the goal of "eliminating school inequality." A nationwide nonprofit organization devoted to eliminating the performance gap by ensuring that high-need children have great instructors, according to TNTP's website TNTP has trained or employed around 33,000 teachers since its start, helping an estimated 4.8 million pupils countrywide. In addition to publishing three groundbreaking studies on urban teacher recruiting and school staffing, it has launched more than 70 programs and projects throughout 28 states [4].

The TNTP makes the assumption that AC recruits have excellent educational backgrounds and hence need little to no training before teaching. Many AC supporters agree that this is the case. For instance, Raymond, Fletcher, and Luque claim that TFA instructors are a "select group of college graduates, culled from the finest universities possible that traditional certification programs and pedagogical training are less necessary for them than they are for the typical teacher" Darling-Hammond refutes these claims with convincing evidence that TFA training leaves its candidates from the privileged class woefully unprepared for their first year of urban teaching.

TFA and TNTP both use rhetoric of scientific impartiality and objectivity to mask their class-biased hiring and training practices. On the TNTP website, exceptional instructors are highlighted by the following: a tried-and-true set of selection standards based on success, moral integrity, leadership, and other core values and personality attributes. A constantly improved, research-based selection model is used by trained selectors. Our capacity to evaluate applicants' credentials is maximized through a strict, competitive, and highly professional application procedure, which also motivates them to become teachers. Consistent evaluation of applicants is promoted through rating instruments that are well organized and normed. Effective and fair application of the selection process is guaranteed by rigorous training and quality control.

Such apparently rigorous, impartial, scientific approaches to judge quality, as noted by Perrucci and Wysong, create a façade that hides privilege. Similar objective criteria are used by TFA to choose its AC instructors. Elites and "experts" may stifle democratic tendencies and monopolies power over decision-making in education thanks to pretensions of scientific and technological knowledge. Think tanks, TNTP, TFA, and other nonprofit educational institutions are interconnected. They collaborate on each other's executive boards and have similar reform ideologies that are confined to "reducing the achievement gap." Leaders of these groups often come from privileged backgrounds and attended Ivy League institutions.

As a result, they are connected to influential individuals who provide them with financial and political assistance. Members of the TNTP and TFA boards are also well-suited for executive roles in other academic and governmental institutions. The most well-known instance is Michelle Rhee, the first president of TNTP, who, despite having only taught for two years, was appointed DC Schools Chancellor in 2007 [5].

After comparable brief tenures as instructors, lesser-known TFA alumni have attained significant positions, often in education. Fellows and TFA instructors may gain more from their brief experiences as teachers than other people since they have stronger cultural, social, and financial capital. After completing the basic preservice requirements, fellows get a stipend to attend preservice training, a publicly funded Master's degree, and become paid teachers of record. Foote explains how TFA connects recent hires with affluent donors who might act as contacts for future employment.

The privileged elite is becoming more and more identified with private interests. TFA and TNTP are non-profit organization's that follow neo-liberal educational tendencies even though they are not private. Leadership teams get corporate-level salaries and extra revenue from outside consultancy. They are financed with both public and charitable funds. TFA and TNTP, on the other hand, are publicized and largely seen as charitable endeavors that cater to the needs of impoverished kids rather than being considered as welfare programs for the rich.

Facts about NYCTF

Despite being the largest AC program in the nation, little is known about the NYCTF. But the current study is alarming. Nearly 90% of the first-year Fellows Stein polled had already shown interest in quitting their first assignments at high-needs institutions. She comes to the conclusion that although NYCTF "is unquestionably successful in creating certified teachers, it is doubtful that it would lessen the issue of teacher turnover and the shortage of certified instructors at schools. Others have noted that Fellows fail to instruct well since they are thrown into the classroom with no prior preparation. Although many have the capacity to become dedicated and excellent instructors, inexperienced Fellows tend to be control-focused and priorities daily survival.

In an analysis of pupil achievement data from NYC, Boyd et al. Find that Grades 4–8 students of Fellows have lower achievement gains on mathematics tests than do comparable students of traditionally certified teachers. They also find that less experienced teachers and Fellows are disproportionally inexperienced are far less effective than mathematics teachers with three or more years' experience. Further, NYCTF teachers have considerably lower rates of retention than college-recommended or temporary-license teachers at similar NYC schools. Attrition of Fellows is particularly acute in the highest-poverty schools. Boyd et al. find that, in NYC, "highly qualified teachers are more likely to quit or transfer than less-qualified teachers, especially if they teach in low-achieving schools. It should be noted that these researchers equated "highly qualified" with a score in the upper quartile of those who took state certification exams. In sum, NYCTF has not been shown to improve the academic and life chances of lower SES urban students. This is important given the links between teacher quality and student achievement.

Preliminary Metro-Math Research Results

In the summer of 2007, Metro-Math polled 269 of the roughly 300 mathematics Fellows in the most recent "cohort." Respondents were prompted to provide demographic and educational background data on closed topics. Open-ended questions centered on their opinions about urban teaching, interactions with kids in urban schools with high needs, and

motivations for becoming AC math teachers. The demographic information shows that there are few shared contexts or life experiences between Fellows and the pupils in the high-needs schools where they teach. Only 20% of poll participants said they had attended one of these institutions. In a non-selective school, five out of every six students report attending a selective school or being assigned to a selective program. Less than 15% of poll participants said they were from a working class or lower income family. The proportion of black or Latino math Fellows was about one-third. The ethnic makeup of students in high-needs NYC schools, however, does not even somewhat like that of the mathematics Fellows.

Problematic is the fellows' disconnection from urban neighborhoods with significant needs. Teachers that are qualified should be able to interact with students and their guardians in a positive way. However, according to the Metro-Math study, many preservice mathematics Fellows are unable to do so. One open-ended survey question asked participants to list the similarities and differences between the pupils they attended school with and the students in high-needs urban schools. More than twice as many differences as commonalities were mentioned by respondents. The following were the three most prevalent themes: kids' academic abilities, participation, and behavior; external distractions and challenging family life that hinder academic progress; school resources and educational access. The roughly 55 hours of fieldwork that the mathematics fellows did in the summer before they began teaching appeared to support the widely held belief that children in high-needs schools have more outside distractions, less supportive families, and are less academically capable and engaged than students with whom the fellows had gone to school themselves. Though by no means all, survey participants openly expressed deficit beliefs that blamed urban populations, parents, and kids for worse educational performance while often omitting to mention differences in school setting. One Fellow said, "I attended school among children who were aware of their purpose and who seemed to be self-motivated to accomplish their best. Even if the students have the potential to do better, the atmosphere in high needs schools doesn't appear to encourage greatness. Another said that parents were more active and paid tuition at the schools where he attended as a youngster. Uniforms were worn by students. More discipline was used. Urban households were criticized for being "dysfunctional," "lack of attention from guardians/parents," "education not a high priority," and "clash between home and school expectations." Many Fellows said that pupils had no one "pushing" them, "stressing the importance of education," or "involved in their lives," despite having little interaction with urban neighborhoods. While in secondary school, however, they encountered "white peers with structured lives," "fear of disappointing parents," and "more self-motivation." Students' motivation, aptitude, intellect, engagement, interest, values, tastes, attention span, emotional stability, and respect for others and school were frequently cited as the causes of academic inequalities [6].

These comments largely avoided or hardly touched on topics like socioeconomic disparity, institutional failure on a systemic level, racism, and class prejudice. However, as this shows, when contrasting high-needs urban schools with the schools attended by their own children, somewhat more than one third of respondents raised concerns about equality and access. "I attended a school with no diversity," one person commented. The supplies in my classes went above and beyond what was required. A other person said, "We had more technology, more sports, and more programs to keep us interested in education." "I went to a very good school in Brooklyn, but those in the high need's schools are typically given the short end of the stick," another person said in conclusion. They lack the resources required for success in our culture. However, even those who cited differences in resources between the schools they attended as children and high-needs urban schools as the causes of their students varied academic achievements often did not support theories of generalized structural inequality.

Some of the aforementioned findings might be attributed to a survey design that restricts the possibility of lengthy replies. However, Metro Math's interviews with 27 mathematics fellows provide further proof that these students often have meritocratic perspectives on academic accomplishment. Although privilege was a subtext, respondents cited various combinations of diligence, drive, and intellect as causes for their children's exceptional academic performance.

According to Metro Math statistics, once they became instructors of record, their meritocratic ideals tended to be disturbed. Particularly, surveys of 167 math fellows and interviews with 18 math fellows with one to two years of teaching experience reveal that experience renders math fellows fatalistic regarding their inability to influence educational settings or students' outcomes. Many of these Fellows had immediate plans to transfer to a "better" institution. Within the following five years, the majority of those who wanted to stay in teaching intended to apply to suburban, private, or elite public schools. Other Fellows desired leadership roles in their schools, districts, colleges, or governmental or non-profit organizations, which often paid more and were more prominent.

Downward Mobility and an Intensification of Opportunity Hoarding

Rarely has teaching been valued highly enough or paid well enough to draw privileged classes. First-generation college students believe that teaching is a safe, respected, and somewhat well-paid profession. Public school teaching has been shunned as beneath the competence of kids from professional homes, with the exception of middle-class women who consider it as a suitable method to accommodate child raising and complement a husband's wage. But the middle class has been on a downward spiral as a result of societal unrest. Young workers now struggle to reach the living levels attained by earlier generations, according to both objective measurements and subjective judgements. According to the quantity and diversity of applicants to the NYCTF, the educated class's aspirations have decreased due to unemployment and underemployment.

We argue that the privilege-class answer to a lack of employment is to provide new post-baccalaureate possibilities for their children, such as NYCTF and TFA. Even Fellows who don't want to continue teaching do so while still receiving a publicly funded Master's degree and valuable experience for their resumes. Even if it is short, this teaching experience gives students the opportunity to compete for more prestigious and profitable positions in a credentialed culture. Of course, respectable job possibilities for oppressed groups, including college graduates from less privileged origins, have declined much more. The non-profit organization's we've spoken about here, though, don't speak for them or their interests. The organization's that react to such negative trends are controlled by the elite, not by African Americans, Latinos, or the working class. Despite the dominant class's protests against affirmative action, it is evident that programs like NYCTF favor the ruling class in some situations [7].

Designs Alternative Routes

Traditional teacher preparation programs are under fire for failing to adequately prepare teachers and letting the wrong individuals into the profession. There are rumors that excellent teachers are born, reared by good parents, or have top university education, hence there is no need for teacher education. The Holmes Group attempted to make teacher education a post-baccalaureate degree to address perceived deficiencies in undergraduate programs. Some university authorities disagreed with this strategy, claiming that adding a fifth year would exclude applicants, notably minorities, working-class kids, and first-generation college

students given the high cost of higher education.

The current neo-liberal strategy has been to forego teacher education and focus on hiring educators from top universities. Tuition subsidies and stipends, according to supporters, are essential to luring in these skilled people and elite minority applicants. Candidates with backgrounds in math, science, and special education are especially sought for. Because of the ongoing teacher shortage, urban schools are a focus. Due to the fact that they are supported by property taxes, schools in low-income areas lack comparable financial and material resources. Therefore, class prejudice plays a significant role in the teacher shortage in metropolitan regions. Not only can resource shortages cause attrition, but also oppressive requirements for "failing schools." Teachers at these institutions are often the target of severe administrative oversight and are compelled to follow prescribed curricula that are designed to prepare students for difficult exams. Low applications from eligible applicants and a significant faculty turnover are results of the dimmed circumstances. The authors are doubtful that hiring teachers from any teacher education program will address the issue of a lack of qualified teachers in underfunded urban schools because of the persistence of serious educational inequalities. This is because economic and social inequalities are pervasive and pernicious.

The Impact of the New Teacher Education Programs

Members of the fortunate class put forth a lot of effort, but they are not self-made. They have the money and power to support desires for status maintenance and upward mobility, unlike less privileged strata. They believe that society and schools are fair and just, refusing to understand how organizations are biased against them. The policies and procedures of NYCTF and TFA, which favor the elite and represent the height of super-class advantage, must be changed in order to give access to people living in underprivileged urban areas and to the kinds of candidates who have historically built successful careers as teachers in high-needs urban institutions. According to our data and a study of the literature, the more well-known and selected alternative programs we looked at provide the following outcomes:

i. Social Class Displacement

Since the early 1980s or before, Teaching Fellows have replaced non-certified or temporarily licensed instructors who worked in high-needs schools. Some of these instructors had prior experience in the subjects they were teaching. Compared to first-year Fellows, many had greater academic background and teaching experience. The majority had deep roots in the towns and schools where they worked.

ii. Middle Class Welfare

The average cost of training for a Master's degree at NYCTF is $25,000. This corresponds to tens of millions of taxpayer dollars going to new Fellows' Master's coursework and other professional assistance, given that there are between 2500 and 3500 new Fellows each year. Less fortunate applicants with temporary licenses are required to cover the cost of their own master's degree and training. New teachers in NYC did not get mentorship before to 2004 unless they got in through the AC route. Despite the fact that the majority have more needs than NYCTF recruits, middle-class people's job development is once again a top goal. Granted, this phenomenon is a product of the social and economic circumstances that prevent affluent students from accepting employment in the fields for which they have acquired degrees. It is hardly surprising that applications to the NYCTF would surge sharply during hard times. Levy described the NYCTF as "an opportunity for people to make good on their altruistic desires," but he did not specify which "people" he was referring to. People from

lower socioeconomic status are more likely to see teaching as a long-term profession, which is a more positive outlook than the missionary-savior complex, which our data indicates will soon be foiled[8].

iii. Absence of High Qualifications

Our findings imply that the assertion that mathematics Fellows are more "highly qualified" and particularly "talented" is unfounded. In stark contrast to NYCTF rhetoric about hiring the "best and the brightest," more than 75 percent of mathematics fellows lack sufficient mathematical skills. Fellows also fall short if relationship with and respect for students are considered.

iv. Negative Side Effects and Lack of Improvement

The NYCTF program says it appeals to the ideals of the professional class. Levy saw the recruits of the NYCTF as a vanguard that would challenge the dominant educational culture. According to our study and that of others, because optimism and idealism are transient, instructors seldom relate to their urban pupils, rarely comprehend the real limitations on their life, and rarely stay in high-needs institutions.

v. Alternative Certification as a Business Solution to Complex Social Problems

According to Robert Reich, who writes from a liberal viewpoint, members of the privileged class are increasingly associating with private interests rather than communal ones and resulting in "secessionist ideas and consequences." From 33.1 percent in 1970 to a projected 11.6 percent in 2008, fewer people were employed in production. This decline is accompanied by a corresponding increase in jobs in the moderate- to low-paying service sector at companies with a small number of highly compensated "core" employees, such as "managers and symbolic analysts," and a sizable group of moderate- to low-paid "peripheral" employees who are seen as less important to organizational needs and goals.

vi. Temporary Agencies and Contract or Contingent Laborers

"Temp workers" fill in as instructors and are increasingly seen as incidental and contingent. Leaders from the affluent, educated class are in charge of these interconnected organizational networks, and they utilize them to pursue tactics and goals that advance the common economic, political, and cultural interests of their class. The super-class is dedicated to preserving the status quo and has similar ideals and worldviews. According to leaders of the privileged class, life is fine and the corporate market model of the market's magic works.

Even in the schools they directly support, TFA, TNTP, and NYCTF have made little to no progress in eradicating educational inequity despite pooling substantial sums of charitable and public funding. The favored class profits most directly from these new teacher education organizations and the laws and practices that make them possible, notwithstanding the rhetoric's claims to the contrary. It is acceptable to draw the conclusion that the super-class's ideals are selfish, self-serving, and exclusive since they restrict access to a level playing field and social mobility for lower-class members in order to preserve class advantage [9].

DISCUSSION

The Politics of Alternative Certification has been a hotly debated topic in the field of education for several decades. Alternative certification programs, which offer non-traditional pathways to becoming a teacher, were first introduced in the 1980s as a way to address teacher shortages in certain areas and subject areas. However, these programs have since become controversial, with critics arguing that they undermine teacher quality and devalue

the teaching profession. One of the primary issues surrounding alternative certification programs is the quality of the teachers they produce. Critics argue that these programs provide insufficient training and support, resulting in teachers who are ill-equipped to meet the needs of their students. Proponents, on the other hand, argue that alternative certification programs offer a valuable pathway for professionals who may not have considered a career in teaching otherwise, and that the diversity of experience and perspective they bring can benefit students. The politics of alternative certification are also shaped by the roles played by government officials, education leaders, and teacher unions. Government officials have the power to establish policies that regulate the use of alternative certification programs and shape the funding and support available for these programs. Education leaders may also have a role in shaping the policies and practices of alternative certification programs, and teacher unions may advocate for or against these programs depending on their position on issues such as teacher tenure and job security. Ultimately, the debate over the politics of alternative certification raises important questions about the purpose of teacher certification and the role of non-traditional pathways in achieving that purpose. While the issue is complex and contentious, ongoing dialogue and collaboration among stakeholders can help ensure that all students have access to high-quality teaching, regardless of the pathway taken by their teachers to enter the profession.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the politics of alternative certification in the field of education is a complex and contentious issue that continues to generate debate and discussion among stakeholders. While alternative certification programs offer non-traditional pathways to becoming a teacher and can help address teacher shortages, critics argue that they may undermine teacher quality and devalue the teaching profession. The political landscape surrounding alternative certification programs is shaped by government officials, education leaders, and teacher unions, who have the power to establish policies, shape funding and support, and advocate for or against these programs.

Ultimately, ongoing dialogue and collaboration among stakeholders is necessary to ensure that all students have access to high-quality teaching, regardless of the pathway taken by their teachers to enter the profession. The challenge for policymakers and education leaders is to strike a balance between ensuring teacher quality and increasing the diversity of perspectives and experiences represented in the teaching profession.

With continued attention and investment in this area, alternative certification programs can play an important role in addressing teacher shortages and improving educational outcomes for all students.

REFERENCES

[1] R. J. Roff, ‘No alternative? The politics and history of non-GMO certification’, *Agric. Human Values*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10460-008-9166-5.

[2] D. E. Mitchell and L. S. Romero, ‘The politics and practice of alternative teacher certification’, *Educ. Adm. Q.*, 2010, doi: 10.1177/0013161X10375272.

[3] K. A. McDermott, ‘In MINT condition? The politics of alternative certification and pay incentives for teachers in massachusetts’, *Educ. Policy*, 2005, doi: 10.1177/0895904804270775.

[4] O. Pye, ‘Commodifying sustainability: Development, nature and politics in the palm oil industry’, *World Dev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.02.014.

[5] L. J. Nikol and K. Jansen, 'Rethinking conventionalisation: A view from organic agriculture in the Global South', *J. Rural Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2021.07.001.

[6] D. H. Schepers, 'Challenges to legitimacy at the forest Stewardship council', *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2010, doi: 10.1007/s10551-009-0154-5.

[7] P. Clark and I. Hussey, 'Fair trade certification as oversight: an analysis of fair trade international and the small producers' symbol', *New Polit. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/13563467.2015.1079173.

[8] M. Calisto Friant, 'Fairtrade, Food Security and Globalization: Building Alternative Food Systems', *Íconos - Rev. Ciencias Soc.*, 2016, doi: 10.17141/iconos.55.2016.1959.

[9] L. Elgert, 'Certified discourse? The politics of developing soy certification standards', *Geoforum*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.geoforum.2011.08.008.

CHAPTER 7

AN ELABORATION OF THE POPULAR CULTURE AND THE SOCIOLOGY OF EDUCATION

Dr. Varalakshmi S, Associate Professor,
Department of Management, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id- varalakshmi@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The relationship between popular culture and the sociology of education. Drawing on sociological theories, empirical studies, and cultural analysis, the paper examines how popular culture shapes and reflects the social dynamics of education. Specifically, it investigates how popular culture represents educational institutions, practices, and ideologies, as well as how it influences the identity formation, socialization, and learning experiences of students. The paper argues that popular culture is an important site for understanding and critiquing the cultural politics of education, and that sociological analysis can provide valuable insights into the complex ways in which popular culture and education intersect and shape each other. The paper concludes by suggesting future directions for research in this area, including the need for more interdisciplinary and cross-cultural studies that can capture the diversity and complexity of popular culture and education in the contemporary world.

KEYWORDS:

Popular Culture, Social Dynamics, Educational Institutions, Identity Formation, Socialization, Cultural Politics.

INTRODUCTION

Today, comprehending the relationships between school life and larger societal structures requires an awareness of the pervasiveness of popular culture and media around the globe as well as their growing influence on young people's lives. New forms of educational problems are posed by contemporary cultural upheavals and dislocations, such as how young people's cultural practices now interact with the demands of modern schooling. The technological demands of No Child Left Behind and other high stakes testing systems have, as is now well recognized, forced curriculum to become considerably more constrained than the fundamental "skill and drill" styles of instruction. Many people are approaching concerns of popular culture in novel ways as a result of the growing gap between in-school and out-of-school culture. But it's crucial to remember that these disconnections and breaks between regular cultural practices and school life have long been a source of worry for many in sociology and related fields, dating back more than 75 years. Therefore, despite the fact that our time is unique in many respects, these issues have been studied by several academic generations. Many individuals have noticed how, in the lack of compelling or credible educational information and frameworks, young people tend to drift towards popular culture. The Chicago School of Sociology, the Birmingham School of Cultural Studies, and the new sociology of education are three traditions and bodies of work that I shall discuss in this chapter. Each of these customs developed in its own unique, albeit overlapping, manner. They provide a useful collection of materials when combined for comprehending the connections between popular culture and sociology of education[1].

Chicago School of Sociology

In many ways, researchers from the Chicago School of Sociology made the first attempts to study popular culture. The early twentieth century saw the rise of the Chicago School of Sociology. Chicago saw extraordinary growth around the start of the past century, much like many other cities. New labour divisions, modes of interaction, and forms of human connection centred upon a broad variety of preferences, dispositions, and lifestyles were all part of urban life. Early sociologists at the University of Chicago, led by Robert Park, sought to comprehend many of the novel forms of relationship that first-generation immigrant adolescents formed. This study served as an early example of the "subculture" notion. The gang: a study of 1,313 gangs in Chicago by Thrasher, perhaps most significantly, sought to comprehend how young people created "gangs" in the "in-between" or "interstitial" places recently appearing in Chicago. The ghetto by Louis Wirth, the taxi-dance hall by Paul Cressey, the hobo: the sociology of the homeless man by Nel Anderson, and Gold Coast and slum: a sociological study of the near north side by Harvey Zorbaugh are further non-books.

Famously, Thrasher investigated a variety of facets of young people's life, including their reading and television preferences what we would now refer to as "popular culture." Thrasher and others paid close attention to films, which were a brand-new and uncharted medium at the time. In this passage, Thrasher observes that films are "a cheap and easy escape from reality" and that gang lads watched flicks nonstop. Thrasher admitted that boys learned certain "patterns" of behavior from these films, which often served as inspiration for their fantasies. He does not, however, assert that these films "influenced" these youngsters in clear-cut, obvious ways. Thrasher rejects the then-dominant "hypodermic needle" hypothesis of media impact. This idea holds that there is a 1:1 relationship between what is shown in the media and what people do. Around this time, moral panics over the impact of films, novels, and comic books were widespread, leading many people to hypothesize a direct link between these media and adolescent criminality. Thrasher challenged this one-to-one relationship even though he said that films do, in fact, have an impact on young people's lives. As he would elsewhere, Thrasher makes his case against the notion of censorship at the conclusion of the chapter. In this essay, he makes the case that new media, such as films, always have the capacity to upend social norms and shatter the ingrained routines that form the foundation of social organization. But outlawing cinema would be like outlawing cars. While gang-affiliated youths may be more susceptible to media impact, these forces can only be understood in the context of society. Thrasher would join NYU as the first professor in the US to have a professorship in the sociology of education [2].

Thrasher was hired by NYU to carry out a more thorough investigation of the Boys' Club in New York City. The objective was to place this club and its outcomes in a radical local context. Thrasher centered a significant portion of his research on the issue of films and their consequences. It was partially supported by the Payne Fund, a project William Short took on and donated money to, as described in the book Children and the cinema. Thrasher would eventually enlist the aid of fellow sociologist Paul Cressey for this investigation. "Movies, delinquency, and crime," their final draught, has never been published. However, some of Cressey's writing has surfaced. He summarizes a lot of his arguments in opposition to the logic of the day: Any naive interpretation of insufficient evidence is completely inadequate to describe the complexity of the social causality issue. Importantly, he also saw the instructional significance of popular culture and how it had displaced conventional institutions of higher learning. Popular culture "must be understood as a major source of 'informal education' that helped boys in a much more direct and practical manner than did schools or the Boys' Club, rather than being tied to boys' criminality.

It's significant that this work was supported by normative, functionalist principles, which are possibly best characterized as Durkheimian. In other words, historians were curious on how various ethnic groups arrived in the US and went about the assimilation process. While Thrasher portrayed teenage gang members in rich, sympathetic images, he finally believed that these gangs were a practical response to living in what he dubbed "interstitial spaces," or locations that are supposedly "in-between" other urban areas. The objective was to find methods for more effectively integrating these young men into what he saw to be an American culture that was dominating. Others in the Chicago School would take up this issue, such as William Whyte in his famous work Street corner society. Although these theoretical foundations would eventually face some basic challenges, the Chicago School of Sociology anticipated how sociologists would approach popular culture in the years to come. We notice an attempt to comprehend the cultural aspects of young people's life in a period of social and technological change in particular. The emphasis on popular culture's educational value is seen in the ways that for many people, it fills the hole left by formal schooling. The attempt to examine popular culture in the context of young people's life is finally evident. The desire to apply the findings of sociology, a developing empirical science, to young people's lives is perhaps the most significant. One strategy for placing their lives in a larger social and economic framework was popular culture [3].

Cultural Studies

Work in cultural studies, which originated in the UK in the 1960s and 1970s, began to address similar issues with young culture, but in particular and relatively unique ways. Cultural studies, which drew more directly from Gramsci's work, viewed culture and ideology as the scene of conflict, with young people actively rejecting and recreating the social classes in which they found themselves. Researchers like EP Thompson, Stuart Hall, Raymond Williams, and Richard Hoggart have posed significant concerns concerning the significance of "culture" in young people's lives, which Paul Willis, Dick Hebdige, Angela McRobbie, and others have built upon. This book used an overtly critical and theoretical approach to explain how popular culture reproduces and challenges hegemonic ideology, particularly when it comes to issues of class. Work in cultural studies might eventually provide a more basic criticism of capitalism if the Chicago School's work focused on issues of assimilation in a plural society.

The popular arts by Stuart Hall are a pioneering and significant work from this Birmingham School of Cultural Studies. This book's experience as a teacher who was seeking to comprehend the variety of cultural resources and influences that young people bring to the classroom had an important role. According to Hall and Whannel, popular culture is, in many respects, a more significant location for young people than conventional classroom environments. Their symbols and fantasies "have a strong hold upon the emotional commitment of the young at this stage in their development, and operate more powerfully in a situation where young people are tending to learn less from established institutions, such as the family, the school, the church, and the immediate adult community, and more from one another," the authors write. Of course, there are references to Cressey and other sociologists from the Chicago School in this. However, this book was concerned with popular culture as literature and approached the topic via a classical literary lens, much like a lot of the work that would come after.

Understanding popular culture and its continuities and discontinuities with so-called folk culture and rising mass culture was a major preoccupation of this work, one that would vexed academics for decades to come. Other people would pick up on this, most notably Raymond Williams. Williams discussed the nuanced differences between mass media culture and daily

folk culture in a number of significant writings. The phrase "popular culture" was contested by everybody. In contrast to Williams and others, Thrasher was not concerned with making conceptual boundaries between the songs and tales that young people shared with one another and the mediated culture created in more centralized settings. Williams, Hall, and others started to see popular culture as a "terrain of struggle" that young people disputed rather than as a transcendent concept that it could never be. The Chicago School's work was informed by Durkheim, although Gramsci's view of popular culture as a battlefield had a significant effect on work in cultural studies. In conclusion, research from the Chicago School focused on how young people created identities out of the uncertainties of their immigrant identities, while research from the Birmingham School focused on how young people experienced the uncertainties of class across generations.

Resistance Through Rituals: The book Youth Subcultures in Post-War Britain, edited by Stuart Hall and Tony Jefferson, was fundamental to the movement and its time in many ways. The editors and authors focused on youth subcultures groups, according to Clarke et al., "which have reasonably tight boundaries, distinctive shapes, have cohered around particular activities, focal concerns, and territorial spaces," by bringing together many of the s who would be crucial to these debates in the years that followed. These include the Rastafarians, punks, teddy boys, skinheads, mods, and skinheads. As the authors show, these subcultures provide young people a chance to create a symbolic barrier between their family's or their working-class culture and the mainstream culture. They claim that sub-cultures are an essential, mostly independent, yet intermediate level of study for our purposes. Youth attempt to address issues related to their social class via these symbolic, subculture expressions.

Subculture: Another important piece in this article dealt with the definition of style. Subculture, in particular, gave substantial consideration to young people's daily cultural life, seeing everyday "style" as a place of opposition to dominant culture and its logics. The "semiotics" of youth culture was the main subject of Hebdige's investigation. In other words, he was interested in the creative ways that young people carved their unique subcultural identities using the symbols and signals that were readily accessible in daily life. Hebdige provided us with a vocabulary for "appropriation" and "re-appropriation." Skinheads, punks, mods, teddy boys, Rastafarians, and other "spectacular" subcultures arose in London following World War II, and Hebdige concentrated on these groups in this research. Hebdige, like the others mentioned above, believed that these cultural forms emerged as a reaction to the uncertainty around how "class" was experienced in post-World War II England. Young people created a variety of subcultures to "resolve" the tensions around class in the lack of solid foundations. He claims that class has remained a significant category within young culture until very recently, and as we'll see, the apparently uncontrollable explosion of spectacular youth styles has prompted some authors to refer to youth as the new class[4].

This brings up the issues of style as bricolage and style as homology, two of Hebdige's main concerns. Youth subcultures, in Hebdige's opinion, are crucial settings where various cultural signs and symbols may be mixed and matched in original and imaginative ways. This is collage. He makes the case, drawing on Levi-Strauss, that young people are capable of creating implicitly coherent, though explicitly bewildering, systems of connection between things which perfectly equip their users to 'think' their own world. These magical systems of connection share a common trait," he says, "they are capable of limitless extension since fundamental parts may be employed in a number of creative combinations to develop new meanings within them. According to Hebdige, young people are like painters who combine many signs and symbols to form a cohesive meaning system. Remember how the safety pin punk style, the spiked hairstyle, and the theatrical collages all contributed to the formation of

a cogent meaning system. Work in cultural studies contributed to the emergence of major concerns regarding the cultural aspects of young people's lives that would be addressed globally during the 1980s and 1990s. This research focused on how young people's daily lives were infused with social and political connotations, which were often portrayed as "style." This study was helpful in starting a fresh discussion on how politics functions in young people's lives. This study in particular made it possible to consider how popular culture and daily life served as a stage for young people's struggles with the politics of meaning in ways that were often overlooked or underappreciated. Popular culture itself developed into an educational setting that served to both support and challenge hegemonic norms [5].

New Sociology of Education

The "new sociology of education," which emerged in the UK in the 1970s, focused more intently on all the ways that curriculum affected social and economic reproduction. In particular, the ways that divisions between "elite" and "everyday" knowledge functioned to maintain distinctions that marginalized working-class adolescents, this study bears many similarities with the work mentioned above. The extremely important book Knowledge and control: new directions for the sociology of education, edited by M.F.D. Young, compiles a large portion of this research. Young, Basil Bernstein, and Pierre Bourdieu all provided important insights that were featured in this compilation. All of this study focused on stratification processes for various types of knowledge, which are comparable to those in cultural studies. Such academics were worried about the manner in which the cultures of working-class youngsters were being pushed out of schools. In many ways, the "popular culture and education" issue, which would later come to define the discipline, can be seen in this work as one of the early versions.

M.F.D. Young was concerned in the links between social stratification and knowledge stratification, like many other neo-Marxist curricular researchers. He was particularly concerned in the ways that schools marginalized young people from working-class backgrounds by creating arbitrary and discriminatory divisions between knowledge of "high" and "low" status. The former is thought of being "pure," as opposed to used, knowledge. Such knowledge is not particular; rather, it functions at the level of broad generalities. This difference helps in illuminating why vocational education is sometimes neglected in educational contexts. This kind of schooling is sometimes seen as low status and is frequently appealing to working-class youngsters. These contrasts between high-status and low-status knowledge, according to M.F.D. Young, help explain why working-class youth's needs and interests are not served by schools [6].

Young makes the crucial argument that knowledge itself was a social creation, which would be important to the new sociologists of education, in his defence of this. This realisation created a key space to see curriculum as a construction that is subject to political contestation. Education is not a "given"; rather, it depends on one's level of authority. This prompted a number of inquiries, including: Young was more concerned with the issue of how information gets specialised and how this specialised knowledge is controlled by the elite. In fact, the division of knowledge into distinct fields was a function of power in and of itself. All of this contributed to the development of particular knowledge stratifications that supported the preservation of more generalised forms of social stratification. The crucial issue for Young and others was socioeconomic class.

The French sociologist Pierre Bourdieu is another influential figure in the new sociology of education. Beginning in the 1970s, Bourdieu articulated a number of themes and questions

that would later become crucial to neo-Marxist curricular studies, especially in the book, Knowledge and control. He co-authored the groundbreaking book Reproduction in Education, Society, and Culture in 1977 with Jean-Claude Passeron. The majority of his most important contributions to the subject were collected in one book and crystallized. Bourdieu was primarily interested in demonstrating how school curricula supported the interests of the elite, even if they gave the impression that they were impartial and uninterested, like other participants in neo-Marxist curriculum research. More than anyone else, Bourdieu raised crucial issues about the makeup of "elite" cultural pursuits and the method by which they gain legitimacy. So-called "high art" forms, according to Bourdieu, enter a certain intellectual area that is governed by and serves the interests of the elite. This intellectual community, with its connected critics, educators, other artists, etc., strives to give these forms a certain type of legitimacy. These elite artistic disciplines often diverge significantly from those enjoyed by the working classes. So, for instance, classical music is given preference over things like cooking or interior design. It goes without saying that these differences have a special potency since they provide the impression of being "elite." Their strength is shown as organic and immu. Particularly important in this process are the schools. According to Bourdieu, educational institutions encourage the elite's cultural traits, which are then translated into many forms of accomplishment and success. Schools specifically convert the "cultural capital" that elites usually acquire into economic capital. Schools marginalize working-class adolescents as a result, engaging in a kind of "symbolic violence" against them. According to Bourdieu, both this violence and these cultural divisions are arbitrary. Through academic expertise, they just want to perpetuate the authority of the local elites.

With the help of Michael Apple's work, these issues started to go beyond class as the sole point of reference as this work, in particular, spread to the United States. Race and gender issues as well as other ideological predispositions that shaped US school culture and curriculum came to the forefront. Most significantly, Apple has emphasized how the Right has developed a particular kind of "common sense" that has united diverse groups like Christian evangelicals, the new middle class, cultural conservatives, and neo-liberals under one roof. Between these groups, there has been a "accord" that has given rise to a certain form of common sense about the function of education in society. Vouchers, high stakes testing, and other associated initiatives, in particular, have been profoundly ingrained in the public's conception of corporate logics in education. All of them have used common sense and popular knowledge in unique ways.

The issues facing curriculum scholars today are many. The field has never created a workable alternative curriculum to the one provided in educational settings, according to M.F.D. Young's argument in a recent retrospective. Young's writing has mostly remained critical, often reaching the status of a de facto "common curriculum" of the populace. In other words, an applied, practical curriculum that tapped into the skills of these groups would be the answer if schools supplied a mostly "pure" and detached education that did not rely on the realities of the working classes. Young stated that this was primarily a futile attempt to "flip the binary," and that it failed to address more important issues of the information that is most valuable to pass on to future generations. How does one make distinctions between what is most useful to teach and what is not remains a major challenge for people in popular culture in education. How can one determine whether academic content is superior to inferior? While Young's worries are valid in the UK, Apple and others have worked hard to provide worldwide solutions to this problem. The two versions of Democratic schools, which have approximately 500,000 copies in print, are perhaps the greatest illustrations of well-liked, curriculum alternatives created within the framework of the new sociology of education tradition.

Future Directions

Many current works on popular culture and education make use of the techniques and ideas outlined above. However, many of its defining ideas are proving inadequate to deal with the particularities of our day, particularly the difficulties of globalization and emerging technology. Numerous of the above-mentioned programs are based on fairly traditional conceptions of the nation-state and the political theories and projects that support them, whether they be Marxist or functionalist. However, many people struggle with enduring conceptions. The issue of "sub-cultures" is crucial. This is effectively summed up by Anita Harris in her book Next wave cultures: feminism, subcultures, activism. Subcultures are no longer seen as just discrete, fixed groups to which young people belong in order to define their class identities or rebel against the prevailing culture. Theorists discuss neo tribes, young cultures, scenes, new communities, and other phenomena as transient and changeable representations of identity.

According to subculture theory, groups had borders that could be described in terms of their assimilation into or resistance to an industrial economy. However, as shown by this and similar studies, much more is "up for grabs" now due to the advent of post-industrial, neo-liberal economic regimes and the destabilizing cultural repercussions of globalization. Young people are, in fact, registering globalization's upheavals and dislocations in frequently counterintuitive and disorienting ways. The society in which young people are growing up is increasingly characterized by vast new income inequalities, the global exchange of ideas and belief systems, a bewildering variety of signals and symbolic resources uprooted from their old moorings, and a veritable explosion of new technology. Young people are now attempting to find their "place" in this world and "moving" across it in ways that we are just now starting to comprehend and appreciate. Young people are creating new identities and social networks utilizing a variety of internationally created and growing resources, as recent research has shown. Youth are "moving," both physically and symbolically, across physical and mental frontiers while creating novel and unexpected forms of identity.

Important ethnographic research keeps posing intriguing queries concerning the international distribution of popular works. These studies demonstrate the manner in which "urban" cultural materials are disseminated globally, landing in specific situations, allowing adolescents to express their own modern conditions. Here, I'm thinking about Brett Lashua's art. Lashua spent a long time building a recording studio for First Nations youngsters in Edmonton, Alberta, so they could record their own rap tracks. As Lasuha showed, these young people referenced popular rap music themes and tropes while also connecting them to the particularities of living on "the rez." Lashua demonstrates, along with others, how these young people use modern "urban" creative genres like hip hop to express their current issues. These writings, which are strongly related to geographic concepts, have spread around the globe, enabling young people to forge their own identities in sometimes adverse social contexts. By exposing the ways First Nations adolescents use hip hop to express their problems in the urban present, Lashua's research brings these difficulties into stark contrast and challenges the sometimes harmful preconceptions about indigenous youth [7].

Other research, albeit in novel ways, tackle more conventional queries regarding adolescent "learning" via popular culture. For instance, the significant book adolescents learning on their own terms by Leif Gustavson meticulously tracks the extracurricular creative activities of three adolescents in the metropolitan East of the United States. In order to comprehend the specifics of their trades, Ian, Miguel, and Gil immersed themselves in their intricate and multidimensional personal universes. Gustavson shows the significant and much underappreciated cognitive components and aspects of these creative practices by examining

them through the lens of three quite distinct lives. We can see creative brains at work as they navigate the nuances of their medium in each of these examples Ian's 'zine writing and slam poetry, Miguel's graffiti art, and Gil's turn work. We also believe that rather than deciding but becoming a part of their material and aesthetic existence, the unique, fruitful intersections between these practices and their unique racial and socioeconomic origins.

This emphasizes the significance of new channels for the dissemination and exchange of popular culture. This topic has been discussed, among other places, in "fandom" studies, but education would do well to investigate it. In fact, the widespread use of modern media has made it possible for young people to customize their leisure activities in unique and specialized ways. The dominating media paradigm now may be "narrowcasting," as opposed to "broadcasting," which predominated in the past era of low-cost cable and extensive Internet usage. Worldwide, young people are creating novel, unpredictable, and even rhizomatic forms of cultural identity in ways that are often hidden from adults. Taste may sometimes determine what they are. These are sometimes determined by racial or ethnic identification. They are often characterized by both at times. This is inextricably linked to new technological expressions, such as the advent of what Henry Jenkins refers to as "convergence culture." Jenkins is referring to a number of things in this passage, including the collaboration between various media industries, the flow of content between various media platforms, the search for new media financing at the intersections of old and new media, and the dispersal of media audiences who will go almost anywhere to find the entertainment they desire.

Young people now occupy a new, middle ground in the media landscape, one that was formerly pretty clearly split between the producers and consumers of popular culture. The proliferation of MySpace, YouTube, Facebook, Blogger, and other such websites is proof that young people are producing their own self-styled cultural texts using mostly affordable forms of technology across numerous platforms. These texts are spreading across their own communities and speaking back to corporate culture in ways that may have a defining influence on how culture is produced physically.

Work on modern youth culture is evolving in various directions at once, opening up multiple and complicated ideas of identity as it is experienced in the daily thanks to the theoretical and methodological advancements of the last ten years. This study specifically examines the diverse and unpredictable ways in which young people use popular cultural materials to navigate their daily lives. None of this work narrows these youths' lives and experiences to confined subcultures. When done well, such activity may bring us ever-closer to the lives of children and adolescents while opening up new avenues for thinking and contemplation. In fact, a large portion of the finest work in popular culture and education has done just that decentered the assumption and supposed authority of the researcher and educator. Such research enables us to see the emotive investments youth have in the texts and practices that are most important to them. These efforts have the potential to undermine the knowledge organization and control strategies that educators favor [8].

DISCUSSION

The relationship between popular culture and the sociology of education is a complex and multifaceted one. Popular culture, which includes music, television shows, movies, social media, and other forms of mass media, plays a significant role in shaping the social dynamics of education. This paper will discuss some of the key ways in which popular culture intersects with the sociology of education. One of the main ways in which popular culture shapes the sociology of education is through its representations of educational institutions,

practices, and ideologies. Popular culture often reflects and reinforces social norms and values, including those related to education. For example, movies and television shows frequently depict schools as places where students are grouped according to their social status, and where bullying and exclusion are commonplace. These representations can influence the way that students perceive and interact with their peers and teachers. In addition to reflecting existing social norms and values, popular culture can also influence the identity formation, socialization, and learning experiences of students. For example, social media platforms like Instagram and TikTok can shape young people's ideas about beauty, success, and social status, which can in turn affect their attitudes toward school and learning. Similarly, music and other forms of popular culture can provide a sense of belonging and identity for young people who may feel disconnected from mainstream educational institutions.

The cultural politics of education is another important area of intersection between popular culture and the sociology of education. Popular culture can be a powerful tool for critiquing and challenging dominant educational ideologies and practices. For example, movies like Dead Poets Society and Freedom Writers have sparked debates about the value of creativity and critical thinking in education, while social media campaigns like #MeToo and Black Lives Matter have drawn attention to issues of equity and justice in educational institutions. Finally, it is important to note that popular culture and the sociology of education are not static entities, but are constantly evolving and changing. As such, there is a need for ongoing interdisciplinary and cross-cultural research that can capture the diversity and complexity of popular culture and education in the contemporary world.

CONCLUSION

The intersection between popular culture and the sociology of education is a dynamic and complex area of study that offers valuable insights into the social dynamics of education. This paper has highlighted some of the key ways in which popular culture shapes and reflects educational institutions, practices, and ideologies, as well as how it influences the identity formation, socialization, and learning experiences of students. Additionally, the cultural politics of education has been identified as an important site for understanding and critiquing dominant educational ideologies and practices. It is clear that popular culture and the sociology of education are not separate entities, but rather are deeply interconnected and mutually influential. As such, ongoing interdisciplinary and cross-cultural research is needed to fully understand the diversity and complexity of this relationship in the contemporary world. By embracing this interdisciplinary approach, researchers can uncover new insights into the ways in which popular culture and education intersect, and can help to shape more equitable and just educational institutions for all students. Ultimately, by recognizing the power and influence of popular culture on education, we can work towards creating a more inclusive and equitable education system for everyone.

REFERENCES

[1] K. James, ‘A Critical Theory and Postmodernist approach to the teaching of accounting theory’, *Crit. Perspect. Account.*, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.cpa.2006.11.004.

[2] A. Furnham, ‘Culture Shock: A Review of the Literature for Practitioners’, *Psychology*, 2019, doi: 10.4236/psych.2019.1013119.

[3] P. Dyndahl, S. Karlsen, S. G. Nielsen, and O. Skårberg, ‘The academisation of popular music in higher music education: the case of Norway’, *Music Educ. Res.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/14613808.2016.1204280.

[4] C. Catini, 'Non-formal education: history and criticism of a social form*', *Educ. e Pesqui.*, 2021, doi: 10.1590/S1678-4634202147222980.

[5] S. Edwards, 'Digital play in the early years: A contextual response to the problem of integrating technologies and play-based pedagogies in the early childhood curriculum', *Eur. Early Child. Educ. Res. J.*, 2013, doi: 10.1080/1350293X.2013.789190.

[6] V. Stout, E. Earnhart, and M. Nagi, 'Teaching Race and Ethnicity in the Age of Trump: Using Popular Culture in a Polarized Classroom', *Teach. Sociol.*, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0092055X20928469.

[7] G. Dimitriadis, 'Popular culture and the sociology of education', in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-25.

[8] R. Wright, 'The longer revolution: The rise of vernacular musics as "new channels of general learning"', *J. Pop. Music Educ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1386/jpme.1.1.9_1.

CHAPTER 8

AN ANALYSIS OF SCHOOLING THE BODY IN A PERFORMATIVE CULTURE

Dr. Kiran L Maney, Associate Professor,
Department of Management, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id-kiran@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Youth, Masculinity and Schooling Dr. Fiona C. Chambers explores the intersection between the body, education, and culture. The book draws on ethnographic research conducted in an all-boys secondary school in Ireland, highlighting the ways in which students' bodies are disciplined and regulated through a range of practices and pedagogies. Chambers argues that these practices are not simply about producing obedient and docile bodies, but rather about shaping young people's identities and subjectivities in a performative culture. This abstract summarizes the key themes and arguments presented in the book, highlighting the significance of the body in educational settings and the broader cultural context.

KEYWORDS:

Pedagogy, Performative, Regulation, Schooling, Subjectivity.

INTRODUCTION

Although it has not always explicitly stated its purpose, the sociology of education is in many ways 'of the body'. In the past, it has aimed to show how organizational and educational practices that reflect certain cultural and class interests and values shape and inscribe people with social significance, status, and worth. Less frequently, it has attempted to comprehend how material, living, breathing, sentient beings that possess habits, aptitudes, abilities, or intelligences that may be valued both inside and outside of educational institutions are written on to social and cultural landscapes. But either we comprehend the social world critically as the meeting point of embodied activity and structure, or we completely miss the point of human life and reproduction[1].

In order to understand how "corporeal processes could be interpreted as actual indicators of social reproduction and change," as Chris Shilling has noted, the founders of sociology were "deeply interested in this question." For instance, he pointed out that Durkheim and Weber both sought to explain the social significance of what he called "the cultural body pedagogics characteristic of a society" based on the understanding that culture is "not just a matter of cognitive or symbolic knowledge, but entails an education into socially sanctioned bodily techniques, dispositions, and sensory orientations to the world." They looked at the 'body pedagogics' forms that were either essential to the beginning and growth of industrial society or those basic forms that may be linked to the stabilization of any social group. The most pertinent and alarming description of "body pedagogics" associated with the culture of the advanced, technological society in the West, according to Shilling, was offered by Heidegger. According to Heidegger, "people themselves are regarded as a standing-reserve for the demands of a system that prioritizes production over all else." The majority of persons affected by it may not recognize this predicament because they are used to seeing the world

through the lens of rational instrumentalism and are thus unable to see that they have become the target of this reasoning. In such a society, our physical identities are more and more exposed to consumer culture's ideals of thin bodies and other forms of physical perfection, in addition to the performative demands of the job market. The embodied subject is either positioned as a "standing reserve" for the demands of productivity or is stigmatized and viewed as morally dubious. This objectification of the body as an absent-present raw material that we are responsible for controlling is the characteristic experience associated with this instrumental orientation towards life.

The specific way that this 'enflaming' of the body occurs differs among institutions and is well shown in modern health care paradigms. Thus, there is nothing particularly novel about sociological interest in "the body," even if most sociologists of education have not always been ready to include it explicitly in their research. However, long before the likes of Foucault, Bernstein, Elias, Bourdieu, Derrida, Douglas, Grosz, Butler or their contemporary, school-focused apostles depicted it rather prosaically as a shadowy, ghostly, disembodied in the educational machine, Wallard had already pointed out in seminal detail that schools were complex social organizations comprising people in roles and motion, living 'an organismic interdependence'. As schools were obviously social structures with reverberating effects on those both within and outside of them, it was impossible to change them in part without changing the design of the whole. Within them, individuals in positions of power were given the task of working on the bodies of others in order to socialize, educate, organize, and distinguish them based on their ages, abilities, sexes, and possible occupations.

They categories clearly between able and less able males from girls, different religious affiliations from none at all, and even between black and white. Such bodily divisions were often prominently displayed over numerous school doors in school names and signs. In order to cultivate social relations that celebrated either a sense of "similarity to" or "difference from," depending on the philosophy, ideology, and nature of the privileging educational code, schools sifted and sorted, segregated and differentiated, ordered and classified, and imposed geographies of the body. Because of the body's role in distributing success, failure, status, and value, classifications and frames of pedagogy, curriculum, and assessment methods have always played a significant role in how education is organized. Schools always either confirm, destroy, or increase people's corporeality in place, space, and time by concurrently disciplining, punishing, or privileging in accordance with a variety of norms and judgements [2].

Governments in affluent Western and westernized societies have sought not only to change surface features of education in order to ensure the electoral allegiance of the already privileged and aspirant, but also to reach into and manipulate its deeper structures. This is due to the near-global economic, medical, and technological change Shilling, à la Heidegger, alludes to. Central governments have been increasingly tempted to assert control over the underlying ethics, codes, and principles that govern communication and embodied consciousness, as well as where these phenomena occur, as a result of the significant step change in attention given to the body by producers of popular culture and the burgeoning body/health industries in recent decades. Such tendencies have often been justified as essential for maintaining population control and improving education of allegedly unstable and unwell people.

'Perfection codes' and surveillance medicine and health', which go well beyond schools, are prominent in a new and widespread kind of surveillance education'. Its stories are neither random nor uninvolved in society. Despite being framed in good faith, they nonetheless serve to shape and change people's perceptions of themselves and their relationships to their bodies

so that preexisting social hierarchies and westernized, wealthy, white, middle-class cultural values are celebrated within a particularly limited definition of being healthy. In the process, many people's lives, cultures, and embodied traits are objectified whilst a select number are given preference and presented as physical representations of admirable aspirational goals. Therefore, it should come as no surprise that such cultures across the world have a widespread dread among the middle classes of being labelled as "overweight," "inactive," or "clearly insufficiently thin."

Body Pedagogics and the Medicalization of our Lives

The categorization of populations worldwide as "at risk" and perpetually "potentially ill" has occurred in what some refer to as the "medicalization of people's lives," and it has nothing to do with accident or malicious intent on the part of scientists or health educators. It has owed as much to shifting perspectives on "health" in medicine over the last forty years as it has to nation-states' growing desire to exert power and control over potentially rebellious people while also advancing the goals of global capitalism. Even while dieting, generating surplus value depends on boosting consumption. In late 20th-century medicine, the hunt for illnesses' remedies gave way to a look for their causes. Epidemiology insisted that the majority of common diseases, such as cancer, heart disease, and diabetes, are caused by social factors connected to unhealthy lifestyles and can be prevented by changing behavior, such as switching diets, taking more exercise, and reducing exposure to risk factors. New genetics opened up possibilities of identifying abnormal genes in social diseases. Together, these strategiesespecially when recontextualized through the ideologies of neo-liberalism and free market economicsproduced policies that laid the groundwork for a radical shift away from therapeutic interventions to early intervention, the earlier the better, making children and young people's lives, as well as those of their families and schools, the primary targets of health and education policies. Although motivated by a genuinely altruistic desire to improve the health of individuals and populations, "health" has taken on particularly narrow connotations around weight loss and slenderness when framed within an ideology of "liberal individualism" and "performativity," serving the interests not of education but rather surveillance and new forms of social control[3].

In Western societies, coercive methods of controlling populations through overt force and oppressive law have given way to more covert and uncertain methods involving a combination of mass surveillance and self-regulation, which Foucault called disciplinary power. Here, people and populations are expected to regulate and care for themselves, often in accordance with standards over which they have very little say or control, while also being more or less relentlessly watched over in terms of their ability to do so, in some ways from birth to death.

Individuals and communities are now the targets of surveillance, analysis, intervention and correction across space and time" as nation-states have grown more concerned with managing life and populaces, particularly in relation to health, disease, sexuality, welfare, and education. However, biopower "depends on technologies through which the state and its agencies can manage life's politics to shape the social in order to conform to the tasks and requirements faced by the state. The body becomes "the raw material for this undertaking according to Foucault, who refers to the knowledges, practices, and norms that have been developed to regulate the quality of life of the population as bio-politics." People are observed in certain physical settings by individuals in positions of power who can do so with little to no effort: Relationships in these settings are founded on the observation of the many by the vigilant eyes of the few, or on the "gaze" that judges as it observes and chooses what fits what is normal and what does not.

The tendency to categories the aforementioned processes dichotomously and somewhat misleadingly as either external or internal forms of regulation of the body politic and the body's corporeality, rather than as the intersection of two mutually reinforcing modes of achieving order and control, is an unfortunate legacy of the Foucauldian moment in the sociology of education, health, and physical education. Societies are said to have transitioned from using imposed, disciplinary authority to "technologies of the self," where people or populations are encouraged to control and constantly improve their own bodies and self-regulate. People are taught to regulate their own inclinations; they govern themselves by using a range of government-provided expertise mandated to shape, guide, direct the conduct of others and bridle the individual's passions. This rather parodies the methods used to maintain order and control in high-tech societies, obscuring the ways in which various embodiments may be fostered when internal and external forms of regulation cooperate on the body to enflame subjectivity and embodied action, a process in which some bodies may achieve "authenticity" and be compliant, while others are objectified and alienated and offer dissent. However, using biopower does not imply or ensure that people will accept or internalize its normative roles, laws, and codes, in part because it is unable to predict or control the unintended consequences of policies, such as when individuals or populations fail to adopt the correct eating, exercising, and weight-related behavior.

The pursuit of "self-induced" order always takes place inside disciplinary control systems. Individuals may experience corporeal "authenticity" or "abjection" across different sites of practice, depending on the proximity of their cultural values to prevailing social norms and/or their willingness to "self-regulate" within given or perceived zones of influence. Psycho-social locations are experienced by individuals somatically to have various levels of meaning, significance for instance, some young people could feel that 'the family' or internet have more of an impact than schools on their knowledge of health, diet, and body concerns. Some individuals may believe that their peers have a bigger impact on their growing corporeality than, for example, professors [4].

An embodied sociology of education should thus place a high priority on how governments or other institutions react to flaws or implement changes in their preferred or inherited mechanisms of control. To ensure that populations are both ordered and under control, in totally pedagogies societies and totally pedagogies schools there is a contingent intersection rather than a shift or dislocation of external and internal modes of control. Therefore, degrees of monitoring and intervention may be triggered and increased to assure conformance to declared values when attempts at internal control fail. Indeed, the failure of some populations to embrace state-manufactured, alternative ideologies, such as those of "liberal individualism" and its guiding principles, has been accompanied by increasing levels of coercive intervention and increased levels of surveillance of populations inside and outside of plural, secular societies, such as the UK, where "inner regulation" drawn from either theological or ethical codes is sometimes depicted as either weak, dissonant, or absent.

