Dr. Pramod Pandey
Anil Gowda

ESSENTIAL GUIDE TO STRATEGIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

ALEXIS PRESS
JERSEY CITY, USA

ESSENTIAL GUIDE TO STRATEGIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

ESSENTIAL GUIDE TO STRATEGIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Dr. Pramod Pandey

Anil Gowda

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

FUNCTIONAL-LEVEL PLANNING AND ORGANIZATION

Dr. Pramod Pandey
Associate Professor,Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id:pramodkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Effective management inside organisations depends on functional-level organisation and planning. The main ideas, goals, and relevance of functional-level planning and organisation are highlighted in this abstract. Individual functional sections or departments of an organisation must define particular objectives, strategies, and action plans as part of functional-level planning. It focuses on maintaining coherence and synergy across several functional areas by matching each functional area's actions with the overall organisational goals. The goals of functional-level planning are examined in this abstract, which also examines how to improve collaboration and communication both within and across functional domains as well as efficiency and resource allocation. It highlights the necessity for functional-level plans to support the organization's overall strategic direction while addressing the unique requirements and difficulties of each function. The structure and ordering of activities, duties, and responsibilities within each functional area is sometimes referred to as functional-level organisation. It entails defining roles, setting up reporting lines, and developing systems for communication and decision-making. In order to promote cooperation and information exchange across functions, it also covers the function of cross-functional teams and the need of efficient communication routes.

KEYWORDS:

Company, Environment, Marketing, Product, Strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Every department within an organisation has the potential to establish a core competency that enables it to undertake value-creation tasks at a cheaper cost than its competitors or to produce products with a distinct competitive advantage, like Google's. Giving its functions the tools and environment, they need to advance their knowledge and competence is one of an organization's objectives. Therefore, it is crucial to consider organisational structure and culture while developing a functional-level strategy. The capacity to coordinate the utilization of a function's resources is just as important to its core competency as its abilities and resources. The ability of an organisation to coordinate is also a result of its structure.

The direct relationship between organizational success and the degree of functional differentiation in the manufacturing, sales, and R&D departments of an organisation. Each of the three departments creates a unique orientation for its functional responsibilities and its own methods of reacting to its own functional environment in successful organisations.

Contingency theory states that each function should be able to create a structure that best matches its technological and human resources. In order to design a structure that enables the development of core competencies by the R&D, manufacturing, and sales functions, we continue

to use the contingency theory approach. The traits of structures that support the development of core competencies by those three functions. The capacity of R&D specialists to creatively use their knowledge and abilities and integrate their efforts with new technology to produce better, differentiating goods is essential for successful innovation. The flat, decentralised structure that emphasises mutual adjustment across teams as the primary method of organising human and technical resources is the one that is most favourable to the development of functional skills in R&D. This kind of environment is what Google has created. Functional norms and values based on self-control and team control are likely to arise in such an organic framework, and a core competency in R&D is likely to emerge and grow over time.

What kind of organisational structure encourages the growth of a production core competency? The pace of work is traditionally controlled by the production line's speed, and decision-making is centralised in the manufacturing function. Standardisation is achieved through the use of numerous rules and procedures, and as a result, a mechanistic structure is the end result of these design decisions. Has a framework like this helped American businesses develop a core competency in manufacturing? Despite significant improvements over the last 10 years, American manufacturing businesses still fall behind their Japanese counterparts in terms of competency. What practises are unique to the Japanese? Comparatively speaking, the Japanese manufacturing function has always been flatter, more decentralised, and more reliant on mutual adjustment than the American manufacturing function [1], [2].

Another significant source of competitive advantage that has to be accounted for in an organization's strategy is a core competency based on coordination skills in sales. In order to coordinate its operations, the sales function often employs a flat, decentralised structure since, in sales environments, incentive pay systems rather than direct manager supervision serve as the main means of management. Salespeople are often compensated according to how much they sell, and via a standardised reporting system, information about client demands and changing customer requirements is sent to the salespeople's superiors. Since they often operate alone, salesmen, mutual adjustment is mostly irrelevant. In comparison to the R&D function, the structure of the sales function is therefore likely to be somewhat mechanical, although not quite as mechanistic as the manufacturing function.

But in other sales situations, a unique client appeal is required. Nordstrom and Neiman Marcus are two upscale department shops that do not utilise incentive pay. The organisation does not wish to promote a conventional hard pitch to clients in such circumstances. Instead, it encourages salespeople to become proficient in a strategy for closing deals that centres on being kind, considerate, and customer-focused. Other organisational activities, such as accounting, human resources, materials management, and so on, are structured according to the same strategic principles. Each function's capacity for coordination is a reflection of the competence with which managers tailor the functional structure to the resources each function needs to generate value. The ability of an organisation to coordinate functional resources determines the strength of its core competencies and the extent of its competitive advantage.

Functional-Level Culture and Strategy

The culture that develops inside a function or department has an impact on the development of functional skills that culminate in core competencies. Remember that organisational culture is a collection of common values that organisational members utilise while interacting with one another and with external stakeholders. A rival may readily copy the organisational structure of

another company, but it is extremely difficult for a competitor to copy the culture of another company since culture is ingrained in the regular interactions of functional staff. A firm with an effective culture has a significant competitive advantage since culture is exceedingly difficult to manage, much alone replicate or mimic.

The reason for this is because the coordination skills that come from an organization's culture are developed gradually and are the result of a variety of elements, including the organization's property rights system, structure, ethics, and top-management team characteristics. Reproducing the culture of another organisation is challenging since these characteristics might be combined in several different ways.

Selecting the property rights, functional structure, and functional managers that seem most likely to improve a function's coordination capacity is important to build functional abilities and establish a core competency. The norms and values that emphasise teamwork and collaboration are created through R&D using a flat, decentralised organisation and small teams, as we just witnessed. An organisation might create a culture in different ways to support those standards and principles. An organisation can hire people who share its terminal values and socialise them to its functional instrumental values. Apple and Google purposefully foster an entrepreneurial culture by using small teams to socialise IT specialists to their instrumental values of hard work and cooperation; the same is true in biotech companies like Amgen and Genentec. Employees can be given strong property rights, including job tenure and a share in the organisational profits [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Enterprise-Level Strategy: A business-level strategy requires an organisation to integrate the key competencies produced by its activities in order to seize opportunities to provide value to the environment. Business-level strategic managers choose and oversee the area in which the organisation utilises its resources for creating value and its capacity for coordination to gain a competitive edge. For instance, McDonald's has a competitive edge over competitors like Burger King and Wendy's because to its key competencies in three areas: manufacturing, marketing, and materials management. Having a competitive edge is crucial since businesses operating in the same area compete with one another for clients, a limited resource. Any company that doesn't have a business-level plan to draw clients will be at a competitive disadvantage and ultimately be doomed. As a result, the organisation requires a business-level strategy that does both of the following: chooses the domain in which it will compete and places it in a position to manage its unique and general environments in order to safeguard and expand that domain.

Techniques for Reducing Costs or Differentiating Products

We've seen that lowering the cost of an organization's value-creation operations and carrying out those activities in a manner that provides its goods a distinctive appeal are the two fundamental ways that an organisation may generate value. Business-level strategy is concerned with determining the area in which an organisation may benefit from its core functional competencies. For instance, in the 2000s, Chipotle was successful in deciding on its niche creating high-quality, customised burritos and in designing its materials management system to provide it access to organic food sources, which it then successfully turns into high-quality fast food at its restaurants. Customers love the way its resources produce a delicious product they value; hence its stock has increased in value.

The variety of products and services an organisation creates to draw in clients and other stakeholders constitutes its organisational domain. An organisation has two options for positioning itself to compete with its competitors after deciding on its domain. It may manufacture for a clientele that desires low-cost products and services by using its expertise in low-cost value creation. A low-cost business-level strategy is the name of this approach. Alternately, it may manufacture for a market that desires and can pay for distinct goods that fetch a high or premium price by using its talents at differentiation. A differentiation business-level approach is what this strategy is referred to as. Retailers like Walmart and Target, for instance, specialise in providing cheap apparel to clients who desire or can afford to spend just a little amount on their clothing. For rich clients seeking status or reputation, Neiman Marcus and Saks Fifth Avenue specialise in selling expensive goods created by famous designers. Walmart and Neiman Marcus both sell apparel in the retail sector, but they have selected distinct markets to compete in. They have made the decision to market various goods to various client segments. In order to charge a premium price, Neiman Marcus and Saks have essentially chosen a business-level strategy based on core competencies in differentiation, whereas Walmart and Target have chosen a business-level strategy based on core competencies in low-cost value-creation activities.

An organisation must create a low-cost or differentiating strategy to safeguard and expand its domain in order to compete effectively. An organisation may also try to follow both strategies at once and create distinctive goods while keeping costs down. This is very challenging and calls for a very strong set of core competencies. An organisation that effectively used both tactics at once is McDonald's. Through clever marketing strategies and the development of low-cost capabilities in its production and distribution operations, McDonald's has established a distinct brand-name recognition. Furthermore, McDonald's has concurrently pursued both strategies using a number of the interorganizational. With suppliers, it has forged strategic connections and buys its bread, rolls, and restaurant fixtures from businesses with whom it has long-term contracts or minority ownership stakes. In order to preserve the dependability and effectiveness of its retail locations, McDonald's employs franchising.

The company also controls a number of the sources of its inputs, including enormous ranches in Brazil where it breeds large herds of cattle [5], [6]. An organisation must adapt its business-level strategy over time to take into account changes in its environment. The method in which an organisation competes for resources may be impacted by recent technical advancements, rivals from other countries, and changes in consumer requirements and preferences. Focus on New Information Technology: Amazon.com, Part 5 discusses how the company's decision about its business-level strategy was impacted by changes in IT.

In order to effectively manage their environment over the long term, organisations must defend, safeguard, and continuously develop the sources of their competitive advantage, as suggested by Amazon.com's strategy. By maintaining, enhancing, or rebuilding their functional-level resources and competencies, market leaders like Amazon, Google, Toyota, and McDonald's have so far maintained their competitive edge.

For instance, Amazon regularly updates its IT, including its forays into eBooks via its Kindle reader, streaming video, and most recently, the remote storage of customers' music and video libraries on its cloud computing servers, enabling customers to access their libraries from any location using any type of electronic device. Because it can provide consumers with comparable

types of items at a considerably cheaper cost, McDonald's has found innovative methods to differentiate its fast-food offerings from those of sandwich restaurants, salad bars, and coffee shops. As a result, it has had exceptional success.

Focus Technique

Focusing all of an organization's resources on a single market segment is another business-level strategy. For example, KFC specialises in the fast-food chicken segment, Tiffany specialises in the high-end luxury jewellery segment, and Rolls-Royce focuses on the most expensive car market segment; a customised Rolls-Royce Phantom convertible costs more than $500,000.

Organisational Structure and Strategy

The value that a company adds at the commercial level is based on its capacity to use its core competencies to acquire a competitive edge. An organisation pursuing a differentiation business-level strategy often faces design decisions that are distinct from those encountered by organisations pursuing a low-cost strategy. This capability is a byproduct of the way the organisation develops its structure.

Functional talents that offer the organization's goods distinctive or cutting-edge qualities that set them apart from the products of rivals are the competitive strengths of an organisation with a differentiation strategy. A company pursuing a differentiation strategy has to be able to produce goods fast since it can only take advantage of its differentiation advantage if it delivers its products to consumers before its rivals. To swiftly introduce new items to the market, close coordination across departments is probably necessary. To speed up the development process, for instance, R&D, marketing, production, and product development must be able to quickly interact and adapt their actions to one another. An organisation following a differentiation strategy is likely to have an organic structure given all these characteristics. A decentralised, cross-functional team decision-making strategy may be developed with the help of an organic structure, which is essential for quick new product development.

In order to monitor and reduce the costs of product creation, a low-cost strategy is related with the need for tight control over functional activities32. Manufacturing and material management become the core tasks for an organisation adopting a low-cost approach. The other functions specialise their talents in order to provide a low-cost product. For a low-cost organisation to succeed in the competitive market, quick responses to market developments are not essential. Such a company often waits to produce a new or enhanced product until people blatantly demand it since product development is so costly. In order to keep prices down, the low-cost company often copies the competitor's product while constantly being one step behind. As a result, a mechanistic structure is often the best option for a company using a low-cost approach. The organisation may keep tight control over functional operations and consequently over expenses thanks to centralised decision-making. Additionally, a mechanistic structure offers sufficient coordination to fulfil the demands of the competitive domain since there is no urgent need to react swiftly or creatively.

Contingency theory provides further support for the relationship between differentiation strategy and organic structure as well as the relationship between low-cost strategy and mechanistic structure. According to contingency theory, organisations in uncertain, rapidly changing environments need more differentiation and integration than those in more stable environments.

Differentiators typically compete in complex, uncertain environments where they must act quickly in response to rivals' actions, while low-cost firms typically compete in slow-moving environments, so contingency theory suggests that organisations in these environments need more differentiation and integration. Contingency theory suggests that low-cost enterprises should utilise the most straightforward organisational structure feasible since it will assist to cut down the cost of value creation because organisational structures with considerable differentiation and integration are expensive to operate [7], [8].

