PRODUCT STRATEGY AND PRODUCT ROADMAP PRACTICES

Venkatesh Ashokababu
Dr. Nalin Chirakkara

ALEXIS PRESS
JERSEY CITY, USA

PRODUCT STRATEGY AND PRODUCT ROADMAP PRACTICES

PRODUCT STRATEGY AND PRODUCT ROADMAP PRACTICES

Venkatesh Ashokababu

Dr. Nalin Chirakkara

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

First Published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Product Strategy and Product Roadmap Practices by *Venkatesh Ashokababu, Dr. Nalin Chirakkara*

ISBN 978-1-64532-957-2

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

A REVIEW STUDY OFUSEFULNESS OF THE PROCESS MODELS

Mr. Venkatesh Ashokababu
Assistant Professor, Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: ashokababu@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Process models are valuable tools that provide a structured framework for understanding and analyzing complex business processes. This abstract explores the usefulness of process models and their applications in improving organizational efficiency, effectiveness, and decision-making. Process models serve as visual representations or diagrams that illustrate the flow of activities, information, and resources within a process. They provide a clear and standardized view of how tasks are performed, the sequence of activities, decision points, and interactions between different stakeholders. By capturing the intricacies of a process, models enable organizations to identify inefficiencies, bottlenecks, and areas for improvement.It is beneficial if personnel responsible for designing new goods are given guidelines about what information is necessary, where it may be located, and how it may be used.One idea, for instance, may lead to multiple product concept versions, each of which might be explored. Additionally, as a concept develops, the developers may more quickly analyze the nature of the market requirement and identify and assess the technical and manufacturing expenses.

KEYWORDS:

Budget Allocation, Development Goals, Implementation Timeline, Investment Requirements, Key Milestones, Project Deliverables, Resource Allocation.

INTRODUCTION

The value of process models, like the one developed by BAH, resides in the fact that they provide an idea of the scope of the project necessary to create and introduce a new product. While not common among US organizations in general, recent study by Cooper, DeGette, and Kleinschmidt shown that greatest performers employed 'roadmaps' for product development more often than the poorest performers.

This is not shocking at all. The creation of a new product is inherently dangerous due to the uncertainty that permeates each step of the process. The uncertainty is lessened throughout the process, whether it relates to technology, make ability, or prospective client reaction. The whole process has been defined as an information processing process. In order to understand the BAH process model's consequences for information searchanalysis.

1. Developmental stage
2. Information required for the stage; information type
3. Sources of knowledge
4. Probable outcome of the stage given the information

1. A clear declaration of the new product strategy and the associated budget Initial market and technical research; business goals produced as part of business planning and ongoing MIS identifying market prospects for new items to take advantage of

2. Developing ideas Customer requirements and technological advancements in areas that have already been identified Employees working in sales and technical departments. Outside the company: clients, rivals, creators, etc. [1]–[3].

3. Ideas for screening: identifying those with the greatest promise evaluation of the product's market viability and the manufacturing capacity of the firm. Costs and market potential are evaluated in terms of their financial impact. Understanding corporate objectives and determining fit Principal internal processes:

4. Concept development: creating a recognized product concept from an idea, including defining its qualities and market position. A clear evaluation of client wants is necessary to determine market potential. Clear evaluation of the technical and design requirements first consumer research. Technical and marketing department contributions Identification of the essential characteristics that must be included in the product, significant technical expenses, design, target markets, and potential

5. Business analysis: thorough evaluation of the proposal's commercial viability Latest information available:

a. thorough market research
b. specific prices and technological viability
c. production-related effects

Corporate targets primary internal processes Customers Major go-no-go decision: the corporation must be certain the initiative is beneficial since costs skyrocket beyond this point. First marketing strategy Development strategy and financial details

6. Creating a semi-finalized version of the product via product development customer and product research. 'Make ability' of a product or service design is determined by production data. Customers Production Plans for explicit marketing

7. Small-scale consumer testing in test marketing Profile of new product performance in relation to market factors such as competition, promotion, and marketing mix production, sales, marketing, and technical personnel; market research final launch go/no-go Eighth, commercialization Results of the test market and report For the test market Modifications to the test launch The BAH model and, by extension, its derivatives have come under fire despite their apparent efficacy on a number of fronts.

DISCUSSION

Idiosyncrasy

The NPD procedure is unique to every company and each new product initiative in question. The sort of new product being produced and its relevance to the company's present operations determine its form and order.

It should be noted that the NPD process does not always have a distinct beginning, middle, and finish in real-world scenarios, necessitating adaptation of the process to specific conditions.

Iteration

The iterative character of the NPD process is due to the fact that each stage or phase of development has the potential to provide a wide range of outputs that have an impact on both past development efforts and potential future development developments. According to the Booz, Allen, and Hamilton approach, there is no direction as to what may happen next if a

new product idea fails the concept test. A failed idea test might really lead to a multitude of results, which are detailed.

New Concept

Even if the initial idea is flawed, it is conceivable that a superior one is discovered during the concept testing, and it would then re-enter the development process at the screening stage. Alternately, a new client might be discovered during the idea testing phase, since the goal of concept testing is to be aware of client requirements while developing a new product. The idea generating and concept screening process would then benefit from any additional clients. These and other options and demonstrates how the BAH model is insufficient when seen as linear or sequential, especially with relation to up-front tasks.

Associated Lines of Development

The presence of connected development strands is a supplementary consideration in regard to the order of job sequencing for product development. The marketing, technical, and manufacturing duties and choices that come up as the process progresses are referred to as these connected strands of development. Each growth strand creates chances and issues within the other two. For instance, the market potential may be impacted if manufacturing staff experience a difficulty that increases production costs during the product development stage. Given this new knowledge, the marketing and technical presumptions need to be revised. A fresh design or method of approaching the market can be taken into consideration. It doesn't matter what the end answer looks like; it must be founded on the interaction of technical, marketing, and manufacturing development concerns. This means that product development activity is iterative, both between and within phases. The development of variable ratio steering columns for passenger automobiles as an illustration of these interactions. The main problem here is that the horizontal dimensions of the NPD process are not sufficiently communicated by the activity- and decision-stage models.

Due to this flaw, the concept of "parallel processing" has advanced, acknowledging iterations both across and within stages and classifying them according to functional configurations. It is strongly recommended that key functions be included from the beginning of the NPD process until its completion. This is known as parallel processing. According to the argument, this makes it possible to identify and address issues much more quickly than with the traditional task-by-task, function-by-function methods. As a result, everything moves forward considerably more quickly, which is now understood to be crucial to the success of new products. It should be noted that a large portion of the literature on the idea of parallel processing is in the field of engineering.

Although numerous technical fields, such as manufacturing and engineering, have sought deeper integration via parallel processing, the market viewpoint still seems to be 'tacked on' in the technical and engineering literature.

It will be discussed later in the true multi-disciplinary integration, including technical design and commercial activities, is recognized as essential to the success of new products. Rivas and Goblin came to this conclusion after looking at many initiatives at Hewlett Packard: "Freely disseminated information, across multi-functional teams where there were clearly identified roles, are crucial lessons for success." The management of the NPD process thus involves more than just the quantity and order of its operations. The following examines this body of literaturealbeit brieflyto identify the "other" elements that affect the success of new products and that managers should be aware of while managing their own NPD process.

Factors Impacting New Product Development's Success or Failure

Although NPD is a flourishing industry, stated success rates are still inconsistent. For instance, 59% of items that were in development made it to market, and of those, 60% are economically successful, according to the PDMA best practices report. Cooper mentions a market success launch rate of 15%, whereas Hotlink, Hart, Robben, and Griffin claimed an average success rate of 60% for launches in the US, the UK, and the Netherlands in 2001, and Cooper, DeGette, and Kleinschmidt reported an average success rate of 60% for launches in 2004. In other words, a lot of work is wasted developing new products. In this, the causes of success and failure are discussed. Key topics in the NPD literature have been recognized in previous studies of the literature as being essential to the accomplishment of NPD activities.

As they pertain to various levels of an organization, namely whether they are strategic or operational, these topics cannot be directly compared. Of fact, they may be connected, but in order to classify the themes, those that speak to how an organization is handled generally—for instance, the management styles of its top managers, the overall structure, and strategy—are referred to as "strategic themes." They play a crucial role in establishing the framework for the creation of new products and have the potential to have a significant impact on the results of development initiatives. Any given project's operational success or failure will rely on how it is managed. As a result, there are several "task" aspects that, although impacted by the strategic challenges, also have an impact on the NPD project's outcome. As a result, the results on NPD success and failure are divided into two categories: task-related difficulties and strategic-related concerns [4]–[6].

Corporate policy

A company's internal operations and external interactions are determined by its strategy. Setting clearly defined objectives for new product development initiatives is necessary because NPD must be directed by, that is, be derived from, the corporate goals of the organization. A new product strategy makes sure that goals are created, the "right" sectors of business are developed, and that product innovations become a key component of company plans. Thus, the degree to which a particular strategy is established to serve as a guide for NPD activities is a crucial success component. According to Cooper, DeGette, and Kleinschmidt's NPD benchmarking research, more of the best-performing companies have defined the strategic arena for NPD, stated NPD objectives in detail, adopted a long-term perspective on NPD, and allocated resources to portfolios of NPD projects intelligently. Although it is sometimes stated that a new product strategy should drive NPD, it is crucial that the plan not be so prescriptive as to limit or discourage the innovation required for NPD. Finding the ideal balance is not simple.

According to Hamel and Praha lad’s analysis of Canon's history of success, the company's strategic intent was broken down into a number of product and market development tasks, including competitive study and technology licensing to gain experience, developing technology in-house, selective market entry to exploit the competition, before moving on to develop wholly new technological solutions in the form of disposable cartridges. This specific invention altered the copying market's rules of engagement by lowering market prices by around 30% and lessening the danger posed by rival imitators. The key takeaway from this example is that it promotes resource efficiency by giving each step towards the goal a purpose and a structure while keeping the overall ultimate destination in mind.

Three factorstechnology and marketing inputs, product differentiation, synergy, and risk acceptancecan be understood as influencing how the strategic emphasis or aim of product development is established. The integration of the technical and commercial strategy thrusts

is one of the most recurrent issues in the contributions on strategic orientations. The emphasis on striking a balance between technological and marketing orientations in strategy literature reflects a general shift away from extolling the virtues of one orientation over another and toward acceptance that technological and market-driven innovations should coexist at the strategic level. The examples of Komatsu and Canon above demonstrate how both market and technology orientations have contributed at different points in their efforts to unseat the market leaders. Similar issues might arise if one strategy takes hold despite a competitive market and advanced technology. Even while Kodak was a leader in analog photography, it struggled to adapt to the development of digital cameras.

Product differentiation refers to innovative product strategies that have an emphasis on finding a competitive advantage in the product itself. Although the word "product advantage" is undoubtedly subjective and multifaceted, it may be understood to include the following characteristics: technical superiority, product quality, innovation and originality, product attractiveness, and high performance to cost ratio. The creators of well-known board games like Scrabble and Monopoly, Hasbro, have introduced Hasbro Interactive in an effort to meet the competitive threat posed by IT-based play goods. Before investing in a new Internet platform, games.com, this new format started by transferring Hasbro items to an interactive format. From there, it developed video games purchased under license from TV series.

Synergy The link between the NPD and current activities, also known as the synergy with existing activities, has been highlighted in the literature as a fourth factor to be taken into account while designing new product strategies. Due to a company's increased knowledge and expertise, high levels of synergy are often less dangerous, yet this may go against the idea of promoting product differentiation. For instance, Hasbro's transition to interactive technology initially preserved certain synergies by continuing to produce the conventional games for which the business was well-known. The corporation may then go to unknown games if the new interactive versions were a hit before integrating the completely new games with a new technology platform. Learning was still necessary since ignorance is the consequence of lower synergy. The Hasbro management team had no idea how rapidly gamers may transition to the Internet or if it would be possible to make other firms' video games as successful as it had with its own.

Risk acceptance is finally emphasized as a key component of the new product strategy. This involves developing an organizational culture that accepts risk. Synergy may help mitigate the risk that comes with ignorance, but pursuing product distinctiveness and advantage requires acknowledging that some initiatives may fail. An environment that refuses to acknowledge this has a tendency to inhibit activity and the desire to try something new. Once again, the Hasbro example offers some useful insights. Results from the first conversion to an interactive platform in 1997 were excellent. The investment in the new platform was started based on early successes, but both 1999 and 2000's outcomes were underwhelming. According to Govindarajan and Trimble, there was a reluctance to make forecasts or plans based on the theory that both would be incorrect nonetheless and that being first-to-market was the key to success. Risk was welcomed, but virtually mindlessly. Due to the absence of planning and learning, little effort was made to abandon efforts that were failing and concentrate greater resources on those that were successful.

Strategic Planning

Top management's participation in the actual achievement of NPD has received some study attention. The well-known Stanford Innovation Project, which was conducted more than 20 years ago, as well as Hart and Service's research discovered that new product successes were

characterized by a high degree of top management support. They conducted a study of firm managers' views and beliefs about product design, and they found the management philosophies that are most consistent with good performance. The management attitude that is most closely linked to outstanding competitive performance is one that emphasizes both technical and design commitment and marketing contributions. Diageo appointed a "innovation director" to oversee a brand-development initiative for new products.

Less evidence of top management impact was discovered by Cooper and Kleinschmidt, who also observed that high management often supports new product failures. This may be shown in the instance of Sailor, the firm in charge of the Various lenses, which were introduced for the first time in 1959. The company began working on a composite glass and plastic material in the 1970s that, if successful, would be light, shatterproof, and light-sensitive. The CEO gave this project his full support despite the fact that it was expensive and technically challenging from the start. Despite initial technological challenges, a lot of money was invested in the project, which failed early pilot testing, had higher manufacturing costs than anticipated, and frustrated opticians, the initiative's primary target market. The project as a whole was permitted to continue until September 1990, when it was eventually abandoned after spending more than $50 million [7]–[10].

Establishing the company culture and communicating it to the rest of the organization is one of the most crucial jobs that senior management must play. In certain circumstances, the company must alter its NPD philosophy, which has the effect of altering the whole culture. During the 1990s, Nike's NPD procedure underwent a significant transformation. Before, people thought that the product itself was the most significant factor and that every new product originated in the lab. This led to the development of the idea that consumers drive innovation and that the market is the unique source of such innovation. This shift occurred as a result of the athletic shoe industry's recent development of strong rivalry, which made it such that product innovation was no longer a source of sustained competitive advantage. The focus shifted from push to pull NPD at that point, with a greater emphasis placed on marketing research and targeting smaller, more specific client groups.

A more recent study conducted in China by Wei and Morgan found that if organizational environment influences market origination, the association between organizational climate and new product success is actually strengthened. In other words, the firm's culture influences how individuals in charge of NPD react to the shifting market dynamics, which in turn influences the effectiveness of NPD. Polaroid is one instance of how the environment has a significant impact on how businesses innovate. Even though the corporation saw the danger posed by digital technology and started making investments there in the early 1980s, protracted product development cycles continued to be the norm in R&D and engineering. Additionally, since all of Polaroid's camera parts were created in-house, these abilities were irrelevant in the digital market. The PDC-2000, the first digital camera, was introduced in 1996. Although it was technically advanced and catered to professional photographers, it retailed for three to five times as much as its rivals' goods. The market entrance price was too expensive to maintain based on its own high-cost manufacturing, which was caused by a climate of protracted product development and life cycles, which in turn needed long durations to recover the investment.

Important Cultural Elements That Support NPD

In general, the best environment for successful NPD is one that promotes entrepreneurship. Peter Singe, a management specialist at MIT, claims that for NPD to be encouraged, or what he refers to as "generative learning," a number of conditions must be met.

1. Encourage trying new things. The Weir Group, a global engineering company, is firmly committed to innovation in its recovery strategy, which promotes ideas, idea creation, and concept development. An annual chairman's award for innovation assesses, among other things, patent grants, strengthening competitive advantage, and matching marketing demand.

2. Make generalists out of experts. When researchers are cooped up in their laboratories, unable to comprehend the needs of the client, it is difficult for businesses to pool staff expertise and be innovative. To learn how the whole business operates and to spur the development of new ideas, Honda R&D hires spend time in all areas of the firm.

3. Dismantle hierarchies. "Your job is to find out what your boss wants and give him/her exactly what they want," Fredrick Taylor instructed Harvard undergraduates in 1909. Because of this, it is considerably more difficult for new ideas to ascend via hierarchical structures than through flat ones.

4. Release the information. It might be challenging to promote the open exchange of information among various staff. Once again, the Weir Group's emphasis on innovation has led to the creation of an intranet system that is intended to guarantee that project leaders from all over the globe may benefit from the experiences of their colleagues' efforts.

5. Make time to reflect. Managers often attempt to force-fit solutions to the daily issues that stand in their way, which causes them to lose their sense of direction. Reactive learning might be avoided if managers spend some time experimenting with computer models of the company and market. Large software business SAS Institute not only takes the time to reflect, but also sends staff to conferences for IT professionals so they may hone their coding skills and network.

All of these tactics focus more on the process than the result; new products should follow if organizations are designed to innovate rather than to respond.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Process models are excellent tools for firms looking to increase their operational performance, decision-making, and efficiency. They improve cooperation, communication, and understanding of processes by giving them a visual representation. Organizations may study, improve, and monitor processes with the use of process models, which promotes performance improvement and continuous improvement. Organizations may improve customer satisfaction, make more informed choices, and succeed in business over the long term by using process models. It is crucial to remember that process models are dynamic representations of changing processes rather than static objects. Organizations must make sure that process models are updated often to account for changes to the business environment, technological advancements, or client requirements. This constant upkeep ensures that process models remain applicable and practical throughout time.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Rittgen, “Quality and perceived usefulness of process models,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, 2010. doi: 10.1145/1774088.1774105.

[2] J. Recker, “Empirical investigation of the usefulness of gateway constructs in process models,” *Eur. J. Inf. Syst.*, 2013, doi: 10.1057/ejis.2012.50.

[3] D. P. Holzworth, N. I. Huth, and P. G. deVoil, “Simple software processes and tests improve the reliability and usefulness of a model,” *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.10.014.

[4] V. Venkatesh and F. D. Davis, "Theoretical extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four longitudinal field studies," *Manage. Sci.*, 2000, doi: 10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926.

[5] R. A. Burgelman, "A process model of strategic business exit: Implications for an evolutionary perspective on strategy," *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 1996, doi: 10.1002/smj.4250171012.

[6] C. S. Carver and M. F. Scheier, "Self-Regulatory Functions Supporting Motivated Action," *Adv. Motiv. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/bs.adms.2017.02.002.

[7] A. Bastari, A. Eliyana, A. Syabarrudin, Z. Arief, and A. P. Emur, "Digitalization in banking sector: the role of intrinsic motivation," *Heliyon*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05801.

[8] S. W. Sussman and W. S. Siegal, "Informational influence in organizations: An integrated approach to knowledge adoption," *Inf. Syst. Res.*, 2003, doi: 10.1287/isre.14.1.47.14767.

[9] K. E. Meyer and O. Thaijongrak, "The dynamics of emerging economy MNEs: How the internationalization process model can guide future research," *Asia Pacific J. Manag.*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10490-012-9313-9.

[10] B. Al Kurdi, M. Alshurideh, S. A. Salloum, Z. M. Obeidat, and R. M. Al-dweeri, "An empirical investigation into examination of factors influencing university students' behavior towards elearning acceptance using SEM approach," *Int. J. Interact. Mob. Technol.*, 2020, doi: 10.3991/ijim.v14i02.11115.

CHAPTER 2

DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Dr. Bipasha Maity
Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: bipasha@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Organizational structure refers to the framework that defines how tasks, roles, and responsibilities are distributed and coordinated within an organization. This abstract explores the concept of organizational structure,instead of the particular team structures that could be established for a certain program of new product development, the overarching or strategic structures of an organization are of relevance in this case. Since Burns and Stalker, Lawrence, Borsch, and Allen examine the distinction between mechanistic and organic structures, this is really a significant field of management study its importance in organizational effectiveness, and its impact on various aspects of an organization's functioning. Organizational structure serves as the blueprint for formal relationships and the hierarchy of authority within an organization. It defines reporting lines, communication channels, and decision-making processes. A well-designed organizational structure enables efficient coordination, effective resource allocation, and clear lines of accountability.

KEYWORDS:

Authority, Centralization, Chain of Command, Communication Channels, Cross-Functional Teams, Decentralization, Departmentalization.

INTRODUCTION

Instead of the particular team structures that could be established for a certain program of new product development, the overarching or strategic structures of an organization are of relevance in this case. Since Burns and Stalker, Lawrence, Lorsch, and Allen examine the distinction between mechanistic and organic structures, this is really a significant field of management study. Bentley presents the results of an empirical study that is based on the hypothesis that a company's organizational structure and style are closely related to its capacity to connect with its market.

Because proximity to the market affects the success of new products, Bentley emphasizes the significance of organizational structure and style. Bentley promotes a flexible organizational structure and style that encourages employees to act creatively, mirroring the concerns stated by the strategic orientation with regard to organizational structure. Rothwell and Whist on favor an organic kind of organization that is free from strict rules and is flexible when it comes to organizational methods [1]–[3].

1. Numerous viewpoints expressed and is participatory and informal
2. Features multidisciplinary teams; low "red tape"; face-to-face communication; removing departmental boundaries emphasizes creative connection and pursues these goals
3. Open to receiving ideas from outside sources and is adaptable to changing circumstances, threats, and opportunities.
4. Non-hierarchical, with information moving both above and below.

Sony is an often-referenced example of these kinds of arrangements. The Sony Corporation is among the biggest and most prosperous electronics manufacturers in the world. Many innovative inventions, including as the Walkman, Discman, and Disc camera, as well as PlayStation and PlayStation or, were commercialized by Sony. It was generally believed that the company's capacity to miniaturize established items contributed to its early success. Sony's goal at the time this book's first edition was being written was to lead the electronic industry in innovation. The internal and external cultural forces that enabled Sony to pursue this goal were explored in two different scenarios. Sony's culture was primarily influenced by the fact that it tended to be a product-led organization as opposed to a market-led one; the corporation decides on the product it wants to develop before deciding how to manufacture it.

Instead, than asking the audience what type of items they desire, Sony believes in introducing innovative products first. The case of Sony was often used as "evidence" that organizations needed to be set up to seek technical advancement and that market research was not the best place to start with NPD. Eight years later, there have been some changes to the Sony story! As an example, Sony has been racing to keep up with the market. Cheap Korean digital cameras, Samsung flat screen TVs, and Apple's iPod are all continuing to drive Sony out of the main consumer electronics industry. Part of the issue is Sony's corporate culture, which discourages agility, cross-fertilization, and anticipating customer demands by operating business divisions independently. In other words, rather of evolving to meet the demands of the 21st-century creative organization, the structures, culture, and even tactics have stayed bound to the formulas of the 1970s and 1980s. After examining the three strategic factors that contribute to NPD success, we will now examine the factors that are active at the level of the particular development.

DISCUSSION

Project Related Issues

The overview of strategic concerns above illustrates how the success or failure of new products may be influenced by how the whole business operates. However, how a project is carried out, who is involved, and how information is used all have an impact on how it turns out.

Npd Method

The above-mentioned actions are a part of the new product development process. There has been a lot of study over the last 25 years or more to determine what phases make up the successful execution of the development process or specific activities within the development process. Businesses like ExxonMobil, Bausch & Lomb, Air goods, and Chemicals all have specific protocols directing the creation of new goods, and they all think the benefit of adhering to these rules has increased their success rates. Although having a fully developed NPD process may be beneficial, each extra activity increases the total development time and increases the risk of a delayed market introduction. There may be a cost associated with a late market entry since it gives competitors time to gain ground. As a result, a trade-off must be made between finishing all of the NPD process' proposed tasks and the time that it takes to complete them.

This realization prompted process models to be altered so that they could be completed more quickly. The idea of "parallel processing" was proposed in the 1980s with benefits including shorter time-to-market, smoother transitions between phases, avoidance of bottlenecks that frequently occur in sequential processes, and a number of "soft" benefits relating to those involved, such as shared responsibility, cooperation, involvement, commitment, sharpened

problem-solving focus, initiative, diverse skills, and increased sensitivity to others' feelings. Numerous studies highlight the significance of marketing operations.

Within the NPD procedure. According to Cooper, edged, and Kleinschmidt's benchmarking assessment, on general, marketing duties were performed less well than technical ones. on addition, many businesses lacked sufficient go/no-go decision points. According to a research of the Korean telecommunications industry, issues like "poor demand forecasting" and "ineffective marketing strategies" are often linked to failure in the creation of new services. Additionally, the need of improving proficiency in the first phases of the NPD process has received a lot of attention. It is at these early phases that the new product concept is given more clarity, the early assessments of market potential are made, and the business case is produced. These early stages are sometimes referred to as "the fuzzy front end" since uncertainties loom bigger at the beginning of the process. More attention is placed on these phases by higher performing product.

The Sony instances that were mentioned above served to emphasize the significance of market research operations in the NPD process. Of However, there is still merit in the idea that formal market research may not be necessary for high-tech items, especially if the customer's technical expertise is below that of the creator. However, research by Varela and Benito found that in new product initiatives with a high level of novelty, both technical and marketing activities were rated as being more crucial. The aforementioned topic refers to research that focuses on specific development process activities, but the degree to which such activities can or cannot be carried out successfully necessitates consideration of the people, or roles, inside the process. We will now attend to these concerns.

People

The people participating in the NPD process and the way they are structured have a key role in how new products turn out. Functional co-ordination was recognized by Maidie and Zinger as a key element in the creation of successful new products as early as The Stanford Innovation Project.

The work of Pinto and Pinto, Malts and Kohl, and Malts et al., among others, continues to support the need of functional co-ordination. Functional co-ordination and an integrated set of NPD activities are closely related, as has previously been noted by the decrease of the development cycle time, cost savings, and improved communication that allows for the early identification of possible issues. Sony's 'silo' culture has been cited as a contributing factor in the company's goods' inability to satisfy market demands. Industrial design and production, along with all other pertinent functional specialties, must be integrated into the NPD process. The R&D/Marketing interaction is one interaction in particular that has received a lot of attention from NPD research [4]–[7].

The merger of the R&D and marketing operations has been discussed in the literature in relation to a wide range of difficulties. These include the culture of the firm, the necessity for R&D and marketing staff to be more receptive to one another, and the potential importance of "role swapping." The essential tenet of any strategy for integrating R&D and marketing is that R&D and marketing managers must cooperate to address the tension in their relationships. Souder offers seven methods for managers to attempt to accomplish integration in an intriguing contribution. According to Souder, they should:

1. Inform staff that interface issues are a normal occurrence.
2. Train employees to recognize the signs of conflict
3. Laud both functions equally

4. Keep emphasizing their willingness for R&D and commercial cooperation
5. Use R&D and marketing teams wherever possible

Quickly resolve personality conflictsAvoid being complacent; too much harmony is undesirable.Sharing of information is a key component of integration, but the particular techniques that may be used to improve cross-functional integration will be discussed later in the article.

Information

The literature on NPD success assumes that information may aid in streamlining the NPD process and attaining functional co-ordination. The NPD process is often seen as having inherent uncertainty. If the process is to continue, these uncertainties need information inputs that are subsequently transformed by those engaged into information outputs that are thought to lessen the uncertainty. But uncertainty comes in many forms. Beginning with the NPD process, there is a great deal of ambiguity about the best technology solution to a certain issue as well as which technological solutions will be accepted by the market. For instance, Intel Corp. and Microsoft Corp. have chosen to support a next-generation DVD format from Toshiba over Sony's Blu-ray technology, despite the latter being considered to be technologically superior, in addition to the cultural issues mentioned above that exist within Sony. However, as the NPD process advances, these uncertainties may be partly mitigated while other types of uncertainty develop, such as those associated with manufacturing, delivery, and marketing-specific details like final product designs, pricing, and so forth.

As a result, information is the primary medium of exchange in the NPD process; evaluative information must be effectively distributed to encourage communication. In 6.2, an illustration of the informational components suggested by the various research into success and failure is shown. Despite the fact that the majority of research on information in NPD has come from the industrial sector, a study by van Riel et al. indicated that consumer and technological information are crucial in determining the success of high-tech service innovation.

Consequences for The Process of The Success and Failure Literature: Centering on The Process And The People

Why the participants and the process are important as previously stated, both are connected to three of the important success characteristics for NPD that are most often mentioned:

1. The need for multidisciplinary contributions. Several corporate divisions, including R&D, manufacturing, engineering, marketing, and sales, must be engaged in order to integrate technical and marketing skills. Since the creation of a new product may be the sole reason for which these individuals interact professionally, it is crucial that the NPD process chosen supports their efficient and productive collaboration. One of Samsung's methods is to send engineers and designers to laboratories all around the globe to get feedback from prospective customers. This is related to the need for the suppliers' voice in situations when modifications to supply may be necessary or desirable.

2. The necessity to provide a competitive product. To ensure that the product being developed has a competitive advantage in the eyes of the customer, technical and market information, which are the foundations of NPD, must be both accurate and timely. They also must be continually revised in light of evolving circumstances. Therefore, the individuals must provide the process with the necessary specialist knowledge.

3. The procedure must go quickly. To take advantage of the new product possibility before rivals do, the NPD process must be handled in a timely manner. The degree to which individuals collaborate accelerates the process. By connecting all stages of the NPD process, from the creation of the mold to the initial artists' renderings, Flextronics, a global electronics design, fabrication, assembly, and test company, reduced the time required to develop a new mobile phone from between 12 and 18 months to 3 months today.

Its interdependence is another factor contributing to its significance; without the right individuals, neither can the process develop nor can it be organized to allow for cross-functional inputs. The relationship between the process and people has generally been disregarded by researchers in the majority of the single discipline study, despite being so obvious as to seem like a truism.

They also include other major concepts, which is a final justification for their significance. The importance of information in fostering cross-functional integration has previously been examined, with a connection made between the concepts of "people" and "information." Additionally, "organizational structure and style" as a subject directly implicates "people" as a theme. The subject of "process" is also connected to the theme of "information," since the NPD process may be seen as one of information processing. It is also connected to the themes of top management and strategy, as these two provide the framework for the process's nature. Focusing on "process" and "people" opens the door to many of the other critical success variables in NPD research if these links are acknowledged. Unfortunately, not many NPD process representations explicitly include the key roles that are played. We next go through two representations that actually make an effort to take into consideration contributions from other disciplines.

The Many Convergent Method

An alternative process model, known as the "multiple convergent process," has been proposed as a way to move NPD research forward that takes into account the lessons to be learned from the success literature and the significance of networks in NPD and attempts to cross research discipline boundaries. Conceptually, this approach is developed from the notion of parallel processing [8]–[11].

While there are references to simultaneity, the dictionary definitions of "parallel" refer to "separated by an equal distance at every point" or "never touching or intersecting". While there is some trouble with this idea because it implies functional separation, all of the performance indicators in NPD point to the need for functional integration. As opposed to this, the definition of "to converge" is "to move or cause to move towards the same point" or "to tend towards a common conclusion or result," making it a more accurate reflection of what is expected by NPD management.

It is evident that the activities will be completed concurrently at some time and that the outputs must converge, even if there are still functionally separate jobs that must be completed at various junctures during the NPD process. This convergence is likely to occur numerous times, culminating at the time of the product launch, as a result of the process iterations. As was already said, the process consists of a succession of information collecting and evaluation activities.

As the new product progresses from an initial idea to a concept to a prototype and beyond, the information obtained improves in accuracy and dependability, and choices are made with more assurance. As a result, several natural sites of assessment and various kinds of evaluation must be conducted in an integrated manner as the development project advances.

Since the convergence points might be centered on decision outputs necessary to advance the process, there are many places at which these activity-stage models and decision-stage models converge.

The following benefits result from approaching the process in this manner:

1. Participants are able to iterate within phases.

2. Third parties may be readily included into the framework.

3. The convergent points include mechanisms for integrating various functions throughout the operation.

4. The model may be included into the organization's ideal NPD structures.

Phases of Iteration

The cross-functional links between stages are taken into account as the relevant functions are assessed in terms of their specialized contribution to each step in the process. The individual requirements of each growth in each business will decide the level of engagement of various organizations or outside parties. Thus, task specialization may improve the quality of inputs during within-stage iteration, as can the integration of functions via information exchange and decision-making.

Providing For Third Parties

According to a number of studies, user participation in the NPD process is crucial for boosting success rates. Additionally, there is rising awareness of the need of increased supplier participation in order to take advantage of just-in-time rules and supplier innovation. In the race for quick market entry, suppliers' roles are expanding. For instance, Dell now relies on supplier partners for the majority of the component design work on laptop screens and optical drives. Similar to this, Whirlpool opted to outsource a large portion of the production when it decided to invest in a variety of goods for home garages.

Systems For Integrating

Even though integration is necessary for success, there is some evidence to show that it is not always simple to establish in reality. Although the necessity for integrating R&D and marketing efforts was not automatically seen to be desirable, based on the evidence of the firms examined, Biemans' prior research revealed that the majority of the companies understood this requirement. This issue persists even in one of the most renowned product developers, as seen by the preceding case of Sony. The quantity of information exchange is a crucial component of integration, as was previously stated, and the multiple convergent process provides this chance while other models ignore it. Clearly, a variety of other variables, such as organizational environment and structure, are likely to have an impact on how much information is shared across functional lines. Having stated that, the multiple convergent models have the motivation for information exchange through the convergent points that may be freely distributed throughout the process.

Deploy Quality Functions

Quality Function Deployment is a second strategy that encourages integration and does not view the NPD process sequentially. Simply said, Quality Function Deployment employs four 'houses' to concentrate multidisciplinary information inputs on the development program. Therefore, it seeks to improve design, enable interventional integration, and cut down on both design time and expense. It connects the needs of the client with the design qualities or

"engineering measures" of a product. As a result, the process is built from the beginning on the necessity to match requirements with features. The second house focuses on how these design characteristics are transformed into the essential steps the development team must perform. The third house elaborates on the implementation of the activities by concentrating on choices like the necessary production system. The final production planning is translated into by the fourth house from the numerous execution choices. The first house focuses on discovering consumer requirements, prioritizing and organizing those needs into hierarchies, and then assessing how effectively customers believe the company's and its competitors' goods satisfy those needs. To inform product design, these data and analysis are employed. The other features of this first home are focused on finding technical solutions or design features that satisfy those client requirements. The group in charge of this will also evaluate the design features of rival items. More information is provided on this step of the procedure. The subject of QFD has received extensive coverage in both academic and professional publications. Clausing, Griffin, Hauser and Clausing, as well as Inwood and Hammond for further details. The advantages of QFD as a comprehensive NPD approach are related to the degree to which it incorporates many of the aforementioned "correlators of new product success," especially at the project level. However, it is challenging to evaluate its utility. There is minimal indication of extensive dissemination into the process of new product development despite originating from Japan and being adopted by numerous significant US and European engineering businesses.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an organization's efficacy and efficiency are directly related to its organizational structure, which is a crucial component of organizational design. It has an impact on how decisions are made, how employees behave, and how a company is run. An appropriately aligned structure may improve organizational performance whereas a badly constructed or misaligned structure can impede coordination, clarity, and flexibility. In order to support their overall effectiveness, organizational structures must be continually evaluated and modified to be in line with their strategic objectives. Although organizational structure has numerous advantages, it also has drawbacks. Organizational structures may need to be modified as they expand and change to meet shifting demands. Complex restructuring initiatives need for careful planning, open communication, and the handling of employee concerns. Furthermore, rigid or bureaucratic systems might limit flexibility, originality, and responsiveness.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Andersson, M. Cäker, S. Tengblad, and M. Wickelgren, “Building traits for organizational resilience through balancing organizational structures,” *Scand. J. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.scaman.2019.01.001.