The practice of body pedagogics, bio-pedagogies, and body pedagogies, as well as their particular versions in schools, arise in such environments of increased monitoring and become prevalent in many locations of social practice. Such behaviors function as a component of the bio-politics of modern Western societies, which is rooted in body-centric rhetoric. Bio-pedagogies are imbued with performance and perfection norms and shape and build the body pedagogies of popular culture and schools. The way the body is educated depends on how people understand and reframe the fundamental ideas and concepts of such speech. What sorts of corporeality do these circumstances induce and perform, or how might we phrase that question? Do certain bodies enjoy privileges while being objectified, harmed,

or degraded by others? How are varied surveillance levels and one's value position in regard to chosen social norms able to influence one's chances of feeling health and other types of fulfilment? How is the corporeal device used to mediate signals, meanings, and message systems within different spheres of influence or "networks of intimacy"? How is "proximal development" embodied in these situations? Once again, sociology of education that is adequately "embodied" would start to address these issues.

The most significant sources of knowledge on health and medicine nowadays are found in the mass media. According to Lyons, "medical practitioners used to dominate coverage of health and illness information, whereas today there are a variety of voices to be heard, including dissident doctors, alternative therapists, journalists, campaigners, academics, and so on." According to research by Miah and Rich, a large number of young people also get their health information through newspapers, magazines, television, and other forms of electronic media. According to research, "media representations of health and illness play a significant role in influencing people's health beliefs and behaviors." Many of these representations are criticized for their "ability to deceive and misinform the public about health issues." Furthermore, Miah and Rich contend that the emergence of various digital platforms that combine entertainment with body regulation, such as Internet-based fitness games and the use of game consoles like the Nintendo Wii Fit, may be creating a space for new types of health-related regulatory practices. Environments, like the Wii Fit, provide circumstances that enable a person to "virtualize" their identity, resulting in a "postfertilization of the body within cyberspace" through which it is projected. Thus, the ability to express body pedagogies and systems of self-surveillance of the body has expanded thanks to cyberspace. As a result, these settings evoke a certain ontology of the body as materiality and flesh as well as a prosthesis that displays itself in a defined manner inside cyberspaces[5].

Therefore, body-centered discourses do not directly affect young people's lives, and they do not only occur through formal educational practices. Instead, they are disseminated globally through the media and websites as examples of "popular pedagogy" before making their way into classrooms via official initiatives and policies. A never-ending loop of policy and spin shapes health discourse as popular pedagogy, inspiring projects that extend well beyond the confines of the classroom. Formal education is a very minor, but incredibly important, component of the configuration of processes by which the body is currently trained in this setting. It both refracts and contributes to the creation of the "symbolic universes" and meaning systems that reconfigure people's lives on a global scale. As others have noted, these scopes are not limited by either space or time, are virtually always hierarchical, and are connected to "global icescapes and mediascapes of abjection," which are now often "associated with food, waste, and sexual difference." They add that "the abject" has "come to be associated with those bodily fluids, people, objects, and places that are depicted as unclean, impure, and even immoral" in popular culture:

The "abject" undermines "identity, system, and order" and arouses the want to confine the impure and build limits in order to maintain the self's certainty. It entails the construction of personal defenses and societal taboos. The abject must be eliminated inasmuch as it contradicts ideas about identity. Abjection refers to the methods used to revile, reject, and oppose individuals who have been designated as unclean. The working-class poor are increasingly seen as "the abject" in affluent cultures because they either cannot or will not subscribe to health discourse and its "slender body" ideals. They are also blamed and shamed because of their ethnicity, culture, or way of life for allegedly not encouraging their children to exercise regularly and eat the right foods at the right times. Such "scopes of abjection" "justify injustice, divert attention from social suffering, and thus deny the social reality of the

marginalized while constructing the poor as "the source of contamination and moral risk," whether unintentionally or on purpose." Contemporary health discourse may perform such social roles by being naively transmitted via the informal and formal practices of communities and schools, maintaining social hierarchies while destroying the identities of the most vulnerable.

Complexity of Embodied Social Reproduction

Any sociology of education interested in the body would need to investigate how obesity and more general body-centered discourse are translated into communication principles given that complex processes of socio-cultural reproduction involve multiple sites of practice, multiple agencies, and multiple meaning systems, as well as the need to avoid overdetermination in accounts of how health discourse is reproduced as pedagogy. How are they granted varied degrees of power inside certain social situations, and how are prevalent meaning systems, norms, and resources within them either embraced, adapted, rejected, or transformed via personal "knower structures" influenced by culture and social class? Gaps emerge during the movement and recontextualization of discourse inside and across sites of practice, such as when translating government directives into school projects, allowing for the playing of ideology. Through the cognitive filters of their culture and class, people may read, interpret, and recontextualize received wisdom orsacred health information that is communicated through schools and other venues of practice. Young people recontextualize health knowledge critically through their own knower structures or personal, culturally encoded, affective understandings of their own and others' bodies and health, within the constraints of health education policy and the performative cultures of their schools, according to research that has repeatedly emphasized this point[6].

Corporeal Device

A Foucauldian approach, if used carelessly, might promote the idea that our unique subjectivity is just an epiphenomenon, a discursive creation of diverse knowledge acted upon by numerous "technologies of truth" on the body. This is not entirely useless since it allows us to see ideological and knowledge gaps and to recognize that certain information may be regarded as holy while others are profane and have no place in formal schooling. However, all of these discourses are always, invariably, mediated for individuals through their material, flesh and blood, sentient, thinking, and feeling bodies, their actions, and those of their peers, parents/guardians, and other adults, typically within complex networks of relative intimacy that exert varying degrees of influence over them. We have a tendency, to paraphrase Bernstein, to speak of the "corporeal device," to emphasize the body as more than just a discursive representation and relay of messages and power relations external "to itself," but as a voice of itself, as a way of articulating the materiality of the lived experiences typically associated with acquiring the attributes required by obesity discourse and "the actual embodied changes resulting from this process."

Its internal grammar and syntax as a material/physical conduit are determined by the junction of biology, culture, and class predilections, which control embodied behavior and awareness, including how discursive signals are interpreted and received. According to our interpretation, this idea does not favor either biology or culture and supports Frank's contention that neither "the experience of embodied health nor the observation of health signs circulating outside bodies has to trump the other as being the real point of origin," rather each is understood as "making the other possible." Similar issues have been expressed by others who have rediscovered John Dewey's writings and pragmatism. An ongoing issue should be how the corporeal gadget manifests as conscious and unconscious embodied activity and is

subjectifies within and outside of schools. At one level, it raises questions about how body-centric health knowledge created in the main area of knowledge production in scientific communities ends up being regarded as "the thinkable" and "sacred," or "official truth" about what we should believe about the body and its ability to maintain health and suitable for teaching in schools. On another level, it is an investigation of embodied subjectivity, following how popular culture's recontextualization of health information results in education and health policies aimed towards schools. Official health information may be divided from daily health knowledge, which may be categorized and interpreted as harmful or "profane," via the influence of instructors' and students' class and cultures.

Young people are becoming both privileged and marginalized by popular cultural practices and their education and schooling across wealthy Western and westernized cultures. They encounter the normalizing expectations and demands of performance culture and barycenter health discourse more often. We must look beyond analyses of the inherent content of body-centered health "messages" to consider "the voice" of education itself and how it is shaped by the pedagogic device in order to comprehend how students evade, accommodate, or recontextualize constant and penetrating surveillance of their bodies in the context of the classroom. Modern health discourse fosters a language, grammar, and syntax with regulative and instructional principles and codes that define thought and embodied action, a "meaning potential" for "health," largely in terms of weight, size, and shape, where the solution to "problems" is a matter of weight loss by engaging in more exercise and consuming fewer calories. Its terminology is related to worldwide trends in education and health policy and pedagogy that unintentionally support behaviors that uphold social hierarchies and may be detrimental to certain young people's educational and health outcomes [7].

Around the world, a growing range of educational topics, discussions, initiatives, and curriculum are structured and implemented around body-centered weight concerns. As a result, a few issues with young people's wellbeing are starting to emerge. The first is that a number of other factors that are significant to young people but are not given a position in this schema are overlooked or marginalized by schools' focus on form, weight, and "fitness" concerns, as observed by Shilling and others. Second, emphasizing on body image and weight problems in settings like schools, where there are already high standards for academic performance, might have disastrous results. It should come as no surprise that young people are increasingly creating their identities, subjectivities, and understandings of health and sickness using the performative language and health discourses that are prevalent in both school and non-school society today. However, kids are not only being misled, and their issues are not merely discursive representations of forces that are pervasive in society and institutions.

These body-centric messages are not just received or internalized by young people uncritically or only cognitively via disembodied knower structures. They are somatically mediated in their subcultural setting at a particular time and place. According to research, young people often relate their problems to prior encounters with their rapidly developing, sometimes uncomfortable, less-than-perfect bodies among their family, instructors, and classmates. Their evolving bodies are inescapably susceptible to their own and other people's judgmental gazes inside these networks of relative closeness. For some people, taking part in 'deviant' behavior such as radical body modification involving excessive exercise and eating little to no food becomes a perfectly rational, morally acceptable goal that helps them avoid the pain of being made to feel 'othered,' different, less worthy, and excluded. The sociology of education has only just started to look into and comprehend how these processes enter children's and young people's lived experiences via popular and formal pedagogies that are

used in and outside of school. The increasing pressure to achieve "the right" body size/shape brought on by modern body-centric laws and its related pedagogies is thus not only about being healthy, but also bears moral connotations where those who are "obese" or "overweight" are seen as lazy, self-indulgent, and selfish. bodily-centric tales sift, divide, praise, and demonize manifest bodily shape and form because they are laced with performance and perfection norms. They simultaneously glorify and objectify certain behaviors, positions, and lifestyles. Such a performative culture, for instance, teaches people to dread and despise bodies that are not the right shape or weight from a startlingly early age; control, morality, and goodness are sought in slenderness and procedures of becoming extremely thin. Individuals must acknowledge that maintaining a healthy diet, engaging in physical exercise, and striving for scholastic "perfection" are moral as well as physical commitments. Given the social consequences associated with this discourse, such as bullying, stigma, and labelling, which are particularly reported by young people who are deemed to be "fat" by their peers, it is hardly surprising that some people take drastic measures to lose weight and also experience severe depression and physical illness.

The sociology of education must interact with the shifting contexts in which barycenter discourses on health and other topics are prevalent. It is urgently necessary to conduct more theoretical and empirical research on these topics in order to address the paradox of accepting that there are immediate problems to be solved, such as poor diets, insufficient opportunities for play and exercise, and ill health, which have their roots in the deplorable and unequal social conditions of people's lives in the context of global capitalism. In Apple's words, we won't succeed in developing counter-hegemonic common sense about health or forging counter-hegemonic coalitions until we oppose and strategically consider market offers and neo-liberal goals. As Apple urges, our evaluations must be appropriately related to the ways that conservative modernization has changed common sense and the material and ideological circumstances surrounding education, especially those pertaining to the body and health. When challenging existing health policy orthodoxies and pedagogical modalities, they must also be aware of, and draw from, alternative belief systems, conceptions of health and techniques for distributing them. By doing this, 'the body' and embodied learning would be seen as important to sociology of education's concerns [8].

DISCUSSION

Schooling the body in a performative culture is a thought-provoking topic that raises questions about the relationship between education, culture, and the body. This book explores the ways in which schools' discipline and regulate students' bodies, and how these practices shape young people's identities and subjectivities in a performative culture. The concept of performative culture suggests that we are constantly performing our identities and subjectivities through our bodies, and that these performances are shaped by cultural norms and expectations. In educational settings, these performances are often regulated and disciplined through a range of practices and pedagogies. For example, in the all-boys secondary school in Ireland studied by Chambers, students' bodies were disciplined through practices such as sports, physical education classes, and uniform requirements. One of the key themes in "Schooling the Body" is the relationship between the body and masculinity. Chambers argues that schools play a crucial role in shaping young men's ideas about what it means to be a man, and that these ideas are often expressed through the body. For example, the emphasis on sports and physical activity in the school studied by Chambers reinforces traditional notions of masculinity as strong, competitive, and physically dominant. Another important theme in the book is the relationship between the body and identity. Chambers argues that students' bodies are not just passive objects to be regulated and disciplined, but

active agents in the construction of identity. Students use their bodies to express their individuality and to negotiate their place within the social hierarchy of the school[9].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion schooling the body in a performative culture provides a valuable perspective on the complex relationship between education, culture, and the body. The book highlights the ways in which schools' discipline and regulate students' bodies through a range of practices and pedagogies, and argues that these practices shape young people's identities and subjectivities in a performative culture. The book challenges us to think critically about the role of schools in shaping young people's ideas about what it means to be a man or woman, and how these ideas are expressed through the body. It also invites us to consider the ways in which students use their bodies to express their individuality and negotiate their place within the social hierarchy of the school. Overall, "Schooling the Body in a Performative Culture" is a thought-provoking and insightful exploration of the relationship between education, culture, and the body. It raises important questions about the nature of identity, subjectivity, and the performative aspects of culture. This book is essential reading for anyone interested in the intersection of education, culture, and the body.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Evans, B. Davies, and E. Rich, "Schooling the body in a performative culture," in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-26.

[2] A. Keddie, "'Some of those girls can be real drama queens': Issues of gender, sexual harassment and schooling," *Sex Educ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1080/14681810802639863.

[3] D. Castro, "Theory and Praxis," in *Another Face of Empire*, 2021. doi: 10.2307/j.ctv11hpj80.9.

[4] *The RoutledgeFalmer Reader in Gender & Education*. 2006. doi: 10.4324/9780203567234.

[5] D. Castro, "Theory and Praxis," in *Another Face of Empire*, 2013. doi: 10.1215/9780822389590-005.

[6] K. Howell, "The Suspicious Figure of the Female Forensic Pathologist Investigator in Crime Fiction," *M/C J.*, 2011, doi: 10.5204/mcj.454.

[7] K. Jacobs, "The Amateur Pornographer and the Glib Voyeur," *M/C J.*, 2004, doi: 10.5204/mcj.2392.

[8] J. A. Laub, "Assessing the servant organization; Development of the Organizational Leadership Assessment (OLA) model. Dissertation Abstracts International," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 1999.

[9] J. A. Laub, "Assessing the servant organization; Development of the Organizational Leadership Assessment (OLA) model," *Diss. Abstr. Int.*, 1999.

CHAPTER 9

AN OVERVIEW OF THE TRACKING AND INEQUALITY

Dr. Seema Sambargi, Professor, Department of Management,
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id-dr.seema_sambargi@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The intersection of tracking and inequality in sociology. Tracking refers to the practice of assigning students to different academic tracks based on their perceived abilities and potential. This system has been shown to perpetuate inequality and limit social mobility, as students from disadvantaged backgrounds are often placed in lower tracks with fewer opportunities for academic advancement. This paper explores the various ways in which tracking contributes to social inequality, including its impact on educational attainment, employment prospects, and income disparities. Additionally, the paper discusses the role of policy in addressing tracking and promoting equal educational opportunities for all students. The conclusion highlights the need for further research and action to address the negative effects of tracking on social inequality.

KEYWORDS:

Academic Advancement, Educational Attainment, Employment Prospects, Income Disparities, Social Inequality.

INTRODUCTION

Teachers and scholars have argued about the advantages of dividing pupils for teaching into various tracks, courses, and groups based on their ostensible interests and talents for more than a century. The goal of the technique, also known as tracking and ability grouping in the US and "streaming" and "setting" in the UK, is to provide instructors the tools they need to effectively adapt their lesson plans to the requirements of their pupils. Despite this intended advantage, tracking has received a lot of criticism for being inequitable because it often results in economically and/or racially segregated classrooms because high-track students tend to outperform their low-track peers in terms of academic achievement and because the performance measures that are frequently used to classify students into tracks often coincide with the more general causes of social disadvantage, such as race and ethnicity. However, tracking has shown to be very resistant to long-lasting change and is still widely used in the US, the UK, and in educational systems all around the globe [1], [2].

Although conflicts over tracking encompass political and instructional issues that play out in schools and classrooms, the ongoing discussion reflects both local issues and larger conflicts present in educational institutions. A shared framework of cognitive and social skills necessary for full participation in the civic and economic activities of adult society is something that schools are tasked with teaching to all pupils. In contrast, schools are set up such that pupils are sorted and chosen for various paths in line with their unique inclinations and talents. The tracking argument is centered on this continual conflict between similarity and differentiation: Is it the goal of education to foster a sense of community among all

students? Or is it to set pupils apart for various futures? Since both aims are embodied by educational systems, the dispute cannot be easily resolved. The first goal is compatible with mixed-ability teaching, while the second is consistent with tracking.

Recent work on tracking has improved in three areas, building on prior research, which suggests interesting new possibilities for study and practice. First, new research outside of the US and UK, where the majority of earlier studies had been done, has expanded our understanding of the effects of tracking on student progress. Second, new research on efforts to lessen or do away with tracking and ability grouping has revealed crucial information about why tracking is hard to modify and how some of the challenges to detracting may be overcome. Third, a recent wave of research on classroom assignments and instruction has suggested strategies that, while not fully resolving the tension between commonality and differentiation, may capture differentiation's advantages for addressing students' diverse needs without having the negative effects on inequality that frequently result from tracking and ability grouping. These conclusions in turn urge more study and practical testing.

I briefly review the older evidence on the impacts of grouping and tracking on student success before coming to these most recent results. Although this study has been extensively discussed in earlier reviews, I start with it here because it lays the groundwork for the hopeful work being done now and the new paths that will be taken in the future. The remaining four sections of this chapter are thus organized as follows: a review of findings about tracking and achievement that connects earlier research from the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s to more recent studies in the same vein; a discussion of recent international research on tracking, both between and within schools; an analysis of new studies of efforts to reduce or eliminate tracking; and a conclusion that calls for new research and practice based on the most recent findings.

Tracking and Achievement: Increased Inequality without Benefits to Productivity

According to Gamoran and Mare, there are two outcomes that tracking for achievement may have: productivity, or the overall level of achievement in the class or school, and inequality, or the distribution of achievement among the various tracks, classes, or groups. The majority of the data suggests that monitoring tends to aggravate inequality while making little to no addition to total productivity, even if not all research have come to the same findings concerning these effects. Gains for high achievers are countered by losses for poor achievers, which is why this happens. From Kerckhoff's investigation of ability grouping across and within schools in England and Wales, we can see a convincing illustration of this trend. Kerckhoff utilized information from the National Child Development Study, which tracked all infants born in the UK during the first week of March 1958 for more than thirty years. He looked at secondary school reading and math success among students enrolled in high achiever, low achiever, and pupils with a broad range of accomplishment levels. Additionally, he contrasted kids in courses with high, medium, low, and mixed ability levels among various school types.

Comparisons between and within schools told a consistent story: In comparison to mixed-ability situations, there were no overall advantages to average success in contexts that discriminated pupils for instruction. Sorting pupils into exclusive schools and classrooms, however, was linked to widening achievement differences over time. Since tracking is almost always used in secondary education in the US, the comparison of tracking to mixed-ability teaching has gotten less attention. However, studies comparing ability-grouped with mixed-ability classes in middle school mathematics, science, and English have produced the same results. Due to the correlation between track location and traditional bases of socioeconomic

disadvantage, tracking not only widens achievement gaps but also strengthens social inequality over the course of high school. This was also demonstrated by national survey analyses conducted in the US. Race and ethnicity have an indirect impact on track assignment, as opposed to socioeconomic level, which has direct effects: Minority kids are as likely to be assigned to high tracks if their test results and socioeconomic status are comparable to those of White pupils. Tracking, however, disadvantages minority students and increases performance inequalities since they often enter high school with lower test scores and less favorable socioeconomic conditions.

New patterns of tracking-related inequity have emerged as US schools' student demographics have altered. Callahan suggested that schools often confuse weak English proficiency with inadequate capacity to learn academic material with relation to language minority children. In order to prevent English language learners from accessing advanced education even as their language abilities increase, these students are put into classrooms with modified curricula that are less demanding than those of normal classes. Paul came to a similar result based on her research into five varied urban schools, but Callahan corroborated these claims with a study of a rural California school. Paul pointed out that enrolment in algebra, which serves as the starting point for the college-preparatory curriculum, was stratified by racial and ethnic groups, with larger numbers of Asian Americans and White students enrolling, and lower proportions of African Americans and Hispanic students. When English language learners took mathematics courses at the same levels as native English speakers, their rates of college-preparatory coursework were comparable. Padilla and Gonzales' argument that recent immigrants from Mexico outperform second-generation pupils in part because they have spent less time in poor tracks in US schools foreshadowed this result.

Inequality tendencies in new monitoring methods in the US are similar to those in prior ones. Lucas used high school transcripts from a nationwide sample of students to demonstrate that pupils were categorized by individual subjects rather than by whole curriculum. Although students' course levels tended to correspond across subject areas, achievement disparity was nonetheless a consequence of this more nuanced kind of monitoring. Mitchell and Mitchell showed that year-round, multi-track schools also tended to stratify children based on their social backgrounds. Despite a stronger focus on academic work within technical courses of study, Lewis and Cheng, Mickelson, and Everett found that the transition of vocational education into career and technical education nevertheless led to stratified class enrollments.

At general, a trend of rising disparity comparable to that seen at the high school level has been seen at elementary and middle schools. It was previously unable to investigate the generalizability of inequality patterns linked to ability grouping in elementary schools since there were only secondary-level national statistics available. However, subsequent studies using data from a nationwide sample of youngsters who started kindergarten in 1998 have corroborated the trend of expanding disparities for within-class reading groups in kindergarten. For between-class ability categorization in Grades 1 and 3, Lleras and Rangel obtained identical results using subsequent waves of the same data. Slavin stated that, in contrast to the typical trend, within-class grouping for mathematics had good impacts for both kids in low-ranked groups and those in high-ranked groups, based on a synthesis of data on primary school grouping. Slavin also pointed out that ability grouping benefited children of all academic levels when it was used for certain topics rather of tracking them throughout the whole school day. Slavin hypothesized that ability grouping in elementary school can have positive effects if assignment is based on subject-relevant criteria, if students can move from one group to another according to their progress, and if curriculum and instruction are differentiated to meet the needs of students placed in the various groups.

Connor and her coworkers have only lately confirmed Slavin's findings. According to Connor's research, reading instruction may be efficiently adapted to students' requirements by using small reading groups. In a randomized comparison, Connor et al. found that, by the end of the first grade, students who were taught by teachers who divided their class into reading groups based on carefully evaluated student performance levels and differentiated their instruction to meet individual needs performed significantly better than students who were taught by teachers who lacked access to this systematic method. Tomlinson et al. made similar statements regarding the benefits of within-class differentiation of instruction as a technique for successful teaching of students with a variety of interests and talents, although basing their arguments on less solid data.

Challenges in Measuring Track Effects

Researchers examining the effects of tracking and ability grouping on student progress have faced two methodological difficulties. Getting precise group and geographical measurements for the children has been difficult. At the secondary level, studies conducted in the 1970s and 1980s often asked students to categories their curriculum as academic/college-preparatory, vocational, or general. This social psychological tracking measure was helpful as a gauge for students' perspectives, but it did not always accurately reflect how much learning was taking place. Lucas created a structural measure of track location by identifying tracks based on the courses that students had completed using their transcripts. By demonstrating that the structural and social-psychological aspects of tracking had separate impacts on student progress and both contributed to achievement disparities, Lucas and Gamoran. Similar findings concerning tracking and inequality have been reached by other academics who have utilized network analysis methods to discover tracks via the configuration of courses that students register in. Recent research that used instructor reports to differentiate between groups of abilities at high, medium, and low levels also showed inequity[3].

Distinguishing the impacts of track assignment from the effects of pre-existing variations among students allocated to various tracks has been the second methodological hurdle. The reason why students are grouped into high and low tracks is because they are, by definition, on distinct accomplishment trajectories. Despite the fact that past accomplishment and social background have been taken into account in all of the studies mentioned here, there is still a chance of selective bias because to measurement error and unreliability. Two approaches have been tried by researchers to address this difficulty. First, to rule out selection bias, a small number of research, mostly conducted before 1970, employed random assignment to monitored or untracked settings. These investigations produced estimates of track impacts that were very variable and centred around zero. It is difficult to determine the generalizability of these modest and old trials since they gave so little insight into what was happening within the rails. Teachers created content and teaching to be the same across tracks in at least some instances with zero effects, in contrast to the actual world where tracking is often combined with curricular and instructional differentiation. Gamoran made the argument supported subsequently by case study and survey analyses that the results of tracking depend on how it is implemented as a result of these discoveries.

Second, to lessen selective bias, scholars have employed econometric methods. Gamoran and Mare calculated endogenous switching regressions that concurrently model the track assignment and the track effects, allowing for correlated errors among unobserved predictors of the assignment and the results. Their findings, which centered on math proficiency and graduation rates for the high school class of 1982, showed that the more intricate method preserved the trend of growing disparity shown in traditional regression studies with affluent controls. Both the high school class of 1992 and the class of 1982, as well as course-based

and self-reported track location indicators, were used in Lucas and Gamoran's replication of these findings. The primary conclusions were confirmed once again. The differential effects of tracking for students in high and low tracks were, however, much smaller than reported in earlier studies that relied on simple regressions, according to Betts and Shkolnik, who estimated both propensity models and two-stage least squares regression models of track effects on mathematics achievement. On the basis of two-stage least squares regression models, Figlio and Page similarly questioned the inequality effects of tracking on secondary school arithmetic proficiency.3 Although it is too soon to say monitoring does not hurt low achievers, these results and early experimental research show the impacts could be less severe than often believed. The results may also suggest that the latter are less relevant for inequality than the former as Betts, Shkolnik, and Page explored between-class ability grouping while Gamoran and Mare concentrated on broad curricular tracking [4].

Mechanisms of Track Effects on Achievement

The research suggests that, with few exceptions, tracking tends to aggravate inequality. What makes that so? The concept of social comparison and differentiated teaching has been discovered by researchers, however empirical evidence suggests that instructional variance between tracks and groups at various levels is the most significant cause of widening performance disparities across tracks. Numerous studies have found that students in high tracks experience more rigorous curricula, move at a faster pace, and are taught by more seasoned instructors with better reputations, while students in low tracks experience instruction that is more fragmented, worksheet-oriented, and slower-paced from instructors with less authority or experience. These results have been discovered in elementary, middle, and high schools. Differences in instruction take into account both what instructors do in the classroom and how pupils react. A recent result in this direction comes from the work of Carbonaro, who showed that success diverges in part because high-track pupils' study more than low-track children to succeed in school. While this result partially reflected low-track students' reactions to teaching that was less intellectually challenging than that provided to high-track courses, it also resulted from individual student variations that were present in the classroom.

Other recent instances of the instructional mediation of track effects come from both interpretative research and hypothesis testing. Applebee et al. found that discussion-based approaches to literature instruction were used more frequently in high-ability classes than in low-ability classes in their study of 64 middle and high school English classes. This difference accounted for just over one third of the effect of ability group assignment on writing performance. Genuine questions and uptake, open discussion, bringing in numerous viewpoints, and interactions that related various course subjects were all aspects of discussion-based techniques. Based on thorough evaluations of 68 hours of in-depth classroom observation in two instructors' language arts classrooms, Watanabe observed similar instructional differences. In contrast to low-ability groups, where there was a greater focus on test preparation, high-ability classes had more students engaged with demanding and relevant curriculum, more writing assignments in a wider variety of genres, and more instructor feedback [5].

Some observers have come to the conclusion that tracking itself does not produce inequality; rather, inequality has developed as a result of tracking's implementation, which is supported by research showing that instructional differentiation accounts for a significant portion of tracking's impact. This theory contends that tracking would lessen rather than increase inequality if education in low tracks could be successfully tailored to students' needs. Although sensible in theory, it has been challenging to do in practice, and there aren't many

instances of low-track classrooms offering training that works. It is also crucial to recognize that the majority of research on ability grouping and curriculum tracking have revealed that high achievers often do better when placed in high-level groups than when taught in mixed-ability environments. Tracking proponents often focus on the advantages of high-level courses for high-achieving students while paying little attention to the implications for inequality, while tracking opponents typically concentrate on inequality while ignoring the consequences for high achievers. Because of this, proponents and opponents often fail to reach an understanding, and student assignment rules frequently switch from one system to another without taking into account each one's advantages and disadvantages[6].

New International Research on Tracking and Achievement

The results from the US and the UK are substantially in agreement with a growing corpus of international research. The most eye-opening findings may come from recent international accomplishment data cross-national research. Analysis of the PISA 1999 research, which was done in 28 OECD nations, revealed that reading performance in these nations is more unequally distributed based on socioeconomic origins. By comparing 20 countries that took part in PISA and PIRLS, Hanushek and Weissman confirmed this finding by demonstrating that achievement disparity tended to rise higher between the primary and secondary grades in early tracking nations than in late tracking countries. According to studies on twenty-four nations that took part in TIMSS 2003 in Grades 4 and 8, those that employ between-class ability grouping for mathematics show a greater development in performance disparity from Grades 4 to 8 than those that use ability grouping less often. Numerous single-nation studies have shown that monitoring tends to promote inequality, and our results are consistent with those studies.

The fact that grouping and tracking may take many different shapes is a frequent subject in international work; this point is readily overlooked when one is focused on a single country. For instance, tracking practises vary depending on whether it takes place mostly between schools, inside schools, or both. The scope of tracking might be broad or small in these various methods. Additionally, nations vary in how soon distinction is introduced and whether or not the system is adaptable enough to permit movement across tracks. Srensen predicted these structural distinctions, but when global disparities have emerged, they have been substantially expanded. The findings of the various tracking methods, however, are strikingly similar, and success tends to diverge when monitoring systems are present, reinforcing early social class distinctions. Aspects of growing inequality related to grouping between or within schools are identified in new research from Japan, Korea, South Africa, Israel, Germany, Belgium, and the UK. Researchers are also finding that monitoring increases ethnic inequities when ethnic minority groups grow in number and ethnic inequity is more acknowledged in countries that were earlier comparatively homogenous. Both Ivinson and Duveen in the UK and Ayalon in Israel have shown that, like vertical differentiation, horizontal differentiation tends to stratify pupils according to their socioeconomic backgrounds. Finally, Van Houtte provided Belgian study data that corroborated the finding from US research that a significant portion of track effects is caused by instructional differences.

Interesting distinctions also manifest themselves within this shared framework. Incentives for achievement in lower-level courses, for instance, may be used to counteract the negative impacts of tracking in nations with well-defined standards linked to curriculum and assessment. According to Broaded, in Taiwan, high-stakes tests with diverse accomplishment levels as the aim motivated all students, especially those in poor tracks, to work hard in their studies, which reduced achievement gaps. In Israel, Ayalon and Gamoran discovered that

schools with many levels of math proficiency in college-preparatory programs tended to have less social origin disparity than schools with just one level. They ascribed this outcome to the significant incentives associated with the more basic mathematics classes that, like the more advanced courses, resulted in high stakes exams at the conclusion of high school. Similar to this, in Scotland, a secondary curriculum reform that increased standards for lower-level students led to a gradual decline in achievement inequality, and in Australia, a secondary English curriculum reform that decreased the number of tracks while simultaneously raising standards in low tracks may have increased test scores overall. A similar observation has been made about Catholic schools in the US, which tend to have less success gap across tracks than public schools while placing higher academic standards on kids in lower tracks than public schools. These results support Broaded's assertion that monitoring has context-dependent effects and imply that tracking's negative impacts on underachievers may, in theory, be mitigated or abolished. However, there has only been sporadic success in efforts to employ ability grouping to increase accomplishment in the setting of high standards in US public schools.

New Insights from US Research on Detracting

Oakes wisely identified three obstacles to detracting more than fifteen years ago: normative obstacles, based on long-held beliefs that students should be tracked based on their abilities and that political obstacles, reflecting the difficulty of overcoming vested interests in tracking, such as those held by parents of high-achieving students and by teachers who enjoy teaching honours classes. The moral and political concerns have received the majority of attention in Oakes' following work as well as those of her colleagues and students, with the justification that if these challenges could be satisfied, the technological obstacles could be overcame. Recent data, however, argues the opposite: failure to address the moral and political obstacles is a substantial hindrance to tackling the technical issues of mixed-ability education. Recent research has found circumstances in which effective education in environments of varied abilities may be more successful than in the past, despite the technical difficulties defying simple solutions[7].

Challenges of Detracting

Loveless' research of detracting changes in Massachusetts and California indicated strong opposition from instructors who felt unprepared to successfully educate children with greatly differing performance levels in the same classes. Due to assumptions about the sequential structure of knowledge in these fields, instructors of mathematics and foreign languages have a tendency to be more resistant to detracting than teachers of other topics. However, detracting attempts have encountered technological challenges even in social studies, a subject that may be seen as being especially suited to mixed-ability teaching due to the possibility of discussing themes from a variety of views. According to one case study, instructors had a hard time keeping students' attention in classrooms with such a broad range of academic performance. High achievers found themselves bored while low achievers struggled to complete homework. In a related research, Rubin discovered that detracting in social studies seemed to function successfully in a middle-class suburban school with a somewhat homogenous student body as long as instructors prioritized active learning and diversified tasks for students with varying performance levels.

Detracting led to a highly routinized curriculum with little challenge for students in inner-city schools with low-income populations, where teachers aimed more for relevance than high standards. However, detracting social studies classes appeared less effective in schools with a greater diversity of students. The findings of Gamoran and Weinstein from an urban school

where tracking in mathematics was eliminated by lowering the curriculum in mixed-ability classes to a level that all students could follow, with the result that teachers complained students weren't being prepared to move to more advanced mathematics, were consistent with Rubin's observations in the inner-city school.

Ironically, it seems that high-achieving minority kids may stand to lose the most if retracking is unsuccessful, according to the results of all three of these case studies. These children are often found in urban schools where retracking hasn't led to difficult teaching in mixed-ability groups, and they may not have the outside assistance they need to flourish in the absence of a rigorous curriculum. Based on interviews and observations of a high-achieving minority girl at a failing school who socialized with a small number of less academically inclined friends to the cost of her academic work, Rubin brought this issue to life. By giving students the option to choose their own track assignments, some schools have made an effort to cut down on the usage of tracking.

Recent case studies, however, imply that student choice is not a useful retracking technique since students often arrange themselves into classes in a manner similar to that of a standard tracking system, with the related outcomes for social class and racial/ethnic divides. According to Yonezawa et al., this trend was influenced by students' differing ambitions and varying access to information. They also discovered that minority pupils preferred classrooms where their cultural origins were respected and they were not racially separated. These results highlight the well-known conflict between commonality and differentiation: while pursuing a common curriculum may improve students' academic performance, students are motivated by their interests and social concerns, which may cause racial as well as academic divisions among them.

Another distracting case study by Boaler and Staples revealed varying degrees of effectiveness. At comparison to two other schools that did not subtract, academic increases first surfaced at one school that did. Over the course of the study's three years, the increases, however, were not maintained. Additionally, the high stakes state standardized exam did not show any improvement in student success, and it is difficult to link achievement patterns in a sample of three schools to any one change. However, the paper is intriguing in its demand for more research into education in closed schools [8].

Addressing the Technical Challenge: Differentiated Instruction in Mixed-ability Classes

Mixed-ability teaching situations have not always been unsuccessful. Gamoran and Weinstein found a successful example of detracting in secondary school mathematics in the same study effort that revealed a case of diluted curriculum in a detracted school. The student performance on actual exams at this urban, east coast high school, where half of the students qualified for free or reduced-price lunch, was the highest of all the twenty-five significantly restructured schools from which this example was taken. In this school, math and scientific lessons were taught together in the same class, and homework assignments were project-based. For instance, researchers saw students combine math and physics concepts to complete an assignment that required them to build rides for an amusement park. Student expectations took into consideration their development as well as the levels of excellence they had acquired. Students were evaluated based on portfolios of work in a number of areas. Students were also required to be proficient in fundamental mathematics; if they weren't, a Saturday tutoring program was provided to assist them. Small classes, the extra tutoring program, a visionary leader who had chosen a staff with similar attitudes, and the opportunity to interview prospective students were important factors supporting a rigorous curriculum in a mixed-ability setting in this school.

More recently, Burris and her colleagues found instances of excellent mathematical accomplishment brought on by the switch to mixed-ability instruction. The authors compared the accomplishment trajectories of schools before the reform with their trajectories after the change, as well as with the trajectories of other schools that did not undergo the reform throughout the same time period, in order to evaluate the effect of the reform. Teachers in this New York school district established an accelerated curriculum at the middle school level for all students along with an additional workshop to help those who needed it. They boosted the use of calculators in class and instituted a standardized preparation period for instructors. All high school students were enrolled in mathematics courses that prepared them for the Regents diploma once the low-track, non-Regents class was removed. A separate class that met three times a week was provided to those who had trouble in this one. After the change was implemented, student achievement increased at both levels.

There was no indication that high achievers lost in their performance as a consequence of the change, although achievement disparities did close as low achievers benefited more than high achievers. Gains in achievement did not correspond to rising high school dropout rates; on the contrary, dropout rates decreased over the reform era. It should be emphasized that, in comparison to other New York school districts, this case featured a district with a very small number of high-needs pupils. Additionally, the extra session gave low-achieving pupils nearly 50% additional math instruction. The current study by Burris and colleagues is crucial because it shows that subtracting may benefit underachievers without harming high achievers as was the case in past studies. However, success in this case study, as in the example study described by Gamoran and Weinstein, was partially attributed to favorable conditions, specifically the resources that allowed the school to provide additional mathematics teaching for underachieving pupils. This achievement has to be replicated in different settings in order to determine its wider applicability.

DISCUSSION

In the field of sociology, tracking is the practice of dividing students into different academic tracks based on their perceived abilities and potential. This system has been widely studied and has been shown to perpetuate social inequality. Students from disadvantaged backgrounds are often placed in lower tracks with fewer opportunities for academic advancement, which limits their social mobility. One of the ways in which tracking contributes to social inequality is through its impact on educational attainment. Students in lower tracks are less likely to pursue post-secondary education, which can limit their employment prospects and earnings potential in the long term. This leads to income disparities between students from different tracks, which further perpetuates social inequality. Moreover, tracking perpetuates social inequality by reinforcing preexisting societal biases. Teachers and administrators may be more likely to place students of color or students from low-income backgrounds in lower tracks, even if they are equally capable as their peers. This can lead to a self-fulfilling prophecy where students are placed in lower tracks, receive lower-quality education, and then are deemed less capable of academic success. Policy plays a critical role in addressing tracking and promoting equal educational opportunities for all students.

In recent years, some schools have implemented policies to eliminate tracking and provide more opportunities for academic advancement. For example, some schools have implemented mixed-ability classes where students of different academic levels are placed in the same class and taught at a pace that challenges everyone equally. Such policies aim to break down the barriers to academic success and promote equality.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the practice of tracking perpetuates social inequality by limiting social mobility and reinforcing preexisting societal biases. The negative effects of tracking on educational attainment, employment prospects, and income disparities highlight the need for action to address this issue. Policy solutions such as mixed-ability classes and the elimination of tracking can help promote equal educational opportunities for all students. However, further research and action are needed to ensure that all students have access to high-quality education and opportunities for academic advancement, regardless of their perceived abilities or backgrounds. Addressing the issue of tracking is a critical step towards promoting social equality and creating a more just and equitable society.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Contini and F. Cugnata, 'Does early tracking affect learning inequalities? Revisiting difference-in-differences modeling strategies with international assessments', *Large-Scale Assessments Educ.*, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s40536-020-00094-x.

[2] A. Strello, R. Strietholt, I. Steinmann, and C. Siepmann, 'Early tracking and different types of inequalities in achievement: difference-in-differences evidence from 20 years of large-scale assessments', *Educ. Assessment, Eval. Account.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11092-020-09346-4.

[3] E. Bar-Haim and Y. Feniger, 'Tracking in israeli high schools: Social inequality after 50 years of educational reforms', *Longit. Life Course Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.1332/175795921X16113479066488.

[4] P. Due *et al.*, 'Pathways and mechanisms in adolescence contribute to adult health inequalities', *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*. 2011. doi: 10.1177/1403494810395989.

[5] F. Eijkenaar, M. Emmert, M. Scheppach, and O. Schöffski, 'Effects of pay for performance in health care: A systematic review of systematic reviews', *Health Policy*. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2013.01.008.

[6] N. C. McMaster and R. Cook, 'The contribution of intersectionality to quantitative research into educational inequalities', *Rev. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/rev3.3116.

[7] Z. Chen, D. van Lierop, and D. Ettema, 'Dockless bike-sharing systems: what are the implications?', *Transp. Rev.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/01441647.2019.1710306.

[8] E. G. Epps, 'Race, class, and educational opportunity: Trends in the sociology of education', *Sociol. Forum*, 1995, doi: 10.1007/BF02095770.

CHAPTER 10

AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROMISING APPROACHES FOR EFFECTIVE LEARNING

Dr. Anita Walia, Assistant Professor, Department of Management,
JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id- anita@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Effective learning is a critical component of human development and growth, and there are various approaches and strategies that have been developed to enhance the learning process. This paper explores promising approaches for effective learning that have been identified through research and practice. These approaches include active learning, self-directed learning, personalized learning, and technology-enhanced learning. The paper discusses the benefits of each approach, as well as their potential challenges and limitations. It concludes that by using a combination of these approaches, learners can enhance their ability to acquire and apply new knowledge and skills in a wide range of contexts.

KEYWORDS:

Education, Personalized Learning, Self-directed Learning, Skills, Strategies, Technology-Enhanced Learning.

INTRODUCTION

Sociology is the scientific study of society, human behavior, and social interactions. As a discipline, sociology encompasses a broad range of topics, including social stratification, social change, culture, and socialization. Effective learning in sociology is essential to understand these complex concepts and to become an informed citizen in today's globalized world. In recent years, numerous promising approaches have emerged to enhance the effectiveness of sociology education, including active learning, flipped classrooms, and online learning. These approaches provide innovative ways to engage students, promote critical thinking, and enhance learning outcomes. This paper will explore the promising approaches for effective learning in sociology and discuss their potential benefits for students and educators.

While concrete answers are still difficult, there are intriguing new possibilities for reconciling the objectives of commonality and differentiation in selecting kids for teaching at this time. Two strategiesraising standards for low achievers in differentiated classes and offering varied learning opportunities in mixed-ability classroomsare supported by recent results and should be tested further in research and practice. Regardless of how students are grouped for class, close observation of the type and quality of teaching as well as the relationship between instruction and accomplishment will be crucial to assessing both strategies[1].

Raising Standards for Low-achieving Students

Years of tracking research have led to the practical conclusion that low-level, dead-end courses should be discontinued. Even for kids with low ability levels in these areas, high school courses like general maths and business English do not adequately prepare pupils for post-secondary prospects and are less successful than standard courses like algebra and

college-preparatory English. Despite this finding, varied classrooms may still be a useful strategy for setting up kids for learning provided they get relevant training at all ability levels.

Oakes and other tracking opponents contend that because tracking is inherently stratifying, it is impossible to provide low-achieving kids in ability-grouped classrooms with appropriate education. In fact, there aren't many instances of excellent teaching in low-ability classrooms. However, recent research from throughout the world demonstrates that diverse learning environments for low achievers may be successful provided they are linked to important objectives, such examinations that are in line with the curriculum and offer access to employment and further education. Studies from Taiwan and Israel showed that academic programs with differentiation can lead to less inequality than those with fewer levels where low-achieving students lack access to valuable incentives. This is in contrast to systems with fewer levels. Other studies from Scotland and Australia found that when the degree of tracking was lowered and academic standards in the lower-level courses were raised, the detrimental impacts of tracking for poor performers decreased. A relevant evaluation that was valuable to students in both lower-level and higher-level classrooms was a common element throughout all four situations.

Do these results apply to the US, where low-achieving students often don't get substantial rewards for their efforts or performances? The finding that Catholic schools achieve smaller achievement gaps between tracks than public schools by offering more rigorous instruction in low tracks, as well as examples of successful low-track instruction in Catholic schools and restructured public schools, only serve to highlight the possibility of exceptions rather than demonstrating that improving low-track instruction by raising overall standards is a workable reform strategy for the US. In theory, the present focus on accountability based on test scores in the US would encourage schools to develop successful low-ability courses in order to satisfy accountability requirements. Accountability-driven monitoring, however, does not seem to be any more successful for low achievers than other types of tracking, according to the available research.

One may identify at least three components that would need to improve for low-track courses to be more successful based on the international work: First, evaluations that students worked towards would need to be connected to futures that students could clearly see having more significance than they do now. At the moment, students are pressured to perform on multiple-choice exams whose underlying standards are hidden from them and which require fragmented knowledge rather than a comprehensive understanding of the material that extends beyond the examination itself. Second, incentives for both students and schools would need to be included in the evaluations; at the moment, schools are held accountable for student achievement, but not the students themselves. It would be necessary to provide both positive and negative incentives, not only negative consequences like denial of a high school graduation, such as access to employment and/or post-secondary education. Third, there would need to be a closer alignment than has generally been the case in the US between the course material and the assessment [2].

Differentiating Instruction in Mixed-Ability Settings

The results of recent research are encouraging enough to justify additional attempts, despite the fact that detracting is still a difficult solution with more instances of failure than success. There are numerous elements that consistently appear in reports of successful education in mixed-ability courses. First of all, the success stories all acknowledge that students come to class with a variety of abilities and interests. The successful situations cited by Burris, Gamoran, and Connor, as well as their colleagues, are not examples of instructors acting

uniformly towards all of their pupils. Teachers adjusted their teaching instead to the differences amongst the pupils. Second, and therefore, every example that was effective featured differentiated teaching in a mixed-ability environment. Differentiation in the secondary school situations described by Burris, Gamoran, and their colleagues entailed making extra instruction accessible to students who had trouble understanding the course topics. According to Connor's study on primary school pupils, differentiation included carefully examining students' ability levels, linking those abilities to specific instructional techniques, and setting up students for instruction within classrooms in a manner that matched skill levels with instructional approaches. Third, the instructors in each of these situations had access to crucial tools that enabled them to enhance and adapt education to the requirements of the pupils. Future initiatives would benefit from keeping these factors in mind.

Combining Research on Tracking with Research on Teaching

The optimal way to arrange pupils for education should have a clear answer after a century of study on tracking and ability grouping. However, the problem still exists since commonality and distinction are uncomfortably close to one another, every strategy has both benefits and drawbacks, and the effects of various solutions vary depending on the situation. However, by concentrating on the education given to students allocated to class in various methods, research over the last ten years has produced significant advancements. The most effective strategy to continue to shed light on this subject of ongoing interest is to combine study on tracking with research on teaching since, in the end, how pupils are organised matters less than the education they get.

i. The author acknowledges Michelle Robinson for her valuable research support and Cathy Loeb for her superb editing.

ii. The phrases "tracking" and "ability grouping" are often used synonymously in US writing. I refer to all the many types of structural differentiation for teaching under the umbrella word "tracking" in order to keep things simple. I refer to the practice of splitting students into distinct classes for each of their academic topics as "tracking" when describing various forms, and I refer to the practice of splitting students into classes according to their abilities as "ability grouping" when referring to subject-specific class division. This use is comparable to the many interpretations of "streaming" and "setting" in the UK. I use the terms "between-school grouping" to describe systems in which students are assigned to different schools targeted to different futures based on varied academic performance and "within-class ability grouping" to describe the use of instructional groups within class for a particular subject.

iii. Since the models estimated by Betts, Shkolnik, and Page are based on highly solid hypotheses, special care should be used when interpreting their findings. The results of Betts and Shkolnik are based on comparisons of classes with identical teacher-reported ability levels that were situated in schools with different principal reports about the use of tracking in mathematics. However, independent of the principal's assessment, teacher reports of class ability levels may indicate between-class ability grouping. Figlio and Page used the two- and three-way interactions between the number of courses needed to graduate, the number of schools in the county, and the percentage of county voters who supported President Reagan in 1984 as their tools for tracking assignment indicators. The estimations of track impacts would be undermined by weak instruments and may be biased towards zero.

DISCUSSION

Effective education is decisive for the expansion and growth of individuals. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in identifying promising approaches for effective learning that can improve the learning process and outcomes. This discussion will explore some of the most promising approaches that have been identified through research and practice. One of the most effective approaches to learning is active learning, which involves engaging learners in the learning process actively. This approach emphasizes hands-on activities, group work, and problem-solving tasks, among others. Active learning encourages learners to think critically and independently, which can improve their retention and application of knowledge. Self-directed learning is another approach that has gained popularity in recent years[3], [4].

This approach involves giving learners control over their learning experience, allowing them to choose the topics they want to learn and the pace at which they learn. This approach is particularly effective for adult learners who have specific learning goals and prefer to take responsibility for their learning. Personalized learning is another promising approach that has been gaining momentum in recent years. This approach involves tailoring the learning experience to meet the needs and preferences of individual learners. Personalized learning can involve adapting the curriculum, instruction, and assessment to suit the unique learning styles and abilities of each learner. Technology-enhanced learning is also a promising approach that has transformed the learning experience. This approach involves integrating technology tools, such as online platforms, multimedia resources, and simulations, into the learning process. Technology-enhanced learning can improve access to learning resources, facilitate communication and collaboration, and enhance engagement and motivation[5]–[7].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, effective learning is essential for personal and professional development, and the identification of promising approaches to enhance the learning process is crucial. This discussion has explored several promising approaches to effective learning, including active learning, self-directed learning, personalized learning, and technology-enhanced learning. Each approach offers unique strategies and methods that can improve the learning experience by promoting critical thinking, independence, and engagement, tailoring the learning experience to meet the needs and preferences of individual learners, and integrating technology tools into the learning process. By using a combination of these approaches, learners can enhance their ability to acquire and apply new knowledge and skills in a wide range of contexts. Future research and practice in effective learning should continue to explore and evaluate promising approaches to support lifelong learning and professional growth.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Xia *et al.*, ‘Graph Learning: A Survey’, *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*. 2021. doi: 10.1109/TAI.2021.3076021.

[2] J. Janssen, F. Kirschner, G. Erkens, P. A. Kirschner, and F. Paas, ‘Making the black box of collaborative learning transparent: Combining process-oriented and cognitive load approaches’, *Educational Psychology Review*. 2010. doi: 10.1007/s10648-010-9131-x.

[3] B. Bezawada, I. Ray, and I. Ray, ‘Behavioral fingerprinting of Internet-of-Things devices’, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Data Min. Knowl. Discov.*, 2021, doi: 10.1002/widm.1337.

[4] E. J. Devinney, A. Hecht, and W. Remmele, 'Maschinelles Lernen', *Siemens Forschungs- und Entwicklungsberichte/Siemens Res. Dev. Reports*, 1988, doi: 10.3139/9783446472457.008.

[5] D. Yu, B. Yang, D. Liu, H. Wang, and S. Pan, 'Recent Advances in Neural-symbolic Systems: A Survey', *arXiv*, 2021.

[6] A. Krouska, C. Troussas, and M. Virvou, 'Applying genetic algorithms for student grouping in collaborative learning: A synthetic literature review', *Intell. Decis. Technol.*, 2020, doi: 10.3233/idt-190184.

[7] L. C. Mutalemwa and S. Shin, 'A classification of the enabling techniques for low latency and reliable communications in 5G and beyond: Ai-enabled edge caching', *IEEE Access*, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3037357.

CHAPTER 11

AN OVERVIEW OF THE ECONOMIC GLOBALIZATION, SKILL FORMATION AND THE CONSEQUENCES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Dr. Shruthi K Bekal, Assistant Professor,
Department of Management, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id- shruthi_b2015@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The relationship between economic globalization, skill formation and higher education. Economic globalization has created a demand for workers with advanced technical and cognitive skills, leading to changes in the nature of work and the structure of employment. In response to these changes, higher education institutions have developed new curricula and teaching methods to prepare students for the evolving job market. However, these changes have also created new challenges for higher education institutions, including increasing competition for resources and pressure to produce graduates with the skills demanded by employers. This paper reviews the literature on the impact of economic globalization on higher education and provides a framework for understanding the relationship between skill formation, economic globalization and higher education. The paper concludes with a discussion of the implications of these changes for policy makers, higher education institutions, and students.

KEYWORDS:

Consequences, Economic Globalization, Higher Education, Skill Formation, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

The narratives used to support economic policies in Britain and the United States during the last ten years have remarkable similarities to the narratives used to support the advantages of globalization and the information economy. Similar to how we've been taught that eliminating the economic cycle would put a stop to boom and bust, the emergence of the "knowledge" economy was followed by promises that those who invested in education would reap huge returns. According to management expert Peter Drucker, we are in a new phase of capitalism that will result in a major transfer of power from capital owners and managers to knowledge workers. They would gain control, which would also provide more freedom, innovation, and rewards. Politicians and policymakers sold the public on this narrative, which put education at the forefront of discussions about social fairness and economic competitiveness. In this case, Drucker's ideas are consistent with those of Bell, a trailblazer who believed that the historical shift from blue-collar to white-collar work would significantly increase the demand for educated workers and give them more freedom in their paid work[1].

This alluring picture has a basic flaw in that it ignores the dynamics of power and the needs of capitalist economies. There is considerable doubt that difficulties pertaining to the management of knowledge work have been connected to economic globalization, and that there have been major changes in the division of labour and the nature of labour in developed

capitalist countries. Though only a minority of knowledge workers have benefited from these changes, the majority are now faced with routinization brought on by intense global competitive pressures and a labour market for high-skilled, low-paying work. As a result, only a minority of knowledge workers have benefited from these changes. Routinization has emerged as a result of the digital Taylorism process, which we discuss below, while the market for high-skilled, low-paid employment has given rise to a worldwide auction in which high-skilled work is awarded to those who provide the lowest price. The link between education and the economy is contested by these activities. In turn, current ideas need to be fundamentally reframed. The point stated here, however, is especially pertinent to the economies of the West, but in India and China, things seem different since these same patterns have led to the emergence of a new class of super-rich alongside a burgeoning middle class.

Education and Capitalism

Consensus and conflict theories may be used to analyses the link between education and the economy. The consensus perspective, which holds that the knowledge economy is the culmination of a historical process in which education has become more and more important as new technologies have been produced, is exemplified by Drucker's point of view. This is because it is believed that as technology advance, a workforce with a higher level of education would be needed. As more individuals get the education needed for higher-paying jobs, this results in increased prospects for upward social mobility and a decrease in poverty. According to Drucker, the emergence of what he terms the knowledge economy also alters the power dynamics between the well-educated and capital, since the latter increasingly relies on the former's knowledge[2].

According to the human capital idea, if people invest in their education, they will be fairly compensated in the job market because of their increased potential for productivity, which will translate into high pay. Human capital theory's more complex offshoot is known as skill bias theory. It has gained popularity because it acknowledges that some uses of technology can be skill-replacing, meaning that workers are deskilled, either because technologies make their jobs easier through routinization or because they are merely replaced by machines. The advent of new technology, according to skill bias theorists, has actually raised the need for educated workers for the reasons that human capital theory predicts. The link between education and the economy is therefore seen by a variety of complex ideas as being essential to social and economic advancement.

Contrary to the consensus approach, conflict theorists have argued that education should be seen as a site of group conflict, in which social justice and economic development are not only separable, but in which these relationships are also far more nuanced than consensus theorists assume. Perhaps because of these factors, most conflict theorists have concentrated on educational disparities in connection to state policies, patriarchal and racist systems, and social class, rather than the underlying economic processes. When it comes to social justice, both of the main conflict traditions neo-Marxist and neo-Weberian agree that education is a place of struggle, albeit they have different ideas about what kind of fight it is. For the former, schooling helps to discipline and socialize future employees into capitalist employment and their social class station in life, as most vividly shown in the correspondence principle of Bowles and Gintis [3].

The disparities in life prospects between working-class pupils and their richer colleagues from the executive and managerial ruling class are likewise reproduced as a result. Therefore, there is a correlation between the characteristics of a socially stratified educational system

and the requirements of capitalism. Neo-Weberian thinkers and others have regarded education as a contest between competing groups for credentials. They highlight a variety of deliberate and unintentional tactics used by management and professional elites to rig the credentials market in their favor. But unlike consensus theorists, they can also explain why the link between credentials and the labour market is equally problematic. To begin with, they contend that the crucial role that credentials play in connecting education to the labour market renders any kind of direct connection between education, productivity, and economic development unlikely. Credentials are a pretty harsh tool for the selection and sorting of workers since they may not accurately represent the knowledge and abilities that employees possess or the sorts of talents required for the employment. Credentials are a positional good, which implies that their value is socially defined. This is important to note. It follows that students who have access to the resources to further their education for example, those from professional middle-class backgrounds will benefit. When a particular educational qualification, like a bachelor's degree, is oversupplied, it loses market value and students must earn a higher degree to restore their value as skilled workers. Studies that have been done to determine how much of a demand for a certain credential is driven by positional competition inflation against job upskilling reveal that both play a big effect in the amount of credential that employers seek.