In addition to studying the connection between organisational and mechanistic structures and business-level strategy, we may also look at the connection between functional, divisional, and matrix organisational structures and strategy. When choosing a structure to provide itself a competitive edge, an organisation is influenced by three elements from a strategic perspective: An organisation will need more control over the design, promotion, and manufacture of its products as it produces a larger variety of goods. An organisation will need a structure that enables it to meet the demands of its consumers as it looks to expand into new client segments for its goods. An organisation needs a structure that improves coordination across its tasks when the rate of new product creation in an industry quickens.

In order to save expenses, businesses that use a low-cost approach sometimes concentrate on manufacturing only one or a small number of items. For instance, BIC Corporation only makes a few number of disposable razors for both men and women. A low-cost business does not have to worry about managing a broad variety of items or several consumer groups. Additionally, low-cost businesses don't lead the industry in product development. They don't struggle to coordinate the efforts of many functional groupings since they are mimics. For all of these reasons, low-cost businesses often choose the most straightforward structure that is consistent with their business model. A low-cost organization's key competencies may often be coordinated using a functional structure.

Differentiators, on the other hand, often generate a broad variety of goods to meet the demands of various consumer groups. Additionally, differentiators need a framework that enables functional specialists to collaborate in order to swiftly create and release new goods, since competition amongst differentia- tors is based on the creation of new and innovative products. These factors will probably lead differentiators to adopt a more sophisticated structure.

A product structure is the best option if there is an urgent requirement to manage a variety of items. If managing many client groups is the secret to success, either a market structure or a regional structure will best suit the demands of the business. When quick product creation and quick reaction to rivals are the keys to competitive advantage, a product team structure or a matrix structure might be used.

An organisation may be able to manage organisational and functional resources via any of those structures to develop a core competency. The manufacturer of microchips, Intel, has determined that simultaneously manufacturing numerous generations of chips is the only way to sustain its market dominance. In order to plan the chips of the future, it has built a product team structure where teams of research and development professionals collaborate. In order to exploit its functional and organisational resources to gain a competitive edge, an organisation must align its business-level strategy with its organisational structure. Unless an organisation has a structure that coordinates R&D activities with a marketing department that can accurately forecast changes in customer needs and a product development department that can convert research and

marketing findings into commercial products, even the best R&D department will be useless. An organisation may benefit greatly from choosing the correct structure by developing a low-cost or competitive edge.

Business-Level Culture and Strategy

Another important factor influencing how well an organisation can use its functional and organisational resources is its culture. The problem at the organisational level is to create values that apply throughout the whole organisation as well as particular norms and regulations that enable the organisation to combine and use its functional resources to their fullest potential. Communication and coordination may be hampered over time by separate functions developing distinct subunit orientations. But communication and coordination issues may be resolved if the different functions share the same ideals and standards. The competitive advantage of an organisation will be increased if managers in various roles can create standard methods of solving issues.

What distinguishes a differentiator's culture from that of a low-cost organisation? The values of economy and frugality must be developed by organisations following a low-cost strategy.38 Frequently, certain norms and standards emerge that represent the organization's terminal and instrumental values. For instance, when Ken Iverson served as CEO of Nucor, a major producer of affordable steel products, he managed the business with caution and economy. Top executives at Nucor worked in modest, unassuming offices that lacked many luxuries. They travelled in economy class, drove their own vehicles to work, and, in order to save money, they shared hotel rooms when on business travels.

A low-cost organization's functions are likely to set objectives that reflect its ideals of economy. Finding the most effective methods of acquiring clients is what marketing considers to be its duty. The goal of R&D is to create new goods with the highest potential return for the least amount of organisational resources invested. A common "language" and a code of conduct based on low-cost principles emerge in low-cost organisations. Contrarily, in a differentiator, product development or marketing take centre stage because to the desire to stand out from rivals and provide novel items. The necessity to be the first or the best is made clear to organisational members through values that encourage innovation and consumer responsiveness, tales of goods that were developed into winners or products that were developed into winners, and elevating the position of workers who develop new products. A differentiator's selected strategy is made easier to adopt by the cultural values of innovation, quality, excellence, and uniqueness, which also serve as a source of competitive power.

After lengthy discussions, pharmaceutical giant American Home Products said it would pay $33 billion to acquire Monsanto, another major pharmaceutical and chemical company. This announcement provided insight into how culture may affect a corporation's business-level strategy. Analysts praised the deal because they thought it would provide the combined company significant distinctiveness and cost-effective benefits. Particularly, the combined businesses would provide a significantly wider choice of products and reduce costly duplication of manufacturing facilities, resulting in significant cost savings.

Analysts were thus taken aback when the two businesses declared later that the merger had been called off because it was not in the best interests of shareholders. Why? The culture of AHP is distinguished by a short-term emphasis on bottom-line earnings. The company's management are

cost sensitive and only want to engage in investments that will provide returns right now. In contrast, Monsanto has a long-term perspective. It is motivated by a desire to create novel, cutting-edge goods, many of which may only be profitable in the long term. The values of innovation and quality are thus strongly held. It became clear to managers at these organisations that it was impossible to harmonise these various cultures and guiding principles. They anticipated that the politics and rivalry between the management of these two enterprises may eliminate any possible benefits of low cost and distinctiveness. Simply put, proceeding with the merger was not worth the risk [9], [10].

An organization's culture may be a significant source of competitive advantage if it supports norms and procedures that boost efficiency. For instance, Google and 3M encourage creativity by setting standards and guidelines that let staff members transfer to roles where their skills are most beneficial to the company. Also keep in mind that organisational structures are selected based on how they affect culture. The growth of innovative and quality cultural values is encouraged by organic frameworks. Contrarily, mechanistic structures promote economic ideals that place more emphasis on enhancing current SOPs and regulations than on developing new ones. A mechanistic structure is beneficial for low-cost businesses looking to create lean production systems in the Japanese manner since it concentrates all efforts on enhancing current work processes.

In conclusion, organisational culture has a significant role in determining the business-level approach for enhancing value generation capabilities inside an organisation. The culture of an organisation will evolve as technology advances, new goods and markets are created, and the environment changes.

Similar to organisational structure, organisational culture's ability to support a company's value creation strategy may also provide it a competitive edge. To improve organisational performance, managers are increasingly attempting to create a strong worldwide business culture.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the organisational strategy must enable and support each function in developing a core expertise in reducing costs or differentiating its goods from those of rivals if it is to provide value at the functional level. The resources that an organisation embeds in each function and the skills of the functional specialists to use and manage those resources are the sources of core competencies. An organisation must have a culture and functional structure that foster the development of core competencies if it wants to have a competitive edge. The difficulty for rival organisations to replicate a function's core competency and the size of the organization's competitive advantage increase in proportion to the degree to which coordination skills are based on coordination abilities inherent in the way individuals in the organisation interact.

REFERENCES:

[1]   C. Giousmpasoglou, E. Marinakou, and A. Zopiatis, "Hospitality managers in turbulent times: the COVID-19 crisis," *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.*, 2021, doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-07-2020-0741.

[2]   D. Vasylchenko, "Functional Groups Of Premises Of Extracurricular Education Institutions Of The I-Iv City Planning Organization Levels," *Urban Dev. Spat. Plan.*, 2021, doi: 10.32347/2076-815x.2021.78.65-80.

[3] A. Wiedemann, M. Wiesche, H. Gewald, and H. Krcmar, "Understanding how DevOps aligns development and operations: a tripartite model of intra-IT alignment," *Eur. J. Inf. Syst.*, 2020, doi: 10.1080/0960085X.2020.1782277.

[4] A. Gupta, "Environmental and pest analysis☐: An approach to external business environment," *Int. J. Mod. Soc. Sci.*, 2013.

[5] N. Whittle, "Value Analysis, Functional Analysis, Value Engineering and Target Costing," *Value Anal. Funct. Anal. Value Eng. Target Costing*, 2004.

[6] R. M. Kurtadikar and R. B. Stone, "Investigation of customer needs frequency vs. weight in product platform planning," in *American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Design Engineering Division (Publication) DE*, 2003. doi: 10.1115/IMECE2003-42786.

[7] Y. M. Mohamed and S. M. A. Muathe, "Organisational Structure and Strategy Implementation: Empirical Evidence from Oil Marketing Companies in Kenya," *Int. J. Manag. Appl. Res.*, 2020, doi: 10.18646/2056.71.20-003.

[8] W. Zheng, B. Yang, and G. N. McLean, "Linking organizational culture, structure, strategy, and organizational effectiveness: Mediating role of knowledge management," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.06.005.

[9] M. Cano-Rubio, R. Lombardi, G. Fuentes-Lombardo, and P. Núñez-Cacho, "Familiness, business strategy and stakeholder engagement: The internationalisation of Spanish olive oil mills," *Bus. Strateg. Environ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1002/bse.2868.

[10] V. Gurbaxani and D. Dunkle, "Gearing up for successful digital transformation," *MIS Q. Exec.*, 2019, doi: 10.17705/2msqe.00017.

CHAPTER 2

ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS AND TECHNOLOGY

Mr. Ram Srinivas
Assistant Professor,Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id:ramsrinivas@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

An organization's capacity to accomplish its goals and objectives is significantly influenced by its organisational effectiveness. Technology significantly shapes and improves organisational efficiency in today's ever changing corporate environment. The effects, difficulties, and strategic ramifications of technology's connection with organisational effectiveness are highlighted in this abstract. The degree to which an organisation accomplishes its goals and performs effectively in its operational, financial, and strategic endeavours is referred to as organisational effectiveness. This abstract looks at many aspects of organisational performance, including as productivity, customer satisfaction, innovation, and adaptation. By facilitating and improving many organisational processes and activities, technology plays a critical role in fostering organisational effectiveness. It includes a broad variety of technologies, including as collaboration tools, automation, data analytics, and information technology (IT) systems. This abstract looks at how technology may increase productivity by streamlining procedures, allocating resources more effectively, and requiring less physical labour. Technology improves an organization's ability to develop and execute new ideas by allowing access to information, fostering collaboration, and enabling quick prototyping and testing. Additionally, it looks at how technology improves organisational agility by allowing businesses to react and adjust to changing market circumstances and consumer expectations.

KEYWORDS:

Abilities, Complexities, Organization, Product, Services.

INTRODUCTION

Most of the time, when we think of an organisation, we think of what it does. We see manufacturing companies like Whirlpool or Ford as places where workers combine their abilities with tools and machines to put together inputs to create appliances, vehicles, and other completed goods. Hospitals and banks are examples of service organisations where employees use their expertise in conjunction with equipment or machinery to treat patients and conduct financial transactions, respectively. Activities are carried out to generate value in all manufacturing and service organisations, which means that inputs are transformed into products and services that meet people's requirements.When individuals transform or adapt raw materials, problems, and novel ideas into valuable products and services, they employ a mix of skills, knowledge, talents, methods, materials, machinery, computers, tools, and other equipment known as technology. People at Ford, the Mayo Clinic, H&R Block, and Google are using technology to change something and add value when they use their skills, knowledge, materials, machines, and other resources to create a finished car, a patient who has been cured, a finished tax return, or a new online application.

Technology occurs inside a company on three different levels: individual, functional or departmental, and organisational. At the individual level, technology refers to the information, abilities, and competencies that each woman and man has. The methods and techniques that groups develop to carry out their tasks at the functional or departmental level result in competencies that make up technology. A surgical operating team's interactions, scientists working together in a research and development lab, and assembly-line employees' invention of procedures are all examples of competencies and technology at the functional or departmental level.

Technology at the organisational level is often described in terms of how an organisation transforms inputs into outputs. The organisational technique known as mass production is built on abilities to manufacture items utilising a standardised, progressive assembly procedure. Craftswork is a technique in which teams of talented individuals collaborate closely and pool their abilities to create items that are specifically tailored to the customer's needs.

The organisations absorb environmental inputs and turn them into value-adding outputs via conversion processes. Technology is present in all organisational processes, including input, conversion, and output, despite the fact that we often only consider it at the conversion stage. Technology—skills, processes, methods, and competences—enable each organisational function to manage interactions with external stakeholders at the input stage, allowing the organisation to successfully manage its particular environment. For instance, the human resource department employs methods like psychological testing and interviewing strategies to find and hire competent workers. The role of materials management has become proficient in interacting with input suppliers, negotiating advantageous contract terms, and locating reasonably priced, high-quality component components. The finance division has methods for getting money at a price that is advantageous to the business [1], [2].

Technology, which consists of a variety of tools, methods, and work processes, converts inputs into outputs during the conversion step. The finest technology enables an organisation to maximise value addition to inputs while using the fewest resources possible. Organisations often want to increase the effectiveness of their conversion processes, and they may do so by teaching staff new time-management strategies and empowering staff to develop more effective work methods.

Technology enables an organisation to efficiently dispose of finished products and services to external stakeholders at the output stage. An organisation needs skills in selling and marketing the product, managing the after-sales support to clients, and assessing the quality of the final product in order to be effective.

An significant factor in a company's competitive edge is the technology used in its input, conversion, and output processes. Why has Microsoft been the most successful software provider? Why does Toyota produce the best cars? Why is McDonald's the most effective quick-service restaurant chain? Why constantly outperforms Kmart and Sears is Walmart? Each of these organizationsspecializes in the creation, administration, and use of technological capabilities that result in increased value for stakeholders. Utilising technology, an organisation adopting the external resource method may better manage and exert control over external stakeholders. The efficiency of an organisation is increased by any new technical advancements that enable it to provide clients with better services, such as the capacity to customize items or raise the quality and dependability of those products.