[2] M. A. Sartor and P. W. Beamish, “Private Sector Corruption, Public Sector Corruption and the Organizational Structure of Foreign Subsidiaries,” *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10551-019-04148-1.

[3] P. R. Schulman, “Organizational structure and safety culture: Conceptual and practical challenges,” *Saf. Sci.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104669.

[4] S. Gentile-Lüdecke, R. Torres de Oliveira, and J. Paul, “Does organizational structure facilitate inbound and outbound open innovation in SMEs?,” *Small Bus. Econ.*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11187-019-00175-4.

[5] I. M. Martínez-León and J. A. Martínez-García, "The influence of organizational structure on organizational learning," *Int. J. Manpow.*, 2011, doi: 10.1108/01437721111158198.

[6] P. Král and V. Králová, "Approaches to changing organizational structure: The effect of drivers and communication," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.099.

[7] S. S. Chaurasia, N. Kaul, B. Yadav, and D. Shukla, "Open innovation for sustainability through creating shared value-role of knowledge management system, openness and organizational structure," *J. Knowl. Manag.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JKM-04-2020-0319.

[8] S. J. Chión, V. Charles, and J. Morales, "The impact of organisational culture, organisational structure and technological infrastructure on process improvement through knowledge sharing," *Bus. Process Manag. J.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/BPMJ-10-2018-0279.

[9] W. Zheng, B. Yang, and G. N. McLean, "Linking organizational culture, structure, strategy, and organizational effectiveness: Mediating role of knowledge management," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.06.005.

[10] Rishipal, "Analytical Comparison of Flat and Vertical Organizational Structures," *Eur. J. Bus. Manag.*, 2014.

[11] R. Kleinknecht, H. U. Haq, A. R. Muller, and K. O. Kraan, "An attention-based view of short-termism: The effects of organizational structure," *Eur. Manag. J.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2019.09.002.

CHAPTER 3

AN ANALYSIS OF PEOPLE MANAGEMENT IN NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENTPROCESS

Dr. Vankadari Gupta
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: chithambargupta@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Managing the people involved in New Product Development (NPD) is crucial for the success of innovative projects and the overall performance of organizations. This abstract explores the significance of effectively managing the people aspect of NPD and highlights key strategies and practices for achieving successful outcomes. New Product Development is a complex process that requires the collaboration and coordination of multidisciplinary teams, including engineers, designers, marketers, and project managers.Therefore, if new product creation were a process carried out only by marketing knowledge, it may not function correctly, and if the activity were purely handled by R&D, the finished product might not in any way satisfy consumer requirements. It follows that in order to ensure that the development is meeting the requirements for success managing these diverse individuals and their unique skills, perspectives, and expertise is essential for fostering creativity, driving innovation, and ensuring efficient project execution.

KEYWORDS:

Cross-Functional Teams, Employee Engagement, Human Resource Management, Leadership, Organizational Culture, Performance Evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

According to what we know about what makes goods successful, creating a product advantage is essential. Making technology relevant to consumer demands allows products to gain an edge over competitors.

Therefore, if new product creation were a process carried out only by marketing knowledge, it may not function correctly, and if the activity were purely handled by R&D, the finished product might not in any way satisfy consumer requirements. It follows that in order to ensure that the development is meeting the requirements for successtechnological competence and market relevancefirms must have structures that permit not only professional specialization and expertise but also information sharing across disciplinary boundaries. The "coordination" and "integration" of the viewpoints of several disciplines are necessary, according to the frameworks outlined in the body of literature [1]–[3].

Administrative Dominance

The operations across functions are coordinated by a high-level general manager, who also resolves disputes across functions. This is the most formal, centralized, and least participatory mechanism.

Since hierarchical directives provide restrictions on how each functional development may work, most information within each department travels vertically. The many functional tasks are carried out successively on the evolving product in such a method.

Individual Connections

Direct communication between individuals within one functional department and those in other departments is required. As a result, they enhance the vertical communication that exists in bureaucracies.

Including Management

In this co-ordination structure, a second manager is added to the functional structure. This manager's job is to coordinate the activities of the various functional departments, but he or she lacks the power to order those departments to do anything. Therefore, in order to accomplish desired outcomes, such integrating managers must depend on persuasion as well as on their capacity to support collective decision-making and compromise.

Structures in a Matrix

In contrast to all of the preceding mechanisms, which keep the functional departmental structure as the primary organizational structure, a matrix organization organizes operations not only by product or market emphasis but also by function. Individuals thus have dual accountability to a functional manager and a new product manager. in addition to this, two other structural forms have emerged in an attempt to increase the efficiency and promptness of product development activities in contexts that change quickly. Design teams and design centers are these types.

DISCUSSION

Design Teams

Similar to the matrix structure, design teams are made up of a group of functional experts that collaborate on a particular NPD product. The distinction is that these groups have a tendency to be more autonomous, have more power to choose their own leaders, and have the ability to create their own operational procedures and settle internal disputes.

Design Studios

Many of these centers' traits are similar to those of a design team. The members of such a center, however, participate in several development initiatives throughout time since it is a permanent addition to the organizational structure. The structural complexity of the processes rises when one transitions from bureaucratic control to more organic and participatory systems. Individual units tend to have greater autonomy when authority becomes more decentralized and norms and procedures are less defined and strictly enforced. As a result, individuals working inside more organic systems are more likely to transfer knowledge across functional lines and carry out interdependent activities simultaneously rather than sequentially.

In other words, structures become less "mechanistic" and more "organic" as we progress from left to right. Design teams, for example, offer some significant potential benefits for coordinating product development. In fact, a structure like this may aid in lowering barriers between people and functional groups via its participatory decision-making, consensual dispute resolution, and open communication channels.

With little financial and social risk, these participatory institutions may also provide an environment where novel ideas are put out, scrutinized, and polished. Additionally, by promoting the free interchange of new ideas across various functional areas, the possibility of developing ground-breaking solutions that effectively answer both market demands and

technical and operational constraints is raised. Reduced functional barriers also make it easier for those affected to deal with unforeseen issues that arise throughout the development process. This lessens the chance that crucial information may be lost, delayed, or changed [4]–[6].

However, more participatory structures may also have certain drawbacks, particularly in terms of costs and timing effectiveness. The formation and maintenance of several development teams might result in an excess of persons and resources. The fundamental cause of this is that workers rely increasingly on other functional experts for the knowledge, information, and other resources required to build an innovative and successful product since they have less relevant experience when producing such ideas. Additionally, less formal participatory coordination mechanisms help to promote these flows of information and resources. As a result, if the direction of control is not apparent, the operation might stall. The issues that many organizations face when they must be able to use current goods while also looking to the future are described by O'Reilly and Tuchman as "the ambidextrous organization" in their book.

The article uses the example of Ciba Vision, a division of the Swiss pharmaceutical company Ciba-Geigy, to explain how Ciba Vision's management came to the conclusion that radical new products were needed to expand the business while maintaining profitability for its more traditional portfolio of contact lenses and eye care items. Six formal development initiatives with a goal of revolutionary changetwo in production methods and four in new goodswere decided to be started. To free up funds for the more ambitious R&D imperatives, many smaller R&D initiatives with a focus on continuous product improvement were shelved. While traditional businesses might continue pursue their own incremental advances, the R&D funding was devoted to the creation of true breakthroughs. However, they were liberated from the previous organization's structures, and in their place, independent units for the new projects were created, each with its own R&D, finance, and marketing departments. Let’s now examine the many types of structures that are used for NPD in light of this debate.

Industrial Structures

There is much evidence of the "structures" employed to organize the process in studies of innovation and product creation. Project coordinators are most often used by US companies that are "first-to-market," followed by matrix and then specialized team structures, according to Dyer, Gupta, and WI lemon. Additionally, they discovered that committed teams outperform matrix architectures and project coordinators in terms of success. Although it is not usually stated explicitly, these findings imply that structures may exist within or outside of what may be described as "existing line functions." For instance, according to Tokays and Hart's study, a "multi-disciplinary team" is the most often utilized mechanism for NPD, albeit it was often used in conjunction with other mechanisms like a new product manager and new product departments. At the risk of oversimplifying, we may define venture groups and new product departments as operating entirely beyond the conventional functional boundaries, such as those between marketing, R&D, and engineering.

Venture teams often consist of a group of high-status, independent-thinking individuals who report to the CEO and have their own budgets. Their duties may vary, but they often range from managing the development of new products to identifying opportunities and conducting feasibility studies. The benefits include high-level support for the development and the ability to stimulate innovation without being constrained by the "hum-drum" of ongoing business. On the other hand, if they get such knowledge from inside the firm, which may happen if

they become engaged with the development of current goods, they might turn into acquisition seekers, may be prone to get into irrelevant areas, and can be considered as a waste of time.

The ‘mainstream' of business may be said to be beyond the purview of new product departments or divisions, which have the same status as functional divisions. They often have a mix of functions working for them. They may be employed in a variety of capacities, such as idea hunters who pass along concepts to the "mainstream" for development or developers who oversee the creation of a new product from conception to commercialization. In the second case, the product will be "handed over" during the debut, which might cause emotions of "not invented here." However, the justification for completely separating new product activities is to promote new product ideas free from the influence of those managing the volume of business. However, it is unquestionably advantageous to have some connections with individuals in charge of running the present firm if new product development does need to rely on knowledge of current technology in current markets.

The present line structures have an impact on multidisciplinary teams, new products committees, new product teams, product managers, and new product managers in different ways. In fact, Page's research revealed that marketing, R&D, and engineering were the line functions most closely associated with new product creation. 'Part-time' accountability for NPD may be delegated to several teams, groups, or people. The necessity for integration and current power and accountability structures are inherently at odds with one another. Many businesses may place responsibility for NPD in one department and add others as needed because of this tension. This naturally creates issues since development effort could collide with how present business is managed. This would show up as time constraints caused by the current product management, which squeezes development efforts, and as stifled innovation since there are already processes in place for previous products. Finally, those who are adept at managing the present company may lack new business views.

A new products manager position might also be formed in the technical or marketing divisions. As is the case with other matrix designs, the part-time alternative may have time constraints and role conflicts, and worse still, NPD may start to take a back seat. In addition, the typical new product manager lacks cross-disciplinary expertise, which necessitates negotiation with other departments rather than cooperation. As a consequence, the development project often takes the "pass the par-cell" method and is passed from one department to the next. Finally, this mechanism often takes a low-level approach and has limited clout when making crucial resource choices, which results in a new product committee and an incremental approach to NPD. Senior managers from important functions make up this group, which aims to promote cross-functional cooperation at the appropriate senior level. Since the line managers are not really doing the work, these techniques may, nevertheless, suffer from a distant viewpoint.

There must be a trade-off between where new product activity is located inside and outside of current operations. It follows logically that this form of development is exactly what autonomous structures should carry out as they are created to enable the unrestricted development of new concepts with larger degrees of benefit, without much dependency on the present firm. These autonomous units' inherent dependence on those performing line function might lead to a dispute if they become engaged in what Johnna and Nelson refer to as "old product development." In any event, it's possible that an autonomous unit wouldn't be as effective in developing existing lines. Organic, decentralized participatory co-ordination processes are really connected with superior development performance, according to studies by Olsen, Walker, and Rekert. But only when used to initiatives that include cutting-edge or

novel ideas for which the organization has no prior expertise. This way of thinking is supported by the before stated Ciba Vision example.

This has highlighted some of the challenges in creating mechanisms that strike the right balance between innovation and creativity on the one hand, and building on the knowledge amassed about technology and markets on the other. Although cross-functional teams are recommended by success literature, how independent these teams should be will depend, among other things, on the approach being taken to new product development.The degree to which product and service innovation projects are increasingly conducted in networks that extend beyond the conventional limits of enterprises is another set of difficulties that has an influence on project management.

Taking Care of Networks For NPD

Research has emphasized the significance of "innovation networks" and "inter-organizational collaboration." Indeed, the network architecture of organizations like Microsoft and Wal-Mart has been credited with their success. Due to the NPD process' focus on speed and the fact that it consumes a lot of resources, businesses will need to participate in learning races. To do this, they will need the ability to collaborate with specialist businesses in their networks. In addition, networks will be needed to leverage the functional integration necessary for success because of the many distinct technologies involved in new product development. Powell contends that inter-organizational learning within a firm's networks is vital in generating competitive advantages in order to lessen the inherent uncertainties associated with new goods or markets.

Eisenhardt and Martin describe 'dynamic capability' as 'the firm's procedures that employ resources to integrate, recon, obtain and release resources to meet and even generate market change through which businesses attain new resource configurations. Processes like alliance-building and product creation, where managers integrate a variety of functional and skill backgrounds via cross-firm cooperation, are examples of dynamic capabilities. By establishing new resource configurations, "dynamic capabilities" also adds a new key issue to the discussion of inter-organizational connections in new product development networks: "the changing dynamics of competition and cooperation." In examining inter-firm learning in new product development networks, knowledge transfer and creation are two crucial subjects, however a comprehensive examination is beyond the purview of this book. Having said that, the next sections will discuss businesses engaging in NPD activities via networks and partnerships [7]–[9].

Evaluation of NPD Activity

Controlling the process and monitoring its development are two key components of effective NPD management. In fact, PRTM, NPD consultants, promote the necessity to give the NPD process "teeth" in order to prevent it from becoming into a glorified workflow diagram. Hart et al.'s study is one example of research that has looked at how development process gates are employed. This study finds that there are few studies that examine the standards for judging all of the information inputs to the development process, and those that do tend to be limited to publications that discuss idea and concept screening gates. But they contend that logically, these criteria might be generated from the company's corporate and new product strategy and be focused on the unique needs of each NPD process step. This would enable ongoing evaluation of the areas where results are unknown but nonetheless important for the strategic direction needed for new product development to pursue the firm's goals.This has provided an overview of the creation of NPD models and a description of the key activities involved in creating new goods as an introduction to the topic. In order to identify the crucial difficulties

that new product developers must actively manage if their efforts are to be successful, research on new product development success and failure was then analyzed. The last section covered some of the main frameworks for structuring NPD efforts.

New Product Development

Strategic problems was the name given to one of the main groups of variables affecting the success of new goods. These concerns include the goals and directions established for the creation of new products, which should reinforce a firm's overall competitive strategy. S1 and S2 covered the need of an overarching strategy and the implicit function of product development. In conclusion, organizations will eventually fail if they do not continuously modify their product mix in order to adapt to the changing business climate. Therefore, the continuous creation of goods is at the core of business strategy. The extent of this development's direction, nature, and goals, however, is quite broad. Some of the alternatives, such as the creation of distinctive or cost-efficient products, are outlined in the book's Introduction. Models of strategic development that provide direction at each stage of a life cycle and in connection to market expansion were examined. This chapter examines the relationship between new product development, one element of product management, and overarching business strategy.

Since Booz, Allen and Hamilton identified the advantages of articulating new product strategy in 1982, multiple studies have shown that formulating the strategic direction for the company's new product development endeavor has direct linkages to some of the success elements for NPD stated. This article examines the elements of new product strategy, which basically consist of an assessment of where a new development program is in regard to the technologies being used and the markets they will service. The next section contextualizes the necessity for new product strategy in terms of risk, while the other sections deal with the elements of the market and technological options as well as the different combinations that make up new product strategy.

Although innovation is important, it is also costly and hazardous, and most new goods and services aren't completely original. Tzokas and Hart discovered that just 13% of the new product innovations they examined were "new to the world" in their examination of NPD methods. In a similar vein, research by Cooper, Edgett, and Kleinschmidt found that 10.2% of the innovations they assessed were brand-new items, according to a study of best practices in product development by the American Productivity and Quality Center.

What factors do businesses consider when deciding how inventive they want to be, and why is this crucial? We go back to the ground-breaking work of Booz, Allen, and Hamilton to address this question. According to their 1968 research, it takes an average of 58 unique product concepts to create one profitable new product.

By 1982, a new study had revealed that this ratio had become a clear statement of innovation strategy, stating that as the life cycles of new products become shorter, strategic analyses of the environment must take into account shorter timescales in order to provide precise instructions for the timely introduction of these quickly evolving products. Based on customer research, Whirlpool strategically invested almost $2 million in the development of garage appliances and storage systems in September 2001, leading to the design and execution of new product processes that enabled the company to introduce the new product in the fall of 2002. The rise in costs from the start of the process to the finish is another reason why it is critical to be able to predict failures early on.

At the commercialization stage, total spending increases dramatically, requiring a significant emotional and financial commitment that would also need to be wiped off or "redirected." Due to the cumulative nature of investment and the 'creeping commitment' this implies, it is essential to establish unambiguous benchmarks of these early phases of NPD.

It is essential to establish benchmarks that are driven by strategic direction and that serve as input to the strategic planning process since, as we shall examine in future articles, it may be challenging to estimate precise market demand levels in the early phases. These criteria for product creation should define the markets that each new product will target, which has five key ramifications.

1. It details the prospective markets.

2. It identifies the source of the competitive advantages that the technological development aims to obtain in such markets.

3. It outlines the relative direction of technology and market leadership, which is the balance between 1 and 2.

4. The development's distinct benefit may be determined from the details in 1, 2, and 3 above.

5. The accepted level of risk is established. The four categories of risk identified by Andrew and Sirkin are technical, market, competitive, and investment.

These requirements are crucial because they have consequences for various problems that affect the development of new goods, in addition to the fact that studies have shown a clear correlation between their success and failure. First, developing a new product strategy needs the support and initiative of senior management. Managers must explain where they see potential and what amount and kind of resources are needed to fulfill that potential when evaluating how a product development program will contribute to overall corporate goals. This supports senior management's commitment to new product development initiatives. For instance, Whirlpool committed to its garage collection based on consumer research and economic developments that indicated potential for luring in the male market.

After establishing the broad course, the development team's needed area of work is also determined. Development teams by definition have a wide range of prospective products on which to focus their efforts, but the demands of shorter life cycles and quicker development time force them to concentrate on certain activities. A defined strategy for new product development helps the team to swiftly concentrate on initiatives that fit within its parameters and establishes standards for which projects should be advanced. Third, the strategy set aids in achieving the required degrees of integration inside the company. Depending on the development approach used, Andrew and Serkin mention three potential organizational models for innovation. A fully integrated strategy, where a corporation completes all phases and activities of the process, is ideal if speed-to-market is not necessary, the technology is proven, customer preferences are established, and innovation is largely incremental.

As an example of a corporation using this strategy, Intel spent $26 million in semiconductor research in 2004, produced all of its goods at facilities controlled by the company, and managed the branding, distribution, and marketing of its chips. The authors refer to a distinct strategy as a "orchestrator" approach, which defines a scenario in which businesses might use the resources or skills of partners. The mentioned example is Handspring, which merged with Palm to become one of the top players in the PDA industry by closely collaborating with IDEO, who assisted in designing the devices' appearance, and Flextronics, the manufacturing facility. The 'licensor' strategy, which is the last strategy, performs best in markets with weak

brand recognition, strong intellectual property protection, newness to the inventor, and underdeveloped infrastructure. Examples of the licensor strategy include Amgen, which made $330 million in royalties in 2002, and IBM, which made $351 million from innovations that other companies commercialized.

A key factor in success is the strategic marriage of technological and market-driven innovation. The struggles of Sony and Samsung, which are discussed in detail in paragraph 6, highlight how crucial it is to plan how to combine technology with knowledge of consumers' demands for maximum success. In his example of a company that clearly references "the voice of the customer" in its strategy for product creation, Bart uses Bausch & Lomb. Finally, the establishment of the right team or other organizational structure is made possible by the articulation of the new product strategy—again, crucial success criteria. Consequently, how is new product strategy created? It is what? The Product Innovation Charter, or PIC, was a set of organizational goals and principles for new product development that was first articulated in a landmark work by Merle Crawford in 1980. The PIC includes the target business arenas, the goals for the product development project, any additional product advantages that may result from the newness of the product and/or the timing of its release, and, finally, information about the market for which the new product is being developed. An illustration of a typical Product Innovation Charter form.

The term "new product strategy," which "typically delineates the direction of the firm's new product programmer, the orientation or stance and the commitment to the programmer," was also used by Cooper to highlight problems comparable to the PIC. The substance of "new product strategies," "protocols," or PICs has been the subject of relatively little empirical study in recent years, according to Bart. His study examined 35 potential PIC components and found that 21 of them were specifically mentioned in over 75% of the sample of 86 enterprises. But rather than being described "clearly," they were described "somewhat," which led to the conclusion that "there is generally a propensity to limit the degree to which new products are specified."

Similarly, Cooper, DeGette, and Kleinschmidt's benchmarking research concluded that "improvements are needed in the area of NPD strategy delink- ration." With the exception of identifying strategic areas, a large proportion of firms generally seem to lack much in the way of NPD strategy. However, this study did discover that businesses who disclosed their NPD strategy often outperformed those that didn't. Whether or not an NPD strategy is really specified, it is obvious that choices made early in the process have an impact on those made later and, eventually, the result. Sony and Nintendo have committed both Japanese businesses on technical pathways to market positioning by moving forward with advances in "Blu-ray" DVD and wi-fi touch screen, respectively, to fight with Microsoft's Xbox360. High stakes and increasing investment levels are present. An alternative course of action may have been a "slow and steady" strategy of incremental innovation, client retention, and improved targeting and coverage. The rivalry in the games industry is still in its early stages, so it is too soon to predict who will "win," but it is evident that many approaches might be taken and that the strategic choice about the sort of innovation is not one that can be changed simply [10] .

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the key to gaining success and fostering innovation inside firms in NPD is to manage people well. Organizations may maximize the potential of their NPD teams by building a supportive work environment, hiring the appropriate people, offering training and development opportunities, encouraging communication and cooperation, resolving disagreements, and recognizing contributions. In the end, investing in NPD's human resource

management is an investment in the organization's long-term competitiveness and success. Furthermore, encouraging and keeping top talent in NPD requires recognizing and rewarding team and individual accomplishments. A feeling of ownership, participation, and contentment among team members may be cultivated by implementing efficient performance management systems, offering worthwhile rewards, and recognizing accomplishments.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Barczak, A. Griffin, and K. B. Kahn, "Perspective: Trends and drivers of success in NPD practices: Results of the 2003 PDMA best practices study," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-5885.2009.00331.x.

[2] S. K. Markham and H. Lee, "Marriage and family therapy in NPD teams: Effects of we-ness on knowledge sharing and product performance," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2014, doi: 10.1111/jpim.12184.

[3] S. Rekonen and T. A. Björklund, "Adapting to the changing needs of managing innovative projects," *Eur. J. Innov. Manag.*, 2016, doi: 10.1108/EJIM-10-2014-0103.

[4] G. Barczak, A. Griffin, and K. B. Kahn, "PERSPECTIVE: Trends and Drivers of Success in NPD Practices," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2009.

[5] M. Zheng, K. Pawar, and J. Riedel, "Evaluation of communication patterns during NPD within European and Chinese context," 2016. doi: 10.1109/ICE.2006.7477095.

[6] C. Tomkovick and C. Miller, "Perspective - riding the wind: Managing new product development in an age of change," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0737-6782(00)00056-4.

[7] C. Tomkovick and C. Miller, "Perspective - riding the wind: Managing new product development in an age of change," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2000, doi: 10.1111/1540-5885.1760413.

[8] C. M. McDermott and G. C. O'Connor, "Managing radical innovation: An overview of emergent strategy issues," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0737-6782(02)00174-1.

[9] C. M. McDermott and G. C. O'Connor, "Managing radical innovation: an overview of emergent strategy issues," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2002, doi: 10.1111/1540-5885.1960424.

[10] R. Bhargava, "' La Salle Matrix Thinking ,'" *Bus. Manag. Res.*, 2013.

CHAPTER 4

THE COMPONENTS OF NEW PRODUCT STRATEGY: TECHNOLOGIES AND MARKETS

Dr. Jayakrishna Herur
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: jayakrishna.udupa@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Developing a successful new product requires a well-defined strategy that aligns technological capabilities with market opportunities. This abstract explores the components of new product strategy, focusing on the integration of technologies and markets to drive innovation and achieve competitive advantage. New product strategy involves identifying and selecting technologies that have the potential to create value and meet customer needs. It is useful to differentiate between invention and innovation when thinking about the notions of technical newness. In contrast to innovation, which is an economic occurrence involving the commercial application of new items or processes, an invention is a technical phenomenon involving the discovery of a novel principle.

As a result, an invention has to have market valuethat is, a value that people are prepared to pay forin order to qualify as an innovation. It requires a deep understanding of technological advancements, industry trends, and competitive landscapes. By leveraging emerging technologies, organizations can develop innovative products that offer unique features, enhanced performance, and improved customer experiences.

KEYWORDS:

Competitive Analysis, Market Research, Market Segmentation, Market Trends, New Product Development, Product Differentiation.

INTRODUCTION

The degree of novelty fundamentally determines which markets and technologies are involved in product development when choosing which options to pursue in each area. Referring back to the fundamental strategic decision-making principles discussed in 1, new product strategies may be centered on current markets, new markets, existing technologies, and new technologies, or various degrees of newness within these two dimensions. Before combining them, we'll talk about the difficulties of both technical and market novelty.

Technological Innovation

Though they are often used in conjunction, it is useful to differentiate between invention and innovation when thinking about the notions of technical newness. In contrast to innovation, which is an economic occurrence involving the commercial application of new items or processes, an invention is a technical phenomenon involving the discovery of a novel principle. As a result, an invention has to have market valuethat is, a value that people are prepared to pay forin order to qualify as an innovation. Thus, "technological innovation" is associated with the market from the beginning. Having said that, the conceptual distinction between invention and innovation is significant, as shown by the following observations.

1. An invention is simply one component of the actualization of an innovation, although a crucial one. Therefore, managing innovation involves a conversion process to transform ideas into innovations and extends beyond the discovery of novel concepts and the laboratory [1]–[3].

2. The type and course of the conversion process are given significant weight. One innovation may spark one or more further ones.

3. The conversion processor, to be more precise, processesmight be entirely independent of the innovation. As a result, different individuals will adapt inventions to various degrees and in various industrial contexts at various periods.

If we add the possibility of different levels of innovation in inventions to these three points, the technical foundation from which a corporation must choose its strategy becomes quite complicated. For instance, the creation of Teflon, a non-stick coating for metal, was a significant technical advance. The management of the transition from invention to innovation, nevertheless, took varied forms. For instance, one method included coating cooking utensils with the material to create "non-stick cookware." Another adaptation of the innovation was a coating for men's razors that produced a smoother, less risky shave. Both the cookware and razor businesses used the concept to improve their own goods, resulting in innovations that in the markets for those items would be considered revolutionary. This theory is significant since it appears to support the fact that technical novelty has several facets.

Major or small innovations may result from an invention or technical advancement that has a significant impact on the host industry. The rules of engagement in one business may alter as a result of small technology developments in another. The use of global positioning systems is another example. The first GPS satellite was deployed in 1978, although it was envisioned during a US Department of Defense brainstorming conference in 1973, making it one of the top 10 Christmas gifts of 2005. However, without prior work by the Aerospace Corporation, which began developing GPS in 1961 on the basis of earlier work on por atomic clocks, this would not have been conceivable. Today, GPS is used in trucking, driving, sailing, commercial fishing, surveying, and even when walking. As a result, it becomes necessary to monitor each element and possible technological area in order to keep a company informed of any developments that may have an impact on its operations in the future. Two observations cancel out the size of this undertaking. It is vital for strategic vision to set certain bounds for technology development and conversion because, first, it is inherently difficult to design processes to account for every conceivable technological outcome. Second, as technological progress often occurs iteratively rather than as a single event, radical and incremental innovation are frequently connected. As a result, businesses may absorb innovations at any point along a continuum, whether they come from inside or outside of their own industry. This was the situation at IBM, which managed a process of creating ground-breaking technologies with undiscovered applications while also renewing its product line by adapting old technology to new purposes.

Companies may take advantage of technology via what Rothwell and Gardiner dubbed a "robust design," in addition to managing various degrees of technical novelty. That is, the first "new product" has enough flexibility to support the emergence of several versions. Examples of this abound in the commercial aviation and car industries, where fundamental designs, or platforms, endure for many years despite constant evolution. However, there comes a time when businesses, like Ford and Boeing, must think about eschewing even these "robust" designs. At that time, both they and other industry' potential technological advances

must be considered. The turnaround of IBM, which was previously referred to, has also been connected to the creation of a platform approach to product innovation, which in their case included innovation across many generations of a product line.

The requirement of incorporating technology into firm strategy becomes axiomatic when concepts about technological change are connected to the need to stay competitive. Because technical innovation has the ability to catapult one organization into success, often at the cost of another, the strategic integration of technology is essential. For instance, Eastman-Kodak passed on the earliest opportunity to collaborate with Haloid, opening the door for Rank Xerox, a business that came to be associated with photocopying. In a similar manner, though, Canon stripped Xerox of their dominant position via market expansion rather than just technical progress, a subject to which we shall return later. Although there are several instances that demonstrate how technology is a key component of competitive strategy, it is known that managing technology is challenging, and often, decisions on technology are made by lower-level executives in R&D or engineering departments.

This might mean that, contrary to what Meyer, Amani, and Walsh said in IBM, technology is not always controlled strategically. In spite of tax benefits provided by the government intended to encourage greater investment in innovation, UK firms' expenditure on research and development is actually continuing to drop. R&D investment by British business decreased 1% in 2004 to £17 billion, according to statistics released by the Department for Trade and business on October 24, 2005. In contrast, competitors from outside boosted expenditure, with R&D spending by the top 1000 global corporations rising by 5% to £220 billion. Pfizer, a US pharmaceutical corporation, is among the top R&D spenders globally with a budget of £4 billion. GlaxoSmithKline continued to be the most expensive UK firm, spending £2.8 billion and taking tenth position. Pharmaceutical spending increased by 4%, accounting for more than half of the UK's biggest 750 corporations' overall expenditure together with aerospace and defense.

The amount and variety of the literature on technology strategy and management are immense; it is beyond the scope of this book to cover anything beyond its most fundamental ideas. Trot provides thorough treatment of many of the concerns. Despite this, the aforementioned sentences highlight the need for businesses to include technology into their strategic framework in order to direct the creation of new goods. The management of R&D and technological forecasting are the two important tasks in managing rapidly evolving technology. Each of them receives consideration in turn.

DISCUSSION

Technology Forecasting

The topic of the rate and character of a particular technology's development is at the heart of much of the perceived difficulties in strategic technology management. In brief, a key component of technology management is predicting the extent and direction of a certain technology. There are many different approaches to technology forecasting, including econometric models, professional judgments, and mathematical extrapolations, to name a few. It is important to note that the goal of these techniques is to forecast future aspects of the technology, such as its development along relevant performance measures, even though the specifics of these techniques are not of primary concern here for a review of the successes and failures of technology forecasting over four decades [4]–[6].

Given the speed of technological change and the propensity for discontinuity in technological growth, the ways by which the approaches of technology forecasting strive to attain their

goals are often dependent on the extrapolation of past or current trends. The foundation of even more qualitative methods that rely on expert opinion is often historical observation. In fact, there is a substantial body of data and stories that demonstrate how inaccurate technological forecasting is. For instance, it was predicted that AT&T's "picture phone" would become widely accepted in the 1960s. The business made significant investments in the technology because it thought the industry will be worth $15 billion by 1985. 'Expert opinion' estimated a maximum yearly sale of 1000 units when Xerox contributed in the development of photocopying technology. Four "grey areas" are responsible for the challenges in technology forecasting:

1. The challenge of foreseeing a breakthrough
2. Innovation takes time after invention.
3. The connections between technologies that spur growth
4. The unknowns surrounding the spread of new technology.

In a practical sense, it is practically impossible to predict breakthroughs. Some technical "breakthroughs" are the outcome of a research program, such the decade-long study of antibodies that kill fat cells in animals that led to the development of the "injection" that can "kill" fat. However, since that declaration, there hasn't been a significant effort to advance the technology. However, many scientific discoveries are well-known "accidents," like penicillin, or more mundanely, post-It notes.

Although it is widely known that the gap between invention and innovation is closing, the evidence for this assertion is inconsistent. A trend of decreasing time delays has been suggested by several studies, such as those of Gairn and Lover Berge, however the findings are sometimes tainted by estimations of when an invention or discovery really occurred. In 3, instances of the lengths of time between product invention and commercialization were provided. The key point is that a corporation in a competitive environment has limited direction in determining how soon a discovery or invention will transform into an innovation, which makes it more difficult to decide how to respond strategically to the discovery. Can we anticipate how the diet industry will respond when the 'fat-killing injections' are discovered? According to Cook and Morrison, the period between invention and commercialization will be shortened the more acutely the need is felt. There is undoubtedly a great deal of interest in the UK over the rising rates of obesity, which might indicate that this finding could be developed into an invention with immediate economic value.

Technology is interconnected, therefore for certain discoveries or innovations to be successful, related technical breakthroughs must also take place. Examples include the necessity for inexpensive, high-quality steel for the steam engine and, even earlier, the dependency of the jet engine on breakthroughs in metallurgy. Once again, these skewed variables suggest that forecasting the future of one technology depends on another. Finally, other than with the advantage of 20/20 hindsight, it is difficult to predict how new technology will spread.

Again, there may be a connection between the perceived need of the target market and the spread of the innovation, but the need may only be met at a specific price point, which could have been prohibitive at the time of the original creation. The image that is starting to take shape is that, despite technology's vital significance to the strategic direction of the company, one of the most important methods of integrating it, namely technology forecasting, is challenging. Technology spanning is a version on the concept of technology forecasting that, when addressing strategic development, encompasses many of the challenges associated with coping with technological novelty.