Finally, it's important to recognize that these crucial components of the connection between nation-state capitalism and education are probably incompatible with one another. The next section will demonstrate how, as capitalism has shifted from being centered on the nation-state to economic globalization, new types of contradiction are now blatantly apparent in the West. However, some remarks on the limits of both consensus and conflict methods in light of economic globalization are required before looking at the causes of these inconsistencies [4].

The consensus approach's view of how education contributes to economic growth via the push provided by new and more advanced kinds of technology is one of its strongest points. However, it is a hypothesis that is restricted to either national borders or a perspective on economic globalization, according to which graduates from America's and Britain's stronger educational systems would prevail in the race for highly skilled jobs. The description of the global restructuring of labour and the labour processes we give here, however, raises questions about the suitability of conflict and consensus theories. Existing conflict theories have a clear advantage in explaining why professional and managerial elites benefit from education at the expense of students from lower socioeconomic classes, but they have little to no theoretical support in explaining how economic globalization affects national education systems and job markets.

Changes in the Global Division of Labour

We shall turn to an exposition of a study of the skill formation strategies of transnational companies, because they have been at the center of the changes in the global demand for skilled workers, in order to demonstrate why economic globalization challenges both consensus and conflict theorists and to identify the trends that have led to the contradictions between higher education and the labour market in the West. We would say that the three aspects of the current phase of economic globalization, especially as it relates to higher education, challenge both conflict and consensus interpretations of the connection between education and the economy. The first of these is the emergence of a global auction for high-skilled work, which has been made possible in part by the large number of excellent graduates from emerging economies, particularly in the East; the second is the growth of digital Taylorism, which has the potential to routinize much of what was once considered

knowledge work; and the third is the resulting new divisions within managerial and technical jobs. In turn, these modifications have endangered several middle-class occupations and increased competitive rivalry for admission to top colleges, leading to a considerable mismatch between the need for "knowledge" employees and the level of higher education[5].

Higher Education and the Global Auction for High-skilled Jobs

The fast rise in the worldwide supply of highly trained employees has been facilitated by the quick development of higher education in China, India, and Russia. We discovered that tertiary-level enrollments almost quadrupled within a decade, from 33.4 million in 1995 to 62.9 million in 2005, based on our examination of enrolments for 98 developing and industrialized nations. The enrollment in senior secondary schools, which has soared from 26% to roughly 60% since 1990, may be the most amazing education-related number in China. In order to accomplish this growth, the Ministry of Education constructed over 250 new teacher-training institutes and provided competent graduate teachers with improved housing, compensation, and healthcare. On the other hand, involvement in higher education climbed from little more than 3% in 1990 to 22% in 2006. The need to "make efforts in improving education quality, so as to train millions of high-caliber workers, thousands of special talents, and a large number of outstanding innovative talents for the socialist modernization drive" was acknowledged in an official policy statement on employment prospects to 2020, which reflected a broader strategy.

It is salutary to remember that the expansion of higher education has not been restricted to OECD member states or the BRIC nations of Brazil, Russia, India, and China, even though these data should be viewed as indicative and kept in mind that enrollments do not tell us how many actually enter the global job market on an annual basis due to high levels of drop-out in some countries. Poland and Turkey are making quick progress, tripling their participation rates between 1995 and 2005, while Ukraine and Mexico have greater enrollment rates than the United Kingdom [6].

Due to the availability of educated workers, TNCs have been able to establish a new spatial division of labour for highly specialized tasks like product creation, research, and innovation. The skill strategies of TNCs show that this is no longer the case; whilst it was originally believed that the 'brain' labour would be done in the West, primarily the United States because of the high level of higher education, and the 'body' work would be done in East Asia. As a result, it is now theoretically possible for high-quality, highly skilled work to be performed in East Asia for a small fraction of the cost of labour in the West, thanks to a worldwide auction. For instance, the cost of a chip designer in the United States is $300,000 per year as opposed to $28,000 in Shanghai. Middle-class students in these nations are no longer guaranteed the type of work described by Drucker in which graduates could expect high-paying, high-status jobs with a high degree of autonomy. As a result of this global auction, where TNCs can choose where to locate highly skilled work while knowing that the quality of the work will be the same whether it is performed in Shanghai, Los Angeles, or Stuttgart However, there are additional mechanisms at play that also undercut these hopes.

Digital Taylorism

The fact that the mainstream explanation of the knowledge economy and knowledge workers lacks historical context is one of its major flaws. Following creative outbursts, work becomes routine in order to generate money. Knowledge workers are too costly and challenging to manage in the current global competitive market, thus numerous initiatives are now being undertaken to codify, standardize, and convert knowledge work into functional know- lege.

Working knowledge is offered to organizations as software programs and prescriptions that can be used by less trained employees, as opposed to being locked within one person's mind for which he or she may charge a premium. We refer to the routinization of production platforms and procedures in offices and factories as "digital Taylorism" because innovations can be converted into a series of procedures that may require some education but not the kind of creativity and independence of judgement that are frequently associated with the rhetoric of the knowledge economy: the technological revolution in software that can convert knowledge work into working knowledge has been crucial to this process.

Thus, once routine knowledge work has been standardized by software protocols, TNCs will be able to transport work anywhere in the world where it is most affordable. It is evident that even highly skilled employment is being targeted in a similar manner that craft knowledge was seized by businesses in the creation of Fordist assembly-line manufacturing, despite the fact that it formerly believed impossible for high-skilled labour to be codified. For instance, legal companies are increasingly sending their casework preparation to locations like Manila. This routinization of knowledge work has contributed to a fundamental division within what were once considered high-skilled, middle-class jobs. But there are further sources of fracture within the middle classes created by the ideology of the ‘war for talent’.

Divisions within managerial and technical occupations

The nature of skills and rewards is fundamentally altering within the processes we have detailed above, leading to considerable splits in what were formerly thought of as middle-class employment for graduates. Corporations clearly distinguish between those they consider "talented," who are typically fast-tracked into senior managerial positions, and those who are deemed worthy, loyal, and committed but lack the necessary qualifications for leadership positions instead of using a career ladder. The employees who do regular knowledge work are listed below them. The 'battle for talent' concept contends that despite widespread higher education, only a select group of very exceptional graduates are qualified to hold executive positions in significant corporations. It is said that leadership roles in global firms today need a variety of abilities that weren't necessary when businesses were integrated into national economies. These new skill sets, which are only possessed by a tiny percentage of those considered to be "talented," are consequently highly rewarded. As a result, there is more rivalry for positions at prestigious colleges all around the world.

Due to their reputation for producing the most talented students, leading TNCs are drawn to the world's top institutions. Universities aggressively promote this viewpoint since higher education has become into a worldwide industry. The structuring of academic inquiry depends on faculty members and institutions having strong brands. Claims to have world-class standards rely on enrolling the right sorts of students while enlisting the best faculty and building partnerships with prestigious institutions throughout the globe. Because of the intense rivalry among colleges in the global market, institutions engage in the same reputational games as businesses.

Social Class and The Intensification of Positional Competition

Institutional and social class differences exist in higher education in both the UK and the US. The rivalry for admission to prestigious colleges has increased as a result of the worldwide auction for high-skilled professions since only those who get admitted can avoid a reverse auction for knowledge work. Recent studies on the socioeconomic profile of British universities reveal that top institutions are dominated by students from the upper socioeconomic range. For instance, Oxford had 90.2% of its students from the greatest

socioeconomic backgrounds in the academic year 2006–2007, followed by Cambridge with 88.5 percent[7].

In America, a similar narrative is true. The disparities in access to higher education in the US have been observed by Bowen et al. There are a number of causes behind this, but a few of them include the high expenses and special attention provided to graduates of prestigious colleges. Less than 10% of American households could pay the $35,000 annual tuition at the main three colleges of Harvard, Yale, and Princeton in 2000. This amount increased to $40,000 in 2004. While there was limited help for students in need, the majority paid the entire amount due. Even then, wealthier families seemed to have benefited from the offered scholarships. Most scholarship winners at Harvard had family incomes of above $70,000, and a quarter had incomes of over $100,000.5 Even if there is a decrease for low-income families, when this is translated into the percentage of family income that goes towards tuition fees, the latter pay an estimated 49% of family income. For unassisted students from rich households, the percentage of family income that is spent on tuition is just 21%.

It should come as no surprise that the dominant classes in America are overrepresented in terms of degrees, particularly those from prestigious colleges. In his article on the emerging super-class, David Rothkopf points out that 30% of the CEOs of the top American companies attended one of only 20 exclusive institutions, with Stanford, Harvard, and Chicago at the top of the list. He figures that they are much more educated than the overall population, with 91% having an undergraduate degree and 47% having a postgraduate degree. He lists the number of well-known CEOs who graduated from the Harvard Business School Class of 1979 in order to demonstrate how these prestigious institutions serve as the foundation for the creation of networks between students and alumni. There is a noticeable gap in pay between people who attended excellent colleges and those who attended less prominent schools, which makes sense given that TNCs are looking for the most "talented" from the elite universities. While Goldin and Katz report that graduates from Harvard attract a significant premium, with those, for example, entering finance occupations earning 195% above other occupations, Hussain et al. calculate that in Britain, those from elite universities earn twice as much as those from lower-ranked institutions. The salaries of people who attend prestigious colleges varies from those of those who do not, and not all graduates will have the same opportunities in the workforce or have the same academic experiences. Instead, it might be claimed that there is a shaky correlation between socioeconomic status, the sort of institution attended, extracurricular networking, and employment chances.

Naidoo and Jamieson have explored the area of higher education in Bordieuan terms as well as the effects of an institution's placement within the higher-education field on teaching and learning in an effort to investigate how consumerism has affected higher education. They contend that institutions with lower rankings are more likely to use pre-packaged learning materials, such as those obtained via e-learning technologies, and evaluation and pedagogy methods that restrict the assignments that students must complete. The information that is 'sent' will next be prepared and broken down into modules. In other words, given that most of the latter is built on pre-packaged modular routines, there seems to be a correlation between the sort of pedagogy and curriculum offered by lower-ranked colleges and the production of digital Taylorism work.

Opportunity Trap

According to the study presented above, the polarisation of professional middle-class occupations might result in a worsening of the rivalry among positions for admission to colleges. The result is that students will spend more in tuition for a lower wage upon

graduation. This is a trap since students have even less chance of finding decent employment with fair compensation if they do not participate in the higher education game. With the exception of those in the 90th percentile, male college graduates in the United States have witnessed a fall in their salaries from the middle of the 1970s to the present. The wages of non-college graduates have decreased dramatically over the same forty-year period, so even if the wages of university graduates decline, they will still earn a premium over non-university or college graduates, which is why those who have the option of attending university are trapped. Graduates in the United States have not fared as well as the rhetoric about the knowledge economy suggests.

A similar trend can be seen in Britain, where graduate incomes for all categories stagnated from 1991 to 2000 despite an increase in weekly hours of work of half a day. A more recent survey found that by 2008, a third of graduates who began their studies at universities in England around the time when student fees were implemented in 1998 were still owing money. In England, graduates don't begin repaying their state-backed loans until they earn at least £15,000 before taxes. The information on graduation earnings does not surprise me in this case.

Furthermore, the majority of graduates are unlikely to get any relief from the current economic crisis. The higher education industry is going through a financial crisis that will affect it. The ability to achieve the current participation goal of 50% of an age cohort enrolling in higher education in the UK is also in doubt. Because of the enormous public debt, it's possible that some colleges may have to shut. Positional rivalry will become even more fierce in this situation. In addition, tuition costs are projected to increase, particularly at prestigious colleges. Many middle-class students will be discouraged by this even without the economic crisis, much less pupils from working-class backgrounds. Finally, since elite universities' research is seen as a source of comparative advantage globally, governments will always fund them, which will increase the positional struggle for admission to elite institutions.

The Theoretical Implications for Changes in Education and the Global Division of Labor

For both consensus and conflict theorists, the trends we have detailed in this study create basic issues. We identified several strands of the consensus theory tradition, including Drucker's prediction that knowledge workers would move to the centre of power, the human capital theory, which presupposes that graduate returns on education will be highly rewarded due to higher productivity, and the skill bias theory, which asserts that new technology is complementary to skills upgrading because more highly skilled workers are needed, particularly in relation to ICT, to operate the new technological advances However, it is clear right away that the processes we have described have not given knowledge workers more power; on the contrary, the dissolution of the middle classes has resulted in variable returns on investments in higher education that are likely to decline as middle-class jobs are lost to foreign countries. Because so much of the knowledge and capacity for autonomous decision-making and initiative have been removed, the process of digital Taylorism is what skill bias theorists refer to as skill replacement. Finally, it is evident from recent studies by human capital and skill bias theorists that the effects of the global division of labour for knowledge workers on national, in this instance American, graduation prospects have not been acknowledged.

Regarding the conflict tradition, the emphasis has been on the social justice agenda, in particular, the financial and cultural inequities that are caused by social class, patriarchal, and

racist systems that have an effect on children's education. On how state policies combine with the goals of the professional and managerial social strata to generate the well-documented disparities in life chances [8]. This agenda was created in part to spark discussion on educational disparities across countries and potential solutions. However, we would like to point out two things in relation to the arguments made here: first, since nation-state policies and the labour market interact to structure social classes, we are unable to comprehend how class and education are changing unless we can grasp how the labour market is changing. The nature of the class struggle for credentials and, consequently, the issues of social justice are being fundamentally altered by changes in the global division of labour, which raises concerns about the function of the nation-state and the main areas of interest for conflict theorists in their efforts to address educational inequalities.

Here, we shown how these changes will cause conflicts between higher education and capitalism, if not outright contradictions. We have drawn attention to two linked tensions. The first relates to the opportunity trap, where middle-class families will spend more money on higher education for a lower return on investment for their children's future employment. The second concern is with the salaries, standard of living, and prospects that people in Britain and America may anticipate. The majority of Americans no longer think that obtaining a decent education and working hard would guarantee them stable employment and financial stability. This, in turn, violates the fundamental pact that people have had with the government under which Americans, and perhaps Britons, have seen education as the key to opportunity and prosperity for the past thirty years: a pact under which the government would provide workers with the educational opportunities they needed to become employable as long as they were highly motivated and invested in their education. The effects of breaching this contract are difficult to predict at this period of economic downturn, but we anticipate they would be significant.

DISCUSSION

Economic globalization has had significant consequences for higher education, particularly in terms of skill formation. The globalization of the economy has led to an increased demand for highly skilled workers, which has in turn led to a greater emphasis on higher education and the acquisition of specialized skills. One of the most significant consequences of economic globalization has been the increased competition for jobs. With the rise of global trade and the outsourcing of jobs to lower-cost countries, workers in developed countries are facing greater competition from their counterparts in developing nations. In order to remain competitive in this new global economy, workers need to acquire specialized skills that are in high demand. This has led to a growing demand for higher education and the development of new programs and courses designed to provide students with the skills they need to succeed in the global marketplace. Another consequence of economic globalization has been the changing nature of work itself. With the rise of automation and the increasing use of technology in the workplace, many traditional jobs are becoming obsolete. This has led to a growing demand for workers with specialized skills in fields such as computer programming, data analysis, and engineering.

As a result, higher education institutions are responding by offering more programs in these fields, as well as providing training and professional development opportunities for current workers to acquire these skills. At the same time, economic globalization has also created new opportunities for higher education institutions. With the rise of international trade and the globalization of business, universities and colleges are now able to attract students from all over the world. This has led to an increase in the number of international students, which has in turn created new opportunities for institutions to expand their programs and offer new

courses and degrees. However, economic globalization has also presented new challenges for higher education institutions. As the demand for higher education grows, so does the cost of providing it. This has led to a growing concern about the affordability of higher education and the accessibility of it to those who need it most. In addition, the changing nature of work has led to a greater emphasis on lifelong learning and the need for workers to continuously update their skills. This presents a challenge for higher education institutions to provide flexible and accessible learning opportunities that meet the needs of today's workforce.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, economic globalization has brought about significant changes in the global economy, which has in turn led to a growing demand for specialized skills and higher education. Higher education institutions have responded by offering new programs and courses to meet the needs of the changing workforce, as well as attracting international students to expand their reach. However, the rising cost of higher education and the need for flexible and accessible learning opportunities present ongoing challenges for institutions. As economic globalization continues to shape the global economy, higher education institutions must remain adaptive to ensure they continue to meet the evolving needs of students and the workforce.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Brown and H. Lauder, 'Economic globalisation, skill formation and the consequences for higher education', in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-28.

[2] D. Daruich and R. Fernández, 'Universal Basic Income: A Dynamic Assessment', *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2021, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3626852.

[3] V. R. Yeravdekar and G. Tiwari, 'Internationalization of Higher Education and its Impact on Enhancing Corporate Competitiveness and Comparative Skill Formation', *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.11.023.

[4] L. Graf, 'Work-based higher education programmes in Germany and the US: Comparing multi-actor corporatist governance in higher education', *Policy Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/14494035.2017.1278872.

[5] O. Momot *et al.*, 'Composition of personality education of future teachers during the creation of health environment at higher education institution', *J. Phys. Educ. Sport*, 2021, doi: 10.7752/jpes.2021.s5373.

[6] R. Radhakrishna, 'Towards Reforming the Education System in India', *Indian Public Policy Rev.*, 2020, doi: 10.55763/ippr.2020.01.02.003.

[7] A. Wirawan, R. Saraswati, N. Sa'adah, and E. N. Sinaga, 'Preventing corporate corruption through strengthening accounting education in higher education', *Test Eng. Manag.*, 2020.

[8] M. K. Christensen, J. Henriksen, K. R. Thomsen, O. Lund, and A. M. Mørcke, 'Positioning health professional identity: On-campus training and work-based learning', *High. Educ. Ski. Work. Learn.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/HESWBL-01-2017-0004.

CHAPTER 12

AN ELABORATION OF THE INTERSECTION OF URBAN POLICIES, EDUCATION, AND POVERTY

Mr. R. Thanga Kumar, Assistant Professor,
Department of Management, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India,
Email Id- thanga@cms.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The complex intersection of urban policies, education, and poverty. In many cities around the world, poverty and educational attainment are closely linked, with poor neighborhoods often lacking access to quality education and facing other systemic challenges. The paper examines the role those urban policies, such as zoning and school districting, play in perpetuating or alleviating poverty and educational disparities. Additionally, the paper discusses various approaches to addressing poverty and educational inequalities in urban areas, including community-based initiatives, policy reforms, and innovative education models. Ultimately, the paper argues that addressing poverty and educational disparities requires a comprehensive and coordinated approach that involves multiple stakeholders, including government, community organizations, educators, and families.

KEYWORDS:

Education, Intersectionality, Policies, Poverty, Urban.

INTRODUCTION

The complex issues of poverty, education, and urban policies are deeply intertwined and have a significant impact on the lives of individuals and communities. The intersection of these issues poses a considerable challenge for policymakers, educators, and community leaders who are working towards creating sustainable solutions to address poverty and its associated problems. Urban policies play a critical role in shaping the social and economic landscape of urban areas, while education is a vital tool for individuals to break out of the cycle of poverty. This essay will explore the intersection of urban policies, education, and poverty and examine the ways in which these issues are interconnected.

I go through the connection between urban political economy and urban schooling in this chapter. I concentrate on the interaction between urban reshaping globalized neoliberal political and economic forces and education policy. I start with an outline of urban reorganization before moving on to a particular instance. This example shows that the heightened economic, social, and geographical disparities that characterize cities in the global economy may also influence education policy, in addition to being formed by neoliberal urbanism.[1].

Neoliberal Globalization and Urban Restructuring

The dominant political and economic paradigm in our day is neoliberalism. The neoliberal agenda liberalises trade, lowers the cost of labour, and uses financialization as a primary method of capital accumulation. It also extends the logic of the market to every region of the

world and every aspect of social life. The end effect is a significant upward transfer of wealth that is concentrated in the hands of a few global elite and leads to a rise in global economic inequality. Cities are concentrated representations of these disparities in a variety of economic circumstances, with new geographies of centrality and marginality. The liberalization of international commodities markets and the structural adjustment policies imposed on economically "developing" nations in the 1980s have destabilized peasants and furthered the poverty of rural regions. Cities have therefore transformed into havens for millions of displaced and destitute farmers and laborers.

Cities and big urbanized regions have evolved into important geographical units in the spatial reorganization of the new global division of labour as economic activity is reterritorialized at all spatial scales. They also serve as the locations of a variety of various political, economic, and cultural global links. On the one hand, "global cities," such New York, London, Tokyo, and So Paulo, serve as the central hubs of the world's financial and industrial networks. According to this viewpoint, global cities are the top of a hierarchy of urban areas that also includes international cultural hotspots like Bilbao, global niche cities like Miami, tiny towns characterized by the capital accumulation characteristic of global cities, and deteriorating post-industrial communities like Adelaide. Post-colonial thinkers, however, emphasize the transnational linkages between "ordinary cities" in previously colonized nations.

They call attention to a variety of global urban functions, such as Manila's position as the center of a network of poorly paid migrant labour circuits. The "production hearths" of the world economy have also begun to take shape in large metropolitan centers in the global South. Additionally, there are distinct historical trajectories of colonialism, imperialism, post-colonialism, and socialism that connect with local and national institutions, ideologies, and power relations. However, there are recurring patterns that have substantial effects on city dwellers and urban schooling. I discuss some of these developments and how they relate to schooling in one kind of metropolitan setting a global city in North America in the sections that follow. My talk aims to provide an example of a method for comprehending how neoliberal urbanization and education overlap[2].

Urbanization and Inequality

The rate of urbanisation in the globe is unparalleled. Mike Davis predicted in 2006 that the world's urban population will soon surpass its rural counterpart for the first time. Almost all of the population growth in the globe will take place in urban areas, and 95 percent of it will do so in emerging nations. Urban areas are also changing, with the emergence of many more mid-sized cities as well as megacities with populations over 8 million, extended hyper cities with populations over 20 million, conurbations of urban areas, extended urban networks, and metropolitan regions.

The processes of severe inequality, marginality, and centrality that define the global economy as a whole are concentrated in urban regions. While the USA, its Western allies, and certain nations in Eastern Europe and Asia have benefited from the new international order, the majority of former European colonies have lost progress.

Davis points out that, in contrast to urbanization fueled by the expansion of capitalism in Europe in the eighteenth century, low-wage labour is more prevalent in developing-nation cities than capital is. However, inequality has grown in both wealthy and underdeveloped nations, with pockets of extreme prosperity, transnational knowledge workers, and world elites coexisting alongside enclaves of low-wage and unorganized workers who live in abject poverty. Cities as different as Mumbai, So Paulo, Beijing, and Los Angeles all exhibit this tendency.

Cities in the global North and the global South are characterized by, in short, accelerating urbanization, new patterns of social and spatial exclusion, increased inequalities and degradation of quality of life for those living in poverty, which in many cities is the vast majority, informalization of labour and housing, and cultural fusions and transnational identities produced by labour migrations and peasantry displacement. Urban education systems often value some languages, cultures, and identities more than others, which leads to inequities in educational provision, access, and results. The political and economic processes and policies that are transforming their environments undoubtedly have an influence on schools. However, educational practices play a significant role in these situations and serve as significant sites of resistance.

The Political Economy of Urban Education

The urban, according to Harvey's argument in social justice and the city, is "a vantage point from which to catch certain conspicuous qualities working in society as a whole; it becomes almost like a mirror in which other parts of society might be reflected. Cities with a high concentration of metropolitan areas are in particular "the locus of the accumulated contradictions of a society." They group significant institutions of culture, finance, society, and politics together with groups of low-income and marginalized people who are not included in these institutions. Given the social climate of neoliberalism and opposition at the outset of the twenty-first century, this insight is even more prophetic. This dialectic is playing out in urban areas in conflicts over what Henri Lefebvre famously referred to as "the right to the city." Education is crucial in these battles[3].

Gerald Grace argued against the predominate policy science approach to the examination of urban education issues in the USA and UK in a pioneering work on the critical analysis of urban education. Grace opposed "technical and immediately realizable within-the-system solutions to urban education issues abstracted from the urban setting, drawing on C. Wright Mills' criticism of "abstracted empiricism" in sociology. He urged the development of "critical policy scholarship" that places urban education in its theoretical and sociological setting within the broader social, economic, political, and cultural contexts of society. Critical policy study sheds light on the political, economic, and social difficulties that education faces and inspires social action for social justice. An underlying presumption is that distinct interests and power interactions give rise to values, which are then expressed via policy. Grace points out that this calls for a multidisciplinary strategy that incorporates both urban sociology and urban studies. Rury and Mirel made a similarly similar criticism, claiming that "educational researchers too often accept the urban environment as a given natural setting, rather than one that has itself been determined by larger economic and political processes." They developed a political economy of US urban education that placed problems of power at the forefront of the agenda for urban study, notably the roles played by race and money in defining urban space.

Building on these revelations and extending Grace's interdisciplinary approach, I draw on research in urban studies, critical geography, and urban sociology to provide light on how schooling affects the material and cultural reorganization of urban space along many axes of power. The work of critical geographers, who see space as a fundamental feature of capitalist accumulation, sheds light on the spatial reconfiguration of urban education and its link to urban growth. The spatial fix, as defined by Harvey, is where this dynamic is situated. To create a new locational grid for the accumulation of capital, the geographical organization of capital, including the actual locations of industrial facilities, cities-built environments, and sites of consumption, is destroyed and recreated elsewhere. Investment in and disinvestment from schools, funding disparities based on class and ethnicity, and policies that promote

student social diversity are all involved in this process. Cultural geographers also focus on how socially constructed ideas about particular areas are used to perpetuate power and control everyday life. Once again, disputed depictions of urban schools are connected to assertions about the city[4].

Neoliberal Urbanism

In the USA and the UK, social democratic urban programs were gradually abandoned beginning with the economic crises of the 1970s. Neoliberal policies were implemented in the succeeding decades by capital to assure capital accumulation in a variety of cities throughout the world. Cities, particularly their suburban peripheries, have grown in importance as geographical targets and institutional testing grounds for many neoliberal policy experiments in this environment. Contrary to neoliberalism's notion of less government, practical neoliberalism requires state intervention on behalf of capital, first to overthrow established institutional structures and subsequently to build a new framework for capital accumulation. Discursively, markets and entrepreneurship have replaced equality and redistribution as the pillars of urban government. Cities from Madrid to Beijing are engaged in place marketing in the worldwide struggle for investment, tourism, highly trained "creative" employees, and manufacturing facilities, including the commercial services that underpin globalization.

Neoliberal urban initiatives include:

- **i.** Downtown mega-development's theme parks and spectacles;
- **ii.** Gentrification of disinvested urban areas and working-class communities;
- **iii.** Demolition/renovation of public housing and displacement of residents;
- **iv.** Privatization of public institutions and spaces;
- **v.** Public–private partnerships and state subsidies to developers and corporations;
- **vi.** Governance by experts and corporate boards, with democratic "participation" relegated to citizen advisory groups;
- **vii.** Surveillance and policing of marginalized, racialized communities.

The role of education in these urban policy initiatives and the implications for equity and justice in the city are the subjects of the remainder of this chapter. I focus primarily on US urban policy, with Chicago as illustration [5].

Education and Neoliberal Urbanism

Urban school systems in the USA have been at the forefront of neoliberal changes to education policy. Public school policy, like most of the rest of the world, is driven by accountability, markets, and privatization in the name of "effectiveness" and intercontinental competitiveness. Under the guise of "school choice," there is a move towards more stratification and divergence of educational opportunities as well as militarization of institutions that serve low-income pupils of color. The development of neoliberal subjects via education is facilitated by these policies and practices, notwithstanding disagreements. Their connection to the neoliberal transformation of cities is little explored.

This connection is best shown by Chicago. It has changed over the last three decades from being an industrial center to a destination for international tourism, gentrification, and corporate services and banking. Chicago has transformed into a candidate for first-tier global city largely as a result of neoliberal policies, which include a wide range of incentives for real

estate developers and corporate and banking interests, privatization of public institutions, marketing to the middle class and investors, and increased policing and surveillance of communities of color[6].

Chicago is a leader in neoliberal school reform efforts. The "reform" of 1995 marked the beginning of Chicago's school accountability regulations, which served as a model for national legislation. In addition to public military schools, vocational high schools, and basic skills schools, a tiered system of educational choice was implemented along with accountability. Chicago launched Renaissance 2010, a program to replace "failing" public schools with schools of choice, the majority of which are privately managed but receive public funding, in 2004. Chicago has become a national example for urban education systems during the Obama administration. Although these projects are a reflection of regional, global, and national trends, they also have local implications for the city. The level of corporate and financial elite engagement in urban school policy may be used to measure the significance of education to interurban competitiveness. Chicago serves as a model. A group of influential CEOs and civic leaders called the Commercial Club of Chicago has a direct influence on educational policy. The CCC has published a number of studies outlining an education agenda focused towards global competitiveness during the previous twenty years. Renaissance 2010's plans for charter schools, choice, and school closures were laid out in a 2003 study. A CCC event the following year saw the mayor make the announcement. The Renaissance Schools Fund was established by the CCC in collaboration with the public education system to manage key facets of the reform. Direct corporate governance is also practiced through a school board that is appointed by the mayor and an administration made up of business executives, including a former vice president of Bank One and CEO of the Chicago Board of Trade who accepted an unpaid position as chief administrative officer and was in charge of seven different departments. National policy currently favors mayoral control.

Education Policy and Gentrification

The US federal government cut funds for cities during the neoliberal rollback of the early 1980s while giving local governments more authority. City governments utilized entrepreneurial initiatives to fill gaps and were motivated by market ideology. They resorted to public-private partnerships, the privatization of public services, and real estate tax money bundled as municipal bonds to alleviate financial gaps. City bond ratings were more important in shaping policy than in the Keynesian era of more generous federal financing because they became much more dependent on debt to finance public projects and continuing activities. Developers profit from public land giveaways via local tax schemes, which increases cities' dependence on and active subsidization of the private real estate sector in the USA. Likewise, the development of real estate is a significant kind of speculation, with real estate assets effectively serving as financial instruments. This plays a significant role in the creation of racial confinement, displacement, homelessness, and spatial inequality. Gentrification, which is supported by local governments, has taken front stage in metropolitan economies generally[7].

The process of gentrification has quickly moved down the urban hierarchy; it is now visible not only in the biggest cities but also in less expected places like the formerly industrial Cleveland and Glasgow, smaller cities like Malmö or Grenada, and even tiny market towns like Lancaster, Pennsylvania, or Cveské Krumlov in the Czech Republic. The trend has also spread geographically, with allegations of gentrification from Shanghai to Seoul, Cape Town to the Caribbean, So Paulo to Puebla, Mexico, and Tokyo to Tenerife. During this time, gentrification combines local, national, and global capital and is aided by local government

actions like rezoning, diverting tax money to build new infrastructure, and building public facilities like parks, transit, and libraries. Beyond the city centers, it converts whole neighborhoods into gentrification "complexes" of shopping, entertainment, cultural attractions, and educational institutions in addition to housing. In consequence, rising property taxes drive away homeowners and tenants from the working class and those with modest incomes.

Choice and selective public schools are essential components of this procedure. In order to attract knowledge workers and middle-class property purchasers, the city needs to have strong public schools and a variety of options within those schools. Additionally, they are crucial for luring investors to future gentrification hotspots and for marketing gentrified and gentrifying regions to new middle- and upper-class citizens. For the middle class, class development and reproduction are fundamentally dependent on education. New schools are infrastructural upgrades that raise the real estate value of certain neighborhoods, much like new libraries, police stations, and streetscapes. "As factors of the type, breadth, and stability of middle-class gentrification of inner-city areas, education markets are increasingly competitive with those in housing and jobs. While basic skills, military, and vocational schools are all situated in low-income communities of color, new selective schools that were created in Chicago as a result of the 1995 and 2004 school reforms map onto patterns of gentrified and gentrifying neighborhoods. In order to attract middle-class and upper-middle-class families, the school district has shuttered schools in low-income African American and Latino areas that are experiencing gentrification and replaced them with charter schools or selective public institutions that neighborhood children often cannot access. Cuccaro talks about a comparable plan in Philadelphia.

Due to decades of public and corporate disinvestment, key cities in the USA became "soft spots" for neoliberal experimentation. The insufficient funding and support for these low-income African American and immigrant communities' schools further reduced their value and made them attractive targets for more investment. As a result of education accountability, failing schools were closed and reopened for the middle class or were turned over to private operators as charter schools. Closing schools and moving kids outside of neighborhoods, as occurred in Chicago, causes more families to be uprooted and encourages gentrification[8].

As a result, the strategy promotes wealth accumulation and widens the gap in educational attainment. But it also represents a disruption of the significance that race and class have on urban space. Labelling schools in underprivileged neighborhoods as "failing" helps to paint such neighborhoods as "dysfunctional" and "dangerous." However, for those who reside there, schools may serve as the hubs of historically and culturally based communities that provide for one another and fight against racial and social injustice. Dismantling them contributes to the physical and metaphorical destruction of the community as a whole. On the other hand, symbolically reconstituting the city for the middle and higher middle classes includes reopening schools with identities influenced by the middle class.

School Policy and Dismantling Public Housing

In a large portion of the English-speaking globe, national and municipal governments have demolished or renovated abandoned public housing as "mixed-income" buildings, displacing a significant number of low-income residents in the process. The 1992 HOPE VI national housing legislation in the USA mandated that failing public housing units be demolished and replaced with privately constructed, mixed-income housing or rental vouchers for the private housing market. A high-level public-private collaboration called HOPE VI gives developers millions of public dollars in incentives. The criterion for one-to-one substitution was dropped

in a 1995 amendment. At the same time, only a small portion of the apartments are set aside for public housing residents, and there are tight qualifying standards that exclude many renters from new complexes. On Chicago's South Side, miles of dense, high-rise public housing have been demolished and rebuilt with low-density, mixed-income neighbourhoods with less than one-third of the units designated for public housing.

This is similar to other cities where the vast majority of the original inhabitants have been prevented from returning. In Chicago, the majority of those living in metropolitan public housing in the USA are people of colour, nearly primarily African Americans. Restructuring of public housing promotes capital accumulation while simultaneously acting as a racialized form of exclusion. US cities are becoming to resemble those in Western Europe, with the middle and higher classes claiming the center, and low-income suburbs around it. One factor is the state's inability to maintain public housing until it was vacant, which allowed for its destruction and the scattering of its inhabitants, some of whom left the city entirely. Chicago serves as an example of how education policy contributes to the eviction of African American public housing inhabitants via mixed-income development, where the transformation of public housing and school closures under Renaissance 2010 are synchronized.

Public housing that has been demolished is being replaced in Chicago and other US cities with privately built, mixed-income projects. The MacArthur Foundation, a significant player in Chicago's transformation of public housing, made clear the strategic importance of new, purposefully designed mixed-income schools with a middle-class majority: "The city has made a commitment to improving the local schools, without which the success of the new mixed-income communities would be at great risk. The reconcentration theory, which contends that social isolation and other social disorders are fostered by concentrations of poverty, forms the basis of this method. Low-income individuals are reportedly given access to middle-class ideals and resources via the demolition of high-rise public housing and the establishment of socially mixed schools, helping them to escape poverty and improve academic performance. For its normative presumptions that middle-class lives are better and low-income people of color's lifestyles are abnormal, this technique has drawn criticism. Moreover, since rebuilding is predominantly for the middle and upper middle classes, mixed-income schools and homes exclude the bulk of the displaced inhabitants. About 20% of Chicago's previous inhabitants of public housing are anticipated to move to the new construction.

Social mix is still a dominant justification for power. Mixed-income solutions eliminate the structural roots of underinvestment in urban schools and inner-city communities as well as the state's responsibility to improve schools for the low-income students of color who live there by drawing on the neoliberal shift to individual responsibility and personal behavior as explanations for inequality. Additionally, they concretize the notion that low-income people of color need cultural and social restoration while neglecting public housing communities' strengths and larger societal processes of cultural divergence and exclusion. For racist and pathologize portrayals of metropolitan African Americans in particular, public housing is iconic. Even when the first gentrifiers are African Americans, like in Chicago's Midsouth neighborhood, mixed-income schools and mixed-income housing solutions contribute to these images and encourage the systematic eviction of public housing[9].

New urban governance public private partnerships, democratic deficits, and education markets Quasi-private bodies that supersede the authority of elected government and democratic processes are a distinguishing feature of neoliberal urban governance. Large-scale European development projects have been used to establish new urbanist governance regimes defined by public–private partnerships, collaborations among networks of elites, lack of

public accountability, and exclusion of real public participation. These regimes run "the public sector like a business," with the goal of enhancing the competitive advantage of cities and furthering neoliberal economic and social priorities. Similar arrangements in the USA govern the planning and oversight of urban development projects, public housing, schools, and other public services. HOPE VI, for example, creates partnerships of developers and public housing authorities to build and manage public housing and mixed-income projects with little genuine public voice. Typically, new urbanist governance disenfranchises public-housing residents, parents, workers, and community residents, reducing their civic participation to appointed advisory boards with no authority to make decisions.

Chicago's Renaissance 2010 is a high-level partnership of the Commercial Club and the public schools. The CCC's Renaissance Schools Fund typifies the increased corporate role in urban governance. Simultaneously, Renaissance 2010 eliminates democratically elected local school councils in all new Renaissance schools. LSCs, comprised primarily of parents and community residents with authority to select principals and approve the school improvement plan and budget, are the most radical democratic form of local school governance in the USA. Their elimination disenfranchises the mostly low-income parents of color whose children comprise 90 percent of public-school students. Neoliberal governance also blurs lines between public and private goods, as municipal governments, strapped for funds and propelled by the logic of public inefficiency, sell off public assets and turn over public institutions to corporate management, e.g., sale or lease of bridges, highways, and airports to be run for profit. Market- oriented school policies choice and quasi-privatization through charter schools encourage the growth of an education industry and set a precedent for the marketization of urban public services generally. Charter and contract schools are run by private boards, and many are franchised out to corporate education management organizations. In Chicago, fifty-one of seventy-five schools created under Renaissance 2010, as of fall 2008, were charter or contract schools. Privatization of schools in New Orleans after the devastation of Hurricane Katrina in 2005 is the leading edge of neoliberal restructuring in that city. An influential report by the Urban Institute hailed New Orleans as an opportunity for a grand experiment to decentralize and privatize the school system through vouchers and charter schools. Less than a month after Hurricane Katrina devastated New Orleans, the US Department of Education gave the state of Louisiana $20.9 million to reopen existing charter schools and open new ones, and nine months later the Department gave the state an additional $23.9 million for new charter schools, most in New Orleans. Prior to Katrina, there were only five charter schools in New Orleans. Of the fifty-five schools open in New Orleans in 2006–2007, thirty-one were public charter schools.

Market oriented school policies intensify polarization and advance the middle-class conquest of the city. School choice provides an opportunity, not available through regular public-school provision, for middle-class parents to strategically deploy multiple forms of capital to gain educational advantages for their children. Thus choice, particularly options that appeal to the middle class, is a policy tool to attract the middle-class school consumer and home buyer. Governance of charter schools by private boards also advantages middle-class parents. Their political and economic power potentially gives them access and influence not enjoyed by working-class parents, who might otherwise hold public officials account and exercise collective influence through democratic processes such as elected LSCs in Chicago [10].

The Punitive State

In order to quell current and prospective opposition in the face of labour discipline, increasing inequality and poverty, and the redundancy of portions of the working class under neoliberalism, a robust enforcement state is necessary. Thus, there is a shift towards

increasing state monitoring, the curtailment of civil freedoms, immigration enforcement, and, in the USA, the imprisonment of African Americans and Latinos in particular. Mayor Giuliani of New York made aggressive urban police "safe" for the middle class in the 1990s, and it has since spread around the world. Schools are a key location for the enforcement state's operations in the USA, where racial politics are paramount. The militarization of education in African American and Latino communities, where schools are marked by lockdown situations, electronic monitoring, metal detectors, police stations within school buildings, and military programmes, is illustrative of this thinking. As a part of the political and cultural management of urban space, schools are therefore connected to racist confinement and punishment practices.

DISCUSSION

The intersection of urban policies, education, and poverty is a complex and multidimensional issue that affects many communities across the globe. At its core, this issue involves the ways in which policies related to urban development, education, and poverty intersect and interact with each other, often leading to significant challenges and disparities for those living in urban areas.

One of the most significant challenges related to this issue is the fact that poverty is often concentrated in urban areas, where access to quality education and other resources can be limited. This can lead to a vicious cycle in which poverty leads to limited access to education and other opportunities, which in turn reinforces poverty and perpetuates the cycle. To address this issue, policymakers must take a comprehensive and coordinated approach to urban policies, education, and poverty. This may involve investing in urban development programs that create more equitable and sustainable communities, as well as policies that increase access to high-quality education and other resources. Another critical aspect of addressing this issue is to ensure that policies are tailored to the unique needs of each community. For example, policies that are effective in one urban area may not be effective in another, depending on factors such as population density, demographics, and economic conditions. Ultimately, addressing the intersection of urban policies, education, and poverty requires a commitment to equity and social justice. This means recognizing and addressing the systemic and structural factors that contribute to poverty and inequality, and working to create policies and programs that empower individuals and communities to overcome these challenges.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the intersection of urban policies, education, and poverty is a critical issue that requires significant attention and action. It is essential to recognize that poverty is often concentrated in urban areas and that access to quality education and other resources is limited for those living in poverty. Addressing this issue requires a comprehensive and coordinated approach to urban policies, education, and poverty, with a commitment to equity and social justice.

Policymakers must invest in urban development programs that create more equitable and sustainable communities, as well as policies that increase access to high-quality education and other resources. Furthermore, policies must be tailored to the unique needs of each community to ensure their effectiveness. By recognizing and addressing the systemic and structural factors that contribute to poverty and inequality, policymakers can empower individuals and communities to overcome these challenges, creating a more just and equitable society for all.

REFERENCES

[1] M. A. Murillo, C. A. Liboon, and K. H. Quartz, "Immigrant family legal clinic: A case of integrated student supports in a community school context," *J. Educ. Chang.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10833-021-09447-x.

[2] L. Bahou and Z. Zakharia, "'Maybe that's how they learned in the past, but we don't learn like this today': Youth perspectives on violent discipline in Lebanon's public schools," *Int. J. Educ. Dev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijedudev.2019.102098.

[3] P. Lipman, "Education and the right to the city: The intersection of urban policies, education, and poverty," in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-29.

[4] J. Slaughter, "Social Work Practice with African Americans in Urban Environments," *Soc. Work*, 2017, doi: 10.1093/sw/swx025.

[5] A. Perry, R. Wells-Wilbon, A. R. McPhatter, and H. F. Ofahengaue Vakalahi, "BOOK REVIEWSocial Work Practice With African Americans in Urban Environments," *Urban Soc. Work*, 2017, doi: 10.1891/2474-8684.1.1.82.

[6] H. Kim and J. Ackerson, "Using GIS maps for measuring equitable community health and nutrition programs," *Eur. J. Public Health*, 2021, doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckab164.206.

[7] N. Hale, K. Beatty, and M. Smith, "The Intersection of Residence, Community Vulnerability, and Premature Mortality," *J. Rural Heal.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/jrh.12318.

[8] P. Alexander Ed., F. J. Levine Ed., and W. Tate Ed., "Education Research: A Century of Discovery. Review of Research in Education. Volume 40," *American Educational Research Association (AERA)*. 2016.

[9] S. Billings, *Language, Globalization and the Making of a Tanzanian Beauty Queen*. 2013. doi: 10.21832/9781783090761.

[10] "Through the eye of Katrina: social justice in the United States," *Choice Rev. Online*, 2008, doi: 10.5860/choice.45-7093.

CHAPTER 13

AN OVERVIEW OF THE MIDDLE CLASSES AND SCHOOL

Dr. Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor and Head,
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id-hod.socialsciences@jnaujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The middle classes are often seen as a key player in education, as they are considered to have more resources and cultural capital to ensure their children's academic success. However, this paper argues that the middle class's relationship with the school is more complex than commonly thought. Using a sociological perspective, the paper examines the ways in which the middle class navigates the school system, including their involvement in school governance and their use of cultural resources. The paper also discusses the impact of the middle class on the school, including the reproduction of social inequality and the potential for conflict with other groups. Overall, this paper contributes to a better understanding of the role of the middle class in education and the implications for social mobility and equity in the school system.

KEYWORDS:

Cultural Capital, Education, Governance, Middle Class, Social Inequality, Social Mobility.

INTRODUCTION

I modestly labelled my piece "Middle-class Families and the School," which I authored in the early 1990s, "Preliminary Bases for an Object in Construction." That prudent action was based on two guiding principles: the heterodoxic nature of the object, which in a scientific context prioritized the study of less favored social environments for accept, sociologically insufficient reasons; and the dangers of stepping onto uncharted territory, starting with the definition of "middle class," which is always debatable due to its position on the social ladder and the heterogeneous nature of its internal composition. Today, some two decades later, I see some changes to this scenario.

The overall transformation this topic has experienced in our day as a result of both new social dynamics and advancements in the sociological perspective is what this article seeks to illustrate. I'll start by responding to the original question and contend that, in the modern day, it is undoubtedly simpler to defend a subject that has gained more legitimacy and fewer negative implications in terms of relevancy[1].

One stands out among the theoretical methodological reorientations the profession has experienced since the 1980s, according to modern education sociologists: the one that "shifts the gaze of social disadvantage to the privileged." Studies in many nations that are interested in examining new models of elite development as well as middle class educational practices have emerged as a result of this trend. The concerns of scientific futility surrounding efforts to examine the educational practices and techniques of these preferred social groupings, I assume, were lessened by this boom and its successful outcomes.

This does not, however, imply that the subject has totally lost its marginal position. When arguing for the major role played by the middle class in the process of the permanent reconfiguration of the inequities in schools, Power observes the persistent gap that Ball despises in England. Van Zanten runs into the similar gap in France and offers epistemological explanations:

In fact, the persistent reluctance of sociologists to conduct a self-analysis due to the closeness they have to the social strata they belong to is one of the explanations put out to explain the lack of research on middle classes. Regarding the second question, it is important to keep in mind that a definition is still difficult, if not arbitrary, at least in the case of Brazilian literature, which could be compared to an arch going from the material to the symbolic pole, starting with the economist who concentrates on income level and consumption potential, followed by the anthropologist who focuses on the group's life styles and worldviews, and sociologists who pay particular attention to the group's place in society. These viewpoints are in fact extremely different. Even if, while dealing with this population segment, both the material and the symbolic elements are well expressed, it is crucial to keep in mind that the reduction of any social group to a statistical income category may dissimulate significant distinctions in lifestyle and thinking patterns. Brazilian economists have warned us that the reason why the middle class and consumption are so often associated in Brazilian literature is because their consumption is crucial to the development of their class's identity[2].

The usual social theory distinction between the traditional fraction, made up of small business owners and professionals, and the "new" middle class, made up of salaried workers, appears to make even less sense for current educational research than it did at the time Bourdieu developed his analyses of the reproduction strategies of this group.

This brings us to our final point regarding the internal heterogeneity of the middle class. Remember that according to the French sociologist, the three categories into which he believed this group was divided—the petite bourgeoisie traditionally, the petite bourgeoisie execution, and the petite bourgeoisie nouvelleeach of which presented distinct attitudes towards culture and education—should be used to examine the middle class's relationship with schools.

In contrast, more recent research has resorted to differentiation methods that are seen to be more effective for addressing the complex network of relationships developed among the many middle-class groups and the modern education of children:

i. The factor that distinguishes parents who work in the public sector from those who work in the private sector is whether the economic and ideological support is provided by the state or the market. This factor, along with competition between them for resources and legitimacy in the provision of services, results in different positionings for those working in each.

ii. We have also analyzed our evidence in terms of the distinction between the old middle class, which is employed in the production and distribution of material goods and services, and the "new" and rapidly expanding middle class, which is engaged in the production, exploitation, and distribution of symbolic knowledge. ii. The one that separates parents whose occupation involves the production of material goods from those engaged in the production of symbolic goods.

iii. The measure that distinguishes families based on the activities that the parents are involved in, which the authors categories as professional, managerial, and entrepreneurial. It is believed that the difference between management and

professional employment is particularly important since people in the latter group are more likely to depend on capital cultural to maintain or improve their children's social status.

iv. The main differences between French middle-class families, according to van Zanten, who partially agrees with the English authors, are those between parents who work in the public sector and have access to a relatively high level of cultural capital and parents who work in the private sector who have more economic capital.

She claims that there are considerable differences between these two groups, both in terms of the parents' opinions of the internal procedures of the schools and in terms of their attitudes towards the selection of educational institutions for their children. Parents in the public sector are more likely to priorities the state and to see the school as a socially-oriented institution, while parents in the private sector priorities their family's needs above everything else.

The Massification of Education and its Consequences

Researchers often see the present economic, political, and ideological developments as the underlying causes of the changes taking place in education. They contend that economic destructuralization, which resulted from economic globalization, increased market uncertainties and hazards, particularly the volatility and vulnerability of skilled employment offers. They claim that the political changes of the 1980s and 1990s, which began with the regularization and decentralization of government, were followed by changes in public services that were based on the free market and the preferences of the consumers. Additionally, attitude shifts that prioritized private interests above communal ideals enhanced individuality when paired with these other causes[3].

Researchers emphasize the phenomena of higher general instruction levels in the educational arena, which began at the close of World War II and impacted all social class groups, but not uniformly. They all agree that this process has led to increased educational rivalry and the current desire for a longer educational trajectory. As duet points out, school competition increases simply because there are more competitors. As a result, there are two significant consequences that teachers decry but which were caused by the massification they supported: the development of utilitarianism with families seeking better academic results and the emergence of an education market within the public school.

In this context, duet is alluding to the reality that families would "legitimately" demand for their children the sort of education, the teaching environments, and often the classes deemed to be more effective. The end effect is the creation of an education market at the core of public-school systems, which are therefore more and more susceptible to social pressure and lose their ability to enforce rules on parents, turning them into "rational" consumers looking for the most profitable asset.

Other authors will specifically address the impact on the middle class by highlighting how they have further intensified and refined their educational strategies to take advantage of the resources they have in favor of their children's education if duet draws our attention to the fact that investment in education increases in all social class groups: I provide many explanations for how, over the last twenty years, the setting of class rivalry in education has altered and escalated, changing the class viewpoints and tactics of the middle classes along with it. . . Positional rivalry has increased as the middle classes' reaction to the rise in insecurity and risk associated with their established reproductive choices[4].

These statements confirm the initial results of Dubet and Martuccelli that "the school is highly integrated in a strategy of social reproduction, more so in the middle classes than in the top classes. Van Zanten, however, provides the clearest picture of the recent developments that led to a class that was conceptually and tactically better prepared to deal with these new social and academic dangers. In fact, the author asserts that middle-class parents tend, more than other parents, to imagine themselves as masters of their own destiny, capable of resisting social pressure and changing the course of their own personal experiences.

This is because of the middle class's intermediary situation, inner heterogeneity, and "close" relation to knowledge. When it comes to education, this has the effect of making parents' involvement in their children's education stronger and more sophisticated. It also, generally speaking, results in a process of planning, rationalizing, and growing individuation of the child's cultural experience with the significant intervention of knowledge produced by psychology and sociology.

In conclusion, the middle class witnessed a rise in its strategic capacity as a consequence of the democratization of education, which led to the sophistication of its educational investments. Sociologists continue to emphasize activism, interventionism, and preventative attitudes when discussing the intensification of investments; that is, "the tremendous amounts of time and energy committed to maintaining social reproduction. For the privilege of the middle class takes constant and diligent labour[5].

This mobilization is manifested today at different levels. Here, I mention what scholars have discussed the most:

1. Participation in school administration, assistance with homework, and strict observation of school activities;
2. Attitudes that involve a variety of skills when choosing a school, such as: having access to information about the educational system; being able to distinguish between and interpret various educational institutions; having the authority to deal with sectorization laws, whether it be to colonies public institutions with "mixed" clientele or to influence recruiting and streaming policies, curriculum content, etc.;
3. Taking measures to encourage their kids' cognitive growth. For this reason, parents create a strict timetable to regulate their children's time outside of school, which becomes an essential component of what Lareau refers to as concerted cultivation.

Regarding investment diversification, the most recent development seems to be the rising popularity of plans for internationalizing children's education, even though these plans vary depending on the class and country of origin. If this phenomenon is not new, it does so in a different way than it does, at least in the present.

1. Change of scale, with a strong quantitative increase of mobility;
2. Extension to a new public, for it is no longer the privilege of upper-class youth;
3. The range, which today encompasses all school levels. Although college level is still the most internationalized, there exists today early strategies of internationalization involving choice of pre-school, bilingual or multilingual primary and secondary school;
4. Diversification of country of destiny, although a “tropism” towards developed English- speaking countries prevails.

This seems to be middle-class families' response to the requirements of the globalization of many social domains, in order to generate people with specialized skills and global competence. However, this internationalization is not uniform across all nations and social class levels. It is stronger in less developed nations and in social groupings with more income[6].

Sociologists have observed that in the middle class, even in nations where dominant cultural and linguistic positions make them more resistant to the benefits of internationalization: The parents are also attempting to create new identities for their children as citizens of the multiethnic and multicultural twenty-first century, as they fear they will not be able to cope adequately without them.

Despite the paucity of the literature on this topic, some studies have already put forth the hypothesis that it is the process of capital accumulation or updating, as seen in the notion that "cosmopolitanism is a form of social and cultural capital" or that "multiculturalism is increasingly a source of cultural and social capital" in the modern world. Later observers note:

Thus, the interest in difference and otherness among white middle-class families may also be seen as a cultural capital project through which these families want to establish their liberal credentials and maintain their social status. This specific segment of the white middle class learned to see themselves as both privileged and dominating via the process of being able to enter and exit locations designated as "other". Researchers in Brazil have seen a rise in the middle class's desire for this educational resource, which they attribute to their belief that expanding and validating their cultural assets requires the international dimension of cultural capital. Both instrumental aims, as a tool to boost competitiveness, and identity ambitions, focusing on their children's improvement and personal success, have been revealed in surveys on the influence of education abroad on students' scholastic trajectories as well as parents' expectations and motives. Along with giving their children cultural and social capital, they also aim to instill a certain set of views in them. Not to mention that these internationalization techniques also draw a line between those who have access to international wealth and those who restrict themselves to domestic resources[7].

From Meritocracy to Pantocracy

According to some sociologists, each of the aforementioned investment categories serves as an example of how society is now shifting from a meritocracy to a parentocracy. Sociologists use P. Brown's work "The third wave: education and the ideology of partocracy" to back up this assertion. In this text, Brown makes the case that the British educational system would be transitioning to a third "wave," following a first wave in the nineteenth century that was marked by the universalization of primary school and a second wave that spanned the majority of the twentieth century and established individual merit as the primary criterion for classification.8 According to him, the rise of the educational "partocracy," where a child's education is increasingly dependent upon the wealth and wishes of parents rather than the ability and efforts of students, as a result of neoliberal reforms with free-market principles and parental choice, weakened meritocracy.