Utilising technology, an organisation adopting the internal systems approach may speed up the development of new goods, services, and procedures while also increasing the success of its efforts at innovation. As we previously saw, Henry Ford was able to create a new kind of product a automobile for the mass market after introducing mass manufacturing at the Highland Park facility. An organisation that adopts a technical strategy employs technology to increase productivity, cut expenses, and increase the dependability and quality of its products. Ford boosted the efficiency of his company by allocating its functional resources to produce better automobiles at lower costs for both the producer and the customer.

Organisations employ technology to become more inventive, efficient, and better equipped to satisfy stakeholders' demands and wants. Each division or function within an organisation is in charge of creating the capabilities and technological advancements that will enable it to contribute favourably to organisational performance. An organisation requires a structure that maximises the technology's efficacy when it has technology that makes it possible for it to produce value. The features of various technologies influence an organization's choice of structure in the same way as external factors force organisations to adopt certain organisational design decisions.

In the next three parts, we'll look at three technological theories that make an effort to explain how various organisational and departmental technologies operate and influence organisational design. Note that each of these three theories highlights a different facet of technology, making them complimentary to one another. To comprehend the features of various types of technologies, it is necessary to grasp all three theories.

These ideas may be used by managers at all levels and across all departments to choose the technology that will most efficiently convert inputs into outputs and to create a structure that enables the organisation to employ the technology. Therefore, it is crucial that these managers comprehend the ideas of technical complexity, the fundamental distinctions between regular and complicated jobs, and the idea of task interdependence [3], [4].

Technical Difficulty: Joan Woodward's Theory

Because certain technologies are more difficult to programme than others, they are more complicated and challenging to govern. When rules and SOPs for converting inputs into outputs may be predetermined in order to standardise jobs and make the work process predictable, technology is said to be programmed. In order to regulate the quality of their outputs, whether hamburgers or automobiles, Ford and McDonald's both employ highly programmed technology to make their products. Controlling and making predictable the manufacturing process is more challenging the more challenging it is to establish the procedure for transforming inputs into outputs.

<div align="center">DISCUSSION</div>

The important factor that distinguishes technologies, in the opinion of one researcher named Joan Woodward, is the technical complexity of a production process, or, more specifically, the degree to which it can be programmed in advance and fully automated in order to be controlled and predictable. With complete automation, work processes and the products that come from them may be standardised and precisely anticipated. When conversion procedures rely mostly on people, their abilities, and expertise rather than on machinery, there is little technical complexity.

Work tasks cannot be preprogrammed when there is more human engagement and less dependence on technology, and outcomes are dependent on the abilities of the individuals engaged.

When it comes to producing services, for instance, workers who work directly with consumers often rely considerably more on their knowledge and expertise than they do on machinery and other equipment. It is particularly challenging to standardise and programme work activities and regulate the work process since the creation of services is labor-intensive. Technical complexity in conversion processes is modest, but it is very challenging to maintain high quality and consistency of output when human performance rather than machine performance is the primary determinant of conversion operations. Ten degrees of technological complexity were discovered by Joan Woodward, who connected them to three different production technologies: small-batch and unit technology, large-batch and mass production technology, and continuous-process technology.

Unit and Small-Batch Technology

Businesses that use small-batch and unit technologies produce unique customised goods in small numbers. A printer that provides the engraved wedding invitations that a specific couple desires, a furniture maker that creates furniture tailored to the needs and tastes of specific clients, and a team of surgeons who work in specialised hospitals that provide a specific set of services like eye or knee surgery are examples of such organisations. Due to the fact that any machinery employed in the conversion process are less significant than people's abilities and expertise, small-batch and unit technology receives the lowest score on the technical complexity dimension. People make judgements about when and how to employ machinery, and this is reflected in the production operating process. A cabinet is made from boards using a variety of instruments, such as lathes, hammers, planes, and saws, by a bespoke furniture maker. However, how the furniture maker decides to construct the cabinet will determine which tools are utilised and in what sequence. The conversion procedure is adaptable when using small-batch and unit technologies since the worker may modify their methods to meet the demands of various consumers.

Small-batch technology's versatility enables an organisation to produce a variety of items that may be tailored for specific clients. Small-batch technology is used, for instance, by high-fashion designers and producers of goods like luxury fragrances, hand-built automobiles, and specialised furniture.

Small-batch manufacturing enables, for instance, a bespoke furniture manufacturer to fulfil a client's request for a certain design and kind of wood in a table. Small-batch technology is somewhat costly to utilise since the manufacturing process is unpredictable and it is difficult to plan out work activities in advance when producing items that are uniquely manufactured for each customer. However, this technology is perfect for creating new or complicated items due to its adaptability and capacity to meet a broad variety of consumer demands. When Google appoints a group of software developers to collaborate and create new software applications, much as a doughnut manufacturer does, small-batch technology is used [5], [6].

The Newington, Connecticut-based Krispy Kreme is a well-known specialty retailer of high-quality yeast-raised doughnuts. It was established in 1937. Doughnuts from Krispy Kreme are popular among many consumers and sell for a high price due to their distinctive flavour and high quality. It is interesting to see how it makes advantage of small-batch manufacturing to improve

operational effectiveness and customer response. Since its physical layout is created to let customers to see and smell the doughnuts being manufactured by its remarkable company-built doughnut-making machinery, Krispy Kreme refers to its retail production activities as "doughnut theatre"

What components of its small-batch manufacturing processes? The 65-year-old business's top-secret doughnut recipe, which is kept in a vault, serves as the basis for the narrative. Since Krispy Kreme distributes pre-made dough and other ingredients to its locations, none of its franchisees are aware of the formula for creating its dough. No doughnut manufacturer can copy the firm's distinctive cooking techniques and develop a comparable rival product since everything, even the machinery used to prepare the doughnuts, are created and made by the company. Each shop manufactures and sells between 4,000 and 10,000 dozen doughnuts each day, and the doughnut-making equipment are built to generate a broad range of various types of doughnuts in tiny amounts.

To increase the effectiveness of its small-batch operations, Krispy Kreme continuously improves its manufacturing system. As an example, it modified its doughnut maker to include a cutting-edge extruder that utilises air pressure to squeeze doughnut batter into endless rows of rings or shells. As the dough load lessened, workers used to manually adjust air pressure. Now, everything is completed automatically. Before the invention of the machine, staff had to drown the doughnuts two at a time by hand. Now, a modified doughnut icer tips completed pastries into a pool of chocolate frosting. Even while these advances may appear little, when multiplied over thousands of locations and millions of doughnuts, they result in huge increases in productivity and happier consumers.

Technology for Large-Batch and Mass Production

Organisations aim to expand the usage of machinery and equipment, or the degree of technological complexity and efficiency, in order to improve control over the work process and make it predictable. Large-batch or mass production companies create enormous quantities of standardised goods including automobiles, razor blades, aluminium cans, and soft beverages.

These companies include Ford, Gillette, Crown Cork and Seal, and Coca-Cola, as examples. With mass manufacturing and large-batch technologies, machines manage the work flow. Tasks may be set and scheduled in advance thanks to their utilisation. Work activities are standardised as a result, and the production process is very controllable.9 For instance, instead of a group of craftspeople building custom furniture piece by piece, high-speed saws and lathes cut and shape boards into standardised components that are assembled into thousands of identical tables or chairs by unskilled workers on a production line, such as those made in the factories of IKEA's international suppliers.

Large-batch and mass manufacturing technology's ability to regulate makes it possible for an organization to reduce costs associated with manufacturing and charge less for its goods. Conversion operations at the Highland Park factory were made more effective and productive by the use of a conveyor belt, standard- ized and interchangeable components, and specialised progressive jobs. Ford was able to cut the price of a Model T and establish a broad market for his vehicle as production costs fell sharply. Similar to this, IKEA now has its own factories where its engineers work to improve the manufacturing process for furniture. IKEA then shares this information with its international suppliers.

Technology for Continuous Processes

Technology's level of technical complexity is at its highest with continuous-process technology. Companies that produce chemicals and oil-based goods, including Exxon, DuPont, and Dow, as well as brewing firms, like Anheuser-Busch and Miller Brewing, utilise continuous-process technology. Employees are often not directly engaged in the conversion process in continuous-process manufacturing; it is virtually totally automated and mechanised. The duty of workers involved in continuous-process manufacturing is largely to handle exceptions in the work process, such as a machine breakdown or malfunctioning equipment. Their role in production is to monitor the plant and its machinery and assure its efficient operation.

Continuous-process technology is distinguished by its slick functioning. Production seldom pauses and continues with minimal change in output. For instance, in an oil refinery, crude oil is continually delivered to the facility by tankers and runs via pipelines to towers known as cracking towers where its separate chemical components are removed and transferred to different areas of the facility for more refining. Tankers depart the facility carrying finished goods including gasoline, fuel oil, benzene, and tar for delivery to consumers. Rarely do employees at chemical plants or refineries see the products they are creating. Production is done using machinery and pipelines. Employees in a centralised control room keep an eye on dials and gauges to make sure the operation runs effectively, safely, and seamlessly. Because continuous-process manufacturing is more automated and mechanised than mass production, it is often more technically efficient because it is more predictable and manageable. Due to the fact that labour expenses make up such a tiny fraction of its total cost, it is more cost-effective than both unit and mass manufacturing. Continuous-process technology delivers the lowest production costs when used at full capacity.

In order to save costs, Woodward stated that organisations often aim to utilise more machinery and go from small-batch to mass-production to continuous-process manufacturing. This development does include certain deviations, however. The decision to automate production is not realistic nor viable for many organisational tasks. For example, the day-to-day functioning of hospitals and schools, prototype creation, fundamental research into new medications or computer hardware or software applications, and innovative computer hardware or software applications are all inherently unpredictable and hence would not be feasible to programme in advance using an automated machine. Such innovations are the product of trial and error and rely on the talents and experience of its researchers, so a pharmaceutical corporation cannot claim, "Our research department will invent three new drugs—one for diabetes and two for high blood pressure every six months." Additionally, a lot of clients are ready to spend a lot of money on products that are specially built to fit their specific interests, such as expensive gaming machines, custom-made clothes, or jewellery. Consequently, despite high manufacturing costs, there is a demand for the goods produced by small-batch businesses [7]–[9].

CONCLUSION

The strategic implications of technology for organisational success are also highlighted in the abstract. It emphasises how important it is for businesses to determine the technologies that will best support their goals and objectives and establish a technology strategy that is in line with their entire business plan. It also emphasises how crucial organisational culture, leadership, and change management are to the effective adoption and use of technology. In conclusion, technology has a significant influence on the effectiveness of organisations. Organisations may

increase productivity, encourage innovation, boost customer happiness, and react to changing market circumstances by effectively using technology. For businesses to be competitive and succeed in the long run, they must embrace technology and manage its adoption well.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Sinha, P. Kumar, N. P. Rana, R. Islam, and Y. K. Dwivedi, "Impact of internet of things (IoT) in disaster management: a task-technology fit perspective," *Ann. Oper. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10479-017-2658-1.

[2] "The Impact of COVID-19 Outbreak on Human Resource Operation: Empirical Evidence from the Perspective of Malaysian Retail Employees in Klang Valley," *Int. J. Organ. Leadersh.*, 2020, doi: 10.33844/ijol.2020.60513.

[3] M. A. Musa and S. Idrus, "Physical and biological treatment technologies of slaughterhouse wastewater: A review," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. 2021. doi: 10.3390/su13094656.

[4] H. Abdu, A. Aliyu, U. Paulinus, and M. Umar, "The Integration of Cloud Computing Technology in Academic Library Operations towards Effective Library Services," *Int. J. Res. Sci. Eng.*, 2017.

[5] L. F. Velásquez-García and Y. Kornbluth, "Biomedical Applications of Metal 3D Printing," *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*. 2021. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-082020-032402.

[6] B. J. Reizman *et al.*, "Small-Volume Continuous Manufacturing of Merestinib. Part 2. Technology Transfer and cGMP Manufacturing," *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1021/acs.oprd.8b00442.

[7] C. Wiles and P. Watts, "Continuous process technology: A tool for sustainable production," *Green Chemistry*. 2014. doi: 10.1039/c3gc41797b.

[8] C. J. Testa *et al.*, "Heterogeneous Crystallization as a Process Intensification Technology in an Integrated Continuous Manufacturing Process for Pharmaceuticals," *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2021, doi: 10.1021/acs.oprd.0c00468.

[9] A. Villar, J. J. Arribas, and J. Parrondo, "Waste-to-energy technologies in continuous process industries," *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy*, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10098-011-0385-x.

CHAPTER 3

COMPLEXITY OF THE TECHNOLOGY
AND ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Dr. Srinivasan Palamalai
Associate Professor,Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id:srinivasanp@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

In today's quickly changing business world, organisations confront a growing number of challenges due to the complexity of technology and organisational structure. This abstract gives a general overview of the connection between organisational structure and technological complexity while emphasising the ramifications, management approaches, and possible advantages of dealing with this complexity. The term "technology complexity" describes the intricateness and sophistication of the technologies used by a company. Organisations are faced with a broad range of complicated technologies, such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, cloud computing, and Internet of Things (IoT) systems, while technology continues to evolve at an unheard-of rate. This abstract investigates the effects of technological complexity on organisational design and workflow. Roles, hierarchies, and reporting links are arranged according to an organization's organisational structure. The abstract looks at how organisational structure changes are necessary as a result of technological complexity in order to fully use the promise of cutting-edge technologies. It talks about how cross-functional teams, flatter, more decentralised organisational structures, and agile approaches are emerging in response to the complexity of technology.