Conducting R&D

Strategic planning, organizational structure, resource allocation, and human resource development are just a few of the processes and structures that have an impact on and are impacted by a company's approach to managing research and development. The administration of R&D also affects how a firm interacts with a variety of outside parties, including universities, rivals, suppliers, and customers. Maintaining the creative flow while directing brilliant R&D workers toward corporate objectives is one of the primary challenges in R&D management, as noted by Twiss. By considering the technical corporation to be active in two different sets of companies, he reconciles these seemingly contradictory tendencies. The first is focused on and determined by business goals, often toward regions with recognized market potential.

The majority of financial resources are allocated towards these goals. However, certain initiatives will develop naturally as these ventures develop or even by accident. Additionally, some funding should be put aside for research that personally interests R&D staff. He contends that people lose interest without this latitude. The crucial part of the 18 projects is that the 10 projects that were developed as a consequence of the search to achieve business goals are not ignored; they serve as the cornerstones of the company's technological strategy. All 18 projects may still be evaluated in the "normal" manner.

Many business experts have thought about the greatest strategy to lead individuals to be as creative as possible. The SAS Institute uses intrinsic motivators, or those essential to the work, such as conference attendance, frequent tool updates, listening to employees' needs through annual surveys, and provision of top-notch facilities like sports suites, daycare, and lessening of on-the-job hassles. Many people agree that simple financial incentives are limited.

It is crucial to make the conclusion that a company's management of its R&D will have a significant influence on its competitive advantage and positioning, connecting this topic back to our Introduction, which established the link between goods and competitive advantage. There are seven possible routes the technology strategy might go.

1. Offensive: high reward, high risk, create brand-new technology
2. Develop a low-cost basis defensively to counter innovative competition
3. Buy-in technical know-how is a license.
4. Find the weak place in the defenses of your opponents.
5. Increase public knowledge of a new technique to meet a need or desire to create a market.
6. Maverick: use the resources that your rivals have committed to.
7. Purchase a company, its technology, or its technologists.

Companies must continue a range of innovation activities, including incremental, architectural, and discontinuous innovationsthose that fundamentally change the foundation for competitiveness in an industry as was previously described. The technology aspect of new product strategy was briefly discussed in the preceding paragraphs as one of its many components. This has been handled from the standpoint of finding the technologically novel components. A corporation must define the technical limits it desires to acknowledge before beginning to build a plan for new product development. This is dependent on the organization's knowledge of technology forecasting and scanning as well as its methods for managing R&D. But when addressing the basic strategic question, "What business are we in? The technology aspect is only one component. Examining the markets that these technologies serve is also necessary [7]–[10].

Market Freshness

Markets may be regarded from two perspectives when it comes to newness: in relation to technologies and requirements, and in relation to the company. Despite the fact that the first of these may sound identical to the previous paragraphs in tone, it is not. It is related to one of the cornerstones of marketing, which states that businesses should fulfill wants rather than create goods. This idea is based on the idea that although particular technology combinations may alter, the requirements they address never change. By adopting this viewpoint, we might see technology as potentially innovative methods to meet requirements. For a technology to be adopted, it must either meet demands more efficiently than its predecessor or just as efficiently but more affordably. This idea is crucial because it enables businesses to evaluate the potential effects of various R&D initiatives. Simply stated, because of its abilities to meet requirements, does the technology generate a "new" market? Consider the micro-wave oven, a relatively "new market" for consumer durables. Was this a newly developed market? Or might it be argued that microwave technology provided a better and more efficient means of satisfying the requirement, or more precisely one component of it?

Another issue to bring up in relation to the newness of markets is that as a new technology creates a "new market" by meeting a need more efficiently or affordably, the initial growth rates start to rise, which results in the market adopting the new technology more and more, as discussed in 4. The categorization of new product development, which serves as the foundation for new product strategies addressed later in this article, incorporates the problem of new market growth. The degree of experience a business has with a certain market is indicated by how new the market is in respect to the firm. Numerous items have uses in numerous market segments, some or all of which a corporation may be acquainted with. For instance, electro-mechanical components like micro switches are utilized in a range of sectors, and despite the fact that they may be relatively simple and undoubtedly low-tech goods, micro switch makers often have a better understanding of some end markets than others.

Therefore, there are markets that are "new" or "unknown" to the company, even those that fall inside the definition of a product category. There is a lot of risk involved with serving these markets with a new or modified technology. A modest Dutch maker of radiator valves is an illustration of this. The company now sells these valves via construction contractors, installers of central heating systems, and wholesale distributors. The DIY market, which is supplied by retailers, is one of the significant market groups that are ignored as a result, which limits the sales potential of their goods. Addressing this market, which would be a "new market" for the organization, involves risk due to the unknowable market as well as the potential impact on the present market.

Therefore, a vision of the novelty to be pursued in both technological and commercial terms is essential to product development strategy. Such a plan should be created to give creativity direction and to provide a rough-cut assessment process that enables managers to choose from among the numerous potential new product concepts. Markets and technology should, however, be taken into account simultaneously since they are both dynamic and have an impact on one another. To combine these two viewpoints, a variety of practical categorization techniques have been proposed.

Management of New Product Idea

It was said that contrary to what many models suggest, the NPD process is seldom linear. The 'first duty' in the process of introducing a new product to the market, idea creation, is therefore seldom a genuine beginning, starting with a blank sheet. Prior to the "first task," a

company's strategic plan, which is based on its operational, competitive, and market environments, has established new product strategy and goals. In order to define what can be accomplished in a practical manner, possibilities and limitations will unavoidably be infused into the idea generation process. An easy illustration is that a corporation will not have the knowledge to implement any ideas that do emerge if its R&D department is not active and well-funded. Additionally, the outcomes of strategic activities like market information collecting and environmental scanning should serve as a rich supply of fresh ideas that support the "idea generation" process.

Ideas are not always equally novel, nor do they necessarily relate to the product in question. As was said before, newness might pertain to markets as well as technology, which would then apply to the product. The pursuit of a completely different business strategy, like that used by Ryanair, whose revenues are far less correlated with passenger flight prices than with "traditional" air travel models, is another example of a concept being fresh. Amazon and Dell are two further instances of innovative business models. These companies compete in the same marketplaces as more established firms like Barnes & Noble or HP, respectively. Therefore, novelty is not necessarily a product concept. Another example is how improvements in manufacturing ultimately result in new items. The novel concepts in food irradiation have given rise to new food items that are competing with the conventional methods of food preservation, such as refrigeration, sugar, and salt. The higher this potential problem will be, the more focus will be placed on the detrimental consequences of salt and sugar.

This introduction will conclude by pointing us that "ideas" do not necessarily have to be "generated." Selling corporate and new product strategy lays forth concepts for development throughout the whole process. Designers are at the creative idea generating core of the business, sales and marketing staff are in regular communication with fresh ideas from rivals and clients, and technologists and scientists working in research labs. This implies that many ideas really need to be handled rather than being "generated," as if they did not already exist. It's about controlling fresh ideas. Finding the sources of new ideas and utilizing those sources are the two key components of managing new ideas. They will analyze the sources of new product ideas and look at how new product developers might make use of those sources to produce new product ideas that can advance to the process' next step.

The Goal of the Ideas Generation

Previous examples demonstrate how dangerous the new product development process is. Although there aren't many launches coming from new product activities, the failure rates for new product launches are substantial. These mortality curves demonstrate the abundance of initial ideas that may be evaluated, developed further, and then evaluated again from a range of viewpoints, including marketing, design, manufacturing, buying, and customer satisfaction. Companies face the danger of dying off all fresh ideas because they are deemed unsuitable if just a small number of ideas start moving through the NPD process. Worse still, they incur the risk of developing and launching certain ideas with little market potential.

On the other hand, since each concept would be taken into account during the "screening" step, the creation of a random and indiscriminate list of ideas would take up a lot of time afterwards. Therefore, the goal of the idea generation phase is to provide a collection of initially favorable concepts that may be pursued for further development and assessment. The "New Product Strategy" serves as the rules for selecting the first concepts that are accepted. Developers may assess the expected potential contribution of ideas, such as growth, earnings, acquiring expertise with a new technology, breaking into a new industry, etc., by defining the

new product's goals. The Management Consultants, PRTM, also support the establishment of distinct, newness-based development strands with explicit innovation targets. The reasoning behind these ground-breaking product concepts should be evaluated with other possible breakthroughs rather than with new product line initiatives or incremental improvement projects. These strands are referred to as "strategic buckets." Comparing concepts that are similar to one another helps to clear the development pipeline.

A fresh product concept is what

At this early stage of the process, a new product concept is a speculative proposal that connects a prospective set of characteristics with a potential market. As the process progresses, these potential traits will be more precisely defined and created to the point where the market's potential may be realized via sales and profits. Therefore, ideas must link to the final advantages they will give to a group of specific prospective clients from the very beginning. Ideas may be related to a tangible product or service, such as the 2005 US nationwide rollout of the cereal café idea known as "Carnality." On a global scale, the idea aims to alter snacking behaviors in a similar manner to how Starbucks alters coffee consumption.

Will the qualities of the concept, as represented by a collection of features, be compatible with a possible market? We can provide examples of how sets of traits might be linked with possible target audiences by taking a look at some new items from the middle of the "noughties".

How likely is it that each of these goods will be a success? Undoubtedly, two of them have received design honors, and the Carnality initiative seems to be gaining ground. However, if the concepts assist a particular client group or groups of customers is what determines whether they will be successful commercially? Are they more than just amalgamations of various or novel technology and features? Have the advantages of such technologies and features been adapted for certain client groups? Of course, depending on several circumstances at play during the development process, excellent ideas may not be developed in a manner that delivers advantages.

A concept that has no connection to client advantages will certainly have a considerably more difficult time becoming effective. As a result, the $10k-priced "Embryo," a recreational unicycle based on gyroscopic technology, could not be a "market winner.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Successful new product strategy requires a thorough knowledge of markets and technology. Organizations may create cutting-edge goods that satisfy client wants and gain a competitive advantage by fusing technology capabilities with market demand.

Organizations may create a successful new product strategy that promotes innovation, growth, and customer happiness by skillfully assessing and choosing technologies, doing market research, segmenting the market, and promoting partnerships. In the development of new products, partnerships and collaboration are also crucial.

To get access to cutting-edge technologies, broaden their market reach, and improve their capacity for innovation, organizations might make use of external knowledge from sources like research institutes, technology suppliers, or strategic partnerships. Collaboration enables businesses to take advantage of new market possibilities and remain at the forefront of technical development.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Zocca, P. Colombo, C. M. Gomes, and J. Günster, "Additive Manufacturing of Ceramics: Issues, Potentialities, and Opportunities," *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, doi: 10.1111/jace.13700.

[2] D. Stenholm, D. Corin Stig, L. Ivansen, and D. Bergsjö, "A framework of practices supporting the reuse of technological knowledge," *Environ. Syst. Decis.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10669-019-09732-4.

[3] W. Kang and M. Montoya, "The impact of product portfolio strategy on financial performance: The roles of product development and market entry decisions," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2014, doi: 10.1111/jpim.12111.

[4] G. Thomassen, S. Van Passel, and J. Dewulf, "A review on learning effects in prospective technology assessment," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.109937.

[5] B. Healy, M. O'Dwyer, and A. Ledwith, "An exploration of product advantage and its antecedents in SMEs," *J. Small Bus. Enterp. Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JSBED-06-2017-0206.

[6] C. M. Christensen, F. F. Suárez, and J. M. Utterback, "Strategies for survival in fast-changing industries," *Manage. Sci.*, 1998, doi: 10.1287/mnsc.44.12.s207.

[7] C. Muriana, "A focus on the state of the art of food waste/losses issue and suggestions for future researches," *Waste Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2017.06.047.

[8] Y. Wei, V. Kasireddy, and B. Akinci, "Advanced Computing Strategies for Engineering," *Adv. Comput. Strateg. Eng.*, 2018.

[9] R. N. Castro and J. J. P. Ferreira, "Project portfolio management in the front-end of innovation of research centers: A literature review," *Technology Innovation Management Review*. 2020. doi: 10.22215/TIMREVIEW/1409.

[10] A. Sadeh and D. O. V. Dvir, "The effect of technological risk, market uncertainty and the level of complexity on new technology ventures' success," *Int. J. Innov. Manag.*, 2020, doi: 10.1142/S1363919620500474.

CHAPTER 5

ROLE OF INFORMATIONSOURCES FOR NEW PRODUCT IDEAS

Dr. Lakshmi Prasanna Pagadala
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: lakshmi.prasanna@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

The generation of new product ideas is a critical step in the innovation process, and accessing relevant and diverse sources of information is essential for identifying potential opportunities. This abstract explores the various sources of information that organizations can tap into for new product ideas and highlights their significance in driving innovation and competitive advantage.Finding these sources and organizing them in a manner that activates the flow of ideas and directs them to persons responsible for turning them into new product launches is the work that businesses looking for new product ideas must do. There are numerous sources of information that can inspire new product ideas. Customer insights and feedback play a pivotal role as they provide firsthand knowledge of their needs, desires, and pain points. Engaging with customers through surveys, interviews, focus groups, and social media platforms enables organizations to gain valuable insights and identify unmet needs that can be translated into new product opportunities.

KEYWORDS:

Consumer feedback, Customer surveys, Focus groups, Industry reports, Market research, Patent databases.

INTRODUCTION

There are several information sources available for new product concepts. These may be divided into those that are internal and external to the firm. Finding these sources and organizing them in a manner that activates the flow of ideas and directs them to persons responsible for turning them into new product launches is the work that businesses looking for new product ideas must do. We shall examine internal and external sources of knowledge in turn in the following sections. The 'techniques' of idea production are next examined, including methods for arranging and energizing various sources of knowledge. In order to control creativity, we continue this section with a broader discussion of how internal and external information might be combined.

Internal Resources for Data

Research and development, design, marketing and sales, manufacturing and engineering, as well as technical and customer services, are all possible information sources for the company. These are looked at.

Investigation and Creation

Researchers who invent, enhance, or combine technology expressly for new goods are often employed by corporations. These researchers might be found in the technical services department or in a broader department like research and development, engineering, or engineering design. The purpose of the persons inside the unit, department, or organization is to start new goods; the particular description of the entity is not of primary concern [1]–[3].

When the integration of numerous related technologies is necessary for the invention of products and/or services, the scope of variety in enterprises' R&D activities is much greater. Faurecia, a French firm, offers automotive components for six different parts of the vehicle, including the seat, cockpit, door, acoustic, front-end, and exhaust. These components span advancements in metallurgy, polymers, glass fiber, and chemical engineering, to mention a few. The corporation includes 28 Research and Development and Design and Development centers spread across five nations, with 5,000 researchers and technicians working there. Its growth strategy is heavily reliant on innovation.

R&D directed in one market sector may sometimes result in product developments in another. One such is the Timex Indigo, a watch designed to be worn in total darkness and developed via research and development with the goal of providing electroluminescent solutions for fighter-bomber cockpit lighting. Another example is Lunar Design, a new product design consulting company that collaborates with various projects and sectors to provide ideas for new products. 300 researchers are employed at Canon's R&D center, where they focus on cutting-edge technologies including opt-electronics, super-precision controls, and electron beam applications. Additionally, Canon has a few R&D centers throughout Europe. For instance, based on a development in what is now known as "wide-image stereo," Canon Audio has developed an R&D division in England. Without requiring listeners to place themselves equally far from each speaker, this innovation produced a realistic stereophonic experience. The development of this technology is still being supported by Canon, and it was anticipated that it will lead to a number of further products, including public address systems. These groups, divisions, or teams provide as significant informational resources for developing new goods. However, it is not usually the case that the data stored in these reservoirs is used for professional purposes, at least not right away. As was previously mentioned, one of the critiques of Sony was the stickiness of information stored in "silos," as opposed to Samsung.

DISCUSSION

Marketing and Sales

In especially for product upgrades and line expansions, marketing and sales professionals are a valuable source of information since they often interact with customers. For instance, management at Marks & Spencer closely monitored changes in food tastes to design and launch their additive-free line of convenience foods. This first-to-market addition built on past launches based on the identification of growing markets, such as the removal of monosodium glutamate from goods more than 10 years ago and the removal of the coloring agent Atrazine even earlier.

Keeping up with market trends in order to create new items is a big part of marketing's work in businesses. As their brands marketing director, Richard Collins stated, "Consumers are requesting more premium and healthy soft drink options and offer a larger bottle serve rather than the traditional mixer option or free-pour juices which are perceived as unhygienic," the constant stream of new products from Britvic is an example of a company listening to consumers' voices. It should be noted, however, that even in the FMCG sector, where marketing departments may be where ideas first come to fruition, new product concepts still need to be structured and activated.

As a result, although Raleigh, a UK-based manufacturer of bicycles, lagged behind in responding rapidly to the rising demand for recreational cycles, suppliers in the US and Far East were moving forward with innovations that may truly change the nature of demand. Of course, marketing departments, whose job it is to continually analyze market trends and feed

information to new product activities, are frequently in charge of new product concepts and their development. On external sources of information for new product ideas, it is addressed how marketing activity looks for new product ideas.

Engineering and Manufacturing

The importance of manufacturing to competitiveness has received more emphasis in recent years. With product life cycles becoming shorter, consumer preferences and demands getting more sophisticated, technology evolving, and competition intensifying, it is essential for businesses to include manufacturing in the product development process. This collaboration has been seen as essential for accelerating development cycles, enabling the production of a wider range of new goods, and launching new products that are competitively priced and dependable from the very beginning of their life cycles.

In a seminal article published fifteen years ago, Wheelwright and Clark explained how manufacturing innovations in the production of medical devices, intended to increase reliability and reduce costs, also led to new applications for the devices and, as a result, the treatment of a wider range of clinical problems and patients. Even earlier, investigations by Myers and Marquis revealed that production-based concepts accounted for 20% of new goods that were successful. Manufacturing innovations, like the decrease in component diversity, may have the main goal of improving the production process, but if these concepts can be successfully organized and put into action, they may also provide potential for product improvement.

Technical and Customer Services

It's possible for concepts for new goods to emerge anywhere inside an organization. The knowledge about new items and technology that purchasing and supply staff have access to may help spark innovative ideas for new products. According to Bonaccorsi and Leporine, a top Italian manufacturer of equipment for food processing and packaging has cut development costs and delays by integrating suppliers into the NPD process. Customers and technical support staff routinely interact with the product while it is being used, exposing them to potential issues and opportunities that new or upgraded products may address or take advantage of. Any person inside the firm has the potential to come up with ideas, thus it is crucial to involve their expertise and opinions into the first search for fresh concepts.

External Information Sources

Internal sources of knowledge for new goods typically draw on interactions with the outside world, including interactions with clients, vendors, and technical advancements. However, businesses looking to create competitive new goods won't depend entirely on people who have an interest in certain outside information sources. They will actively locate and keep an eye on these sources. Alma conducted a study of the literature in this field. This article examines sources of outside knowledge that fall under one of the following categories:

1. Information provided by clients
2. Information obtained from rivals
3. Knowledge gleaned from the technological/scientific realm.
4. Information gleaned from clients

As we've seen, a large portion of the literature on NPD success supports the notion that knowing users' wants is a prerequisite for new product success. Therefore, it makes sense to ask consumers for their opinions on the product or service being produced at some stage in the development process. Additionally, customers will be a valuable potential source of ideas

for future development due to their understanding of the product, usage, and difficulties. Companies in the consumer goods industry utilize this information to identify market gaps that may be addressed by new products. Recent consumer items, including Waitrose's upscale sausages and pork pies, were developed based on in-depth consumer data that revealed a market potential for the sector. Similar to this, Tesco's introduction of its own-brand cholesterol-lowering goods is supported by data showing the expansion of private brands in this market[4]–[6].

According to research, clients are a great source of new product ideas in industrial markets. A number of earlier studies in which large percentages of new items originated from consumers were included in von Hippel's foundational study. More proof of the significance of customers is provided by the efforts of Grunter and Homburg and Alma in services. While Airbus, which delivered more aircraft to customers in 2003 than Boeing, often engages with customers for fresh ideas, Boeing has long involved officials from client airlines in discussions about more fuel-efficient aircraft other businesses combine more in-depth consumer use observation with more conventional customer study techniques, such user interviews. Consumer research, for instance, is what motivated RHM to introduce a loaf without a crust. According to the study, 35% of British parents slice off the crusts of their children's sandwiches, wasting 45% of the bread in total. The crestless loaf has been made using unique tins and a novel method that will be covered by a patent. How often consumers are involved in the creation of new products is not well-documented empirically. Pavia discovered that consumers are a key source of new product ideas in a sample of 118 high-tech enterprises.

Tokays and Hart's study revealed that the more successful new product developers in their sample employed customer focus groups, lead user analysis, one-on-one customer interviews, and customer site visits. There are many opportunities for discussing new goods and how they could enhance customer performance in industrial markets where suppliers are in regular touch with their customers, often via just-in-time delivery and materials needs planning. Of course, soliciting suggestions from consumers is not always acceptable, particularly when they are unable to come up with fresh approaches to meeting demands and wishes. The often-cited example of the Sony Walkman, a tremendous success created without direct client input, serves as proof of the abilities of researchers and designers whose insight results in the creation of goods with enormous market appeal. However, the so-called "gearhead culture," which left Sony with its Trinitron TVs after flat-screen TVs had garnered general consumer approval, has come under heavy fire. Finally, since other companies will create similar new items, user relevance alone won't be enough to ensure a new product's success. Therefore, it's crucial to check if new product concepts have a competitive angle, or a possible competitive edge. Indeed, a source that is mentioned is rivals, who often provide knowledge for new product ideas.

Information obtained From Rivals

The goal of new product strategy is to focus development efforts on taking advantage of market opportunities. Given that possible rivals who will defend their positions may already be serving market demands or in the future, this calls for a competitive viewpoint. Because of this, opportunities should explicitly mention the existence of competitors—current or potential—and organizations must determine if they can effectively counteract this competition. Such a viewpoint does not mandate that a business be limited to its present technology, goods, and markets. Canon's debut into the copier business is a prime example of a competitive product development that is successful. Based on a thorough analysis of the office equipment markets in Europe and the US, Canon created a line of products that were

not only more affordable and reliable than their rivals, but also simpler to use so that they could be sold by a large network of office supply retailers. Xerox, one of its main rivals, used a direct sales force extensively. As a result, Canon drew inspiration from both the market and their rival's goods and processes while creating their new line of products. A thorough examination of how rivals were monopolizing the SUV markets, which are also quite competitive, enabled Land Rover to introduce the "Discovery." In reaction to the introductions of the Toyota Land cruiser, the Daihatsu Four Trak, and the Mitsubishi Shogun, to name a few, Land Rover started work on their own mid-market four-wheel drive that is targeted at the leisure market. The Discovery was designed to provide the comfort often seen in a passenger automobile, in contrast to Japanese products, which study shown to be lacking in interior comfort and equipment as well as storage. As a result, research on the rival companies that had created the market aided in determining the scope and specifics of a new product for Land Rover.

This strategy is undergirded by the ongoing argument over adopting an "innovator or follower" stance. Such a discussion is not immediately pertinent during the concept generating stage since the leader-follower problem is strategic. Even leaders cannot afford to overlook the advantages provided by rival companies, therefore information about their products is a valuable source of suggestions for a new product program. However, Xerox has come under fire for failing to commercialize innovative product concepts and technology developed at its PARC research facility.

More radical yet, Chesbrough offers examples of how "open innovation" or open R&D might support the development of new products. For instance, Schlumberger sells innovative ideas for oil field services to both clients and rivals, cutting its own drilling costs and expanding knowledge of the properties of oil reservoirs. Later in this, specific methods for gathering information about rival items are described. We look to technical sources for fresh product concepts. According to information from the scientific and technical world, businesses must be aware of technological advancements in their own and related sectors. There are challenges with this broader technological scan. Companies, however, have a variety of sources to which they may go when they need to produce fresh ideas, including:

1. researchers and academic institutions
2. universities
3. patents
4. licensing
5. government agency and reports
6. associations for trade
7. technical advisers
8. databanks.

The examples that follow demonstrate how using outside technologies may help with product development. A tiny manufacturing firm named Specialized Laboratory Equipment sought help from a medical school development to turn around its financial situation. The business makes newborn ventilators and electro-encephalograph devices, which are used to measure electrical impulses inside the brain. Infant ventilator sales were impacted by the recession, and the earlier devices were inferior to more modern technology. However, a new technology that was licensed allowed for the production of ventilators without a valve and higher-rate delivery of inspiratory gases. The firm had to pay a tiny fee on each sale in addition to £20,000 for the license [7]–[10].

However, not only tiny businesses search outside of their own resources for innovative technology. The Swedish computer and telecommunications companies Ericsson, NCR Corporation, Merck Sharpe and Dohme, and the tiny technology consultancy named the Technology Partnership have all used concepts from this source. Information for new product ideas may also come from suppliers, distributors, and agents in addition to these sources. Suppliers that are creating new items on their own could come up with concepts that can be incorporated into new goods. The Weir group's minerals division, typical of many large manufacturing organizations, has partnerships with universities in Australia, Chile, the US, the UK, the Netherlands, South Africa, and Russia, while Intel runs small-scale "tablets," where a technology professor is funded for a two-year period before being replaced by a successor. Intel is given access to a broad range of academic networks in this fashion.

Manufacturers are increasingly relying on distribution channels and the services they provide as they try to provide complete "bundles of benefits". For instance, Commodore Business Machines assigned Federal Express, its delivery channel, to handle repairs and services. To identify the prospects, this kind of ‘service innovation' requires close collaboration with channel participants.

Methods For Activating Information Sources

The approaches predominate in numerous publications and articles about the production of fresh product ideas. This is hardly unexpected considering the variety of techniques available. What percentage of these methods are really employed, however, is less certain. The 10 most common "techniques" utilized, according to research of 245 of the UK's top corporations, were as follows.

1. Visits from rivals

2. Shopping trips

3. Trips to foreign stores

4. Market research

5. Foreign trade publications

Job switching

Job rotation also benefits from fostering a new perspective in an attempt to promote multidisciplinary dialogue. Either the system is completely flexible, with personnel serving set terms in each department, or they are temporarily seconded to a development team. It is anticipated that people would not be constrained by a certain department's history, practices, or personalization since they approach things from a different viewpoint.

Corporate Suggestion Programs

If it takes 300 ideas to develop a single successful breakthrough product, as Stevens and Burley contend, then ideas are valuable and businesses should work to prevent an environment in which they are unable to spread.

The purpose of corporate suggestion programs is to encourage workers to submit their ideas, thus it's crucial that the program be actively promoted to staff members and that suggestions that are submitted are given careful attention. Showery offers six recommendations to make sure that plans are seen as fair. One of the seven reasons Majuro lists for why corporate suggestion programs don't seem to work is "poor promotion of the scheme".

Business Contests

Nowadays, many businesses hold contests to spark innovation. As previously indicated, Faurecia started the "1,000 ideas" campaign in 2004 to boost the amount of new product ideas submitted for consideration. The Chairman's award for innovation is a contest for invention that the Weir group sponsors at the corporate level.

Business Think Tank

The think tank was founded on the principle that bright individuals working closely together in focused sessions are more likely to provide original answers to issues affecting the company. Though not always, think tanks are made up of persons at the management level. Instead, than being employed to come up with fresh product ideas, they are often used strategically inside businesses. A set of goals that are clearly stated is essential for both levels in order to direct participant selection and establish their responsibilities. The goals must be stated in terms that can be achieved; as a result, they may easily be taken from the new product strategy statement in the context of concept creation. See Majuro for a fantastic, in-depth analysis on how to run a think tank. Davenport, Priska, and Wilson provide more guidance on how to support those that come up with original ideas.

Inventive Teams

These serve as a less highbrow alternative to think tanks, where staff are randomly selected from various departments. A crew with this special mandate may often work off-site for a few days to generate ideas for new goods. To gain from being freed from mundane tasks that are often seen as uncreative, the group is sent away. To minimize conflict or duplication, it is obvious that the interaction between this sort of group and a new products committee or department must be carefully planned. Activation methods for internal and external sources numerous strategies might be used in this, but we'll focus on the most popular ones, which can be divided into the following groups:

1. approaches similar to brainstorming
2. product-focused methods
3. methods depending on the market
4. scenario planning.

Each of these groups' strategies may be used to business personnel, clients, customers, distributors, exporters, suppliers, and more.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for developing new product ideas and fostering innovation, accessing a variety of and relevant information sources is essential. The ideation process is aided by customer insights, market research, and competitive analysis, internal expertise, outside alliances, new technology, and external trends.

Organizations may uncover and seize new product possibilities, stoke their innovation pipelines, and gain a competitive advantage in the market by actively searching out and using various sources of information. New product ideas may also be sparked by outside inspirations including consumer trends, societal and cultural changes, and world events.

Organizations may predict future demands and create goods that are in line with changing trends and preferences by keeping an eye on changes in customer behavior, cultural values, and lifestyle patterns.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Hoornaert, M. Ballings, E. C. Malthouse, and D. Van den Poel, "Identifying New Product Ideas: Waiting for the Wisdom of the Crowd or Screening Ideas in Real Time," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/jpim.12396.

[2] M. M. Yusr, S. S. Mohd Mokhtar, W. M. A. W. Abaid, S. Perumal, and W. I. Mohd Fauzi, "The antecedents' strategies and processes of product innovation performance," *Manag. Sci. Lett.*, 2018, doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2018.8.006.

[3] Y. Zhang and A. Ye, "Improving global gross primary productivity estimation by fusing multi-source data products," *Heliyon*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e09153.

[4] M. A. Demircioglu, D. B. Audretsch, and T. F. Slaper, "Sources of innovation and innovation type: Firm-level evidence from the United States," *Ind. Corp. Chang.*, 2019, doi: 10.1093/icc/dtz010.

[5] F. Hervé and A. Schwienbacher, "CROWDFUNDING AND INNOVATION," *J. Econ. Surv.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/joes.12274.

[6] Y. Zhan and K. H. Tan, "An analytic infrastructure for harvesting big data to enhance supply chain performance," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2018.09.018.

[7] M. Dodgson, D. Gann, and A. Salter, "The role of technology in the shift towards open innovation: The case of Procter & Gamble," *R D Manag.*, 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9310.2006.00429.x.

[8] J. Tóth and G. Rizzo, "Search strategies in innovation networks: The case of the Hungarian food industry," *Sustain.*, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12051752.

[9] H. Cripps, A. Singh, T. Mejtoft, and J. Salo, "The use of Twitter for innovation in business markets," *Mark. Intell. Plan.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/MIP-06-2019-0349.

[10] C. R. Maia, G. L. Lunardi, D. Dolci, and L. C. D'Avila, "Competitive price and trust as determinants of purchase intention in social commerce," *BAR - Brazilian Adm. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1590/1807-7692bar2019190074.

CHAPTER 6

CONCEPT OF BRAINSTORMING AND ITS VARIATIONS

Dr. Akhila Udupa
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: akhila.udupa@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Brainstorming is a widely recognized and popular technique used to generate creative ideas and solutions within a group setting. This abstract explores the concept of brainstorming and its variations, known as brainstorming-type techniques.A group of people concentrate on a problem and utilize their creative thinking to present it. It is a strategy for encouraging intuitive thinking in which people are urged to draw inspiration from and build their own ideas from the ideas of others. Although brainstorming may be utilized for a variety of things, our main interest is with how useful it is for coming up with fresh product ideas. It is based on a variety of ideas that are meant to foster creativity. It highlights the benefits, key principles, and variations of brainstorming, as well as the factors that contribute to its effectiveness. Brainstorming is a collaborative and structured approach to idea generation that encourages participants to freely express their thoughts, explore unconventional ideas, and build upon each other's contributions. The primary objective is to generate a large quantity of ideas without judgment or evaluation during the initial phase.

KEYWORDS:

Affinity Diagram, Attribute Listing, Brain writing, Creative Problem Solving (CPS), Delphi Technique, Fishbone Diagram, Mind Mapping.

INTRODUCTION

In the process of brainstorming, a group of people concentrate on a problem and utilize their creative thinking to present it. It is a strategy for encouraging intuitive thinking in which people are urged to draw inspiration from and build their own ideas from the ideas of others. Although brainstorming may be utilized for a variety of things, our main interest is with how useful it is for coming up with fresh product ideas. It is based on a variety of ideas that are meant to foster creativity.

1. A delay in judgment. When brainstorming, it is best to foster an environment of unrestricted thinking, eschewing idea rejection in favor of swiftly developing a huge number of ideas.

2. Creation of group ideas. Associations of concepts are should be promoted since it is an intuitive method. This calls on the ability and willingness of each group member to listen to the others.

3. Composition that is cross-disciplinary. The group should include representatives from several departments within the organization to foster fresh viewpoints and idea-sharing. If the group consists of customers instead of or in addition to workers, they should represent various consumer categories. A group should have a leader, an associate leader who serves as the scribe, around five regular "core" members, and five guest members, according to Osborne, who is credited with developing the approach. According to research, groups of four

to seven people tend to provide the greatest outcomes, but as Majuro points out, there is no magic number. Instead, the group size should be one that the leader feels comfortable managing. One last thing to note about group composition has to do with seniority: it's crucial to avoid include people who are much "higher" than the other members, since this may limit their ability to participate freely because it may be assumed that they are making judgments [1]–[3].

4. The basic objective is quantity. The likelihood that an idea will emerge that is really innovative increases as more ideas are generated. This circumstance supports "deferred judgment." Ideas are not, however, elaborated upon at this time.

5. Duration. There isn't a perfect amount of time to allot. After the warm-up, Showery advises that the workout should last 20 to 45 minutes. A ten-minute warm-up should be followed by 30 to 35 minutes of talk, according to Waddell. Majuro advises restarting the brainstorming process after a 20-minute warm-up and another 20 minutes of actual brainstorming if less than 50 ideas have surfaced.

Although questions have been raised regarding the technique's effectiveness, instances when it has been shown to be ineffective are often the result of a breach of one of the aforementioned rules, an improper subject, or inadequate session preparation on the part of the leader. The emphasis of the topics should be on issues or opportunities that may be addressed or taken advantage of in a variety of ways. Usually, just one question is the center of a brainstorming session. Therefore, it's critical that this question is valid and that everyone responding to it understands its meaning. The inquiry shouldn't call for technical knowledge that is outside the scope of the group members' previous experiences.There are other variants of traditional brainstorming in addition to it. These are from Gisha and consist of:

1. Inventing negative solutions. A new product concept or ideas are dissected in two sessions, the first of which compiles all the drawbacks, and the second of which concentrates on strengthening the weakest points.