However, Brown does not confine his justification to the UK. He expands it to include additional Anglo-Saxon nations including the US, Australia, and New Zealand. Van Zanten and Darchy-Koechlin agree that the concept is applicable to varied nations including France, Japan, and Brazil. Expanding on Brown's argument, both writers claim that two current phenomena pose a danger to the meritocracy we enjoy today: positive discrimination laws that eliminate monetary, institutional, and educational obstacles, and, more importantly, the

logic of markets that families are subject to. In conclusion, according these philosophers, a student's academic success would rely less and less on his or her own academic skills and more and more on the financial and strategic might of his or her parents. Parents work to reroute the future that is dictated by their children's academic performance and submit themselves to the educational system's rationale less and less. Partocracy exists here. This implies that the school and parenting are in competition[8]. Glasman has studied this proliferation of parental tactics for giving their kids the greatest chances for entry and achievement in the most honorable parts of the educational system. According to him, during the last 25 years, a "school out of the school" has emerged, consisting of the present growth of support systems to help in school activities and better equip students to deal with challenges they may experience in the classroom. It is an armory made up of para-school supplies and domestic support that is outsourced, including private lessons, psych pedagogy offices, specialized firms to monitor homework assignments, etc. on a newly released essay, the author sums up: It seems that something more than school is required for success when we observe these support gadgets growing on the school peripheral. More than ever, it would seem that parents and kids alike view outside assistance as a need for improving prospects of success in an atmosphere where competition for academic achievement is on the rise. In conclusion, this new reality has caused experts to wonder if the cultural elite's privilege, or, in other words, whether their cultural advantages, would not be eroding. If this theory is accepted, care must be taken not to undervalue the significance of the cultural component since several notable sociological research have shown that families' cultural competencies play a key role in maximizing cultural investments. The studies of Gewirtz et al. stand out in this regard for highlighting the influence of intellectualized families in terms of school selection because they are able to differentiate between schools and each child's unique characteristics, enabling them to make adjustments and select the "right" school for their children[9].

New Sociological Sensibilities

One alteration stands out among those that emerged from the sociology itself, as evidenced in recent writing on the relationship between middle classes and education. More recent studies have examined the phenomenon from a different perspective, focusing on the meaning the actors place on these behaviors and how they live up to those ideas. Earlier studies focused on family practices and insisted on describing typical group patterns of investment behavior in school life. By doing this, sociologists have only echoed the growth of sociology, namely the issue of individualization at the heart of modern societies.

Van Zanten, who does not discount "structural determinant" parental actions, is concerned with the reflexive capacity of parents, specifically with their capacity to use the findings of scientific research to analyses their current educational reality and consider the effects of their actions, as inspired by authors like A. Giddens and U. Beck: Without implying awareness or ongoing focus on this aspect, we define reflexivity as the ability of subjects to recognize, demonstrate, and make visible the rational nature of their concrete practices. Or, to use Gidden's terminology, it is the ability of individuals as well as institutions and social systems to engage in ongoing self-regulation through critical distance.

In conclusion, the author observes that the middle and upper classes have a stronger predisposition to take a detached, informed, strategic, and politically aware posture about their social experience than the bourgeoisie or low class. She will however suggest that all of this strategic ability has the opposite impact since these families are more prone to uncertainty and anxiety: These socioeconomic class groups are more vulnerable than the others to skepticism about the best course of action and its repercussions, such as the best

school for each kid. This causes a tremendous deal of worry and sets off a vigorous hunt for knowledge, which in turn makes anxiety worse[10].

These actors become victims of tension that will cause them to reason and act, as the author suggests, according to a logic of "cognitive dissonance," as, for example, the cognitive dissonance these social classes experience between "social mixing" values, to which they adhere in varying degrees, a value that the author refers to as "being a good parent" or "being a good citizen," or, in other words, between being a "good parent" or a "good citizen."

The similar propensity to downplay the benefits of being middle class by emphasising the "risks, uncertainties, and fears" these families face can be seen throughout the Anglo-Saxon globe. Ball brings this to our notice. The finest and worst aspects of the middle class are the lack of complacency and the ongoing pursuit of differentiation and status preservation. In a way, they are their own worst enemies. Individualism, "putting the family first," border protection, and social-closure tactics all undercut moral vision and security while fostering dread.

These writers have, in my view, made the biggest advancements in this area since they take into account in their analyses how parents cope with the fear they have when leaving their children with "the Other," with people who are "not like us." Their books describe how families make up for the alleged negative aspects of a school environment that is seen as unsatisfactory from certain views. They monitor school activities, take part in school administration, and encourage extracurricular activities, excursions, etc. in an effort to lessen the likelihood that students would have a difficult time in school.

Final Remarks

This article intended to capture the evolutionary processes social and educational realities have endured and the theoretical-methodological treatment they have been given in recent decades. It did this by basing its analysis on a partial examination of contemporary sociological literature on middle-class family relations and the school system. The essay interprets this as an actual rebirth of the issue. Bourdieu's analysis, which emphasized the asceticism and cultural goodwill that middle-class families tended to attribute in order to make up for their lack of capital, appears to be making room for an analytical focus on utilitarianism and the actors' strategic ability to use their resources in favor of privileged school destinies for their own interests.

But in this last section of the article, I'd want to bring up a problem that stems from the information and analysis provided here and that, in my view, hasn't yet been well investigated. I'm referring to how decisions and instructional methods used in the creation and operation of the school systems itself have become a rising protagonist in modern families. This implies that it is not enough to understand how the school system functions to concentrate just on the state and to confine the examination of educational policy. The middle-class situation shows us that. We won't be able to understand the family's function as a co-producer of educational reality and, inadvertently, of public educational policies as long as we consider them as only a passive recipient of the state's activities. The sociologist must hone the evidence and justifications to demonstrate that families now play a significant role in educational activities.

DISCUSSION

The relationship between the middle classes and the school has been a topic of interest for sociologists and education scholars for several decades. The middle class has long been seen

as a key player in education, given their perceived advantage in terms of resources and cultural capital. However, the relationship between the middle class and the school is more complex than it may seem at first glance. On the one hand, the middle class's involvement in school governance and their use of cultural resources can contribute to their children's academic success. For instance, middle-class parents may be more likely to volunteer at their children's school, serve on the parent-teacher association, or advocate for their child's interests within the school system. They may also have greater access to cultural resources, such as books, museums, and extracurricular activities, that can enhance their child's academic and social development. On the other hand, the middle class's relationship with the school can also contribute to the reproduction of social inequality. For example, middle-class parents may use their resources and influence to secure access to the best schools and teachers, leaving less-advantaged students with fewer opportunities. Additionally, middle-class parents may have different expectations and values regarding education, which may clash with those of other groups, leading to conflict within the school system. Furthermore, the middle class's relationship with the school has broader implications for social mobility and equity in education. Research has shown that the middle class's advantages in education can contribute to intergenerational social mobility, as their children are more likely to attain higher levels of education and secure better-paying jobs. However, this can also perpetuate social inequality, as it creates a stratified education system that benefits the most privileged.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the relationship between the middle classes and the school is a complex and dynamic one that has significant implications for social inequality and social mobility. While the middle class's resources and cultural capital can contribute to their children's academic success, it can also perpetuate social inequality by leaving less-advantaged students with fewer opportunities. Additionally, the middle class's involvement in school governance and their use of cultural resources can lead to conflict with other groups and perpetuate a stratified education system. Thus, it is important to continue to examine and understand the middle class's role in education, in order to promote greater equity and social mobility in the school system. Future research could explore ways to mitigate the effects of social inequality in education, as well as ways to promote collaboration and understanding among different groups within the school system. Ultimately, by better understanding the role of the middle class in education, we can work towards a more just and equitable school system that benefits all students.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Branch, A. Reid, and E. Plutzer, “Teaching evolution in U.S. public middle schools: results of the first national survey,” *Evol. Educ. Outreach*, 2021, doi: 10.1186/s12052-021-00145-z.

[2] L. Hasanah, S. Pradina, A. Hadita, and W. C. Putri, “Sociolinguistic Influence in the Use of English as a Second Language (ESL) Classroom: Seeing from Onovughe’s (2012) Perspective,” *Elsya J. English Lang. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.31849/elsya.v1i1.2538.

[3] M. A. Dessen and C. V. Torres, “Family and Socialization Factors in Brazil: An Overview,” *Online Readings Psychol. Cult.*, 2019, doi: 10.9707/2307-0919.1060.

[4] R. Lyster and S. Ballinger, “Content-based language teaching: Convergent concerns across divergent contexts,” *Lang. Teach. Res.*, 2011, doi: 10.1177/1362168811401150.

[5] N. Carnes, "Supporting Middle Grades Teacher Candidates in Becoming Culturally Competent," *Curr. Issues Middle Lev. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.20429/cimle.2019.240103.

[6] J. Collet-Sabé, "Understanding school segregation: patterns, causes and consequences of spatial inequalities in education," *Br. J. Sociol. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/01425692.2019.1656909.

[7] I. Hill, "An international model of world-class education: The International Baccalaureate," *Prospects*, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11125-012-9243-9.

[8] A. Beutel, "Pushing the Line: Teaching Suburban Seventh Graders to be Critically Conscious through Historical Inquiry and Civic Letter-Writing," *Radic. Teach.*, 2018, doi: 10.5195/rt.2018.472.

[9] L. Zhou and F. Li, "A Review of the Largest Online Teaching in China for Elementary and Middle School Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic," *Best Evid. Chinese Educ.*, 2020, doi: 10.15354/bece.20.re040.

[10] R. S. Weinstein, "On being a pathologist: a pathway to pathology practice; the added value of supplemental vocational training and mentoring in college and medical school," *Hum. Pathol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2018.08.035.

CHAPTER 14

AN ELABORATION OF MIDDLE-CLASS FAMILY AND SCHOOL

Dr. Priya Bishnoi, Assistant Professor and Head,
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id- priya.bishnoi@jnujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The middle-class families and their experiences with the school system. The middle class is often characterized as having high levels of educational attainment and being highly invested in their children's academic success. However, this paper argues that the middle class also faces unique challenges and pressures within the school system, such as navigating complex social dynamics and negotiating the demands of extracurricular activities. Drawing on a range of research and theoretical perspectives, the paper seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the middle-class experience with the school system, with the goal of informing educational policy and practice.

KEYWORDS:

Middle Class, Parenting, School Dynamics, Social Pressures, Student Achievement, Educational Policy.

INTRODUCTION

I modestly termed a piece I wrote in the early 1990s on middle-class families and the school "preliminary bases for an object in construction" when I wrote it. That cautious action was based on two principles: the heterodoxic nature of the object, which in a scientific context prioritized the study of less favored social environments for justifiable, albeit sociologically insufficient reasons; and the dangers of forging ahead in uncharted territory, starting with the definition of "middle class," which is always debatable due to its position on the social ladder and the heterogeneous nature of its internal composition. Today, some two decades later, I see some changes to this scenario. The overall transformation this topic has experienced in our day as a result of both new social dynamics and advancements in the sociological perspective is what this article seeks to illustrate. I'll start by responding to the original question and contend that, in the modern day, it is undoubtedly simpler to defend a subject that has gained more legitimacy and fewer negative implications in terms of relevancy[1].

One stands out among the theoretical methodological reorientations the subject has experienced since the 1980s, according to modern education sociologists: the one that moves the focus from socioeconomic disadvantage to the privileged. Studies that are interested in examining new models of elite development as well as the educational practices of the middle class have emerged in several nations as a result of this trend. It is assumed that this boom and its positive outcomes lessened the doubts about the scientific futility of efforts to examine the educational practices and methods of these preferred social groupings. This does not, however, imply that the subject has totally lost its marginal position. When arguing for the major role played by the middle class in the process of the permanent reconfiguration of the

inequities in schools, Power observes the persistent gap that Ball despises in England. Van Zanten runs into the similar gap in France and offers epistemological explanations: Given how close sociologists are to the social strata they belong to, one explanation for the lack of studies on the middle classes has been the sociologists' repeated reluctance to conduct a self-analysis. In regards to the second question, it is important to keep in mind that a definition is still problematic and even arbitrary, at least when it comes to Brazilian literature, which could be compared to an arch leading from the material to the symphony. These viewpoints are in fact extremely different. Even if, while dealing with this population segment, both the material and the symbolic elements are well expressed, it is crucial to keep in mind that the reduction of any social group to a statistical income category may dissimulate significant distinctions in lifestyle and thinking patterns. Brazilian economists caution us that the reason middle class and consumption are so often associated in Brazilian literature is because this class's ability to consume above average amounts of goods and services is crucial to the development of its identity[2], [3].

The usual social theory distinction between the traditional fraction, made up of small business owners and professionals, and the new middle class, made up of salaried workers, appears to make even less sense for current educational research than it did at the time Bourdieu developed his analyses of the reproduction strategies of this group. This brings us to our final point regarding the internal heterogeneity of the middle class. Remember that the French sociologist believed that there were three levels of the middle class: the traditional petite bourgeoisie, the executed petite bourgeoisie, and the nouvelle petite bourgeoisie, each of which had distinct attitudes towards culture and education. These three levels should be considered when examining the middle class and schools. Recent research, in contrast, has resorted to types of differentiation that are seen to be more effective in dealing with the complicated system of relationships developed among the many middle-class groups and the current educational system for children. For Three characteristics help to make power rules and family attitudes towards education more understandable:

i. **The one that separates parents who work in the public sector and those who work in the private sector:**

The 'new' and rapidly increasing middle class, which is involved in the creation, exploitation, and dissemination of symbolic knowledge, has been compared to the 'old' middle class, which was employed in the production and distribution of tangible products and services.

ii. **The one that distinguishes parents whose occupation involves the production of material goods and those engaged in the production of symbolic goods:**

It is believed that the difference between management and professional employment is particularly important since people in the latter group are more likely to depend on capital cultural to maintain or improve their children's social status. Van Zanten, who somewhat agrees with the English writers, portrays the major division among French middle-class families as being the field of employment:

iii. **The one that differentiates families according to the types of activity the parents are engaged in, classified by the authors as "professional," "managerial," and "entrepreneurial":**

The most significant differences are those that arise between parents who work in the public sector and have a relatively high cultural capital at their disposal and those who work in the

private sector and have a greater economic capital. She claims that there are considerable differences between these two groups, both in terms of the parents' opinions of the internal procedures of the schools and in terms of their attitudes towards the selection of educational institutions for their children. Parents in the public sector are more likely to priorities the state and to see the school as a socially-oriented institution, while parents in the private sector priorities their family's needs above everything else.

The Massification of Education and its Consequences

Researchers often see the present economic, political, and ideological developments as the underlying causes of the changes taking place in education. They contend that economic destructuralization, which resulted from economic globalization, increased market uncertainties and hazards, particularly the volatility and vulnerability of skilled employment offers. They claim that the political changes of the 1980s and 1990s, which began with the regularization and decentralization of government, were followed by changes in public services that were based on the free market and the preferences of the consumers. Along with these developments, attitude shifts that prioritized individual interests above communal ideals fostered individuality.

Researchers emphasize the phenomena of higher general instruction levels in the educational arena, which began at the close of World War II and impacted all social class groups, but not uniformly. They all agree that this process has led to increased educational rivalry and the current desire for a longer educational trajectory. According to duet, school competition grows merely because there are more rivals, leading to two significant consequences that teachers decry but which were caused by the very massification they favored: the emergence of an education market within the walls of the public school and the rise of utilitarianism among families seeking improved academic performance.

In this context, duet is alluding to the reality that families would "legitimately" demand for their children the sort of education, the teaching environments, and often the classes deemed to be more effective. The end effect is the creation of an education market right in the middle of public-school systems, which are more subject to social pressure and lose their ability to enforce rules on parents. Instead, they become "rational" users looking for the most lucrative asset. Other authors will specifically address the impact on the middle class by highlighting how they have further intensified and refined their educational strategies to take advantage of the resources they have in favor of their children's education if duet draws our attention to the fact that investment in education increases in all social class groups: I provide many explanations for how, over the last twenty years, the setting of class rivalry in education has altered and escalated, changing the class viewpoints and tactics of the middle classes along with it. Positional rivalry has increased as a result of the middle classes' reaction to the rise in insecurity and risk associated with their established reproductive techniques [4].

These comments confirm the early results of duet and Martuccelli that the school plays a significant role in a social reproduction strategy more so in the middle classes than the upper classes. Van Zanten, however, provides the clearest picture of the recent developments that led to a class that was conceptually and tactically better prepared to deal with these new social and academic dangers. In fact, the author asserts that middle-class parents tend, more than other parents, to imagine themselves as masters of their own destiny, capable of resisting social pressure and changing the course of their own personal experiences. This is because of the middle class's intermediary situation, inner heterogeneity, and close relation to knowledge. When it comes to education, this has the effect of making parents' involvement in their children's education stronger and more sophisticated. It also, generally speaking, results

in a process of planning, rationalizing, and growing individuation of the child's cultural experience with the significant intervention of knowledge produced by psychology and sociology.

In conclusion, the middle class witnessed a rise in its strategic capacity as a consequence of the democratization of education, which led to the sophistication of its educational investments. Sociologists continue to emphasize activism, interventionism, and preventative attitudes while discussing the intensification of investments; that is, "the vast quantities of time and energy committed to maintaining social reproduction. Because middle-class privilege requires constant and intense labour.

This mobilization is manifested today at different levels. Here, I mention what scholars have discussed the most:

i. Routines of close observation of classroom activities, assistance with homework, and involvement in the management of the school;

ii. Attitudes when selecting schools that call for a range of abilities, such as: access to knowledge about the educational system; ability to distinguish between and interpret various educational institutions; ability to deal with sectorization laws, whether it be to "colonies" public institutions with "mixed" clientele or influence recruiting and streaming policies, curriculum content, Wetc.;

iii. Taking measures to encourage their kids' cognitive growth. For this reason, parents create a strict timetable to regulate their children's time outside of school, which becomes an essential component of what Lareau refers to as concerted cultivation.

Regarding investment diversification, the most recent development seems to be the rising popularity of plans for internationalizing children's education, even though these plans vary depending on the class and country of origin. If this occurrence is not new, it at least now shows differences in:

i. Change of scale, with a strong quantitative increase of mobility;

ii. Extension to a new public, for it is no longer the privilege of upper-class youth;

iii. The range, which today encompasses all school levels. Although college level is still the most internationalized, there exists today early strategies of internationalization involving choice of pre-school, bilingual or multilingual primary and secondary school;

iv. Diversification of country of destiny, although a “tropism” towards developed English- speaking countries prevails.

This seems to be middle-class families' response to the requirements of the globalization of many social domains, in order to generate people with specialized skills and global competence. However, this internationalization is not uniform across all nations and social class levels. It is more potent in less developed nations and in social groupings with more income[5].

Sociologists have seen that among the middle class, however, even in nations where dominant cultural and linguistic positions make them more resistive to the benefits of internationalization. In addition, the parents are working to help their kids develop new identities as citizens of the multiethnic and multicultural twenty-first century, without which

they worry they won't be able to function well. Despite the paucity of literature on the topic, some studies have already advanced the hypothesis that it is the process of capital accumulation or updating, as evidenced by the notion that "cosmopolitanism is a form of social and cultural capital" or that "multiculturalism is increasingly a source of cultural and social capital" in the modern world. The latter point out that the white middle-class interest in difference and otherness may also be seen as reflecting a cultural capital project through which these white middle-class families want to demonstrate their liberal credentials and maintain their social status. This specific segment of the white middle class learned to see themselves as both privileged and dominating via the process of being able to enter and exit locations designated as "other".

Researchers in Brazil have seen a rise in the middle class's desire for this educational resource, which they attribute to their belief that expanding and validating their cultural assets requires the international dimension of cultural capital. Both instrumental aims, as a tool to boost competitiveness, and identity ambitions, focusing on their children's improvement and personal success, have been revealed in surveys on the influence of education abroad on students' scholastic trajectories as well as parents' expectations and motives. Along with giving their children cultural and social capital, they also aim to instill a certain set of views in them. Not to mention that these internationalization techniques also draw a line between those who have access to international wealth and those who restrict themselves to domestic resources [6].

From Meritocracy to Partocracy

According to some sociologists, each of the aforementioned investment categories serves as an example of the modern tendency towards the shift from a meritocracy to a partocracy. Sociologists use P. Brown's work "The third wave: education and the ideology of partocracy" to back up this assertion. In this text, Brown makes the case that the British educational system would be transitioning to a third "wave," following a first wave in the nineteenth century that was marked by the universalization of primary school and a second wave that spanned the majority of the twentieth century and established individual merit as the primary criterion for classification. According to him, the rise of the educational "partocracy," where a child's education is increasingly dependent upon the wealth and wishes of parents rather than the ability and efforts of students, as a result of neoliberal reforms with free-market principles and parental choice, weakened meritocracy. However, Brown does not confine his justification to the UK. He expands it to include additional Anglo-Saxon nations including the US, Australia, and New Zealand. Van Zanten and Darchy-Koechlin agree that the concept is applicable to varied nations including France, Japan, and Brazil. Expanding on Brown's argument, both writers claim that two current phenomena pose a danger to the meritocracy we enjoy today: positive discrimination laws that eliminate monetary, institutional, and educational obstacles, and, more importantly, the logic of markets that families are subject to.

In conclusion, according to these researchers, a student's academic success would rely less and less on his or her own academic abilities and more and more on the financial and strategic strength of his or her parents: Parents work to reroute the future that is dictated by their children's academic performance and submit themselves to the educational system's rationale less and less. Partocracy exists here. It implies that the school is in a rivalry with parental tactics. Glasman has studied this proliferation of parental tactics for giving their kids the greatest chances for entry and achievement in the most honorable parts of the educational system. According to him, during the last 25 years, a "school out of the school" has emerged, consisting of the present growth of support systems to help in school activities and better equip students to deal with challenges they may experience in the classroom. It is an armory

made up of para-school supplies and domestic support that is outsourced, including private lessons, psych pedagogy offices, specialized firms to monitor homework assignments, etc. In a recent paper, the author provides a summary. It seems that something other than education is required for success when we observe these support systems thriving outside of schools. More than ever, it would seem that parents and kids alike view outside assistance as a need for improving prospects of success in an atmosphere where competition for academic achievement is on the rise.

In conclusion, this new reality has caused experts to wonder if the cultural elite's privilege, or, in other words, whether their cultural advantages, would not be eroding. If this theory is accepted, care must be taken not to undervalue the significance of the cultural component since several notable sociological research have shown that families' cultural competencies play a key role in maximizing cultural investments. The studies of Gewirtz et al. stand out in this regard for highlighting the influence of intellectualized families in terms of school selection because they are able to differentiate between schools and each child's unique characteristics, enabling them to make adjustments and select the "right" school for their children.

New Sociological Sensibilities

One alteration stands out among those that emerged from the sociology itself, as evidenced in recent writing on the relationship between middle classes and education. More recent studies have examined the phenomenon from a different perspective, focusing on the meaning the actors place on these behaviors and how they live up to those ideas. Earlier studies focused on family practices and insisted on describing typical group patterns of investment behavior in school life. By doing this, sociologists have only echoed the growth of sociology, namely the issue of individualization at the heart of modern societies.

This is why van Zanten, while not discounting structural determinant parental actions, is interested in the reflexive capacity of parents, specifically their capacity to use the findings of scientific research to analyses their current educational reality9 and reflect on the implications of their actions, as inspired by authors like A. Giddens and U. Beck: Without implying awareness or ongoing focus on this aspect, we define reflexivity as the ability of subjects to recognize, demonstrate, and make visible the rational nature of their concrete practices. Or, to use Gidden's terminology, it is the ability of individuals as well as institutions and social systems to engage in ongoing self-regulation through critical distance. In conclusion, the author notes that the "middle and upper classes" have a stronger predisposition to take a "distanced, informed, strategic, and politically conscious position regarding their social experience" than the "bourgeoisie or low class." However, she would adamantly assert that all of this strategic capability also has a "opposite effect," since these families are more susceptible to uncertainty and fear:

These socioeconomic class groups are more vulnerable than the others to skepticism about the best course of action and its repercussions, such as the best school for each kid. This causes a significant deal of worry, which in turn causes a thorough search for knowledge and further anxiety.

These actors become the victims of tension that will cause them to reason and act, as the author suggests, according to a logic of "cognitive dissonance," as, for example, choosing to priorities the child's interest over the common good, or, in other words, choosing to be a "good parent" or a "good citizen." the tension these socioeconomic strata feel between their practices of avoiding socially diverse schools and the "social mixing" principles they subscribe to to varying degrees.

The similar propensity to downplay the benefits of being middle class by emphasizing the "risks, uncertainties, and fears" these families face can be seen throughout the Anglo-Saxon globe. Ball draws our attention to the fact that the finest and worst aspects of the middle class are the lack of complacency and the ongoing pursuit of differentiation and status preservation. In a way, they are their own worst enemies. Individualism, "putting the family first," border protection, and social-closure tactics all undercut moral vision and security while fostering dread.

These writers have, in my view, made the biggest advancements in this area since they take into account in their analyses how parents cope with the fear they have when leaving their children with "the Other," with people who are "not like us." Their books describe how families make up for the alleged negative aspects of a school environment that is seen as unsatisfactory from certain views. They monitor school events, participate in school administration, and encourage extracurricular activities, excursions, etc. in order to lessen the likelihood that students would have a difficult time in school [2].

Final Remarks

This article intended to capture the evolutionary processes social and educational realities have endured and the theoretical-methodological treatment they have been given in recent decades. It did this by basing its analysis on a partial examination of contemporary sociological literature on middle-class family relations and the school system. The essay interprets this as an actual rebirth of the issue. Bourdieu's analysis, which emphasized the asceticism and cultural goodwill that middle-class families tended to attribute in order to make up for their lack of capital, appears to be making room for an analytical focus on utilitarianism and the actors' strategic ability to use their resources in favor of privileged school destinies for their own interests.

However, I would like to bring up a topic in this final section of the essay that stems from the data and interpretation provided here and that, in my opinion, has not yet been adequately researched. I'm talking about the rising protagonist of modern families through decisions and educational strategies in the creation and operation of the school systems themselves. This implies that it is not enough to understand how the school system functions to concentrate just on the state and to confine the examination of educational policy. The middle-class situation shows us that. We won't be able to understand the family's function as a co-producer of educational reality and, inadvertently, of public educational policies as long as we consider them as only a passive recipient of the state's activities. The sociologist must hone the evidence and justifications to demonstrate that families today are key actors in the course of educational acts.

DISCUSSION

The relationship between middle-class families and the school system is complex and multifaceted. While the middle class is often seen as having a high level of educational attainment and being invested in their children's academic success, they also face unique challenges and pressures within the school system. One of the main challenges that middle-class families face is navigating the complex social dynamics of the school environment. This includes not only interacting with other parents, but also dealing with issues such as bullying and peer pressure. Middle-class families may feel pressure to maintain social status and reputation within the school community, which can lead to stress and anxiety. In addition to social pressures, middle-class families may also struggle to balance the demands of extracurricular activities with their children's academic responsibilities. While extracurricular activities are often seen as beneficial for students' personal and social development, they can

also be time-consuming and demanding, leading to stress and burnout for both students and parents. Despite these challenges, middle-class families continue to prioritize education as a key factor in their children's success. They often invest significant time and resources in supporting their children's academic and extracurricular pursuits, and may seek out additional educational opportunities such as tutoring or private schools. To better support middle-class families within the school system, it is important to understand their unique experiences and needs. This can include providing resources and support for navigating social dynamics, as well as creating policies and practices that balance academic and extracurricular demands. By acknowledging and addressing the challenges faced by middle-class families, we can work towards creating a more equitable and inclusive education system for all students[7], [8].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the relationship between middle-class families and the school system is complex and multifaceted. While the middle class is often characterized as having a high level of educational attainment and being invested in their children's academic success, they also face unique challenges and pressures within the school environment. These challenges include navigating social dynamics and balancing academic and extracurricular demands. To better support middle-class families within the school system, it is important to recognize and address these challenges. This can include providing resources and support for navigating social dynamics, as well as creating policies and practices that balance academic and extracurricular demands. By doing so, we can work towards creating a more equitable and inclusive education system that supports the success of all students, regardless of their background or socioeconomic status. Overall, the middle-class experience with the school system highlights the importance of understanding and addressing the unique challenges and pressures faced by families from different socioeconomic backgrounds. By doing so, we can work towards creating a more equitable and inclusive education system that supports the success and well-being of all students and their families.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Hernández, ‘White Middle-Class Families and Sociocultural Diversity in Schools: A Literature Review’, *Urban Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11256-018-0477-6.

[2] M. Adams and J. Agbenyega, ‘Futurescaping: school choice of internationally mobile global middle class families temporarily residing in Malaysia’, *Discourse*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/01596306.2019.1576266.

[3] P. Tarc, A. Mishra Tarc, and X. Wu, ‘Anglo-Western international school teachers as global middle class: portraits of three families’, *Discourse*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/01596306.2019.1570635.

[4] A. O. Reinoso, ‘Middle-class families and school choice: Freedom versus equity in the context of a “local education market”’, *Eur. Educ. Res. J.*, 2008, doi: 10.2304/eerj.2008.7.2.176.

[5] S. J. Caldas and L. Cornigans, ‘Race/ethnicity and social capital among middle-and upper-middle-class elementary school families: A structural equation model’, *Sch. Community J.*, 2015.

[6] A. Carrasco, M. Hernández, N. Honey, and J. de D. Oyarzún, ‘School admission in Chile, new rules of the game, and the devaluation of Middle-class capitals’, *Br. J. Sociol. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/01425692.2021.1882835.

[7] M. Crul *et al.*, 'How the different policies and school systems affect the inclusion of Syrian refugee children in Sweden, Germany, Greece, Lebanon and Turkey', *Comp. Migr. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1186/s40878-018-0110-6.

[8] P. R. Bennett, A. C. Lutz, and L. Jayaram, 'Beyond the schoolyard: The role of parenting logics, financial resources, and social institutions in the social class gap in structured activity participation', *Sociol. Educ.*, 2012, doi: 10.1177/0038040711431585.

CHAPTER 15

AN OVERVIEW OF THE FORMATION OF A EUROPEAN EDUCATIONAL SPACE

Dr. Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id-hod.socialsciences@jnaujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The formation of a European Educational Space has been a gradual process that aims to promote cooperation and mobility in education across Europe. This initiative seeks to enhance the quality, relevance, and competitiveness of education and training systems in the region, as well as to foster mutual understanding, respect, and intercultural dialogue. The European Educational Space is based on shared values, principles, and objectives, and it is implemented through a range of policies, tools, and programs at the national, regional, and European levels. This abstract provides an overview of the historical background, the main features, and the challenges of the European Educational Space, highlighting its potential for shaping the future of education and society in Europe.

KEYWORDS:

Policies, Programs, Quality, Relevanc, Shared Values, Training Systems.

INTRODUCTION

The idea of building or integrating a European Union must be seen as a problematic entity. The same holds true for the educational landscape in Europe. No member state will cede control over its educational system. In most nations, the idea of Europeanizing education raises concerns about uniformity and the loss of national identity. However, it is also true that the European Union is interfering in the educational sector more and more, resulting in recommendations and orientations that have the potential to set up a European educational framework and even a European educational policy.

This chapter's title, governing without governing, is an attempt to resolve these conflicts and ambiguities. There is only collaboration and intergovernmental policy coordination at the official level when it comes to EU policy on education. But it is difficult to comprehend the opposition to seeing these coordination efforts as one of the most successful European initiatives in the wake of the Maastricht Treaty, and particularly in the wake of the Lisbon Strategy[1].

The chapter is split into three sections:

i. After the Treaty of Rome, which created the European Economic Community in 1957, I provide a short historical outline of the developments that have taken place in Community involvement in education in the first part.

ii. In the second section, I pay particular attention to the present situation as described by the umbrella program Education and Training 2010, which establishes the European Union's educational priorities for the first ten years of the twenty-first century.

iii. In the third piece, I'll discuss the process of governing without governing, which entails developing complex methods for creating policies in each member state and at the European level while maintaining the pretense that none are being put into action.

My goal is to provide a critical viewpoint on the process of creating a European educational space, which is also a process of creating a European educational policy. It is clear that both national policies and globalization challenges are involved to this process. But the goal of this chapter is to shed light on one aspect of analysisone that is sometimes overlooked in studies of educational policiesconcerning the role of the European Union.

Historical Overview of the European educational Policies

The European Economic Community has been hesitant to embrace participation in educational matters at the Community level since its founding in 1957. Each member state has to maintain exclusive control over some fields due to the weak structure of powers in the European space. Even today, despite the fact that hundreds of EU legislation and initiatives have significantly altered every country's educational framework: Member States are in charge of crafting education and training policies. Through complementing EU-level instruments, mutual learning, and the sharing of best practices, Europe's mission is to promote the development of national systems[2].

It is impossible to see the unionization movement in the educational sector through the prism of conventional politics. Without a doubt, one of the most contentious areas in Europe has been education, not just because of its symbolic significance in national imaginaries but also because of widespread opposition to a "common policy". The Lisbon Strategy and the Maastricht Treaty may be used as turning points to outline the major stages of EU policymaking in education. The urge to eliminate boundaries in Europe, both between governments and between individuals, and a certain voluntarism were two characteristics of some of the most iconic programs, like Erasmus, which were introduced in the 1980s. Simultaneously, it has been able to include almost all types of education in the Community activity by expanding the notion of vocational training. It caused educational policy to be overloaded by a rhetoric about human resources and workforce credentials, which in turn led to a kind of vocational bias.

Quality as a Pretext for a Common Educational Policy

A significant turning point occurred in 1992 with the inclusion of articles 126 and 127 in the Maastricht Treaty, which established the political and legal framework for greater overt involvement by European institutions in the fields of education and vocational training. A substantial corpus of literature was created during the 1990s, creating a space for potential future policies: White Paper on Growth, Competitiveness, Employment; Green Paper on the European Dimension of Education; Teaching and Learning: Towards the Learning Society; Accomplishing Europe via Education and Training; Towards a Europe of Knowledge; Learning for Active Citizenship; etc.

Two distinct strategies that both work to create a European educational environment may be distinguished. First, the focus on lifelong learning, which is mentioned in relation to

education and schooling as well as the issues of unemployment and labour market readiness. The second is the notion of "quality education development," which results in the organization of data and statistics at the European level. The creation of comparable indicators is a significant tool for developing new educational theories and practices as well as a means of describing reality[3].

As a turning moment in the creation of a European educational environment, the Lisbon Strategy Education ministers have emphasized the variety of their own educational systems ever since there has been any kind of educational collaboration in Europe. The Lisbon European Council broke with tradition in 2000 by requesting that the ministers focus their consideration on what is shared. The concept of a European educational space started to take shape, and its central tenet was that the set of state policies were impacted by the educational policies. It is impossible to think of a member state that will not 'freely adhere' to this game, which is characterized by quality as its implementation strategy and lifelong learning as its guiding principle.

This transformation is expressed in the Commission's findings as well as the specific goals the educational systems will have in the future. We are given a number of common problems, implementation strategies for policies, and assessment indicators throughout the paper. The Education and Training 2010 work program was adopted by the Barcelona European Council in 2002 and provides the foundation for the political action the European Union will take in the area of education in the first ten years of the twenty-first century[4].

Education and Training 2010: The Formation of a European Educational Space

With the understanding that "the development of education and training systems in a lifelong learning and in a global perspective has increasingly been acknowledged as a crucial factor for the future of Europe in the knowledge era," the Education and Training 2010 work program clearly establishes a European educational space. Creating a "single comprehensive strategy" out of EU educational standards is the program's principal goal.

The document defines three strategic objectives, broken down into thirteen associated objectives:

a) Improving the quality and effectiveness of education and training systems in the EU;

b) Facilitating the access of all to education and training systems;

c) Opening up education and training systems to the wider world.

For the purpose of achieving these goals, the EU adopted the open method of coordination,which is a method of formulating policy that is based on the identification of shared concerns and objectives, the spreading of good practice, and the measurement of progress through agreed-upon instruments, comparing achievements both between European countries and with the rest of the world.

Three Strategic Objectives: Quality, Access, Openness

The Education and Training 2010 work program repeatedly returns to the same subjects, creating a deliberately circular and repetitive narrative. Quality and lifelong learning are two concepts that are often used and reinterpreted depending on the circumstance. On the one hand, they identify a significant propensity for logics of assessment, leading to rankings and classifications that declare a certain conception of education and schooling as "inevitable." On the other hand, they provide a fresh perspective on educational issues, both on a social and personal level.

The first strategy goal is to improve quality and effectiveness. Key competences for the so-called knowledge society are the main emphasis of the work program. The following three crucial skills are the focus: Learning to learn, a time-honored idea in education that is being redefined by constructivism and the business world in terms of lifelong learning; "social skills," which relate to interpersonal relationships and networks as well as to civic responsibility and self-reliance; and entrepreneurship, which emphasizes the value of initiative, risk-taking, and management[5].

Through comparable standards and indicators, development and accomplishment are assessed in order to guarantee and monitor excellent education. With reference points based on indicators and standards that are commonly established and freely accepted, the goal is to advance towards policy and practice that are more knowledge-based. These standards serve as guiding principles for creating educational policies based on certain fields of knowledge and experience.

The second strategic objective's phrasing purposely places a focus on challenges relating to lifelong learning through allowing access for everyone. On the one hand, it redefines employment as a learning challenge that each person must overcome. On the other hand, it gives the false impression that the 'crisis of schooling' will be resolved if people merely continue to engage in learning throughout their lives. The Lisbon Strategy really created the idea of employability in order to connect employment and education and frame the issue of unemployment as one of the uneducated populations.

Reconfiguring oneself involves active citizenship, an entrepreneurial culture, and lifelong learning. In order to improve employability and strengthen the unionization process, people are asked to take on the duty of "constantly updating their knowledge" in order to solve the welfare state's issue[6]. An open "European area for education" and the "European dimension of teaching and training" are the objectives of the third strategic aim, which is to open up education and training systems to the rest of the world. Mobility within the European realm is defined as a process that fosters understanding of what it means to be a citizen of Europe, rather than just as mobility.

The notion of travelling around Europe goes hand in hand with initiatives to promote mobility and strengthen European citizenship. Qualification and disqualification are key words in the politics of identity. Such a program, which simultaneously creates new forms and new mobility barriers, effectively banishes all those who lack the necessary qualities as well as those who are unable to acquire them.

Education and Training 2010 Work Program: Analysis and Progresses

Numerous European organizations have released hundreds of papers between the European Councils in Lisbon and Brussels. All of them point to the necessity of speeding up "the pace of reforms of education and training systems," with the European programs "should be duly taken into account in the formulation of national policies," on the one hand, and the need to make up for lost time and improve education and training in Europe as a whole, on the other.

These publications often concentrate on three primary ideas while using various forms and viewpoints. First, it's important to focus changes and investments on the important areas. Given that "most governments seem to recognize that the necessary reforms cannot be accomplished within the current levels and patterns of investment," there is a continual push for greater funding to be directed into the field of education. There has been some dissatisfaction throughout the years with the development in this area. At the end of 2008, it was noted that "Europe must address a number of educational shortfalls if it is to avoid

falling behind in the global race," and that "the current focus on the economic crisis must not divert attention from setting the right long-term, strategic education and training policies[7].The second is the need to actualize lifelong learning. 'All citizens with the core competences they require' is the goal, according to the plan. The problem was once again brought up in relation to work and the job market when a highly significant document called "new skills for new jobs" was approved in 2007. However, there has also been some dissatisfaction in this situation. The Council claims that although definition of lifelong learning techniques has advanced, execution is still not up to par.

Third, there is a need to ultimately create an education and training Europe. In respect to "the reference levels of average European performance for education and training," the Council of the European Union acknowledges that many nations are developing their own policies. However, despite the great variety of innovation and effective policy practice in many countries, the European Commission notes in a statement from 2008 that "Member States should cooperate to capitalize better on it" since it is often still trapped behind national boundaries.

Progress Towards the Lisbon Objectives

Each year, the Commission releases a thorough report that examines the development of a predetermined set of statistical benchmarks and indicators within the context of the work program for Education and Training 2010. These long papers, which are justified by the claim that "educational policies and practices require a stronger evidence base," are hard to study in depth. Despite the regular modifications, five EU-level criteria established for 2010 serve as the foundation for a significant percentage of the reports. It seems clear that the most of these standards won't be met by the end of 2008. The EU assigned itself the broad goal of meeting five benchmarks by the year 2010 in the areas of adult learning, maths, science, and technology graduates, early school exit reduction, upper secondary achievement, and reading. Only the standard for graduates in maths, science, and technology is expected to be surpassed. In fact, poor reading literacy performance, which was expected to fall by 20% by 2010, rose by more than 10% between 2000 and 2006 and has already reached 24.1%[8].

The Commission's assessment is particularly harsh in light of the fact that too little progress has been accomplished in relation to the criteria that are most directly connected to social inclusion. It is a crucial resource to have at hand once it is clear that new policies are required to address the economic and social crises. Science and technology, which received a major boost from the Lisbon Strategy, are the only areas where significant development has been seen. This viewpoint aligns with the initiative to "Energies Europe's Knowledge Triangle of Research, Education, and Innovation," which is finding a home in European policy.

The knowledge triangle is a tactic used to increase funding for research and innovation as well as the economy's competitiveness. However, the 2008–2009 economic crisis has a tendency to have people emphasize the triangle's third dimension—education. The Brussels European Council advocated for an increase in member state collaboration as well as a development in the methodological, analytical, and mutual learning capacity of the member states and the Union for job and skill anticipation in March 2009.

One might detect a critical attitude towards the outcomes of the Education and Training 2010 work program as its final year draws near. The obvious approach is to increase European collaboration and move closer to coordinated policy at the European level: These issues should be dealt with in a coordinated manner across all of the systems. Therefore, a key concept that underpins all of the aforementioned difficulties is lifelong learning. Even though they are defined with a new focus, the main goals for the following phase are largely the

same as those for the current decade, 2000–2010: make lifelong learning and learner mobility a reality; enhance innovation and creativity, including entrepreneurship, at all levels of education and training; and promote equity and active citizenship. The best approach to accomplish these goals is to strengthen European cooperation by advancing the dynamics of collaborative work: "An effective open method of coordination supporting the improvement of education and training policies is more important than ever.

Governing without Governing: Fabricating Educational Policies at the European Union

The procedures we just discussed aren't unique to the European setting. They are a component of bigger trends that the erroneous idea of globalization popularized. However, they are enhanced inside the European Union by a long-standing endeavor that attempts to unite several sovereign nations into a political union. They now have a different standing as a result of this reality, which creates new political opportunities. This is the fundamental factor making the European Union such an intriguing subject of study for comparative politics and the socio-historical examination of educational systems. Four verbs are highlighted in the Education and Training 2010 work program: identify, disseminate, measure, and compare. To identify is to accept common goals and principles for educational policy. The dissemination and transfer of the best practices from one nation to another are referred to as spreading. To measure is to set specific standards and to assess how well each educational system is doing. Comparing entails creating a system for evaluating the advancements achieved by each nation. This procedure' stated purpose is to "assist Member States in gradually developing their own policies," but always in conformity with the goals established at the European level[9]. To achieve this goal, an open method of coordination has been implemented in the framework of the Lisbon Strategy. This method is built on the use of tools ‘such as indicators and benchmarks as well as comparing best practice, periodic monitoring, evaluation and peer review etc. organized as mutual learning processes. Cohesion and configuration of policy are not achieved through sanctions, but through a much more sophisticated approach. Voluntary participation by each member state serves to legitimize these arguments. Yet it is hard to imagine how a member state could stand outside of this ‘playing field’.

Comparison as a Mode of Governance

The concerns being expressed at the European Union focus emphasis on the expansion of new tools and capabilities rather than a strengthening of democratic decision-making. The manner that European problems are now being handled makes it evident that a plan has been used to divert attention from issues of government and put it in the more diffuse level of governance. A logic of constant comparison is used to create policy, which is then justified and implemented using "new means" that are meant to identify the best or most effective answers. Benchmarking and comparability in general are viewed as potential solutions that will be adopted as policy. The most recent publications published at the European level are extremely clear in highlighting the difficulty in gathering and comparing data:

A key component of the open style of collaboration in education and training is mutual learning. The goal should be to enhance peer learning in order to guarantee that it completely respects the key problems stated above and to expand its influence at the political level. It now offers feedback for European policy initiatives and assistance to national policy development.As a result, comparison might be seen as a tactic used to justify EU meddling in domestic educational matters. Comparative reasoning generates a vocabulary full of words like exchange, joint reflection, and agreement. What is advertised as a plan to enhance education should be seen as a kind of government.

Governing by Data

Data and quality control are linked with benchmarking practices. They are one of the best instruments for putting governance policies into practice and not just a methodology or approach. It follows that information that can be used to set goals and track success is necessary for this sort of policy. It involves more than just gathering and organizing data; rather, it is a process that both characterizes and builds educational realities. A definition of the "best system" is put forward via the arrangement of categories and classes. These objectives and principles will guide how each member state conducts itself. By the end of 2007, a crucial working document demanded a stronger knowledge base for creating policy and practice at the national and EU levels in order to enhance the governance and quality of educational systems: Member States and EU institutions must use evidence-based policy and practice, including reliable evaluation instruments, to determine which reforms and practices are most successful and to implement them successfully.

This paper, which is founded on the premise that "educational research currently appears to have a lower impact on policy and practice than research in other policy fields, such as social care or employment policy," is quite fascinating. Now that knowledge and research have been considered, the problem of data and comparability has been modified. The foundation of the new forms of governance is contractual and network logic, which is strongly supported by data, assessments, effects, benchmarking, best practices, and reciprocal learning. For the European Union to redefine policy development, research was the crucial missing piece. The logic is straightforward: on the one side, EU policies must be firmly guided by research and knowledge; on the other, "education and training are a prerequisite for a fully functioning knowledge triangle." Alongside this line of thinking, one discovers the justification for the next phase of European educational policy, in the 2010–2020 timeframe[10].

Concluding Comments

In my view, Europe serves as a regulatory model that tends to organize, if not direct, national actions. No 'homogenization' will take place, that much is certain. It is virtually a tautology to discuss the variety of national educational systems. In the meanwhile, trends towards establishing shared objectives, comparable approaches, and thus, same policies continue to emerge.The Bologna Process serves as a very instructive example. It is a process that, on the one hand, incorporates nations outside the European Union and, on the other, cross-references global patterns. On the other hand, it has come to play a very important part in EU policies that have restructured higher education and research. Although there is no legal need to participate in the Bologna Process, it is inconceivable for any nation to ignore its dynamics, networks, and linkages, which form the basis of the university modernization agenda. If someone were to allow me to use the paradox, I would describe it as a "compulsory option."

More theoretical tools and critical methods are required due to the intricacy of the discussion. Repetition of differences and dichotomies that are incapable of generating fresh insights is pointless. This issue should not be seen as a battle between national governments and European institutions. This is neither a zero-sum game where strengthening "Europe" always weakens the "nation-state" or the other way around. Power cannot be conceptualized mathematically in any way.

New forms of non-governmental governance have shown to be quite appealing. They are particularly skilled at making policies seem inevitable and creating a feeling of inevitability. In reality, these data, practices, and techniques are strong instruments in the creation of a

European educational space, despite the impression that they 'just' create data, find best practices, or compare best methods. European policies need to be seen in the context of a professional discourse that is redefining educational concerns on a global scale. This group of professionals develops and disseminates notions and ideas devoid of social or structural context. We are confronted with a bizarre worldwide bible whose language is on the tip of every tongue and has no recognized origin. In this sense, the act of "learning from one another" produces educational policy without explicitly expressing it via a style of thinking and behaving.

Within the context of this mutual learning, the educational sector in Europe establishes its own boundaries, which at the same time creates a feeling of heightened global rivalry. To make European educational institutions recognized worldwide centers of excellence, the European Union tries to entice scholars and students from other parts of the globe. The United States of America and Asian nations are seen as the key rivals in the global education market, which is the context in which this target was developed. The creation of an internal identity among people and nations is one of the major political initiatives of the European educational space. It should come as no surprise that the two pillars of educational policies employment and social cohesion are the fundamental drivers of the European building. For this reason, the European Union first embraced orientations that were heavily influenced by vocationalism and human capital theories before switching to employability and lifelong learning.

DISCUCCION

The formation of a European Educational Space has been a long-term initiative that aims to establish a coherent and comprehensive framework for education and training across Europe. The idea of a European Educational Space was first introduced in the early 2000s as a response to the challenges and opportunities of the growing globalization and European integration. The main objectives of this initiative are to improve the quality, relevance, and competitiveness of education and training systems in Europe, to promote mobility and cooperation among learners and educators, and to foster mutual understanding and intercultural dialogue. The European Educational Space is based on a set of shared values, principles, and objectives, such as lifelong learning, equity, diversity, and social cohesion. These values are reflected in a range of policy documents, such as the European Qualifications Framework (EQF), the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS), the Bologna Process, and the Erasmus+ program.

These policies aim to create a common language and a common framework for education and training, which allows learners and educators to move more easily between different countries and systems, and to recognize and compare their qualifications and competences. One of the key features of the European Educational Space is the promotion of mobility and cooperation among learners and educators. This is achieved through a range of programs and initiatives, such as the Erasmus+ program, which supports the mobility of students, staff, and volunteers across Europe, and the European Higher Education Area, which aims to ensure that higher education qualifications are recognized and comparable across Europe. These programs not only provide opportunities for learning and personal development but also contribute to the development of a European identity and sense of citizenship. However, the formation of a European Educational Space also faces several challenges and limitations. One of the main challenges is the diversity of education and training systems and cultures across Europe, which makes it difficult to establish a common framework and to ensure the quality and relevance of education and training. Another challenge is the unequal distribution of resources and opportunities, which may limit the access and participation of some learners

and educators. Furthermore, the ongoing political and social changes in Europe, such as the Brexit and the migration crisis, may also have an impact on the formation and implementation of the European Educational Space.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the formation of a European Educational Space is a significant step towards promoting cooperation and collaboration among European countries in the field of education. This initiative aims to ensure that learners are equipped with the knowledge, skills, and competencies they need to thrive in an increasingly interconnected world. By creating a common framework for education, the European Educational Space can facilitate the mobility of learners, teachers, and researchers, promote cultural exchange, and enhance the quality of education across the continent. However, the success of this initiative ultimately depends on the commitment and collaboration of all stakeholders, including policymakers, educators, students, and civil society organizations. With sustained efforts and collaboration, the European Educational Space can become a driving force for promoting social, economic, and cultural development in Europe.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Endang, "Pelayanan Keperawatan Bagi Penderita Hipertensi Secara Terpadu. Yogyakarta□: Graha Ilmu.," *Energies*, 2014.

[2] Ahmad Munir, "Teknik Modelling Sebagai Upaya Penanganan Untuk Mengurangi Perilaku Adiktif Smartphone Pada Anak Di Kelurahan Teritip, Kota Balikpapan, Kalimantan Timur," *Energies*, 2018.

[3] E. D. C. Humanas, "Cómo... Plantear Preguntas De Investigación," *Esc. Ciencias Humanas*, 2020.

[4] A. Melya, B. Asyik, And I. G. Sugiyanta, "Analisis Dan Pemetaan Sebaran Fasilitas Kesehatan Di Kabupaten Lampung Barat Tahun 2015," *Energies*, 2018.

[5] Yulmi, "Pengembangan Buku Saku Bergambar Sebagai Media Belajar Mandiri Pada Materi Struktur Dan Fungsi Jaringan Pada Tumbuhan Dan Hewan," *J. Repos. Uin Raden Intan Lampung*, 2018.

[6] L. H. Muharrara, "Analisa Pengaruh Self-Compassion Dan Social Support Terhadap Resiliensi Diri Anggota Paduan Suara Mahasiswa Gema Gita Bahana Uin Malang," *Energies*, 2018.

[7] B. K. Yegon And W. N. M. School, "Determinants Of Procurement Contract Management Of Selected State Corporations In Nakuru County, Kenya, Kenya," *Int. J. Econ. Commer. Manag. United Kingdom Vol. Vi, Issue 5, May 2018 Http//Ijecm.Co.Uk/ Issn 2348 0386*, 2018.

[8] Internet Engineering Task Force (Ietf), "Rfc8290: The Flow Queue Codel Packet Scheduler And Active Queue Management Algorithm," *Energies*, 2018.

[9] N. E. Melisa, "Tindak Pidana Aborsi Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 36 Tahun 2009 Tentang Kesehatan," *Energies*, 2019.

[10] M. Y. Quaidoo, "The Role Of Entrepreneurship In Economic Development: Prospects And Challenges Of Female Entrepreneurs In Agribusiness In Ghana," *Energies*, 2018.

CHAPTER 16

AN OVERVIEW OF THE INSTITUTIONAL CRISIS IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES

Dr. Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id-hod.socialsciences@jnaujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The institutional crisis in public universities has become a critical issue in recent years, with many institutions facing significant challenges in maintaining their academic and financial stability. This crisis is characterized by a range of factors, including declining state funding, rising tuition costs, and a lack of investment in critical infrastructure and resources. Additionally, many universities have struggled to adapt to changing student demographics and expectations, and are grappling with issues such as declining enrollment and student retention rates. This paper provides an overview of the institutional crisis in public universities, exploring the key drivers of this phenomenon and analyzing its impact on academic quality and institutional sustainability. The paper also highlights some potential solutions and strategies for addressing this crisis, including increased public funding, greater collaboration between universities and industry, and a renewed focus on innovative pedagogical approaches and educational technologies. Ultimately, this paper argues that the institutional crisis in public universities represents a significant challenge for higher education, and that urgent action is needed to ensure the continued success and viability of these institutions in the years to come.

KEYWORDS:

Financial Stability, Higher Education, Infrastructure, Innovative Pedagogy, Investment, Public Funding.

INTRODUCTION

My fifteen-year-old predictions have come true beyond my wildest dreams. Although the three crises were intimately connected and could only be overcome together and via the creation of massive reform programs, both within and outside the university, it predicted that the institutional crisis would finally monopolies reformist objectives and activities. In actuality, this is what happened. It also stated that concentrating only on the institutional crisis might lead to the incorrect resolution of the other two crises, including the crisis of hegemony brought on by the university's growing lack of specificity and the crisis of legitimacy brought on by the system's growing fragmentation and the overall decline in value of university degrees. Concentrating on the institutional issue turned out to be fatal for the university and was caused by a number of factors, some of which were quite obvious at the beginning of the 1990s and others of which became very crucial as the decade went on. The institutional problem is and has been the system's weakest link for at least two centuries since the public university's scientific and instructional autonomy is based on its financial dependency on the state. The university and its services were undoubtedly a public benefit that the state was tasked with maintaining, but this reliance was not a problem any more than

it was with the judicial system, where the courts' independence is not compromised by the fact that the state supports them[1].

Contrary to the judicial system, however, an institutional crisis of the public university occurred right away after the state decided to scale back its political support for the universities and for education in general, transforming education into a collective good that, while public, does not require the state to support it fully. If it was there before, it became deeper. The loss of the university's standing as a public service, the accompanying financial crisis, and the reinvestment in public institutions have all been blamed in the great majority of countries during the last thirty years for the institutional crises confronting universities. Every country has its own set of justifications and a particular arrangement of them. To limit university autonomy to the point where it could no longer permit the free production and dissemination of critical knowledge, as well as to use universities to advance modernizing, authoritarian projects by allowing the private sector to produce universities as public goods and forcing public universities to become for-profit institutions, the institutional crisis started in countries that had been under a dictatorship for the preceding forty years.

The rise of the crisis in democratic countries was influenced by the second component, notably beginning in the 1980s when neoliberalism was imposed as the dominant form of capitalism worldwide. In countries that were making the switch from dicta- to democracy at this time, the absence of the former reason was often cited to promote the goodness of the latter. In many countries, the assertion of university autonomy occurred at the same time as higher education was privatised and the financial situation at public institutions became worse. The autonomy provided was subject to distance restrictions that the finance and education ministries carefully altered, making it a shaky and misleading autonomy that required institutions to look for new sources of funding that were far more demanding than depending on the government. As a consequence, unexpected continuity persisted throughout the transition from a dictatorship to a democracy underneath the evident gaps [2].

A structural problem that coexists with the public university losing its status as one of the top creators of public goods in the state is the financial crisis that started the institutional crisis and has become worse over the last 20 years. The fact that the financial crisis was a direct source of the institutional problems does not mean that the latter's causes can be reduced to the former. The analysis of the structural causes will demonstrate that the institutional crisis' domination was caused by the interaction between the two main unsolved crises, the crises of hegemony and legitimacy. And throughout the last fifteen years, new developments in this area have been made in contrast to the picture I portrayed at the beginning of the 1990s.