KEYWORDS:

Complexities, Organization, Product, Technology, Variability.

INTRODUCTION

Finding out if an organization's technology has an impact on the design of its structure was one of Woodward's objectives while categorising technologies according to their technical complexity. She was particularly interested in determining if successful organisations had structures that met the demands of their technological environments. Systematic distinctions in the link between technology and structure were found when the structural characteristics of organisations pursuing each of the three categories of technology were compared.

Based on her research, Woodward made the case that each technology has a unique structure because they each have unique control and coordination issues. Organisations that use continuous-process technology often have six levels in their hierarchy, compared to four levels for those that use mass production technology and three levels for those that use small-batch technology.

Organisations become taller and the CEO's area of influence expands as technological complexity rises. First-line supervisors' spheres of influence first widen and then contract. With small-batch technology, it is only moderately large, significantly widens with mass production

technology, and substantially shrinks with continuous-process technology. These discoveries lead to the remarkably different-shaped formations. Why do these outcomes occur from an organization's technology by nature? Because production relies on the abilities and expertise of individuals working together, the biggest coordination issue with small-batch technology is the impossibility of scripting conversion processes. In order to react quickly and adaptably to consumer demands and provide the precise product the customer wants, a company using small-batch technology must allow employees the flexibility to make their own judgements. This results in a very flat organisational structure where decision-making is decentralised to small teams with first-line supervisors having a limited scope of authority. Each supervisor and work group selects how to handle each choice as it arises at each stage of the input-conversion-output process while using small-batch technologies. Mutual adjustment face-to-face interaction with employees and often with customers is necessary for this kind of decision-making. Unit and small-batch technology is best suited to an organic structure, which is a generally flat structure that involves management and people closely coordinating their activities to meet shifting work needs [1], [2].

An organisation that employs mass production technologies may standardise and predictably streamline its manufacturing process by having the capacity to programme activities in advance. As formalisation via rules and procedures replaces informal communication as the primary means of coordination, the first-line supervisor's span of authority rises to 48. As managers depend on vertical communication to manage the work process, decision-making becomes more centralised, and the ladder of authority becomes higher. The organisational structure develops higher and broader as a mechanistic structure becomes the best one to govern work activities in a mass production situation.

Although activities may be planned in advance and the work process is technically predictable and controlled in an organisation that utilises continuous-process technology, there is still a chance for a significant systems failure. Monitoring the production process to manage and correct unanticipated occurrences before they cause catastrophe is the organization's main control challenge. A defective pipeline, for instance, might have devastating results in a chemical or oil refinery. As mishaps at Three Mile Island, Chernobyl, and most recently the meltdown at the Fukushima nuclear facility in Japan in 2011 after a severe tsunami have proven, catastrophes at nuclear power plants, another user of continuous-process technology, may likewise have catastrophic results.

Continuous process technology is linked to the highest level of authority due to the need to continually monitor the operating system and ensure that each employee complies with standard operating procedures. There is a very tall, diamond-shaped hierarchy because managers at all levels must constantly monitor the behaviour of their employees and first-line supervisors have a limited area of influence.

To oversee lower-level staff and to monitor and manage complex equipment, several supervisors are required. Mutual adjustment becomes the main method of coordination since workers collaborate as a team and collaboratively develop protocols for handling and responding to unforeseen situations. As a result, an organic structure is the best kind of structure for managing continuous-process technology since it might need rapid, flexible reactions due to the possibility of unanticipated occurrences. According to one researcher, Charles Perrow, complex continuous-process technology, like that used in nuclear power plants, is so complex that it is

uncontrollable.13 Perrow acknowledges that backup systems are built into control systems to address issues as they arise and that backup systems exist to make up for failed backup systems. Nevertheless, he thinks that when technological complexity is really high, there are so many unanticipated events that might happen that managers cannot respond fast enough to address all potential issues.

According to Perrow, some continuous-process technology is so complicated that no organisational structure can allow managers to safely operate it, no SOPs can be created to manage problems beforehand, and no integrating mechanism used to promote mutual adjustments will be able to solve problems as they arise. According to Perrow, nuclear power plants should be shut down because they are too complicated to run securely. Other experts, however, contend that the technology may be used properly if the proper ratio of centralised and decentralised control is reached [3], [4].

The Technical Necessity

The findings of Woodward clearly imply that technology is a major determinant of organisational structure design. Her findings suggest that in order for a corporation to be successful while using a certain technology, it must adopt a particular kind of structure. In order to be effective, a corporation that employs mass production technology, for instance, has to have a mechanistic organisation with six levels of hierarchy, a span of control of 1 to 48, and so on. The technical imperative is the theory that structure is determined by technology. Other academics who were equally interested in the link between technology and structure were worried that Woodward's findings could have been a result of the sample of businesses she looked at and might have exaggerated the significance of technology. They make the point that the majority of the businesses Woodward looked at were tiny, and they make the argument that her sample size could have skewed her findings. They admit that while increasing production efficiency could be management's top objective, technology may have a significant influence on the organisational structure of a small manufacturing business. However, they asserted that a company's structure is less likely to be substantially influenced by the technologies used to produce its diverse goods whether it employs 5,000 or 500,000 people.

DISCUSSION

An organisation is more likely to have a highly centralised and standardised mechanistic structure if its technology is more automated and mechanised, according to the Aston Studies, a group of studies in which researchers came to this consensus. An organisation grows and differentiates, control and coordination issues arise that changes in the organization's structure must address.

However, the Aston Studies concluded that organisational size is more important than technology in determining an organization's choice of structure. The Aston researchers contend that although technology may have a significant impact on small organisations' organisational structures, it's possible that other variables that help huge organisations develop and define their identities are responsible for their organisational structures.

An organization's growth and adoption of a more complex structure may be influenced by organisational strategy, the choice to manufacture a larger variety of goods, and the decision to enter new markets. As a result, an organization's structure is influenced by its strategic decisions

on what goods to generate for which markets just as much as or even more than by the technology it employs to produce its outputs, particularly if the organisation is big. The significance of technology as a predictor of structure may be greater for small organisations than it is for big organisations, or for roles or departments within large organisations.

Routine Tasks and Complex Tasks: Charles Perrow's Theory

Understanding why the tasks connected with certain technologies are more difficult than the activities associated with other technologies is crucial to comprehend why some technologies are more complex than others. Why is one assignment more difficult than another? Why, for instance, do people often believe that selling hamburgers at a fast-food establishment is a more routine activity than programming a computer or conducting brain surgery? Routine tasks are ones that are predictable and within our control. What characteristics of these activities, if any, make us think that some are more difficult than others when all the various tasks that individuals may undertake are taken into account? Task variety and task analyzability, according to Charles Perrow, are the two factors that distinguish regular activities and technologies from nonroutine or complicated ones [5], [6].

Task Complexity and Task Analysis

The amount of exceptions—new or unexpected situations—a person meets while carrying out a job is known as task variability. Exceptions may happen at any level, including the input, conversion, and output. When a person may anticipate encountering several novel events or difficulties while carrying out their activity, task variety is high. There are several opportunities for unforeseen issues to arise, for instance, during surgery in a hospital operating room. It's possible that the patient's condition is worse than the physicians first believed it to be, or that the surgeon made a mistake. Whatever happens, the operating team and the surgeon need to be able to swiftly adapt to new circumstances as they arise. Similar to how it is challenging to regulate and maintain consistent quality throughout the conversion stage, the quality of the raw materials is very variable.

When a job is repetitive or highly standardised, there are few exceptions to it, which means task variability is minimal. For instance, there are few exceptions to a particular task at a fast-food restaurant. Although each client puts a separate order, they are all required to pick from the same little menu, therefore personnel seldom ever face unforeseen circumstances. In reality, a fast-food restaurant's menu is made to have minimal work variability, which lowers costs and boosts productivity.

The level of active search and information collecting necessary to address an issue is known as task analyzability. Less search activity is required for jobs that can be analysed, since they are routine because they already contain the knowledge and processes necessary to complete them, are governed by established norms, and can be planned out in advance. For instance, the work of completing each client's order at a fast-food restaurant is very simple despite the fact that a consumer may choose from thousands of different cuisine combinations on the menu. The order taker takes up the drink and places it in the bag, then adds the fries, burger, and so on. The order taker then folds down the top of the bag and presents the bag to the client. It takes little thinking or discernment to execute an order.

When tasks cannot be planned, that is, when protocols for carrying them out and handling exceptions cannot be established in advance, they are difficult to analyse. If an exception occurs, the data required to develop the methods for solving the issue must be actively sought. For instance, it takes a lot of time and effort to gather data and figure out the best ways to solve problems for a scientist trying to create a new cancer-preventing drug with no side effects or a software programmer developing a programme to help computers understand spoken language. The hunt for a solution often comes up empty-handed. People working on assignments with limited analyzability must use their expertise and discretion to look for fresh data and methods of problem-solving. Tasks are complicated and nonroutine when a significant amount of search activity is needed to discover a solution to a problem and methods cannot be preprogrammed [7], [8].

Task variability and task analyzability work together to explain why certain activities are routine and others are not. Tasks become more difficult and less ordinary the more exceptions employees encounter throughout the course of their job and the more search behaviour is needed to find a solution to each exception. According to Perrow, there are "well-established techniques which are sure to work and these are applied to basically similar raw materials" for everyday jobs. For activities that are complicated, "there are few established techniques; there is little certainty about methods, or whether or not they will work." This means that there is minimal diversity or change in the task that must be accomplished. However, it also implies that there can be a wide range of various jobs to do.

Different Technology Types

Routine manufacturing, craftswork, engineering production, and nonroutine research are the four types of technology that Perrow distinguished using task variability and task analyzability. Perrow's model enables the classification of an organization's technology as well as the technology of its departments and functions.

Low task variability and high task analyzability are characteristics of routine production. In the course of working, exceptions are seldom found, and when they are, there isn't much search behaviour needed to deal with them. Mass manufacturing is an example of common technology.

Tasks are divided into straightforward phases in mass production settings to reduce the likelihood of exceptions, and inputs are standardised to reduce production process hiccups. If an issue or exception arises, there are established processes to follow. The low-cost benefits of mass manufacturing are achieved by creating tasks with high analyzability and low variability. McDonald's consistently simplifies its menu options and standardises its labour processes to decrease task variability and increase job analyzability, which helps the company maintain lower costs than its rivals.

Craftswork

Task variability and task analyzeability are both minimal when using craft technology. Employees at a company adopting this technology must modify current practises to fit novel circumstances and develop fresh approaches to address persistent issues. As we saw before, this technology was employed to create the first automobiles. Manufacturing specialised or customised goods like furniture, apparel, and equipment as well as crafts like carpentry and plumbing are other instances of craftswork. For instance, building or fixing plumbing in the

bathroom or kitchen are common chores for a plumber. But since every home is different, a plumber must modify their trade's methods to fit each circumstance and come up with a distinct answer for every home.

Engineering Production

Task variability and task analyzeability are both significant when using engineering production technology. Workers may encounter a large number or variety of exceptions while doing the activity, but since well-defined standard processes have been built to manage the exceptions, finding a solution is usually not too difficult. Because these procedures are often outlined in technical formulae, tables, or manuals, resolving issues frequently requires choosing the appropriate approach and using it.

As a result, many different types of products are produced in organisations that utilise engineering production techniques. A manufacturing business could specialise in creating unique machinery like electric motors or drill presses. Apartment building customization may be an area of expertise for an architectural company. A civil engineering company may serve customers from all around the globe by building airports, dams, and hydropower projects using their expertise. Engineering production, like craftswork, is a kind of small-batch technology since it relies heavily on human ingenuity to provide solutions to particular issues.

Non-Routine Research

The least routine and most difficult of the four technologies in Perrow's categorization, non-routine research technology is characterised by significant task variability and poor task analyzability. Tasks are difficult since there are many unforeseen circumstances, in addition to a high level of search activity. Every new circumstance necessitates the use of resources in order to cope with it.

Nonroutine research includes things like high-tech research and development. There are no ready-made answers for issues for those operating at the cutting edge of technological expertise. There may be a thousand precise procedures to follow while constructing the ideal bridge, but there are only a few need to develop a vaccine for AIDS. Hundreds of research teams are constantly testing in pursuit of the discovery that would result in such a complete cure.

Another example of an organization's senior management team using research technologies. The task of the teams is to determine the organization's future course and the resource choices that will be necessary to guarantee its success five or 10 years from now. Although managers make these judgements in a very unpredictable environment, they are unable to predict the outcomes of their decisions. Because the technology is challenging to handle, planning and forecasting by senior management as well as other nonroutine research efforts are inherently dangerous and unpredictable.

Regular organisational structure and technology

The categories of technology in Perrow's model have effects on an organization's structure in the same ways as Woodward's types did. As activities grow more complicated and less routine, Perrow and others have proposed that an organisation should transition from a mechanistic to an organic structure. When using technology is commonplace, workers carry out certain activities in accordance with predefined guidelines. The workflow has been planned out in advance and is

standardised. Because routine technology standardises the work process, workers just need to understand the steps to do the assignment successfully. For instance, to ensure that all of its workers behave consistently and predictably, McDonald's trains new hires using written rules and procedures. Each new employee receives training on how to properly welcome guests, process customer orders, and prepare Big Macs.