2. Brain writing. Participants in this association-based activity jot down their thoughts on paper instead of speaking to one another, and then the paper is passed around. Although the technique is meant to limit the impact of dominating personalities in the group, it may impede the associative richness that comes from vocal dialogue.

3. Conversation. A fairly big group is broken into smaller teams of six individuals, each of whom brainstorms for six minutes before the main group reconvenes to present and discuss findings. Once again, this variation is used to lessen the impact of dominant personalities.

4. Procedure. In five minutes, six people can generate three ideas via brainstorming. The concepts are documented and sent to the next group, where associations will be formed. This method increases variations on the fundamental topics while concentrating debate on a smaller number of concepts.

5. A writing collective. On a piece of paper, each participant writes down four thoughts, which are then collected in the center. They then trade sheets of paper after selecting from the pool and adding ideas to the bottom of the list up until they run out of options. The gallery approach and "card crick- slating" are other methods built on this foundation. In the first activity, each participant records one idea on a card and delivers it to a neighbor who may use it to spark more thought. The second approach involves individuals moving from one card to the next by foot.

6. The group notebook approach. Eight to ten participants are requested to record their thoughts in a notebook, with least one idea being recorded each day. After about a week, the exchange of notebooks allows the associations to start. For almost four weeks, this procedure continues. Product-centered practices, like brainstorming, may be employed by firm employees or by groups of customers or consumers.

DISCUSSION

Attribute Analysis

The goal of attribute analysis approaches is to provide product enhancements and line expansions. Their foundational idea is straightforward: goods are systems of qualities, containing features that carry out a variety of tasks and must provide advantages. Any one or any combination of them might be changed to result in a new product with a new set of advantages. But there is a chance that concentrating just on features may lead to product distinction that is not intended to bring about a marked improvement. For instance, basic scissors have the following characteristics.

1. Two steel parts

2. a centrally located riveted junction

3. Each piece has a loop in the steel at one end.

4. Blades at the opposite end of each piece's facing edges

5. Painted loops

6. Bigger than the other loop.

Not all features have corresponding advantages and functions; for instance, the painted scissors' loops have no actual purpose but do so aesthetically. On the other hand, the riveted connection keeps the blades in place so that cutting may occur, providing both function and profit. Any of these qualities might be modified. For added comfort, loops might, for instance, have a plastic coating. Various cutting surfaces or various edging requirements call for distinct blade shapes. The three main methodologies discussed in this articleattribute listing, value analysis, and morphological analysisdeal with a variety of the traits mentioned above.

1. The emphasis of attribute listing, also known as dimensional analysis, is on features, but as was already said, benefits must also be taken into account if the resulting new product concepts are to be successful. The process involves disassembling the fundamental product to reveal its component pieces, after which modifications to these elements are thought of. We may use a baby's feeding bottle as an example, listing components such as:

1. A plastic cylindrical container
2. Threaded vessel neck
3. A hole-filled rubber teat
4. A round, threaded cap made of plastic
5. unbreakable disk
6. A Snap-On plastic cap.

1.Any one of these components might be altered to create a novel sort of bottle. Attributes generate questions, which generate ideas. The container must be cylindrical. In fact, new forms have been produced for the shape that are simpler to grip. Similarly, longer-lasting silicone is also used to make teats. For simpler cleaning, the bottle's general form might be

altered. Therefore, even if some manufacturers have made changes to the fundamental characteristics, the changes in features have some relationship to benefits. The question of whether the vessel's neck and cap need to be threaded is one of the more recent suggestions. Could a Snap-On mechanism be used to shut the bottle?

2. Value evaluation. Although largely used to reduce costs, this technique is a kind of attribute listing that, by putting a premium on the price of features, materials, and other factors, may aid in the discovery of new or enhanced product concepts [4]–[6].

3. Investigation of the morphology. a third method that considers physical characteristics. Once again, it is built on identifying characteristics, corresponding advantages, and scenarios explaining client usage. Then, they are rearranged into various combinations. Consumers provide the information about the advantages and customer usage via research. This is best shown by a hypothetical case involving oral hygiene. In 8.9, the equipment's components and forms are shown along with some potential use scenarios. We may choose representative samples of current items from the matrix. The "normal" type of a toothbrush, for instance, has a plastic handle, a plastic head, and a cylindrical form for household usage. We may also come up with fresh concepts, such a portable, foldable, wooden dental tape that can be used to promote regular flossing. Although this example is based on a consumer product, industrial products firms may also apply the strategy. In actuality, the method was created during the construction of the jet engine.

Systematic Innovation in Thought

Enrich Altshuller, a Russian engineer, conducted research that served as the foundation for this strategy, which uses five innovation patterns that are all centered on existing goods. The first strategy is subtraction, which is improving a product by deleting features. Philips explored this strategy by experimenting with their DVD player without the local display and control buttons. The award-winning Slim line Q series of DVD players was the outcome. The second is multiplication, which involves making copies of one or more components from an existing product and then making changes to the copies. For instance, a trash can with two bins that enables garbage to be sorted for recycling. The final pattern is division, which provides the same capacity to reconfigure the pieces in a creative manner as value analysis discussed before.

The detachable fascia of automobile stereos and radios is used by the writers as an example since it enhances utility and value as an anti-theft measure. The fourth task unification pattern involves combining many tasks into a single component. The luggage wheels, which removed the need for a bag and luggage cart, serve as a good illustration of this. The third pattern is attribute dependency change, which breaks down and then reassembles relationships between product attribute pairs, much like morphological analysis. The creation of AG Barr's ItzRed line of soft drinks, which includes ItzRed But Tastes Green/Orange/Purple, is a recent development that demonstrates the logic of this. These novel goods, which debuted in April 2004, aimed to capitalize on customers' perceptions of associations between flavor and color.

Analogy

Analogy may be used to see uncommon combinations of characteristics, benefits, locations of use, and other things in a more indirect approach than morphological analysis, which juxtaposes attribution and aspects of use in unexpected ways. In that it is a method based on intuitive connection, the use of analogies is similar to brainstorming. The word's Greek origin means "joining together" of things that seem to be unrelated. The method is built on two

concepts: making the unfamiliar odd and making the familiar unfamiliar. Since it is believed that the mind would reorganize unusual patterns into acceptable, more familiar ones, this has the effect of forcing answers. Sowery offers four examples of how to make the familiar unusual.

1. Personal analogy: Role playing and personal connection with a problem's aspects free the person from seeing the issue in terms of its previously analyzed components. The group might think about questions like: "How would I feel if I were the closure on this wide-necked bottle?'.

2. Direct analogy: Looking to nature for analogies to tackle the difficulty of developing a new style of bottle closure, such as the human mouth or a clam. Particularly when it comes to biology, analogies are often abundant and useful.

3. Symbolic analogy: Using well-known, aesthetically pleasing—yet technically impractical—images to illustrate the issue, such as comparing the closing to the cave entrance in Ali Baba's story.

4. Fantasy analogy: Wish fulfillment in the best-case scenario, such as picturing how the closure may function in our wildest desires. Sessions that use parallels and synaptic to generate new ideas are run similarly to brainstorming sessions, lasting 45 to 60 minutes. Five or six people make up the group, and there are two leaders—one who oversees administration and the other who directs the session. After stating the "initial problem," analogues are next suggested. Prince provides various instances of potential solutions to challenges.

Although the formalized approach would seem to be pushing the boundaries of plausibility and pragmatism, it should be kept in mind that it aims to control creativity, a phenomena that many would see as being beyond management. Georges de Mistrals, who discovered burdock seed heads stuck to his clothes, provides proof that the human mind can and does function on the level of analogy. When he looked more closely, he saw that the seed heads had tiny hooks, which he utilized as the fundamental comparison to create what is now known as Velcro.

Compelled Partnerships

Zander and Ziegler explained how considering the connections between items that are often unconnected might help break down chains and liberate linkages that could inspire new product ideas. Combining the unexpected might lead to the discovery of new patterns. There is a wide range of possible product-centered strategies, and each one has a different implementation methodology.

Majaro gave a thorough explanation of the specifics of morphological analysis. Additionally, there are several methods for mapping goods in relation to one another. However, since they often include some consumer input and are more concerned with identifying future market gaps than with altering the mix of qualities inside any one product, they are handled using market-based methodologies [7]–[10].

Methods Depending on The Market

In this article, we look at the House of Quality, repertory grid approach, and gap analysis. When it comes to idea creation, these methods all have the same objective: they aid in creating a picture of how goods interact with one another from the perspective of customers. They do vary, mostly in terms of how the information utilized to create the images is gathered and analyzed. Additionally, the techniques can be applied later in the NPD process,

particularly at the concept development stage, to tweak the features and advantages of a proposed new product. This is because they reveal unmet needs in a product-market category, which facilitates the task of idea generation.

Analysis of Gaps

Gap analysis includes a number of methods, such as determinant mapping, non-metric mapping, and perceptual mapping. These are introduced in this as idea generation techniques, but just like with repertory grids and the House of Quality, they can be used again at the concept development stage when ideas are refined into more specific concepts because they concentrate on perceptions of product qualities and their match with market perceptions or needs. The overarching goal is to provide a "map" of a product-market category based on factors considered to be significant. Bipolar scales are used to describe these dimensions. The market for desserts may benefit from dimensions such as cold-hot, healthy-unhealthy, and traditional-non-traditional. Perceptual mapping allows both consumers and users of a product to express how they perceive the characteristics that goods falling under a given category may have. As a result, a comprehensive picture of the dessert market as seen by the consumers can be developed. Let's take a look at European desserts as an example and map them using two market views: hot-cold and sophisticated-unsophisticated.

This map shows that there is a more pronounced 'gap' in the market in the area of sultry, sophisticated desserts that could be worth pursuing. Furthermore, while using perceptual mapping, the client should care about the dimensions employed. In other words, the dimensions themselves should be obtained from the respondents during the data gathering operation before being utilized to score the different items. By doing this, it would be made sure that any holes found would matter to the client. Data collection must include a qualitative step in order to discover those crucial aspects. The best ways to elicit both individual and shared perspectives are via face-to-face, individual interviews or focus groups interviews, in which groups of six to eight people discuss product aspects in connection to their experience and requirements. Following elicitation, a second step of rating various items on each dimension occurs. Usually, a postal questionnaire or structured interviews will be used for this. Counting how many individuals agree to what extent with the newly pre-specified parameters is the focus of the second step.

It's possible that certain important dimensions were recognized at the first step and measured at the second level. It is feasible to depict two or three dimensions on a single map, but how do you decide which dimensions to display there? Because they will highlight "gaps" in market perceptions of the traits, those considered to be most crucial for product differentiation are most useful in spotting possibilities.

Once the main dimensions have been "measured," a number of techniques are available to help identify them, including management judgment, customer-sort, factor, and cluster analysis.

The scope of this book does not allow for a thorough treatment of these methods. Griffin contrasts and analyzes the outcomes of the first two, however.

Maps That Are Not Metric

Non-metric mapping may be thought of as a "easier" variant of perceptual mapping in which customers rate items in a category based on their preferences or how similar they perceive them to be. Consumers or groups of consumers analyze the rankings provided to create a "proximity matrix" that may be used to map the proximity of items to one another.

Grid-Repetition Method

Although the repertory grid approach has many uses in market research, its emphasis on product similarities and differences makes it possible to spot "gaps" in a particular product-market category. Consumers are asked to assess brands in groups of three, choosing two that are similar and one that is different, based on individual interviews. Additionally, explanations for similarities and differences are solicited. The evaluation of each brand in a product category is done in triplet form by repeating this process. The explanation enables the analyst to generate "constructs" that characterize how customers react to and assess different brands. After consumers have specified all relevant constructs, a "grid" that reflects their attitudes toward the product category and records the ratings given to goods in line with those views may be created. When many grids are analyzed simultaneously, common structures represented in consumer language emerge that may be applied to the product-market, again in map form.

Function Quality Deployment

The House of Quality introduces the concept of "Quality Function Deployment," a comprehensive methodology for NPD. Its fundamental tenet is that "the voice of the customer" may be used to connect the many NPD functions. The first of its four "houses," which aims to connect client wants to product qualities, is the most pertinent for new product concepts. As a result, the discovery of client demands is its key objective. To do this, it employs a variety of data collecting techniques, such as extensive personal interviews and focus groups. Between 200 and 400 requirements are articulated as a consequence of this procedure, and they are divided into major and secondary needs. Customers' primary requirements are the traits they are looking for, while their secondary needs are the criteria, they use to evaluate those qualities. At this stage, attribute identification resembles the perceptual mapping process. For instance, if a soft drink's "freshness" is a main need, its color, sweetness, bitterness, and so on can be associated secondary requirements. The sort of information required by the development team to meet the secondary demand is provided by tertiary needs, which are also known as operational needs. For instance, the transparency, absence of "fruit fibers," or effervescence of the soft drink may be used to determine its color. Following categorization, these demands are ranked in order of client significance.

The above-mentioned emphasis of gap analysis and those in the House of Quality are helpful for identifying market gaps, but they are, at most, only tangentially connected to the degree of demand for goods to fill the gaps. Although non-metric mapping, when based on preference ranking, may suggest expected demand where gaps exist, the assumption is not explicitly addressed by the approach. Additionally, if NPD were just focused on the gaps in the market for existing products, then businesses would neglect more recent innovations that may provide fresh ways to provide pleasure in areas where there aren't any at the moment. This not only necessitates perceptual product alteration, but also exposes businesses to the danger of being supplanted by rivals that really alter the product-market structure via new technology. This flaw stems from the basic problem of concentrating on present-day remedies for present-day problems rather than how those problems came to be. Scenario-based strategies make up the last group of methods that may include feedback from both customers and staff.

Techniques Based on Scenarios

The vast majority of writing and research on scenario envisioning is done in connection to the entire business strategy, which is its own distinct field of academic study. Many businesses and academic institutions participate in scenario imagining, such as the Future Foundation,

which recently published a study on the expectations of an emerging market group called urbanites. The target demographic for the free newspaper Metro is a group of people who are 18 to 44 years old, employed full-time, in socioeconomic group ABC1, and who live or work in one of the UK's major metropolitan centers. They are significant to innovators because they are more liberal, tolerant, open, inquiring, and challenging, and they engage in a variety of leisure activities. They also have double the net income of other 18 to 44-year-olds.

It is obvious that the capacity to consider how these expectations can affect future goods might be useful in coming up with fresh product concepts. Three variations are covered in this: scenario analysis, issue analysis, and activity analysis. Activity analysis is a thorough investigation of consumer behavior in an industry relevant to a company. The actions examined may be quite specific, like opening a package of cookies, or they could be connected to a larger "set" of activities, like gardening or hosting parties at home. In the industrial sector, specialized tasks can include evaluating warehouse storage or operating earth-moving machinery, while a broader range of activities might include "office working" or setting up heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems. In addition to video recording, interviews, and self-completion diaries, direct observation may be employed to get the data.

Similar to activity analysis, issue analysis only focuses on identifying problems and analyzing them for solutions. The issues encountered while utilizing a certain product type are compiled from the experiences of previous customers and then evaluated according to their seriousness. Again, there are many other ways to gather data, for as via in-person interviews, close observation, journals, or the creation of a user panel. Role-playing may be helpful in other situations, too, as when the wide-necked ketchup bottle was created. Users were tasked with acting out how to apply the condiment on a dish.

The activity that followed highlighted how challenging it was for customers to put "just the right amount" of food on their plates as they eagerly knocked on the bottle's bottom in anticipation of tasting their meal, replete with ketchup. A wider-necked bottle or squeeze bottles might prevent the consequence, which is often four times the quantity of ketchup needed. Analysis of current activities and issues is necessary for both activity and problem analysis, which are both rooted in the present. Similar to gap analysis, this exposes them to risk.

The next method, scenario analysis, aims to concentrate attention on the future, say 20 years from now. Will driving a private vehicle now be seen as just as antisocial as driving while intoxicated or smoking cigarettes? What will happen to automobile makers and other manufacturers if that is the case? Will only "green" or "clean" vehicles be accepted? Will there be a rise in comfortable, dependable, and effective public transportation? Will this 'teleworking' from home trend pick up speed as a result? Although clearly envisioning scenarios does not always result in the creation of a new product, by suspending the circumstances of life, work, leisure, and other factors that affect our consumption and behavior now, it assists in identifying the issues that will need to be resolved tomorrow.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for coming up with original ideas and solutions, brainstorming and brainstorming-like approaches are useful tools. These methods enable group creation by encouraging a supportive, nonjudgmental atmosphere, encouraging quantity, and building on one another's ideas. Different brainstorming formats provide flexibility and accommodate certain requirements and preferences. In many different fields and businesses, using the ideas and methods of brainstorming may improve creativity, problem-solving, and decision-making

processes. Several variables affect how successful brainstorming and its variants are. The group's makeup, which includes a range of origins, opinions, and talents, adds to the depth and variety of ideas. A key component of effective facilitation is guaranteeing equitable participation, controlling group dynamics, and setting clear goals.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Al-Samarraie and S. Hurmuzan, "A review of brainstorming techniques in higher education," *Think. Ski. Creat.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2017.12.002.

[2] J. M. Hender, D. L. Dean, T. L. Rodgers, and J. F. Nunamaker, "An examination of the impact of stimuli type and GSS structure on creativity: Brainstorming versus non-brainstorming techniques in a GSS environment," *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, 2002, doi: 10.1080/07421222.2002.11045705.

[3] C. Boddy, "The Nominal Group Technique: An aid to Brainstorming ideas in research," *Qual. Mark. Res. An Int. J.*, 2012, doi: 10.1108/13522751211191964.

[4] A. A. Mahmoud al-Mukahal, "Risk Management of Construction Projects," *Eng. Manag. Res.*, 2020, doi: 10.5539/emr.v9n1p15.

[5] C. J. Nemeth, B. Personnaz, M. Personnaz, and J. A. Goncalo, "The liberating role of conflict in group creativity: A study in two countries," *European Journal of Social Psychology*. 2004. doi: 10.1002/ejsp.210.

[6] J. M. Hender, T. L. Rodgers, D. L. Dean, and J. F. Nunamaker, "Improving group creativity: Brainstorming versus non-brainstorming techniques in a GSS environment," *Proc. Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci.*, 2001, doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2001.926248.

[7] A Susanti, "Techniques of Optimizing Whatsapp as an Instructional Tool for Teaching EFL Writing in Indonesian Senior High Schools," *Int. J. Stud. English Lang. Lit.*, 2016, doi: 10.20431/2347-3134.0410005.

[8] L. I. Akunne and A. Anyamene, "Effect of Brainstorming Technique on Study Habit among Secondary School Students in Anambra and Enugu States," *J. Educ. Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.9734/jesbs/2019/v32i330172.

[9] F. A. Istikomah, N. Nuraini, F. Erawantini, and E. T. Ardianto, "Analisis Prioritas Penyebab Belum Terlaksananya Retensi dan Pemusnahan Dokumen Rekam Medis Rawat Inap di RS Mitra Medika Bondowoso Tahun 2019," *J-REMI J. Rekam Med. dan Inf. Kesehat.*, 2020, doi: 10.25047/j-remi.v1i4.2212.

[10] I. Khan, "Relevance of brainstorming in an EFL classroom," *Soc. Sci.*, 2013.

CHAPTER 7

TECHNIQUES FOR ACTIVATING EXTERNAL SOURCES

Dr. Nalin Chirakkara
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: nalinkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Innovation and the development of new ideas often benefit from activating external sources of information, insights, and expertise. This abstract explores techniques that organizations can utilize to effectively tap into external sources, such as customers, suppliers, partners, and experts, to foster innovation and enhance their competitive advantage. Activating external sources involves seeking and engaging with individuals or organizations outside of the traditional boundaries of an organization.Customers have a part in the supply of ideas for new items, but so do suppliers. In their discussion of how manufacturer-supplier levels change over the whole NPD process, Bonaccorsi and Leporine note that both informal networking and direct formal requests for information will be used throughout idea development. As a result, the requirement to develop systematic relationships with suppliers is matched by the need to develop systematic relationships with consumers. These sources possess unique knowledge, perspectives, and experiences that can contribute to idea generation, problem-solving, and strategic decision-making processes.

KEYWORDS:

Crowdsourcing, Industry Partnerships, Open Innovation, Outsourcing, Strategic Alliances, Supplier Collaborations.

INTRODUCTION

In this section, we discuss dealing with suppliers, the function of trade exhibitions, and then dealing with customers. There are several outside sources of knowledge for new product ideas, as was mentioned before in article. Customers are the most crucial factor in industrial marketplaces. The work of von Hippel, Foal, Grunter, and Homburg demonstrates the richness of concepts found in the offices and factories of clients, concepts that are created just as much by clients as by producers. How can the emergence of consumer ideas be promoted? It is obvious that close consumer interaction is necessary and shouldn't be restricted to people who are just engaged in the buying process. An effective technique to spark new product ideas is to take a broader perspective of the consumer, their own companies, processes, systems, and staff. However, this must be carried out methodically via visits with a clear objective.

Customers have a part in the supply of ideas for new items, but so do suppliers. In their discussion of how manufacturer-supplier levels change over the whole NPD process, Bonaccorsi and Leporine note that both informal networking and direct formal requests for information will be used throughout idea development. As a result, the requirement to develop systematic relationships with suppliers is matched by the need to develop systematic relationships with consumers. The creation of sausage rolls and pies by Waitrose happened at the same time as developments in a number of their supplier companies, who then worked together to create particular designs.Naturally, both parties may alert the development team to

coincidental events, but by actively seeking out prospective idea sources, a business may swiftly compile a pool of fresh product concepts that can be evaluated for viability [1]–[3].

A fundamental component of most companies' market information is going to trade exhibitions and conferences. The trends and information learned from visiting such events, together with the chance to ask questions of the market and suppliers alike, make them a vital source of information to help the development of new product ideas, even though by the time a competitive new product is being formally launched.This contains a wide variety of "techniques" for 'techniques' accessible for the activation of sources of fresh product ideas. They range in type from the casual visits mentioned above to intricate approaches for consumer demand research. However, a number of studies demonstrate how widely adopted these strategies are by businesses. McGuinness looked at nine major industrial firms' efforts to develop new products. A total of 34 "search processes" were evaluated, and the results showed that most ideas were mostly "unplanned," meaning they were started by voluntarily independent attempts rather than by formal preparation. This conclusion is consistent with past research by Myers and Marquis, Feldman and Page, and More. When addressing information sources, Pavia provides examples of the strategies employed and reports that the vast majority of businesses use informal approaches to find new items.

DISCUSSION

Managing Creativity

The fundamental premise of this book is that innovation is essential to success and essential to a company's ability to survive. Companies have more influence over their commercial futures thanks to innovation since it enables them to dominate the market and establish the rules of engagement. Even while the word "innovation" encompasses a wide range of related ideas, any one invention might be seen as a consequence. How much is a thought process inhibited if its management is attempted? The effort to govern or restrict creativity would be anticipated to result in its suffocation in the most common circumstances, where creativity is connected with fine art, architecture, literature, and music. However, both people and businesses pursue creative endeavors.

People read one of Edward de Bono's books on innovation, while businesses join the International Creative Forum and attend seminars and conferences on the topic. Managing creativity involves two main concerns. First, it's important to foster creativity inside businesses. Organizations, no matter how small, develop working methods that, although essential for coordinating and optimizing activities, may impede the creative people and reduce their efficacy. Second, the company must be able to accept, share, and act on creative people's ideas in order to turn them into effective inventions in the end. Thus, managing creativity occurs on two levels: that of the person and that of the organization. This is not to imply that those who are not naturally creative may be taught to be such. However, obstacles may be eliminated and the chance for them to create can be provided. It is crucial to recognize innovative people. Majuro holds the view that

Although it's best to avoid stereotyping, several characteristics are shared by the creative.

1. Conceptual fluency is the capacity to come up with several concepts or answers in a certain scenario.

2. Mental flexibility, commonly referred to as "lateral thinking," is the capacity to see a situation from a completely different perspective.

3. Originality is often shown by out-of-the-ordinary replies to queries or novel solutions to difficulties.

4. The ability to put off judgment and show support for others' outlandish views is known as suspension of disbelief.

5. Accepting a concept impulsively means being prepared to act on it.

6. Greater readiness to confront authority in one's attitude toward it.

7. Tolerance is having a lower threshold for rejecting other people's views and having fewer negative emotions like "that's not how we do things here." After quickly summarizing some of the traits that often characterize a creative person or individuals, the following step is to make their job easier. There are five rules for managing creative people, several of which Davenport, Priska, and Wilson also mention.

1. Accommodate. It's possible for creative people to deviate from the "norm." When people are making innovative contributions to the company, it may be necessary to accept their refusal to fill out paperwork or pay heed to bureaucracy.

2. Structure. The stimulus needed for creativity. Creative people are encouraged by their preferred workplace surroundings at Bell Laboratories in the US. Writers and artists at Hallmark are taken to different places to explore and be inspired by their environment.

3. Reward and acknowledge. Financial compensation may not always be the most essential factor for workers, and dedication and resources invested in an idea are more likely to foster future innovation.

4. Direct and provide criticism. People who are creative shouldn't be left alone. They should be given realistic deadlines, and distractions should be avoided at all costs. Putting creative individuals in close touch with clients is a successful technique to provide a context for their work. This technique eliminates the creative person's internal contact's dampening impact.

5. Protect. People with creative personalities could draw criticism from coworkers whose occupations, on the surface, seem to be a bit less attractive. Spending time on the interface between creative and other people is beneficial, even if it's crucial to avoid creating a privileged elite. These guidelines are broad in nature, although individual creativity has been extensively researched. They do, however, involve organizational modifications, especially to account for acknowledged organizational impediments to innovation. These are as follows, as obtained from Majuro and Klein.

Absence of Organizational Latitude

The continual pressure to be "lean and fit" might inhibit one's ability to think creatively. Innovations that are the result of creativity take time to develop, perfect, and manufacture. Even if it's important to make sure that the right feedback and control mechanisms are in place, they shouldn't be so strict as to limit the amount of time for "thinking" and, therefore, creativity where it's needed. It is clear that achieving a balance is necessary, but it's crucial to be aware of the potential impact on creativity.

Bureaucracy

According to the definition, bureaucracy is a system where tasks are divided into groups and carried out according to a hierarchy. Although processes and procedures are often put in place with the hope of achieving better efficiency, productivity, and control, bureaucracies can develop a life of their own that seems unduly convoluted, restricting operational flexibility

and, more importantly, creativity. This is especially true in situations where processes and procedures are prioritized above outputs or outcomes [4]–[6].

Structure

We have spoken about this extensively, and it is a very big and complicated problem. In a nutshell, flexible, decentralized, organic, and non-hierarchical systems tend to foster creativity. To connect structural concerns with creativity.

Communication problems

As mentioned elsewhere in the, organizations are rife with ideas. Creativity, however, could not happen if the ideas can't 'get out'. People who are in charge of being creative must be aware of who they should send their ideas to for consideration, support, and growth. Creativity will flow into a variety of organizational rivulets and is unlikely to be recovered if the appropriate routes of communication are not made known and clearly utilized.

Not created here and "imported talent"

It is easy to believe that an organization can only benefit from innovation if it has new blood. This kind of view may be counterproductive since the 'conventional' staff will still be needed to assist the execution of new ideas. Their degrees of knowledge may be used to prevent the transformation of original concepts into profitable new items if their expertise is not recognized and used as well.

Strict Financial Oversight

Even while maintaining financial control over the development process is crucial, it's crucial that accountants' monitoring is not too intense at the early phases of the NPD process, when spending is lower than it would be in later stages. To enable the creative process to start, mostly unhindered by accountants, many businesses run by giving a specific sum to the early phases of idea generation.

Oversized Gatherings

Although it may be tempting to believe that it is crucial to group creative individuals together, this seldom leads to the advancement of innovative ideas. Managing creativity is essential to a company's ability to survive and has become more significant as a field of study and a focus for business growth. Particularly Japanese businesses have been making efforts to improve their potential for inventiveness. Shiseido, the biggest cosmetics producer in Japan, has been working to alter the hierarchical structures, which, although being effective and beneficial thus far, are seen to be a barrier to future progress. Managers are pushed to think laterally, creatively, and introspectively about the position of the firm in its industry over the course of four sessions. One such activity is watching a goldfish, analyzing its place in the universe, examining its structure and behavior, and making comparisons with the business. A thorough study of the wide topic of managing creativity is beyond the purview of this work. Even though we have discussed creativity as a component of the "idea generation" stage of new product development, creativity should be maintained all the way through the launch of the product and even beyond.

The first step of the NPD process, idea management for new goods, has been covered in this. Finding sources of fresh ideas and activating such sources are the two main responsibilities that are considered to be part of idea management. Techniques for using such sources are outlined, as well as the numerous internal and external sources of new product ideas. To stimulate ideas from staff members or from sources outside the companytypically the marketa

number of strategies may be deployed, both within and outside the business. These include the collection of "idea generating techniques" that the relevant literature often alludes to, such as scenario-based approaches, repertory grids, forced connections, attribute analysis, and forced relationships with gaps. The last effort at describing the broader context of idea management is the attempt at controlling creativity.

Evaluating Potential New Products

In the creation of new products, screening may imply many different things. This book views it as the first evaluation of ideas that come from the idea generating process, as will be detailed. The specifics of the design, appeal, dimensions, and comparison with other items on the market cannot be evaluated at this time since choices about the exact forms of the product - the materials, manufacturing procedures, etc. - have not been made. Despite these degrees and types of ambiguity, screening has always been a crucial step in the NPD process. This addresses how to assess risk within the framework of the screening process and explores the justifications for why screening is crucial. Before offering a streamlined, prescriptive approach of the screening process, it reviews several screening modalities as well as the criteria often used by businesses.

Depending on the writer, researcher, consultant, or firm employing the phrase, "screening" may refer to a variety of approaches for assessing new products. These variations have to do with how precisely the screening is scheduled within the new product development process. The'screening' phase is the third step, to use Booz, Allen and Hamilton's procedure. The creation of the new product on the one hand, and the assessment of each new development on the other, as, make up the whole of the process from this third stage all the way to the launch. As a result, screening or assessment is a multi-stage process that employs a variety of techniques. These methods heavily rely on the quantity of information that can be evaluated or screened. There is no widespread consensus over the number of steps that make up the new product development process, which adds to the misunderstanding surrounding what is meant by "screening." Considering the conceptual and practical stages that a new product idea goes through before to its complete launch may be an easier method to establish a context for screening. The phases of developmentwhere an idea, a concept, or a physical product is builtand the accompanying step of assessment.

Each assessment step is really a mix of technical and commercial evaluation sets, as shown by Cooper's model and others in the similar vein. The majority of NPD models now include "decision points" where kill or go decisions are made and they continue to be made as the process progresses. The term "screening" refers to the first of many assessments that occur once the collecting of new product ideas is complete. Since the goal of this first assessment is to uncover ideas that may be developed into concepts and assessed for their technical viability and commercial potential, it follows that it cannot be particularly complicated. When other authors use complex screening models, which call for data on finances, technology, and markets, they are implicitly, if not directly, referring to a stage far later in the development cycle. Screening, as previously described, is a first evaluation to screen out undesirable concepts.

The Need for Screening

Despite the warnings already mentioned, screening is a crucial step in the NPD process. All predictions about the success or failure of a new product are subject to error, often as a result of missing or incorrect data. This is especially true in the screening step, when a potential evaluation is done based on fresh product ideas developed from the procedures and sources mentioned in 8. As of yet, there are no exact product specifications, materials and prices can

only be anticipated, and market potential is determined more by "gut feel" than by scientific means. Therefore, it is tempting to believe that the screening step is mostly pointless. Managers won't, however, dedicate all of their efforts to emerging new product concepts unless they have infinite resources. In order to allocate resources to those initiatives with the highest apparent opportunity for return and to reduce spending on those with limited promise, managers must devise some methods of comparing one new product concept with another. Early 1980s study by Booz, Allen and Hamilton shown that firms who used a screening stage had new product launches that were more profitable and successful than those for companies not using a screening stage. Cooper, DeGette, and Kleinschmidt shown in their benchmarking research from 2004 that the top performers greatly outperformed the other companies in their sample in terms of first concept screening [7]–[10].

These consequences, however undesired, are brought about by the intention to abandon initiatives only when it is obvious that their potential is insufficient for a full market launch. Initial screening, as mentioned above, is inaccurate since the information that may be gathered about market potential is inaccurate given that it is based on the evaluation of an initial concept whose shape, specification, and attractiveness have not yet been determined. Information on feasibility, commercial potential, and other factors is made more trustworthy by putting more ideas through the concept development and testing phases of refinement. Where development expenses are minimal, as in the case of services, where physical development is less costly or time-consuming, the feasibility of this strategy may be stronger.

Many concepts are abandoned early in their development cycles, before any physical development takes place, as seen by the faster death rate in Curve B. This has the following effects: cheaper costs, since idea and product development are done for fewer goods, and the probable death of products due to inadequate testing and development. Not all industries are represented by this average curve. The early expenses are greater than might be expected in certain businesses with very high R&D costs. For instance, in the fields of biotechnology, electronics, and medicines, marketing expenses may be quite minimal. Contrarily, in the food processing industry, the majority of development costs could not be incurred until quite close to the market launch, when vigorous advertising is required to guarantee distribution and awareness. Despite this industry-wide diversity, significant investment happens when the prototypes or first samples are produced since at that point, money is invested in materials, tooling, and subsequent testing. When regular modifications and rebuilding are necessary due to real manufacturing and testing expertise, this might get worse. Therefore, the total development costs are likely to be reduced in situations where assessment and testing can be carried out relatively inexpensively, i.e., prior to the physical construction of a new product. Additionally, expenses will be even cheaper and accuracy of development and testing may rise due to resources being more tightly concentrated if these activities can be carried out for fewer concepts after thorough screening.

Considering Risk While Screening

The degree of the screen's rigor must ultimately rely on how management perceive the dangers. There are two fundamental kinds of screening errors that pose risks: excluding potentially lucrative product ideas creating potentially unsuccessful product concepts. The accumulated expenses included into the growth cycle of a certain organization and the degree to which the company is able to withstand the consequence of the risks determine how significant the dangers of each sort of mistake are regarded to be. The hazards brought on by the blunders. Dropping a product that would fail and creating a product that would prosper are two valid options that may be made. The second of them is undoubtedly the more

preferable option. A product that would succeed may be abandoned, while a product that would fail could be developed.