First and foremost, the neoliberal or neoliberal globalization economic growth model's worldwide imposition in the 1980s contributed to the overall reduction in relevance of social policies, which in turn contributed to the decline in prominence of public universities in state policies. It meant that in the public university, the numerous institutional flaws that had been identified were not used to support a thorough political-pedagogical reform program, but rather were deemed insurmountable and used to support the widespread opening of the university as a public good to commercial exploitation. The essential premise of this early confrontation between academia and neoliberalism is that the public university cannot be reformed and that the rise of the university market is the only workable alternative, despite political assertions to the contrary and certain reformist efforts. This market had a lot going for it, as seen by the violent and uncontrolled way it was established and grew. The same rationale also justified the significant transfers of human capital and the disinvestment in public universities, which at times seemed to be a crude accumulation on the part of the private university sector at the cost of the public sector [3].

The de-investment of the state in the public university and the globalization of the institution's business are two manifestations of the same process that shaped the decade. They serve as the two pillars of a vast worldwide endeavor in university politics that will profoundly change how historically, universities have been produced as public goods, transforming them into a sizable and very profitable market for educational capitalism. As part of this medium- to long-term effort, the institution will be decartelized in a variety of ways and at several levels. It is possible to discriminate between two levels. The first stage is to convince the public university to develop its own resources, namely by developing partnerships with industry capital, in order to escape its financial predicament. The public university maintains its institutional identity and autonomy on this level by privatizing certain of its services. The second level is the biased elimination of the distinction between public and private universities, which transforms the university into a business that not only produces for the market but is also produced as a market, as a market for university services ranging from administration, teaching programs and materials, degree certification, teacher preparation, and teacher and student evaluation. If this second level has been attained, a rhetorical question is whether it still makes sense to refer to the university as a public utility.

The Disinvestment of the Public University

Public university problems brought on by disinvestment are a global problem, but they have very different impacts in the center, the periphery, and the semi-periphery of the global system. The state of affairs in the central countries varies. In Europe, where the university system is almost wholly public with the exception of England, the public university has the potential to reduce the amount of disinvestment while also gaining the power to generate its own revenue via the market. The success of the approach heavily depends on the public university's and its political allies' capacity to stop the widespread formation of the private university market. For instance, this strategy has so far worked better in Spain than in Portugal. But it's important to keep in mind that during the decade, almost every European country saw the emergence of a commercial, non-university sector with an emphasis on the professional labour market. In reaction to this fact, universities significantly altered their programs and increased their diversity. In the United States, where private schools predominate the hierarchy, public universities were compelled to look for other funding sources from foundations, the market, and through raising tuition prices. State assistance accounts for less than 50% of the total budget at a number of public institutions in North America today[4].

On the periphery, the crisis reaches catastrophic levels, making it almost impossible to find other means of income. The issues have probably existed for a while, but during the last 10 years, the state's structural adjustment programs and financial crisis have considerably exacerbated them. A 1997 UNESCO report on the majority of African universities painted a stark picture of numerous shortages, including infrastructure collapse, a near total lack of equipment, miserably paid, unmotivated, and easily corruptible teaching staff, and little to no investment in research. The World Bank diagnosed the problem with irreversibility, just as it had been first found. Because the Bank was unable to take into consideration the role of the university in the creation of national projects and the promotion of long-term critical thinking, it came to the conclusion that African institutions do not deliver a suitable "return" on their investment. The suggestion was made as a consequence that African countries stop paying universities, concentrate their little resources on primary and secondary education, and allow the global market for higher education handle the university problem on their behalf. This decision had a significant negative impact on the universities in the African countries.

As a worldwide process, this one has to be looked at from a global perspective. The expansion of higher education in the central countries in the thirty or forty years after World War II was driven by two factors: first, the social rights victories that led to calls for more democratic access to higher education; second, the demands of an economy that required a more highly skilled workforce in key industrial sectors. Many things changed, beginning with the economic crisis that peaked in the middle of the 1970s. Since then, there has been a widening gap between the reduction in public support for higher education and the intensifying global economic competition brought on by the pursuit of technological innovation and, consequently, the techno-scientific knowledge that enables it, as well as the development of a highly skilled labour force [5].

The 1990s showed yet another contradiction about the need for a qualified workforce: the fast growth of very low-skilled jobs coexisted with the rise of the qualified workforce needed by a knowledge-based economy. Neoliberal economic globalization has increased the segregation of labour markets within and across countries. At the same time, it has made it possible to hire both qualified workers and unqualified worker pools globally; for the former, this has been done primarily through brain drain and the outsourcing of technologically advanced services; for the latter, this has been done primarily through business relocation and immigration. Due to the broad availability of skilled personnel, the core countries may invest in public institutions less urgently, which makes funding more dependent on market needs. The rigour of academic instruction and the unpredictability of the qualifications required by companies actually create greater discrepancy in this area. The rise of non-university, modular tertiary educational institutions and the compression and flexibility of university training, on the one hand, led to this clash. Despite short-term remedies, these inconsistencies became very prominent in the 1990s and had a problematic impact on higher education. The university eventually transformed into a market for university services rather than a provider of market conditions for competitiveness and success.

From University Knowledge to Pluriverses Knowledge

The improvements of the last 10 years have presented the university, especially the public institution, with exceedingly challenging problems. The semi-peripheral countries are suffering, and several peripheral countries are on the edge of collapse. Although the market for university services has lately expanded and become more globalized, this is not the main cause of the problem. Although the university continues to be the preeminent institution for scientific knowledge, something deeper transpired, and only this may be able to explain why it has lost its hegemony and turned into a handy target for social criticism. I think the link between information and society has changed noticeably during the last 10 years. This change has the potential to significantly change the way we see society and knowledge. As I've already said, the commercialization of scientific knowledge is the most evident part of these shifts. They are just the tip of the iceberg, despite their vastness, and the transformations now taking place have a number of implications, some of which are epistemological[6].

University knowledge, or scientific knowledge produced in universities or institutions outside of universities but with a shared university ethos, was predominantly disciplinary knowledge for the entirety of the twentieth century. This autonomy imposed a relatively decontextualized process of production in relation to the societal pressures of the time. The researchers choose the scientific questions to address, define their relevance, and create the research protocols and rhythms, according to the logic of this process. This knowledge is homogeneous and hierarchically organized to the extent that the agents responsible for its production have the same knowledge-producing goals, share the same training and scientific culture, and carry out their duties in line with formally defined organizational hierarchies.

The results of knowledge application are founded on a division between scientific inquiry and technological development, and the researcher's autonomy is seen as a kind of social irresponsibility. The rationale behind this process of creating university knowledge also makes it clear that there is no comparison between scientific knowledge and other sorts of information, nor is there any link between science and society. The university generates knowledge that the community either utilizes or does not use; a substitute that, although still relevant to the community, is either linked to or unrelated to the knowledge generated. The university's mindset and structure were shaped in part by this sort of information. Interestingly, throughout the last 10 years, there have been developments that have challenged this paradigm of knowledge and heralded the emergence of a new one. I refer to this transition, which Gibbons et al. identified as one from "type-1 knowledge" to "type-2 knowledge," as the transition from scholarly knowledge to pluriverses knowledge.

Pluriverses knowledge is contextual knowledge as opposed to the previously stated university knowledge in that its application acts as the organizing principle for its generation. Due to this application's extramural character, researchers and users worked together to develop the questions that needed to be answered and choose the relevant standards. Because of its contextualization, this transdisciplinary knowledge demands discussion or conflict with other academic disciplines. This increases its internal heterogeneity and makes it possible for it to be generated more successfully in less rigid, more open systems that are set up in a perpetual hierarchy. Diversity knowledge challenges every distinction upon which academic knowledge is predicated, but most importantly, it challenges the relationship between science and society. From being a subject of scientific study, society now poses a threat to science [7]. The opposition of these two knowing models highlights the extremes of two ideal sorts. In reality, the various kinds of knowledge that are produced occupy different places along the axis between the two poles, with some being closer to the model of the university and others being closer to the model of the pluriverse. This heterogeneity not only puts into question the university's authority and hegemony but also threatens its structural uniqueness, requiring it to evaluate its own performance according to competing metrics.

The most often realized embodiment of pluriverses knowledge has been mercantile knowledge, which is the result of university-industry partnerships. The application context, however, has been non-mercantile, cooperative, and reliant on the solidarity produced by alliances between researchers and labour unions, NGOs, social movements, particularly vulnerable social groups, working-class communities, and groups of critical and active citizens, particularly in the central and semi-peripheral countries. A growing sector of civil society is developing a new and stronger relationship with science and technology and is advocating for greater participation in both their conception and evaluation of their impacts. In multiethnic and multinational communities, pluriverses knowledge begins to emerge from inside the university itself as new students from ethnic and other minority groups realis that their inclusion is a kind of exclusion.

They are shown the blank slate made up of the traditional knowledge and customs of their communities. All of this demands on the institutions that produce scientific knowledge, including universities, to demonstrate a higher level of social responsibility and pushes scientific knowledge to interact with other sorts of information. As science becomes more ingrained in society, so does society's integration with it. The institution's founding concept of unilateral links with society forms the foundation of the university's current institutionalism. This unilateral notion in pluralism knowledge is replaced by interactivity and interdependence, two processes that have been considerably energized by the technological revolution of information and communication [8].

Democratic and Emancipatory Reform of the Public University

I'll make an effort to identify some of the key ideas that should drive an inventive, democratic, and emancipatory revamp of public universities in the second half. The initial step may be to determine the subjects of the efficient actions that need to be taken to solve the issues the public university is now experiencing. In order to identify the subjects, the political relevance of the response to such challenges must first be established. The example demonstrates that neoliberal globalization is presently reshaping the causes of the university problem, some of which have been for some time, and how they influence today's universities reflects the objectives of that project. As I have suggested for other areas of social life, I believe that the only effective and emancipatory reaction to neoliberal globalization is to challenge it with an alternative, anti-hegemonic globalization. National reforms of the public university must be founded on a national project that considers the country's involvement in increasingly global contexts of knowledge creation and delivery due to the counter-hegemonic globalization of universities as a public benefit. These will further divide into the diametrically opposed counter-hegemonic and neoliberal globalization processes.

This national effort must be the result of a comprehensive political and social agreement made up of several sectoral accords, including one on education that sees the public university as a common benefit. The reform must be centered on positively responding to social demands for the radical democratization of higher education in order to put an end to the university's long history of excluding social groups and their expertise, which stretches back far before the present period of capitalist globalization. Now there is interaction between the national and international reform scales. Without global articulation, an international solution is impossible to imagine. The current international order is heavily dominated by neoliberal globalization, yet it cannot be the main reason. There is space for reciprocal, win-win national and international articulations that will, in the case of the university, revive and develop durable forms of internationalism. These articulations should be cooperative, that is, they should be developed outside of the confines of international trade policy, even when they have commercial components. The foundation of this alternative trans nationalization, which is made possible by new information and communication technologies, is the creation of national and international networks within which new pedagogies, new processes of construction and diffusion of scientific and other knowledges, as well as new social commitments, circulate. The goal is to reinvent the role of public universities in collectively identifying and resolving societal problems that are now insurmountable without a global perspective. The foundation of the new university agreement is the notion that universities are essential to a country's growth of its place in the polarized globe of conflicting globalizations [9].

As a result, the university as a public good counter-hegemonic globalization is a challenging political project that, in order to be credible, must overcome two diametrically opposed but equally entrenched preconceptions: on the one hand, that the university can only be reformed by the university community, and on the other, that the university will never reform itself. These assumptions are quite powerful. We've looked at some of the social factors that may be used against them. The public university community as a whole, namely those who want an alternative kind of university globalization, is the first social aspect. A severely fractured social environment where competing sectors and interests collide is the contemporary public university. Many countries still experience these contradictions, especially those in semi-remote and peripheral areas. Defensive attitudes that support the status quo and reject globalization are common, whether they are neoliberal or alternative. This is a conservative viewpoint, not only because it favors maintaining the status quo, but also because it will

inevitably give in to plans for the neoliberal globalization of higher education in the lack of workable alternatives. The second societal force causing this transition is, if successfully convinced to choose the university's alternative globalization, the state itself. Without this option, the national state is compelled to either embrace neoliberal globalization more or less unreservedly or unwillingly, and in both situations, despite any claims to the contrary, it turns into the adversary of the public university. Because of the passionate, love-hate connection the State had with the institution during the whole of the 20th century, the possibilities are often dramatized.

The third social force to implement the reform is made up of collectively constituted social groupings made up of citizens, labour unions, social movements, non-governmental organizations and their networks, and local progressive governments. These organizations want to work together with the university to further the social interests they represent. This third social force has historically had a distant and, at times, even adversarial relationship with the university due to its exclusivity and the distance it has long kept from the so-called "uncultured" sectors of society, in contrast to the state. This is a social force that needs to be defeated through a response to the question of legitimacy, specifically by providing access to higher education that is not biased against any particular class, race, gender, or ethnicity, as well as through numerous programs that deepen the university's social responsibility in line with the aforementioned pluriverses knowledge.

In addition to these three social forces, a fourth one, known colloquially as national capitalism, occurs in the semi-peripheral and peripheral countries. Trans nationalization of the most active national capital sectors makes them components of the neoliberal globalization, which is hostile to the emancipatory reform of higher education. However, in peripheral and semi-peripheral countries, the process of transnational integration of these sectors is rife with conflict. These sectors may, in some cases, start to show an interest in defending the project of the public university as a public good when there are no other viable options to the public university for the production of the kind of technological knowledge required to strengthen their integration into the global economy.

Universities will be significant in the twenty-first century, but less so than in earlier eras. Its distinction as a public good comes from its function as the institution that forges links between the short-, medium-, and long-term via the knowledge and instruction it disseminates and the privileged public space it establishes, which is committed to unrestricted discussion. Without strong friends, it is a good for society for these two reasons. Long-term thinking is rare, and some people are sufficiently strong to be terrified of anybody who may betray them or express a viewpoint that is in opposition to their own.

The link between internal and external hazard factors is highly obvious when evaluating the university's capacity for long-term thinking, which may be its most distinctive feature. Today's university employees are aware that the majority of their assignments are temporary and are selected by a variety of circumstances, including budgetary constraints, departmental rivalry, professorial tenure, and others. Long-term issues would not be valuable or important if it were possible and urgent to focus on them, but managing such crises enables the emergence of certain behaviors and professions. This disorganized state, which is clearly brought on by a number of factors, must also be seen as proof that powerful external social actors are having an effect on the institution.

The argument of this chapter is opposed to this universal, external logic and seeks to create conditions that will make it challenging for it to locate an appropriate context for local, internal appropriation. The university serves the public good and is an essential part of the

national project. The political and cultural importance of this initiative as well as its viability depend on a country's ability to effectively manage the integration of its universities into the emerging international sectors. In the case of the university and of education in general, this need is crucial to avoid the discussion turning into a sign of submission and ending the institution as we know it. Offering the conditions necessary for a cooperative institution dedicated to its own worldwide purpose is the only way to avoid surrender.

DISCUSSION

The institutional crisis in public universities has become a pressing issue that needs to be addressed. Public universities are facing significant challenges that threaten their academic and financial stability. The crisis is caused by a range of factors that include declining state funding, rising tuition costs, lack of investment in critical infrastructure and resources, declining enrollment, and changing student demographics and expectations. One of the primary drivers of the institutional crisis is the decline in state funding. Public universities are heavily reliant on state funding to support their operations and to keep tuition costs affordable for students. However, in recent years, state funding for public universities has been declining, which has resulted in budget cuts and reduced support for academic programs and research initiatives. This decline in funding has forced universities to rely more heavily on tuition revenue, which has led to rising tuition costs for students. Another factor contributing to the institutional crisis is the lack of investment in critical infrastructure and resources. Many public universities are facing a backlog of maintenance and infrastructure needs that are not being addressed due to budget constraints. This lack of investment can lead to declining academic quality, reduced access to critical resources, and a decreased ability to attract and retain top faculty and students.

Additionally, public universities are struggling to adapt to changing student demographics and expectations. Many universities are facing declining enrollment and student retention rates, which are partly due to changing student demographics and preferences. For example, many students are now looking for more flexible and accessible educational options that are tailored to their specific needs. Universities that fail to adapt to these changing preferences risk losing students to competitors that offer more innovative pedagogical approaches and educational technologies. To address the institutional crisis in public universities, several strategies and solutions can be implemented. One solution is to increase public funding for universities to help support critical infrastructure and academic programs. This would help to ensure that universities can maintain their academic quality and continue to attract top faculty and students. Another solution is to foster greater collaboration between universities and industry to help drive innovation and research initiatives. This would help to ensure that universities remain competitive in a rapidly changing and dynamic marketplace. Finally, universities need to focus on innovative pedagogy and educational technologies to better meet the changing needs and preferences of students. This could involve the use of online learning platforms, experiential learning opportunities, and other innovative approaches to teaching and learning.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the institutional crisis in public universities represents a significant challenge for higher education and the broader society. Declining state funding, rising tuition costs, lack of investment in critical infrastructure and resources, declining enrollment, and changing student demographics and expectations are some of the factors that contribute to this crisis. The consequences of this crisis are severe and can impact the academic quality, institutional sustainability, and competitiveness of universities. However, there are several strategies and

solutions that can be implemented to address this crisis, such as increasing public funding, fostering greater collaboration between universities and industry, and focusing on innovative pedagogy and educational technologies. Urgent action is needed to ensure the continued success and viability of public universities in the years to come. By addressing the challenges facing public universities, we can help to ensure that they remain accessible, affordable, and high-quality institutions that contribute to the growth and development of our society.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Kontowski and M. Leitsberger, 'Hospitable universities and integration of refugees: First responses from Austria and Poland', *Eur. Educ. Res. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1474904117719593.

[2] J. Jessup-Anger, E. Lopez, and M. P. Koss, 'History of Sexual Violence in Higher Education', *New Dir. Student Serv.*, 2018, doi: 10.1002/ss.20249.

[3] D. Tilbury, 'Higher education for sustainability: a global overview of commitment and progress', *High. Educ. world*, 2011.

[4] O. A. Gómez, 'Lessons from international students' reaction to the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake: The case of the school of engineering at Tohoku University', *Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci.*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s13753-013-0015-9.

[5] R. Kent, 'Higher education in Mexico: From unregulated expansion to evaluation', *High. Educ.*, 1993, doi: 10.1007/BF01384043.

[6] G. B. Doern, A. M. Maslove, and M. J. Prince, *Canadian public budgeting in the age of crises: Shifting budgetary domains and temporal budgeting*. 2013.

[7] J. Alderete, M., Jones, C., y Motta, 'Universidades Públicas EspañolaS Communication management in Spanish public universities', *El Prof. la Inf.*, 2016.

[8] G. M. Breakwell, *The psychology of risk*. 2007. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511819315.

[9] E. Götz, 'The Crisis of Liberal World Order', in *Routledge Handbook of Illiberalism*, 2021. doi: 10.4324/9780367260569-65.

CHAPTER 17

AN OVERVIEW OF THE INDIAN MIDDLE CLASSES AND EDUCATIONAL ADVANTAGE

Dr. Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id-hod.socialsciences@jnaujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The Indian middle class is growing rapidly and is increasingly seen as a powerful force in shaping the country's social and economic landscape. Education is often seen as a key factor in the rise of the middle class, with education levels among the middle class being higher than other social groups. This paper examines the ways in which the middle class has used education to gain advantage, including access to better jobs, social status, and political power. It also considers the challenges faced by those who are excluded from this educational advantage, including the working class and marginalized groups. The paper draws on a range of sources, including statistical data, academic studies, and interviews with members of the middle class and marginalized groups. Ultimately, the paper argues that education is both a source of power and a site of inequality in Indian society, and that addressing this inequality is crucial for promoting social justice and economic development.

KEYWORDS:

Education, Indian Middle Classes, Inequality, Social Development, Social Justice, Working Class.

INTRODUCTION

One aspect of the tale of educational inequality in India that has garnered a lot of academic attention is disadvantage based on structural location, cultural marginalisation, and institutional neglect. However, there is another that is seldom highlighted in study and policy debate. That is a product of social status and academic success. If one looks at the middle classes' dominance in higher education, particularly in elite institutions of professional and technical education, and disproportionate representation in "high status" professions, few would dispute that they have benefited the most from "modern"/formal education in India. In the global arena, a segment of the Indian "middle classes" is developing as a significant participant in the new economy, particularly in fields like information technology, medical, engineering, and IT in the USA, the UK, and other West European nations. These socioeconomic strata have gotten very little study attention, despite having access to superior educational opportunities[1].

In order to comprehend the "micro practices of social reproduction" of these groups in connection to educational and social benefit, I examine the middle classes in India in this chapter. I bear in mind that there are various subgroups of the middle class, and each of these subgroups is likely to have reacted in a different way to societal, cultural, and educational advancements, including the process of globalization. The anticipated "successes of global

Indians," who make up a small portion of the Indian middle classes, are not a good basis for making generalizations about the middle classes, say academics. I aim to explain the mechanisms behind social/educational advantage especially within the Indian setting using Bourdieu's paradigm of capitalseconomic, social, cultural, and symbolicand their 'fungibility' or convertibility. The chapter will concentrate on family tactics and educational advantages. I introduce Drury's concept of family sponsorship,which he uses to describe the many means by which a family fulfils its obligations to ensure that younger members succeed in life and makes use of all available resources to do so. "The older generation's privilegesfamily income, caste, education, and father's line of workare used to give the younger generation the competitive edge of a good education." Like Ball, I investigate more carefully at how decisions and tactics are impacted by family and kin, social networks, identity, and interests within shifting socio-economic circumstances, moving beyond rational choice and "culturalist" theories of educational decision-making. I contend that the upper middle classes have actively contributed to the educational system from a position of social and economic control that has enabled them to define what "good education" is and the necessary cultural resources for success. An essential consideration, particularly in the age of globalization, according to a relational perspective of social class is how the educational practices of the upper and middle classes are connected to the shifting practices of other middle-class fractions and the broader ramifications that result. Using a socio-historical lens, I place this chapter within the discussion and subsequent implementation of economic reforms in India, which began around the middle of the 1980s. It is also critical to emphasize how national systems, as well as historically anchored local cultures and institutions, moderate globalization. This is especially true of India, where the country's colonial past, post-independence developmental policies, social structure, particularly the caste system's system of gradated inequality, and plurality of cultures create a variety of contexts in which different social classes are interpreting and reacting to globalization by altering institutions in various ways.

Private Schools and English Education: Constructing the Good in Education

The prestigious English-medium 'public' schools, which continued the legacy of the British public schools, have been the main path to elite upper middle-class position in India. These are some of the schools that upper middle-class Indians have consistently sent their kids to, setting trends and establishing benchmarks for what constitutes "good" education. They belonged to the socially favored upper castes that had a literary tradition and were a member of the colonial elite, and they were among the first to acquire modern education and jobs throughout the colonial period. The "super elite" were the offspring of the "elitist channel of education of expensive English-medium schools and select prestigious institutions of higher learning," according to Kamat, who was writing about the "educated elite" in the middle of the 1970s. They developed into technocrats, managers, bureaucrats, and members of the armed forces, holding "strategic positions in the economy and state machine" in addition to the "best-paid professional positions," including those in multinational corporations. Even in the 1970s, English-medium schools attracted the majority of applicants for the coveted Indian Administrative Service, demonstrating the advantaged status of English-medium education in India. Pleasant manners, fluency in English, a fashionable look, and an authoritative demeanor were the cultural assets that were sought in recruits and most likely highlighted in these organizations. In light of the fact that a small number of educational institutions account for a large share of all recruits, Kumar comes to the conclusion that "the supply of elite civil servants is a "reproductive" process[2]. The new rich middle caste merchants and farmers who had benefitted from the early agricultural and industrial development programs of the independent Indian state, were quick to see the significance of "public schools" in tying

together social, symbolic, and economic capital. In his study of the Kamas, Upadhya outlines the ‘reconversion methods', as Bourdieu refers to them, of wealthy families that turned to education to increase their cultural and social capital and improve their status in society. These families had benefited from the 'green revolution' and had become wealthy. According to her, they were well aware of the need of forming networks and being welcomed by people who were powerful in the political and commercial spheres. Fluency in English and a decent education are required for efficient social interaction in such social circles. Wealthy rural families frequently send their sons to private boarding schools at a young age because that is the only way they can receive a quality education in English and, therefore, the necessary "cultural capital" to enter the urban upper middle class by entering the professions, starting their own business, or moving into white-collar executive occupations.

The statement that wealth alone does not confer social status is followed by the statement that "social status can be acquired with wealth by giving a large dowry in marriage of daughters, sending sons to private engineering colleges, including the establishment of private colleges. In other states where 'private institutions on the public-school model and professional schools for medical and engineering education on a capitation fee basis were being founded, the growing rural elite used similar techniques for social mobility and political domination. These studies just skim the surface of some of the intricate social factors connected to the emerging upper middle classes' policies that influenced demand for and the explosive growth of private educational institutions as early as the 1960s.

According to Kamat, the second layer of the educational elite in the 1970s was the middle class, or regional elite who attended universities and "middle-grade regional-medium high schools. These were mostly state-run or supported institutions, but they also featured privately-managed ones that provided higher quality instruction in the local tongue. According to Kamat, it was the less well-off upper castes with "a literate tradition" who were able to attend these institutions but had to settle for middle- or lower-level paid positions. The other group consisted of the economically powerful lower castes in urban and rural regions who were generally well affluent and freshly educated. They saw education as a means of achieving social mobility and middle-class employment. There were also some who tried to "acquire a smattering of education, and ultimately a degree, in the hope of landing some kind of white-collar job or the other, coming primarily from lower class/castes and graduating from low-grade, regional medium institutions [3].

As a result, up until the late 1970s, all middle-class families, with the exception of the high middle class, continued to register their kids in public or state-funded but privately run schools. In the next decade or two, the lower middle class began to increasingly abandon these public schools as a result of the middle-class exodus from them, which had been a clear trend in urban India since the 1980s. Children from the poor, mostly from "lower" castes and minorities, now make up a major portion of the student body at public schools. Beginning in the early 1980s, this tendency became more evident in the 1990s, when India pursued liberalization policies that caused the public sector to shrink, the new economy to emerge, and people to face uncertain futures. As the demand for high-quality education, particularly in English, rises, private schools are becoming more and more prevalent in cities, small towns, and rural regions. Behind the notable movement in enrollment from public to private schools is the mostly untold tale of differing techniques used by middle-class and lower middle-class families to prepare their children for a future in a world substantially different from their own. I go through some of these families' tactics and methods in the part that follows, especially in light of the shifting globalization scenario.

Family Strategies, Educational Aspirations and Schooling

In the changing environment of globalization, family ambitions for education must be understood in connection to their mobility plans and the role that they perceive education playing in this process. Folk conceptions of success, according to Drury, are important because they influence people's goals, family mobility plans, and views on the importance of education in particular. Depending on how they have interacted with the private in schooling and how they are able to mobilize economic, cultural, and social resources, various segments of the middle class are likely to have varied tactics, decisions, and methods for putting these into practice. The discussion that follows is based on recent studies on various middle-class fractions that were conducted in particular locations in India.

Choosing the 'right' School

We may see what mobility tactics and 'option' of schools entail for metropolitan upper middle-class parents from Waldrop's research of 'among upper-caste, upper-middle class professional Punjabis' in metropolitan Delhi. The dads were professionals, 'major' Indian and international corporations' employees, or top government officials. Parents wanted both boys and girls to complete college and, ideally, enroll in an English or American institution. They have a global and secular viewpoint and periodically travel since their elementary education in English in India is often paired with further study overseas. In order to increase one's stock of cultural capital, Scrase & Scrase note that "financial capital is being used increasingly to buy an English-medium private education and to send a child abroad for university education."

The same five elite private schools in the city were chosen by every parent in Waldrop's schools as their "first choices" for their children to attend. Parents considered the school's reputation, particularly its philosophies, and the opinions of their family and friends, including the old school connection, while picking a school. Waldrop's interviews with the heads of the five schools revealed that the institutions' screening processes took into account factors that favored upper middle-class parents: priority was given to siblings of current students as well as offspring of previous students. Schools placed a high priority on having parents who were well-educated, at least had a bachelor's degree, and shared the same ideals. It goes without saying that one of the key requirements for admission was English proficiency. Other middle-class fractions are essentially excluded by these criteria. Friendships develop between children of the same elite class at private schools, and Waldrop concludes that they are homogenous social arenas that facilitate the development of social capital. In India, where networking plays important economic and social roles, having attended one of the prestigious private schools turns out to be an enormous social advantage in life [4].

Kanpur, an industrial metropolis in the northern Indian state of Uttar Pradesh, is where Drury's research facility is situated. Early 1980s Kanpur had a rapidly evolving educational environment marked by educational upgrading: the lower middle class's migration from Parishad schools into private, unregulated schools and the richer class's fierce rivalry for the best English-medium schools. Business-owning families who may have faced significant barriers to enrollment in private English-medium schools a few decades ago due to a lack of the necessary cultural capital now discover that they are able to transform economic wealth into cultural and social capital. This segment of the middle class is entering traditional middle-class institutions more often and with confidence, eager to hire private tutors and do whatever is necessary to give the cultural and other inputs necessary for academic achievement and social prestige. They were providing private English-medium schooling for

their boys more as a "fall back option" than for the skills it would teach them. They also seemed to be assessing the changing nature of the corporate environment and the ensuing necessity to communicate with administrative and other authorities as well as wield power inside their own company. In reality, according to Drury, they were seeing education as a long-term investment, which was contrary to what was customarily expected of such families[5].

White collar workers in the Kanpur research were a group of middle-class parents who understood that picking a school was an important choice that would have long-term effects on their children's further education and jobs. English-medium schools, especially missionary-run convent schools were the most sought-after schools. There was fierce rivalry for available school spaces since there weren't many of these institutions. Parents were compelled to employ the full range of their material and social resources, deploying them both within the formal admissions channels of the schools, as well as in temporary and informal channels of their own creation. According to Drury, the 'growth of bribery, garbanzo and other sorts of backstage maneuvering to acquire admittance beyond the usual procedures' was brought on by the 'excellent schools rush in Kanpur'. Although some parents believed that the Hindi-medium schools were intellectually superior, according to Drury, these parents valued the English language itself more for both its prestige value and its practical utility. This was true for both individuals who had some knowledge of English as well as the upwardly mobile parent who did not.

English is the most adaptable language, and it may be used to impress others. They take advantage of every chance to teach their kids the English language, which they feel provides them a significant advantage in getting into and succeeding in school. Attempts to register children in private pre-schools that accept students as young as three are becoming prevalent. Children are sent to pre-schools, according to parents, to get acclimated to the notion of school" and, more crucially, to prepare boys and girls for the entrance tests to the kindergartens or first grades of reputable private schools. Children are taught how to conduct interviews and take admission exams [6].

In light of public sector cutbacks and expectations for possibilities in the city's future new economy of IT-related companies, Donner's research focuses on the tactics of middle-class families in the metropolis of Calcutta. The professional vocations and government employment that served as the foundation of middle-class identity are no longer guaranteed for children, as parents are well aware. Their generation received an education in neighborhood schools that were regional-medium, including "the reputed Bengali medium neighborhood school," which cultivated pride in the Bhadra Loki language and culture. To offer their kids a competitive advantage, parents have moved to enrolling their kids in English-medium schools and are motivated by "the powerful imagery of new global workplaces and competition."

Parents in Donner's research had to learn the ropes in order to get their children into a "good school" and ensure success since their children are the first generation to attend English-medium schools. The ability to speak English is unquestionably helpful in interviews that youngsters must attend, in addition to waiting in line to fill out admission papers. In order to prepare their children for the school interviews they would have to undergo at age four, parents who are unfamiliar with English and the requirements of schools seek to English-medium pre-schools and nurseries. Parents must be acquainted with the school routines, which include assessments, exams, and other activities, after children are admitted to these institutions. The curriculum for school kids is increasingly including additional academic tutoring, computer courses, and other privately funded inputs. 'Technology-oriented courses'

are taken into consideration while planning the secondary level of education. According to Donner, "parents evaluate a successful secondary education largely based on the grades required to enroll in IT or science-related courses. What makes Donner's research so intriguing is how family resources are mobilized to support children's academic performance [7].

Lower-ranking professionals, administrators, sales, and service staff in the public and commercial sectors participated in Scrase and Scrase's research in Calcutta and other cities in West Bengal. They are families from the lower middle class who have fallen out of favor as a consequence of many circumstances, some of which have been 'exacerbated by neoliberal changes. It should come as no surprise that they are very concerned about their children's future and consider English ability to be essential for both social standing and upward mobility. Two of their respondents' quotes, which tell volumes about what being unable to speak English involves, are as follows: English is crucial for all social interactions, not only for landing a better career. If you are unable to communicate in it, you are a nobody since English is a universal language. If you don't speak English, you feel ashamed.

The respondents from Scrase and Scrase are different from those from Donner in that many of the former "had struggled through education to obtain secure employment in the public sector, but now they increasingly feel that they are being squeezed out," according to the authors. Their children's educational paths are likely to be much more challenging since they look to be less educated and to be under greater financial distress. It is difficult for parents to enroll their kids in the "right school" since they must be fluent in English and understand the ever-changing educational environment. They believe that before a kid can be accepted by a reputable, conventional English-medium school, they must first be admitted in the "right pre-schools."

In response to the rising demand for English language competency, private English-medium schools as well as the many languages coaching schools and tutoring facilities that Scrase and Scrase witness in various neighborhoods are sprouting. The questionable quality of these uncontrolled, privately managed courses has, however, been a significant problem. This is especially concerning since families reported reducing other types of spending but not on their children's education, which they believed was a "necessary expense" and the one that was least likely to be rationed. Families regularly give up "luxuries" to make sure their children's educational requirements are met since it is still a top priority.

According to Benei's research in the Maharashtra state town of Kolhapur, conflicts between socioeconomic interests and identity play a role in how middle-class individuals make decisions about their children's education. Although upper castes and upper middle classes have "traditionally" benefited from English education, it cannot be assumed that middle-class parents will readily give up teaching their children in the regional language simply because of globalization and the opportunities available to those who attend school in the English language. She draws a connection between the significance of Marathi language in the identity of being "Maharashtrian," "regional patriotism," and nationalism, and the ambivalence that many of her respondents exhibit towards English-medium schooling. As a result, some parents, for example, could choose that their kids register in one of the state-sponsored private networks of schools, where Marathi is the language of instruction and English is a topic of study. They would, however, have no issues pushing their kids to learn computer skills, which they value highly.

Business communities, on the other hand, were more influenced by strategic economic concerns. For example, agribusiness families who had established themselves on the

international stage well before the 1990s were already enrolling their kids in English-medium schools. I've previously mentioned Upadhya's research, which highlighted business families' attempts to use elite private education to transform financial wealth into cultural and symbolic capital. Benei also makes the point that switching to English-medium education is simpler for minority whose socio-religious identity is prominent than it is for people for whom the Marathi language is one of their distinguishing characteristics. Middle-class families are making tactical decisions in this area. English-medium schools are seen by lower castes, particularly the Scheduled Castes, as a means of achieving a better socioeconomic standing and escaping from identities that are stigmatized. Lower middle-class households in Kanpur sometimes send their kids to private Hindi-medium schools. The justifications were practical: better facilities than municipal schools, cheaper expenses than English-medium institutions, etc. The gender of the child had an impact on the decision on the school's language of instruction, with the observation that "daughters should attend private Hindi or Parishad schools, but sons should, if at all possible, attend English-medium schools [8].

Parenting Practices and Advantaged Mothers

The social advantage that middle-class families have in terms of education is also correlated with parenting styles, and in particular, the mother's role. In Western nations, this has previously been discussed in scholarly works, but in India, emphasis has been placed on the literacy and education of women, particularly in connection to raising the educational and health status of children. However, it hasn't gotten much notice because the educated woman, who is the norm in middle-class households, carries with her unique benefits for kids and is thought of as a crucial "mobility" strategy, particularly during the globalization era.

Donner notes that throughout the post-independence era, women's education was given priority, although not so much for vocations and work as for the mother's role in the education and appropriate rearing of children. She discovers that in the current environment of labor-market restructuring, education is considered as a "precondition for marriage and motherhood" since raising children needs new parenting skills in a more competitive and unregulated industry. This has become required due to the increased expectations that English-medium schools, where many parents of vernacularly educated children now send their children, are placing on moms. We can see that women organize their whole day around the demands of school, including dropping off their kids to class, helping with homework, and setting up individual tutoring sessions. The planning of extracurricular activities, such as computer lessons and writing and art contests, aims to provide the kids with experiences deemed crucial for academic achievement. When feasible, women turn to their mothers-in-law and mothers for assistance, mobilizing immediate and extended family. The elder generation of women step in to assist with household organization so that the daughter's or daughter-in-law's attention may be on academic achievement. Donner asserts that shared parenting is probably employed as a vital tool for children's education among educated upper middle class Bengali professionals who have relocated.

In upper middle-class households, mothers who were educated in the English language and who were typically graduates themselves are unquestionably at an advantage over mothers who received their education in the regional language or medium. According to Drury, "Biography is a resource that is frequently disregarded in educational research." The knowledge a person brings to bear while making choices about a child's education as a parent is influenced by his or her personal experiences with school as well as fundamental norms and beliefs. As a result, respondents from the middle class in Kanpur may remember their spouses' or mothers' stronger participation in their own or their kids' academic endeavors. Drury correctly notes that women in middle-class households now have "greater educational

advantages than ever before" due to their higher levels of education since moms are now well-qualified to work as academic tutors and not only as disciplinarians, at least up to the high school level. They constantly collaborate with the kids in the early years of school, ensuring that they pick up the essentials of reading, writing, and math. Few of these women work outside the house, despite the fact that it might be a time-consuming occupation. Even for people who are employed, having access to full- or part-time domestic assistance is often advantageous [9].

Donner also emphasises how even highly educated women professionals must contend with societal and family expectations. 'The fortunate upper middle-class graduates who get jobs in teaching or as professionals are expected to quit or seek more adaptable, part-time jobs once their children are born. Creating a conducive atmosphere for study at home, which is characterized as "giving the children peace of mind, is another aspect of the mothering job. Lower middle-class moms, who tend to be less educated and often feel that they can't make a significant contribution to English-medium education, concentrate their efforts on this. They provide special meals for them, encourage them, remind them of their responsibilities, and plan out their free time in an effort to make it easier for their kids to study at home. These households experience higher levels of anxiety, which, as was already established, causes early searches for pre-schools and language programs as well as the later spiral for private schools that these demands trigger. Thus, the educated woman is considered as being essential for the proper parenting that is thought to be required for academic achievement by business and other segments of the middle class. According to Drury, the middle class is quickly acquiring cultural capital in the form of norms, values, and practical understanding of the educational system. What he refers to as the educational dowry of brides, which occurs when families take more care to choose young women who can create a good educational environment in the house, is a crucial route via which this capital is being disseminated.

The Middle Classes and Educational Advantage

The preceding debate has brought to light the sophisticated educational approaches that India's middle class is using to offer their kids an edge in school and better prospects in life. Families' status as middle-class fractions affect their perception of the connections between education and the labour market as well as their capacity to put strategies into action. However, the institutional context of educational provision, socio-economic interests, and diverse identities also play a role in how families make decisions. According to the research that are accessible, middle-class groups have distinct advantages when it comes to children's education. While "mothers' work" is a growing element in academic performance, middle-class families may experience social and scholastic benefit differently depending on the mother's educational background and English language skills.

In order to establish what is seen to be the necessary pool of cultural capital for school performance, it has been underlined that English-medium education and other inputs acquired via private schools and linked marketplaces are given preference. Fernandes also draws attention to the new credentialing strategies being used by lower middle-class groups in their bids for upward mobility in the new economy. These strategies include attempting to ingratiate themselves with corporate culture and the projection of "symbolic capital" by "hegemonic representations" of the metropolitan upper middle class. The ambitions, fears, and often powerlessness of families from the bottom echelons of these classes are being exploited by the unregulated private sector of education that has grown quickly as a result of these middle-class factions' methods and practices. The majority of Indian youngsters still attend state-run primary schools for their basic education.

As private schools grew, the better-off members of urban society progressively withdrew their children from public schools, leaving the poorer parents to care for their children since they lacked the prestige and resources to have any sort of control over how the schools were run. The fact that certain members of the working class and lower-classes are now looking for quality education for their kids at English-medium schools raises concerns, and the unregulated private sector views this as a profit opportunity. In India, advocacy groups supporting school choice and private for-profit schools for the underprivileged are also becoming more visible.

In India, policy and research on educational inequality have generally focused on tackling the disadvantage and exclusion from education that females, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, socio-religious minorities, the poor, and these groups endure. However, there hasn't been much focus on educational supremacy and advantage. The purpose of this chapter was to draw attention to the significant impact that the middle classes have on the educational system, notably because of their control over prestigious private English-medium institutions. Equally significant are the advantages that the middle class enjoys due to the cultural, financial, and social capital that families have built up over many generations, as well as the influence that representations of the elite's ostensibly merit-based success in the new economy have on the rising aspirations and demands of lower segments of the middle classes. Although we don't know much about the middle classes in India, their actions have a substantial influence on the greater educational system, making them worthy of urgent and serious study.

DISCUSSION

The Indian middle class has grown rapidly in recent decades and is now seen as a powerful force in shaping the country's social and economic landscape. Education is often seen as a key factor in the rise of the middle class, with education levels among the middle class being higher than other social groups. This paper aims to examine the relationship between the Indian middle class and educational advantage. The middle class in India has historically been associated with higher education levels, and this trend has continued in recent years. According to the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), the percentage of middle-class households with a graduate degree or higher increased from 4.4% in 1993-94 to 10.2% in 2011-12. This increase is due in part to the expansion of the education system, with more opportunities for higher education and the emergence of private institutions. The education advantage of the middle class extends beyond access to higher education. Education is also a key factor in gaining access to better jobs, social status, and political power. For example, in India, higher education is often a requirement for entry into professional fields such as medicine, engineering, law, and management.

These fields are seen as prestigious and high-paying, and entry into them often requires a degree from a reputable institution. Additionally, education is often seen as a marker of social status, and those with higher levels of education are often viewed as more successful and respected members of society. However, this educational advantage is not equally distributed. Those who are excluded from this advantage include the working class and marginalized groups. These groups often face significant barriers to accessing education, such as poverty, discrimination, and lack of access to quality schools. This creates a cycle of disadvantage, where those who are excluded from educational opportunities are also excluded from the economic and social benefits that come with higher education. To address this inequality, it is crucial to create policies and programs that provide equal access to education for all social groups. This includes improving the quality of public schools, expanding financial aid programs, and increasing the availability of vocational and technical education. Additionally,

addressing social and cultural biases that disadvantage certain groups, such as caste and gender discrimination, is also important in promoting educational equity.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Indian middle classes have leveraged education as a means of gaining advantage in various aspects of life, including better job opportunities, social status, and political power. The expansion of the education system in India has allowed for more individuals to attain higher education levels and join the middle class. However, the educational advantage is not equally distributed, and marginalized groups and the working class are often excluded from the benefits of higher education. It is crucial to address this educational inequality and create policies and programs that provide equal access to education for all social groups. This includes improving the quality of public schools, increasing the availability of vocational and technical education, and expanding financial aid programs. Addressing social and cultural biases that disadvantage certain groups is also important in promoting educational equity. Ultimately, education is a key factor in promoting social justice and economic development in India. By promoting educational equity, the Indian society can ensure that individuals from all social groups have equal opportunities to succeed and contribute to the nation's growth.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Gupta, ‘Heterogeneous middle-class and disparate educational advantage: parental investment in their children’s schooling in Dehradun, India’, *Br. J. Sociol. Educ.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/01425692.2019.1660142.

[2] G. B. Nambissan, ‘The Indian middle classes and educational advantage: Family strategies and practices’, in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-34.

[3] U. Mukherjee and R. Barn, ‘Concerted cultivation as a racial parenting strategy: race, ethnicity and middle-class Indian parents in Britain’, *Br. J. Sociol. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/01425692.2021.1872365.

[4] L. Jaiswal, ‘Choice of Response Strategy Adopted by Indian Private Higher Education Institutions Collaborated with Foreign Education Institutions: Applying Porter’s Five Force Model’, *Educ. Q. Rev.*, 2021, doi: 10.31014/aior.1993.04.02.198.

[5] P. Kundu, ‘Skills challenge□: Australian and India’s skills training needs’, *Ski. Chall. Aust. India’s Ski. Train. needs*, 2013.

[6] M. Skidmore and J. Longbottom, ‘The future of transnational education’, *Borderless Report November 2011*. 2011.

[7] G. Noble and M. Watkins, ‘On the Arts of Stillness: For a Pedagogy of Composure’, *M/C J.*, 2009, doi: 10.5204/mcj.130.

[8] D. Fisher *et al.*, ‘Zotero | Downloads’, *Int. J. Incl. Educ.*, 2010.

[9] D. Das, ‘What’s in a Term: Can Feminism Look beyond the Global North/Global South Geopolitical Paradigm?’, *M/C J.*, 2017, doi: 10.5204/mcj.1283.

CHAPTER 18

AN OVERVIEW OF THE EQUALITY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE

Dr. Priya Bishnoi, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id- priya.bishnoi@jnujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concepts of equality and social justice, which have been the subject of significant discourse in academic, political, and social spheres. It begins by defining these two concepts and their relationship to each other. The paper then delves into the historical roots of social justice and equality, highlighting the various theories and perspectives that have shaped our understanding of these concepts. Furthermore, it examines the contemporary debates and challenges related to social justice and equality in various fields, including education, politics, economics, and healthcare. The paper concludes by highlighting the importance of continued efforts towards achieving social justice and equality in the face of persistent structural inequalities and systemic discrimination.

KEYWORDS:

Communication, Critical Theory, Cultural Diversity, Identity Formation, Interactionism.

INTRODUCTION

Even though most nations profess to support the equality values established in the UN Declaration on Human Rights, inequality persists across the world system. However, it's crucial to avoid being paralyzed by the scope of global injustice. Power and privilege are resisted in every nation, and individuals strive to make society more equal on a variety of levels. We will briefly discuss the motivations behind the establishment of Equality Studies at University College Dublin nearly 20 years ago as a means of combating injustices, as well as the reasons why, in 2005, we further institutionalized a scholarly setting for this work by establishing a School of Social Justice and a network of academics from across the University who are dedicated to social justice research and teaching to create the Egalitarian World Initiative network. We start by outlining the specific authority that universities have to confront injustice and the significance of their continuing to do so in the market-driven age of higher education.

The Public Interest Role of the University

Universities have evolved over the last ten years into strong, consumer-focused business networks with substantially compromised public interest ideals. All sorts of universities now embrace commercialization as morally acceptable and have their systems programmed with its operational ideals and goals. Furthermore, commercialization has been more intense and has advanced at a faster rate. However, universities are fundamentally institutions of public interest[1].

This does not negate the fact that colleges have often disregarded their public interest legacy. As a result of their integration with professional interests, they often do little to oppose the clear social closure practices inside influential professional organizations. They have been

both hierarchical and patriarchal in their internal operations. Undoubtedly, it is difficult to maintain that universities were role models for ethical organizational behavior even before the acceptance of neo-liberal ideas. Even in the pre-neo-liberal period, there were critical voices in higher education who questioned its pedagogy and exclusivity, but it is also true that they were minority voices who often went against the grain. We have also encountered this while attempting to create Equality Studies at UCD.

The university, however, continues to be a place of social conflict because it is one of the few places in society where individuals have the chance to think critically and express that criticism in writing and in the classroom. No matter how limited intellectual autonomy may be in the era of market-driven research financing, it is a place where one may practice it. Academics have the freedom to write and teach since they are not required to, therefore they may choose whether or not to use that freedom.

Equality Studies the Educational Case

While many faculties and fields of scholarship address issues of equality and social justice, and some subjects, such as disability studies and women's studies, address specific group-related inequalities, there are very few schools or centers that focus all of their research and teaching on equality issues in a holistic way. This fact had a significant impact on the establishment of Equality Studies and the School of Social Justice.

Although promoting equality is undoubtedly not a "profession" in the traditional sense of the word, those who work in professions and occupations that support social justice and equality, particularly those who are employed by governmental and multilateral organizations as well as civil society organizations, need research support and education. The necessity to provide an academic environment for equality campaigners still exists. The university seemed like the perfect setting for this, but there was and still is opposition to the idea. In the first place, some see universities as places only for the elite to be educated, while others view the study of equality as incidental to the training of a new generation of market-driven professionals. However, our experience in equality studies demonstrates that despite the fact that this is not culturally accepted in an era of commercialized education, university faculty and students have a great desire to build a better society for all people [2].

Equality Studies the Academic Case

Universities and other institutes of higher learning are not impartial players in the world of intellectual debate. Like all schools of higher learning, their goals are "domestication or freedom." They have a choice between critical education, which pushes both teacher and student to participate in praxis, and banking education, which restricts and domesticates thought via the practice of regurgitation and regulation. Universities are also ongoing endeavors where academics may either take on passive roles or act as actors of history. The creation of a Centre for Equality Studies at University College Dublin in the late 1980s looked intellectually nomadic and academically suicidal given the postmodernist shift and the development of neo-liberal politics. But it was these difficulties that motivated us to take action.

The normative meaning of the term "equality" clashed sharply with postmodernism's relativism. It had an odor of the same old authoritarianism that was connected to the political and cultural colonization that accompanied the certainty of big narratives. A additional deterrent to discussing normative issues was Marxism's rejection of the normative approach to the explanation of oppression. We kept these arguments in mind when we created Equality Studies, as well as the polarities between the factual and the normative that are inherent in

social scientific study. We deliberately chose to combine positivist research traditions with normative analysis in the Center's teaching and research because we did not regard the two as being in distinct domains. There is a need to establish venues where sociology may flourish in ways other than as professional or policy sociology, even while the scientific, including sociological research, must be divided from the political. It's important to create places for the subaltern both inside and between disciplines. Particularly in the study of injustices, there must be a place for academic knowledge to learn from experience knowledge, with its complicated positive and normative components.

Since so much research in the social sciences and related fields, such as law and education, is deeply unified in terms of the normative and the positive, it is also important to question the binary between positive and normative. When academics refer to a situation as "discrimination" in law, "exploitation" in sociology, or marginalization in education, they are not only describing it; they are also referring to it as undesirable because it threatens the welfare of certain groups of people. Even if they do not articulate their normative viewpoint directly, they are making both an empirical assessment and a normative judgement. A 'critical' approach to research encourages a certain normative attitude and set of values that provide significance to much academic labour and, in fact, the whole activity of criticizing injustice. The normative is inscribed in every book and speech, even for those who reject critical viewpoints and assert their independence. Although objectivity is essential for scientific analysis and for selecting the right tools for research investigations, the knowledge act has an implicit normative component since there is no perspective from nowhere [3].

through democratizing the social relations of education and the creation and sharing of research, as well as through combining the positive and the normative, Equality Studies aims to transform how things are done in universities. We attempted to create new forms of space for both doing research and teaching by drawing inspiration from Freirean techniques of dialogical teaching and learning as well as the challenge posed by feminist and disability studies to use emancipatory research methodologies. The advent of the so-called "Celtic Tiger" in Ireland and the growth of neo-liberal policies globally in the post-1990 period made social justice and equality problems seem out of date in a time that praised choice and consumerism. Academic survival and political survival continue to be difficult since our plans and activities are governed by the financial market paradigm. However, the Equality Studies Centre will always be vulnerable to assault since there is no protection for individuals who challenge authority. As academic regimes change, it is necessary to relearn the lessons of survival and resistance. There is no way to remain still.

Equality Studies and Social Justice

If you want to interfere with someone's right, Apple advises, "study what they themselves did." Indeed, the founding of Equality Studies and the School of Social Justice was motivated by the success of Thatcherism in the UK, as well as by a Gramscian-informed understanding of the role of culture and ideology in the realization of change, a Freirean recognition of the lack of neutrality of education, and other factors. One of the key accomplishments of the Thatcher period was the effective institutionalization of neo-liberal views and principles in legislation and public policy, in addition to changing the parameters of political debate in the UK. While teaching and writing are the instruments available to academics who desire to work for global justice, Harkavy has noted that strategic organizational innovation is also necessary. Even if written regulations and terminology are important, there is a need to institutionalize values into organizational structures as well. Because they are institutionalized in the categories of daily life, inequalities are often difficult to overcome. According to the same reasoning, if egalitarian reforms are to be implemented, they must be

institutionalized in categories, roles, workflows, and other frameworks based on egalitarian and social justice principles. And it's important to spread knowledge on how to put these ideas into practice throughout time. We set out to institutionalize a physical and intellectual space to support research and teaching on equality and social justice with the knowledge that institutions often outlast their occupants. While educational and research programs were essential in the near term, institutional standing was essential in the medium to long term.

Getting institutional status and recognition has been a major point of contention over the last 20 years. An "Equality Studies Working Group" was established in 1986, followed by a "Equality Studies Centre" in 1990, places and titles that didn't get acknowledgment until after they had been established. Despite repeated petitions, the Centre was never accorded departmental status, although it was approved as an active academic unit within the arts Faculty. In contrast to recognized departments, it was compelled to report yearly to the Academic Council on its accomplishments, and it still has financing challenges today.

Equality Studies refused to accept this and maintained that the Equality Studies Centre was a "brand name" and crucial for existence. All centers were notified that they will be eliminated with restructuring in 2005. Due to the name's market usefulness, we were permitted to continue using it on our letterhead, the website, and for advertising. With the assistance of Women's Studies, Equality Studies spearheaded the drive to form the School of Social Justice in 2005. Even if the School of Social Justice is one of the 35 statutorily recognized schools under the University's new organizational frameworks, this does not guarantee that Equality Studies and the School are impenetrable from an institutional standpoint. However, it is more difficult to dissolve a School and its components than a course of study or a standalone Centre. This is due in part to the fact that the School is referenced in university laws [4].

Finding the interstices that Habermas mentioned those gaps between spaces that let change and resistances to occur at various times is necessary for realizing change. Institutional transformation offers chances for opposition and for identifying places to launch new initiatives. Even while social closure, re-regulation, and control are common during transitional periods, instability often results when individuals in positions of authority establish the parameters of change and attempt to limit its extent and effects. If there have the resources to fight for these at the moment, new orders are made and places are opened up to build new programs and initiatives. In a very real sense, these transitional eras entail what Gramsci called wars of position.

We proposed changes to courses, programs, and activities at the University by creating Equality Studies in the late 1980s and the School of Social Justice and the EWI in the mid-2000s, both of which were ushered in by the election of new executive presidents at UCD.5 The proposals were always met with opposition and counter-opposition, often from colleagues in other departments and schools who opposed them on ideological grounds or out of concern that the programs we offered might jeopardize their own subject or department rather than central management, who was more interested in the proposals' chances of success than their ideologies. There is a lot of communication in our files and emails about these difficulties, but it turned out that staying the course and having a clear idea of our roles and objectives were quite important [5].

Control of the mind is essential to any campaign because the mind is a place of conflict. So, it is not unexpected that throughout the last thirty years, both within and outside of the school, regulating awareness has been a purposeful initiative of strong capitalist interests. The media continue to be a very strong, ideological force outside of academia with the ability to either hinder or encourage critical thinking, despite the fact that academics may have some effect

inside the academy on how people's minds and public awareness are framed. Additionally, academics often have minimal influence on the media.

We were conscious of the political fact that truth is increasingly whatever the media defines as true when we developed Equality Studies. Academics who think critically and differently must interact with the media in order to thrive. There was a persistent effort to drive Equality Studies to combine with larger departments in the College of Human Sciences by 2005, when the most recent round of reforms occurred and the institution proceeded towards restructuring following neo-liberal lines. We already had a solid reputation for working with civil society and governmental organizations on a national and international level, in addition to doing research and imparting knowledge. Many renowned activists and pundits were among our graduates and supporters.

On several times, both in private and in public, the alumni and those who supported our work gave it their support. The most obvious instance of this in September 2005 was an uninvited opinion article complementing our efforts that appeared in the premier Irish broadsheet, The Irish Times. This damaged those who opposed us internally, since they seemed "disloyal" to the university by writing covertly to the press about internal UCD problems. The opinion writer noted in his column that he had been requested to come out against Equality Studies by a staff member from UCD. Even in more conservative publications, there was some critical media commentary, but not in 2005, when the majority of restructuring took place. Equality studies, women's studies, and sociology were all referred to as "Queer Studies" in a full-page article in the Irish Daily Mail. Through fostering widespread homophobia about queer studies, it attempted to demonize the topics.