Routine technology allows for the standardisation of staff duties, which results in a relatively high organisational hierarchy and centralised decision-making. It is the duty of management to keep an eye on workers and deal with the rare outliers that could arise, such a manufacturing line failure. All significant production choices are taken at the top of the production hierarchy since duties are regular, and they are then communicated down the chain of command as instructions to lower-level managers and employees. It has been suggested that businesses that use routine technology, such as those found in mass production environments, purposefully "de-skill" tasks. By doing this, they supposedly make jobs simpler by using machines to complete difficult tasks and by designing the workflow to reduce the amount of initiative or judgement required of the employees. If a company chooses to run its everyday technology in this way, it is utilising a mechanistic framework. Large multinational outsourcing firms like Foxconn and Flextronics, whose plants in China cover hundreds of acres, undoubtedly choose this option [9], [10].

However, there are other ways for businesses to stay competitive than using low-cost outsourcing to produce their goods, and many of them have reevaluated how they produce goods. Particularly in Japan, the rising value of the yen versus the dollar placed pressure on automakers and electronics producers to find innovative methods to set up their manufacturing processes in order to reduce costs. Innovative electronics goods are expensive, thus Japanese electronics manufacturers are very concerned with maintaining constant high quality and safeguarding their exclusive technologies.

Therefore, Japanese companies have carefully examined every area of their operational technology to identify methods to enhance regular assembly-line manufacturing in order to maintain the assembly of complicated new products domestically and lower operating expenses.

Japanese businesses have historically produced similar goods in large quantities using a straight or linear conveyor belt system that may be hundreds of feet long. Japanese production managers reexamined this approach and discovered that a line can only run as quickly as the least proficient worker and that a significant amount of handling time is spent passing the item being manufactured from worker to worker. Additionally, this approach works best when producing big amounts of the same product. The production line is normally shut down while it is being retooled for the following product if customised items are required, something that is becoming more and more prevalent in today's market.

Production engineers looked for innovative approaches to plan and manage assembly-line layouts that may address these issues after becoming aware of them. They started experimenting with other arrangements, including spirals, Ys, 6s, and even insects. For instance, Sony replaced its previous assembly-line production system, in which 50 workers built camcorders one at a time, in Kohda, Japan. Instead, Sony installed a spiral arrangement, in which four workers carry out all of the tasks required to assemble the camcorder. Because it allows the most effective assemblers to work at a higher level, Sony discovered that this new organisational structure is 10% more effective than the old one.28 Essentially, a craftswork-like organising structure has replaced the mech- anistic structure to achieve the benefits of flexibility at a lower cost.

These innovative production patterns, often known as cell layouts, are becoming more typical in the United States. According to estimates, 40% of small businesses and 70% of big businesses have tried out the new designs. For instance, the Queens, New York-based Bayside Controls Inc., a small gearhead manufacturer, converted its 35-person production line into a four-cell layout with seven to nine employees in each cell. Each cell's members carry out every step of the manufacturing process, including measuring, cutting, and assembling the new gear heads. The average manufacturing time required to build a gear has lowered from six weeks to two days, according to Bayside's management, and prices have decreased dramatically. Bayside now produces 75 gear heads every day, up from 50 before the modification. Another benefit of cell designs is that they enable businesses to respond quickly to the demands of specific clients since this organisational strategy enables the production of customised goods in small quantities.

Technology that is not Conventional and Organisational Structure

Organisational design is affected by a different set of factors for companies using nonroutine technology. As tasks become more complex and less routine, organisations must create a structure that enables staff to handle an increase in the number and variety of exceptions quickly and effectively. They must also create new procedures to address new issues. An organic structure enables an organisation to quickly adjust to changing situations. Organic structures are built on mutual adjustment between staff members who collaborate face-to-face to create procedures to solve issues. Mutual adjustment within task forces and teams plays a crucial role in improving integration and promoting communication between team members.

The use of work groups and product teams to facilitate rapid adjustment and feedback among employees performing complex tasks is a key feature of such an organisation. Such an organisation is more likely to have a relatively flat and decentralised structure that allows employees the authority and autonomy to cooperate to make decisions quickly and effectively.

At the departmental or functional level, the same design considerations apply: For departments using different technologies to be effective, those structures must be different as well33. In general, departments performing nonroutine tasks are more likely to have organic structures, whereas those performing routine tasks are more likely to have mechanistic structures. For instance, the production and sales departments tend to be mechanical, and decision-making within them tends to be centralised. In contrast, an R&D department is normally organic, and decision-making in it is typically decentralised. The choice of structure is determined by the kind of technology used at the departmental level.

Woodward focused on how an organization's technology influences the structure that it chooses. Perrow's technology model focuses on how organisational structure is impacted by task complexity. A different perspective on technology was developed by James D. Thompson, and it focuses on how task interdependence, the technique for connecting or sequencing various tasks, affects the technology and structure of an organization.35 When task interdependence is low, individuals and departments are individually specialised, which means they work separately and independently to achieve organisational goals. People and departments become jointly specialised when task dependency is strong, which means they rely on one another to provide the inputs and resources they require to complete the job. Thompson distinguished between three different categories of technology: mediating, long-linked, and intense. Each one of them is connected to a unique kind of task interdependence.

Technology as a Mediator of Collective Interdependence

A work procedure that allows input, conversion, and output tasks to be completed independently of one another is what defines mediating technology. The foundation of mediating technology is pooled task interdependence, which states that each component of the organization whether an individual, a team, or a department—contributes in their own unique way to the effectiveness of the whole organisation. Task dependency is reduced by mediating technology since individuals no longer directly depend on one another to do their duties. The three departments (X, Y, and Z) each carry out a distinct duty. Each consultant or stylist in a hair salon or management consulting company works on their own to address the issues of a client. However, the success of the company as a whole relies on the combined efforts of all employees. A gymnastics team's actions provide as another example of pooled task dependency. Each team member competes separately and has the chance to win or lose a specific event, but the winning team is determined by the sum of the individual scores of the team members. Both at the departmental and organisational levels, mediating technology has an impact on organisational structure.

Piecework systems best describe how this technology functions at the departmental level. Each employee in a piecework system completes a job independently of the others. For instance, at a machine shop, each employee uses a lathe to create bolts, and their performance is assessed and compensated according to the quantity of bolts they produce each week. The effectiveness of the manufacturing department as a whole relies on the performance of each employee, yet their actions are not interdependent one person's behaviour has no bearing on that of other employees. Similar to this, a sales department's effectiveness relies on how successfully each salesman handles their responsibilities independently. Because each person's output is visible and the same criteria can be used to assess each employee, using a mediating technology to complete departmental or organisational tasks makes it simple to monitor, regulate, and evaluate each person's performance [11], [12].

When it comes to the organisational level, mediating technology is used in companies where the tasks of several departments are completed independently and when there is no need for departmental integration to achieve organisational objectives. For instance, at a bank, the activities of the departments handling loans and checking accounts are separate. The procedures for lending and receiving money are completely unrelated, yet the efficiency of the bank as a whole relies on how successfully each department performs its duties.

Organisations that run a chain of shops or utilise franchise agreements to structure their company also employ technology to mediate communication at the organisational level. For instance, every McDonald's restaurant or Walmart location runs practically independently. The performance of one shop does not have an impact on the performance of another, but the combined performance of all stores affects the organisation as a whole. To increase revenue from current clients and draw in new clients by offering additional items is a typical approach for improving organisational performance for a company using mediation technology. A fast-food establishment may extend its menu options or build more locations; a retailer may increase the apparel brands it carries; a bank may boost the amount of financial services it provides in an effort to draw in more consumers.

Due of its low operating and maintenance costs, mediation technology has seen an increase in usage during the last several decades. Costs are cheap because standardisation governs organisational operations. SOPs regulate how a department runs to ensure its operations are

consistent with those of other departments. Bureaucratic norms are used to outline how the activities of several departments should be coordinated. The coordination required to operate the company is provided through SOPs and cutting-edge IT, including computerised inventory management. For instance, Walmart uses cutting-edge IT to manage its retail locations and gives store managers real-time updates on new product releases, store deliveries, and modifications to marketing and sales strategies.

It becomes feasible to utilise a mediating technology to coordinate more sorts of production activities as IT becomes increasingly crucial in coordinating the activity of independent employees or departments. As IT enables various organisational divisions to operate independently and at various locations, network organization are becoming increasingly prevalent. Similar to how IT sparked global outsourcing, corporations now commonly hire other businesses to carry out their value-creating tasks as a result of how much simpler it is to employ mediation technology.

Remember from how Nike enters into agreements with producers all around the globe to develop and deliver items to its consumers on a worldwide basis. Nike makes shoes, but leverages IT to outsource its production, marketing, and other operational tasks to other businesses across the world. Nike continuously monitors production and sales data from its network using a highly developed global IT system to ensure that its global network complies with the policies and guidelines that define the required quality of input materials and the manner in which its shoes should be manufactured to ensure the quality of the finished product.

CONCLUSION

The possible advantages of efficiently controlling organisational structure and technological complexity. It looks at how companies may obtain a competitive edge by proactively addressing technological complexity via greater innovation, higher operational effectiveness, and improved customer experiences.

It also emphasises the opportunity for businesses to use technological complexity to generate new business models and income sources. In conclusion, organisational structure is both challenged and benefited by the complexity of technology.

Organisations may negotiate the complexities of modern technologies, promote innovation, and position themselves for success in an increasingly dynamic and technology-driven world by acknowledging the effect of technological complexity and proactively aligning the organisational structure.

REFERENCES:

[1]   R. Martín-Rojas, V. J. García-Morales, A. Garrido-Moreno, and M. P. Salmador-Sánchez, "Social Media Use and the Challenge of Complexity: Evidence from the Technology Sector," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.12.026.

[2]   R. Cagliano, F. Canterino, A. Longoni, and E. Bartezzaghi, "The interplay between smart manufacturing technologies and work organization: The role of technological complexity," *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJOPM-01-2019-0093.

[3]   S. Ahmed *et al.*, "Towards supply chain visibility using internet of things: A dyadic analysis review," *Sensors*. 2021. doi: 10.3390/s21124158.

[4] A. Schumacher, S. Erol, and W. Sihn, "A Maturity Model for Assessing Industry 4.0 Readiness and Maturity of Manufacturing Enterprises," in *Procedia CIRP*, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2016.07.040.

[5] B. A. Latos, M. Harlacher, F. Burgert, V. Nitsch, P. Przybysz, and S. Mütze-Niewöhner, "Complexity drivers in digitalized work systems: Implications for cooperative forms of work," *Adv. Sci. Technol. Eng. Syst.*, 2018, doi: 10.25046/aj030522.

[6] P. Dunleavy, H. Margetts, S. Bastow, and J. Tinkler, "New public management is dead - Long live digital-era governance," *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2006. doi: 10.1093/jopart/mui057.

[7] Z. Seyedghorban, D. Samson, and H. Tahernejad, "Digitalization opportunities for the procurement function: pathways to maturity," *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/IJOPM-04-2020-0214.

[8] F. Gammahendra, D. Hamid, and M. F. Riza, "Pengaruh Struktur Organisasi terhadap Efektivitas Organisasi (Studi Pada Persepsi Pegawai Tetap Kantor Perwakilan Bank Indonesia Kediri)," *J. Adm. Bisnis (JAB)|*, 2014.

[9] R. Tossaint-Schoenmakers, A. Versluis, N. Chavannes, E. Talboom-Kamp, and M. Kasteleyn, "The challenge of integrating eHealth into health care: Systematic literature review of the donabedian model of structure, process, and outcome," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2021. doi: 10.2196/27180.

[10] M. M. Bonanomi, D. M. Hall, S. Staub-French, A. Tucker, and C. M. L. Talamo, "The impact of digital transformation on formal and informal organizational structures of large architecture and engineering firms," *Eng. Constr. Archit. Manag.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/ECAM-03-2019-0119.

[11] V. Skarler, "eManaging ambient organizations in 3D," *J. Theor. Appl. Electron. Commer. Res.*, 2009, doi: 10.4067/S0718-18762009000300004.

[12] M. Althobiani, J. S. Alqahtani, J. R. Hurst, A. M. Russell, and J. Porter, "Telehealth for patients with interstitial lung diseases (ILD): Results of an international survey of clinicians," *BMJ Open Respir. Res.*, 2021, doi: 10.1136/bmjresp-2021-001088.

CHAPTER 4

TECHNOLOGY WITH LONG LINKAGES AND SEQUENTIAL INTERDEPENDENCE

Dr. Ranganathan Kumar

Associate Professor,Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id:drsenthilkumar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

A sort of technology that entails intricate and interrelated procedures where the product of one stage serves as the input for the next stage is known as technology with extensive links and sequential dependency. The features, difficulties, and tactical issues related to technology with extensive links and sequential dependency are summarised in this abstract. Industries like manufacturing, construction, and information technology, where the production process comprises several interrelated processes, are characterised by long links and sequential dependency. This abstract examines how these connections and interdependencies provide a flow of activities that is sequential and depends on the success of the stage before it. The features of sequentially interdependent technologies, highlighting the importance of coordination, synchronisation, and effective communication across various teams and stages. It emphasises how important good project management, supply chain collaboration, and process optimisation are to guaranteeing smooth operations and reducing delays or interruptions. It looks at the chances that dependencies across phases might lead to bottlenecks, delays, and quality problems. It also talks about how managing resources, planning, and ensuring timely information flow across related phases has become more difficult.