On the surface, it could seem like abandoning a product that might succeed is the better course of action since nothing is gained and nothing is lost. The creation of a product that fails, on the other hand, not only wastes investment that will not give a return, but it may also have bad effects on the internal and external environment, and the development may have taken priority over the chance to invest in a more successful project. According to the competitive, technical, and operational environment of their respective sectors, only the managers of businesses can effectively analyze this for themselves. For instance, the decision to abandon a potential success is fatal in many high-technology sectors since the rate of technological advancement implies that goods in a present portfolio may become outdated very soon. On the other hand, a business that is already well-known in the snack sector may produce a new flavor of potato chips for very little money. In other words, the level of danger depends on the circumstances. Campbell and Park discuss research that demonstrates the issue in large corporations like McDonald's, Shell, and Whitbread. Only three of the 24 original concepts that were developed by one of the firms under investigation showed any promise, and $750 million was invested in the other eight. Only one of the 24 would have been recognized as having economic potential by an independent application of the test. They further state that the issue in these instances was often that "the normal rules of corporate risk aversion were suspended." So how does one manage risk?

For example, The Eastman Chemical Co. anticipates growth prospects for R&D projects over 10-15 years, with varying degrees of confidence. The corporation makes an effort to prevent overtly squandering money rather than to eliminate risky ventures. Norendal Inc., a Montreal-based company that deals in natural resources, handles R&D under rigorous financial guidelines and makes an effort to implement a two-level grading system for both marketing and technical evaluation. The technology's novelty and Norendal’s expertise with it determine risk, while marketing risk depends on when to launch a product and how much funding will be needed. In order to achieve ROI, the degree of investment might be matched with the risk assessment.

The review of these continues throughout the evaluation process, although it starts when new product concepts are screened. As we've said, screening can't be precise; it can't provide a clear image of a new product idea's potential. To enable the choice of whether or not to forward the concept to the next level of development and evaluation, it must be built in a manner that provides the best assessment of the possibility and potential. This implies that it ought to have a strategic direction rather than a detailed one. The following looks at the requirements for a successful screening system.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, For the purpose of fostering innovation and achieving a competitive advantage, external sources must be activated. Organizations may access a variety of external information, ideas, and views by using techniques including open innovation, crowdsourcing, consulting with outside experts, consumer involvement, and networking. Organizations may access a wider variety of ideas, test hypotheses, and make better choices by using these strategies. Accepting outside sources strengthens an organization's capacity for innovation and prepares it for success in fast-paced, cutthroat marketplaces. Organizations may engage with peers, industry leaders, and possible partners via networking and going to industry conferences, trade exhibits, or professional gatherings.

These exchanges with the outside world provide chances for information exchange, idea sharing, and keeping up with the most recent trends, technology, and best practices. Strengthening a network may provide access to outside resources and encourage cooperation.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Susanto, M. Claramita, and S. Handayani, "Peran kader posyandu dalam memberdayakan masyarakat Bintan," *Ber. Kedokt. Masy.*, 2017, doi: 10.22146/bkm.11911.

[2] W. Chaisuwan *et al.*, "Microbial exopolysaccharides for immune enhancement: Fermentation, modifications and bioactivities," *Food Bioscience*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2020.100564.

[3] A. Aldaoud *et al.*, "Wireless multichannel optogenetic stimulators enabled by narrow bandwidth resonant tank circuits," *Sensors Actuators, A Phys.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.sna.2017.12.051.

[4] I. Ghodratitoostani, Y. Zana, A. C. B. Delbem, S. S. Sani, H. Ekhtiari, and T. G. Sanchez, "Theoretical tinnitus framework: A neurofunctional model," *Front. Neurosci.*, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00370.

[5] P. Wu, X. Zhang, T. Niu, Y. Wang, R. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "The imidacloprid remediation, soil fertility enhancement and microbial community change in soil by Rhodopseudomonas capsulata using effluent as carbon source," *Environ. Pollut.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114254.

[6] V. P. Satagopam *et al.*, "GPCRs, G-proteins, effectors and their interactions: human-gpDB, a database employing visualization tools and data integration techniques.," *Database (Oxford).*, 2010, doi: 10.1093/database/baq019.

[7] H. Ali, "Schema Activation Management in Translation: Challenges and Risks," *Arab World English J. Transl. Lit. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.24093/awejtls/vol2no1.11.

[8] D. E. Gbenga, A. I. Shani, and A. L. Adekunle, "Smart Walking Stick for Visually Impaired People Using Ultrasonic Sensors and Arduino," *Int. J. Eng. Technol.*, 2017, doi: 10.21817/ijet/2017/v9i5/170905302.

[9] K. Kobayashi *et al.*, "P2569Self-adhesive bi-layered dressing incorporating amnion-derived mesenchymal stromal cells for the treatment of heart failure: a pre-clinical proof of concept study," *Eur. Heart J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz748.0896.

[10] G. I. *et al.*, "Theoretical tinnitus framework: A neurofunctional model," *Front. Neurosci.*, 2016.

CHAPTER 8

EXPLORING THE BENEFITS OF EFFECTIVE SCREENING

Dr. Pramod Pandey
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: pramodkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Effective screening is a critical component of the new product development (NPD) process, enabling organizations to identify and select the most promising ideas and concepts for further development. This abstract explores the significance of effective screening in NPD and highlights key factors and approaches that contribute to its success. Screening is the process of evaluating and assessing a pool of ideas or concepts to determine their feasibility, fit with strategic objectives, and potential for commercial success.The evaluation of new product ideas takes into account each strategic concern for the creation of new products. These include the size of the possible market, staying away from big rivals with cheap cost structures, fitting into the existing distribution networks, having engineering know-how, and estimating development expenses. It helps organizations allocate their limited resources and focus on ideas that have the highest potential for generating value and meeting customer needs.

KEYWORDS:

Criteria, Decision-Making, Evaluation, Feasibility Analysis, Filtering, Idea Generation, Prioritization.

INTRODUCTION

It is evident from the explanation above that a company's screening procedure must be designed in consideration of the risk posed by each sort of inaccuracy. The screening procedure must be more rigorous if the "go" mistake is deadly, and less rigorous if the "drop" error is fatal. This comprehensive assessment needs to be conducted concurrently with a business' new product strategy. Companies should evaluate the path of new product development based on a careful examination of their strengths and weaknesses in light of market, technical, and competitive opportunities and threats, as will be remembered from on New Product Strategy. The new product strategy is thus expressed in terms of the degree of novelty to be sought, the market or market groups to be targeted, the competition to be met or avoided, the capabilities to be built on, the financial investment, and the intended returns.

The criteria used to evaluate new product concepts should be all of these and any additional ones. For instance, if we take 'capabilities to be built on' as an example, we may further deconstruct it into its component parts, such as 'production capacity', 'technical capability', 'marketing capability', and so on. Similar to "competition to be met or avoided," a new product concept may be judged on its ability to help a firm avoid fierce competition or, on the other hand, successfully confront it. These factors may be taken into account individually, but in the end, it is the simultaneous assessment of all the variables that provides the most comprehensive picture of the relative benefits or drawbacks of a new product concept [1]–[3].A particular medium-sized axle manufacturer has developed a technique based on these concepts to assist in visualizing the possibilities of new product ideas. The evaluation of new product ideas takes into account each strategic concern for the creation of new products.

These include the size of the possible market, staying away from big rivals with cheap cost structures, fitting into the existing distribution networks, having engineering know-how, and estimating development expenses. Each of these categories is given a total weighting, stated as a percentage, once elements within each have been found and utilized to evaluate the new product idea. A "screen map" depicting the final percentages enables quick assessment of an idea's general desirability.

The generated map nonetheless enables managers to create a pattern that can be used to debate and explain screening judgments, even if the corporation would freely concede that the evaluations are, at this early level, subjective. Therefore, its significance lies in narrowing the examination of a particular concept to a more strategically formed set of criteria rather than just "market potential," which, although eventually important, is tougher to evaluate at this point. Additionally, the corporation demands a map with a regular shape on the grounds that it is not possible to recover from a "miss" on any of the strategic criteria. For instance, in the case of map C, the project's compatibility with existing engineering know-how and the attractiveness of development expenses both get excellent marks. This is because the development project is reasonably simple to complete. On the other side, the project's capacity to avoid major competitors and the market's attractiveness score poorly, indicating that aggressive marketing may be needed to compete in a small market.

Although based on initial management evaluation, as suggested previously, these metrics at least offer some guidance for choosing projects to grow further. However, this stage of development often does not supply the information on which more "objective" selections may be made. When a project meets the strategic requirements, more focus can be placed on idea development, which in turn enables more information to be reviewed by marketing, production, and technical experts. Screens will tend to remove any fresh ideas if they are too stiff and detailed in the early stages. The least viable concepts may be eliminated from the collection using a more systematic method, allowing resources and effort to be focused on the ideas that have the earliest promise. In reality, the inclusion of a strategy stage allowed for much more effective early screening, which one of the reasons was contributing to more successful new product results. This was one of the key distinctions between methods examined by Booz, Allen and Hamilton in their studies of 1968 and 1982. Effective screening includes customizing the kind of screening and, therefore, the screening criteria used to assess products. Six different sorts of screens are described by Kaczmarski and may be utilized as general cues.

DISCUSSION

Types of Screens

The six types of screens described by Kaczmarski are:

1. Growth role
2. Category
3. Strategic role
4. New product type
5. Internal strength
6. Financial risk.

Each kind focuses on a certain collection of problems that may be used to assess new product concepts, and each setor combination of setswould be chosen in accordance with a company's new product strategy.

Where the new product strategy specifies a growth role for its new product development activities, growth role screens may be employed. These filters demand that new product ideas: expand a company's global market share within a product category; provide a price advantage that will allow the company to increase market share at the expense of the competition; and create an entirely new category of product-market, strategically expanding sales.

There are many instances of goods that have been released to encourage development on one or more of these topics. In order to capitalize on the rise in demand for dairy-free meals among customers, the world's largest dairy company, Danone, is developing a variety of soy-based snacks. The first "ready-to-drink" beverage, Bliss, was introduced by Scottish and Newcastle. Its goal is to increase sales without encouraging unhealthy consumption. Different new product concepts may contribute to growth in different ways, and not all of these ways carry the same risk. Since the fruit beer product idea is less well-known to its target market, Scottish and Newcastle's earlier effort to establish a new product market from the invention of "fruit beer" was far more hazardous than the growth option pursued via Bliss.

Category screens may be used to support new product development initiatives in markets where the company's strengths are most advantageous. Ideas falling within product-market categories with little value-added would be avoided, for instance, if a firm has high expenses. Product-market segments must also be sufficiently big and have low levels of industry competition. These screens require that a new product concept target one of the following categories: that might be advantageous to the client or customer not need marketing or other investments that the business would find difficult to match. The cautious approach to new product development that seeks to limit job size and optimize product synergy with current strengths is inexorably encouraged by this sort of screen. In order to capitalize on the rapidly expanding UK market for Indian cuisine while also using synergies with their present technology and experience of baking goods, Bake Mark UK, a subsidiary of the Dutch ingredients company CSM, has introduced a new Indian snack product called Spicy Naans. While Cooper's study emphasized synergy as a component resulting in success, this only happened if the new product had a clear advantage over the competition. It should be kept in mind that this sort of screen may produce goods that are duplicated early [4]–[6].

The category screen is helpful since it offers standards for evaluating potential new research fields. Strategic role screens are often declarations that outline the business, competitive, and market demands that new goods must meet. These strategic positions, which might vary from sector to sector and from business to business, will inevitably stem from new product strategy. Strategic role screen issues may need the following new product concepts: are technologically more advanced than items already on the market take use of current delivery and distribution infrastructure are able to provide the company a footing in product markets that are now outside of its area of expertise. help the business to acquire technical or marketing expertise in a new field are aimed towards expanding markets will exhaust extra capacity.

This list is by no means complete. Lists created by companies themselves would be based on their overall and new product strategies. Screens for new product types: It goes without saying that screens for "modified" and "radical" new items will vary from one another. The screen types employed for "modified" new goods may be more demanding than those for "radically" new products since modified versions of products have linked items and marketplaces from which adjacent information can be collected and extrapolated. In the second scenario, degrees of risk and uncertainty over how to produce the physical product in light of current market trends are much greater. However, since radically new goods often

have larger potential payoffs than modified versions of more established items, it is critical that screens account for the appropriate ratio of uncertainty, risk, and reward. This would entail utilizing a screen that adjusts the required financial payback based on the degree of novelty suggested by the new product concept. This provides filtering concerns that group concepts into:

1. Fresh in the world
2. Fresh on the scene
3. Fresh to the business
4. Brand-new product offering

which established standards suitable for the various degrees of risk in each one. Internal strength screens are often put up to determine the degree of difficulty involved in developing, manufacturing, and selling a new product concept. Kucsmarski makes the case that screenings of this kind shouldn't be used to prevent a possible new product from entering the market if it fails to meet a number of requirements, but rather that this should at least prompt reconsideration. Internal strength analyses bring forth questions that probe if the concept:

1. Utilizes patent-protected technologies
2. Use of a "efficient manufacturing system" is increased
3. Takes use of current marketing and sales initiatives
4. Use engineering, design, marketing, or technology expertise.

These difficulties seem to be comparable to those highlighted by "category screens," and the two are certainly related in that the latter may concentrate on internal strengths that a new product concept in a particular category may exploit. Category screens aim to "screen out" whole product-market categories that may be judged improper while simultaneously focusing on the competitive landscape. Internal strength screens, on the other hand, will evaluate every concept in relation to strengths; the more an idea builds on a strength, the better.

Given that making money is the main goal of the majority of NPD programs, financial screens are crucial. However, as was previously said, it may be difficult to determine exactly how much money is going to be earned from a particular concept at the beginning of the NPD process. However, Kaczmarski lists the following financial screen use factors: revenue size, pre-tax profit contribution, return on investment (ROI), payback duration, gross margin, and return on net assets. However, he continues, financial screenings need to be "the last set of screens," applied after "business analysis." As a result, he is pushing the idea of screening further into the NPD process cycle.

Unlikely candidates may be removed from the development project; therefore, it is obvious that a thorough financial analysis must take place, and the sooner in the process the better. It is very optimistic to claim that this can happen before the idea has been developed, given the proper consideration for both the technical specification and the market response to that specification.

A broad evaluation of the possible market's size, growth pace, and other factors is more probable at this point. Of course, it must be kept in mind that financial factors will be cited as crucial in academic study examining how corporations choose new items. Researchers' intentions to adhere to a "discrete screening phase," which the practitioner may not even be aware of, may not always be obvious.

In conclusion, it has been claimed that the screening stage is a crucial phase in the new product development process, if related to strategy, despite the screening stage's relative

unreliability. This shows that a smart strategy for ensuring successful screening is to tailor it to the strategic context of the company. This has been investigated in one research, which also looked at how businesses really assess new items; the findings are shown in the following.

Screening: Criteria and Process

There are several suggested screening methods, many of which include the idea of a multi-staged process that begins with general project selection criteria and progresses to more in-depth assessments of criteria. As previously stated, it is probable that complex scoring models are best suited to 'new' items that differ only slightly from existing offers and are able to condense the standards that would be recommended for a two-stage screening procedure.

It is proposed that these qualities be assessed in a variety of ways. All that may be needed at the highest qualitative level is a management assessment of the criteria. Even when a numerical assessment is made, as in the case of the axle manufacturer from the previous example, it is crucial to remember that the figures reflect judgment and not "hard fact." At the most quantitative level, each new product concept might be given a score by a project team, and the average scores could then be given a significance weighting. Cooper and de Brentani have investigated how screening criteria are used and the degree to which businesses tailor their screening procedures. They discovered that four sets of criteria—expected financial potential, organizational synergy, technical and production compatibility, and the possibility for attaining a differentiating advantage—dominate the screening process. The product's life expectancy, its capacity to strengthen the company's position, its capacity to open up new markets, the market size, and the global potential of the new product concept were all deemed to be of minor significance [7]–[10].

The possible consumer base's restrained objectivity and the kind and complexity of the needed funds were not seen to be of any significance. Even while the researchers discovered significant variations in screening practices across organizations of various sorts, on the whole, the commonalities outnumbered the variations. In other words, most organizations share the significant sets of variables that were previously stated. The impact of various screening models on the success of the final new product project is not explicitly examined, but as screening is just one component influencing the process's conclusion, drawing a relationship would be quite challenging.

Cooper, on the other hand, created normative screening standards based on his research into the characteristics of successful goods. He discovered that two sets of characteristicsmarket and firmdescribed successful NPD and proposed that utilizing these qualities as screening criteria would help with the selection of ideas for development.

According to the study, at this point in the NPD process, product-related criteria are primarily in focus. The most often used criteria is technical feasibility, followed by product distinctiveness. The commercial parts of assessment are evaluated using market potential and "intuition," which is not unexpected given the significant uncertainties and lack of current relevant, accurate, and reliable information about client preferences. These are bolded for emphasis. Simply expressed, it is impossible to reliably predict client preferences, acceptance, and buy intention until a more specific product idea can be described. What effects do this study and conversation have? The process of screening is complicated by contradiction. Finding items with a market is the key to its success, but owing to a lack of knowledge, this is difficult, if not impossible. As a result, it seems that in actual practice, screening is mostly focused on broad financial factors and the degree of synergy that a new product concept exhibits with relation to existing expertise. The issue with this strategy is that

it can promote the dominance of low-risk development initiatives. A more condensed perspective is needed at this early stage of the project review. Essentially, the screening process should be centered on a few important internal and external elements. These consist of:

1. Technological potential
2. Customer/market demand
3. Competition
4. Consumer behavior
5. Behavioral channels
6. Corporate harmony
7. Product benefit
8. Marketability
9. Making aptitude.

While more than one question may be required to address each topic or problem, the question should be written with the intention of excluding excessively unrealistic assumptions. Having said that, the criteria must also be context-specific, i.e., generated from objectives specified in the corporate or new product strategy. Should return on investment be utilized as a key screening factor, for instance, if the new product strategy is primarily focused on retaining market share or battling a competitor? Simply said, the screen's criteria must be relevant to the objective that the firm is trying to pursue. The next step of the NPD process, idea creation and testing, which is the topic of the following, should include more complicated screening. As we will see, concept development and testing provide two crucial pieces of data. To properly estimate expenses, a more complete image of the product is first generated. Second, the client can more accurately assess the product's attraction since it is developed in terms of form, materials, design, and pricing.

This concentrates on the concerns related to the first evaluation phase of new product development. It demonstrates the diversity of opinions on how comprehensive screening can and should be and connects this to the issue of risk and mistake. Although it may be tempting to design complicated and demanding displays out of caution, there is absolutely no risk involved in releasing a new product at all. Following a review of several screen kinds, it is covered in detail which methods and standards are really put to use. According to past experience, screening techniques could be too intricate for the kind and volume of information that is currently accessible at this early point of the NPD process. Finally, to account for this relative paucity of information, a condensed screening prescription is made.

Concept Creation and Evaluation

Discussions centered on how businesses create and evaluate ideas for ongoing growth. The screened ideas are then developed into more completely stated concepts at the next step, which this article discusses. These concepts are then assessed for their appeal to the possible market groups for whom they are intended. Designing and presenting prototypes of the proposed new product to a sample of its target market is known as concept development and testing. The nature of the idea creation and screening that has already taken place affects a lot of what occurs during concept development, as has been previously noted, thus these phases are by no means distinct.

Before spending a significant amount of money on the actual construction of a product, the goal of concept development and testing is to predict the market's response to a new idea. In other words, this stage can be seen as an extension of idea generation and screening because the ideas that were initially identified as having potential value are now developed and further

analyzed to determine which idea specifications, in light of rival products, will appeal to potential customers the most.

The likelihood of lowering overall development costs increases with the level of depth in the information acquired at this point since alternative conceptions may be developed and tested more cheaply than alternatives, prototype items. The prototypes created will, in principle, more closely reflect client wants and preferences, needing less expensive adjustments later on, if a complete commitment is made to market research at this point.

Concept Development and Testing

The first iterations of the new product concept will come from the idea generation and screening activities, but these iterations can be revised in light of customer feedback, and they can be tested again, until an accurate concept is modelled, which can then be advanced to physical development.The cycle of idea testing that was mentioned is carried out again and again until the business finds the concept that has the highest degree of acceptance both internally and outside.

The process used to create and filter the first concepts will determine how many iteration cycles are necessary at this point. There is a larger chance that an initial concept formulation may be inadequate if, for instance, a very large number of concepts that were screened have not yet undergone any formal review by the market. In this scenario, many versions for the target market may need to be created. These preliminary compositions will need to be changed and tested again. The most attractive aspects may be included in the earliest concept formulations if, on the other hand, concepts are created and filtered using market research methods like perceptual mapping. As a result, fewer iterations are necessary.

Depending on how time-consuming and expensive screening is assumed to be, discussion of screening may sometimes include some of the idea testing methodologies outlined in this article. For our purposes, concept creation and testing is assumed to start with a much smaller number of concepts, i.e., after the first assessment or screening. The names given to these phases are less significant than the concept that, as the NPD process progresses, ideas evolve and evaluation criteria get more precise, generating a bigger quantity of steadily more accurate information from the market. The fact that the ‘stages' of NPD are not neat, linear, or distinct is partly to blame for any terminology confusion.

Each level of development feeds into the assessment stage, as previously. These phases of assessment serve two purposes: assessing the new product's technical merits and its marketability in light of consumer demands and preferences. This reinforces the notion that these early stages are closely linked, in both objective and method, as they are focused on developing a concept attractive to the market, which can progress on to physical development and eventually market launch.

As we have seen previously, market needs and preferences are germane to some methods used for idea generation and screening. The remaining text is broken up into three s. The first examines the many goals of concept testing, the second examines the management choices that must be taken while creating concept tests, and the third examines the particular test types that could be used.

Reasons for Concept Testing

Concept testing serves the overarching goal of estimating client response to an idea prior to building the real product, as previously stated. However, a few underlying goals are already mentioned at this point in the development cycle:

1. Describe the market here:
2. current purchasing behavior
3. current segments
4. consumers' perceptions of the items offered
5. To determine potential customer intent to buy and place the product:
6. trial-and-error shopping
7. obstacles to switching brands
8. To strengthen the idea behind the new product:
9. general product idea
10. Characteristics of the product idea.

Each of these diverse sets of goals suggests that various idea tests are suitable, even if the various methods of data collection often allow for the creation of many "tests" or "measures" at simultaneously. The notions of consumer demands and preferences serve as a key connection between the sets of goals.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, In the NPD process, good screening is essential for locating and choosing the most promising ideas. Successful screening results are influenced by clear criteria, cross-functional cooperation, organized procedures, market and consumer analysis, risk assessment, and piloting approaches.

By using these strategies, businesses may better allocate their resources, lower their risk of failure, and raise their chances of releasing new goods that are successful, satisfy client wants, and spur corporate expansion. Before full-scale development, concepts may be piloted or tested to gather useful information and insights. Organizations may collect input, verify presumptions, and improve ideas based on in-person encounters and user feedback by executing small-scale experiments, developing prototypes, or running pilot studies. These insights may help with decision-making and the screening process.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Campolongo, J. Cariboni, and A. Saltelli, “An effective screening design for sensitivity analysis of large models,” *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2006.10.004.

[2] P. Lanzetta *et al.*, “Fundamental principles of an effective diabetic retinopathy screening program,” *Acta Diabetol.*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00592-020-01506-8.

[3] A. L. Walter *et al.*, “Effective screening and the plasmaron bands in graphene,” *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.84.085410.

[4] S. Vaccarella *et al.*, “Preventable fractions of cervical cancer via effective screening in six Baltic, central, and eastern European countries 2017–40: a population-based study,” *Lancet Oncol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30275-3.

[5] J. Priaulx, H. J. de Koning, I. M. C. M. de Kok, G. Széles, and M. McKee, “Identifying the barriers to effective breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screening in thirty one European countries using the Barriers to Effective Screening Tool (BEST),” *Health Policy (New. York).*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2018.08.004.

[6] R. Sankaranarayanan, A. M. Budukh, and R. Rajkumar, “Effective screening programmes for cervical cancer in low- and middle-income developing countries,” *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2001.

[7] A. L. Bianchi, S. K. Cesario, and J. McFarlane, “Interrupting Intimate Partner Violence During Pregnancy With an Effective Screening and Assessment Program,” *JOGNN - J. Obstet. Gynecol. Neonatal Nurs.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jogn.2016.02.012.

[8] P. H. S. Santos, É. A. Carmo, J. A. O. Carneiro, A. A. Nery, and C. A. Casotti, “Handgrip strength: An effective screening instrument for anemia in the elderly women,” *Public Health Nurs.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/phn.12579.

[9] A. Ullah, A. Barman, I. Ahmed, and A. Salam, “Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant mothers: A valid and cost-effective screening test in Bangladesh,” *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. (Lahore).*, 2012, doi: 10.3109/01443615.2011.601697.

[10] A. S. Zadeh, A. Grässer, H. Dinter, M. Hermes, and K. Schindowski, “Efficient construction and effective screening of synthetic domain antibody libraries,” *Methods Protoc.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/mps2010017.

CHAPTER 9

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NEEDS AND PRODUCTS DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Mr. Ram Srinivas
Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: ramsrinivas@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

The relationship between needs and products is a fundamental aspect of marketing and product development. Understanding and addressing customer needs are essential for creating products that deliver value and meet market demands. This abstract explores the dynamic interplay between needs and products and highlights the importance of aligning product offerings with customer requirements. Needs are the underlying desires, problems, or aspirations that individuals seek to fulfill or address. They can be functional, such as the need for basic necessities or specific features in a product, or psychological, such as the need for status, self-expression, or emotional satisfaction. Products, on the other hand, are the tangible or intangible solutions that aim to satisfy these needs. The relationship between needs and products is reciprocal and iterative. Customer needs provide the foundation for product development and serve as a guide for identifying opportunities in the marketplace. By deeply understanding customer needs through market research, observation, and engagement, organizations can gain insights into the gaps and pain points that exist and develop products that effectively address them.

KEYWORDS:

Customer Satisfaction, Customer-Centric, Demand, Market Research, Needs Analysis, Product Development.

INTRODUCTION

While each of the three sets of goals is connected to and reliant upon the others, they are all often guided by a solid understanding of the wants and desires of the target audience. As a result, they inevitably serve as the foundation for all types of idea testing. But it's not always easy to get information about wants, especially if developers link them to a new technology. The 'need' for compact discs did not become obvious until laser technology had advanced enough to read digital imprints and magnify the music to a previously unheard-of degree of fidelity. Before Sony created, developed, and released the Walkman, the market did not see a demand for personal audio systems.

However, the habit of carrying about radios and tape players provided evidence that there was a demand for music. The widespread acceptance of MP3 and iPod music technology is further evidence of the endurance of this requirement beyond particular product characteristics. In conclusion, demands differ in their degree of visibility. King divides needs into three categories:

1. Basic requirementsthose that a client would presume the product meets. A buyer could believe, for instance, that a vacuum cleaner would clean carpets.

2. Articulated needsthose that a customer can easily convey. These are often satisfied by at least one existing solution or are readily imaginable as being satisfied. A customer could see a vacuum that washes a carpet as an example [1]–[3].

3. Exciting needsthose that will surprise and excite a client. Customers may find it challenging to describe these needs, which are often not satisfied by currently available items.

For instance, a vacuum cleaner that also disseminated fiber conditioner and anti-stain treatment may delight certain clients! Any new project idea has to consider requirements at all different levels. Of course, meeting fundamental needs is a precondition, as is meeting articulable needs. Characterizes how the anticipated new product could compete with similar products in the category, while solving compelling requirements offers a platform for differentiating the new product and luring new consumers. However, it should be kept in mind that Baker's adage "the act of consumption changes the consumer" illustrates how the experience of needs may be dynamic. Needs go from the 'basic' state to the ‘satisfied' status after they have been met.

To put it another way, a product idea that at the time of debut met both basic and stated requirements may eventually revert to solely meeting basic needs. Both personal computers and passenger automobiles have examples of this. Airbags and "WYSIWYG" displays are examples of concepts with characteristics that were intended to meet clear or even exciting demands and were accepted as "standards." How long until GPS in automobiles becomes commonplace Not every circumstance calls for concept testing. Concepts for innovative art and entertainment, for instance, are challenging to test since it is difficult to judge whether the end product was successful or unsuccessful, much alone to recreate it.

Numerous publishers rejected J.K. Rowling's enormously popular Harry Potter and the Philosopher's Stone, and it took her agency more than a year to reach an agreement with Bloomsbury. Of course, because this was her debut work, it would have been more difficult to determine how her writing would have affected the business world. In the field of cinema, efforts to account for the ambiguities of what determine success have a mixed bag of success. The Great Waldo Pepper had a number of tried-and-true components.

Hollywood actor Robert Redford is one example of a reputable filmmaker. It was a commercial failure. The notion falls into a second category if it is based on a novel technology that the market is unfamiliar with and for which there are no precedents. This category may include consumer adoption of virtual reality entertainment goods. ideas that reflect a novel bodily sensation, like taste or smell, fall into a third group of ideas that are challenging to test consistently.

Despite these exclusions, idea testing is generally seen as a crucial component of effective NPD. Concept testing, which is focused on needs, will influence subsequent phases of the NPD process, such test marketing and launch, if it is determined to be beneficial and procedures are designed to achieve all three sets of goals. This is a significant problem since it demonstrates how data on future segmentation and placement are being gathered quite early on. The following section outlines the connection between demands and product ideas that must be comprehended in order to meet the goals of concept testing.

DISCUSSION

We looked at formal definitions of goods and found that most of them agree that a product is the center of an exchange process were consumers trade money for satisfaction. In marketing

literature, a multi-level perspective of goods is used to explain the levels on which this pleasure may or may not be fulfilled. This view includes:

1. Core benefit: the essential product or service being bought
2. Generic product: the physical characteristics that provide the primary advantage
3. An enhanced product is one that comes in a "package" with extra features and services that set it apart from others.
4. Future enhancements and modifications that the product could experience are referred to as potential products.

When seen in this light, a full product design would need to address the first four of these tiers at the very least. The internal picture would still be incomplete without knowledge of how the new product idea performs at this level, even if each level were not to be separately exposed to concept testing. But more recently, Saran and Tokays have cast doubt on the usefulness of this conception of the product in a manner that is especially pertinent to our examination of the relationship between user requirements and product conceptions. The main critique is that Kotler's attempts to conceptualize the product in isolation from the environment of customers and suppliers: It views the product as an independent entity that can be disassembled and understood in its constituent parts. But this is fundamentally incorrect because a product's symbolic meaning, which society and individual consumers and producers have assigned to it through culture, use, or experience, as well as their interactions with one another, is what allows us to even understand that it exists for consumption.

The authors instead suggest that a product is the result of a "continuous tri-partite signification process" involving customers, suppliers, and the thing itself This alternate viewpoint is significant because it emphasizes how important it is for product creators to concentrate not just on consumer wants and expectations, but also on how they are determined in the first place, as well as on the interaction between the client, the object, and the provider. It is believed that this is advantageous for people working on new product development since it incorporates aspects of concept testing that are often disregarded and over which the corporation has a great deal of influence.

A New Product Concept

A notion is more than just a brand-new idea when it can be tested. An example of this may be when a food manufacturer decides to join the expanding "health snack market," which has documented customer requirements. This has to be developed into a clearer notion since it is still an abstract idea. Such a notion might be expanded in a variety of ways. Packet soups, vitality drinks, and confectionery health bars are just a few examples of healthy snack options. These are only concepts. How may the market or internal discussions about their potential be conducted? To analyze the feasibility, potential competition, and strategy fit, the elements must first be specified in some detail by the firm. Second, consumers are not given much insight into how a "healthy snack soup" can benefit them or vary from other snack soups on the market when their approval is tested. Depending on how they feel and how they have dealt with the firm, they could nonetheless express a desire to "try" anything.

Concept tests are ultimately perception tests, and perception is influenced by factors including prior interactions with a brand or business as well as the characteristics of any given concept. However, it is crucial to specify precisely what is being tested before discussing the methodologies available for concept testing. As previously said, testing all the levels of a product idea at this point in the NPD process is challenging, partly because they have not typically all been developed. As an example, the 'augmented' producta set of advantages that includes retail servicesmight not be produced until later in the product

development cycle. Furthermore, while studies suggesting that new goods with longevity potential often include the seeds of the second generation inside the conception of the first, this is seldom a 'test' element. In other words, the concept is a guarantee of the advantages that will result from certain product qualities or traits. These characteristics are created by manipulating certain material characteristics, any of which may be altered by new or updated technologies.

Why are these mechanics necessary if the clients are just interested in the final result, which is the fulfilling of their needs? Customers are seldom able to accurately analyze their perception of a new "promise of benefits" unless they can contrast it with how those advantages will really provide satisfaction, is the response. In cases when there are any existing offers, this enables them to compare them. For instance, prospective buyers of industrial heating and ventilation systems are unable to quickly and accurately assess the promise of "greater comfort control, more cost savings" without having at least a general understanding of the attributes that will deliver on the promise, and more frequently, without having a rough idea of the material characteristics and operational characteristics of the system that will shape these attributes.

The value of dry and clean dishes from a dishwasher can only be assessed in consumer durables if the features that will achieve the advantages are known. In this situation, it would be necessary to define the attribute's nature in terms of its constituent parts, like a hot-air blower. Finally, if the features are emphasized in terms of manipulation rather than physical properties, a promise of advantages may be assessed. Women in the UK's "meat pie" industry, for instance, loathe jelly in cold pies, according to study. Along with the finished meat pie without jelly, a production method that lowered the amount of jelly could be assessed. The 'Guinness Surgery', a first invention since the introduction of widget cans in 1989, serves as a last illustration of a novel idea. 'A perfect pint' is what the Surgery is designed to offer at home. To mimic the way a pint settles when served from a draught at a bar, it includes a plug-in machine that transmits an ultrasonic sound through the beer. Although the Surgery unit has already been released, it is still unclear if the promise of "the perfect pint" is one that customers have in their thoughts and whether this will help boost Guinness's flagging sales. Consideration of how the idea may have been evaluated before to implementation in order to evaluate its ability to achieve its goals is pertinent in this case.

These illustrations point to the need that an idea be presented in a manner that makes the advantage and one of the possible product's qualities clear to the potential buyer. Think about asking people to rate, for instance, a four-hour train ride from Edinburgh to the English side of the Channel Tunnel as a "new" notion. Although the advantage is obvious, the market would instantly inquire as to how this service may be provided, making it a concept that cannot be tested. Their responses could alter if we presented the plan with the addition that the trip also included a flight from London City to the Tunnel. So, unless a new product idea is stated in terms of both features and advantages, it is not complete. Then, consumers may assess if they believe the advantages meet their needs and whether the features provide the benefits they were promised. But this idea is only a beginning point. A few choices need to be taken before idea testing can start [4]–[6].