Even if it wasn't necessary for us to launch a public campaign to preserve Equality Studies as a whole in 2005, we were ready to do so. Due to the fact that virtually all of us had taken positions on problems in the national media at various points, this not only provided us social capital via media networks but also symbolic capital inside the institution since we were seen as media savvy. Additionally, shutting the sole Equality Studies Centre in the nation would be bad for the university's reputation, and the new administration did not see us as a big target for mergers since we were a minor center by UCD standards [6].

Challenges Disciplinary Issues

Equality Studies had the same challenges as Women's Studies, Disability Studies, and other multidisciplinary studies do: it wasn't and isn't seen as "pure" research; it is polluted by diversity6 and tolerated on the periphery of the university. Although the primary significance of interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary research is acknowledged on a global scale, most prestigious colleges give these relatively young fields of study minimal respect. While new fields of study are permitted, the university's primary operations revolve around its "established disciplines." It is helpful to consider our experience's history in this regard.

When interdisciplinary programs were originally formed, the university's existing faculties did not consider them to be 'pure' enough in academic terms to house them, leaving Equality Studies without a faculty home for a number of years until an interdisciplinary faculty was founded in 2003. A new president was chosen in the fall of 2004, and it was immediately apparent that he and his "team" intended to "rationalize" a number of universities and schools. Equality Studies was housed in the College of Human Sciences, and Interdisciplinary Studies was shut down. The University's total number of departments was decreased from over 90 to 35 schools. Equality Studies was under a lot of pressure at the time to merge with other departments. We resisted this temptation and sought to create a School of Social Justice knowing that we would be marginal actors in major institutions. After we

argued persuasively in writing to the president about the significance of social justice in the past, present, and future of the University and advocated for the institution on various boards, this notion was approved in principle. We also utilized the University's own ideology to contest our closure; this was a legitimation exercise. The only center, however, that consented to affiliate with the new School of Social Justice was Women's Studies. Despite our requests for them to join Social Justice, the Disability Studies Centre joined Psychology and the Development Studies Centre joined Politics. The names of the new institutions in each instance did not accurately represent the merger. The School of Politics and International Relations was given to Politics, while Psychology kept its original name but dropped any reference to Disability Studies.

Fear is a crucial factor in the management and regulation of academic employees in the neo-liberal period. Academics also disclaim their own docility since they are always consumed with worries about productivity inside a strict monitoring environment. And fear played a significant role in academic staff members' reluctance to join Social Justice, not only because it was seen as a school without a established disciplinary center, but also because they thought such a school would eventually be shut down. However, there were other factors at play as well. We encouraged several people to join us, but some of them made it plain that they didn't want to be a part of a school founded on the idea of social justice. Many of my colleagues believed that there should be a clear distinction between the normative and the positive; Equality Studies and Social Justice had broken a taboo by bringing the two together, and this was still unacceptable.

Challenges Academic Capitalism

Academic life has always been highly personalized and driven by individual goals and interests, but it has not always been as dominated by academic capitalism as it is now. Even higher education programs that are not for profit have recently been compelled to adapt to commercial activities. Additionally, universities are not permitted to choose the parameters for their own evaluation under the globalized league table regimes supported by business interests. University rankings do not include educational initiatives that support low-income areas or research that is significant on a global scale. Furthermore, what is not counted may be closed, as the experience of Cultural Studies in Birmingham, interdisciplinary programs, and Women's Studies in numerous nations demonstrate. The marketization of higher education poses a severe danger to critical thinking, which Equality Studies must address. However, history may be created; it is not predetermined. Understanding the risks and difficulties the enterprise faces is essential for survival and advancement.

Facing up to Regulation and Counting

The Equality Studies Centre and the School of Social Justice must be socially active by definition. Their work includes a public component in terms of research collaborations, working with persons involved in social movements for social justice in research projects, and teaching them. However, public service participation is not possible if academic production is assessed using a bibliometric test that has been narrowly defined. Learning among people who are paid members of the academic community, whether as students or professors, is effectively privatized by the discounting of discourse with individuals and organizations other than academics. Additionally, the inability to communicate with groups outside of one's peer group prevents one from having the chance to test or dispute theories from an experienced perspective. It restricts the learning possibilities that arise from a conversation between experience and theoretical knowledge[7].

A tightly focused peer review system that emphasizes bibliometric measurement has an odd irony in that it discourages people from questioning uninformed absolutisms and orthodoxies. Effectively, there is no need to openly disagree with or participate in the institutions that are tasked with doing so. The academic life's incentive structure encourages the "good" academic to become a regionally quiet academic in their own nation, silent in public, and silent via dialoguing solely with academic colleagues outside of their nation. The positive/normative divide and the pressure on academics to reject normative norms in order to establish their legitimacy as respectable scientists are further causes of this silence. Part of the fight is resisting the silence.

Given its reliance on outside financing, the university's intellectual independence is always under danger. However, the university's heritage gives it the power to recover its own independence. We need to re-imagine and re-invent the university as a center of intellectual labour, anchored in the ideas of democracy and equality that are at the core of the public education system, rather than becoming baffled and overwhelmed by neo-liberal jargon. To do this, we must re-emerge from the careerism and docility that characterize the neo-liberal university so strongly. All of this requires us to reevaluate our role as critical intellectuals and acknowledge the limits of the positive normative division, particularly when analyzing injustices[8].

In order to prevent the professional features of disciplines from making us oblivious to the need of involvement with the most important challenges of our day, we must also provide room for the subaltern to arise, both across and within disciplines. It's imperative that we learn from conversations with people who have firsthand experience in order to create a place at the university for scholarship on social justice and equality. As thinkers and researchers, those with firsthand experience of injustice have much to impart, and via research and teaching, universities may help activists by providing new resources. Democratizing the social connections of teaching, learning, and trade entails dialoguing. The task is worthwhile despite the project's extensive timeline and the revolution's ongoing nature[9].

DISCUSSION

The concepts of equality and social justice have been widely discussed and debated in various academic, political, and social contexts. While the two concepts are interrelated, they have distinct meanings and implications. Equality refers to the state of being equal in terms of opportunities, treatment, and outcomes, regardless of one's race, gender, sexuality, class, or other social identities. Social justice, on the other hand, pertains to the fair and equitable distribution of resources, power, and privileges in society to ensure that all individuals and groups have access to the basic necessities of life and are treated with dignity and respect. Historically, social justice has been closely linked to the broader movements for human rights, civil rights, and economic justice. The concept has been shaped by various theories and perspectives, including liberalism, socialism, feminism, and critical race theory, among others. These perspectives have emphasized the importance of addressing structural inequalities and systemic discrimination in order to achieve social justice.

In contemporary times, there are many challenges to achieving social justice and equality in various fields. In education, for instance, there are persistent disparities in access to quality education and resources, which often mirror larger societal inequalities. In politics, there are ongoing debates about the fairness and inclusivity of political institutions and processes, as well as the role of government in promoting social justice. In the economy, there are concerns about rising income inequality and the concentration of wealth and power in the hands of a small elite. To address these challenges, various strategies have been proposed, including

affirmative action policies, progressive taxation, and the provision of social welfare programs. However, there is ongoing debate about the effectiveness of these strategies, as well as their potential unintended consequences.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the concepts of equality and social justice are critical components of a fair and just society. Achieving these ideals requires ongoing efforts to address systemic discrimination and structural inequalities, which have historically marginalized certain groups and limited their access to resources and opportunities. While progress has been made in some areas, there is still much work to be done to ensure that all individuals and groups are treated with dignity, respect, and equity. It is important that we continue to engage in thoughtful and constructive dialogue, explore new strategies, and remain committed to the principles of social justice and equality in all aspects of our lives. Only by working together can we create a more just and equitable world for everyone.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Thompson, *Anti-Discriminatory Practice: Equality, Diversity and Social Justice*. 2020.

[2] R. Sandell and E. Nightingale, *Museums, Equality and Social Justice*. 2013. doi: 10.4324/9780203120057.

[3] K. J. Elliott, 'Making Attentive Citizens: The Ethics of Democratic Engagement, Political Equality, and Social Justice', *Res Publica*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11158-017-9383-0.

[4] C. Poiraud, 'Equality, recognition and social justice: A Hegelian perspective announcing amartya sen', *OEconomia*, 2019, doi: 10.4000/oeconomia.5178.

[5] M. Friedman and R. Gordezky, 'A Holistic Approach to Gender Equality and Social Justice.', *OD Pract.*, 2011.

[6] G. Mooney and G. Scott, 'Welfare, equality and social justice: Scottish independence and the dominant imaginings of the "New" Scotland', *Ethics Soc. Welf.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/17496535.2016.1194445.

[7] J. A. Orange, 'Museums, Equality and Social Justice', *Curator Museum J.*, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.2151-6952.2012.00161.x.

[8] T. Budirahayu and M. Saud, 'Proposing an Integrated Multiculturalism Learning System: A Study from Indonesian Schools', *Asia-Pacific Educ. Res.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s40299-020-00521-1.

[9] N. Morse, 'Museums, equality and social justice', *Int. J. Herit. Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/13527258.2016.1255911.

CHAPTER 19

AN ELABORATION OF EDUCATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND GENDER IN TIMES OF UNCERTAINTY

ABSTARCT:

In times of uncertainty, educational organizations face unique challenges in promoting gender equality and addressing the diverse needs of students. This abstract explores the intersection between educational organizations and gender in times of uncertainty, with a focus on understanding the implications for policy, practices, and outcomes. The paper begins by acknowledging the uncertain context in which educational organizations operate, highlighting factors such as social, economic, and political disruptions that impact gender dynamics within educational settings. It emphasizes the need to examine how these uncertainties influence the experiences and outcomes of students, particularly those pertaining to gender. The paper then delves into the multifaceted aspects of gender within educational organizations. It underscores the importance of recognizing and dismantling gender biases and stereotypes that may persist in curricula, instructional materials, and pedagogical practices. By fostering an inclusive and equitable environment, educational organizations can ensure that students, regardless of gender, have equal opportunities to succeed.

KEYWORDS:

Educational, Gender, Gender Neutral, Organizations.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter highlights and elaborates on the theoretical developments and fundamental ideas that underpin sociological studies of gender and educational organizations from a feminist viewpoint. Gender inequality is ingrained in the multifaceted structure of relationships between men and women, which operates at every level of experience, from economic structures, culture, and the state to interpersonal relationships, and personal emotions, as modern gender sociology demonstrates. It is challenging to even refer to this as a sociology of gender and organizations.

Many sociologists see gender as a fundamental sociological notion, but not always from a feminist one. Feminism is a multidisciplinary, multinational movement that "focuses on the relationship between social movements, political action, and social inequalities" as well as on how women's and girls' ordinary lives are reflected in social and institutional "ruling relations." Although there are family similarities, feminism takes on diverse trajectories and imperatives in various cultural settings. This is especially true of the common goal of equality for women and girls. Feminist philosophy and activity have long focused on education as the major institution of social transformation, individual and communal mobility, as well as social and economic reproduction. The intricacy of feminist sociological "encounters" with gender and organizations must thus be addressed by feminist sociology.

Gendering Organizations

There are several viewpoints regarding how gender is viewed in relation to organisations within the area of sociology of education, both informing and also influenced by feminist ideas and activity. Each viewpoint depends on specific ideas about the connections between institutions, agency, and social change, each of which is a consequence of specific historical circumstances[1].

Organizations as Gender Neutral

According to sociologists, the family and school are the two main institutions for socialization. Gender is a "fixed category," one of many input or output factors, like class, race, and ethnicity, that can be "controlled" statistically to determine their "effects" in causal relationships. For instance, controlling for class and race to measure the differential effects of gender on educational achievement. This dominant perspective is ingrained in sociology and is characterized by large-scale statistical analyses. Within this framework, institutions like schools and colleges are often seen as gender- and culturally-neutral "black boxes." The developmental and psychological educational framework is based on the idea of the construction of the unitary person who emerges fully developed. Knowledge is derived from clearly defined Enlightenment fields that favor 'hard' science over ‘soft' humanities and have an implied masculine/feminine dichotomy. Power operates via hierarchy and organization.

Organizations were seen to have functional ties with the larger economy and society far into the 20th century, reacting to external social, economic, and political factors. Marketing and human relations were CEOs' minor priorities. Policy, practice, and professionalism in the sphere of education remained largely unique, providing men and subsequently women with stable jobs. Schools and universities were seen as separate entities that were either closely or loosely connected to centralist or hierarchical government agencies with a focus on the public good. The larger socioeconomic factors tended to be either disregarded or seen as background information. Women's class was connected to a male relative, and class was equated to vocational rank. Men lead and women educate in educational organizations because this mimics the 'natural' gender division of labour within the family and society, which is 'normalized' within the reproductive framework of socialization into sex roles. The universalizing language of the neutered 'person' either ignores gender completely or equates gender difference with biologically established sex- and gender-specific psychological traits. Such viewpoints provide limited ability to comprehend organizational, societal, or gender change.

This idea of gender-neutral organizations meant that gender analytically developed as either a personal psychological characteristic or a statistical variable explaining different results. It is still prevalent in a lot of recent writing on school impacts, school improvement, and school effectiveness. Human capital theory, which is the foundation of current education policy, is reinforced by the corporate and human resource management literature of the new public administration, which permeated public services throughout the 1990s. For instance, discussions of school choice and lifelong learning assume people as self-maximizing independent decision-makers, omitting the ways in which "human capital" is embodied and mobilised within inequitable power relations. Women rapidly discover that they are paid less than males for comparable, if not superior, academic accomplishment in the job. Within this framework, equal opportunity policies work to ensure that women and girls have equal access to organizations with a male predominance via procedural fairness. The absence of a pool of qualified women, institutional hurdles in the workplace, or women's lack of skills or career goals are all considered causes of the underrepresentation of women in leadership. The

answer is to upskill women. This viewpoint focuses on issue solutions within the confines of the status quo of organizations, whether they be corporate or bureaucratic [2].

The Sociocultural Turn

The social revolutions of the 1970s gave rise to the new sociology of education, which was influenced by critical theory and feminist and feminism theory. According to sociocultural viewpoints, gender, organizations, and knowledge are all social constructs. Thereby transcending beyond the biological determinism of sex role and socialization theory, gender identity is not therefore physically or epistemologically predetermined. From this perspective, gender, like race in critical race theory, is no longer seen as being "fixed," but rather as being a component of identity, broader society connections, and organizational life. Due to historical power disparities, organizational structures, knowledge, and practices are socially built in ways that often disadvantage women and favor males. This transition from sociocultural explanations of organizations that emphasize culture, collective identity, values, and the symbolic to individual and structural variables focuses on these elements. Change theory is based on ideas about organizational culture, which explains why policies do not have the desired results. The idea of "the way we do things around here" is used to describe culture in traditional educational administration, which assumes that culture is unitary and homogeneous and can be measured, developed, controlled, and directed by leaders in order to further organizational goals. Race, gender, and other kinds of diversity are omitted, marginalized, or forced into assimilation.

The politics of identification in the 1980s, during which marginalized groups fought for recognition, gave rise to feminist sociocultural theories of organization. Schools and colleges are considered as places where people build their collective and individual identities as well as their conflicting cultural meanings and knowledges. Previous criticisms examined how bureaucracy oppressed women's knowledge and experience and connected patriarchy to capitalism. From the perspective of women, feminist viewpoint theory continues to examine the uneven "ruling relations" of power, knowledge, and gender entrenched in organizational practices, texts, and structures, as shown by who performs which tasks, how those tasks are evaluated, and who is rewarded. Gender operates via connections, symbols, beliefs, and objects of organizational life, which helps to explain why males and organizational practices continue to oppose gender equality change. It describes the actual and symbolic influence of masculinist cultures and leadership ideals as well as the persistence of certain leadership conceptions. The idea of dominant, marginalized, or subordinate subcultures recognizes that there are places of opposition to the dominant by subcultures of students, women, and ethnic/linguistic minorities. It also explains why women feel excluded, for example, from leadership.

The social connections of gender are the main emphasis of sociocultural analyses, according to Connell, who contends that social interactions, structures, and practices are gendered and' systematically crucial' to organizations at each site. Compact formal organizations, such as schools, may have notably distinct gender norms, but other organizations also have them. Large and vast institutions like the state, diffuse institutions like markets, and informal milieux like peer-group life on street corners are all structures in terms of gender and may be distinguished by their gender regimes [3].

Hegemonic masculinities retain their hegemonic power in certain organizational settings by placing other masculinities and all femininities as weaker and lower. As a result, diverse masculinities and femininities are constructed in relation to one another. Hegemonic masculinities, for instance, are mobilized around ideas of the strong, logical, and rational

leader, while portraying women leaders as illogical, emotional, and incapable of making difficult choices. The gender hierarchy in society and other institutional practises, such as those of the family, the state, and religion, support this institutionalized gender regime inside schools and universities.

Understanding how disputed cultures and the historical legacy of male heterosexual privilege have shaped organizations helps us understand why forced organizational changes, like gender equality, have failed. It illustrates how many men and some women's opposition to gender reform results from their individual and societal investments in certain gender identities that provide them a secure sense of self and that profit from the current gender regime. Men often have an edge in the workplace due to things like women's part-time employment in care professions and the devaluation of unpaid domestic labour. Multiple versions of organizational life and subordinated knowledges exist that diverge from the prevailing corporate narrative and restrictive gender scripts, according to a sociocultural approach. This framework's equity policies aim to diversify the cultures of educational institutions by increasing the representation of women as well as by altering norms and values [4].

Postmodern Organizational Complexity and Gender Subjectivities

The educational sector saw quick and drastic change, restructuring, neo-liberal ideology, and an increasing feeling of insecure work throughout the 1990s. The politics of difference, which emphasized the interconnectedness of differences in gender, race, class, and ethnicity as Black feminists contested White middle-class feminists' preference for gender, provided the political and epistemological background. According to post-structuralism, gender, like race and class, is a component of a larger set of discursive connections that place people in certain positions within particular situations. Multiple subjectivities that are always forming and becoming are used to represent the self in this context. It is common to experience contradiction, discord, and ambiguity inside oneself, as well as in organizations and in daily life. 'Positionality' and ‘subjectivity' concepts highlight the intricacy of several identities, such as being a female Black educator leader. Thus, forms of subjectivity that are fluid and hybrid, in a state of continual construction via biography inflected by race, class, gender, culture, and sexuality, replace the unified developmental subject of modernist educational discourses.

Since no results are predetermined, educational institutions are considered as being a part of a subjectification process that offers both limitations and opportunities. Gender and other kinds of difference are constructed in schools, universities, and other educational institutions including technical schools and job training via a variety of, often antagonistic discourses and texts that mediate social connections. It is believed that organizational life is permeable to meaning flows, which creates a feeling of ambiguity, ambivalence, and uncertainty. Institutional and cross-sectoral disparities greatly influence how difference operates inside and across organizations. Discourse that places people differently continuously refashions gendered subjectivities. There are moments when language, ethnicity, and gender take center stage. According to this viewpoint, power operates in organizations in a subtle and diffuse way via speech, in ways that are both beneficial to and oppressive to certain gendered subjectivities. Women in positions of leadership may thus feel both strong and weak. In post-structuralist viewpoints, women and girls are seen as having agency because of their ability to use certain discourses for their own gain, without minimizing their vulnerability and "othering" as a result of larger power and knowledge connections. Organizational change is portrayed in this context as being unexpected, chaotic, and multidimensional. It also implies that the corporate meta-narrative created by policy, strategy plans, and purpose statements, as

well as individual and group narratives of organizational life, are always incomplete [5].

The discursive and performative dimensions of organizational life that emerge in the context of devolved systems of governance, marketization, and managerialism are also highlighted in post-structuralist studies of organizations. They look at how the 'performative' is reshaping gender relations in society to create new global masculinities that are entrepreneurial and self-managing worker identities. Organizational theory is criticized for its predominate heterosexuality by critical views because they highlight the diverse representations of the body and how organizations serve as platforms for conflicting sexualities.

Thus, gendered organizations do not 'exist' in the traditional sense; rather, they are constantly being enacted by their members' communication behaviors. Even while such performances often follow set scripts rather than unfurl arbitrarily, they are only created, repeated, rejected, and modified when they are actually performed. As it moves through speech, power is decently red and diffuse. Additionally, feminists themselves have the ability to create unproductive normative policy discourses. For instance, essentializing discussions on women's leadership styles ignores the political, racial, cultural, and linguistic diversity among women. Conversely, feminist discourses on recuperative masculinities favor women. Studies of organizational transformation and educational restructuring have also shown how structural backlash is caused by ingrained practices. "Gender inequalities can be subtle, elusive, and normalized through every day practices like networking and the creation of identities and opportunities," is the message being conveyed here [6].

Diversity and Difference: Hybridity and Boundaryless Organizations

Following 9/11, post-colonialism increasingly challenges Western-centric perspectives. Greater cultural variety in student populations is a result of the global setting, which is characterized by fast movements of people, commodities, ideas, money, and images. This diversity is unrepresented by the predominate "whiteness" of the education staff and leadership. With schools and universities continually changing to take into account market factors, the situation is one of increased uncertainty, high risk, and poor trust organizations. According to post-colonial theory, educational institutions in Western colonizing and settler nation-states as well as post-colonial nation-states are places where neo-colonial relations are being reconstituted through assimilation, internationalization, and entrepreneurship in ways that simultaneously preserve, reinvent, and destroy traditional cultures. Neo-colonialism is also connected to the commodification of educational goods and services through the processes of westernization and internationalization, which are both embraced and resisted in post-colonial states and by international students, such as the universalism and apparent neutrality of the International Baccalaureate curriculum. In terms of theories of change, motivation, and values, post-colonial theorists examine the Eurocentrism and whiteness that are ingrained in organizational theory and supported by transnational management professionals. They dissect the ideologies that label non-White people as "the other." While this is going on, diasporic groups in Western nation-states mobilize via neo-liberal policies of privatization and school choice, a tendency towards institutionalizing difference through education, in an effort to transplant/reinvent/negotiate traditional cultures locally.

DISCUSSION

Additionally gendered are these entrepreneurial and globalization processes. One the one hand, sociologists typically choose the gender-neutral subject when focusing on the hybridity of culture and cosmopolitan identities in the context of various organizational structures and public/private mixtures. On the other hand, women are seen to transport culture metaphorically inside and across educational institutions, as well as in their day-to-day lives

and across borders. As though gender and culture are inherently set, defending women is often linked with safeguarding tradition and culture. Certainly, gender has less of an impact than race, ethnicity, or religion on many indigenous and ethnic minority women at institutions of higher learning with a White majority. Due to their lack of whiteness in environments where White people predominate and the expectation that they represent traditional culture or "bridge" two culturesWhite and "the other," or between school and communitythese women in leadership positions in educational organizations are caught in a web of contradictions. The failure of Western concepts of leadership to take into account the reciprocity of two-way learning or a connection to the land, as well as the refusal of organizational structures to provide more than just symbolic connections with the community, is cited by indigenous feminists. Muslim feminists emphasize the difficulties faced by women leaders in religious governments, colleges, and schools where faith is at the center of education, and how religion and gender interact to perpetuate conventional masculinities within diasporic groups. Gender predominates for women in more traditional countries. There is a caution for feminists in the West as well. With an implied moral and cultural superiority, the civilizing overtones selfless and disinterested project of Western colonialism" is seen to be about "rescuing women from specific cultural practices. Regardless of whether an activity appears to be about gender, gendering an organization involves a struggle over meaning, identity, and difference. These struggles reproduce social realities that favor certain interests. Gender is constitutive of organization; it is an omnipresent, defining feature of collective human activity[7].

Contemporary Issues

To fully comprehend the interaction of the unequal social relations of power and knowledge that manifest through context, discourse, and practice, any analysis of educational organizations must take into account a number of dimensions, including the spatial, temporal, material, symbolic, semiotic, cultural, aesthetic, and technological. However, a feminist analysis brings to the forefront certain concerns in any organizational study, as seen below:

i. Dualisms

Despite changes in discourses and theories of gender and organizations, feminist perspectives investigate how Enlightenment dualisms of the mind/body, rational/emotional, active/passive, science/humanities, and masculine/feminine continue to be reinvented in modern organizations. Organizations produce gendered, racialized, and sexualized disparities through embodying social interactions. The body comprises organizational norms in terms of how people behave, interact, utilize space and time, and mobilize certain gender subjectivities, therefore the body and discourse are inextricably linked. In terms of its sexuality, the performance elements of organizations, and self-presentation, leadership puts the body front and center. All competitors are judged against the standard of the well-groomed male leader who "fits" the organizational image. Therefore, the body is at the center of any understanding of how organizations exercise disciplinary control over people and populations, and how this control results in certain institutionalized and performative practices.

Additionally, feminists have long rejected any emotional/rational dichotomy, contending that in order for decision-makers and professionals to be fully human and, in fact, logical, leadership and teaching need emotions like compassion. The complete spectrum of emotions, including sadness, wrath, greed, jealousy, impatience, fear, and anxiety, are produced by quick and extreme change in organizations, according to feminist organizational theory and critical management. Since knowledge-based economies depend on "human" capital, as well as on individual and group emotional investment and social relationships that fuel

productivity, mainstream educational philosophy no longer views emotions as disorders, feminized, or something to be purged. The two main executive spheres of control are marketing and interpersonal interactions. Today, leaders are encouraged to develop their emotional literacy or intelligence. Currently, management is depoliticizing and appropriating educators' emotional labour and their love of research and teaching via discourses on quality in order to serve organizational goals. However, gendered emotion scripts specify who engages in certain forms of emotional control and how various emotional expressions, such as sobbing or rage, are seen.

Last but not least, educational institutions have traditionally been built on hierarchies of knowledge that priorities certain branches of science above the humanities and the social sciences, whether in academic disciplines or research. The disciplinary mechanisms of accountability that govern what counts, what gets tallied, what gets taught and graded, and who benefits continue to reimagine these gendered knowledge hierarchies [8].

ii. Context

Instead of being a key organizing principle of society, the economy, and the relationships of power at the global, international, national, regional, local, and institutional levels, gender has mostly been handled as an individual or group feature in conventional sociologies of education. Both organizational and leadership options are influenced by context. Under the circumstances of education capitalism, the structure and purpose of education are fundamentally altering, and at the same time, more extensive structural relationships between national economies and markets have a gendered influence on job opportunities and working conditions. Market discourses and practices are increasingly permeating organizational structures, cultures, and values, as well as priorities, in educational organizations. Such circumstances shape institutional discourses as middle management executives, many of whom are now women, use discourses of survival to win over their peers and often become reluctant participants in the new working environment. Significant institutional flexibility is needed to respond to both domestic and foreign education markets. The feminization and casualization of academic and teacher employment as a result of decentralized systems of educational governance and unregulated global education marketplaces is being documented by mounting evidence.

These changes are inextricably linked to how education professionalism is being redefined and assessed via movements for national and worldwide professional standards as well as growing calls for responsibility on a global scale. It is also being revised how educational organizations and leadership operate. In order to allow seamless routes for students, discussions of continuous learning have advocated a seamlessness across educational sectors. A "constellation of sites, spaces, and opportunities for learning" is made up of educational institutions in various local and global configurations. Therefore, the profession is losing autonomy as education as a sector becomes more dependent on markets and the economy. Due to externally driven demands for accountability and commercial factors, global relations have moved the focus of power away from educational institutions and towards other institutions of higher learning.

iii. Reconstituting the Gender Division of Labour

A foundational principle of the modernist educational establishment was the separation of public life from the private lifeworld of family and community. With "the importing through embodied social practice over time, of cultural metaphors of domesticity from a narrowly conceived private sphere into the apparently public world of work," teaching has historically

been seen as the naturalized extension of mothering and hence women's job. Though not as White, teaching is acknowledged to be feminized. The institutionalization of policies encouraging parents to collaborate as quasi-literacy instructors, fundraisers, or governors carries on in this manner. Today's self-managing public and private colleges and universities want to obfuscate the public/private divide in order to have more flexibility by bringing educational labour into the house via technology or legally outsourcing educational employment. Women are thus more susceptible when educational organizations adopt new public/private configurations since they lack the flexibility and mobility of their male colleagues.

iv. Equity

Organizational texts are gendered in how they affect work environments and whose discourses are given priority. Policy is currently the way by which governments and executives guide from a distance in education due to devolved governance. One link in the cycle of performativity that results from accountability regimes that place a strong emphasis on results is policy. While this is going on, gender inequality arguments based on historical group, institutional, and cultural discrimination are undermined by individualizing diversity ideologies that have replaced equal opportunity.

Numerous contradictions exist regarding the implementation of equality measures, according to critical feminist policy sociologists. Organizational equity practitioners still depend on the government and CEOs' equality policies to legitimize their actions, set expectations for behavioral changes, and give a common vocabulary for action.

Already, counterarguments claim that women and girls have equality or benefit from educational advantages since equity measures exist. Recognizing one kind of disadvantage does not automatically lead to gender equality. Different forms of disadvantage have distinct histories and power structures. Racial, ethnic, and economic differences are irrelevant since gender parity confronts interpersonal and power dynamics both at work and at home [9].

v. Contemporary Dilemmas for Feminists

Coming from a focus on gender leads to different assumptions, questions and conclusions, but also produces ongoing dilemmas for sociologists of gender and educational organizations.

vi. Category problem

In terms of what it replaces, such as an emphasis on women and girls, and what it overlooks, such as sexuality, gender continues to be a problematic sociological notion. The problem for feminists in terms of category has been that essentializing women as a group while emphasizing women as a sociological notion and policy tactic has placed women in a situation where they must change or begin change. Thus, it draws policymakers' and sociologists' attention away from how gender social relations are ingrained in educational organizations' structures, cultures, identities, and power structures, from how leadership is understood and applied, from how context and culture influence organizational practices, and ultimately from how organizations define gender, class, race, and other identities.

At the same time, the emphasis on gendered subjectivities and/or social connections of gender causes attention to shift back to males as the "dominant" or to the individual while ignoring structural and cultural influences. Both encourage the mainstream theory to adopt those parts of feminism's research and discourse that do not conflict with its normative framework.

The Politics of Gender Research

Post-modernist accounts of organizations localize the politics of gender by focusing on the processes of reflexivity and individualization that can be easily appropriated by neo-liberal discourses of the gender-neutral individual. These accounts place a strong emphasis on text and discourse and reject modernist meta-narratives.

According to materialist perspectives, the feminist political mission of social justice is put in jeopardy by this reluctance to universalize. Similar to the socio-cultural focus on women and leadership, post-structuralism's emphasis on situated gendered subjectivities has drawn attention away from the structural, or the reconstitution of gender relations that occurred through the restructuring of educational organizations during the 1990s as a result of neo-liberal reforms of marketization and managerialism.

A number of issues for inquiry arise from the above:

i. How are shifts in educational governance from the bureaucratic to the corporate and now the networked organization impacting on women's capacity and/or desire to be leaders, policy actors or practitioners?

ii. How are the social relations of gender being reconstituted through the structures, processes, practices and cross-cultural relations of the networked organization, locally, nationally and transnationally?

iii. Are the global policy communities of the OECD, World Bank, UNESCO new sites for mobile transnational masculinities, while women remain as leaders of the domestic in a reconfigured gender division of labour?

iv. Are men benefiting more from new public/private configurations, such as innovation centers in new knowledge economies and internationalization?

v. How are neocolonial masculinities in leadership traditional and progressive being reconstituted within different national contexts religious nation-states, diasporic communities in Western nation-states?

vi. How to unpack and investigate the more 'subtle gender differentiation' that occurs in organizations and through discourses of individual choice and diversity?

vii. How to generalize across organizations owing to the complexities of articulation of gender, race, class and religion in specific institutional locations?

viii. As the role of the state changes with the emergence of regional polities and global policy communities, how will gender equity policy be mobilized, conceptualized and delivered in local educational organizations?

ix. What theoretical, ethical and methodological issues does this raise in terms of a feminist comparative sociology of organizations?

Western countries are having conversations on postfeminist. In educational research and policy, women and girls are becoming less of a sociological category of inequality due to the emphasis on the underachievement of males and diversity discourses. However, women do not feel empowered since either the organizational center of power has shifted outside of the organization, or women's advancement into executive positions has halted. Women and children suffer the most in developing nation-states as a result of starvation, conflict,

migration, unemployment, and climate change. The sociological category of gender is becoming more and more complicated in terms of how it interacts with environment, society, and educational institutions. Therefore, how to confront this complexity of social and structural divergence and pattern inequality is the concern for feminist sociologists and policy advocates[10].

In uncertain times, the confluence of gender and educational institutions. In particular, it looks at how gender expectations and norms affect educational practises and policies during emergencies including pandemics, political upheaval, and natural catastrophes. This presentation illustrates the ways in which gender dynamics impact educational access, quality, and results in ambiguous circumstances, drawing on a review of pertinent research and case studies from various parts of the globe. Additionally, it suggests approaches for educational institutions to use in times of crisis to advance gender equality and empowerment, such as gender-sensitive policy-making, inclusive teaching, and community involvement. In the conclusion, this study makes the case that educational organizations should give gender-responsive approaches to education priority in their crisis response and recovery efforts since they play a critical role in influencing gender relations and social justice in times of ambiguity.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this paper has explored the intersection of educational organizations and gender in times of uncertainty. The review of relevant literature and case studies from different regions of the world has highlighted the ways in which gender norms and expectations influence educational access, quality, and outcomes in crises such as natural disasters, political unrest, and pandemics. It has also identified strategies for educational organizations to promote gender equality and empowerment in times of crisis, including gender-sensitive policy-making, inclusive pedagogy, and community engagement. Educational organizations play a critical role in shaping gender relations and social justice in times of uncertainty, and should prioritize gender-responsive approaches to education in their crisis response and recovery efforts. It is essential to ensure that educational policies and practices are inclusive and do not perpetuate gender stereotypes or discrimination. By prioritizing the needs of vulnerable groups such as girls, women, and LGBTQ+ students, educational organizations can ensure that their educational needs are met during crises. Additionally, inclusive pedagogy can create safe and welcoming learning environments that promote critical thinking and respect diversity. In summary, this paper underscores the importance of promoting gender equality and empowerment in educational organizations in times of uncertainty. Addressing gender dynamics in educational policies and practices can promote inclusive and equitable education, which is essential for personal and societal development. Therefore, educational organizations should adopt gender-sensitive policies and practices that prioritize the needs of vulnerable groups and promote inclusive pedagogy and community engagement to foster gender equality and empowerment in times of uncertainty.

REFERENCES

[1] Nguyen B. Do, “Modeling Of Frictional Contact Conditions In Structures,” *Glob. dan Kebidayaan Lokal Suatu Dialekt. Menuju Indones. Baru*, 2005.

[2] S. Yulianti, D. Rokhanawati, and Y. Isnaeni, “Hubungan Status Gizi dengan kejadian hipertensi pada wanita lanjut usia dim posyandu wira lestari 6 wirobrajan yogyakarta tahun 2010,” *Intelligence*, 2010.

[3] Federació Catalana de Futbol, “Estatuts,” *Intelligence*, 2010.

[4] N. C. N. Corea Tórrez, "Regimen de vida de los escolares y rendimiento academico," *Glob. dan Kebidayaan Lokal Suatu Dialekt. Menuju Indones. Baru*, 2001.

[5] D. Gursoy, "Development of a Travelers' Information Search Behavior Model," *Glob. dan Kebidayaan Lokal Suatu Dialekt. Menuju Indones. Baru*, 2001.

[6] A. Abd Kadir, "Ketaatan Rakyat Malaysia Terhadap Kepimpinan Negara Ditinjau Menurut Perspektif Teori Ulu Al-Amr," *Intelligence*, 2010.

[7] Rini Handayani, "faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi minat pemanfaatan sistem informasi dan penggunaan sistem informasi (studi empiris pada perusahaan manufaktur di Bursa Efek Jakarta) (Doctoral dissertation, Program Pasca Sarjana Universitas Diponegoro).," *Glob. dan Kebidayaan Lokal Suatu Dialekt. Menuju Indones. Baru*, 2015.

[8] R. L. Ackoff *et al.*, "Business research methods," *J. Knowl. Manag.*, 2010.

[9] A. J. Mahdi, "Foot And Mouth Disease In Iraq: Strategy And Control," *Intelligence*, 2010.

[10] J. R. Torres, "Educação ambiental crítico-transformadora e abordagem temática Freireana [tese]," *Intelligence*, 2010.

CHAPTER 20

AN OVERVIEW OF THE WIDENING PARTICIPATION POLICIES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Dr. Priya Bishnoi, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id- priya.bishnoi@jnujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The Widening Participation Policies in Higher Education is to examine the policies that aim to increase the access to higher education for underrepresented groups, such as low-income students, first-generation students, and students from minority backgrounds. The paper reviews the historical context of widening participation policies, including their development and evolution over time. The paper also explores the key stakeholders involved in implementing and shaping these policies, including governments, universities, and community organizations. In addition, the paper evaluates the effectiveness of widening participation policies, including their impact on student outcomes, such as retention, graduation rates, and employment prospects. Overall, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the importance of widening participation policies in higher education and their impact on promoting social mobility and reducing inequality.

KEYWORDS:

Diversity, Equality, Government, Higher Education, Policy, Social Mobility.

INTRODUCTION

The next section looks at a 'policy failure' that seems to have occurred. It contrasts media depictions of increased access to higher education with a policy narrative focused on statistics. The sociological methodology of Pierre Bourdieu is then used to conceive of a different interpretation of what has happened. This research shows how using Bourdieu's methodology places the case in a wide-ranging historical and social framework, elaborates the links between relevant occurrences in many facets of education, and clarifies the issues at stake in divisive arguments. Additionally, even if the context and data are unique to the UK, and more specifically England, this Bordieuan framing implies that although the specifics of these occurrences are location-specific in terms of time, space, and geography, the 'logic of practise' at play is much more broadly generalizable. The issues at hand revolve on battles for admittance, participation, and access. The statistics on young people entering UK universities are unambiguous: not only has the proportion of young people entering higher education remained mostly stable, but those who succeed in entering come from middle-class and extremely rich households more often. Furthermore, a disproportionate percentage of young adults with advantages attend the more exclusive colleges. Paradoxically yet, more young people are achieving "good results" on school tests[1].

The UK government made the ambitious decision in 1999 that 50% of all young people would enrol in higher education by 2010, representing an increase of 11% in ten years. This goal was backed by a financial scheme intended to assist institutions in 'widening participation' by welcoming students from households without a history of higher education.

With the slogan "Aim higher," the government's strategy attributed the "problem" of low participation to young people from households that were classified as belonging to "lower socio-economic" groups by official statistics' lack of ambition. Universities were encouraged to collaborate with schools that had poor participation rates in order to encourage pupils to enrol in "non-traditional" university entry routes. The government also strongly urged schools to help the majority of students in continuing until the end of formal schooling in order to enhance the "flow" into higher and further education.

Only a small portion of this agenda was achieved. HE participation rates among 17- to 30-year-olds increased from 39.2% to 39.8% during the academic years of 1999–2000 and 2006–2007, according to government statistics published in 2008. The political opposition made a big deal out of this sluggish development, claiming that if it continued, it would take until 2124 to reach the intended goal. Ministers from the government acknowledged that the goal was unattainable but said that they had never considered it to be feasible and that their attention has lately turned from the under-30s to the whole workforce.2 Policy discussions today tend to either blame the concept itself and the methods by which it has been carried out, or they discuss how to find the right balance of carrots and sticks that will bring about more change while veering away from the impossible goal.

Higher Education Access in the News

Summer in the UK is not merely a season for vacations. Furthermore, it coincides with the anticipation and disclosure of test results, which leads to an increasingly divisive public debate concerning entrance to higher education and the quality of upper secondary education. Discussions center on both A levels, which serve as schools' "gate-keeping credentials," and universities' access and equality policies. I go through each in turn, providing some instances of the media treatment it merits. These are from a corpus of articles from UK print media that were gathered between June and September 2008: Items were chosen because they are 'typical' of certain discursive views.

Debates about School Qualifications

According to media narratives, there are many issues with school credentials, including the types of knowledge that are valuedproblems for universities in differentiating between the growing number of students with the same grades, and intensifying efforts by schools and students to stand out in the competition for university spots and courses. This differs slightly from the policy discussion mentioned in the beginning. There are other "takes" on these topics, but the top three are as follows:

i. Not everyone wants to attend university. In 2005, the government declared that, rather than replacing A levels with a single new degree that offered both "academic" and "vocational" possibilities, it would instead create fourteen new vocational diplomas.3 Since then, there has been discussion on whether universities would recognise the new credentials as acceptable preparation for HE entrances and if this would signal the "death" of the A level as the "gold standard" of excellence. Following the publication of comprehensive government data on the new vocational diplomas in August 20084, there were claims that the economic benefits of pursuing a technical degree had been greatly exaggerated. In response, degree Secretary Ed Balls said that the A level system was not fixed in stone.

ii. At the same time, it was widely reported that a rising number of young people were earning "good" A levels, but this was not always reason for joy. ii. Levels are significantly defective. This is how The Education Guardian expressed it.

iii. Newspapers reported on a purported rise in the percentage of "A" marks given, with estimates ranging from one in seven to one in ten to even three percent. Drama, sociology, and media studies were the three areas that raised the most concerns over grade inflation. There have been allegations that students in scientific, technology, and mathematics courses received better grades than their classmates due to "easy" marking, giving them a "unfair" edge in the fight for university admissions.

Additionally, there were various complaints about marking. While Educational Testing Services' spectacular failure to deliver accurate and timely test results for the basic skills tests given to students during their compulsory school years was not comparable, there have been reports that some schools now routinely question a significant portion of their results in an effort to raise grades. The issue is not limited to A levels, according to Marsha Elms, head teacher at Kendrick Girls School in Reading; there is growing anxiety about test marking in general. She worries that the quality of the markers may continue to decline as new occupational qualifications are due to go into effect. "I think the system is so overworked that we are starting to lose faith and we are asking for remarks more frequently," she adds. But I also believe it has to do with the customers, who are asking for rechecks as it becomes harder to get into institutions. Although the establishment of a new exams watchdog, Equal, is meant to increase confidence in marking, there is more rivalry for positions than just a lack of confidence in tests. Schools and students no longer trust the system: In other news stories from the same time period, it was mentioned that many students were choosing to take four A levels rather than three in order to get an advantage in the fight for prestigious university admissions and courses. However, Geoff Parks, director of admissions at Cambridge, expressed his optimism that the new A^+ grade, which will be implemented in 2010, will buck the trend and encourage more students to take three since quality rather than number would be the main criterion for admittance.

While a US-style aptitude test, recommended by government advisers as a different pathway for underprivileged young people to enter universities, was reportedly biased towards white boys from grammar schools, 150 UK schools announced that they would completely abandon A levels in favor of a new Pre-U exam developed by Cambridge University.

Debates about university access policies

All English universities are expected to achieve the widening participation targets. Media reports largely represent their problems in doing so as concerns about funding and administrative routines for HE entry. Among the most common stories are two that say:

i. **Funding is the Problem:** The government recently said that it will alter the financing policies so that young people from the most disadvantaged households would henceforth get four times as much support for higher education as opposed to only twice as much. The decision was applauded by universities who have a higher success rate in drawing these students since it would plainly steer more cash their way. However, less successful colleges retaliated, claiming that money should be given according to need, giving schools with a high proportion of "non-traditional" applicants less.

ii. **Universities Won't do as they are Told:** At the same time, the intervention program Aim higher, which provides funding for universities to collaborate with colleges and schools, came under fire for being targeted at the incorrect audience. According to some HE administrators, the funds should be distributed to underprivileged schools so they can be used "earlier" than the final year of education. Their claim that the

> problem is not a lack of drive in the senior years but rather greater preparation in the intermediate years of education was not widely agreed upon. Additionally, print media reported that employers questioned the value of a HE degree due to concerns about marking disparity among institutions and that many university students were finding it more and more difficult to pay for their education and to manage the debt they accumulated for tuition. All of these findings suggested that some young people would start to question the value of a university degree and turn to the new career possibilities.

The most heated discussions were over Aim sigher's inability to meet its policy objectives. These mostly focused on the conduct of the top colleges. The two newspaper pieces that follow show the divisive arguments. As an admissions tutor well aware that there is a significant discrepancy between the percentage of students accepted to Oxford from private schools and the percentage of students in same institutions who have received a college education. Oxford wants the smartest, most academically motivated young people to be its students, and that is the basic premise. We couldn't care less about a person's gender, race, nationality, family history, sexual orientation, or any other characteristic. No one can properly accuse us of not striving to be fair to everyone, even if we still have a long way to go, considering all the information we take into account and the enormous effort put into admissions. Kemp contends that there are restrictions on compensations and that admission to prestigious colleges must be based on academic "merit" and "performance." It would be unreasonable to ask individuals who performed well on their examinations to do too much. Kemp implies that schools should address the issue since more young people would be "meritorious" and worthy of a spot if they did. Ellen has a strong suspicion that discussions of merit are a front for dishonest tactics used to maintain the advantages of those with money and the means to send their kids to private schools [2].

Understand these Representations of Problems with Higher Education Access

This collection of news stories demonstrates a wide variety of difficulties converging around who enrolls at which institution, whose course, and how. If these news reports are to be believed, colleges' actions, especially those with the highest market share and reputation, are to blame for the anguish of students rather than policy failure per se.

In the remaining sections of this chapter, I want to reread HE access and participation with the assistance of the late Pierre Bourdieu, a French social scientist, and make the case that what is at stake is a matter of social, political, and cultural privilege rather than a matter of specific individuals or institutions being cruel, bloodthirsty, or insincere. Instead, it is a complicated issue with how social systems function. The justification I provide is meant to support empirical research that concentrate on how young people choose which universities to attend or not by examining their habits. The case I'll make about HE accesses is supported by two key concepts. First, there are the educational reproduction practises, and second, there are the educational hierarchies. I will quickly introduce each concept in turn before bringing them to a re-reading of the HE disputes discussed in the chapter's first section.

Education and the Reproduction of Privilege

Success in school is regularly linked to better money, levels of education, and social standing, according to research. According to Bourdieu, education systems have a significant role in the creation and perpetuation of social and economic advantage and disadvantage. He showed that some kids come from homes where the sorts of information and conduct that matter in education already abound, giving them an advantage from the moment they walk through the

school gates. They not only already have the "capitals" necessary for academic achievement, but they also feel at ease in the school environment and can-do tasks with ease. This is not to say that some kids come from "good homes" while others do not have high expectations. Additionally, it does not imply that all schools are bad or insufficient. Instead, Bourdieu contends that the game of education is profoundly biased, placing those students who don't have the "right stuff" at a disadvantage from the start and keeping them there throughout their education. Initial discrepancies are exacerbated over time by educational pedagogies, curricula, and evaluation procedures. By the time students reach the stage in their education when they must choose whether to pursue further education, their choices are all but determined based on how well or poorly they do in school. This is not a matter of certain young people having merit; rather, education is being used as a game that is significantly rigged in favor of specific groups of kids and teenagers. The "achievement gap" is the product of institutional injustices that are deeply ingrained and manifest [3].

It is crucial to understand that Bourdieu's claim on the influence of schooling on reproduction does not imply that all kids from supposedly "deprived" or "disadvantaged" households do poorly in school and hence none of them attend university. Some of them do very well academically, just as some youngsters from wealthy backgrounds perform poorly. But a very tiny percentage of people really do this. In order to achieve upward social mobility, Bourdieu was chosen as a "scholarship" kid via the operation of the educational system. However, he showed through his academic work how, in his situation and that of others like him, "sorting and selecting" of those who were educationally successful took place in a variety of ways, from the more overt promotion, setting, and grouping practices through to the language of the curriculum and the types of knowledge deemed to be of the most value. His conception of production therefore permits both change and continuity.

Educational Hierarchies and Educational and Socio-economic Status

There are distinctive hierarchies of distinction within education around:

i. **Qualifications:** Qualifications are not simply valued for their age-related level, with a senior school certificate more valued than a junior. It is also the symbolic value of the qualifications that matters, that is, the uses to which they can be put to in employment and social networks. What is most commonly known as ‘academic’ knowledge is valued over ‘vocational knowledge’. Thus, university degrees are ‘better’ than FE diplomas. This is because these qualifications are necessary for higher-status jobs. School qualifications that act as gatekeepers for entrance are generally seen as ‘better’ than some FE qualifications, as they constitute the pathway to HE and thus to higher-status positions more generally.

ii. **Institutions:** The schools that parents have to pay for have most status, and, within that group, those that are oldest and can boast large numbers of important people among their alumni. HE too is hierarchically arranged, with the newest universities considered to be of lesser status than those that are older. In the UK, Oxford/Cambridge epitomize the high-status institution: because of their age, they have a vast tranche of important alumni going back centuries, various markers of distinction and they attract and are able to select those with the highest school qualifications. An ‘Oxbridge’ degree is often seen as ‘better’ by employers than that of a very recent university.

iii. **'Academic' Disciplines:** Science, technology and mathematics are the disciplines that are deemed to matter most to 'progress', but other disciplines such as economics, law and management are 'vital' to the management of civil society and government. Law and medicine also retain status because of their historical connections with classical learning. Some arts and humanities subjects have cultural cachet but do not dominate policies within HE institutions or elsewhere: this privilege is now afforded to STEM. In general, what are seen as the more vocational subjects such as education and nursing – are of lower status than the older professional subjects such as medicine. The 'new professions' struggle for status. The more recent branches of arts and humanities, such as drama, creative writing, cultural studies and media studies, are similarly low in the hierarchy and can be compared with new STEM subjects, such as nano and genetic sciences, which have acquired instant status.

Rising Mass Levels of Education

A long-term perspective reveals that the general level of education has been progressively increasing throughout time. More and more students are finishing their education sooner, and more and more students are enrolling in higher education and earning degrees. These changes are linked to changes in the economy and politics, but not in a straightforward cause-and-effect manner. The methods in which the economy operated underwent major transformation in the post-war era. Many tasks might now be performed by robots rather than by humans because to advancements made in the STEM fields. At the same time, there were fewer opportunities available for young people as more women joined the workforce. The government deregulated the economy to help businesses find new markets, but many of them also relocated overseas in search of lower labour costs and better tax treatment. Numerous individuals lost their employment as a result of these economic changes, notably in the manufacturing and related sectors. However, employment was also lost in diminishing resource-based sectors like coal mining and agriculture. It was necessary to create new sectors and employment, most of which were in the services industry and other new "knowledge-based" fields. Higher degrees of specialized education are necessary for many new occupations [4].

It has been forced upon successive UK administrations to make an effort to control the effects of these often-rapid changes within the globalized economy. The influence of education policy has been seen as being significant. Adults who are jobless must first get new training. Second, a significant increase in the number of new immigrant and refugee families who need to be educated, housed, and employed has resulted from the political development of the European Union, political unrest in other areas of the globe, and the relative ease of international travel. Third, it is important to consider the potential expenses of young people who are jobless and not working. Raising the school leaving age is justified by the broad societal conviction that education and training are "good things." And lastly, education, which historically played a role in the "civilization" and "nation-building" of the British state, has to concentrate on the following in order to provide partial remedies to the social implications of economic shifts:

i. Citizenship: to create social cohesion;

ii. Well-being: to ensure basic health and welfare; and

iii. Generic 'knowledge-economy' skills: to create a well-prepared workforce.

As well, schools, FE and HE must generally increase participation in part to take the pressure

off the youth labour market, leaving jobs for older workers. The changes and pressures I have very briefly indicated have not been smooth and continuous, but rather have occurred in lurches. There have been and are particular times when education must make relatively rapid adjustments. This is one such time [5].

Struggles over Expanding Higher Education

In truth, schools have been expected repeatedly over the last 60 years to accommodate ever-increasing numbers of younger students from more varied backgrounds for longer periods of time. Governments concerned about the job market and the economy have also requested that they educate students at greater levels and in new fields. Additionally, more and more young people are remaining in school long enough to get the types of credentials that may grant them admission to HE. Governments then demand that the variety and kinds of courses offered in higher education and other forms of education expand. These modifications may be summed up as some demand and significant pressure for HE to both grow and diversify.

The area of education is unstable as a result of this expand-diversify-duality, which also provides an opportunity for more heated arguments regarding potential new hierarchies and distributions. It has been the subject of heated discussions both within and outside of higher education, as was already mentioned previously in the chapter. These challenges revolve with controlling:

i. **Flow:** How many people can gain a basic university qualification without the award losing status in comparison and competition with an expanding range of higher-level vocational qualifications;

ii. **Position:** Which institutions and disciplines will retain their position, that is, remain at the top of the relevant hierarchy by virtue of being the most selective of ‘quality’ , taken to mean offering the qualifications that are of highest status.

These battles have taken place in a HE system that is becoming more globally focused and commercialized, which puts more pressure on positions and the control of the appearance of academic distinction[6].

Changes to the gatekeeping A levels have turned into a staging ground for diverse persons and organizations to play out the ongoing positional battles in the UK's expand-diversify context. In an effort to gain an edge, students take more A levels than are necessary. Universities that no longer believe that A levels can sort and choose students based on their preferences develop their own entry examinations and methods. According to institutions, certain subjects are "easier" than STEM because they don't want to have to accept too many students of the "wrong sort."

Widening involvement initiatives paradoxically both strengthen and jeopardize the standing of prestigious institutions. On the one hand, they must seem to be tolerant since elitism has socially unacceptable overtones. But they must hold onto their elitism, which places them at the 'peak' of the HE trees. They have a good justification for doing this juggling performance. It is claimed that regardless of their social, cultural, or economic surroundings, students’ progress via a neutral educational system. It is not taken into account how education contributes to the perpetuation of privilege and of certain socioeconomic groups. The qualification serves as a sorting and selection method that seems to be unbiased. And it is said that university interviews, where students must demonstrate that they are a specific kind of educated person, need "additional effort" rather than an increase in selectivity above and beyond what is provided by a school diploma.

This justification seems to be reasonable and natural, but as Bourdieu indicates, something deeply social and organized is really at work. The truth about manufacturing is misunderstood. Current conflicts over HE admittance and the character of finishing school credentials show the persistence of conflicts over the generation of advantage. In the area of education, the government, the media, hierarchically structured colleges, families, and young people themselves are all significant players. Their statements and deeds cannot be comprehended by just reading the news or looking at policies. When events are seen via Bourdieu's sociological lens, they may be understood not as a singular or isolated set of occurrences but rather as a part of a larger fight over the kind of educational system and world we now have and want.

The discussions in the media used as an example earlier are not primarily moral discussions about what is right. The repetition of relative positions and hierarchies is at the heart of the issues around increasing participation. Differences in educational credentials cannot be isolated from developments in higher education since the gatekeeping provided by the tests is at issue.

The most powerful and high-status actors use an argument that seems to be "scientific" about merit to support established hierarchies and status and to defend increased selectivity. Even while they sometimes make an argument against elitism, self-serving arguments from new institutions and disciplines looking to elevate their stature and position center on their significance in the emerging information economy. Government policies are also entangled in these incidents since politicians too have important objectives and interests. They aim to control the economy, win elections, and spin battles in rhetoric [7].

DISCUSSION

The topic of widening participation policies in higher education has been a subject of much debate and discussion in recent years. With a growing awareness of the importance of diversity and inclusion, policymakers, educators, and stakeholders have recognized the need to increase access to higher education for underrepresented groups. One of the key issues in widening participation policies is the historical and systemic barriers that have prevented certain groups from accessing higher education. For example, low-income students often face financial barriers that prevent them from pursuing higher education, while first-generation students may not have access to the same level of guidance and support as their peers. Additionally, students from minority backgrounds may face cultural and societal barriers that make it difficult to succeed in higher education. To address these issues, policymakers and universities have developed a range of policies designed to promote access and inclusion.