KEYWORDS:

Computer, Dependency, Inventory, Management, Technology.

INTRODUCTION

The second kind of technology that Thompson identified, known as long-linked technology, is built on a workflow in which input, conversion, and output tasks must be completed sequentially. Because long-linked technology is built on sequential task dependency, where one person's or department's activities immediately influence those of another, it is impossible to accomplish work by letting each person or department work separately. Technology for mass manufacturing is built on the interdependence of consecutive tasks. The actions of the worker at the start of the production line impact how well the worker behind him may do his assignment, and so on. Long-linked technology needs more direct coordination than mediating technology because sequential interactions must be properly timed. Any mistake that happens early in the manufacturing process magnifies itself later on as a consequence of sequential dependency. Sequential dependency underpins team sports like football or relay races, where the success of one player or team affects how well the next can perform. For instance, in football, how effectively the defensive line plays affects how well the offence can run. The offence cannot accomplish its goal of scoring touchdowns if the defence is unable to keep the ball in play.

When it comes to the requirement to coordinate progressively interdependent operations, an organisation using long-linked technology may do it in a number of different methods. The conversion process may be programmed by the organisation to standardise the steps used to convert inputs into outputs. Planning and scheduling may also be used by the organisation to control connections between input, conversion, and output processes. An organisation often develops slack resources—extra or excess resources that improve its organization's capacity to handle unforeseen circumstances—in order to lessen the requirement to coordinate these production phases. For instance, a mass manufacturing company may keep inventories of component components and stockpile inputs to ensure that the conversion process is not hampered by supplier issues. Similar to this, a company could keep completed goods on hand so that it can swiftly meet rising client demand without altering its current conversion procedures. Vertical integration, which includes a corporation taking over its suppliers or distributors to control the quantity and quality of inputs, is another tactic to control the distribution of outputs or the supply of inputs.

The coordination costs associated with long-linked technologies rise as a result of the requirement to control the extent of growing dependency. But this kind of technology might provide an organisation benefits from specialisation and the labour division brought about by sequential dependency. A significant increase in productivity may be achieved by switching the mode of production in a pin factory from one where each employee creates a whole pin to one where each employee is only in charge of one part of pin manufacture, such as sharpening the pin, for example. In essence, the factory switches from a mediating technology where each employee completes every production task to a long-linked technology, where tasks become progressively interdependent [1], [2].

Long-linked technology has regular jobs because sequential dependency allows managers to make tasks simpler, which decreases the unpredictability of each worker's task and increases the analyzability of each activity. For instance, in mass production, task coordination is primarily accomplished by the assembly line's speed as well as by the way specialisation and the division of labour are utilised to plan jobs to maximise production efficiency. However, this approach has two significant drawbacks. Because they must adhere to the established methods required to do their specialised assignment, employees do not acquire highly skilled abilities or the capacity to enhance their abilities.

At the organisational level, sequential dependency implies that one department's performance determines how well another department performs and that one department's outputs become the inputs for another. The efficiency of the production department relies on the materials management department's capacity to promptly acquire sufficient quantities of high-quality inputs.

The calibre of the goods leaving the production department affects the sales department's capacity to sell completed goods. Failure or subpar performance at one stage has negative effects on subsequent stage performance as well as the organisation as a whole. The demands of global competitiveness are driving up the requirement for departmental interdependence and, with it, the need for organisations to coordinate departmental activities. To improve interdepartmental cooperation, many organisations are converting to the product team structure. This kind of collaboration inspires several departments to create processes that boost creativity and productivity.

Advanced Technology and Mutual Dependence

The third form of technology that Thompson specifies is intensive technology, which is characterised by a work process where input, conversion, and output activities are intertwined. The foundation of intensive technology is reciprocal task interdependence, which implies that every individual and every department's operations are completely dependent on one another. In addition to influencing what Y and Z can accomplish, Z's activities also have an impact on Y and X's performance. X, Y, and Z's task relationships are mutually interconnected. Reciprocal interdependence prevents programmers from planning ahead a sequence of tasks or procedures to solve a problem because, in Thompson's words, "the selection, combination, and order of [the tasks'] application are determined by feedback from the object [problem] itself."39 As a result, the shift to reciprocal interdependence and intensive technology has two effects: Technical complexity decreases as managers' capacity to oversee and predict the work process declines.

Hospitals are businesses that use a lot of technology. The largest source of uncertainty for a hospital is the inability to forecast the kinds of issues that patients will seek care for. A general hospital must always have access to the expertise, equipment, and services of specialised departments that can handle a wide range of medical issues. The hospital needs things like an emergency department, X-ray equipment, testing labs, operation rooms with personnel, physicians, professional nurses, and hospital wards. The choice and combination of activities and technologies used to transform a hospital's inputs into outputs depends on what is wrong with each patient. Tasks cannot be programmed in advance, as they can when dependency is sequential, because to the unpredictability of the input.

Rugby, basketball, and soccer are among other sports that need mutual interdependence. The order in which a player makes their movements depends on the present condition of the game. Due to the quick-paced action of these sports, players must make snap decisions and get input from the current state of play before determining how to proceed.

The challenges the department is attempting to tackle, such as finding a cure for lung cancer, dictate the order and substance of the R&D department's operations on a departmental level. Because the input-conversion-output process is unpredictable, it is hard to estimate in advance the expertise and resources required to address the issue at hand, which is why R&D is so costly. A pharmaceutical corporation like Merck, for instance, assembles a variety of R&D teams. In the hopes that at least one team will discover the miracle cure to justify the enormous resource expenditures, every team is provided with the functional resources it requires.

A high degree of coordination is required and intense technology is more costly to administer than either mediating or long-linked technology since it is difficult to describe the sequencing of activities that is distinctive of intensive technology.

Programming and standardisation are replaced as the main methods of coordination by mutual adjustment. Because they provide the coordination and the decentralised control that enable departments to work together to solve issues, product team and matrix structures are well suited to running demanding technology. For instance, each company at Google and Accenture is set up into product teams so that it can swiftly assign its experts to the initiatives that seem to have the greatest potential. Additionally, a flat structure and mutual adjustment enable an organisation to swiftly capitalise on novel breakthroughs and potential study fields that emerge within the research process itself [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Due to the high operating costs of advanced technology, organisations do not freely utilise it to accomplish their objectives. They are compelled to utilise it, much like IBM and Accenture, due to the nature of the goods they decide to provide their clients. Organisations strive to avoid task dependency wherever possible and instead turn to older, more established technologies that are easier to govern and predict. For instance, hospitals have used forecasting methods to predict how many resources they need to keep on available to satisfy client requests in recent years in an effort to reduce rising management expenses. A hospital will know how many cardiac arrests or broken bones it can anticipate to see on average during a given time period, and how many operating rooms and doctors, nurses, and technicians it needs to keep on call to handle patient demand. This information enables the hospital to manage expenditures. Similar to this, in order to maximise potential returns from an investment in research and development, a company like Microsoft needs to establish decision-making guidelines that will enable it to determine when to stop funding a particular area of study that shows little promise of success and how to best distribute resources among projects. This is especially true given the existence of aggressive rivals like Google and Facebook.

Specialisation, which produces just a limited range of outputs, is another tactic that organisations may use to lower the expenses associated with heavy technology. A hospital that focuses on treating conditions like cancer or heart disease may focus all of its resources on addressing those issues since the spectrum of issues to which it is exposed is reduced. The general hospital is the one with the greatest amount of uncertainty. Similar to this, a pharmaceutical company often limits the fields of study it pursues. A business may choose to concentrate on medications that treat depression, diabetes, or high blood pressure. This specialised approach lessens coordination issues while enabling the organisation to employ its resources effectively.

Advanced Manufacturing Technology: Mass Production

As was already said, Henry Ford's development of mass production technology was one of the century's most important technological breakthroughs. A mass manufacturing corporation must maximise the benefits from economies of scale and the accompanying labour division in order to lower costs. Two strategies exist for doing this. One is through employing specialised equipment and established work practises. The second way is by safeguarding the conversion process against production pauses or slowdowns.

To maximise volume and efficiency, a dedicated machine produces a limited range of products but does so inexpensively. Traditional mass production is based on the use of dedicated machines, which are machines that can only perform one operation at a time, such as repeatedly cutting, drilling, or stamping out a car body part. As a consequence, this mode of manufacturing has historically had cheap production costs.

A specialised machine must be retooled, or outfitted with new dies or jigs, before it can handle the modification when the component being made has to be altered. Ford had to shut down one of his facilities for more than six months while he retooled it to produce the Model A instead of the Model T. Long production runs are necessary for maximum efficiency and the lowest costs since retooling a specialised machine might take days and prevent output during that time. Ford, for instance, may produce 50,000 right-side door panels in a single production run and store them away until they are required since the cost savings from employing specialised machinery

surpasses the expenses of both missed production and keeping the doors in stock. Similar to this, using fixed workers workers who carry out standardised work procedures—and using a production line to assemble the final product both strengthen an organization's control over the con- version process.

A mass production company will also try to cut costs by safeguarding its conversion processes from the uncertainty brought on by environmental disruptions.44 Both the input and output stages pose risks to the conversion process, but these risks can be minimised by stockpiling inputs and outputs. An organisation attempts to manage its access to inputs at the input stage by having raw materials and semifinished components on hand to avoid shortages that might cause a delay or interruption in production. For instance, purchasing's job is to negotiate contracts with suppliers so that the company has a sufficient supply of materials. An organisation attempts to manage its capacity to dispose of its outputs at the output stage. In order to promptly meet client requests, it does this by keeping a supply of completed goods on hand. A company may also use aggressive advertising to keep up client demand. In such situation, the sales department's job is to keep up demand for an organization's goods so that manufacturing doesn't have to slow down or cease since no one is interested in the outputs of the company. An organisation becomes very rigid due to factors including high technological complexity, the repetitive nature of production activities, and the sequential task dependency peculiar to mass production. The conventional method of organising production is also referred to as fixed automation. When consumer preferences change, it is exceedingly costly and challenging for an organisation to start producing other types of items due to the devoted machinery, fixed personnel, and big inventories [5], [6].

Imagine if a business had access to cutting-edge technology that enabled it to produce a broad selection of items that could be tailored to the demands of specific clients. Its product demand would rise as a result of this capability. Demand would rise even higher if the organisation could quickly release new items that included cutting-edge design trends or new functionality thanks to the new technology. Finally, let's assume that the cost of manufacturing this broad variety of novel customised items using the new technology was equivalent to or barely more than the cost of manufacturing a limited range of standardised products. Undoubtedly, the new technology would significantly boost organisational efficiency and enable the company to pursue both a low-cost and a differentiation strategy to draw in consumers by offering them cutting-edge, high-quality, and dependable items at cheap rates.

What technological adjustments would a company need to do in order to make it flexible enough to react to clients while keeping expenses in check? Organisations have been able to accomplish these two objectives thanks to several new technical advancements during the previous 20 years. Lean production, flexible production, and computer-aided manufacturing are among other names for the new innovations. Here, we consider them to be parts of advanced manufacturing technology.46 Advanced manufacturing technology comprises of advancements in knowledge- and material-based technologies that alter how traditional mass production organisations conduct their business.

Innovations in Materials Technology: Advanced Manufacturing Technology

Computers, other technologies, and machines are all parts of materials technology. Materials technology advancements are founded on a fresh understanding of the connections between input, conversion, and output activities. Traditional mass production uses stockpiles of inventory

as buffers to improve control and decrease uncertainty in an effort to safeguard the conversion process from interruptions at the input and output phases. The organisation regularly searches for methods to improve its capacity to integrate or coordinate the flow of resources across input, conversion, and output operations using AMT, however. The ability to quickly adjust and control procedures to eliminate the need for inventory at both the input and output stages is how AMT enables an organisation to reduce uncertainty.48 Several advancements in materials technology also enable businesses to produce goods and services more quickly and at lower costs. Just-in-time inventory systems, computer-integrated manufacturing, computer-aided design, and computer-aided materials management all have an impact on one another and enhance organisational performance. The first three are methods for coordinating production's input and conversion phases. The last one makes the conversion step more technically difficult.

Design with Computers

Systems of mass production are designed to create a huge number of a select few items. This structure partially reflects the fact that a significant portion of the cost of mass manufacturing is spent during the design phase.49 Generally speaking, the more complicated a product is, the greater the design expenses. For instance, creating a new automobile involves significant expenses. The Focus, Ford's most current global vehicle, cost more than $5 billion to create.

In the past, designing new components required the time-consuming creation of scale models and proto-types, a procedure analogous to unit or small-batch manufacturing. A cutting-edge manufacturing method that significantly streamlines the design process is computer-aided design. With the use of CAD, it is now feasible to design a new part or microcircuit on a computer screen before pressing a button to create the actual part rather than just the part's blueprints.