The Concept-Testing Process

Concept testing is a test of perception, as mentioned above, and as such is impacted by everything that affects perception, including: Information provided on the new product idea. Customer impressions will be influenced by how well the notion is conveyed and connected to other product tiers. Timing of the assessment. The reaction depends on how much time a

consumer is given to consider a notion. Only initial impressions will be shared if only a little amount of time is available. The concept's context. The context for a product review may be outlined in a variety of ways, taking into account the competitors, the product's intended application or location, as well as specific market categories and positioning.

How the product idea itself is structured. This has to do with the area where an idea for a product sits on the spectrum between "emotive" and "functional." In general, more detail is needed to describe a product idea the more emotionally charged it is. Naturally, as has previously been said, concept testing may not go smoothly or readily for highly emotional items like scent. These overriding factors affect the decisions made while dealing with the idea testing procedure, which typically consists of three phases: the establishment of particular goals; different forms of concept presentation; and technique for data collection.

Definition of Goals

A concept test's overarching goal will probably change depending on the notion being tested. It was previously said that concept testing had three main goals: to develop the idea for the new product, to profile the market, and to determine potential buy intentions. The kind of idea test that is conducted will depend on the relative relevance of each goal. Each is skimmed over.

Evaluation of Buying Intention

One may argue that this is the main goal of idea testing, in order to exclude concepts with little potential. Every approach used is in some way connected to fundamental ideas in consumer behavior in general and to ideas relevant to the adoption of innovations. Both of these topics were discussed. The most typical method of measuring purchase intention is to provide participants in the test a description of the product and ask them to select the relevant box next to it to indicate if they:

1. Certainly, would purchase
2. Most likely buy
3. May or may not purchase
4. Likely wouldn't purchase
5. Certainly, wouldn't purchase

When determining a concept's potential, it is common to take into account the replies from the top two boxes. You may then compare the proportion of respondents that checked these to any pre-existing category standards. Alternately, the proportion may need to be adjusted depending on various distribution levels, customer awareness levels, and other degrees of promotional assistance.

Marketing managers must decide whether or not they believe the percentages to be an accurate forecast of an actual product launch. Many people change the proportion up or down based on prior experience.

According to Taylor, Hooligan, and Gabriel's research, in order to spur future development, a proposal should earn 80–90% of the "top two box" replies. Additionally, research by Morrison, Kowari, and Silk suggests that there is a correlation between purchase intention and actual behavior.

The importance of "repeat buying" must be taken into account while analyzing the market potential for fast-moving consumer items. It is obvious that high trial rates are not always followed by levels of repeat purchasing that would fully fulfill potential.

Enhancing the Design of The Product

Idea development is a natural byproduct of idea testing. Since respondents are being asked to rate an idea, there is plenty of room to change the concept's specifics to determine which notion appeals to respondents the most. This development work may be done in relation to the total product, in which case it would be possible to assess how customers felt about various concept-specifics, such as: the concept's distinction from competing goods

1. The plausibility of the idea
2. the capacity of ideas to address a client's issue
3. natural curiosity in the ideas behind the products

Worth the money

These inquiries might be categorized as diagnostic. Moore suggested that diagnostic questioning often goes along with intention-to-purchase inquiries by defining diagnostic questions as those that give insight on the reasons behind the intention-to-purchase questions. Diagnostic queries can, however, play a part in meeting the idea-to-market criteria in their own right since one advantage of concept testing over product testing is the flexibility with which concepts may be changed and retested. In addition to diagnostic queries that elicit feedback on the idea as a whole, some may concentrate on certain aspects of the product concept. Once again, this may be looked at in regard to a particular purpose to buy, or to determine preferences between several qualities or the perceived significance of one trait over another.

Market Analysis

Concept testing's third main goal is to evaluate the traits of both potential buyers and non-buyers. At the most fundamental level, this will include gathering demographic data, but it may also extend to gathering data on common purchasing criteria, psychographic profiles, product consumption patterns, and purchasing procedures. This sort of data aids in the interpretation of intention-to-purchase analysis, allowing for the improvement of the idea that is currently being developed as well as the gathering of preliminary data for targeting, positioning, promoting, and product launch. It's crucial to establish the proportions of these three potential idea testing goals right away. These goals—what the exams are meant to accomplish—will alter their character. Both qualitative and quantitative testing may be necessary to achieve diagnostic goals. The actual question kinds, whether they are multi-concept or single-concept oriented, whether they contain a "positioning" signal in the concept statements provided to consumers, all basically follow from the goals [7]–[9].

Initial Decisions

The basic goals for the concept testing must be stated, as well as the scope's relationship to two crucial beginning options: benchmarks and target markets.

Comparative Examples

A prospective consumer must determine how to evaluate if the qualities of a new product idea fulfill the promised advantages. These advantages must be considered in the context that the creator intended. Customers need to be aware of the standards they are using when assessing products, such as when we want to test the idea of cheese-flavored potato pieces. There are several options available.

First, it's possible that no comparisons are made and that the only thing that has to be assessed is the idea of cheese and potato together in a bite-sized form. The risk with this

strategy is that various consumers may use competitive viewpoints to judge it. Some people would think of cheese-flavored crisps, others could think of "take-away" finger foods like chips or nachos, and still others might connect the idea to the enormous array of frozen potato varieties that are offered as a convenient meal accompaniment. The points of comparison must include other snack options if the developer considers the cheese-flavored potato bite to be a novel snack idea. Developers will be unable to comprehend what the recognized definition of the notion is if these points of comparison are not specified. This has effects on the positioning of the final product as well as the choice of whether to continue or stop the development. Additionally, while thinking about positioning and testing the idea, keep in mind the Food Standards Agency's growing prominence in the UK and its concern about food advertised to children.

Markets Served

The marketing environment in which the new product is introduced is influenced by the desired target market. For instance, cheese-flavored potato bites may be marketed to a variety of people, including children, parents, childcare facilities, nurseries, and schools for young children. Snack-eating adults may also fall under the categories of convenience- and health-conscious consumers. For the purpose of determining what is rated and the benchmarks for comparison, each of these goals would call for a somewhat different sort of test. The idea of "expert consumers" is introduced in another target market-related topic. Some promote using "innovators" as the target market for concept testing or "expert" consumers in response to the argument that "confronted with a radically new technology, customers do not understand what needs the technology could satisfy." The work of von Hippel and fox all, who support the engagement of lead-users in new product development, is exemplary in the industrial sector. During the NPD process, choosing the target segment is a continuous activity.

After defining these broad difficulties, the more particular but equally crucial challenges of choosing how to convey the notion and gather data are brought up. The first of them is covered in the following. Determining what to provide to clients and how to deliver it to them comes next once the fundamental research goals have been established. Typically, a notion may be presented in seven different ways to the market for assessment:

1. oral communication
2. black-and-white line drawing
3. colored line art
4. photograph
5. storyboard
6. mock-up
7. An electronic simulation.

The most fundamental kind of representation is a vocal presentation. Verbal presentation often only conveys a partial understanding of the product notion. Take a look at the following description of a "smart radiator valve control" A little cuboid serves as the replacement radiator valve control, and it is simply screwed into the current valve to be installed. The quantity of heat produced by the radiator is controlled via an appealing push button surface. The control can automatically turn off when drafts are detected and may be programmed by temperature, hour, and day of the week [10].

A monochrome line drawing may be used alone or in conjunction with text. To convey more of the feel of the product, utilize a colored line illustration. Typically, a mock-up picture is utilized during the idea creation stage. Additionally, when a 'new' product is released in a foreign market, pictures may be provided to assess the idea. It's common practice to analyze

advertising ideas using a storyboard. It enables the product to be shown in the environment for which it was intended.

It is possible to utilize a mock-up of the pack, shape, or overall form, but at this time it is not a "working" mock-up. A customer may have a better sense of the product they are being asked to assess thanks to this kind of portrayal. To depict the product, a computer simulation or image is employed. This offers the benefit of allowing the evaluator to rotate, magnify, and highlight the general designs and features as they see fit.Factors that affect the presentation decision Despite growing study in the area, there is no accepted "best" manner to portray a product for the assessment of the fundamental idea. The quantity of information rises as we go through the six methods mentioned above, and this has an impact on the conclusions drawn. Dolan argues that a more elaborate presenting style will elicit a more realistic assessment. Since more information may be comprehended visually than orally, pictorial or mock-up representation is more valuable when more information is required to explain the idea of a new product to potential customers.

Additionally, a graphical description will do when a thing is likely to be seen from only one aspect. For instance, a built-in hob is often seen and utilized from a single location alone and may be easily seen by a photograph. A mock-up, on the other hand, may represent a free-standing cooker more thoroughly since it has several places from which it can be seen and used, including the hob, the grill, the oven, and so forth. The choice of representational approach is influenced by a variety of factors.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Needs and goods have a fluid and reciprocal interaction. As a beginning point for product creation, customer demands are considered, and goods have the ability to satisfy current wants, reshape preferences, and even generate new needs. To build value-driven goods that fulfill consumer expectations and promote commercial success, organizations must consistently match their product offerings with changing customer demands. A product's success is ultimately measured by how well it can satisfy consumer wants and create value. Companies that place a high value on a customer-centric strategy and spend money learning about and meeting customer demands are more likely to create goods that appeal to their target market and acquire a competitive edge.

REFERENCES

[1] J. S. Rodas, J. Arrieta-Escobar, M. Enjolras, and F. E. Hamdani, “Exploring the relationship between customer needs and product target specifications for cosmetic emulsions,” in *Towards the Digital World and Industry X.0 - Proceedings of the 29th International Conference of the International Association for Management of Technology, IAMOT 2020*, 2020.

[2] F. G. Gilal, J. Zhang, N. G. Gilal, and R. G. Gilal, “Integrating self-determined needs into the relationship among product design, willingness-to-pay a premium, and word-of-mouth: A cross-cultural gender-specific study,” *Psychol. Res. Behav. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S161269.

[3] K. YuSheng and M. Ibrahim, “Innovation Capabilities, Innovation Types, and Firm Performance: Evidence From the Banking Sector of Ghana,” *SAGE Open*, 2020, doi: 10.1177/2158244020920892.

[4] A. F. Yudanar, S. H. Fitriasih, and M. Hasbi, “Rekomendasi Barang Di Toko Elektrik Menggunakan Algoritma Apriori,” *J. Teknol. Inf. dan Komun.*, 2020, doi:

10.30646/tikomsin.v8i2.499.

[5] H. Kobayashi and S. Fukushige, "A living-sphere approach for locally oriented sustainable design," *J. Remanufacturing*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s13243-018-0048-8.

[6] R. Ginting and Widodo, "Technical characteristics' determination of crumb rubber product by using quality function deployment (QFD) phase i," in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2019. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/602/1/012048.

[7] B. A. Othman, A. Harun, W. N. Rashid, S. Nazeer, A. W. M. Kassim, and K. G. Kadhim, "The influences of service marketing mix on customer loyalty towards umrah travel agents: Evidence from Malaysia," *Manag. Sci. Lett.*, 2019, doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2019.3.002.

[8] H. Zhang and Y. Xiao, "Customer involvement in big data analytics and its impact on B2B innovation," *Ind. Mark. Manag.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.02.020.

[9] S. Yu and J. Lee, "The effects of consumers' perceived values on intention to purchase upcycled products," *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11041034.

[10] R. Grandinetti, "How artificial intelligence can change the core of marketing theory," *Innovative Marketing*. 2020. doi: 10.21511/IM.16(2).2020.08.

CHAPTER 10

SIGNIFICANCE OF A PRODUCT'S FRAME OF REFERENCE AND PRODUCT FIELD

Dr. Srinivasan Palamalai
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: srinivasanp@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of a product's frame of reference and product field provides valuable insights into understanding the positioning and competitive landscape of a product or brand. This abstract explores the significance of a product's frame of reference and product field and their role in shaping consumer perceptions, market positioning, and strategic decision-making.

A product's frame of reference refers to the context in which consumers mentally categorize and evaluate a product.

It represents the set of alternative products or brands that consumers consider as substitutes or alternatives when making purchasing decisions. Understanding the frame of reference helps organizations identify direct and indirect competitors, evaluate market positioning, and differentiate their products from others in the marketplace.

The product field frame of reference often relies on how well-known or obscure a product notion is.

There is always a larger demand for the quantity of information provided when product ideas are unknown. The position of the product along the emotive-functional continuum, as was described before, is a second component affecting the product field frame of reference.

KEYWORDS:

Competition, Consumer Perception, Differentiation, Market Analysis, Market Positioning, Product Category.

INTRODUCTION

It is simpler to imagine what it is like when the notion is more well-known. Product ideas are often evaluated in light of the customer's prior knowledge of similar brands and items. As a result, each product type is assessed using a certain frame of reference that varies between product areas. The field frame of reference must thus be considered while choosing the presentation format. Customers trying to assess the goods in this situation need more information the more emotionally charged the product is. This is due to the increased emphasis on image, connotation, personality, etc. while selling emotional goods. The 'attributes plus advantage' of the product will, however, not be accurately represented by low level concept information. Consider the messages that accompany emotionally charged items like aftershave and perfume as an extreme example. The following are quotes from two prominent perfume descriptions [1]–[3].

For more functional items, the degree of diversity in consumer and market reactions increases with the quantity of information provided. This occurs because descriptions might change. For instance, consider the following two claims about vinyl folders for loose-leaf student

notepads: The second description offers the individual assessing the product several data to compare in order to make the assessment. As a result, evaluators may choose to remark on a variety of characteristics in the replies to the second description. Depending on the dimensions for which the developer would want to get feedback, the developer will decide how much and what kind of description to offer. The variety of possible comments increases with the number of variables. The quantity of information provided to assessors in a concept test also relies on the test's objectives, which can favor the usage of a "core idea" or "positioning concept" statement. There is discussion over the best strategy to use. The following reasons in favor of placing concept statements:

A more realistic evaluation is produced as a consequence of the assessors' easier ability to link the idea to existing items. In general, concept evaluations are better, or more favorable. The following refutations are in support of the fundamental assertions: A concept test's objective is to gauge participants' emotions, not to convince them of anything. There must be as minimal promotional appeal as feasible in order to elicit objective opinions about the product. According to studies, the texts of various copywriters may have an impact on concept score outcomes. Both approaches seem to be supported by evidence, which shows that none is clearly superior than the other.

The degree of positioning and selling, according to Moore, "depends on how large the benefit is, how well it is understood, how socially acceptable it is to confess a given need, and how emotional the need is. Concept writers should generally just utilize the bare minimum of sell. Lees and Wright recently discovered that if an early concept screen is all that is necessary, then adding a line drawing to a concept statement makes very little difference to consumer evaluations. Traditionally, the literature on product testing suggests that both a persuasive tone and visual stimuli increase concept ratings.

DISCUSSION

Accuracy of Information Presented

Information shouldn't be misrepresented. The information provided to responders in a concept exam will determine how accurate the information is. This is especially crucial when it comes to the size, color, and other essential elements that the developer wanted to use to set their product apart from those of rivals. If an airbag is a key component of the automobile's distinction or positioning, for instance, information regarding the characteristics of a car must indicate whether or not the model has one, either by explanation or visually.

Completeness of the Information Provided

The idea exam must also include all pertinent information. Respondents must be able to compare the idea to all of the product's qualities, not just the most appealing ones. For instance, the concept test for a jug kettle must describe all of its features, such as whether or not it has a water-level indicator, an automatic switch-off mechanism, is cordless, and where the on/off button is located, as any one of these could lead to a negative reaction to the product.

Continuity of the Information Provided

The data must be consistent throughout. Any visual depiction must be consistent with spoken descriptions; otherwise, there will be a confusion factor that will cast doubt on the validity and reliability of the assessments.

At best, respondents may explain any uncertainty; at worst, they might only base their opinions on one portrayal. When analyzing the idea test results, the researchers won't be able to tell whether they are comparing responses to the "same" image.

Allotted Time to Communicate and Comprehend Information

The more time provided to a reply to evaluate the idea, the more criticism they are likely to produce. Therefore, judgment must be used when taking the temporal component into account. The overall response could be more favorable than in a genuine buying scenario if the idea test is given insufficient time. Examining the kind of purchases made in the relevant product category might provide a helpful hint in this case. Low-effort purchases that require minimal work throughout the purchasing process will need less time during a concept test than things that generally demand a difficult search procedure.

Which presentation styles are utilized the most commonly is not supported by a lot of data. Over 75% of studies using the conjoint measurement kind of idea test employed verbal descriptions. More recently, Crawford and di Benedetto have hypothesized that a concept statement with a line drawing is the most typical kind of idea presentation. The Sunbeam Appliance Company has reportedly used a method of idea testing that combines freehand drawings and lists of three to five bullet points to illustrate the usage of items, their features, and their advantages, according to studies by Page and Rosenbaum. Since this form of testing is far less costly than employing mock-ups or fully functioning models, the organization is able to analyze a greater variety of product concepts. Concept testing research techniques come in many forms. Data collecting techniques, the study's setting, and question structure are among the main concerns.

Data Gathering Techniques

Both qualitative and quantitative data collection techniques are available. It is widely accepted that qualitative techniques, like as in-depth interviews with either people or groups of customers, are advantageous, especially for developing and fine-tuning idea statements or even the concepts themselves. Its intense emphasis, like that of qualitative research in general, gives respondents the space and flexibility to express their likes, wants, preferences, and views without being coerced into giving a response as in quantitative approaches. This produces high levels of validity and gives developers a better grasp of the criteria by which potential buyers would evaluate their product. This is especially important since ideas are probably multi-attribute. A deeper comprehension of the relative allure of these qualities as well as their cumulative contribution to the "whole" notion is made possible by the depth perspective provided by qualitative methodologies. Qualitative methods need knowledgeable fieldworkers and researchers, nevertheless, in order to avoid the dangers of misleading participants or misreading their intent. Additionally, their intensiveness often precludes the use of large samples in research, which is problematic when the goal of the testing is to provide accurate predictions of consumer preferences and potential market shares [4]–[6].

Contrarily, quantitative procedures, which include survey methods such organized "personal" interviews, mail, and telephone surveys, are less time-consuming and may thus be used on a much wider, random scale, producing data that is easier to generalize. However, as concept testing involves evaluating attitudes, perceptions, intents, and other factors, it is important for researchers to be able to clarify problems and question participants, making personal, structured interviews the most often utilized data gathering technique. In particular, mail surveys have a number of drawbacks: it is challenging to get comprehensive answers to open-ended questions about product dimensions; response rates are typically low; respondents typically do not have the chance to ask questions about the concept; and, finally, it is difficult

to avoid the issue of how question wording and question order affect the answers provided. Unless accompanied with postal contact, telephone interviews have few opportunities to employ any visual representation, and the conversation must typically be kept to a minimum.

Although there were fewer respondents on each wave of wave telephoning, their demographic makeup remained consistent, and the levels of market share projection were adequate. However, there is currently little proof that telephone interviews are used abroad for idea testing.Decisions about the location of such tests must be made since the personal, structured interview is often used as a data gathering technique for idea testing. The decision is often between doing the interviews at the respondent's house or in a neutral setting like a mall, a busy street, or a conference room in a hotel. Despite the disadvantage of having rivals present, industrial enterprises are nonetheless permitted to conduct idea testing at trade shows or exhibits. In order to provide samples that are as representative as possible, public locations, such as the street, shopping centers, or hotel conference rooms, are often altered to cover various times of day as well as different days of the week.

Question Structure

Choosing the right question types in terms of their form and substance is as important to choosing the right data gathering approach. As was already said, a significant portion of their exact composition depends on the idea test's goal. Therefore, the questions will vary between tests that are concentrating on diagnostic concerns and tests that try to detect the fraction of prospective users. We'll go back to the questions that pertain to distinct goals soon. The sort of issue is nonetheless controlled by a fundamental decision. Developers must choose between monadic and comparison problems in particular.

Monadic or Comparison-Based Inquiries

Monadic inquiries focus on 'one' notion and are addressed to responses. Each new idea would be assessed by a single sample only if the concept testing phase as a whole is focused on many new concepts. Comparisons would then be performed once the data had been gathered. No responder would be questioned more than once regarding the same idea. 10.9 illustrates how a monadic technique may be used to examine three novel ideas. Three sets of respondents rate the three product ideas, A, B, and C. These three samples—groups—are matched. This indicates that they are comparable samples in terms of demographic traits or even traits that may be used to divide the market for the product after it has been released. The groups of respondents are asked to score the product under test based on their general likes and dislikes as well as their preferences for or against certain qualities. These inquiries would fulfill the 'diagnostic' objectives. Each sample would be asked how likely they would be to buy the product in order to achieve the purchase intention goals. The findings from each group are then compared, and conclusions about which product proposal has received the most favorable feedback are generated.

When presented with a selection of items, consumers compare products in their own brains, according to the argument in favor of monadic testing, which holds that the test accurately reflects the reality of the buying scenario. The drawback, however, is that it may be difficult to determine whether variations in ratings for items are caused by the fact that various individuals are evaluating them, as opposed to a general agreement that one product idea is superior to another. This issue may be solved by using the same individuals to evaluate several product ideas; this method is known as comparison or comparative testing.

Comparative testing has the benefit that because the same respondent pool is being utilized to evaluate a variety of novel product ideas, the variations in average ratings for each may be

more confidently attributed to actual perceived variations rather than variations between the groups of assessors. Additionally, some individuals prefer comparative concept testing because they think it more properly simulates the purchasing scenario in which a prospective customer evaluates a new idea in comparison to concepts they are already familiar with.

Advanced Techniques for Testing and Concept Development

This has mostly described the broad goals and choices of concept testing up to this point. As previously mentioned, certain "idea generation" approaches, such perceptual mapping, may also be used to create and improve fresh product ideas.We quickly go through the 'House of Quality', hybrid conjoint analysis, and conjoint analysis as three more methods for developing new goods. There is no limitation that restricts them exclusively to the "concept development and testing stage," as there is with other strategies discussed in prior s. They might be used at early phases of creating and screening new product concepts, and changes could be helpful for testing products. This is primarily dependent on the kind of new product development process that a certain firm normally uses and the kind of new product that is being produced. Since the physical display of various features would not be prohibitively expensive, it is quite plausible that the three methodologies mentioned may be used in product testing when the 'new' product is a modification of, or an expansion to, an existing product. However, if changes are more radical, it is better to limit the presentation of alternative designs and features to the "concept" stage in order to keep costs down.

Comparative Analysis

Conjoint analysis is mostly used to assess how prospective consumers evaluate things in terms of their qualities and to determine how much they could "trade" one "attribute" for another. The phrase refers to a variety of data collecting and analysis methods that let researchers combine product qualities in the best way possible for potential buyers to evaluate them as a whole. We will use a much-condensed example of a tiny producer of beautiful food and beverage containers to demonstrate how it works. Wood veneers are used in one of their product lines to create lightweight drums in a range of sizes. Recently, the business sought to join the specialty cheese market, a product category where packaging plays a significant role in determining quality. Two fundamental design choices were to be made by the corporation in order to tailor their product for this market. The first has to do with how the contents were identified: via labels or screen printing. The cost of screen printing is higher. The second design choice concerned the kind of wood finish used; there are three options: natural, stained, or lacquered.

Therefore, the screen-printed, stained container is this person's favourite combination, while the lacquered, labeled container is their least favorite. The perceived utility of the particular combinations is then ascribed to these ranks, and from this value, it is possible to infer the perceived utilities of each characteristic. In order to determine the perceived usefulness of each characteristic, the researchers may disaggregate the scores using the rankings of the overall product variants. We may code the rankings for allocating utilities such that the least appealing combination receives a score of 0, and the highest ranking receives a score. It is feasible to take the average score of each characteristic throughout the ratings as an indicator of its "utility" or "part worth" since both product identification qualities are scored together with each of the finish attributes. The individual's utility value for each characteristic in this instance is as follows:

The second approach is known as the paired comparison method, in which respondents choose one of two ideas and provide it. Once again, fractional factorial designs may be utilized to avoid asking respondents to rate every single pair of conceivable combinations.

While a thorough treatment of the analytical approaches for these techniques is beyond the purview of this book, a quick summary of the conjoint analysis decision-making process is relevant. Dolan offers a helpful example of a conjoint analysis decision step. The decision-making elements for stages 1 and 2 of idea testing have previously been covered in general. Particularly in the context of conjoint analysis, it's critical to incorporate all relevant characteristics that might influence a consumer's choice to purchase a product. The degree to which respondents can make more accurate judgments by ordering preferences on alternative concepts' qualities or by assessing the alternatives separately in regard to the attributes must be taken into account for stage 3. This pertains to the earlier subject of monadic and comparative tests and the extent to which an assessment of, say, "liking" of an attribute may be done properly without having particular reference points established by the researcher. The fourth stage, choosing the criteria, relates to the general "purpose" of the research as stated above, but also includes the choice of whether to assess "preference" or "purchase intention."

A person may like the visual appeal of a Bang and Olsen television while at the same time having no desire to purchase one due to the price. This difference is not insignificant. The selected input data, as mentioned above, and the planned use of the data are relevant to stage 5's choice of analytical technique. Four goals of conjoint analysis are implicit at the idea assessment stage: attribute analysis, competition analysis, forecasting market share, and market segmentation. The analytical approaches are covered in more depth in Dolan, Urban and Hauser, Moore and Bessemer, therefore that is not the goal of this article. Investigations on the amount of conjoint analysis use in Europe have not been conducted. However, during the course of a five-year period from 1981 to 1985, Witting and Catton examined the use of the approach in over 1,000 projects. Their research revealed that the majority of users worked in the production of consumer products. Concept identification, competition analysis, pricing, market segmentation, and repositioning were among the most frequent uses of the approach, and studies were often conducted for a variety of goals. Personal interviews were the most popular method of gathering data, and "full profiles" were the most prevalent kind of creation. Response scales with ratings and rankings were both often employed [7]–[10].

The choices made during conjoint research have an impact on the reliability of the findings. Data from conjoint analysis in idea testing are sometimes criticized for being fake since they are generally collected apart from current items. Because it has no bearing on market structure, this restricts its use to market planning. On the other hand, an article by Katz that drew on industry experience suggested that it is useful to determine how the target market will trade off these features against competing features and at what price once a concept has been defined with all the features that are believed to address a customer's need. Katz argues further that it is useful as a forecasting tool in this context. In addition to the conventional form of conjoint analysis, a number of supporters of a modified technique known as "hybrid methods" have emerged. This is explained succinctly.

Conjoint Hybrid Analysis

The hybrid procedure aims to estimate market shares for new and existing products while taking into account ‘subjective' factors like manufacturer reputation, delivery reliability, and service levels provided to customers. It also examines the impact of changes in product attributes, price, and competitive reaction.

It is also made to predict the degree of brand replacement, often known as cannibalization, since it will not increase total business revenues if a new product's sales simply replace those offered by current products. The method must establish the collection of relevant competitive goods in addition to specifying the new product idea and choosing the experimental design in

order to give these insights. In the case of a completely new product, this entails determining whether alternatives now meet the demands the new product seeks to serve.

Take two prominent producers of industrial air cleaners as an example to explain this in further detail. These items are often attached to the ceiling. The air is drawn in, cleaned by passing it through a filter to remove dirt and dust, and then it is released. These products are best suited for the European "Horace" market when not employed as a component of an integrated air-conditioning system.

Due to the rather loud engine used to draw and expel air, they are often not suitable for use in homes or businesses. The producers, whom we shall refer to as Atlas and Gaia, each provide a "standard" product with one power level that retails for around £3,000. Gaia's market share has decreased as a result of Atlas' recent introduction of a reduced-noise version. Costs £3,500 for the variant with less noise.

As a result, Gaia has been thinking about ways to create items that would improve their ability to compete in this market. The cleaner would be more effective if design engineers used a different approach that would improve the power levels and allow for more air to be cleaned every hour. They have also found techniques to reduce noise levels in the higher-power cleaner compared to the market-standard cleaner they now sell. Both solutions have distinct financial ramifications. Gaia must consider how the new product will affect its existing conventional goods as well as any possible effects on those of its rivals.

A description of all the possible and current products is the first step in the process to explore these linkages. To start, there are two ‘standard' goods on the market right now that cost £3,000 each. The second is a new product from Atlas that has lower noise levels while still exhibiting the same power levels as the normal items. The cost is £3,500. The new ideas put out by Gaia either cost £4,000 more for enhanced power and lower noise levels or £3,500 less for normal power and lower noise levels. Then, respondents would be asked to score both their preference based on various combinations of idea features and their intents to buy among already available items. In order to calculate possible market shares, this enables the computation of preference or PI weighting for qualities. The impact of the new idea on Gaia's goal and competing current goods may then be examined by comparing them to the PI on existing techniques.

Results from this process will vary from those from a traditional conjoint analysis. Its emphasis is not just on the trade-offs that purchasers or customers will make between various attributes. It also includes taking into account how the new product would affect other market sales. Additionally, rather than focusing on a person's particular value system, the findings instead take into account a group's overall "intention to buy" or preference indicators. These indicators may be examined using a variety of different scales, including ranking, rating, and constant sum procedures. Similar to conventional conjoint analysis, the number of characteristics that may be examined is limited. Additionally, the client evaluates the goods in light of the company's claims. Therefore, it follows that findings from extremely abstract representations may be produced and should be carefully understood.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, organizations may better grasp their products' positioning and competitive environment by understanding the product field and frame of reference. Organizations may strategically position their goods, stand out from rivals,Additionally, rather than focusing on a person's particular value system, the findings instead take into account a group's overall "intention to buy" or preference indicators. These indicators may be examined using a variety

of different scales, including ranking, rating, and constant sum procedures. Similar to conventional conjoint analysis, the number of characteristics that may be examined is limited. Additionally, the client evaluates the goods in light of the company's claims. and seize market opportunities by determining the frame of reference and product field. In order to successfully use the ideas of a product's frame of reference and product field for successful market positioning and strategic decision-making, doing market research, understanding customer attitudes, and examining the competition environment are essential.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Franceschini and S. Rossetto, "Tools and supporting techniques for design quality," *Benchmarking An Int. J.*, 1999, doi: 10.1108/14635779910245115.

[2] R. W. Veryzer, "Key factors affecting customer evaluation of discontinuous new products," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 1998, doi: 10.1016/s0737-6782(97)00075-1.

[3] S. Andersson, "Product Innovation Processes Conceptual and Methodological Considerations," *Soc. Sci.*, 2007.

[4] A. Kazerouni and B. Van Roy, "Learning to Price with Reference Effects," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3016807.

[5] R. W. Veryzer, "Key Factors Affecting Customer Evaluation of Discontinuous New Products," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 1998, doi: 10.1111/1540-5885.1520136.

[6] J. Kääriäinen and A. Välimäki, "Impact of Application Lifecycle Management — A Case Study," in *Enterprise Interoperability III*, 2008. doi: 10.1007/978-1-84800-221-0_5.

[7] R. W. Veryzer Jr, "Key factors affecting customer evaluation of discontinuous new products," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 1998.

[8] A. M. Arboleda, C. Arce-Lopera, and S. González, "Subject or object: a product's scent congruent perspectives," *Acad. Rev. Latinoam. Adm.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/arla-01-2016-0026.

[9] C. King, Z. Xu, I. □C. Lee, and Y. Hong, "Reliability Analysis of Polymeric Materials," in *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*, 2018. doi: 10.1002/9781118445112.stat08081.

[10] T. P. Rakitzis, S. A. Kandel, and R. N. Zare, "Determination of differential-cross-section moments from polarization-dependent product velocity distributions of photoinitiated bimolecular reactions," *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, doi: 10.1063/1.475235.

CHAPTER 11

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF BUSINESS ANALYSIS TO ASSESS CONSUMER NEEDS

Dr. Ranganathan Kumar
Associate Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: drsenthilkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Business analysis is a critical discipline that focuses on identifying business needs, defining solutions, and facilitating organizational change. This abstract explores the concept of business analysis and its significance in enabling organizations to make informed decisions, improve processes, and achieve their strategic objectives. The principle ingrained in the "House of Quality," the first set of QFD activities, is the discovery, organization, and prioritizing of customer demands. This enables the early creation of concepts to initially be need-focused rather than attribute-focused. We gave a quick explanation of the 'House of Quality's' value in determining requirements. It's crucial to understand that QFD is a holistic method and that 'splitting apart' QFD does not make it more effective in practice. Business analysis involves a systematic approach to understanding the current state of an organization, identifying areas for improvement, and defining future states that align with business goals. It encompasses a range of techniques, tools, and methodologies that help business analysts gather, analyze, and interpret data to support decision-making.

KEYWORDS:

Decision-Making, Feasibility Study, Financial Analysis, Gap Analysis, Market Analysis, Process Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The last method under consideration is a component of the Quality Function Deployment system introduced in 6. The principle ingrained in the "House of Quality," the first set of QFD activities, is the discovery, organization, and prioritizing of customer demands. This enables the early creation of concepts to initially be need-focused rather than attribute-focused. In 6, we gave a quick explanation of the 'House of Quality's' value in determining requirements. It's crucial to understand that QFD is a holistic method and that 'splitting apart' QFD does not make it more effective in practice. The contribution on prioritizing demands is connected to idea creation and testing, although its concurrent components do match well with other NPD process phases as it is often done. Katz further highlights its value as an idea defining-testing process that can be used to determine and rank which design requirements are most worthy of funding. Prioritizing needs enables the developer to weigh the benefits to the client against the expense of addressing the demand. Identifying the "importance" of major and secondary requirements is how Griffin and Hauser characterize the process of prioritizing demands.

A variety of market-based strategies that are commonly used in the first phases of the NPD process are covered in the first "House of Quality." Although the determination of importance's is a need for design work, the design team ultimately decides which product qualities will satisfy those demands. There is a description of the importance measurement methods. A variety of techniques, such as direct rating scales, constant show scales, and

anchoring scales, may be used to prioritize needs. According to Griffin and Hauser's analysis, the rank-ordering of demands was comparable for each strategy. However, the method of 'frequency of mention' did not seem to be a reasonable proxy for relevance. Additionally, they discovered that there was minimal correlation between statistically determined attribute importance weights and either product choice or idea interest. They also discovered that disclosed judgments of significance had low face validity. In other words, the disclosed figures were illogical [1]–[3].

Research was done by Pullman, Moore, and Wardell to compare the outcomes of QFD with conjoint analysis. Their starting point is the observation that, whereas engineers, technical development, and quality specialists inside companies have tended to employ QFD, marketers are more likely to use conjoint analysis when creating new feature sets. They focused on a new all-purpose climbing harness and discovered that QFD better assisted the discovery of aspects that had both positive and negative qualities while conjoint analysis made it simpler to compare the most favored features. In conclusion, albeit potentially with somewhat different emphasis, both QFD and conjoint analysis have a role in idea creation, testing, and refining.