These policies may include financial aid programs, mentorship and support programs, outreach and recruitment efforts, and diversity initiatives. In addition, universities may offer targeted programs and resources to help underrepresented students succeed in higher education. While widening participation policies have the potential to promote greater access and equity in higher education, there is ongoing debate about their effectiveness. Some critics argue that these policies may lower academic standards or create unfair advantages for certain groups. Others argue that these policies do not go far enough and that more systemic changes are needed to address the root causes of inequality in higher education. Ultimately, the success of widening participation policies will depend on a range of factors, including the commitment of policymakers and universities, the availability of resources, and the effectiveness of outreach and support efforts. As the debate over these policies continues, it is clear that promoting access and equity in higher education is an ongoing and important goal for policymakers and educators alike[8].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the topic of widening participation policies in higher education is an important issue that has gained significant attention in recent years. The aim of these policies is to increase access to higher education for underrepresented groups, such as low-income students, first-generation students, and students from minority backgrounds. These policies have been developed to address the historical and systemic barriers that have prevented certain groups from pursuing higher education and to promote greater access and equity in higher education. While there is ongoing debate about the effectiveness of these policies, it is clear that they have the potential to make a significant impact on promoting social mobility and reducing inequality. By providing targeted support and resources to underrepresented students, these policies can help to level the playing field and ensure that everyone has an equal opportunity to pursue higher education. Moving forward, it is important for policymakers and universities to continue to prioritize widening participation policies and to develop new strategies to address the ongoing challenges of promoting access and equity in higher education. By working together, we can create a more inclusive and equitable higher education system that benefits everyone.

REFERENCES

[1] S. T. Mzangwa and Y. Dede, 'The effects of higher education policy on transformation in post-apartheid South Africa', *Cogent Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2019.1592737.

[2] A. Shaw, 'Inclusion of disabled Higher Education students: why are we not there yet?', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 2021. doi: 10.1080/13603116.2021.1968514.

[3] F. Mullen, 'Barriers to Widening Access to Higher Education', *High. Educ.*, 2010.

[4] A. Connell-Smith and S. Hubble, 'Widening participation strategy in higher education in England', 2018.

[5] M. E. David, 'Diversity, gender and widening participation in global higher education: A feminist perspective', *Int. Stud. Sociol. Educ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1080/09620210903057590.

[6] J. Moore, J. Sanders, and L. Higham, 'Literature review of research into widening participation to higher education: Report to HEFCE and OFFA by ARC Network', *ARC Netw.*, 2013.

[7] K. Hoskins, 'Widening participation aims and outcomes: Examining higher education prospects for four non-traditional students', *Widening Particip. Lifelong Learn.*, 2013, doi: 10.5456/wpll.14.3.235.

[8] D. Greatbatch and J. Holland, 'Teaching Quality in Higher Education : Literature Review and Qualitative Research May 2016', *Open Gov. Licence*, 2016.

CHAPTER 21

AN OVERVIEW OF THE SOCIOLOGY OF ELITE EDUCATION

Dr. Priya Bishnoi, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id- priya.bishnoi@jnujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The sociology of elite education, focusing on how social class and other factors influence access to and experiences within elite educational institutions. Drawing on sociological theories of stratification and socialization, the paper explores the ways in which elite education shapes individuals' social identities, values, and opportunities. Additionally, the paper examines the impact of elite education on social inequality and mobility, and considers the role of elite educational institutions in reproducing and reinforcing social hierarchies. Overall, the paper highlights the complex interplay between education and social class, and emphasizes the need for greater attention to be paid to the sociology of elite education in order to better understand the dynamics of social stratification and mobility.

KEYWORDS:

Elite, Hierarchy, Mobility, Reproduction, Socialization, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

The paucity of connections between studies that concentrate on elite recruitment and those that concentrate on the use of power by elites characterizes research on elites. As emphasized by Giddens, these sorts of approaches are critical and should be used in tandem when analyzing how the class structure, organizational structure, and power structure interact in a particular society. Giddens also maintains that recruitment studies must include two distinct factors: the routes that elite groups choose in order to replicate their social status and the degree of social openness or closure of these channels to other groups. The current chapter, which examines the influence of schools, specifically upper-secondary and higher education institutions, on three different national contextsFrance, the United Kingdom, and the United Statesuses this distinction to organize its discussion of a single channel that has evolved to play a crucial role in post-industrial societies. The distinctive characteristics of elite education are looked at in the first part. The amount and types of institutional and social closure are examined in the second part[1].

Socialization Patterns in Elite Educational Institutions

i. Elite schools as total institutions

Studies on elite education have highlighted the characteristics that set them apart from other institutions that provide care for children in the same age groups. The interconnected nature of these characteristics enables elite colleges to be referred to as "total institutions" that provide pupils a robust secondary socialization model via both formal and "hidden" curriculum that will significantly affect their public and private lives as adults. Physical closure and tiny size are two of the most obvious ones that add to both individuality and inclusion. Until at least World War II, these two factors played a significant role in boarding

schools and the most prestigious universities in the UK and the US. Although most students, particularly those travelling from far-off towns and rural regions, boarded, physical closure was less noticeable since French lessons preparators were located in Paris and other large cities. The grandees écoles themselves had a boarding policy. In addition, several of institutions, like the Ecole Polytechnique or the Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commercials, have somewhat mimicked the 'campus' ambiance of their English and American equivalents by moving outside of Paris in recent decades, although on a considerably lesser scale. Internal cohesion has also been fostered and maintained over time in elite institutions by elaborate rituals marking entrance and departure as well as significant moments of the educational experience, by policies governing the assignment of boarding rooms and other material responsibilities, and by educational and social activities intended to foster a strong "bonding" relationship among members, especially between established students and new entrants, as well as between established students and new entrants. These organizational formations usually use distinctive words that act as social identifiers of membership and have been heavily impacted by army and religious traditions. Studies have also concentrated on the unique and exclusive social culture that prevails in these institutions, particularly on the prominent position that sports and various games, some of which are only played in elite boarding schools, occupy as well as the critical socialization role that fraternities, sororities, clubs, and associations play. Elite colleges have always been distinguished by a particular academic program. This curriculum stood out in terms of its content, pedagogy, which valued individualized learning styles, and assessment methods. The gender, educational, and social backgrounds of professors at these schools, particularly those of public-school masters and Oxbridge "dons" in England, have also contributed to the academic uniqueness[2].

ii. Educating the Upper Class

These aspects of elite education are the results of both explicit and implicit decisions made by educators, and they demonstrate the relative autonomy that these institutions of higher learning have as a result of their strong symbolic, cultural, social, and financial capital. Elite schools' capacity to mound their pupils, however, is limited by the demands of powerful organizations. They operate under a social "charter," which is an authorization and directive to develop certain educational topics, in contrast to institutions that serve non-elite populations. The interests, beliefs, and ideologies of the upper-class segments that hold or want to hold elite positions at a given period in any national setting are prone to change, and this charter is no exception.

The emphasis on sports and social life has been much more important in England than in France, owing to the prevalence of an educational model reflecting the aristocratic values and gentlemanly lifestyles of the nineteenth-century 'leisure class', even though the expressive and moral dimensions mentioned above have been central elements of elite educational institutions in the three countries considered here. During the first half of the 20th century, elite schools played a crucial role in the spread of this concept among other elite and middle-class organizations. When American old money families wanted to unite and create a "class wall" between those with privilege from those without it via education in for-profit predatory schools and elite universities, they also "borrowed" this idea. On the other hand, elite educational institutions' academic cultures have been more distinctive in England and France than in the US, reflecting historically constructed, "high-brow," aristocratic, and bourgeois cultures. However, in France, this emphasis is more prominent than in England.

However, each nation's top educational institutions must adapt to shifting external constraints brought on by status group conflicts. The emphasis on extracurricular activities allowed students to learn the nuances of the dominant status culture and build contacts that were

essential for success in big organizations and the political sphere in the early decades of the 20th century. However, a more academically oriented curriculum emerged in the 1960s and 1970s, as evidenced by historical analysis of the most elite American colleges. These shifts demonstrate the challenges of providing a shared social model for a more diverse upper class with varied interests, beliefs, and opinions, as well as the rising power of its most racially and culturally endowed segments. Although it was indirect due to the state acting as a mediator, these fractions had an impact on the academic climate and social climate of elite secondary schools and higher education institutions in England at the same time. The French Revolution replaced the aristocratic ideal with a bourgeois model that put more emphasis on academic achievement, which led to a more early and radical focus on academic culture in France.

iii. Preparing for Political and Economic Power Positions

Thus, it would seem that although upper-class groups have always attempted to shape the charter of elite institutions, this charter is also subject to changes based on more general economic, social, and political factors that could lead interest and political groups acting on behalf of elites, but also reflecting contradictions and struggles among established and new status groups, to encourage elite institutions to act as "guardians" of national cultural models and strata. Because the state established or reorganized the most esteemed grandees écoles following the French Revolution, the function of the state as a political arbitrator between competing status groups' interests is especially evident in France. The mission of the grandees écoles, which were created to meet the demands of the state, has been to generate people with high scientific aptitude and the capacity to synthesize vast amounts of knowledge, as well as people who are interested in and capable of making judgements about practical issues. These institutions were supposed to produce more "technical intelligentsia" than "humanistic intellectuals," to use Alvin Goldner's terminology. Although some institutions, particularly the Ecole Normal Supérieure, were obviously oriented towards the intellectual fractions of the upper class, others, like the Ecole Polytechnique, have been characterized throughout their histories by tensions between the divergent perspectives of scientists and engineers, their culture was from the beginning strongly distinct from the non-utilitarian university culture traditionally oriented towards teaching, scholarship, and research.

While encouraging the development of "organic links between the grandest écoles and the state corps through recruitment processes directly linking valued positions in the most prestigious corps to class rank at graduation," strong state dependency has also influenced these institutions' non-academic activities and rites, which were intended to instill loyalty to state institutions and respect for state hierarchies. Private companies and social status groups have, however, put more and more direct pressure on French elite institutions ever since the 1970s.

The oldest is the practice known as "camouflage," which is when public officials with training from the established state major écoles leave their jobs to work in the private sector. This trend developed at the same time as privately sponsored major écoles with a strong market orientation began to emerge and expand in the 1970s. The state-funded premier écoles started to imitate this practice in the 1990s, providing a greater variety of classes and extracurricular activities aimed at preparing students for immediate entry to employment in private sector management and finance. At the same time, many of these special schools have reemphasized their social and political responsibility over the past ten years, perhaps as a form of compensation for the declining material and symbolic returns of state investments in them, particularly by playing a prominent role in discussions and policies pertaining to increasing access to higher education[3].

Public schools in England have long maintained what have been referred to as "incestuous links of privilege and power" with the British establishment as well as close ties to the state and the professions. This is also true of Oxford and, to a lesser degree, Cambridge. Through recruiting, financing, and access to elite jobs, "prep" schools and eminent private institutions in the US were also closely associated with social, cultural, and political elite groups. There is a lot of emphasis on leadership, "character," and self-discipline since these groups and the organizations they manage have broad expectations of people in these areas. However, following the Second World War, wealthy institutions in England started to rely heavily on government support. Although state funding initially had the effect of shifting education away from action and business and towards research, in the 1980s the state started to put pressure on universities to play a significant role in the global knowledge economy by directing research towards industrial needs, especially high technology, and students' career choices towards high-paying jobs in the private sector. Elite American universities are particularly prone to this latter tendency because they rely heavily on private endowments for their expansion, which in turn determines their ability to rank highly in international rankings of top research institutions and to have a significant impact on international economic networks.

Social and Institutional Closure

i. The conditions of admission

Elite universities have traditionally had a great deal of autonomy in determining their own admittance requirements. The admission requirements that they have established, though primarily the result of compromises between administrators and teachers on the inside and responses to pressure from rival organizations outside on the outside, are also influenced by shifts in the balance of power between status groups in society at large. Professors in France had a great deal of discretion in selecting future members of the elite relative to families and other social or economic constituencies. At the same time, elites held a firm belief in their own personal and social legitimacy as members of a "state nobility" as a result of the state's early establishment of a system of intensely competitive exams that ranked students according to a one-dimensional scale of merit for admission to elite special schools. At the same hand, the current highly "balkanized" system of admission exams for the most prestigious universities is less a reflection of academic interests than it is a result of the strong influence of the state corps that structured and tightly regulated their operation.

In comparison to France, the UK and the US made the shift from "ascriptive" criteria to an educated meritocracy more slowly and with a wider range of interpretations. Up to World War II, admission to Oxford and Cambridge was based on an examination system in which quality was associated with knowledge of a conventional curriculum, while school and family ties also played a significant role. Following a rise in government financing and engagement, the Oxbridge method of recruiting was legally realigned to that of other institutions in the 1960s. However, current research shows that distinguishing characteristics continue to exist. Similar to other institutions, the initial round of admission is heavily influenced by students' scores on subject-specific, nationally standardized examinations, or GSCE grades, as well as instructors' projections of A level exam outcomes. However, the individual institutions consider additional factors during the second step. These are evaluated via a thorough analysis of the application materials and conversations with tutors. They include both meritocratic and non-meritocratic factors, such family and educational history.

Prior to the 1960s, the majority of US students were admitted to four-year institutions based on their high school grades. However, in order to control enrollment and boost their

reputation, elite private universities that aped Oxford and Cambridge created their own admission exams. The 1930s-era SAT gradually established itself as a crucial step in the admissions process, supporting the cognitive component of merit. Elite institutions and dominant groups, however, once again reinforced the weight given to extra-academic criteria like "character," participation in extracurricular activities, autobiographical essays, and interviews that could be used to legitimately exclude "inassimilable" non-WASP students when this system started to give a clear advantage to brilliant Jewish students. However, by the late 1950s, "character" had started to fall behind the intellectually brilliant candidate indicated by SAT scores, Grade Point Average, class rank, and proficiency in one or more extracurricular activities in a climate of increasing worry about "talent loss." In the 1960s, a new direction was again pursued with the addition of a new standard: "diversity." Its acceptance proved to be quite contentious even if its link to academic quality was not to be systematically defined[4].

ii. Institutional Routes

The development and stabilization of elite educational systems mostly depended on institutional pathways. The 'chartering' process, which involves the monopolization of a mode of training and socialization necessary for admission to elite institutions of higher education, was the foundation for the 'bonding' relationship between a small number of elite colleges and secondary schools. It was also based, particularly in the US and the UK, on a 'bartering' process, which refers to negotiations between school and college personnel regarding selection and admission. However, as a consequence of educational systems' development and its formal meritocratic nature, this "institutional sponsorship" was formally abandoned. The most radical departure from this "institutional sponsorship" has occurred in the US, where elite colleges have created admissions policies that severely limit the efficacy of "bridging strategies" from secondary school feeders, with the exception of a small number of prep schools that continue to enjoy a special status due to their longstanding ties to Harvard, Princeton, or Yale. The emphasis placed on academic achievement and, in particular, class rank has made it possible for elite colleges to recruit outstanding undergraduate students from across the country while also encouraging ‘star schools' to maximize the chances of their best students at the expense of those who have strong test scores and high GPAs earned in demanding courses but are not at the top of their class. Despite this relative disadvantage, students in these schoolsmany of which are private still benefit from a stronger emphasis on academic achievement by teachers and parents than students in other schools as well as from certain Honors and Advanced Placement courses that serve as a "signal" for college admissions staff and from their "brokering" strategies, that is, from their strong financial investment in and commitment to activities favoring the college-linking process.

A substantial connection still exists between private secondary schools and elite higher education institutions, despite the weakening of institutional pathways and sponsorship in England, but not to the same level. Although state-schooled students outnumber them in admissions, privately educated students are disproportionately overrepresented in secondary schools relative to their overall enrollment, and they are twice as likely to attend prestigious institutions. When one takes into account their proportion in both the candidate pool and the successful applicants, this overrepresentation becomes even more glaring. This overrepresentation is less the result of intentional "chartering" and "bartering" than in the past owing to the growth of the public sector and the strong meritocratic nature of the admissions processes at prestigious institutions. However, it is crucial to remember that, just like in the US, students from private schools benefit from higher levels of career guidance and support from internal staff and outside organizations that collaborate with the schools, and that those

from the so-called Clarendon Public Schools, in particular, frequently receive special notification during the second round of admissions at Oxford. But better levels of academic accomplishment now account for a bigger portion of private schools' competitive advantage. These are the results of stringent academic selection procedures, the implementation of an Assisted Places Scheme during the 1980s and 1990s to assist "able children from modest backgrounds" to enroll in independent schools with a strong academic reputation, as well as more pronounced "school effects" related to the concentration of academically and socially advantaged students.

Contrarily, in France, the state's involvement in elite education has provided public lycées a competitive edge in admissions, with research pointing to no apparent benefit for upper-class kids attending private sector schools in terms of academic careers. There are no formal routes, but there are significant variations across lycées in terms of their ability to accept students to these programs. The prepas that are most effective at getting students accepted into the best major écoles are all concentrated in a small number of prestigious, old lycées in Paris and other large towns, providing those students an edge. This finding is directly related to provision. Along with the "location effect," there is some evidence that increased secondary enrollment has prompted professors and administrators in more selective classes to consider candidates' grades, class rank, and professional evaluations in relation to the supposedly high achievement levels of their lycée. Getting into these elite preparatory schools is essential for students who wish to continue their education at the best major écoles since there is a significant "chartering" influence, both official and informal. Students attending elite lycées and students preparing for courses also benefit from personalized advice and help with applications [5].

iii. Social Advantage and Parental Strategies

Upper-class families actively backed the original institutional paths in the three nations under consideration, which barred other groups from accessing top higher education institutions. Due to the competitive advantages offered by private education and selective public schools, they have been able to resist and adapt to the development of meritocratic policies by these institutions. Additionally, wealthy upper-class parents, especially former alumni, have been much more able to do this in the US than in England or France because they can continue to pay for tuition as well as leave "legacies" for their children. Additionally, members of this category now face competition from a growing share of middle-class people. However, careful consideration must still be given to the relative advantages of middle-class families with high levels of cultural capital and those families with high incomes, both in terms of the parental methods at their disposal and the process of selection and channeling in each school system. The rigid and highly scholastic meritocratic admissions processes to the state biggest écoles have historically benefited the intellectual middle classes in France. However, modifications to the educational environment have compelled them to create new, ad hoc institutional channels via the colonization of neighborhood schools in order to preserve their position. However, as in the UK and the US, families with higher incomes are able to successfully convert economic capital into cultural capital through residential and educational choice, private tuition, and private test preparation. As a result, their advantages are also put into question.

The degree to which this revived "class meritocracy" has limited chances for other socioeconomic and racial groupings is a separate, even more crucial matter. Although the idea of meritocracy was originally intended to serve the needs of deserving students from dominating groups who may get scholarships to attend prestigious colleges, there is now a rising awareness of the presence of significant access disparities. Elite US institutions created

ambitious "affirmative action" programs in the 1960s in response to intense societal pressures that gave Black, Hispanic, and Native American candidates an advantage. This involves allowing applicants from "tagged" groups who had SAT scores that were somewhat below those of other applicants but still met each university's cutoffs, as well as considering their ability to succeed in unfavorable circumstances. Such measures were required since applicants from these groups were unable to compete on an equitable, "meritocratic" footing due to factors related to their family backgrounds and secondary school experiences in underfunded and failing institutions. Nevertheless, in a setting of "college squeeze," which includes an increase in the number of students of college age and a halt in the growth of higher education, they provoked significant unhappiness, particularly among the best-performing groups. certain prestigious public institutions have devised "percentage plans" to attract students from the highest schools possible using class rank as the primary indication in response to efforts by certain states to make affirmative action unlawful. Although this policy has expanded socioeconomic and racial diversity in these colleges, it is dependent on significant levels of segregation in high schools to continue to exist and function well. On the other hand, elite private schools rely more on "comprehensive reviews" of each proposal to achieve diversity. These evaluations are more successful in identifying deserving students from underprivileged backgrounds without having any negative "side effects," but they are quite expensive to execute.

In France, initiatives to broaden socioeconomic and racial diversity in prestigious universities have been far more subdued. A special selection process for students from underprivileged schools was devised by Sciences Po in 2001 based on a particular academic exercise, a newspaper summary, and interviews with a jury that included academics, administrators, public employees, and managers from private companies. Other less well-known schools, like the INSA, have created a selection process that bases it on class rank rather than absolute achievements for half of the applicants.

The fact that these two institutions pick their students after the lycée gives them far more freedom to establish unique entrance standards than the écoles, which choose their students after the courses preparators, is significant. They continue to be rare instances, and the majority of institutions have only evolved as top universities in the UK outreach programs that provide information, help with college application preparation, and financial support for underprivileged students. When these programs are included into procedures, such as modifications to the modes of selection, they may be helpful in restricting processes of self-exclusion caused by institutional, cultural, and economic variables. However, their influence seems to be limited when they are used in isolation. It is also crucial to recognize that not all working-class and minority students are willing to comply with the cultural and social demands of elite institutions, and that the type of social capital they possess not only hinders their access but also prevents them from benefiting from the social capital that these institutions provide to the same extent as middle- and upper-class students [6].

This brief overview of elite education in the US, the UK and France has shown that, although elite institutions supported by established elite groups generally exhibit a strong reluctance to change, important transformations have taken place and are still at work in all three systems. The most important transformation, especially in the UK and US, took place after World War I, with the transition from an almost direct translation of social position into educational advantages, to the selection of talented individuals by educational institutions. Although this movement increased the autonomy and power of educational agents, it allowed only limited mobility opportunities for members of socially and ethnically dominated groups, as a new ‘class meritocracy’ emerged based on exclusionary processes exhibiting some differences

between the three countries according to the relative importance of money, morals, manners or academic culture in class divisions.

Also, although this article has mostly focused on changes in modes of social and institutional closure that have had significant consequences for educational and social inequalities, it is important to relate these to other changes linked to global transformations in the knowledge economy. These influences are creating new dividing lines between institutions, depending on their relationship to different economic sectors and their place in international networks and rankings, as well as between social groups, according to their capacity to integrate these new opportunities in their strategies of exclusion or usurpation. These new divisions and their concomitant class strategies require specific attention from sociology of education research [7].

DISCUSSION

The sociology of elite education is a critical field of study within sociology that seeks to understand the social and cultural dynamics of elite educational institutions and their relationship to broader patterns of social stratification. Elite education refers to the educational experiences and opportunities available to members of the upper echelons of society, including those who are wealthy, well-connected, and influential.One key theme in the sociology of elite education is the relationship between social class and access to elite educational institutions. Studies have shown that individuals from higher social classes are more likely to attend elite educational institutions than those from lower social classes. This is due in part to the fact that elite educational institutions often have high tuition costs and require a range of cultural and social capital to gain admission. Additionally, individuals from higher social classes often have greater access to educational resources, such as private tutors, test preparation courses, and extracurricular activities, which can enhance their chances of gaining admission to elite educational institutions.Another important theme in the sociology of elite education is the impact of elite education on individuals' social identities, values, and opportunities.

Research has shown that attending an elite educational institution can have a profound effect on individuals' sense of self, leading them to adopt elite cultural practices and values, and shaping their aspirations and opportunities in life. For example, attending an elite educational institution can increase individuals' social and professional networks, provide access to prestigious internships and job opportunities, and enhance their prospects for upward social mobility.

However, the sociology of elite education also highlights the ways in which elite educational institutions can reinforce and perpetuate social hierarchies. Studies have shown that elite educational institutions often reproduce patterns of social inequality by admitting and educating individuals from privileged backgrounds, and by providing them with a range of resources and opportunities that are not available to individuals from lower social classes. Additionally, elite educational institutions may reinforce social hierarchies by promoting a particular set of cultural practices and values that are associated with the upper echelons of society.Overall, the sociology of elite education highlights the complex interplay between education and social class, and underscores the need for greater attention to be paid to the social and cultural dynamics of elite educational institutions. By shedding light on the ways in which elite education shapes individuals' social identities, values, and opportunities, this field of study can help us to better understand the dynamics of social stratification and mobility, and to develop more effective strategies for promoting greater equality and social justice in society[8].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the sociology of elite education is a vital area of study within sociology that helps us to understand the complex relationships between education and social stratification. Through research on the ways in which social class, cultural capital, and other factors shape access to and experiences within elite educational institutions, this field of study has shed light on the mechanisms through which social hierarchies are reinforced and perpetuated. At the same time, studies on the impact of elite education on individuals' social identities, values, and opportunities have highlighted the potential for education to serve as a means of upward social mobility and cultural integration. Moving forward, the sociology of elite education can play an important role in informing policy and practice aimed at promoting greater equality and social justice. By deepening our understanding of the mechanisms through which education reinforces and perpetuates social inequality, we can develop more effective strategies for addressing these issues and promoting greater access to educational opportunities for all members of society. At the same time, by recognizing the potential of education to serve as a means of upward social mobility and cultural integration, we can work to ensure that all individuals have the opportunity to reach their full potential and contribute to the social and economic well-being of society as a whole.

REFERENCES

[1] M. K. Jahromi, R. L. de D'Amico, and M. M. G. Luisa, *Women and Sport in Asia*. 2021. doi: 10.4324/9781003131885.

[2] V. V. Stetsenko, 'Development and implementation of technologies for cultural enlightenment in the context of state cultural policy: semantic guidelines and priority areas', *Communicology*, 2021, doi: 10.21453/2311-3065-2021-9-2-67-77.

[3] A. Bogner, B. Littig, and W. Menz, 'Generating Qualitative Data with Experts and Elites', in *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*, 2018. doi: 10.4135/9781526416070.n41.

[4] M. F. Watts and J. S. [Ed] Brooks Brooks Melanie C [Ed], 'Widening participation in higher education in England: The significance of educational leadership.', *Urban Educ. Leadersh. Soc. justice Int. Perspect.*, 2015.

[5] S. Bayrakdar, ' Book Review: Kate Hoskins, Youth Identities, Education and Employment: Exploring Post-16 and Post-18 Opportunities, Access and Policy ', *Sociology*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0038038518817475.

[6] C. Charles, *Elite girls' schooling, social class and sexualised popular culture*. 2013. doi: 10.4324/9780203085103.

[7] I. Rice, 'Review of Measuring the mosaic: An intellectual biography of John Porter', *Can. Rev. Sociol.*, 2011.

[8] T. J. Fararo, J. C. Alexander, and P. Colomy, 'Differentiation Theory and Social Change: Comparative and Historical Perspectives.', *Soc. Forces*, 1991, doi: 10.2307/2579484.

CHAPTER 22

AN ELABORATION OF THE DIALOGIC SOCIOLOGY OF THE LEARNING COMMUNITIES

Dr. Priya Bishnoi, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id- priya.bishnoi@jnujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of learning communities from a dialogic sociological perspective. Drawing upon the works of Mikhail Bakhtin, we argue that learning communities are constituted through ongoing dialogues among participants and that such dialogues are central to the development of collective knowledge. We explore the implications of this perspective for research and practice in the field of education, highlighting the importance of valuing diverse voices and fostering inclusive and participatory learning environments. Ultimately, we argue that a dialogic sociological approach to learning communities can contribute to the creation of more equitable and democratic educational practices.

KEYWORDS:

Communities, Dialogic, Education, Inclusion, Learning, Participatory, Sociology.

INTRODUCTION

In order to make an egalitarian paradise a reality for thirty thousand kids, more than eighty schools in Brazil, Chile, and Spain have been turned into learning communities. The goal of this transformation process is to create democratic schools, which involves giving every boy and girl the greatest education possible, particularly to those who are often the most excluded. It also focuses on expanding human rights to all students without discriminating against anybody. Egalitarian communication amongst all the concerned parties is essential to this process. According to key sociologists like Touraine and Wright, contemporary sociological ideas are vital in creating the framework for this egalitarian discussion.

As part of this transition, all community members who have a connection to the school, including students, teachers, students' families, administrators, school volunteers, trade union representatives, and NGOs, must organize an open and equitable discussion. Additionally, these communities constantly invite researchers and participants from other, already vibrant learning communities to take part in this discussion. The present belief that society acts "simultaneously as systems and lifeworld's, as structures and subjects," serves as the foundation for defining the responsibilities that scholars and community members play in egalitarian conversation [1].

Therefore, researchers, as members of academic structures, have a moral and academic duty to participate in this discussion. They can do so by bringing to it the knowledge that the scientific community has developed on each educational issue as well as the practices that have proven to be most successful in preventing school failure and enhancing communal living situations. This conversation is thus egalitarian in the Habermas Ian sense: arguments are valued for their own inherent worth rather than because of the position of power of those

advancing them. The learning communities project draws on a broad theoretical framework on speech and communicative acts since this kind of communication is so complicated and unique. This theoretical framework is described in the following section.

Since egalitarian conversation accords value to every person who takes part in all school discussions and decision-making processes, it constitutes a step beyond both the functionalist and subjectivist approaches. On the one hand, functionalism has helped to legitimize a system in which the daily dynamics in the classroom are controlled by the educational institutions. Theories that simply take into account structures do not need community input since they are preoccupied with the evaluation and choices made by academics and administrators. Contrarily, subjectivist viewpoints ignore the knowledge that educational systems have amassed in favor of concentrating only on the contributions of the individual subjects. By integrating both the knowledge held by the scientific community and the experiences of the subjects, egalitarian dialogues are held in learning communities. By taking into account the contributions of all parties, this more inclusive type of community can come to agreements and make decisions that are more suitable for everyone involved.

All the participants come to an understanding of their own model of school by contrasting the scientific information of the researchers with the experiences of the subjects. The participants may think about the top strategies that scientific research has identified for overcoming academic failure; they can debate if and how those conclusions apply to their personal situation; and whether to modify them or attempt new strategies. Additionally, individuals may choose whether or not they wish to go through the process of becoming a learning community. After they've finished the decision-making process, they see that individual in the school start acting in a new way. Two examples that are also instances of egalitarian discussion are used to demonstrate this shift in the sentences that follow.

The majority of learning communities opt, following debate, to set up their classrooms into interactive groups for as long as feasible as a consequence of this egalitarian conversation. Small, diverse student groups are called interactive groups. One adult is in charge of fostering relationships amongst students in each group so that everyone aids the others in completing the scheduled tasks. Thus, egalitarian conversation is present in every learning environment at the school as well as during community discussions of the school's major choices.

In interactive groups, students from various backgrounds discuss their academic assignments while supporting one another in a way that fosters both academic achievement and camaraderie. This is an example of deliberative democracy in the classroom. People who participate in deliberative democracy, as Elster asserts, modify their preferences via discourse. A youngster who formerly wanted to be the top in his or her class now wants to work with others to help their classmates achieve. Family members go through similar transformational processes; parents who formerly wanted their kids to be the best in class now want all the kids in the school to achieve.

Additionally, since they address the issues of mixing and streaming, interactive groups perform better than prior systems of class and resource management. When there is mixing, pupils in a heterogeneous group don't get enough of the right kind of attention since one instructor can't adequately meet all of their different demands. However, if more adults are involved and seek to encourage student participation, they can get ample attention. Additionally, interactive groups are an improvement to streaming, which separates students based on ability and falls short of the aim of achieving excellent academic accomplishment for everyone [2].

Family and community education is a second illustration of educational practices that many learning communities have selected and put into practice via egalitarian discussion. This implies that schools expand educational possibilities to a variety of family members and other people of the community. Additionally, such schools provide a well-rounded mix of instruction and extracurricular activities while being open as many hours as feasible during the week. For instance, many learning communities have literary discussions or tutored libraries where parents and neighbors may study classic literature. The mixed committees, where teachers, students, family members, and other people employ a process of deliberative democracy to execute the choices they have made regarding the school, are centered on egalitarian conversation. The procedure has a significant impact on the community and schools in the area. Offering all children, a true utopia academic achievement for everyone without bias is made possible by the egalitarian discussion. By participating in this process, engaged community members reject the sort of study that encourages prejudice in the classroom. For instance, one research 'demonstrated' that when schools have more than three immigrants per classroom, the total educational level drops, according to Spanish media reports. Later, the media corrected that false claim: a significant television news program stated that all kids' academic performance increased when schools that primarily serviced immigrants were turned into learning communities. The program featured an elementary school that had been turned into a learning community. While the percentage of immigrant kids had increased from 12 to 46%, their proficiency in language and reading had also increased dramatically, from 17 to 85% [3].

The same school has another such case. The English teacher felt that the entry of immigrants from North Africa would result in a decline in the quality of learning in the schools since she had been exposed to the dominant public discourse. Similarly, the community assumed that Muslim moms and daughters who came wearing the hijab would bring gender imbalance back to Europe. However, when the school made the decision to become a learning community, the English instructor started having his students work in interactive groups and recruited volunteers from the community. He discovered a Muslim woman wearing the veil who was illiterate and could not understand Spanish, but who spoke English with ease since she had spent some time in Ireland. The instructor saw how all kids' academic performance and perceptions of Muslim women and gender equality improved when this Muslim mother began to volunteer in the English interaction groups. The skills of transnational immigrants must be taken into account since they are a significant source of enrichment for European nations. For instance, many illegal immigrants in Spain speak English more well than native Spaniards do.

Beyond the Theory of Communicative Action

The discourse takes place amongst all the concerned citizens in the schools that have been turned into learning communities. It involves more than just discussions on pedagogical advances in closed-door classes between students and instructors. Instead, the conversation occurs between persons of quite different socioeconomic standing who could fill very distinct societal and educational functions. Therefore, the primary objective of this debate is to alter schools, communities, and society in order to progress towards equality of outcomes rather than just developing creative teaching techniques. More and more, contemporary sociological theories give components for creating descriptive analyses of such conversations and set standards for making them more equal[4].

The study of conversation has emerged as a valuable tool for either recreating or modifying social reality as the social sciences and societies have taken on a dialogic orientation. On top of John L. Austin's notion of speech actions, Jürgen Habermas developed his theory of

communicative action. With his ideas of locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary speech acts, Austin created pragmatic linguistics by bridging the gap between language and the outside world: "The locutionary act has some meaning; the illocutionary act has a certain force in saying something; the perlocutionary act is the achievement of certain effects by saying something." The phrase "The administrator told us that "students learn more when they are organized in ability grouping" is an example of a locution, while the phrase "We protested because most of the poor immigrants are placed in the lower ability groups" is an illocution for indicating a force, and the phrase "The administrator discouraged us" is a perlocution because it includes the effect that this speech act had.

By connecting speech acts with deeds, Habermas incorporates sociology into Austin's language theory. Strategic action is based on perlocutionary speech actions since it is success-oriented. Illocutionary speech actions serve as the foundation of communicative activity since it is focused on consensus. When a student, his or her instructor, and members of his or her family engage in conversation as a strategic action, at least one of them employs perlocutionary speech actions that are intended to succeed in accordance with his or her power claims. The 'difficult' kids may sometimes be removed from the experienced teacher's classroom and placed in another one, often in the classroom of the newer instructor. He or she frames the family members' conversations to persuade them that doing this is in the child's best interests. To speed up your daughter's learning, he or she can suggest, "We want to put your daughter in the other classroom." He/she employs perlocutionary actions based on power claims to disguise his/her true motive, which is to persuade others to accept what deems to be best for him/her. 'Why are the "difficult children" who are excluded from normal schools nearly usually Roma, immigrant, and impoverished children?' a mother could inquire. The teacher would then reaffirm their viewpoint by making a power claim based on their position, stating that they are the education expert and that they know what is best for the kids [5].

When a student, teacher, and family member engage in a communicative action, they utilise illocutionary speech actions to come to an understanding about how to best support the student's instrumental learning, moral development, and emotional growth. On the basis of validity claims rather than power claims, they will all express what they really believe. For instance, the experienced teacher can explain to the family members that it is challenging to control the classroom when there are so many pupils with varying skill levels and behavior issues. He or she could even suggest assigning the "difficult ones" to a different classroom with a different instructor. Then, family members might inform him or her that a citizens' assembly was looking into interactive groups at another school as a potential solution to these issues without excluding any students. The instructor, like the other participants of the citizens' assembly, only makes validity claims during communicative action rather than making status or power claims.

John Searle's writings were expanded upon by Habermas in his theory of communicative activity. Searle, on the other hand, chastised Habermas for failing to see how Austin and Searle's ideas relate to speech actions. With this criticism, we concur. According to Searle, Austin ties illocutionary speech actions to understanding, and Habermas incorrectly adds a consensus-seeking tendency in this idea of understanding. Austin asserts that although illocutionary speaking actions help the instructor and the family members comprehend one another's viewpoints, they do not always indicate a willingness to come to an agreement. The instructor and the family members attempt to comprehend one another while simultaneously attempting to come to an agreement, which is how Habermas describes illocutionary speech actions.

Although we agree with Searle that Austin's illocutionary speech actions do not possess this normative dimension, we also concur with Habermas that this dimension is crucial to the communication process. More than Austin's original thesis, the latter process is what we need in order to comprehend contemporary social behavior as well as to suggest ways to make it better. The Habermasian theory of illocutionary speech actions aids in our analysis of public discourse about schools and offers suggestions for how to advance this discourse in the present-day transformation of schools and educational institutions [6].

Habermas has been criticized by post-structuralists for ignoring power. However, the theory of communicative action distinguishes between the use of validity claims and claims of power. Even the ones that Habermas thinks are founded on validity claims, according to Foucault and Derrida, are based on the exercise of power. Because it is difficult to move towards equitable educational changes based on these post-structuralist attitudes, learning communities are not built on the thinking of these writers. If power is the basis of all relationships, why should we choose democratic schools that end segregation? And why should we seek to reform oppressive institutions that exclude immigrants and underprivileged students? Of course, Foucault and Derrida do not want to provide a normative framework to assist in defining what is and is not democratic and equal. In reality, they have never made this claim.

It is still accurate to say that Habermas has not yet produced any components that can be utilised to examine the power dynamics that are present in communicative activities in great detail. His model fails to acknowledge that even the most dialogic relationships in the actual world include some degree of power dynamics. Even when the seasoned teacher uses a wholly dialogical approach when speaking with families, he is unable to escape the reality that he has a position of power over the impoverished kids and families. Egalitarian discourse must take into account such power dynamics in addition to Habermas' ideal circumstances for communicative activity, which are dependent on the intentions of the speakers. Learning communities are centred on the Weberian ethics of responsibility rather than the ethics of intentions, taking into consideration those interactions and the potential outcomes of communication between instructors, students, and family members. They make a distinction between power relationships and dialogic partnerships. In power relationships, power interactions take precedence over dialogic interactions, and vice versa in dialogic relationships [7].

Teachers, students, family members, and other residents interact in dialogue inside the learning communities. Even if their disagreements are not based on their social standing as equals, they are aware that it affects their discussions and their ability to come to a resolution. They discuss how the public is aware of this impact and what they are doing to combat it in daily conversations.

The implicit reduction of communication to speech in Austin's theory of speech actions for the current sociological study is another drawback. In connections between seasoned instructors, family members, and students, communication entails much more than just words; it also involves glances, voice tones, gestures, and much more. Contrary to the notion of speech actions, the theory of communicative acts encompasses all those components. Not only are dialogic communication acts founded on reasoning, but also on other aspects of dialogic interactions, such the practice of taking into account all of the social players involved in them equally. Powerful communication is built on factors like unequal and authoritarian attitudes as well as the statements of individuals who saw themselves as belonging to a higher class of knowledge and social structure.

The Dialogic Transformation of the School System

Citizens develop the strength to strive to change the educational system via the egalitarian debate taking place in the school environment. The primary source of their power comes from their arguments, which Austin refers to illocutionary speech actions and learning communities assign to the broader idea of illocutionary communicative acts. These folks contribute their theoretical and practical views to the public discussion of education in an effort to enhance collective living conditions and support students who have failed to complete their education. These public discussions are undoubtedly built on dialogic communication acts with dialogic contacts, but they also often include power dynamics inherent in the social structure and educational system. The top and middle classes and policymakers have a wealth of resources at their disposal that enable them to impose their goals and interests. The arguments matter the most in the public discussion, however. As a result, the learning communities have been established inside a strong framework based on justifications that are difficult to refute in public.

Because they turn these public discussions into dialogic acts of communication, learning communities are successful. They are successful because they emphasize argumentation so much, which gives dialogic interactions more power than power interactions based on structuralist ideologies. It is conceivable for authorities to enforce their segregation policies on the most vulnerable pupils in special classes without engaging in public discussions. However, these politicians must accept their arguments when the learning community debates the educational experiences of the nearby kids, even if they disagree with these regulations. When individuals dispute institutions and modify them from their position as active citizens, these dialogic communicative actions show that the theories of reproduction are incorrect. These changes are reminiscent of what Wright refers to as genuine utopias.

In a similar vein, social science has greatly benefited from the engagement of people and dialogic scholars. The Integrated Projects are the instrument that commands the greatest resources and high scientific acclaim in the European Union's Framework Programs for Research. Launching these programs served the European Union's goal of producing new scientific information that would better guide European policy. Therefore, FPR programs have a considerable potential to change policy, depending on their direction. The primary goal of the social sciences program is to advance social cohesion, which includes eradicating social exclusion and inequality that affect the most marginalized populations. An elderly Roma lady who identified herself as being illiterate gave a summary of the project's principal findings. These results were presented to the European Parliament by one of the attendees, where they were incorporated in a motion that was subsequently overwhelmingly passed [8].

The level of EU member states also enacted such resolutions. For instance, a resolution recognizing the Roma as part of Spain's population was unanimously accepted by the Spanish parliament. As a result of this choice, the Spanish State Council of the Roma was established, and the government vowed to consult with it before making any decisions that might have an impact on the Roma. Six centuries of interactions between the Spanish state and the Roma have been profoundly altered by this acknowledgment, which is considered as a historically significant event.

Some Roma expressed concern in the 1980s about the possible detrimental effects of the national school reform which was proposed and eventually authorized in 1990 on their children's likelihood of absenteeism and academic failure.5 However, the professionals and decision-makers disregarded their claims. After the project's transition, the state is now required to pay attention to these voices. By reaching out to a larger audience and

participating in dialogue, dialogic sociology, as found in the project, made the goal of public sociology a reality. In terms of gender, socioeconomic class, ethnicity, age, sexual orientation, religion, etc., as well as their ideological and political stances, the citizens who participate in the learning communities are quite varied. Some residents feel that if decision-makers alter their policies as a result of dialogic communication in public discussions, it is because of a genuine shift in views. They see politicians as having assumed they must seek out the greatest education for all students, even the underprivileged. Some claim that officials' opinions have not altered and that they are instead under pressure from the public discourse. Even if this is the case, the reform will have a significant positive impact on the educational system's shift towards equality. This circumstance is described by Elster as the civilizing influence of hypocrisy: If we consider for fairness impacts in addition to efficiency effects, public debate is definitely a better method of collective decision making than private negotiation. Of course, this is not a claim for which evidence can be provided.

Officials in the European Union are now primarily concerned with efficiency and equality in the educational achievements of various socioeconomic groups. The majority of the public discussion centers on the little and skewed data that this poll offers since figures like those from the PISA test results have had such a significant influence on the media. Some educators and writers disagreed with these figures and justified their pupils' subpar test results as an act of radicalism and resistance. Family members and other community members in the learning communities criticize these authors and teachers as self-described "radicals" because they oppose efforts to ensure equal educational outcomes for all students, including the most disadvantaged ones, while sending their own children to college.

The goals of learning communities are to transform society and education on an equal basis. They take into account a variety of factors. The problems of racism, war, and gender-based violence are present in these schools. These schools take action by reorganizing all of their spaces and dynamics on the basis of solidarity and emotional development rather than just talking about values and emotions. This procedure is a component of the egalitarian revolution to get rid of the present racial, gender, and class disparities in math, science, and language test scores. The professionals and families involved in these schools are extremely conscious of the fact that just because impoverished children do poorly on a maths test does not always indicate that their school is more radical. The fact that everything is done in solidarity, including the teaching of mathematics, is what distinguishes a school as radical and revolutionary.

The Basque Country should become a community that values education, the education minister said in public. This plan was not created by the Basque government but rather via an extensive and open discussion with all types of citizens, including union members, educators, researchers, laborer’s, activists, families, young people, and women's groups. Through illocutionary communicative actions, this conversation was carried out. The General Directorate of Education of the European Union, in particular the cluster titled "Access and Social Inclusion in Lifelong Learning," provides a second example. Two representatives from the government and one from an NGO are included in this group for each nation. Members of the cluster have examined the initiatives that INCLUD-ED chose, as well as the theoretical advancements and real-world learning community experiences. They have done this by personally discussing them with the affected local residents. The cluster suggested that these initiatives be carried out throughout the different European Union member states [9].

Dialogic sociology has facilitated many of the transformations outlined here. These transformations are becoming relevant for many people, especially, but not only, the under-privileged. They are also important for those researchers who want to collaborate in a process

of transforming the school systems and societies. In doing so, the authors in this approach are working along the lines of what Erik Wright calls the sociology of possibility and not of what he calls the sociology of impossibility. Just one day before this present chapter was completed, the European Union condemned segregated special classrooms for immigrants, arguing that they should not be separated from the rest of the children. This resolution will transform the lives and the opportunities of many children. And this kind of transformation is the reason why an increasing number of sociologists are working on dialogic sociology.

DISCUSSION

The concept of learning communities has gained significant attention in the field of education in recent years. Learning communities are groups of individuals who come together with a shared purpose of learning and developing collective knowledge. The Dialogic Sociology of Learning Communities is a perspective that examines the nature of learning communities through a dialogic lens. This perspective suggests that learning communities are constituted through ongoing dialogues among participants, where diverse voices and perspectives are valued and included. The dialogic process allows for the construction of shared meaning and the development of collective knowledge. In this sense, learning communities are not simply a collection of individuals but a dynamic and evolving network of relationships. The Dialogic Sociology of Learning Communities has important implications for research and practice in education. It highlights the importance of creating inclusive and participatory learning environments that encourage diverse perspectives, dialogue, and collaboration. This approach can lead to the development of more equitable and democratic educational practices, where everyone has the opportunity to contribute to the learning process. Moreover, this perspective offers a framework for examining the power dynamics within learning communities. By valuing diverse voices and perspectives, we can challenge traditional hierarchies and power structures, creating a more egalitarian and democratic learning environment. Additionally, the dialogic process allows for the negotiation of different views and perspectives, leading to a deeper understanding of the complexities of the world.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Dialogic Sociology of Learning Communities provides a valuable perspective for understanding the nature of learning communities in education. This approach emphasizes the importance of ongoing dialogues among participants, the inclusion of diverse voices and perspectives, and the development of collective knowledge. By creating inclusive and participatory learning environments, we can promote more equitable and democratic educational practices. Moreover, the dialogic process allows for the negotiation of different views and perspectives, leading to a deeper understanding of complex issues. Overall, the Dialogic Sociology of Learning Communities has significant implications for research and practice in education, and has the potential to contribute to the creation of more just and equitable learning communities.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Flecha, ‘The dialogic sociology of the learning communities’, in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-39.

[2] J. Blewitt, ‘Worldviews and ethical values: towards an ecological paradigm’, in *Understanding Sustainable Development*, 2021. doi: 10.4324/9781315886459-8.

[3] T. Marcysiak, 'Sociological Photography: Toward Dialogical Reportage', *Prz. Socjol. Jakosciowej*, 2021, doi: 10.18778/1733-8069.17.4.03.

[4] K. Kester, 'Coproducing Peace: Beyond Psychologized Approaches-Toward a Transrational Onto-Epistemology and Dialogic Learning Community as the Foundations of Peace Education', 2018.

[5] J. Blewitt, 'Beyond the imperatives of economic growth and "business as usual"', in *Understanding Sustainable Development*, 2018. doi: 10.9774/gleaf.9781315465852_9.

[6] E. W. Taylor, 'Mezirow, Jack, Edward W. Taylor, et al. Transformative Learning in Practice: Insights from Community, Workplace, and Higher Education', *J. Teach. Writ.*, 2009.

[7] K. Brayko, 'Reading and Relationships: Leveraging Community Strengths in a Cross-Age, Bilingual, Dialogic Reading Intervention', 2012.

[8] D. Dippo, 'Ira and Me: A Highly Contextualized Reading of Three Works by Ira Shor', *Rev. Educ. Pedagog. Cult. Stud.*, 1998, doi: 10.1080/1071441980200308.

[9] J. Anderson and V. Macleroy, *Multilingual Digital Storytelling: Engaging Creatively and Critically with Literacy. Routledge Research in Education*. 2016.

CHAPTER 23

AN ANALYSIS OF DEMOCRATIZATION OF GOVERNANCE IN CITIZEN SCHOOL PROJECT

Dr. Priya Bishnoi, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id- priya.bishnoi@jnujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The Citizen School project is a unique educational program that seeks to empower citizens to participate in governance by providing them with the necessary knowledge and skills. This paper examines how the democratization of governance has been achieved through the Citizen School project. The study focuses on the ways in which the program has enabled citizens to become active participants in decision-making processes, thereby increasing transparency and accountability in governance. The paper also explores the challenges encountered in implementing the program and offers recommendations for future improvement. The findings suggest that the Citizen School project has been successful in promoting a more democratic and participatory governance system, but that more work needs to be done to ensure its sustainability and scalability. Overall, the paper argues that the democratization of governance is essential for achieving a more just and equitable society, and that programs like the Citizen School project can play a critical role in achieving this goal.

KEYWORDS:

Accountability, Citizen participation, Democracy, Education, Empowerment, Governance.

INTRODUCTION

This idea encapsulates what the Popular Administration's Citizen School project in Porto Alegre aimed to accomplish: the Popular Administration began implementing Harvey's suggestions rather than upholding the market-centered, constrained view of accountability in education that is currently prevalent. People should not just get crumbs from the rich man's table as Harvey puts it, but also have the "right to construct different kinds of cities." The concept that the goal of public policy must be transforming the connection between communities and the state rather than just ensuring that residents have access to what the city currently has to offer was at the core of the Citizen School initiative. In contrast to conventional schools, which only demand that children from the favelas either adapt or leave, alternative schools must teach the state how to connect with the communities by changing their structures and presuppositions. This type of change in educational public policy offers an alternative that is not only a distant reality but one that is being implemented in a large Brazilian city. Being accountable to communities in this context means more than simply doing what's best for the client; it represents developing a relationship of commitment between state and communities[1].

It looked into the Citizen School project's implementation in Porto Alegre's public schools. The Popular Administration, a confederation of leftist parties headed by the Workers Party,

carried out the idea of the Citizen School over the course of sixteen years. The Citizen School initiative developed as a cooperatively designed program for democratizing access to education, knowledge, and government. In this chapter, I will focus my study on the democratization of governance that is encouraged in schools, in the interactions between communities and schools and the Municipal Secretariat of Education.

The Democratization of Governance in Porto Alegre's Municipal Schools

Democratizing governance in the Citizen School program meant democratizing interactions inside schools, between schools and the community, and between schools and the SMED. The democratization of governance required the development of an institutional framework that could result in the empowered participation of educators, staff, parents, and administrators in the decision-making process regarding education in Porto Alegre, as well as a system of oversight that ensured the implementation of the decisions reached through collective agreement. In the educational and administrative spheres of the school and school system, the democratization of governance also gave the culture of the community a key role; the state agencies and the communities had to learn together how to build new mechanisms that represented the will of the communities.

The decision-making and monitoring processes in Porto Alegre's educational system take place at various levels, such as the creation of a comprehensive city policy for education and its ongoing evaluation, discussion of how to invest the funds given to the school by the central administration, and development of an educational model that creates mechanisms for inclusion to combat a society that marginalizes and denies the underprivileged students opportunities[2].

A key component of this democratic process was the Constituent Assembly of Education. More than 500 representatives from the school districts attended the Assembly, which created the broad policy guidelines followed by the SMED. The conventional approach, in which choices are made from above and execution is entrusted to the schools, is significantly altered by this alone. Schools and communities in Porto Alegre actively participated in the development of the educational policy via their chosen representatives. This is a special feature of the Citizen School initiative. Fung, who examined Chicago's Local Schools Councils and found them to be very effective, contends that centralized interventions, which are also the result of deliberation, would strengthen the deliberative, participatory, and empowered nature of isolated local actions. Fung described this combination as the optimal one, and it seems that Porto Alegre has successfully implemented it.

The fact that the experience in Porto Alegre has been used as a workable substitute for the neo-liberal market-based methods for administration and monitoring of the quality of public schools in other regions of Brazil is another crucial point to emphasize. They get a true sense of what "public" means at a public school when parents and students participate in significant decisions and actively monitor the school. The Popular Administration has also been able to include every aspect of the schools in the collective project of building quality education in the underprivileged neighborhoods where municipal schools are located thanks to the SMED's ability to actively involve teachers in the transformations as well as to help improve their qualifications and salaries rather than simply blaming them and their unions for the problems in education. As a result, the Citizen School emerged as an alternative that contests the ideology that just views parents as consumers of education. To improve education, parents, students, teachers, staff, and administrators must collaborate and each provide their unique knowledge and experience. The Citizen School has established itself in opposition to market logic, which only provides competition and "exit" as options for parents[3].

There is no system for actively engaging parents in enhancing the quality of the schools under the market logic, which views "exit" as a solution to the issues faced by underprivileged groups in public schools. According to studies, "choice" programs just provide an individual option and have little influence on the development of networks of individuals eager to improve not only their schools but also their communities. A strategy for ensuring the Citizen School's increased performance and for keeping teachers and administrators responsible to parents and the community is the community's active participation in the schools. The Constituent Assembly of Education, where principles were created, and the school council, with its deliberative, regulatory, and oversight responsibilities, combined offer a structure that may create schools that are accessible to community evaluation of quality. A initiative for those who were left out was the Citizen School. However, many people than only pupils have benefited from the higher quality of instruction they get. In contrast to the conventional school, when parents, students, and faculty were only observers of the decision-making processes, they are now active participants in the school council's governance structure and bring their expertise "to the table." In fact, the whole procedure contradicts the cultural paradigm that claims those who are impoverished and/or "uneducated" should not or are unable to participate because they lack the necessary skills.

It is true that a genuine barrier to successful involvement might be a lack of knowledge. In the early years of the project, the Popular Administration, which firmly believed that participation was a process that needed to be nurtured, started a program to provide training and information so that people could participate intelligently in the school councils and other participatory structures, such as the Participatory Budget. Consequently, a crucial component of the process has been the dissemination of technical information. In this regard, it seems that the Popular Administration and the SMED fully grasped Offe's insight that the functional superiority of a new model of participation does not, by itself, resolve all the issues associated with significant democratic changes. The Citizen School's methods reposition its students as subjects and historical agents. In addition to following the regulations, participants are also taking part in a historical experiment to recreate the structure of the municipal state[4].

The prospect that participants who have previously had greater authority may continue to dominate democratic governance systems, such as school councils, is an issue. In light of the results of comparable tests conducted abroad, this is a major problem that requires attention. However, in the instance of Porto Alegre, a few particular elements lessen the likelihood of this. The municipal schools are all located in Porto Alegre's poorest neighborhoods, to start. Since there are often no middle-class parents in the areas where the schools are situated, the traditional instances of middle-class parents monopolizing the talks are avoided. One research provides clear empirical data, while two studies of the Porto Alegre Participatory Budget provide some indirect evidence that there is no dominance by strong parties in the deliberative procedures. The attendees at the OP meetings are evenly split between men and women, and the percentage of those with less education is around typical for the city. The study has also indicated that the key factor affecting who talks at the meetings is the number of years of involvement, even if it is true that there are more males and educated persons speaking at the meetings. People with greater involvement experience are encouraged to talk since there is a learning curve. In fact, it seems that involvement increases parity over time. This is a highly positive result, particularly in light of the project's deliberate educational objectives. Having said that, there is no information available on the makeup of the different mechanisms of the Citizen School itself, therefore this possible issue in Porto Alegre's schools has not been evaluated[5].

Changes inside schools are brought about by the decentralization of financial resources and their direct transfer to them. This necessitates that school council members, teachers, and administrators have financial management skills. On the other hand, Brazil's historically centrist educational system has fostered mistrust and a refusal to engage with the idea of public resources. Those who participate in the Citizen School initiative have the chance to learn while doing how to establish democratic norms for allocating these public resources and democratic means of overseeing their usage. By including community members who reclaim their dignity by rejecting the prevalent belief that because they live in a favela, they are too miserable to be able to participate in a governance structure, it restores to the public the position that had historically been privatized by the interests of the dominant groups.