A stream of liquid metal or plastic droplets may also be sprayed by "printers" to produce three-dimensional objects. The computer programme may be used to create intricate prototypes that can be swiftly revised as needed. In order to improve the design of these inputs, an engineer at Ford, for instance, may experiment rapidly and inexpensively to evaluate how a new gear would function in a transmission assembly.

Utilising CAD to reduce product design expenses may help a company get both a low-cost and a differentiating edge. Manufacturing efficiency may be raised through design advancements made feasible by CAD. Well-designed subassemblies can be readily attached to other subassemblies, and well-designed subassemblies can be easily assembled from well-designed components. Selling and maintaining items are made simpler in the output stage thanks to advancements made at the input design stage.

If possible issues are resolved at the design phase, the likelihood of subsequent failure or breakdown is decreased. Prioritising quality increases competitive advantage and lowers expenses of a product. Toyota's primary competency in product design, for instance, is shown by the company's competitive edge in its comparatively low recall rates.

Finally, CAD increases flexibility by making it easier and less expensive to customise a product to meet the needs of specific clients. Essentially, large-scale manufacturing may profit from small-batch production-customized product design at a far lower cost thanks to CAD. Additionally, it improves an organization's capacity to react fast to environmental changes [7], [8].

Management of Materials with Computer Aid

One of the most complex functional areas of an organisation is materials management, which controls the flow of resources into and out of the con- version process. Computers are now the primary tool for processing the data that materials managers need to make wise decisions, and computer-aided materials management is crucial to organisational effectiveness. The flow of raw materials and component parts into the conversion process, the creation of master production schedules for manufacturing, and inventory control are all managed using computer-aided materials management, an advanced manufacturing technique. The distinction between traditional materials management and the new computer-aided techniques is between the so-called push and pull approaches to materials management.

Push-style mass manufacturing is conventional. When the production control system signals that the conversion stage is prepared to accept materials, materials are moved from the input to the conversion stage. According to an established strategy, the inputs are pushed into the conversion process. The pull strategy is made feasible by computer-aided materials management. Customers' demands for supply of the completed goods control the flow of input materials, thus the inputs are drawn into the conversion process in response to a pull from the output stage rather than a push from the input stage.

Think about how VF Corporation, the producer of Lee jeans, satisfies demand. As jeans run out in shops, the retailers use computers to send orders to Lee to produce more designs or sizes. Then, when needed, Lee's production division purchases raw materials from vendors, such as fabric and thread. If Lee were to use the push strategy, he may have a master plan that said, "Make 30,000 pairs of style XYZ in May," but 25,000 pairs might not be sold by the end of the summer due to a lack of demand.

An organisation may better integrate its input, conversion, and output processes with the use of CAMM technology. The activities at each step of the mass production process may proceed relatively independently thanks to the usage of input and output inventories. However, CAMM closely pairs these actions. Because each stage must be prepared to respond swiftly to requests from the other phases, CAMM promotes task dependency. Because CAMM makes input, conversion, and output activities a continuous process, in effect building a pipeline linking raw materials to the client, it adds technological complexity. An organisation may need to transition to an organic structure in order to achieve the additional integration required by the high degrees of task dependency and technological complexity associated with CAMM. This structure will offer the extra integration that is required. A company may follow a low-cost or differentiating strategy with the aid of CAMM. Controlling the flow of materials throughout the manufacturing process enables a company to minimise the expenses associated with keeping excess inventory and to be adaptable enough to quickly and readily respond to changes in the product or the demand.

Systems for Just-in-Time Inventory

The just-in-time inventory system is another cutting-edge manufacturing technology for controlling the flow of inputs into the company. A just-in-time inventory system, which was inspired by the Japanese kanban system, calls for inputs and components to be delivered to the conversion process exactly when they are needed, neither earlier nor later, in order to keep input inventories to a minimum.55 Components are stored in bins, and as they are used up, the empty

bins are returned to the supplier with a request on the bin's card for more components. For a JIT system to function effectively, computer-aided materials management (CAMM) is required. This is because CAMM offers computerised interfaces with suppliers, which enable quick information transmission and coordination between an organisation and its suppliers.

Theoretically, a JIT system might include raw materials in addition to components. A firm may provide taillight assemblies to Ford or Toyota. However, the provider may assemble the taillights from separate components made by different producers. Consequently, the manufacturer of the taillight assembly could likewise run a JIT system with its suppliers, who in turn could do the same with their suppliers. a just-in-time inventory system that loops back from the buyer to the retailer to the original suppliers to the manufacturer. The task dependency between stages of the production chain is increased by a JIT system. Traditional mass production solely sequences conversion operations, separating the conversion step from the input and output phases. These impediments are removed by JIT systems, which turn the whole value-creation process into a single chain of sequential actions. Technical complexity rises as a result of organisational operations becoming ongoing processes, which in turn boosts system effectiveness.

JIT systems provide production flexibility at the same time. JIT systems therefore enable a modern mass production organisation to obtain the benefits of small-batch technology with little loss of technical efficiency since it is not tied to one product by large inventories. This allows an organisation to expand the range of products it makes and to customise products [9], [10]. JIT systems need more coordination than CAMM does, thus an organisation may need to adopt new management strategies to handle this cutting-edge technology. One of them is to establish new methods for managing relationships with suppliers. Toyota, which has a small ownership share in its suppliers, has regular meetings with them to update them on new product advancements. Toyota also closely collaborates with its suppliers to lower prices and improve input component quality, and it distributes cost savings to them. Since owning a supplier may raise costs, many businesses aim to minimise the necessity for vertical integration. Long-term agreements with suppliers may foster collaborative working relationships that are advantageous to both parties in the long run.

In conclusion, just-in-time inventory systems, computer-aided materials management, and computer-aided design increase technical complexity and task interdependence, increasing the degree to which a conventional mass production system behaves like a continuous-process technology. They also boost productivity and cut costs associated with production. Modern mass production gains the advantages of small-batch production, such as enhanced flexibility, the capacity to adapt to consumer requests, and improved product quality, from the three advanced manufacturing processes. Together, these strategies provide an organisation a low-cost and competitive edge. We may now examine recent advancements inside the conversion stage after looking at sophisticated methods for synchronising the input and conversion processes. The development of a system based on adaptable personnel and adaptable machinery is at the heart of AMT's breakthroughs in conversion procedures.

Computer-Integrated Manufacturing (CIM) and Flexible Manufacturing Technology

Traditional mass production technique makes use of specialised machinery that can only do one activity at once. Contrarily, flexible manufacturing technology enables the production of several component types on a single machine for little to no additional cost. Each machine in a flexible manufacturing system is capable of carrying out a number of tasks, and the machines working in

succession may change the tasks they carry out to manufacture a large range of various components. The versatility benefits of small-batch production are combined with the cost-effectiveness benefits of continuous-process production via flexible manufacturing technology. How is it done?

The use of a computer-controlled system to manage operations is the primary element of flexible manufacturing systems that avoids cost increases associated with changing activities. Computer-integrated manufacturing, a cutting-edge production method, manages the transition from one activity to another by giving the machines instructions through computer software. Machine physical retooling is not necessary with a CIM system. A number of computer-controlled devices that can individually automatically produce a variety of components are included in the system. Computer-integrated manufacturing relies on computers programmed to feed the machines with components, assemble the product from components and move it from one machine to another, and unload the finished product from the machine to the shipping area. They are controlled by a master computer that schedules the movement of parts between machines in order to assemble various products from the various components that each machine makes. Robotics play a key role in CIM. The AMT counterpart of a specialised transfer machine is a collection of robots operating sequentially. Software can easily teach each robot to carry out various tasks, and reprogramming robots is far less expensive than retooling specialised transfer equipment.

In conclusion, computer-integrated manufacturing, just-in-time inventory systems, computer-aided materials management, and computer-aided design enable businesses to quickly and efficiently produce a wide range of items, including many variants of the same product. As a consequence, input, conversion, and output activities converge. They remove the conventional boundaries that have traditionally divided the input, conversion, and output phases of production. These four advancements in material technology reduce the requirement for expensive inventory buffers that shield conversion operations from environmental disruptions. Because they enhance automation and technological complexity, they also raise product dependability.

CONCLUSION

When dealing with technology that has extensive links and sequential dependency, strategic considerations are essential. In order to enable efficient coordination, the abstract emphasises the importance of organisational design and stresses the requirement for distinct roles, responsibilities, and reporting systems. It also covers how technology and digital tools may simplify data exchange, collaboration, and stage-to-stage real-time visibility. It discusses the value of cross-functional cooperation, efforts for continuous development, and the use of strict quality control procedures. It also emphasises the need of establishing an environment that values innovation, adaptation, and learning in order to take advantage of opportunities and proactively deal with problems. In conclusion, organisations face particular difficulties and possibilities as a result of the extensive links and successive dependency of technology. Organisations may adopt efficient coordination mechanisms, optimise processes, and use technology to boost performance and achieve operational excellence by recognising the traits, difficulties, and strategic concerns related with this sort of technology.

REFERENCES:

[1]   A. Bris *et al.*, "Knights, Raiders, And Targets - The Impact Of The Hostile Takeover - Coffee,Jc, Lowenstein,L, Roseackerman," *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2021.

[2]   J. A. Laub, "Assessing the servant organization; Development of the Organizational Leadership Assessment (OLA) model. Dissertation Abstracts International," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 1999.

[3]   R. Wu, Y. Geng, H. Dong, T. Fujita, and X. Tian, "Changes of CO2 emissions embodied in China-Japan trade: Drivers and implications," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.017.

[4]   A. Diabat, A. Khreishah, G. Kannan, V. Panikar, and A. Gunasekaran, "Benchmarking the interactions among barriers in third-party logistics implementation: An ISM approach," *Benchmarking*, 2013, doi: 10.1108/BIJ-04-2013-0039.

[5]   M. Xu, J. M. David, and S. H. Kim, "The fourth industrial revolution: Opportunities and challenges," *Int. J. Financ. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.5430/ijfr.v9n2p90.

[6]   N. H. Tran, H. S. Park, Q. V. Nguyen, and T. D. Hoang, "Development of a smart cyber-physical manufacturing system in the Industry 4.0 context," *Appl. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/app9163325.

[7]   S. Ford and M. Despeisse, "Additive manufacturing and sustainability: an exploratory study of the advantages and challenges," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.150.

[8]   C. Sun, Y. Wang, M. D. McMurtrey, N. D. Jerred, F. Liou, and J. Li, "Additive manufacturing for energy: A review," *Appl. Energy*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.116041.

[9]   M. Jin, R. Tang, Y. Ji, F. Liu, L. Gao, and D. Huisingh, "Impact of advanced manufacturing on sustainability: An overview of the special volume on advanced manufacturing for sustainability and low fossil carbon emissions," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.101.

[10]   M. Javaid, A. Haleem, R. Pratap Singh, and R. Suman, "Pedagogy and innovative care tenets in COVID-19 pandemic: An enhancive way through Dentistry 4.0," *Sensors International*. 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100118.

CHAPTER 5

RECOGNIZE THE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONAL REDESIGN, CHANGE AND EFFECTIVENESS

Dr. Muralidhar Sunil
Assistant Professor, Master in Business Administration (General Management),
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id:sunilrashinkar@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Organisational success and flexibility depend critically on the redesign, change, and effectiveness of the organisation. This abstract emphasises the link between organisational redesign, change, and effectiveness while highlighting how crucial it is to understand and control this relationship. The intentional and methodical reorganisation of an organization's structure, procedures, roles, and systems is known as organisational redesign. On the other side, when new strategies, technology, or projects are implemented, it causes a dramatic change within the organisation. Effectiveness has to do with how well a company accomplishes its aims and objectives. The interaction between organisational redesign, transformation, and effectiveness is explored in this abstract. It emphasises that organisational redesign often acts as a catalyst for change since it offers a chance to review and realign the objectives, procedures, and structures of the organisation. It emphasises the need for businesses to actively manage change throughout the redesign process in order to achieve the desired effectiveness. The abstract also examines how organisational redesign and transformation may affect how successful an organisation is. It looks at how a well planned and carried out organisational redesign may boost productivity, teamwork, and agility, resulting in increased overall effectiveness. It also discusses the possible dangers and difficulties linked to organisational redesign and change, including employee resistance, communication problems, and interruption of operations.

KEYWORDS:

Management, Organization, Quality, Strategy, Team.

INTRODUCTION

The process through which organisations transition from their existing or present state to a desired future one in order to boost their performance is known as organisational transformation. Finding new or better methods to use resources and capabilities is the aim of planned organisational change in order to strengthen an organization's capacity to generate value and enhance returns for its stakeholders. To better match a changing environment, a declining organisation may need to rearrange its competencies and resources. For instance, in the 1990s, IBM saw a decline in demand for its major product, mainframe computers. As a result of its new CEO's decision to refocus and strengthen IBM's capabilities in offering IT consulting and services, the company had a spectacular comeback in the 2000s that, by 2010, had made it a dominant competitor once again. Similar to how Ford had a rebirth in the 2010s, Mulally, the company's CEO, completely altered the way the business runs by changing its structure and culture to match the demands of a changing environment. It's important to note that, in order to tackle recurring problems, even successful, high-performing organisations like Google, Apple,

and Facebook must adapt how they do business over time—often from week to week. To create a flow of new and improved goods or identify new markets for their current products, managers must always look for better methods to employ organisational resources. As Nokia and Research in Motion discovered to their detriment in 2011, when Apple emerged as the leading smartphone company and saw its stock soar while theirs plummeted, the competition in the smartphone and tablet computer markets is constantly changing, and managers and their organisations must work to stay one step ahead of their rivals. Nearly all Fortune 500 firms have transformed and reorganised in the previous ten years, particularly as a result of the recent recession, in order to improve their efficiency and capacity to provide value for consumers.