This has raised a number of problems with the research and testing of novel product ideas. The stage's "fit" in the NPD process and any overlaps or repetitions with earlier stages were discussed at the outset of the discussion. The many goals of concept testing were then discussed, with a focus on how crucial consumer demands and preferences are to each one. It was explained what a fresh product idea was and how choices were made while building a concept test. Three further distinct concepts development and testing methods were then presented. Before committing to the entire physical development of the product, a critical choice on whether to continue with the development must be taken after the information has been gathered and analyzed. Physical product development is an expensive endeavor, and as was previously said in this book, the more "up-front homework" that can be completed, the more productive and efficient the overall development will be.

We said that the whole process is really made up of the development of the process on the one hand, and the assessment of the development in terms of "make ability" and "customer appeal" on the other. This is true from the "third" stage, "screening," right through to the launch. Accordingly, screening, or assessment more broadly, is a multi-staged process that employs a variety of methodologies, mostly dependent on the quantity of information that has to be evaluated. The examination during the third step, initial screening, focused on finding ideas that might be turned into concepts, which could then be assessed for their technical viability and commercial potential. The goal of the fourth stage, idea creation and testing, is to determine the size of the market and consumer response to a new product concept before investing a significant amount of money in its physical construction.

Concept testing is used to gather data on the expected market profile, desired new product qualities, and estimations of buy intent. With the use of this information, precise projections can now be made about the expenses of the product, its manufacturing and design, its market acceptance, and ultimately its potential profitability. In the US food sector in 2004, the biggest firms created an average of 80 new food items but only released an average of 70 of them, while those with less than $10 million in annual sales created an average of 46 goods and only introduced an average of 15 of them. The step of the process covered by this: business analysis, is significantly responsible for the difference between those created and launched. In other words, whereas phases of qualitative research, screening, and concept testing prompted the development to go on, developers decided to "drop" or "kill" the project after making sense of the business case.

We went into further detail on the total expenses of the whole product development process, which increase significantly once physical development of the product begins. Therefore, a thorough go-no-go judgment based on financial projections must be made before any physical construction can start. This is not to indicate that later in the development phase, financial projections won't still be generated. Indeed, during the subsequent testing of new products, more information is gathered on how the target market responds to the product as a whole or to individual aspects; purchase intent is based on more convincing proof of the advantages of the product than was accessible at the idea stage. This once again emphasizes how tough it is to manage the uncertainties in the NPD process.

Early in the process, when there is the most ambiguity, it is desirable to determine if there is a market for the proposed product and whether it has the required degree of consumer appeal. However, since the design and attributes are not finalized at this early stage, the new product cannot be exposed to rigorous testing and evaluation and hence cannot be presented to the prospective market.

Additionally, there are no precise estimates of the product's expected cost by which a prospective client may compare the new item. However, when ideas take shape and prices can be approximated later in the development process, it becomes easier to judge the new product's appeal and consequently potential. Naturally, some investment will have been made by this point. More costing alterations may be included into projections of the new product's potential profits as the launch date draws closer. In light of this, business analysis will often be a part of the development of new products, starting with idea testing and continuing through post-launch review.

DISCUSSION

At its most basic level, business analysis is a first estimate of sales volumes and expenses, based on information that should now be more reliable than management hunches. It's time to try to nail down specifics like production costs, unit costs and prices, overheads, and the impact of the new product on sales of existing products, and to evaluate these in the context of likely revenues. Earlier evaluations may have relied on assumptions about market growth rates or the stage of the product category on its PLC. It is difficult to tie these details down. First off, since the development team is still working with an idea rather than a tangible product, it is more difficult to predict the expenses. Second, based on diverse company regulations or the arbitrary preferences of its financial advisors, they may be structured in a variety of ways. It is crucial to keep in mind that market research from earlier activities in the development project is strongly included into the business analysis for a new product.

Additionally, changes to the business analysis will be made as a consequence of subsequent operations that are also based on market research. The judgments made will be impacted by the quality of the market research data, as is the case in all applications. Market research information often serves as the fundamental input to approaches used in business analysis for new goods. Since the outcomes of the procedures are often numerical, their meaning has a strong 'factual' foundation. The saying "garbage in, garbage out" should be kept in mind, and one should not depend only on the import of figures. Howell claims the following in PRTM's Insight magazine: traditional financial techniques.Other value indicators need to be added to metrics like return on investment and net present value, such as market opportunity, internal fit, technology, and market milestone expectations.

The explanation of financial analysis for new product choices is done in three stages. We start by reviewing the fundamental financial ideas that form the basis of every profit prediction. Second, we investigate many key methods for analyzing a new product's future financial

performance, which, it is important to keep in mind, may not yet exist in a produced, tangible form. In the third section, we describe the specialized research-based methodologies that have been created to assist decision makers in deciding whether or not to proceed with the development of a certain new product [4]–[6].

Principles of Finance

At its most basic, a financial viability examination of a new product would have to include information on:

1. Units sold
2. Revenues
3. Price of production
4. Costs of direct marketing
5. A higher profit margin.
6. In turn, each of them is briefly explained.
7. Units sold

The team, or at the very least the team leader, will have kept 'how many' of the items are likely to sell in mind throughout the new product development process. As we've observed in the past, a company's comprehension of future unit sales is influenced by a number of phases. The idea of market size, which is a component of most phases of the new product process, from strategy development to test marketing, lies at the foundation of this evaluation. Some of the techniques for estimating market size and potential were covered in Chapter 7 on new product strategy. Each of the six screen categories was examined, with each category making some mention of the potential market size, which includes estimations of the total possible market once target markets, competition positions, and other factors have been considered. In the end, however, estimates of unit sales are produced after some kind of sales forecasting has been done. A more thorough explanation of the numerous methods of sales forecasting for new items follows.

Revenues

The sum of sales less customary trade discounts is the general definition of net sales value. It is obvious that NSV estimate is important, even if there are some issues with how it is calculated. Along with the challenge of predicting unit sales, the complexities of calculating NSV also include accounting for the customer mix for the new product, the degree to which discounts are variable, and taking into account target-based discounts, which are provided to the distribution channel upon meeting predetermined sales targets. It's important to keep in mind that with, various distributors may have negotiated varied conditions, or in fact, alternative terms may exist based on the sales marketing techniques to be implemented. The financial modifications are expected to be large since sales marketing is a key component of the introduction of the new product. Since discounts grow with volume sold and this sort of adjustment is put into the analysis, NSV is pretty easy to determine where discounts have been negotiated with distributors based on objectives.

Variable and Fixed Costs

Each company typically assigns its production costs in a certain method, and they are made up of two different cost types: fixed and variable. Up to the point, of course, when additional plant or equipment is required to boost production and sales further, fixed costs are those that are incurred regardless of any sales volume achieved. Due to the unique purchases required for manufacture, they might be quite costly for new items. Additionally, they tend to be costly

for capital items like manufacturing equipment, robots, or machine tooling that may be needed to produce a new product. But they shouldn't be confused with sunk development expenses, which have already been paid and cannot be recovered even if the development is abandoned after the business analysis stage. Therefore, all design and concept development work, early market studies or customer feedback research, as well as internal costs of estimating capital equipment required, fall into the category of sunk costs because the company serving the markets for professional presentations with products like slide carousels or overhead projectors has no capital asset and no future revenue stream. The challenge with sunk costs is that they do reflect an investment that managers sometimes find difficult to write off when concerns about the development's viability are being voiced. 'Throwing good money after bad' might be a behavior that has to be overcome. The term "variable costs" refers to expenses such raw materials, direct labor, warehousing, storage, and distribution that change depending on the volume of a product produced. Despite changes in sales volume, per-unit variable expenses stay the same.

Costs of Direct Marketing

In respect to sales of the new product, they will undoubtedly be high for a new product introduction. To mention a few, they include both above- and below-the-line advertising, sales promotional materials, and other unusual products linked to sales. Given the fluctuations in expenses that are typical with the debut of a new product, it is important to consider all costs over a five-year period if the product's estimated life is five years.

Incremental Revenue

It is feasible to estimate the anticipated profit after the earlier data has been gathered. While in most cases finance directors may insist on the inclusion of overhead and other expenditure components such losses from cannibalization, a simpler set of data inputs is preferred. Plotting the income and expenses across a number of years is recommended; in the example, we display five. The extra profit that this new product adds, in the example, is thus £250,000 in the second year, £600,000 in the third year, and so on. Even though it is a condensed picture of the research, it effectively highlights a number of elements that are typical of financial problems in NPD. First, take notice of the connection between variable expenses and net sales value. For clarity's sake, variable costs are to be half NSV in this case, but the crucial point is that variable expenses reflect a constant pro-portion of sales since they do change as sales volume rises. The high fixed expenses in the first several years of the product's existence are a second point worth mentioning.

Since fixed expenses are 'written off' year after year, they gradually lose significance in the product's profit profile. To put it another way, a product's profitability in the first few years is sure to be impacted by a "disproportionately" high level of fixed expenses. For this reason, if a commercial financial analysis is to be valuable as a decision support, it must transcend beyond the launch and early post-launch phase. Third, depending on some of the assumptions of the product life cycle, marketing expenditures also tend to follow a typical pattern. Marketing expenses as a proportion of NSV are greatest during the launch and post-launch phases. However, if such a cost can be accepted, the long-term benefits in increased consumer knowledge of the new product and subsequent sales will have a higher likelihood of materializing, as in the aforementioned case.

The foundation for the financial examination of new items is provided by these fundamental financial ideas. Despite the basic costs and revenues being plotted over a five-year period, it is crucial to understand that these analyses do not account for all of the expenses that will be incurred to bring a new product to market and that encompass a wider view of the overall

investment necessary for the development project, which is currently under way. Beyond the scope of this article, investment assessment is a large topic in and of itself. In light of this, we draw attention to two related ideas: payback and discounted cash flow.

Payback

The payback period is the amount of time needed for an investment project's revenues to match its costs. It is not seen as a gauge of profitability but rather as a rough estimate of the liquidity connected to a specific investment in a new product. As a result, it may be used as a crude screening tool to assist in determining where a business should spend its money in order to get a speedy return. A fictitious example of NPD, where two potential initiatives compete for funding, may be where a British brewery renowned for its bitter and mild beers wishes to expand its line of products. You may invest in creating a lager in the continental style or a stout-type beer, for example. The analysis of the payback time would go as follows: Companies may make sense of some of the many results of pursuing various new product ventures using the notion of payback. However, it does not take into account the new items' overall profitability during their whole lifespan. For this, a different idea known as discounted cash flow may be used.

Reduced Cash Flow

As was stated above in the explanation of the "payback" term, DCF is based on annual cash flow projections. However, the time value of money is taken into account using DCF. This indicates that the investment under consideration represents an opportunity cost since there are other ways an organization may invest that would provide different returns or profits over time. In order to make wise decisions, it is wise to compare every possible investment to the lowest return that investing otherwise may provide. In DCF, the annual cash flows are "discounted" using the minimum acceptable cap rate or needed rate of return for the business. It explains the needed rate of return.

A Necessary Rate of Return

The percentage return that management must achieve on its capital investment in the new product is known as the necessary rate of return. It is essentially an additional "cost line" on the straightforward profit prediction for the new product. Although the needed rate of return, defined by the finance department of a company, is sometimes a question of degree, its level may determine whether a new product proposal has a projected profit or a projected loss. Additionally, the needed rate of return increases with the perceived risk of the new product initiative. For high-risk initiatives, this essentially imposes a "risk penalty" or a barrier, raising the "costs" of the new product. Simply said, DCF considers the entire profitability throughout the course of the product's existence and the time value of money. We've now quickly covered some fundamental financial ideas that are important for company analysis and moved on to some crucial methods for evaluating the performance of possible new products.

Financial Techniques for The Development of New Products

In this, some of the problems in gathering the information even for the most basic financial analysis are briefly explored. Unit sales, the initial set of statistics, are based on several methods of sales forecasting [7]–[10]. There are many methods for predicting sales of new items, but they often fall into one of two groups: those used before test marketing and those used after test marketing. The development team is heavily engaged in pre-test marketing and, in many instances, pre-product testing at the point in the NPD process when the first

financial analysis is being conducted. Accordingly, "sales forecasts" will be based on information gathered during the screening and idea assessment stages, such as estimates of market size, market growth rate, market share of the existing product, market segmentation, and any purchase intents elicited via survey research. These estimates are not simple; each one has its own challenges and presumptions, many of which we have seen before. Furthermore, discussions of how certain advancements came to be that are presented in the media or in books do have the advantage of hindsight, even if no creator can be positive that their product would be a success in advance. For instance, we pointed out in the first version of this book:

With ambitious intentions to develop 100 shops over the next five years, Virgin is going to debut a line of beauty goods. The £4.1 billion market for cosmetics and toiletries contains the 'brand aware, but not brand loyal ladies' that they have identified as a business potential. In retrospect, we can see The Body Shop's success, and many articles have been written to analyze it. However, no one is certain at this time if Virgin Cosmetics will have the same level of success as The Body Shop. The opinions of managers, salespeople, and, particularly with industrial items, lead users provide insight into the probable sales volume inside of businesses.

With the benefit of hindsight, we can see that Virgin opened 22, not 100, shops. However, this is not a whole picture. Virgin has opened shops in South Africa, Singapore, Dubai, Hong Kong, and Taiwan, in addition to serving their millionth direct consumer back in 2000. Therefore, although the forecasts for increase may not have been wholly inaccurate, it is clear that the specifics of buying habits were not anticipated. This is not to argue that market research should be disregarded when it is available. When Brews, a major Australian brewery, produced and marketed a lager for women, they disregarded multiple market studies; market research repeatedly indicated that there was no niche market for lagers for women, and the product flopped.

A number of market research firms now conduct computer simulations based on prior experience with new product launches in related industries, but these may need to be conducted after the product has been developed in order to get customer reactions, meaning that the data are not always available at the time of the business analysis. Again, we see the problem with product development where the knowledge required to make the right choices early on is not accessible until much later. Both possible cost information and potential sales information fall under this umbrella. The intangibility and irreducibility of new services also preclude their capacity to be tested in the same sense that we do with tangible goods. A new Personal Equity Plan will either be implemented or it won't. Since there is no other way to "try it out" except to purchase the product, business analysts must give particular attention to the work that new service developers must complete.

Sales projections are also accessible at a later stage in the development of a new product, for instance, once test markets have experienced real-world use and repeat purchases. However, as was previously said, financial research is necessary before real development can start, and this creates the following issues: The majority of individuals will claim they'll try something once, but most market research approaches meant to anticipate sales do not account for this fact. This is especially true when a new product must be explained or educated but not really used. The sorts of responses will depend on how the new product was described while doing market research. The extension of existing trends serves as the foundation for forecasting; the more radical the new product concept, the harder it is to anticipate sales since there are no current trends. A forecast's accuracy will be affected by unforeseen changes in the marketing environment. The efficiency of forecasting, which is dependent upon estimations of possible

profitability, is diminished by such caveats. 36 new product creators' forecasting techniques were investigated in a research study. Customer and market research, a jury of executive opinion, sales force composite, look-alike analysis, where sales of other, similar products are mapped, trend line analysis, moving average, and scenario analysis were found to be the most frequently used forecasting techniques. In keeping with past research by Lynn, Schnarr's, and Askov, forecasting is based on managerial discretion.The price of a new product in the market, which is often not totally within management's control and where rivals use pricing as a potent tool to impede new product sales, will have an additional impact on the actual sales volume obtained by it. Knowing how minor price adjustments or expenses that may be imposed on the business from outside sources may influence product profitability is crucial. Sensitivity analysis is the process of looking at this.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Organizations may identify business requirements, design solutions, and promote organizational transformation with the help of the crucial discipline of business analysis. Business analysts provide important insights to assist decision-making, enhance processes, and accomplish strategic goals by using a methodical approach and a variety of approaches. Organizations are better able to adapt, innovate, and maintain their competitiveness in a business environment that is constantly changing by adopting business analysis as a key skill. Corporate analysis goes beyond a single project or program and includes ongoing improvements to corporate systems and procedures. Business analysts may find chances for additional optimization and refinement by tracking and analyzing the performance of established solutions. Organizations may adjust to shifting market dynamics, consumer wants, and technology improvements using this iterative strategy.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Grant, "Business analysis techniques in business reengineering," *Bus. Process Manag. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.1108/BPMJ-03-2015-0026.

[2] F. Milani, *Digital business analysis*. 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-05719-0.

[3] C. Păunescu, M. C. Popescu, and L. Blid, "Business impact analysis for business continuity: Evidence from Romanian enterprises on critical functions," *Manag. Mark.*, 2018, doi: 10.2478/MMCKS-2018-0021.

[4] M. Gaspareto and É. Henriqson, "Business model analysis from the activity system perspective: A design science research," *BAR - Brazilian Adm. Rev.*, 2020, doi: 10.1590/1807-7692bar2020190049.

[5] A. Sidorova, "Business analysis as an opportunity for IS programs in business schools," *Commun. Assoc. Inf. Syst.*, 2013, doi: 10.17705/1cais.03331.

[6] W. P. Lokapirnasari *et al.*, "Potency of probiotics Bifidobacterium spp. And Lactobacillus casei to improve growth performance and business analysis in organic laying hens," *Vet. World*, 2019, doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2019.860-867.

[7] G. Valiris and M. Glykas, "Business analysis metrics for business process redesign," *Bus. Process Manag. J.*, 2004, doi: 10.1108/14637150410548100.

[8] D. Gobov, C. Maliarcuk, N. Kunanets, and Y. Oliinyk, "Approaches for the concept 'business analysis' definition in IT projects and frameworks," in *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2020.

[9] T. Iyamu, … M. N.-M.-E. J. of, and undefined 2016, "The overlapping nature of Business Analysis and Business Architecture: what we need to know," *academic-publishing.org*, 2016.

[10] S. A. Torabi, H. Rezaei Soufi, and N. Sahebjamnia, "A new framework for business impact analysis in business continuity management (with a case study)," *Saf. Sci.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2014.04.017.

CHAPTER 12

EXPLORING THE BENEFITS OF SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS IN DECISION-MAKING

Dr. Muralidhar Sunil
Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: sunilrashinkar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Sensitivity analysis is a powerful technique used in decision-making and risk assessment to understand the impact of changes in variables or assumptions on the outcomes of a model, system, or project. This abstract explores the concept of sensitivity analysis and its applications in evaluating the robustness and reliability of decisions and predictions. Sensitivity analysis involves systematically varying input parameters or assumptions within a defined range and observing the resulting changes in the outputs or outcomes of a model or system. By examining the sensitivity of the outputs to different inputs, decision-makers can gain insights into the factors that significantly influence the results and assess the potential risks and uncertainties associated with the decision or prediction.

KEYWORDS:

Assumptions, Decision-Making, Factors, Inputs, Modeling.

INTRODUCTION

Sensitivity analysis just modifies a small portion of the input data to analyze the potential impact these changes may have on the profitability of the new product. This process is greatly simplified by simple spreadsheets. Let's revisit our previous example of a low-calorie red wine in the UK, where we estimated 4,320,000 bottles in unit sales for Year 1 using the A-T-R model.

Following is a breakdown of this calculation. When a new product competes with an established product, cannibalization happens when a portion of the new product's sales are taken from the sales of the competing product. For a cannibalization evaluation, four pieces of information are necessary:

1. The current product's unit selling price and variable expenses.

2. The new product's unit selling price and variable costs.

3. Estimates of the volume of sales of new products that might result from those of existing products.

4. Sales projections for the previous product.

Breakeven Evaluation

Giving managers more information to help them determine how much product to produce and how much to charge so that the profit created by the new product fits expectations is one of the main goals of financial analysis.

In actuality, a break-even analysis is a straightforward kind of contribution analysis that identifies the volume or sales turnover necessary to generate neither losses nor profits. As previously, the following details are needed [1]–[3]:

1. Variable prices for each unit
2. Fixed expenses
3. The asking price.

Approaches Based on Specialized Research

Numerous decision support systems, including New Prod, have been developed as a result of extensive study into the aspects involved in the new product development process. Others, including ASSESSOR and SP Rind TER, are also popular and in use, although they usually work best later in the process of developing a new product since they are basically pre-launch strategies.

In this last essay, New Prod and its effects on business analysis are discussed. As opposed to an expert system, which is a collection of decision rules agreed upon, as the name indicates, by experts, New Prod is empirically generated. A rating and an assessment of the assessor's confidence in the rating are requested for each question. Computer analysis of the data reveals significant discrepancies in the evaluator's views on the 30 questions. This gives the screening meeting's attendees a framework for discussion after which they complete the questionnaire once again.

The team may then decide: whether to continue with physical development based on this analysis. what to do if the project specification has any flaws the best way to manage widely defined unclear regions. Cooper has examined the actual outcomes of this procedure and found that in a sample of 179 new product initiatives, 87.5% of ultimate successes were properly predicted by the New Prod approach, but only 59.3% of failures.

When Procter & Gamble conducted an internal validation of the system, they discovered that, of the projects new Prod projected would be successful, 80% were successful in test markets and 60% were profitable following the launch. Only 5% of the ideas new Prod projected would fail financially actually succeeded after going into production.

DISCUSSION

Resources Required

If we were to proceed with the project, the first eight questions are meant to determine if our organization have the abilities, talents, skills, resources, physical facilities, and expertise required to complete the project. It is irrelevant that these resources could now be used elsewhere. These accessible outside resources should be seen as available to the project if certain aspects of it are to be carried out by others. Be cautious to maintain a realistic perspective on the accessibility and quality of these other resources.

Kind of Project

These three inquiries provide some broad definitions of the project or product. It is necessary to define the words "market," "customer," and "competitor" here. The market is defined both geographically and in terms of applications; to define the’ market,' consider the consumers that our product is intended for, or the target users. These clients currently use competitive goods, which our solution is meant to replace.

Newness of The Business

Is this a project that our business has worked on before, or is it brand-new to us? The four questions that follow ask about how novel or "step out" the project and product are for our business. Remember to define the terms "market," "customer," and "competition" as well.

The Finished Item

The following seven inquiries test the strength of our product. Make sure to consider our product in comparison to any alternatives the client may be employing to address his or her issue.

Product Evaluation

When a key "go" decision has been made on the development of physical items, the real product will be created. The team starts working on creating the product using data that was previously gathered, such as consumer preferences, feasibility studies, and cost evaluations of different designs. As was previously said, this is when the NPD process's prices would start to soar drastically. The intricacy of a new product will inevitably affect how long it takes to develop physically. However, after a first version, or prototype, has been produced, it is crucial to evaluate whether it functions as previous design specifications had planned. Functional testing is necessary for this, which has long been acknowledged as being at the heart of the NPD process. Before the actual assembly of the product, its components, their arrangement, and the resultant qualities were proposed. They take on physical form and become realities, which must go through rigorous testing to guarantee the finished product has the desired qualities. The functional tests are often plain to see. For instance, new medications must demonstrate that they are effective and free of negative side effects. More miles per litre must be produced by new engine designs designed to increase fuel economy. Before their low-noise profiles can be confirmed, low-noise airplane engines must be flown. Although necessary, these tests are not thorough. If we go back to how a new product idea works, we can see that the traits offered by the concept are supposed to combine into a "promise of benefits" that will satisfy consumer demands.

Product testing must consider both whether the qualities have been physically provided in accordance with their intended use and if the advantages promised by the set of attributes have materialized. In other words, product testing must determine if the advantages stated during concept testing are really provided by the new product. Additionally, it's important to confirm that these advantages still meet client needs. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the functionality of a product as well as how well it meets the expectations of prospective clients. Both performance testing methods are covered by product testing. We are mostly focused on the latter in this.

Cooper, DeGette, and Kleinschmidt's research attests to the value of all testing. According to their research into the practices of 105 US product developers, the "best performers" perform the following tasks more successfully than average and underperforming businesses: product design and development, internal product testing, and customer testing. It may appear from prior that a product test would be almost unnecessary at this point given the amount of market evaluation and positioning implied in the process of finding new ideas, screening them, creating and testing concepts, and doing a thorough business analysis. In fact, the emphasis we have placed on the need to complete as much of the development project as possible before moving on with physical development may support the idea that product testing should only be a quick step, if it happens at all. It is nevertheless crucial even if it is a stage of

development that will undoubtedly demand resources but may not offer much to what a development team already understands.

In the second part of 2005, mobile phone providers explored the development of 3G with apps for mobile TV. Despite significant financial investment in the technology, there is a chance that customers may require more persuasion to expand their usage of mobile phones beyond chatting and texting and include 'private' TV catch-up sessions. The results of the five-month end-user pilot indicate that further technological and functional improvement is still needed for the product to be excellent enough for customers to switch from talking and texting to watching TV. However, this would be preferable to the quick release of a product whose quality is insufficient to encourage the shift in customers' perceptions. This example emphasizes the need of testing the product in the market after it is physically built to ensure that it satisfies the previously defined market criteria.

At the product testing stage, when consumers may assess the actual, not just the planned, product, it follows that they can be given the most information to date since the whole development process is one of increasing the quantity of information accessible to support decision-making. They should be able to respond to the new product in the most legitimate and dependable way possible thanks to this, at least theoretically. From this angle, product testing may be seen as an activity that, if the product has been developed in a manner that allows it to live up to its promise, verifies the findings of the positive idea test. The product may be evaluated with other components of the final marketing mix, such as packaging, price, and a promotional message, in addition to its performance in the market. However, the test marketing phase is where these mix testing components are presented in more detail.

This article examines the fundamental issues with physical product testing, including realism, sensitivity, and validity. The main choices managers must make in order to conduct product testing are then covered. It concludes with an overview of product test design options and a discussion of beta testing for products used in industrial markets. Product testing's main goal is to further minimize risks in the choices made that will result in the introduction of the product. To do this,

1. Evaluating how well the concept statement's promises are fulfilled by the new product.

2. Evaluating the new product in comparison to its intended competitors' goods.

3. Determining how the product may be enhanced to more closely meet customer expectations.

4. Assessing the impact of product modifications on consumer preference levels.

5. Reevaluating the new product's buying intention in light of its real characteristics.

6. Testing the new product's packaging, marketing, and promotional strategies.

It should be emphasized that many of these goals are necessary for the reformulation of existing goods, and that advertising, packaging, and product research are all intricate "products" of the marketing research sector! The needed purpose of the product test will determine how the test is structured, much as with concept testing [4]–[6]. However, there are several considerations in the context of developing new products against which the advantages of product testing must be exchanged.

First off, doing a routine of product testing could not result in a conclusion that is enough better to justify the expense. Second, delays in the new product development process might cause a firm to "leap frog," as time to market becomes a significant competitive concern.

Third, when evaluating radically new items, prospective buyers must also be trained, educated, and motivated; failing to do so would repeat the test marketing phase and make the work time-consuming and costly.

The numerous FMCG items that are essentially the addition of a new flavor or ingredient, such as with Aral's Craven dale brand introduction of single-serve milk drinks, are another example of products that were successful without being tested. The company, a significant dairy distributor, introduced portion-sized fruit-flavored milk drinks. When sales don't materialize, it's extremely simple to remove the items or replace them with ones that have a different fruit flavor. In the event of new services, the test phase must be envisioned as a full mini-launch and may not even occur.

At this point of the development cycle, the developers have no verifiable knowledge that their proposed new product fulfills any conceivable consumer demands, despite the fact that these trade-offs are genuine. We can see that the temptation to skip product testing should be avoided if we keep in mind that "a superior product meeting customer needs" is one of the most potent and consistent elements linked with new product success. Instead, rapid and efficient product testing is required so that the final setup and tooling for the first full production run of a new product that is compliant with customer demands and outperforms the competition may be completed. There are three concerns that must be taken into account when thinking about how to perform a product test since they have an impact at every step. Let's start by taking a look at them.

The Major Concerns Relating to Product Testing

Product testing's overarching goal is to gauge how prospective customers feel about the real items in comparison to rival offers. Therefore, it's critical that the test be carried out in a manner that gives prospective customers a comprehensive picture of the product and enables them to compare options just like they would in a real-world purchasing scenario. These prerequisites include validity, sensitivity, and realism.

Realism

Realism is the degree to which the product test may represent the purchase, usage, or consumption of the product in a manner that corresponds to those actions in a real or unfeigned circumstance. This implies, for instance, that prepackaged meals should be evaluated by customers in their kitchens, vacuum cleaners should be product tested at home by consumers, and members of the possible target market should test new cars on the roads. However, the decision is often between a 'real' circumstance and one that allows for more experimental accuracy and is under greater control of the researcher. For instance, in a lab, prospective buyers may test the vacuum cleaner on a range of floor coverings that can be covered in a variety of chemicals, enabling researchers to create a clear, controlled, and exact image of user preferences in various scenarios. Alternately, in situations when time is crucial, it could be essential to forgo reality in order to act fast. For instance, Coca-Cola's decision to launch Balk, a coffee-flavored beverage, in the UK would be based on the results of product tests conducted in France rather than in the UK. This would save time since Pepsi Max Cinco, the company's main rival product, would already be available on the market.

Many of the judgments that will be covered in the following have ramifications for realism, however the test's main objectives may need at least some sacrifices of reality. For instance, the 'nature of the exposure' must be taken into account when determining how a product may be changed to better meet customer expectations. Simply said, this relates to whether the product is given for review in its whole or merely in certain components. Element testing

may be done separately or in groups, saving money on a test of the whole benefit proposition while also shortening testing times and providing vital data for essential product design choices. For instance, while evaluating a new aftershave, different aspects such the fragrance, bottle color, and form could be assessed independently. In this manner, components may be corrected before they are absorbed by the whole product and their effects are difficult to assess. When the interest is in reevaluating purchasing intent, it is another reason listed by the product test that has an impact on realism. In this case, the 'duration of exposure' question is crucial since certain products are really evaluated over time. Shampoo and washing powder clearly need several "testing's," but even consumables and durables like hi-fi equipment and microwave ovens may only get a "realistic" evaluation after being used multiple times. Multiple exposure requires a lot of time and resources.

Validity and Sensitivity

Sensitivity is the degree to which a product test allows customers to recognize differences between goods and gives decision-makers an understanding of the new product's perceived uniqueness, a crucial component in success. Although it is crucial for the new product to be clearly positioned in comparison to its rivals, there is a chance that the test's design would lead consumers to overstate similarities, which will undermine its validity. This would imply that customers may act in a manner that they could not in a "unaffected" purchasing scenario by being asked to concentrate on the distinctions between the new and other items. This might therefore result in the product being positioned in a manner that ignores actual customer perceptions of brand differences. On the other hand, failing to recognize the distinctions that consumers do notice between the new and existing products reduces not only the chance of creating persuasive positioning statements, but also the risk of releasing a "new and different" product that consumers fail to recognize as being "new and different." In other words, in the perspective of the buyer, the stated distinguishing characteristics are invalid. As a result, there is a conflict between aiming for sensitivity and validity since raising one might lower the other. As was already said, a lot of the choices that must be taken when building a new product test have an immediate impact on realism, sensitivity, and validity. As necessary, they are explained next.

Important Decisions in Product Test Construction

Similar to previous NPD phases, the product test involves making a variety of ancillary judgments. As previously said, these choices affect the crucial elements of realism, sensitivity, and validity. Therefore, it is essential to carefully plan the tests performed in product testing to guarantee that the information acquired will, to the greatest extent possible, be useful to the decision makers, especially in light of the unavoidable delays it causes to the NPD process and the rise in expenses it results in.

Goals for Product Testing

To make the test's subsequent design easier, a number of particular objectives within the context of the broader goals of product testing must be outlined. Compared to concept testing, which is by its very nature more experimental, objectives for product testing may be more precise. Product testing goals should focus on providing data for certain choices, which may fall into one of the following categories:

1. In order to aid in positioning the new product
2. To influence the ultimate choice of a product's attributes
3. Help support the launch plan for a product.
4. Placing the new product in the market

The dimensions of the product that are being tested and the points of comparison are two challenges that arise when the goal is to help with the positioning of the new product. A new product idea that includes the essential, anticipated, and enhanced components has multiple aspects. For instance, Iran Bur recently introduced a new energy drink with an emphasis on urban ladies in a market now dominated by Red Bull. The new "pleasant tasting" formula's positioning falls beyond the company's conventional target market, which is youth-oriented, therefore product testing would have to specifically address this problem.

Contributing to Final Selection of Product Features

The following challenges arise when the goal is to influence how product characteristics are ultimately chosen: the assessment's dimensional emphasis, whether to prioritize evaluation or discrimination, and whether to use a holistic or component approach. The emphasis of assessment is once again related to the selection of the dimensions or attributes to be evaluated. Referring back to the launch of the Iran Bur energy drink, this would indicate the need to address problems with the drink's actual taste as well as its packaging, in which case consumer evaluation would need to compare the two, particularly in regard to how each affects intention to purchase.

A product test that focuses on a customer's capacity for discrimination with regard to the new product determines if the consumer perceives the product as being "new." Again, it would be worthwhile to attempt to determine how unique or distinct the Iran Bur energy drink is from its rivals in the market. Any test must include information on assessment, which looks at the issue of how welland whythe product is loved given that it is new. The selection of a comprehensive strategy gives weight to the evaluation of the overall product proposal. This would imply that the fundamental aspects of the product—taste, color, and packagingare all suitable in and of themselves and that what needs to be evaluated is the full execution of the concept. A component strategy, on the other hand, would take the path described above and examine "partial-benefit propositions" in order to reduce risk, expense, and time delays. The choice has special relevance for "realism."

Contributing To the Launch Plan For The Market

When the goal is to aid in the market launch, a number of problems with the volume of data gathered must be overcome. This refers to the size and makeup of the sample of prospective buyers as well as whether or if the connected subject of advertising and packaging testing should be brought up at this point in addition to the actual product testing. Where packaging is utilized to reinforce a product's advantages and market position in addition to providing protection, the packaging problem is unquestionably more significant [7]–[10]. Several of the concerns that are outlined by the goals' nature are treated as choices in the sentences that follow. It should be kept in mind that the test's goals may actually limit the choices made throughout the product testing stage.

Choices Relating To Products

There are three major decision-making categories that are directly connected to products: the content and format of the presentation, the identity disclosure, and the justification and supervision of use and consumption.

Test Content and Presentation Style

The presentation's format and content might change. The first option here has to do with the variety of products. It might be difficult to decide whether to test the "single best example" of a product that is still in development or a number of different iterations. When just one

product version is prototyped as a result of the prototype investment, the option may not really exist. However, even 'complex' items may be created in basic and value-added variants, thus this is rather uncommon. The possible impact that variations may have on the reactions of prospective clients is the key to choosing which form to show. The difficulty is that information of the possible consequences cannot exist before to the test, as is the case with many other phases in the development of new products. Therefore, it is crucial to include market data from prior consumer research into the selection.