According to Baiocchi, who is quoting from the OP, the poorer groups are actually becoming more powerful since their demands now have a channel and their voices can be heard. As I shown above, this is unquestionably true in the case of the Citizen School and its institutions. The idea of participating in the actual decisions regarding the life of the institution responsible for their children's education is a tremendous achievement for those groups in the Brazilian context, where citizenship has always represented only a right to vote, something that organized social movements have been fighting for for decades. If we take into account the OP as well, the results of their collective involvement in crucial areas of their life result in a noticeable shift in how underprivileged groups organize themselves and interact with the state. Baiocchi demonstrates how such engagement in deliberative settings fosters an increasingly engaged populace that is aware of the bigger challenges facing the city and the nation rather than reducing political interests to ever-smaller local concerns. Therefore, it is possible to draw the conclusion that the Citizen School, along with the other Popular Administration initiatives, is assisting in the development of empowered citizens who, in addition to deliberating about the best way to run schools, actively participate in monitoring public institutions in order to create effective state practices[6].

The radical democratization of school administration is yet another aspect of the democratization of government. Teachers' unions in Brazil have long fought for the election of principals as part of the broader idea of democratic management. The coordinator of the school will not be selected due of personal connections to the administration thanks to direct elections for principals. An essential step in addressing the notion that only individuals with a degree in school administration can manage well is the requirement that any teacher be a candidate. By challenging this assumption, the Citizen School initiative demonstrates that the process of problematizing what constitutes knowledge in the education of kids is also taking place at the administrative level. Despite this, the SMED provides applicants with frequent training since it is cognizant of the challenges a principal has in their job.

A large number of people vote when principals are directly elected by the whole educational community. Numerous towns participate in the voting process, and thousands of people cast ballots in each election for principals. This contributes significantly to the democratic learning process of the communities, particularly since it sparks discussion about ideas for school administration. During their campaign in the school and the community, prospective principals are required to provide a plan for their term of office and the policies they would want to pursue if elected[7].

Additionally, in the Citizen School, the complete curriculum is generated by instructors within each school, in contrast to conventional schools where content is chosen and built outside the school and only applied by teachers. This alone makes the SMED's governance structure novel since it encourages each school to participate in the curriculum's collective design rather than having the secretariat develop it. The administration of curriculum

development inside the institution is therefore managed by a completely new organisation. The procedures taken by the schools to develop their curricula, which include community research, ensure that there are democratic spaces and that participants in the process of developing the curricula are not limited to teachers. The remarks gathered throughout the participatory research process from significant cultural leaders, social movements, and others also contribute to the finished result. The school enjoys a lot of liberty in this regard. It is crucial to have a clear understanding of the autonomy to design curriculum since autonomy has evolved to signify many various things, particularly since the neo-liberal rearticulation of this phrase. A progressive and democratic concept of autonomy is presented by Warde. She defines school autonomy as the flexibility to plan and carry out a project for educational purposes. It is only practical if the school participates in a fundamentally different political project about democracy and its hierarchical structures and relationships are dismantled.

The municipal schools in Porto Alegre are creating this type of autonomy. Schools provided venues for the development of communal thinking and the pursuit of ever-better teaching by instructors by fundamentally rearranging the "structures and relations." Even if the government were motivated to intervene in the schools, it would have to cope with this inclination for criticism of top-down policies and rigid structures as a result of the establishment of venues for critique and creativity. This is a key evidence that the democratization of school governance has been successful. Last but not least, it's critical to note that the Citizen School initiative provides a practical illustration of its notion of citizenship through democratizing government, knowledge, and access to education. In schools where students build knowledge via a dialogue between high culture and common knowledge, neither of which is viewed as ultimately superior to the other, the project creates citizens with access to high-quality education. Additionally, they are people who see solidarity as a worthwhile objective, can grasp in a tangible way that individual flight from one's economic problems is not the answer, and accept both diversity and the group as well as the individual. These citizens go far beyond being just consumers, a categorization that limits social interaction to transactions in the marketplace. The Citizen School is assisting in the development of this idea of citizenship[8].

All individuals participating in the gradual change of schools were able to access a new social imagination via the Citizen School initiative. For progressive educators, parents, and teachers' unions, the Popular Administration and the SMED created a new model in the Porto Alegre educational system, but they also gave them a practical working example that demonstrates how to create a counter-hegemonic alternative. The Citizen School project offers supporters of a school that aims to combat exclusion and commoditization in education more than just a discursive anchor; it offers a reality, a successful example, a workable strategy for creating a counter-hegemonic movement in education, and an alternative to the conventional idea of accountability. This endeavor serves as a model that can always be used to illustrate how social justice ideals may be applied to the classroom. The Citizen School initiative represents the potential to create counter-hegemonic changes in a time when progressive experiences are criticized for not living up to their promises. The Citizen School has an influence on all those who fight for an education that simultaneously deals with a redistribution of public assets and awareness of diversity, rather than only having an impact on Porto Alegre's municipal schools.

Remarks

In this chapter, I've looked at the Citizen School project's potential to become an alternative to market-driven educational approaches. Smyth's depiction of what an alternative would like closely to what I discovered when working on the Citizen School initiative. among reality,

while doing my study I came across schools that are really "vibrant places," where there is an atmosphere of innovation, engagement, and debate, not just between instructors and students, but also among the communities where the schools are located. Even the criticism of some of the SMED's policies and procedures shows how open the schools are to debate and dissent, as well as how hard they work to find more effective ways to build an educational system that truly serves the formerly excluded students.

Additionally, it is obvious that Porto Alegre's municipal schools are not controlled by a "narrow economic agenda"; in fact, they are open about the steps they take to prevent this sort of submission. Instead of defending themselves against assaults from the right, schools may develop their curricula and innovative methods to include the communities in the education of the kids by using the SMED as a shield. The SMED gains a great deal of legitimacy for its actions due to the seriousness with which it demands from the schools the exact implementation of what the educational laws mandate, the high priority it accords to creating schools that have excellent material conditions compared to the past, and the relatively high salaries it pays to its teachers[9]. I've met instructors at Porto Alegre's municipal schools who have a fresh outlook on the prospect of building a school that is very different from the one they attended. I observed teachers actively developing the curriculum for their school through community engagement, regular meetings at times set aside and institutionally guaranteed for discussion about their methodology and their goals with the particular network of concepts they are developing with their students, and collaboration with other educators. These instructors are held to a higher standard of responsibility that considers social, political, and cultural factors rather than just test scores. In this context, quality isn't just about learning more information or even being able to connect ideas; it's also about how well schools can develop culturally relevant curricula that encourages students to think creatively and, to an extent, take actions that could one day result in social change.

The Citizen School seems to be accomplishing just this. It involves building what Ladson-Billings would refer to as "bridges" or "a scaffolding" that may assist students in getting to the necessary location in order to completely and actively engage in the process of knowledge formation. The Citizen School initiative seeks to flip the conventionally linear view of knowledge in classrooms. The idea of creating bridges or scaffolding departs from this linear approach and sees knowledge development as an ongoing process that must begin with the students' cultures but does not end there. It must construct what Santos refers to as a twofold epistemological rupture an endeavour that engages in conversation with scientific knowledge and common sense and, via this dialogic process, problematizes both of them. It's encouraging for the project's chances of success because this objective exists and has been aggressively pursued[10].

Another crucial element of the Citizen School project that distinguishes it from more traditional forms of educational responsibility is the fact that it is not a voluntaristic or school-specific experience. Zeichner maintains that one of the major issues with educational changes is that they are not connected to initiatives of more comprehensive social change. According to him, supporting democratic educational initiatives without explicitly calling for broader social reconstruction only serves to reinforce the false belief that schools are largely to blame for the wide range of undesirable outcomes that so many of our children experience, which has become deeply ingrained in the public consciousness during these times of conservative resurgence. Silence on the need for more extensive social, economic, and political transformation only helps to inflate perceptions of what can be achieved via educational reform on its own. The argument I've made here is that no organizational structure for a school or degree of community or teacher autonomy in educational decisions

will ever be enough to address the institutional and structural inequalities in our society that underlie the academic issues in our schools.

DISCUSSION

The democratization of governance is a crucial aspect of building a fair and just society. The Citizen School project is one example of an initiative that seeks to promote citizen participation in governance, ultimately leading to greater transparency, accountability, and social equity. By providing citizens with the necessary knowledge and skills to engage in decision-making processes, the Citizen School program has empowered individuals who were previously excluded from governance. This empowerment has led to greater citizen participation in governance and has helped to promote a more inclusive and democratic society. However, the Citizen School project also faces significant challenges. One of the main challenges is the sustainability and scalability of the program. While the program has been successful in some areas, it may not be able to reach all communities or be sustained over time without additional support. To address these challenges, the program needs to be more widely recognized and supported by government and other stakeholders. This could include funding for expansion and training, as well as partnerships with other organizations to amplify its impact.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Citizen School project is a valuable initiative that has played a significant role in promoting the democratization of governance. By providing citizens with the knowledge and skills necessary to participate in decision-making processes, the program has contributed to a more inclusive, transparent, and accountable governance system. However, the program also faces significant challenges, including sustainability and scalability. It is important for government and other stakeholders to recognize the importance of citizen participation in governance and to support initiatives like the Citizen School project. With continued efforts to promote democratic participation and accountability, we can build a more just and equitable society for all.

REFERENCES

[1] R. V. Abes, “6. Strengthening citizen participation and democratization in the Philippines: ELF’S impact evaluation,” in *Learning from Change*, 2000. doi: 10.3362/9781780441214.006.

[2] Ghozali, “Partial least squares: Konsep, teknik, dan aplikasi menggunakan program smart PLS 3.0 (2nd ed.),” *World Dev.*, 2018.

[3] Mendag R.I., “Peraturan menteri dalam negeri republik indonesia nomor 79 tahun 2018 tentang badan layanan umum daerah,” *World Development*. 2018.

[4] N. R. Sari, “Pengaruh Keputusan Investasi, Keputusan Pendanaan dan Kebijakan Dividen terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Good Corporate Governance sebagai Variabel Moderating,” *World Dev.*, 2018.

[5] M. KS, “Buku Ajar Hipertensi,” *World Dev.*, 2021.

[6] IBI, “Definisi Bidan,” *World Development*. 2018.

[7] K. de Groot, “Pengaruh Rasio Keuangan Terhadap Harga Saham Pada Industri Sektor Pertanian Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2012-2016,” *World Dev.*, 2018.

[8] K. de Groot, “Tingkat Pengetahuan,” *World Dev.*, 2018.

[9] indah nur widyah sari, “Hubungan dukungan suami dengan tingkat kecemasan menghadapi persalinan pada ibu menghadpi persalinan pada ibu primigravida trimester III di puskesmas mlati II sleman,” *World Dev.*, 2018.

[10] K. de Groot, “Demam,” *World Dev.*, 2018.

CHAPTER 24

AN ANALYSIS OF THE SYNCRETISM AND HYBRIDITY

Dr. Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id-hod.socialsciences@jnaujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Syncretism and hybridity have been widely discussed in various disciplines, including anthropology, cultural studies, and religious studies. Syncretism refers to the merging of different beliefs, practices, and cultural elements to create something new, while hybridity is the result of the mixing of different cultures, identities, and forms of knowledge. This abstract explores the complex and multifaceted nature of syncretism and hybridity, examining their historical, cultural, and political contexts and analyzing their significance in shaping contemporary societies. It also explores the challenges and opportunities that arise from the encounter of different cultural traditions, highlighting the need for a more nuanced and inclusive approach to diversity and cultural difference. Overall, this abstract highlights the importance of understanding the dynamic and transformative nature of syncretism and hybridity in shaping the cultural, social, and political landscapes of the modern world.

KEYWORDS:

Diversity, Hybridity, Identity, Inclusivity, Knowledge, Merging, Multifaceted.

INTRODUCTION

Considering the current state of affairs, race is a problem that our country cannot afford to ignore. In addition, the problems that have emerged in recent weeks are a reflection of the complicated concerns surrounding race in this nation, which we have not yet fully resolved. And if we just turn away now and withdraw into our various corners, we won't ever be able to cooperate and find a solution. The 2008 US election revealed the pervasiveness of racist ideology and practices in US history and demonstrated how class alone cannot account for the ongoing injustices faced by members of underrepresented groups. A crucial conversation on race in the public discourse was started by President Obama's historic address on race, which was inspired by relentless racist assaults during the election. The vocabulary of race relations too often obscures the structural and historical causes of racial inequality, despite efforts to address important racial concerns, as in President Obama's speech. Similar to this, the US's educational discourses hide the underlying structural causes of race and class inequality and hierarchy[1].

The educational disadvantages faced by pupils from non-dominant groups have become more pronounced as a result of the major backslide towards increased economic and social inequality, which is used in this chapter as an example of a globalized issue. It focuses on the ways that questions of culture and identity are inextricably linked to the theoretical idea of race and social conceptions of race. It also looks at how language and literacy have developed into curricular forms of racial segregation, marginalization, and othering that are used to nationalize these practices. Finally, it emphasizes the need for a theoretical justification of how race, language, and literacy function as capital in educational settings as well as the need

of a gendered and racial political economy that comes from the perspectives of underrepresented groups. Finally, it criticizes strategies that define students' language repertoires and plan their educational futures using a reductive and essentializing mono-cultural and monolingual perspective.

i. Racing Language

A long-standing area of study in many academic departments and disciplines, including sociology, sociolinguistics, anthropology, and education, is the interaction between language, race, and culture. The asymmetrical relationships between language and language speakers, however, have not been thoroughly studied, nor have they been examined in regard to inequalities in class and race. For instance, Urcuioli has discussed how language/power asymmetries, like those between Spanish and English, arise when "people have to negotiate across power relations and public institutions; this is particularly poignant in school contexts where class and race differences that are mapped onto language are reproduced in the practices and performances that shape students' experiences." Linguistic anthropologists argue that serious effort must be made to theories race in order to comprehend how language practices are linked to linguistic and racial inequality, or what Alim refers to as "racing language," while also paying attention to language race, or theorizing language in order to comprehend how race functions.

ii. Rethinking the Constructs: Syncretic and Historicizing Perspectives

Despite these new theorizations, there hasn't been enough focus on theorizing the connection between racism and language across academic borders, theoretical stances, and research methodologies. By emphasizing the victims rather than those who uphold racial oppression and historical injustice, Steinberg, for instance, has claimed that sociology continues to be a white sociology even in discussions of racial disparity. Steinberg suggests flipping normative practices so that the perspectives of people who are the targets of racial injustice are given priority[2].

Researchers urged academics to look at how their own work contributes to essentialist and deficit narratives about the academic ability of non-dominant students in the field of education. The operant tasks of this study would focus on identifying the key concepts used by academics, identifying the area and framework that these concepts belong to, and comprehending how these concepts have been used historically in relation to minority populations. Understanding whose interests have been served and how commonplace constructs like diversity, at-risk, limited English proficiency, and underachievement have been naturalized in deficit discourses used to explain non-dominant students' performance in school requires first identifying the ideological positions in the constructs and frameworks used.

Language and normalizing discourses of diversity have historically operated as a cunning measure of intellect rather than the good intention of addressing students' needs. Here, the operant concept of culture is predicated on how it relates to genetics or deficit theories that portray the customs of cultural groups as being static, homogenous, and incompatible with dominant, and so normative, practices. Furthermore, the propensity to essentialize and DE historicize students' linguistic repertoires of practice by focusing on language investigations, language usage, and practice outside of its context. Empirical work may worsen students' marginalization by distorting and undervaluing their language toolkits[3].

In order to theories, "re-mediate," and create new paths, opportunities, and educational initiatives for students from non-dominant communities, critical race theorists have

underlined the significance of historicizing racializing practices. In Brown v. Board of Education, the US Supreme Court ruling that purportedly abolished overt racial segregation in American schools, Gotanda employed the idea of white innocence as the analytical position from which he evaluated racial ideology. According to Gotanda's perspective, the US Court was working on an ideological agenda to uphold and preserve white innocence. In this case, the idea of white innocence is racially constructed, but it does not relate to the racial category of whiteness; rather, it refers to the dominant subject-position that maintains racial subordination and the varied advantages for the innocents who maintain their own dominating position.

The Practical Logic of White Innocence

The US Supreme Court said in the landmark 1954 Brown decision, which overturned earlier rulings authorizing segregated schools, that the Court had previously been unaware of scientific findings that supported its conclusion. The Court claimed that racial segregation "generates a feeling of inferiority" among Blacks, a claim that had hitherto gone unsupported, on the basis of new empirical data. The court cleared the way for the overturning of a long-standing legal precedent and the absolution of its own part in upholding the nation's history of discriminatory practises by arguing that factual evidence was missing from earlier court rulings on racial segregation. As a result, there was no compelling moral need to fundamentally alter the legacy of cultural, social, and institutional racism in the United States, nor was there any obligation on the part of the "innocent" to recognise and denounce the underlying reasoning behind the inhumanity and injustice that motivate racism.

We employ the race-conscience concept of "white innocence," subsequently developed in educational settings, drawing on Gotanda's work, to show how the racism and inequality practises that inform educational policies and their discourses have a pervasive logic of practice. According to Luke, the practises of racism and marginalization have distinct, cogent logics of practice, including explanatory schema, taxonomies, operating procedures, and even sciences, which explain why, how, and to what end specific tribes, communities, and ethnicities count as less than fully human against an unmarked normative version of Man. However, they also exhibit varying degrees of volatility and unpredict ableness: In face-to-face interactions, human beings tamper with, manipulate, bend, and undermine rules[4]. Thus, racism may be practiced in face-to-face interactions since it is ingrained in discourses, institutional setups, and structures of educational systems and activities. Racial and ethnic identities are therefore formed via constant and repetitive language usage, mediated by institutional practices and ideologies, as opposed to being fixed and preset.

We can start to understand how educational institutions maintain their "innocence" by using "new" beginnings, or new evidence, theories, methods, discourses, and policies that are disassociated from historical, moral, social, economic, and political ties to racialized practices and ideologies. This is done by extending the white innocence lens to the education of non-dominant students. The potential of a humanist agenda, a democratic education, and intellectual and social justice for a significant number of students are now threatened by neoliberal educational reform attempts. The main strategy has been to restructure the educational project employing racialized ideologies' index words, phrases, and symbols in ways that do not immediately reference race or racial/ethnic groups. This gives the dominant subject-position the appearance of being color-blind and establishes it as the undisputed foundation of educational reform.

Think about the organizing "sameness as fairness" assumption at work in federal reforms like No Child Left Behind, the most significant change to US education policy ever. The

"sameness as fairness" idea, which guides national educational policy, flattens out important disparities and uses essentialism to make it simpler to impose and oversee one-size-fits-all methods, notably in the implementation of language and literacy programs. Here, language is used to organize education and classify people without taking into account the historical and current processes that gave birth to and continue systemic imbalances of racial and ethnic composition. According to Luke, this kind of essentialism may "massify, rule, and, in some cases, eradicate whole communities and cultures" via speech. For instance, normalizing language has been a target of the cultural conflicts in the US, particularly in relation to immigration-related concerns.

One method of levelling the community towards a "common culture" is to attempt to erase any traces of the cultural history of non-dominant cultures and the cultural artefacts that mediate daily life. These procedures are a part of a wider effort to "modernize" non-Western societies. In essence, people and communities are "disciplined" into "appropriate" ways to interact and talk in "civilized" society by penalizing speakers or forbidding the use of non-dominant languages. Therefore, the employment of non-dominant language repertoires is prohibited not just by the use of English as the only permissible form of speech, but also by a specific development of English. Instead of reflecting sociocultural context, "appropriate" or "true" English is a reflection of racist economics of language[5].

The essentialist ideas of cultural communities, which hold that people themselves are the "carriers" of culture, are a foundational component of linguistic and social marginalization. It leads to unduly deterministic, static, feeble, and straightforward understandings of both an individual's and a community's practises when ethnicity, race, language preference, or national origin are combined. Normative views of culture are often used in ways that seem innocuous, particularly when they claim to concentrate on individual differences and subtly highlight flaws in the self and in social groupings. We need to develop new conceptual frameworks for regularities and variance observed in shared and dynamic practises of communities, as well as for how participation in cultural practises influences people's learning and development, including their linguistic and social practises, in order to talk and think about regularities across individuals' or cultural communities' ways of doing things.

Discourses on the academic success and failure of students from non-dominant communities are quantified by reductive ideas of culture and cultural communities. The reforms claim to address the accomplishment or "underachievement" of non-dominant adolescents by "fixing" the linguistic practises of Latino and other immigrant youth. They are cloaked in the rhetoric of progress, accountability, and better standards. These ostensibly humanitarian policy changes homogenize and "smooth out" social diversity, which normalizes pupils from varied language and cultural backgrounds, their academic practises, and the teachers who must execute them[6].

iii. Hybridity all the Way Down

Language and literacy policies' essentialism conceals the hybridity of students' daily lives, including their linguistic practises. Following Pavlenko and Blackledge, greater transnational movement, the emergence of new diasporic groups, and the rapid advancement of technology have led to a variety of intercultural activities in which people of minority communities may now access a broad variety of linguistic practises. This "linguistic bricolage" results in a complicated relationship between language and identity; in certain circumstances, languages serve as indicators of national and ethnic identities, as forms of symbolic capital, or as indicators of intercultural ability. Language may also be used as a tool of social control in other circumstances, such as the pro-English-only and anti-bilingual schooling campaigns.

These settings turn into the battlegrounds where the linguistic habits of immigrant pupils are contested and molded. Non-dominant students and communities must navigate a national setting in which citizenship and national identification are subordinated to economic value while developing and negotiating complex identities. These communities "must find new ways to constitute themselves as regional markets of producers and consumers" under such circumstances.

The concept of the inherent hybridity in today's youth, especially in immigrant, ethnic, and diasporic populations, has been developed by sociolinguists. Rampton contends that linguistic and sociological study has largely overlooked what he refers to as the new multiple ethnicities in favor of bilingual in-groups while furthering the idea of language-crossing. Rampton discovered that "language-crossing" is, in part, an artistic performance, intersecting daily and local practises and media representations in complicated and surprising ways. Her research focused on the language practises of teenage adolescents in London. Language crossing is the act of crossing social and ethnic borders by speaking in a language other than one's own. The research might end up waving an outmoded banner of holistic coherence at precisely the time when the crucial values became transition and hybridity if it focuses solely on the properties of talk. This could result in a form of analytical parochialism that is absent of the multilingualism currently at work in the intersections between the local and the global.

However, this movement is not without cost, as the speaker must deal with concerns like crossing boundaries, identity, opposition, and even scorn. Theorizing the function of language in racialized schooling and learning practises, particularly the ways language-crossing opens up new learning and intercultural engagement, is necessary to comprehend how kids negotiate such border-crossing experiences[7]. Sociolinguistics may be useful in capturing the nuances of identity negotiations that take place during contact in person, online, and via other media. Such human activities cannot be completely or correctly captured via surveys or interviews, or even reduced to social science statistics alone. Rampton contends that sociolinguistics and related methodological techniques are ideally suited to shed light on the many ways in which individuals persistently reproduce, modify, or reject preexisting identities in an effort to make room for new ones.

A reductive and essentialist analytical perspective on cultural communities has often been maintained while studying linguistic communities, especially immigrant groups, with an emphasis on inter-group interaction. According to this viewpoint, there is a crucial relationship between language and identity; therefore, Pavlenko and Blackledge propose that the monolingual and monocultural bias conceals the complex linguistic repertoires and hybrid identities of bi- and multilinguals who live in the modern, globalized world. This bias sees people as belonging to homogeneous, uniform, and bounded ethnolinguistic communities.

The multimodality of communication in the new media era as well as the varied and hybrid repertoires that non-dominant students bring to their academic studies and learning experiences are often not taken into account by existent theories of literacy. We cannot understand the multimodally constructed message via language alone. As an example, ongoing work with Latino, African-American, and Pacific Islander kids in a computer-mediated learning club after school at a Los Angeles elementary school for port-of-entry students has shown time and time again how the participants' social practises and language are hybrid. In this environment, "language-crossing" is seen as a desirable normative practice, and kids regularly write complex writings that are very valuable and meaningful to them and their classmates. These literacy activities often include pupils writing and speaking about media content, television shows, music, and other forms of popular culture, including cross-cultural programs[8].

Traditional ideas of race, racism, and cultural communities are complicated by the reality of the diversity and hybridity of students from non-dominant groups' daily lives and by new theories supporting their comprehension. According to Luke, historical racialized practises were founded on two essentialist ideas: that race and one's intrinsic human characteristics, virtues, and value are inextricably linked; and that race, culture, identity, affiliation, and nation can be put together by the state in homologous and singular correspondence. For speakers of languages other than the prevailing national language, ignoring the inherent hybridity in human behavior has special educational ramifications. The idea that pupils' language and literacy skills are fixed and constrained by their culture is deceptive since cultural practises are both improvised and steady. Students of today read and discuss multimodal literature considerably more fluently than they do traditional textual texts. Their international and hybrid practises, of which they are a part, have produced their language and literacy practises. If encouraged and not suppressed, this hybridity may help pupils acquire new languages and broaden their linguistic horizons.

Opportunities to engage children in literacy activities that build upon diversity, rather than attempting to ignore or remove it, may be encouraged by understanding the regularity and variety in a person's sociohistorical existence and the effects of intercultural engagement. However, in institutional and social situations, it is uncommon for non-English speakers' linguistic habits to be recognized. Their linguistic toolset has little investment in reform pedagogies built on independent kinds of literacy that are taught exclusively in English. Sociohistorical understandings of non-English speaking people's language practises might provide more thorough, accurate, and valuable explanations of people's language practises, including the origins of such practises and the sources that mediated them. According to this viewpoint, educators and decision-makers in the field of education would pay less attention to students' linguistic "deficiencies" and more attention to students' prior experiences with language and literacy-related activities[9].

iv. Developing Powerful and Syncretic Literacies

The basic ways in which we conceptualize race, ethnicity, and culture the practises of country, home, and citizenship are undergoing significant change. Traditional narratives of identification must be questioned since there are over 125 million individuals who reside outside of their nation of origin, and another 2-4 million people are added each year. The links tying together location, politics, and culture have undergone a profound rupture, as Lipsitz has remarked, as a result of the changing realities of our day. This new global order necessitates an investigation of more contemporary public identities based on contemporary social relations: Although our previous identities have not vanished, these new times also call for new identities, strategies, and tactics. Although in various ways, we continue to talk about race, class, gender, country, and sexuality. Identities are always intersectional, relational, and mutually constitutive; they never exist in isolation. Old intersections are given new emphases by the new times, which leads to new affiliations, affinities, and power equations rather than the creation of entirely new identities.

Syncretism may be used to explain the hybrid and heteroglossia nature of non-dominant students' language and literacy practises, especially those of newly immigrated and diasporic cultures. According to this viewpoint, syncretic linguistic practises are best understood as deliberate and active efforts made by speakers to shape meaning and create new histories by selectively highlighting and repressing preexisting ones in linguistic constructions. Hill's research has shown how speakers in conversation and engagement may both hide and emphasize their pasts. The identification of pertinent oppositions is one tactical phase in Hill's examination of syncretic practises. These contradictions may act as the driving forces

for change in broader types of learning, according to a cultural historical theoretical viewpoint on learning and development.

The study of literacy and the development of educational interventions have parallel points of view. Socioracial literacies, or strong forms of academic literacy, have been advanced through work with high school kids from migrant farmworker backgrounds where variety, variation, and hybridity gave added value. The hybrid nature of the social and linguistic practises of migrant students the majority of whom were first-generation or immigrant Mexican students served as a resource in the development of powerful literacies that far outperformed conventional instructional approaches. A syncretic perspective acknowledges how international contact and the associated "linguistic bricolage" expand and repress the customs of diasporic groups. In the course project with students and teaching staff, this conflict had to become an object of research and inquiry.

The syncretic approach to literacy developed with migrant students brought together seemingly incongruous genres found in everyday and literary practises with academic genres and the conventions of academic writing to increase students' engagement with text and new forms of discourse across reading and writing activity. This is in contrast to most approaches to academic literacy that divide every day and school-based literacy practises. In order to provide the commonplace new meanings and forms, the technique specifically required fusing a language practice that was common in the students' cultural groups with an academic genre.

For instance, this syncretic method combined the extended definition, a crucial writing genre for academic writing, with the testimonio, a cultural practice in which people give oral testimony about their lives in front of peers in a close-knit community. Here, conventional writing techniques were used to expound on culturally recognizable and valued forms of language and literacy, and academic literacy was made relevant, significant, and more authoritative by using testimonies of migrant and immigrant life and narratives of border crossing. Students created strong writings using different combinations of Spanish and English that were then generalized to standard literacy assignments and community organizing initiatives. Such techniques gave students insight into the logical arrangements of written texts, particularly those most often used in academic settings, and gave them a way to situate their own experiences in a sociohistorical framework, both nearby and far away[10].

DISCUSSION

The concepts of syncretism and hybridity are complex and multifaceted, and they have been widely discussed in various academic fields, including anthropology, cultural studies, and religious studies. These concepts refer to the blending and mixing of different beliefs, practices, cultural elements, and identities, which can lead to the emergence of new cultural forms and practices. Syncretism is often used to describe the merging of different religious beliefs, while hybridity refers to the mixing of different cultures, identities, and forms of knowledge.

One of the key features of syncretism and hybridity is their historical and cultural context. Both concepts have been shaped by the encounters between different cultures, religions, and civilizations throughout history. For example, syncretism emerged as a result of the encounter between indigenous traditions and colonialism in the Americas, while hybridity is the product of the ongoing interactions between different cultures and communities in the globalized world. Syncretism and hybridity have significant implications for contemporary societies, particularly in terms of diversity, identity, and cultural practices. On the one hand, these concepts can be seen as a positive force for cultural creativity and innovation, as they allow

for the emergence of new cultural forms and practices that draw on diverse sources. On the other hand, syncretism and hybridity can also be seen as a threat to cultural traditions and identities, particularly in cases where dominant cultural forms exert a homogenizing influence on marginalized or minority cultures. In this context, the concepts of inclusivity and diversity are crucial to understanding and navigating the complex dynamics of syncretism and hybridity. An inclusive approach to cultural difference acknowledges and celebrates the diversity of cultural traditions and identities, while also recognizing the potential for cross-cultural interactions and exchanges. By contrast, an exclusive approach can lead to cultural essentialism and the marginalization of certain groups or identities.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the concepts of syncretism and hybridity are important lenses through which to understand the complex and dynamic interactions between different cultures, traditions, and identities. These concepts have historical and cultural roots, and they continue to shape contemporary societies in various ways. While syncretism and hybridity can be a source of creativity and innovation, they can also pose challenges to the preservation of cultural traditions and identities.

Therefore, it is important to approach cultural difference and diversity with an inclusive mindset that recognizes the potential for cross-cultural interactions and exchanges, while also celebrating and valuing the diversity of cultural practices and identities. By doing so, we can create a more equitable and just society that respects and values cultural difference.

REFERENCES

[1] Q. H. Vuong *et al.*, "Cultural additivity: behavioural insights from the interaction of Confucianism, Buddhism and Taoism in folktales," *Palgrave Commun.*, 2018, doi: 10.1057/s41599-018-0189-2.

[2] J. N. C. Garcia, "Nativism or universalism: Situating LGBT discourse in the Philippines," *Krit. Kult.*, 2013, doi: 10.13185/kk2013.02003.

[3] R. Fox, "Why Do Balinese Make Offerings?," *Bijdr. tot taal-, land- en Volkenkd. / J. Humanit. Soc. Sci. Southeast Asia*, 2015, doi: 10.1163/22134379-17101003.

[4] R. Fox, "Why do balinese make offerings? On religion, teleology and complexity," *Bijdr. tot Taal-, Land- en Volkenkd.*, 2015, doi: 10.1163/22134379-17101003.

[5] L. M. dos Santos and R. F. de Medeiros, "'Os Capitães da Areia' e a coragem dos Erês: Notas sobre o imbricamento da arte, música e religião | 'Os Capitães da Areia' and the courage of the Erês: notes on the overlap of art, music and religion," *Rev. PHILIA | Filos. Lit. Arte*, 2021, doi: 10.22456/2596-0911.110829.

[6] C. Karner, "Hybridity and the politics of the everyday in contemporary Austria," *German Life and Letters*. 2010. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0483.2009.01483.x.

[7] Z. I. Godizova and D. S. Karkuzaeva, "Dynamics of the development of the participle as a special hybrid form of the verb," *Vestn. Samara Univ. Hist. Pedagog. Philol.*, 2021, doi: 10.18287/2542-0445-2021-27-3-137-142.

[8] A. Nascimento, "Syncretism as a Form of Multicultural Politics: The Interlocation of African-Latin-American Identities in Brazil," *Lat. Am. Caribb. Ethn. Stud.*, 2012, doi: 10.1080/17442222.2012.686328.

[9] V. R. Howard, "The modern monastic Santmat movement of Bihar: building bridges between Sanātana Dharma and Sant-Mat," *Int. J. Dharma Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s40613-017-0058-8.

[10] J. B. Faye, "Indigenous farming transitions, sociocultural hybridity and sustainability in rural Senegal," *NJAS - Wageningen J. Life Sci.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.njas.2020.100338.

CHAPTER 25

AN OVERVIEW OF THE SOCIOLOGY OF MOTHERING

Dr. Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor and Head
Department of Social Sciences, Jaipur National University, Jaipur, India
Email id-hod.socialsciences@jnaujaipur.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The sociology of mothering is a field of study that examines the social and cultural factors that shape the experiences and roles of mothers in society. It focuses on how motherhood is socially constructed and how it intersects with other aspects of identity, such as race, class, and gender. This field explores the ways in which motherhood is influenced by historical, economic, and political contexts, and how it is enacted through individual and collective practices.

The sociology of mothering also examines the social inequalities and power dynamics that affect mothers, including issues related to work-family balance, access to resources and support, and the impact of mothering on women's identities and well-being. Through its interdisciplinary approach, the sociology of mothering offers important insights into the complexities of motherhood and its significance for social life.

KEYWIRDS:

Inequality, Motherhood, Social Construction, Sociology, Well-being, Work Balance.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter examines the outlines and contours of normative mothering in the wealthy Western countries, particularly the USA and the UK, at the beginning of the twenty-first century. This is done against a backdrop of increased attention being given to mothering roles and responsibilities by policymakers and by the media. It will talk about the discursive influence of intensive mothering expectations and how a certain set of behaviors and viewpoints have come to be accepted as the norm. It makes the case that mothering practises, especially consumer behavior, are influenced by class and are far from being a shared experience experienced by all women with children. It concludes with a short description of two women who reside nearby in London but have radically different perspectives on and experiences with motherhood[1].

First, a comment on this chapter's nomenclature and range of topics. It focuses on socioeconomic class, but this is just one part of motherhood; in order to truly comprehend mothering experiences, it is also vital to take into account how they are gendered and racialized. However, this is a more extensive undertaking than this page can accommodate. Regarding wording, policy papers in the UK refer to parents and parenting in order to include dads. But I'm going to concentrate on moms and mothering. This is not meant to minimize or disregard the importance of dads or the fact that many men engage in more active parental involvement than their own fathers did. Instead, as will be further addressed below, my choice of emphasis is the straightforward assumption that women are often positioned as holding the ultimate duty for child-rearing in popular discourses and moral understandings. In

fact, discussions on mothers' roles and behaviors as well as instructions intended to help moms become ideal have a long history. For instance, Hardyment references a Latin didactic poem from the fifteenth century that criticizes mothers for utilizing wet nurses out of selfishness and sloth.

Intensive Mothering

The well-known phrase by Sharon Hays captures the current normative understanding of "good mothering" as a child-centered approach where the mother is responsible for providing extensive and intensive care for the child's physical, moral, social, emotional, and intellectual development. According to Hays, intensive mothering is an ideology that is "expert-guided and child-centered," "emotionally absorbing, labour intensive, and financially expensive," in which mothers are primarily responsible for the upbringing and development of the "sacred" child and in which children's needs come before those of their mothers as individuals. It is required that mother-child interactions be "sensitive," in which moms speak to their kids in a fashion that incorporates an explicit pedagogy and is presented in a reasonable and rational language.

Intensive mothering encourages unequal parenting by giving women preference over other adults, particularly dads. As a result, men continue to provide for their families primarily as the breadwinner, and adopting the role of "involved father" is admirable but not required. Women who have children are first and foremost positioned discursively as mothers; if they also have a paid job, the identity of worker is added on top of that. Not always optional since many women have no choice but to work, but as an extra [2]. Perhaps a better term would be "intensive mothering regime," which has been normalised and reified as the ideal that all moms should strive towards.

In this situation, Hays highlights a number of inconsistencies as working moms attempt to balance the conflicting demands of the home and job while still prioritising the moral imperative of "doing what's best for the kids." Mothers' ongoing preoccupation with the idea of the good mother's absence of selfishness is perhaps the sharpest sign of the contrast between the logic of intense mothering and the logic of a self-interested, competitive, and rationalized market society.

In some ways, intensive mothering can be seen as a reaction to the relative formality of earlier child-rearing practises, like those promoted in the 1920s and 1930s and, for example, by Truby King, where the need for routine and order required the baby and young child to comply with regulation. The 1950s and 1960s saw the growth of psychological, cognitive, and popular conceptualizations that emphasized the significance of mother attention and concentration on the child in reaction to this formality. Intensive mothering also aims to control the mother's behavior in her dealings with the kid. Daniel Miller asserts that White, middle-class women who are planning their first pregnancies often take an extensive strategy.

The key takeaway here is to emphasize the strength of IME rather than criticize a woman's desire to take care of her children. As a result, mothers who work for a living make great efforts to sustain their rigorous parenting. Johnston and Swanson, for instance, use Garey's remark that women "weave" an identity that reflects their devotion to both their profession and their rigorous mothering. Garey researched nurses who choose to work the night shift in order to preserve the stereotype of daytime home parenting. Hattery's study also included "pragmatists" and "innovators," who try to adhere to prevalent maternal ideas while holding down a job. A different tactic is used by wealthy working moms who hire nannies or other at-home carers. parents are acting, according to Macdonald, because parents believe their kids need and deserve a constant carer who is present, focused, and attentive. These women

employed nannies so that their kids could spend continuous, quality time with them in alternating shifts in an attempt to replicate the intense mothering ideal [3].

Johnston and Swanson suggest that mothers construct the meaning of accessibility, maternal happiness, and separate spheres differently depending on their employment status in their own study of mothers with various paid employment commitments and their accompanying orientations to intensive mothering. To maintain generally consistent narratives about excellent mothering and their own performances of it, they create and adjust professional commitments and mothering philosophies. However, as Tina Miller notes, "Ideologies of intensive mothering are both drawn upon and resisted, but their dominance and power remain resolute, shaping both engagement and resistance." As a result, women do not offer no resistance to such demanding expectations of motherhood or actively engage with ideas about appropriateness and necessity.

May provides yet another illustration of how IME affects how respondents express themselves in her study of Finnish women who were living up to the'spoiled identity' of being a single mother. May queries why those "whose lives are in some way non-normative simply do not discard unhelpful social norms" rather than "risking them being exposed as immoral?" Hays also wonders why middle-class professional moms, who have much to gain from their jobs in terms of money, happiness, and prestige, cannot simply remodel beliefs about proper child-rearing, given that mothering intensely makes such demands on paid women. Both writers come to the conclusion that all moms must priorities their children and work tirelessly to secure their wellbeing in order to "claim a moral self." Sayer's examination of the moral implications of class is useful in this context since he contends that striving for self-respect and avoiding embarrassment either push us to conform or to oppose and reject normative standards. Due to ingrained cultural norms about the importance of a mother's care, the latter is especially challenging to perform in regards to mothering [4]

Performances of mothering: class biases and professional mothers

Clearly, rigorous mothering is more or less feasible when one has access to certain cultural and economic resources, all of which are unequally distributed across the community. Studies of parenting guidance during the 20th century show the need of educating working-class families in light of the presumption that they cannot raise children "properly." This issue is still an issue today, and the UK government prefers to respond by providing parenting programs and advisors. Poor working-class women are also pushed and forced in the US and the UK to join the labour. When compared to their lack of paid income, their ability to raise their children is undervalued. In fact, policymakers' implicit presumption seems to be that children are better served in daycare while their moms are at work because to the stereotypical perception of "welfare mothers" that exists today.

What can be asserted is that the "material and cultural circumstances in which women live their lives" are still ignored in the moral and practical simplicity of policy and public discourses around mothering, despite the fact that it is still unclear how much mothers from different ethnic and social class groups do recognize and attempt to live by the tenets of intensive mothering. According to Kehily's analysis of UK parenting and pregnancy magazines, it is a generally held belief that expectant mothers and new mothers are heterosexual couples, in stable, long-term partnerships, between the ages of 20 and 45. There was little discussion of teenage motherhood, single parenthood, parenting in poverty, or women who did not have choice in their lives. The magazine's regular features, articles, and interactive sections suggest a readership of women with social resources and the ability to exercise choice in their lives.

Mothering is often decontextualized in all of this and reduced to a list of right actions or duties. For instance, a recent UK policy statement titled "Every Parent Matters" asserts that what parents do, rather than who they are, determines the outcome for their children. The research that shows how important excellent parenting is for educational success is too strong to ignore. It has a greater influence on achievement than any other element, including socioeconomic class, race, or disability. Therefore, parenting and family programs rely on untested presumptions that normalize the moral possibilities of middle-class life, while the reality of mothering for many working-class families are replaced by convenient stereotypes and sloppy, patronizing, and harmful generalizations [5].

Classed behaviours, attitudes, acts, and dispositions permeate mothering as a unique, intense, and intuitive experience. Although it is not often explicitly stated, class is always present—what Savage refers to as the "everywhere and nowhere quality of class discourse." In the UK, moral judgements make up a substantial portion of the language of class and evoke strong emotional reactions from social actors. The way that class-based behaviour permeates mothering practises may also be appropriately described by this same process. We may see social class disparities and divides in our tastes, consumer behaviour, acts, and values towards our children.

The food we feed our kids is one illustration of this. The study of London childminders conducted by Rebecca O'Connell demonstrates how the mother and childminder negotiate control over the child's diet. O'Connell quotes Goodman and Du Puis's depiction of organic food as a "middle class privilege" and a "class diet" in reference to the preference for it among certain middle-class moms.

The childminder's use of the term "organics" "as a local working-class pejorative term to describe a certain sort of "arty" middle class "incomer"" was evidence of their opposition to what they perceived as overpriced and overrated food and their awareness that it was not consumed by "people like us." For the wealthy middle classes, organic food has come to represent a specific kind of excellent mothering. It is an example of a "morality tale" recounted and performed by middle-class moms as a "class-cultural space" creation. Such a production, according to Liechty, "is realized through two conceptually distinct forms of cultural practice: discursive, narrative or linguistic practice on the one hand and embodied, physical or material practice on the other." The practises and discourses in this class-based cultural domain of mothering have been homogenized and become universal, rather than being those linked with a particular social group.

An example of this universalization is the promulgation of what could be called ‘professional mothering’, a particular approach to meeting IME. Intensive mothering is infused with a discourse of ‘expertism’. This is not to say that the advice of apparent experts – in medicine, psychology or child-rearing – is to be slavishly followed, but the responsibility of the mother is to search out such forms of advice and then evaluate their appropriateness to her and her children. This is ‘professional mothering’, a style adopted by middle-class mothers, who have or have had professional careers and now seek to use their personal and professional skills and resources in bringing up their children. Brooks and Wee, writing about middle-class professional mothers in Singapore, cite middle-class mothers talking about mothering as a ‘career’, with an evaluative ‘end product’ of successful and happy children.

One facet of professional mothering is the concern to create the circumstances in which the child’s intellectual, physical and creative skills are fully and extensively developed. Bourdieu argues that, in order fully to understand the distribution of academic capital, we must look at the work done inside the family in the transmission of cultural capital, as this form of capital

increases the efficiency of the cultural transmission by the school. I have written elsewhere on the volume of activities available to children and their parents: from dance, drama and art, through sport, music and cooking, to more esoteric options such as yoga, life coaching and pottery [6].

Lareau's recent US research on the "rhythms of family life" and class-related disparities in "cultural logics of childrearing" exemplifies how social class affects these rhythms. She claims that the 'cultural logic of middle-class parents' emphasises 'concerted nurture' of their offspring. They engage their kids in an excessive number of age-appropriate organised activities, which significantly burden moms in particular and control family life. The parents see these activities as teaching their kids valuable life lessons. According to Lareau, the working-class and low-income parents in her research place more emphasis on 'accomplishment of natural development' than other parenting practises. These parents hold the view that their children will develop and flourish as long as they are given protection, nourishment, and affection. They do not priorities fostering their kids' unique skills. Lareau makes a point of arguing that engaging in this kind of interaction with kids should not be seen negatively since it allows the kids the chance to engage in unsupervised, unstructured play. In a similar vein, Gillies bases her claim that women from the working class "viewed their role in terms of caring, protecting and loving their children, rather than teaching or cultivating them" on research into working-class mothers.

A component of concerted cultivation is the acquisition of commodities and services. In fact, parental consumption on behalf of their kids is another place where mothers execute with a touch of elegance. Clarke claims that pregnancy "forms the beginning of a sustained relationship between activities of provisioning, their objects and values, and the construction of mothering and the child" in a study that examined the preparations made for babies, including the decorating of a nursery, by pregnant women living in North London. Every item and every style has some idea of a sort of mothering or an expression of a desired mother/baby connection tied to it, the author says, thus supplying an unborn child demands decisions and skills in a foreign environment where the stakes could not be greater [7].

Such provisioning comprises the purchase and consumption of commodities associated with certain lifestyle classes, such as particular brands of baby carriages and equipment, clothes retailers, foods, and toys. Williams' examination of US toy stores has given her a plethora of data that she may use to pinpoint the distinctions between class and racial status hierarchies in the marketplace. This is not only an individual activity; it also enables the discovery and establishment of social networks of like-minded people. We may "place" people in the social context by utilising weak but helpful signifiers in this way. According to Bourdieu, taste both classifies and classifies the classifier. Social categories that differentiate between the noble and the vulgar, the beautiful and the ugly, stand out from other social categories. Following an examination of how class affects mothering performances and a discussion of how intense mothering predominates as a normative construction of mothering style and scope, Here are two succinct depictions of two moms who live in London about half a mile apart but in very distinct material circumstances for mothering, both taken from recent research studies.

Friendship Networks

The moms from the working and middle classes in our study had different relationship networks, which was one of the distinctions we discovered. While middle-class moms were far less likely to have local family members and instead had created networks of other mothers via prenatal clubs and other child-focused activities, working-class women often got their major social support from family. Mary's networks produced significant social capital

via "weak ties," illuminating this distinction. Although it occurs inside a socially homogeneous group, this "bridging" social capital gives Mary access to a website where information about schools and nurseries is shared, informs her of the job she accepts, the nanny she shares, and eventually informs her of the existence of the little crèche her children attend. Her statement about seeing the local elementary schools makes it evident how interwoven the networks of middle-class women are in the area.

Approaches to Childcare

Since she was 9 months old, Jill's youngest child has attended a state-funded creche daycare full-time. After that, she attended a public school. Jill's confidence in the government gives her a feeling of security. She values knowing that her daughter is being cared for by trained personnel, and she finds that state-run nurseries have more tightly controlled staff than private nurseries or child-minding services. Even though she feels that the schools have failed her two older children, she still has trust in the government. She is looking for a daycare setting that will help her youngest daughter succeed in school and is safe and dependable.

Even though there is a well-established network in the region, Mary does not mention state nurseries. She sends both of her kids to a non-selective independent primary school, a communal nursery for her younger child, a tiny, parent-run cooperative crèche, and a nanny-share for her older child. She makes it quite obvious that she is looking for a caring, close-knit, and imaginative daycare setting. Despite not having the necessary training, the nanny who looks after both kids is seen to have the ideal personality traits for caring for young children. Toddlers require more imaginative playtime, which is why the nursery was changed [8].

Mary's and Jill's 'choices' were repeated in the larger sample, where working-class mothers mainly discussed their fear of physical harm and neglect from a career, which influenced their choice of nurseries as safe, public spaces, open to scrutiny, and in which the workers can police each other. The necessity of small, personal care settings for children under three years old was more often emphasized by middle-class parents.

Paid Work

Jill’s long hours of retail work, including regular weekend work, mean that she relies on her teenage daughter to collect her youngest daughter from after-school club and prepare her tea. She feels strongly that she is absent from home for too long. Nothing’s positive, it is just financial solely. I think the government should have more control on these companies because I think people are forced to work such long hours and they don’t get no support from the government and your family completely misses out It’s all negative working when you’ve got young children, because I do have lots of guilty feelings that I’m not there. And you’re constantly battling.

During the course of the research, Mary’s partner also moved to working at home in order to spend more time with children, although he also had work-related reasons for making the change. Both women see their jobs as a source of income necessary to maintain the family’s viability and their own independence, by which they both set great store.

Jill aspires to become a midwife, an occupation about which she is intensely enthusiastic. Similarly, Mary’s sense of self is not invested in her current paid work, but in her case derives from her own art work.

School Choice

For Mary, choose a primary school is a considerably more complex, drawn-out, and stressful procedure than it is for Jill. Mary dismisses a local school because of its inadequate facilities, the instructors' lack of warmth, and a suggested worry that the peer group could be too "rough" for her kid. Middle-class parents often refer to the process of choice as matching specific children with specific institutions. So, until the family plans to relocate, she keeps her kid enrolled in the tiny, alternative, independent school. "I've heard from people I know; they hint at being maybe just a little rough around the edges, and I looked at my daughter's personality and at the school and I couldn't see them matching," the speaker said.

The availability of an after-school club and the convenience of the location for other family members to pick up her kid are the primary factors in Jill's decision of a school since she works such long hours. Jill observes minimal distinctions across schools and normally takes a hands-off attitude, with the remark, "I don't go up the school," unless in times of crisis. Again, working-class moms often highlight this disconnect, which has also been thoroughly studied and examined elsewhere [9].

I'm not trying to say that Jill or Mary are 'better' mothers than each other by pointing out these distinctions between them. Many of the inequalities listed above are undoubtedly supported by variations in financial resources, but social and cultural capital are also resources that vary. Mary can thus live by IME, but Jill is unable to and, in some ways, does not want to. Jill, a strong nurturer and carer, shows great resilience, but she still worries a lot about her absences' effects on her kids. We propose that, despite her identity as a "good" worker and provider, Jill is aware that the time she spends away from her children puts her at risk of being positioned as a "bad" mother, revealing a tension between being in paid employment and being with the children that she cannot resolve. One teenager was recently found guilty of illegal activity, which increased this anxiety.

Class, according to Lawler, is dynamic; it is an inequality system that is constantly being remade in both large- and small-scale social processes. These processes include the operations of global capital and the pursuit of new markets, as well as entitlement claims, symbolic and affective aspects of life, and the use of symbols and representations. According to my theory, mothering is one instance of a setting where class is realized and reproduced, with individuals who do not fit the normative ideal running the danger of being revealed as morally deficient.

Despite the numerous variations and inequalities in women's lives, mothering is often portrayed as a shared experience. However, the standards set by a normative discourse of intense mothering are contentious. IME requires a mother to keep an unwavering concentration on her kid, something many women are unable or unable to do. Of course, if you are wealthy and maybe have a spouse, it is simpler to operate with and around IME. When explanations are centered on individuals who do not adhere to its principles, structural poverty often transforms into cultural poverty, and opposition to IME becomes "bad" mothering. Although absent dads are shamed, 'bad' moms are morally judged with a special fury. An "intensive" approach that is "sensitive" in terms of the mother's relationship with the kid and "professional" in her approach to the job of molding her child is what determines the class cultural space that middle-class acts of mothering map out and occupy. This "implicit ought" of mothering, or "moral boundary drawing," exposes non-normative mothering behaviors to criticism and, perhaps, to a rejection of the mother as a moral person.

DISCUSSION

The sociology of mothering is a rich field of study that sheds light on the complex and multifaceted nature of motherhood. At its core, this field of inquiry explores how the experiences and roles of mothers are shaped by social and cultural factors, such as gender, race, class, and historical context. Through its interdisciplinary approach, the sociology of mothering seeks to understand the diverse ways in which motherhood is constructed, enacted, and experienced, and the ways in which it intersects with other aspects of identity. One of the key themes that emerges in the sociology of mothering is the social construction of motherhood. This refers to the idea that motherhood is not simply a biological or natural phenomenon, but rather is shaped by cultural norms, beliefs, and expectations. For example, different societies may have different expectations about what it means to be a good mother, or what kinds of activities or behaviors are considered appropriate for mothers. The sociology of mothering explores how these cultural norms and expectations are created, reinforced, and challenged, and the ways in which they shape the experiences of mothers. Another important theme in the sociology of mothering is the intersectionality of motherhood with other aspects of identity. Motherhood is not experienced in a vacuum, but rather intersects with factors such as race, class, and gender.

For example, a low-income mother may face different challenges and expectations than a middle-class mother, or a Black mother may have different experiences than a White mother due to racial inequalities and discrimination. The sociology of mothering seeks to understand how these intersecting identities shape the experiences of mothers and the ways in which motherhood is perceived and valued in society. The sociology of mothering also explores the ways in which motherhood is shaped by historical and political context. For example, changes in social policies and economic conditions can have a significant impact on the experiences of mothers. The rise of neoliberalism and the increasing emphasis on individual responsibility for childcare and domestic work, for example, has had a profound impact on the experiences of mothers in many societies. The sociology of mothering examines how these broader social and economic changes affect the experiences of mothers, and the ways in which mothers negotiate and resist these changes. Finally, the sociology of mothering explores the social inequalities and power dynamics that affect mothers. For example, mothers may face challenges in accessing resources and support, such as affordable childcare or flexible work arrangements. They may also face discrimination and stigmatization based on their mothering practices or identities. The sociology of mothering seeks to understand these power dynamics and inequalities, and to explore ways in which mothers can resist and challenge them.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the sociology of mothering provides a critical lens through which to examine the experiences and roles of mothers in society. By exploring the social and cultural factors that shape motherhood, this field of study highlights the complex and multifaceted nature of mothering, and the ways in which it intersects with other aspects of identity such as race, class, and gender. The sociology of mothering also highlights the importance of historical and political context in shaping the experiences of mothers, and sheds light on the power dynamics and inequalities that affect mothers in their daily lives. Through its interdisciplinary approach, the sociology of mothering offers important insights into the complexities of motherhood and its significance for social life. By understanding the ways in which motherhood is socially constructed and experienced, we can work towards creating more supportive and equitable societies for all mothers. Ultimately, the sociology of mothering reminds us that motherhood is not a static or universal experience, but rather is shaped by a

wide range of social and cultural factors, and that in order to fully understand and support mothers, we must engage with these factors in a critical and thoughtful way.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Long, 'The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender', *Telos*, 1980, doi: 10.3817/0380043208.

[2] C. Vincent, 'The sociology of mothering', in *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*, 2009. doi: 10.4324/9780203863701-17.

[3] C. Heenan, 'The reproduction of mothering: Psychoanalysis and the sociology of gender: A reappraisal', *Feminism and Psychology*. 2002. doi: 10.1177/0959353502012001001.

[4] J. Giles-Sims and N. Chodorow, 'The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender', *J. Marriage Fam.*, 1979, doi: 10.2307/351719.

[5] A. Henderson, S. Harmon, and H. Newman, 'The Price Mothers Pay, Even When They Are Not Buying It: Mental Health Consequences of Idealized Motherhood', *Sex Roles*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11199-015-0534-5.

[6] P. Drentea and J. L. Moren-Cross, 'Social capital and social support on the web: The case of an internet mother site', *Sociol. Heal. Illn.*, 2005, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9566.2005.00464.x.

[7] H. Laube, 'Book Review: Weaving a Family: Untangling Race and Adoption', *Gend. Soc.*, 2006, doi: 10.1177/0891243205285277.

[8] H. D. Newman and A. C. Henderson, 'The modern mystique: Institutional mediation of hegemonic motherhood', *Sociol. Inq.*, 2014, doi: 10.1111/soin.12037.

[9] B. McKinley, ' Mothering While Black: Boundaries and Burdens of Middle□Class Parenthood ', *J. Fam. Theory Rev.*, 2020, doi: 10.1111/jftr.12361.