Each question has a different weight depending on the organization and the project. It should be kept in mind that adding variants to test results enriches information for the decision maker but is significantly more expensive and makes the test logistics and analyses more complicated, especially where answers to questions produce a mixed view of the need to choose "single best" or "product variants".

Branded or Blind Testing

It is hotly contested whether or not to utilize the product's brand name, the second option related to purchasing choices, both within and outside the framework of "new" product testing.

This is a succinct summary of the problem. The sensory response to a new product is processed through the filter of perception, which is influenced by knowledge and experience of previous goods and rivals in the marketplace, since customer responses to items have a lot to do with expectations and preconceived images. In other words, depending on tie's' from which it is seen to emanate, the response to a new product may be improved or hindered. Hunt, Twain, and Penny.

Explanation and Test Supervision

The last consideration in judgments about product testing is the explanation and monitoring of use. A product's instructions may include nothing at all or a comprehensive collection of guidelines.

A clear ruling aspect in this is product complexity, together with the degree of newness. To guarantee that users can really realize the anticipated advantages, it may be necessary to patiently explain the attractiveness of programmable household products to testers, such as central heating controllers and video recorders.

On the other hand, maybe this would make a market success less probable if explanations were to be particularly thorough. Once again, the solution may lie in repeat testing, where the initial round is accompanied by a thorough explanation and is then followed by subsequent rounds that assess both perceived advantages and ease of use.

A similar idea is using supervision, which in many cases may be legally mandated, particularly when user safety is at stake. However, much as with explanation, it is preferable to let users and customers utilize the product as they see fit, even if 'typical' use patterns do not agree with hypothetical manufacturer suggestions. Even while frequent failure because of improper use may not be the "fault" of the developer technically speaking, in terms of marketing the failure will rapidly convert into a reputation that may obstruct the success of a new product.

Sample Choice

Three considerations are crucial for choosing who should do product tests: identification of "testers," testing panels vs ad hoc surveys, and sample size.

Tester Identification

Consider the following five user groups when making the first of these decisions: experts, distributors, consumers or customers, employees, and development people. These categories overlap in certain places. Employees by definition, development staff members are often knowledgeable.

Nevertheless, they see the growth from unique angles. Food manufacturing often uses internal expert testing, when new recipes are taste-tested in corporate kitchens. There is a definite requirement to taste the impacts of product changes on the flavor of bread for items that are included into other food products, such as yeast manufacturers. This is often done by in-house specialists.

Ad Hoc Or Panel Surveys

Consumer goods companies typically conduct testing either through a specially crafted, one-time research study or by choosing customers who are already ‘signed-up' members of a panel and are periodically contacted to provide information regarding their needs, preferences, and opinions of marketing activity in the broadest sense. These panels are often obtained via a market research firm; all the major ones assemble consumer panels who may volunteer to serve as product testers. The degree to which these customers actually reflect the beliefs, hopes, and actions of the market they are supposed to represent must be taken into account if this option is selected. Simply stated, are customers who participate in panels representative of all consumers, or do they differ from other consumers in some manner that can influence how they perceive a specific product because they are willing to provide information to market research firms? Once chosen for a panel, their ability to think critically and their aptitude for answering questions will both grow as a result of how often they assess different items. The alternative, to create specialized research for which a one-off sample is chosen, may compare the opinions of more 'ordinary' customers, making the results more representative.

The various answers provided by various sorts of sample have received relatively little study too far. The previously mentioned research by Penny, Hunt, and Tymon, which tracked the levels of product attribute preference among panel and non-panel housewives, is an exception. The preferences of the groups did not change substantially despite minor, minute variances, which led to the conclusion that the panelists' testing backgrounds did not significantly influence their replies in a manner that would influence business decisions. The option between a panel and ad hoc raises the crucial problem of market segmentation, which is connected to the issue of how to choose testers. Products created with a focus on a certain segment should be tested by that segment. Certain people in a population are more likely to experiment with, purchase, and use novel items. These customers may be located using methods similar to those used to segment markets more generally, such as past purchasing patterns, demographic or psychological factors, or in response to specific characteristics of the product category being considered, such as sugar-free diluted drinks. However, it should be underlined that segmentation choices should have been taken earlier in order to specify the concept's product features.

Samples Taken

The sample size is the third and last factor under target market. It is obvious that different factors must be taken into account depending on who is doing the testing: less professionals than consumers are needed. Additionally, sample sizes directly depend on the goal of the product test and the decision made about the data collecting methods since qualitative

approaches often ask for smaller samples than quantitative ones do. For professional judgment, one sample will do. If the size of the company permits, the standard sample size for first employee-performed tests is 30. As staff testing should be more exploratory than the later customer research, this is often adequate. Where qualitative data collection techniques are used, three or four groups of 8–12 individuals will conduct 30–40 in-depth, one-on-one interviews to offer the diagnostic, positioning, and preference data needed to improve the new product. However, sample sizes won't often dip below 100 once the goals of the product testing are tied to determining purchase intent and need a more quantitative approach. 25,000 people typically make up the panel samples that the big market research firms assemble, however not all of them will be reached for each test. The main problem, when considering quantitative factors, is sensitivity. To avoid reading large differences in small samples incorrectly, a product test requires a larger sample size the more it needs to determine how well a target market knows, perceives, and likes the new products distinctive attributes.

Where the Product Is Tested

A product test may be conducted at a company's own laboratory, in a central location, or at a site where the product is used. These are the three general options. During the early stages of product testing, the business may utilize its own laboratory to determine customer, expert, and even staff preferences for certain features. For instance, Citibank Corp. invites consumers to utilize newly developed banking systems on their own property in order to gauge how effective and user-friendly their proposed solutions are. However, given that the product is not evaluated in real-world situations, the apparent constraint of enticing customers to attend is less important than determining how much the laboratory setting alters consumers' answers. As a result, although laboratory testing may enhance the developer's ability to manage sensitivity, some realism may be sacrificed.

Geographical Center

Shopping centers, trade exhibitions, retail stores, and hotel lobbies are examples of central places. Similar to how they may be asked to participate in a poll, customers will be offered to test products. In addition to being utilized for certain types of testing related to new goods, such as pack and name testing, this sort of facility is especially well suited for testing edible items.

The benefits of central locations depend on how well the test can be managed. Over the course of the full sample of testers, the product may be used consistently. As an alternative, it is possible to monitor user responses to various use forms under the developer's direct control.

Thus, the test's sensitivity compliance may be improved by both laboratory and central locations, but at the price of reality. The last group of sites is usually at customers' homes or places of employment and is connected to the product's natural use context. This option may be used in circumstances that call for extensive testing. Consumer durable goods are an obvious example, since it is important to run them in a range of situations similar to those they would encounter once acquired before drawing any conclusions about their usability, dependability, and efficacy, for example, of a dishwasher or vacuum cleaner.

Researchers Penny, Hunt, and Tymon investigated how well consumers could recognize preferences among soaps. They contrasted "in-house" with "instant," or in this instance, "sniff," testing. Given that the expense of putting up the immediate test is cheaper, they discovered that for this kind of product, the two procedures produced the same order of preference.

The findings were less consistent across the two exposure types in comparable tests with toothpaste, dishwashing soap, and foods, however. In-house tests are preferred for products whose evaluation must be done over time, products for which a significant "fatigue" element may be at play, or where people get used to a "new" flavor, products where consumer involvement at home is high, such as cake mixes, and products that tend to need usage instructions, which may be followed to a greater or lesser extent by individuals, such as contact lens cleaner.

Since items could be used in a larger or narrower scope of activities than the producer anticipated, testing in homes or places of employment tends to be more realistic. As a result, the company creating the goods can identify the areas where problems arise as a result of use patterns they incorrectly forecasted.

The same is true for workplaces where businesses "customize" the product to meet their own needs. On the downside, because consumers will use the product in their own manner and report back appropriately, testers have far less control over home and workplace testing environments.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a useful method for assessing the effects of changes in variables or assumptions on the results of models or systems is sensitivity analysis. By highlighting important factors, evaluating risks, and promoting a deeper comprehension of complex systems, it improves decision-making. Sensitivity analysis allows decision-makers to manage risks, make well-informed decisions, and increase the accuracy of their forecasts and projections. Sensitivity analysis contains drawbacks and presumptions that must be taken into account despite its benefits.

It presupposes that input variables and results have a linear and independent connection, which may not always be the case. Additionally, sensitivity analysis gives information about the effects of changing the input parameters but does not provide a way to improve or reduce the risks that are discovered.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Qian and A. Mahdi, “Sensitivity analysis methods in the biomedical sciences,” *Mathematical Biosciences*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108306.

[2] F. Pianosi, F. Sarrazin, and T. Wagener, “A Matlab toolbox for Global Sensitivity Analysis,” *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.04.009.

[3] E. Borgonovo and E. Plischke, “Sensitivity analysis: A review of recent advances,” *European Journal of Operational Research*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2015.06.032.

[4] F. Pianosi *et al.*, “Sensitivity analysis of environmental models: A systematic review with practical workflow,” *Environmental Modelling and Software*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.008.

[5] E. G. Rød, C. H. Knutsen, and H. Hegre, “The determinants of democracy: a sensitivity analysis,” *Public Choice*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11127-019-00742-z.

[6] L. Lilburne and S. Tarantola, “Sensitivity analysis of spatial models,” *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.*, 2009, doi: 10.1080/13658810802094995.

[7] T. Wei, “A review of sensitivity analysis methods in building energy analysis,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.12.014.

[8] D. Douglas-Smith, T. Iwanaga, B. F. W. Croke, and A. J. Jakeman, "Certain trends in uncertainty and sensitivity analysis: An overview of software tools and techniques," *Environmental Modelling and Software*. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104588.

[9] F. Sarrazin, F. Pianosi, and T. Wagener, "Global Sensitivity Analysis of environmental models: Convergence and validation," *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.005.

[10] E. A. Groen, E. A. M. Bokkers, R. Heijungs, and I. J. M. de Boer, "Methods for global sensitivity analysis in life cycle assessment," *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11367-016-1217-3.

CHAPTER 13

IMPACT OF APPROACHES USED IN PRODUCT TESTING: A REVIEW STUDY

Mr. Ashok Bhat
Assistant Professor, Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: ashokbhat@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Product testing is an essential aspect of product development and marketing, aimed at evaluating and comparing the performance, functionality, and overall quality of different products. This abstract explores two common approaches in product testing: monadic testing and comparative testing. It discusses their methodologies, advantages, and limitations, and highlights their importance in informing product decisions and driving customer satisfaction. Monadic testing is a method in which each product is evaluated independently by a group of testers or users. In monadic testing, participants assess a single product without any direct comparison to other products. This approach allows testers to focus solely on the features, usability, and overall experience of the product under evaluation. Monadic testing provides valuable insights into the strengths and weaknesses of individual products, facilitating a detailed analysis of their performance and user satisfaction.

KEYWORDS:

Comparative Testing, Consumer Preferences, Evaluation, Likert Scale, Monadic Testing, Perception.

INTRODUCTION

Now that we've established a connection between the monadic/comparative choice and the fundamental objectives of product testingrealism, sensitivity, and validitywe can shed some light on how selecting one option may force us to choose an alternative. For instance, if the placement option for a vacuum cleaner is selected as "in-house," the decision is mostly made. The possibilities are endless, however, and in reality, product testing come in a wide variety of shapes and sizes. The fundamental justifications that follow from this tabulation emphasize how crucial trade-offs are to realism and sensitivity. When looking for perceived differences between items, researchers face the danger of discovering distinctions that, although found, are neither taken into account outside of the test context nor deemed relevant when making a purchase. Both measuring methods' validity must be established since they use knowledge about upcoming releases to compare the outcomes of product testing [1]–[3].

The kind of scales employed in measuring is a second problem. A comprehensive marketing/consumer research textbook is where the reader should turn for a broad treatment of scale utilization. In a manner similar to the examples provided with regard to concept testing, five-point and seven-point scales are often employed to achieve the goals described earlier in this, all of which pertain to the purchasing intent and product diagnostics. In fact, we may utilize the prior examples to show how the phases vary from one another. Once the idea and business analysis phases were complete, the spin fryerintroduced would be turned into a limited batch of prototypes, which would then be evaluated for functionality internally. If this phase is successful, a batch of the product will be manufactured in a pilot plant to help detect and fix production issues. It is not a good idea to utilize these items for final customer-

use testing as their manufacturing will differ from 'regular' production once it gets started in terms of time, resources, and the level of attention paid to it. Consumer testing should make use of a whole manufacturing batch. In this instance, extensive in-house testing would be necessary to make sure that a consumer durable used in cooking gets the range of treatments that a normal family may provide in their weekly meals. To put it another way, the designers must guarantee that customers may successfully prepare meats, fish, vegetables, cheeses, and other foods in a spin fryer using batter, crumb, and uncoated methods.

Test Results for the Product

The developers should be able to determine the following using the data gathered: if the new product appeals to the target market.

1. If the new product performs well compared to competing or noteworthy products
2. How the item might be made better
3. The percentage of testers who have shown a desire to buy.

This stage of the development cycle might be used to evaluate aspects of the enhanced product, such as packaging, research, and name research, in addition to the physical product. Ford's Mondeo underwent six-month-long name research as part of its development in the middle of the 1990s. Even while name research includes vital topics like trademark searches and the legal consequences of names, the marketing aspects are still of utmost importance. Hewitt remembers that Mitsubishi's 'Station', the replacement for the Colt, was hindered by its ostensibly meaningless name. Assuming that names do not provoke offense or humor. Although it was decided to merge "Star" with "Orion," the target audience may not find this evident or significant. Similar to this, the moniker "Sharan" for Volkswagen may have more female overtones than its "meaning," "Car of Kings," to the ordinary UK motorist. IN brut was still considering the following names for their new energy drink that would have a "pleasant taste" as of January 2006.

Testing Of Products in Industrial Markets

The examples used here are mostly from the manufacturing of consumer items. What about testing the products for machine tools, electronic hardware and software, electromechanical parts, industrial bearings, and earthmoving equipment? What unique issues do these areas have with product testing? They result from the fact that many industrial items have high production costs, are often customized, have drawn-out and difficult purchasing procedures, and are supplied to a small number of clients. These elements together result in: Customers are unable to immediately assess the benefits and drawbacks of a new product.

These reasons make it impossible for industrial developers to build "test" items, install them, or wait for feedback on a broad scale, as is the case with consumer goods. Instead, to monitor the operation, usage, and perceived value of the new product, industrial goods makers may test items with a small number of chosen clients. Beta testing is the term for this kind of evaluation. Beta testing is not brand-new. Cooper's groundbreaking research on new product development methods from 1979 shown that businesses who conducted Beta testing fared better than those that did not. Beta site testing has been the subject of very little study, with Dolan's work serving as the only exception.

The major goals of Beta testing and the issues that can limit their value are outlined in this review of more than 20 beta test programs in the US, however it is not meant to be repeated. Comparable to ‘regular' consumer testing, a beta test performs comparable fundamental tasks. Here is a summary of them:

1. To examine how a product works in real life.

2. To validate the choice of both mandatory and optional features.

3. To evaluate the reliability and value of the supporting documentation.

4. To determine the degree of training necessary.

5. To assess alleged advantages and disadvantages in comparison to rivals.

6. To use the selected site to boost sales.

7. To utilize the website as a presentation of the advantages of the product.

Although function testing is conducted on the developer's property or with the developer's staff, as with product testing, nothing is known about how the new product will be used by consumers and the impact this may have on the product's functionality. A UK firm that made cranes and lorry-loaded cranes sought to expand its line by launching a lorry-loaded cement mixer. This was an example of a new product launch gone bad. French was the utilized design language. The product worked in France, thus there was no need for core testing. Within months following the introduction, the first clients began to voice dependability complaints. Following an examination, it was discovered that British truck drivers did not clean the cement mixer funnel in the same manner as French truckers, which resulted in a clogged pouring mechanism. Just having internal testing produced significant redesign, maintenance, and compensation expenses.

Final design elements must be carefully picked, much as with consumer goods, and user feedback is preferred for those elements that improve the product's all-around attractiveness. However, industrial items often include both mandatory and optional characteristics. Therefore, it's critical that the Beta testing elicit the data required to make these choices. Industrial items need support materials such as technical details, compatibility information, operating and maintenance instructions, and service contact information. Simplicity is not often a strength in instructional texts, as it never is. Before a full-scale rollout, accuracy, readability, and comprehension must be completely guaranteed. This is related to the issue of training. Developers may have an opinion on how new the product is and how much training would be needed as a result, but it is still important to evaluate the precise training requirements that a purchasing firm will need in order to implement the new product successfully.

Similar to consumer product testing, the objectives of assessing strengths and shortcomings in comparison to competitors help position the product. Of course, one of the goals of the process is the eventual sale of the product when it has been completely installed, modified, perfected, and operational. In this method, the development firm maintains its contact with the site company, building stronger working relationships and reaping the benefits of the idea of "creeping commitment." The utilization of Beta testing, according to Rabin and Moore, typically notifies clients to the impending launch. This goes a step further. This raises curiosity that is remembered at the actual launch. The value of Beta test sites as demonstration sites is also highlighted in a few research. Finding a reputable company to serve as a Beta test site, according to Easing wood and Beard, would help a developer seem more legitimate, especially for highly new and sophisticated items.

DISCUSSION

Given their significant management expenses, Beta testing are rare in number, which might cause issues with representation. This poses a danger to their effectiveness. Therefore, it's

crucial to do comparative research with other prospective customers to complement beta testing. Beta test websites are one example of how supplier-buyer interactions are managed. The apparent advantages of a typical "transaction" are well recognized. When it comes to relationship marketing, the 'promise' is made and must be kept if the connection is to continue. Beta test advantages and the promise of them are both ambiguous. This implies that there may be relational harm. If information is not to get into the hands of rival companies, confidentiality is a crucial concern in beta testing. It generally only takes a short while before word of a Beta test spreads due to the rapid advancement of numerous technologies that are used in industrial goods and the vast number of suppliers to Beta site firms. The fourth and last aspect influencing the efficacy of beta testing, namely how much they extend the entire development cycle time, may exacerbate this danger. This in turn pertains to the question of when to send the product to the test site throughout the development cycle. Even while errors are simpler to fix before to the final design freeze, they are often more frequent early on and are more likely to cause the site firm significant issues. Given enough consideration to the definition of the Beta test's goalswhich is similar to the guidance for testing new items on the broader market—a number of these variables may be controlled [4]–[6].

Factors Affecting the Usefulness of Beta Tests

Some of the specifics of the product development and testing stage have been clarified by some recent research. First, Hart et al.'s research established that the criteria used to assess the product at this stage of the process are related to product performance and quality, with the additional criterion of "staying within budget" also being essential at this point. Design testing is important at this stage, according to the work of Veryzer and de Moot, which focuses on the importance of design in the creation of new products, particularly user-oriented design. This covers regulatory clearances, reliability tests, life tests, performance tests, and the incorporation of design modifications at this stage prior to production "ramp-up".

The problems, ideas, and procedures involved in testing new products have been discussed. It begins by outlining the 'position' of product testing within the larger process before outlining the primary functions they provide.

As a starting point for the ensuing choices that must be taken to perform a product test, the overriding concerns of realism, sensitivity, and validity are discussed. Empirical research is used to explain the numerous alternatives for product testing. The conclusion of the article discusses the unique challenges of testing industrial goods and makes reference of some empirical studies on the process of developing and testing new products. The firm will be in a position to arrange both commercial manufacturing and market launch once the testing are finished and positive.

Product Administration

Commercialization is the last step in the Booz, Allen, and Hamilton paradigm of new product development. As we have emphasized throughout our study, the normative theory as it is expressed in activity stage models of the BAH type will primarily serve as a useful tool for identifying the key tasks or processes that a new product will undergo as it moves from the ideation stage to the market entrance stage. In our own model of multiple convergent processing, these phases or stages offer the convergence sites or critical route. However, as this model and our explanation of it strive to highlight, few new product initiatives go smoothly.

The process may be stopped at any time or, more frequently, recycled when new information becomes available that suggests the initial concept has to be modified. Additionally, the majority of new product development is circular in nature rather than linear and sequential, meaning that once a product is launched, the process is just getting started rather than coming to a conclusion since market feedback will always prompt additional modification and improvement. Despite these findings, it is nevertheless useful to specify a formal step for the introduction of the new product; this stage is often called "commercialization." We discuss this subject in this article.

With representatives of the target users, we already negotiated the physical or objective testing of the product. In this article, we'll examine the benefits and drawbacks of test marketing, a strategy that entails conducting a small-scale trial in a segment of the market that is thought to be indicative of the wider regional or national market in order to better understand the potential consumer response to a new product and its marketing strategy.

A final go-no-go decision will be taken, taking into account any alterations recommended by the testing, based on the results of such testing and test marketing. If so, it is logical to assume that the test market will be ramped up for the full commercialization or launch phase. On the other hand, we've observed that time to market has become a significant problem in a market that is becoming more competitive, and many new products now move directly from the physical testing stage into the market without benefiting from the opportunities to test the marketing mix and the marketing plan on a small scale. After a quick discussion on time to market, we look at some of the typical problems with introducing a new product before delving into some of the most important factors for market entry.

Sample Marketing

Test marketing is a stage in the process that comes after product creation and testing and comes before launch and commercialization, in accordance with the normative theory of new product development. As a result, although though it is often treated separately in textbooks on the topic, we choose to include it in the launch phase. The significance attributed to the topic of test marketing, however, is substantially more, in the authors' opinion, than its actual usage and implementation, as will become obvious. There are a number of causes behind this, the most significant of which are as follows:

The majority of books on test marketing concentrate on the introduction of well-known brands into FMCG markets. Despite the fact that these launches are of the utmost significance, they are rather uncommon when a company introduces a new product or service to the market. Time to market has become a crucial success element as a consequence of faster technology development and greater competition, leading many businesses to forgo the time loss involved in a test marketing effort.

Many innovators do not anticipate to obtain sufficient benefit from a test market to justify the costs and delays involved because of improved understanding of markets, better marketing research, the advantages of information technology, together with a more professional approach to the NPD process itself. However, one must first define what are the perceived aims or purposes of test marketing in order to assess whether it is either required or desirable. Next, one should have a fundamental awareness of the procedures involved in running a test market and some knowledge of the various test marketing options. The nature and weight of the arguments for and against the practice of test marketing may therefore be ascertained given such a broad overview of the subject. We shall discuss each of these subjects individually.

Objectives

Test marketing has two main goals, which may be categorized as mechanical and commercial. Although the test marketer may be more interested in one of these two goals than the other, most test markets genuinely try to achieve both goals. It is possible to describe the mechanical goal of test marketing as "to evaluate arrangements for handling and storing materials, producing and distributing the product in good condition, and monitoring a distributor/retailer performance." In this respect, the mechanical goal may be seen as a test run for manufacturing and distribution before ramping up for large-scale production and sales. According to intuition, whether or not one needs such a trial run largely relies on the originality of the product and/or market in light of the innovator's prior experience. A trial run might appear more required or advantageous than in situations where the company is launching a brand extension into established markets if there is a high level of novelty involved and the inventor has little to no experience manufacturing or selling the product/service in issue. Furthermore, there will be considerably more ambiguity about how the product will function in the distribution channels and throughout usage if there is a significant degree of novelty involved. The possibility of a rapid competitive response is also likely to be substantially lower due to this ambiguity, as potential rivals are more likely to adopt a "wait and see" strategy.

The benefits of a large-scale trial run or test market to aid in identifying and removing manufacturing and distribution issues are evident. It is exceedingly difficult, if not impossible, to predict if issues would occur with fundamentally new items. One is better equipped to contain any issues that do develop and to fix the flaws, or even to abandon the project, by introducing the new product in a restricted and controlled manner before exposing oneself to the possibility of a significant loss.

This will be shown by the instance of the JCB110 loader digger, which will be covered in detail later. The product life cycle logic predicts that it will take time to both enter and grow this market when confronted with a fundamentally new product or market. In these situations, a producer-controlled rollout is a considerably more practical strategic choice than when the new product or service is just slightly different from those of the competition. The second, commercial goal of test marketing is more likely to succeed in mature markets where consumer behavior is well studied and market share, particularly of the big suppliers, is firmly established. In these conditions, it is possible to differentiate between two main sub-objectives or purposes:

1. Providing national sales predictions

2. Assessing how the mix's components interact.

These two main sub-objectives in turn provide the chance to:

1. Assess the distribution's gain rate.

2. Analyze how quickly you can get a trial.

3. View how the opposition will respond.

4. Give arguments in favor of or against a full launch

5. Permit manufacturing, sales, and distribution staff to get training

6. Affirm the financial feasibility of the investment.

To accomplish these goals, it is important to take into account the test marketing fundamental premise that one can find a representative sub-market or segment that is sufficiently similar in all of its characteristics to the intended market. Based on the results, one can then extrapolate what is likely to occur in the larger market that the test market is supposed to be representative of. Readers are correct to take the aforementioned statement with a grain of skepticism. Psychologists believe that every individual consumer is different from every other in some way, as was made evident by the examination of consumer behavior. The challenge for marketers is deciding how much aggregation to employ to prove the existence of a viable market niche, notwithstanding sociologists' contention that people are sociable and live in groups, which affect their behavioral patterns.

People with similar purchasing behaviors tend to dwell in comparable kinds of housing, as shown by ACORN, MOSAIC, and sociodemographic segmentation techniques. However, it is obvious that one needs a very vast geographic region to include all of the many types of urban and rural dwellings that must be taken into consideration. It is obvious that the concept of a "test town" is insufficient, and if one wants to address a sample population representative of the country's population, one would often need to take into account at least the equivalent of a television area.

One should keep in mind that the television medium is a key one for advertising consumer products when selecting a television area as the foundation for test marketing. Therefore, if one wants to test the media that will be utilized in a national campaign, they must also include television, and the lowest size at which they may accomplish this is with a television area. Strangely, independent television companies claim that their medium is the only way to reach the unique population living in the area while simultaneously publishing statistics to show that the population of the region they broadcast from is representative of the entire country! [7]–[10]. Many other media have been altered as a result of television's dominance such that their usage may now be restricted to a television area in order to promote a TV campaign. As a result, it is plausible to assert that the advertising, promotion, and distribution components of the marketing mix may be replicated on a regional or test marketing scale. However, it must be understood that a test market of this size will still necessitate a sizeable investment and that it will quickly and clearly show one's potential competitors the strategy and tactics one intends to employ if it is intended to market the good or service on a national level.

Similar issues to those mentioned in the context of the UK occur in other nations. For instance, a few Scottish promotional firms recently made the decision to test a campaign utilizing the nation of origin as an umbrella brand for a variety of Scottish goods. In order to promote a targeted marketing initiative in Munich and Milan, the advertising agency involved was requested to price a campaign. Many of the sub-brands were already well-known in northern Italy and southern Germany.

It quickly became apparent that one would need to purchase a media campaign spanning the whole of northern Italy in order to target Milan and the entirety of Bavaria in order to target Munich in order to target these two important retail centers. Such a campaign would have cost more than £1.5 million, considerably more than the sponsors would have been ready to spend on what would effectively be exploratory research.What then does one expect to get out of running a test market? In addition to providing the chance to assess how the components of the mix function together, the test market should also allow the tester to predict the expected number of sales if the test area is extended into a wider regional market. The marketing mix components that are most susceptible to measurement include:

1. Packaging
2. Price
3. Merchandizing
4. Distribution
5. Advertising
6. Promotion of sales.

The tester will want to track three different types of responses in order to gauge the effects of each of these factors:

1. Consumer
2. Competitors
3. Industry

The fact that we have listed at least six input variables for which we want to generate predictions instantly highlights some of the challenges and complexity involved in doing an objective assessment. Anyone who is familiar with the nature of experimental design will immediately understand that in order to determine how a variation in the independent variable under consideration affects the dependent variable of consumer, competitor, or trade reactions, it is necessary to hold constant all other input or independent variables. It goes without saying that test marketers are unable to carry out an experimental design similar to that which one would desire to undertake in a laboratory or, in fact, similar to that which one would ordinarily employ in designing the product itself. As a result, using a test market to assess the efficacy of the selected mix is virtually only feasible, albeit one could want to get a sense of the strengths and weaknesses of certain mix components.

The main benefit, it seems, will be in developing a forecast of likely volume if the product or service is sold into a larger market of which the test market is representative. If, however, one is evaluating the reaction of the market to the new product or service with a given marketing mix. Typically, a projection of the whole prospective market will be created using a variety of various metrics. Most marketers have a tendency to adopt an implicit hierarchy of effects model when creating such forecasts, measuring first the number of people who are aware of a product, then the number who have tried it, and finally the number who repurchase it. These three metrics clearly represent awareness, interest, trial, and adoption.

Of fact, only the final metric has any genuine predictive value for the whole market potential. Numerous instances of items that had a very successful first launch but did not generate enough recurring business to warrant a nationwide launch can be found in textbooks and trade publications. However, there are several instances of large corporations that have launched a product poorly and then used the lessons gained to effectively redefine and relaunch the product. A product's first failure is often caused by underlying emotional or motivational problems that are difficult to identify in the rather artificial environment of product testing and development. Ernest Ditcher, the founder of marketing's motivational research, is credited with a number of accurate diagnoses of items that first failed but were later successfully reintroduced. The invention of instant coffee is perhaps the instance that has garnered the most attention, although other well-known examples include cling film and "non-scuff" floor polish.

Basic Actions

Essentially, test marketing comes in two flavors: simulated and genuine. The focus of most of the talk up to this point has been the "real test market," which is a miniature version of the target market where marketers may test their strategies and methods. Many businesses now

only utilize some form of simulation as their test market due to the price and many challenges associated with operating an actual test market.

The six fundamental phases seen in most simulations may be summed up as follows:

1. Enlist participants
2. Test your views and attitudes.
3. Expose participants to marketing cues participants remained in the buying mode
4. Interviewees' subjects
5. Afterwards, subjects may be contacted.

The usage of a mobile store with a variety of products, including those that will be tested, is one of the preferred simulation approaches utilized by FMCG producers. The researcher must first identify potential "shoppers" whose profiles closely resemble those of the chosen target market.

These consumers are then asked to complete a variety of attitude tests in order to create a baseline, as well as information to determine their current degree of familiarity with different brands of goods in the examined categories. The individuals are then subjected to advertising stimuli, including the suggested advertisement for the new product, after establishing a baseline. The customers are then led to the mobile store, encouraged to choose items up to a set value, and interviewed on their individual selections.

One may learn a lot of useful information about the expected reaction of the customer from a simulation of this kind. When contrasted to the actual world, it is clear that the simulation is fake. For starters, exposure to advertising stimuli comes before exposure to things that can be bought. Between exposure to advertising and exposure to the specific things offered at the time of sale, there is often a significant gap in reality.

Second, the selection of goods for purchase is limited and does not accurately represent the buying circumstances encountered during a shopping trip. Thirdly, and most importantly, such simulation just assesses a person's desire to try a new product; it provides no insight into that person's propensity for future behavior. In order to determine if individuals have in fact reproduced the purchase behavior seen during the simulation, post-contact with the subjects may be used at a later time.

The technique to be used, the test market's location, and the sample size are the three main concerns that need to be addressed with reference to the "real" test market. It was mentioned in the preceding discussion that any actual test marketing included a thorough evaluation of all the key components of the marketing mix, which unavoidably required launching the targeted advertising and promotional campaign. It becomes conceivable to implement a true test market on a much smaller size if one is willing to compromise this criterion, either totally or in part.

An actual test market might be restricted to only one or a very small number of shops in order to evaluate the packaging, pricing, merchandising, and point of sale materials. One may definitely limit test marketing to one or a few towns by employing local radio, newspapers, posters, and cinema advertising, but not television. This is a common method used by many businesses.

It is more acceptable for a textbook on marketing research to include the topic of the right sample size to allow for valid projections and extrapolations. Most of these textbooks include test marketing as a special instance in addition to a thorough analysis of sampling, and they provide helpful guidance on the selection and execution of test marketing campaigns.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Monadic and comparative testing are both effective methods for evaluating products. Comparative testing enables direct comparisons and evaluations of items in relation to rivals or alternatives, while monadic testing offers in-depth insights into specific products. Both strategies help decision-makers make well-informed choices and increase products and customer satisfaction. Companies should use these testing techniques to fully understand the performance of their goods and to maintain competitiveness in the ever-changing market. Both strategies are essential for guiding product choices and enhancing consumer satisfaction. Monadic testing supports product discovery and refinement by assisting businesses in understanding the particular characteristics and experiences related to their goods. Comparative testing enables businesses to evaluate how well their product performs in the market, pinpoint areas for development, and make well-informed choices about price, features, or marketing tactics.

REFERENCES

[1] V. P. Shah *et al.*, "FDA guidance for industry 1 dissolution testing of immediate release solid oral dosage forms," *Dissolution Technol.*, 1997, doi: 10.14227/DT040497P15.

[2] J. Gough and D. Nettleton, "Guidance for Industry," in *Managing the Documentation Maze*, 2010. doi: 10.1002/9780470597507.app2.

[3] REDC/ADF, "Dissolution Testing and Acceptance Criteria for Immediate-Release Solid Oral Dosage Form Drug Products Containing High Solubility Drug Substances Guidance for Industry," *Fda*, 2018.

[4] S. L. Lee *et al.*, "Regulatory Considerations for Approval of Generic Inhalation Drug Products in the US, EU, Brazil, China, and India," *AAPS J.*, 2015, doi: 10.1208/s12248-015-9787-8.

[5] H. Amin, "Critical success factors for the receptiveness of Islamic home financing in Malaysia," *Int. J. Emerg. Mark.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJOEM-04-2018-0187.

[6] G. Bode *et al.*, "The utility of the minipig as an animal model in regulatory toxicology," *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.vascn.2010.05.009.

[7] A. Mustafa, W. M. N. Wan-Kadir, and N. Ibrahim, "Comparative evaluation of the state-of-art requirements-based test case generation approaches," *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol.*, 2017, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.7.4-2.3409.

[8] H. Wang, S. Gao, P. Yin, and J. N. K. Liu, "Competitiveness analysis through comparative relation mining Evidence from restaurants' online reviews," *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/IMDS-07-2016-0284.

[9] J. M. Murray, C. M. Delahunty, and I. A. Baxter, "Descriptive sensory analysis: Past, present and future," *Food Research International*. 2001. doi: 10.1016/S0963-9969(01)00070-9.

[10] V. Mahajan, "Structural changes and trade competitiveness in the Indian pharmaceutical industry in product patent regime," *Int. J. Pharm. Healthc. Mark.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJPHM-12-2016-0066.