Goals for Change

Human resources, functional resources, technology capabilities, and organisational capacities are the four main levels at which planned organisational change is often intended to increase effectiveness.

Employee Resources

The most valuable resource for a company is its human capital. The personnel of an organisation are ultimately responsible for developing its unique talents. Organisations must constantly evaluate their organisational structures in order to determine the most efficient means of organising human resources to acquire and apply their talents since these skills and abilities provide an organisation a competitive edge. A new investment in training and development activities to help employees develop new skills and abilities; integrating employees into the organisational culture to teach them the new routines that organisational performance depends on; changing organisational norms and values to motivate a multicultural and diverse workforce; and an ongoing examination of how promotion and reward systems operate are typical types of change efforts directed at human resources.

Resources Functional

Each organisational function must create policies that enable it to handle the specific environment it encounters. Organisations often shift resources to the tasks where the greatest value may be produced when the environment changes. Important tasks become more important while less beneficial ones get smaller. A company may raise the value that its functions produce by altering its technology, culture, and organisational structure. For instance, switching from a functional to a product team structure might hasten the creation of new products. Functional structure changes may contribute to creating an environment where individuals are encouraged to work hard. Companies may often boost product quality and productivity by switching from conventional mass production to a manufacturing operation based on self-managed work teams provided workers can benefit from the new work system.

Constitutional Capabilities

An organisation has a huge ability to transform itself in order to take advantage of market possibilities thanks to technological capabilities. One of an organization's essential competencies is the capacity to create a steady stream of new goods or to tweak old ones in order to keep consumers interested. A vital organisational competence is the capacity to improve how products and services are produced in order to raise their quality and dependability. At the organisational level, a company must provide the environment that enables it to convert its technical know-how

into benefits for its constituents. The revamping of organisational processes often forms part of this effort. In order to better capitalise on its new capabilities in offering IT consulting, IBM, for instance, altered its organisational structure. Prior to this, it had trouble turning its technological prowess into lucrative business prospects since its organisational structure was more geared towards producing and disseminating computer hardware and software than consulting.

Capabilities of the Organisation

An organisation may use its functional and human resources to seize technological possibilities by carefully planning its organisational structure and culture. The connections between individuals and functions are often changed as part of organisational reform to improve their capacity to create value. At all levels of the organisation, changes in structure and culture may be made.

These changes can affect how employees interact with one another in the workplace, how well divisions are integrated, and how the corporate culture is shaped by the top management team.

These four layers of change are inextricably linked; it is sometimes hard to alter one without altering the others. Let's say a company spends money and hires a group of scientists who are specialists in a new field, like biotechnology. If this transition in human resources is effective, new functional resources and technology capabilities will emerge.

To make sure that they support their new functional resources, top management will be required to reexamine its organisational structure as well as how it integrates and coordinates its other activities. It could be necessary to switch to a product team structure in order to effectively use the increased resources.

Even downsizing and eliminating duties that are no longer essential to the organization's objective might be necessary [1], [2].

Powers of Change

A manager's most crucial jobs include understanding the nature of the many environmental influences that have an effect on an organisation.3 The organisation will lag behind its rivals and lose effectiveness if managers take too long to react to competitive, economic, political, global, and other influences.

Concurrent Forces

Organisations are always looking for ways to get an advantage over their competitors.4 Competition is a driving factor for change because businesses must equal or outperform their rivals to exist in terms of productivity, product quality, and capacity for new product development. An organisation must continuously incorporate the newest technology as it becomes available if it wants to lead on efficiency or quality measures.

As employees acquire new skills or strategies to use the new technology, task relationships often alter as a result of the adoption of new technology. Our discussion of comprehensive quality management and reengineering, two transformation techniques that organisations might use to attain higher efficiency or quality. A corporation needs expertise in managing the innovation process as well as another source of change that we'll cover later if it wants to lead in the innovation dimension and get a technical edge over rivals.

Global, Political, And Economic Forces

Organisations are constantly impacted by economic, political, and global pressures, which require them to adapt how and where they generate products and services. Countries joining together economically and politically is becoming a more powerful force for change.7 The North American Free Trade Agreement made it possible for Mexico, the United States, and Canada to work together. Over 27 countries make up the European Union, all of which are keen to benefit from a sizable protected market. Recognising that economic unions shield member states and provide hurdles to outside rivals, Japan and other rapidly developing Asian nations like China have attempted to expand their businesses abroad. For instance, Japanese businesses have established tens of thousands of manufacturing facilities around the US, Mexico, and Europe, including Spain and the UK, in order to benefit from NAFTA and the EU's advantages. To sell automobiles to EU member states, Toyota, Honda, and Nissan have all constructed sizable auto factories in England. No organisation can afford to ignore how global political and economic influences affect its operations. Organisations also need to adapt to a variety of national cultures, change organisational structures to allow for expansion into foreign markets, and assist expatriate managers in assimilating to the economic, political, and cultural norms of the nations in which they are located [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Social and democratic forces Managing a diverse staff is one of the most difficult tasks facing businesses in the new millennium. Organisations have faced a variety of possibilities and problems as a result of shifts in the workforce's demographics and an increase in employee diversity. As the workforce's demographics change, managers are being forced to adapt their management strategies for all workers and develop effective methods for comprehending, supervising, and motivating different personnel. In addition to accepting the significance of equity in the recruitment and promotion of new hires and acknowledging employees' desire for a lifestyle that strikes an acceptable balance between work and leisure, managers had to give up any stereotypes they may have unintentionally used when making promotion decisions. By supporting advanced education and training, several businesses have assisted their employees in keeping up with the rapid advancement of technology. Organisations are realising more and more that using their members' abilities to the fullest extent possible will provide them a competitive edge and increase organisational performance. One such strategy is to give staff members the authority to make important choices.

FORCES OF ETHIC In the same way that it is crucial for an organisation to change in response to shifting demographic and social forces, it is also crucial for an organisation to take action to support ethical behaviour in the face of growing political, social, and governmental pressure for more accountable and honest business conduct. The post of ethics officer has been established in many organisations. Employees may approach this individual for guidance on challenging ethical dilemmas as well as to report ethical transgressions by an organization's management or employees. Additionally, organisations work to encourage ethical behaviour by shielding whistle-blowers who come out when they see unethical issues with the conduct of certain managers and by giving workers greater direct access to key decision-makers.

In order to rapidly eradicate unethical behaviour and safeguard the general interests of its members and consumers, many organisations must adopt modifications to enable managers and employees at all levels to report it.13 Similar to this, organisations must learn how to adapt these

norms and safeguard their foreign workers if they operate in nations that place little emphasis on human rights or the welfare of organisational members. Organisations are under constant attack from the forces of change, which range from customer design preferences to the location of clothing production, whether economic or political unrest will affect the availability of raw materials, and how to monitor the working conditions of products produced in other countries. Effective businesses are adaptable enough to deal with these influences. However, a variety of internal factors make an organisation resistant to change, endangering both its efficacy and survival.

Change-Resistant Behaviour

Many well-known businesses, like Dell, Sony, and Nokia, have seen a severe fall in performance over the last few years as a consequence of escalating international rivalry. Why did these businesses become ineffective? Organisational inertia, or the propensity of an organisation to oppose change and preserve the status quo, is almost invariably the primary cause of such decline since an organisation is unable to adapt in response to changes in its environment. An organization's efficacy and survival prospects are decreased by resistance to change. Change-related resistance or obstacles may be encountered at the organisational, group, and individual levels.

Resistance to Change at the Organisational Level

It may be challenging for an organisation to adapt to changing circumstances in its environment due to a variety of internal forces. The most potent inhibitors of change include power and conflict, disparities in functional orientation, mechanistic structure, and organisational culture [5], [6].

Power and Conflict

Change often favours certain individuals, groups, or organisational divisions at the cost of others. An organisation is likely to resist change if it leads to power struggles and internal strife19. For example, let's assume that a change in purchasing procedures will help materials management achieve its goal of lowering input costs but will hinder manufacturing's ability to do the same. Manufacturing will fight back against the move, but materials management will insist on it. Change will be slowed down by the conflict between the two functions, and it may even be prevented altogether. An organisation won't change if strong mechanisms can stop it. In the old IBM, for instance, the mainframe computer division's managers were the most influential people inside the company. In order to maintain their standing and influence, they resisted efforts to refocus IBM's resources to develop the PCs that consumers demanded, which almost brought to IBM's demise.

Differences in Functional Orientation

Disparities in functional orientation are a primary cause of organisational inertia and a major barrier to change. Because each function and division views an issue or problem largely from their own perspective, they often have diverse perspectives on the problem's root cause. Because the organisation must first invest time and energy securing consensus over the root of a problem before it can even examine how the organisation has to change to address the issue, this tunnel vision causes organisational stagnation.

Mechanistic Structure

Recall that a mechanistic structure is characterised by a high hierarchy, centralised decision-making, and the standardisation of behaviour via rules and procedures. Organic structures are flat and decentralised, and they depend on mutual adjustment amongst individuals to get the work done. Structures that use mechanisms are more adaptable. People who operate in mechanistic structures are expected to behave in specific ways and do not learn how to change their behaviour in response to changing circumstances. An organic structure's significant use of mutual adjustment and decentralised authority promotes the development of abilities that enable employees to be imaginative, receptive, and capable of solving new issues. As an organisation expands, a mechanical structure often emerges and serves as a major source of inertia, particularly in big organisations.

Organisational Culture

The norms and values that make up an organization's culture may also act as a barrier to change. Similar to how role connections lead to a set of predictable expectations amongst individuals, values and norms also influence how people act. An organization's culture will create resistance to change if organisational change upends accepted norms and values and requires employees to alter their behaviour. For instance, many organisations adopt traditional values that uphold the status quo and discourage management from looking for novel methods to compete.

Therefore, if the environment changes and a company's products become outdated, there is nothing to fall back on, and failure is likely. In some cases, values and norms are so strong that managers are unable to change because they are dedicated to the way they currently conduct business, even when the environment is changing and it is obvious that a new strategy needs to be adopted [7], [8].

Resistant Groups to Change

In organisations, groups undertake a large portion of the work, and resistance to change may result from a variety of group characteristics. First, many organisations establish robust informal rules that outline acceptable and unacceptable conduct and regulate interactions among group members.

Change often modifies task and role connections in a group, which upsets group norms and unspoken expectations that members of the group have of one another. Members of a group may thus be resistant to change since a new set of rules must be created to suit the demands of the novel circumstance.

Group performance is also influenced by group cohesion, or how appealing a group is to its members. A certain amount of cohesion fosters group performance, but too much cohesion may hinder performance since it limits the group's ability to adapt and evolve. If management tries to modify what the group does or even who is a member of the group, a highly cohesive group may resist. Members of a group may band together to uphold the status quo and defend their interests at the cost of members of other groups.

In attempt to reach a consensus, members in cohesive groups often ignore conflicting evidence, a pattern of poor decision-making known as "groupthink." Escalation of commitment makes the issue worse since group members stick with their decisions even after realising they were the

incorrect ones because they are devoted to them. Changing a group's behaviour is very challenging because of these group dynamics. And the larger the influence of these procedures on organisational performance, the more significant the group's activities are to the organisation.

Personalised Resistance to Change

Additionally, there are a number of reasons why people inside an organisation may be averse to change. First off, resistance to change is often a result of people's feelings of insecurity and apprehension about how it will turn out. New duties might be assigned to employees. Role interactions could be rearranged. Potential job losses among certain employees. Some individuals may gain by the mistakes of others.

Organisational inertia may result from employees' reluctance to the unpredictability and instability of change. As change occurs, absenteeism and attrition may rise, and employees may become uncooperative, try to slow down or stop the change, or otherwise quietly reject the change in an effort to stop it.

Additionally, there is a natural propensity for individuals to only take in information that is in line with the opinions they already have about their organisations. Therefore, when change occurs, employees often only consider how it will effect them personally, their role, or division.

They may reject the goal of the reform if they see little advantages. Unsurprisingly, it may be challenging for a company to create a unified platform to encourage change across the organisation and convince individuals of the need for change.

The propensity for routine behaviours and occurrences, or habit, is another barrier to change. How difficult it is to modify old behaviour patterns and embrace new ones indicates how resistant habits are to change. Why is it difficult to break habits? According to some experts, individuals naturally have a propensity to revert to their default behaviours, which inhibits change [9], [10].

Force-Field Theory of Change by Lewin

Organisations are resistive to change due to a broad range of pressures, and they are also driven towards change by a large range of forces. Kurt Lewin, a researcher, created a theory on organisational change. These two sets of forces are constantly in conflict in an organisation, according to his force-field theory. When the forces are equally balanced, the organisation is in a state of inertia and does not change. Managers must discover a means to boost forces for change, lower opposition to change, or do both at once in order to alter an organisation. Any of these tactics will force an organisation to change by overcoming inertia.

Organisational Evolution and Revolutionary Change

Managers are always faced with decisions on how to appropriately address the factors driving change. Managers may implement a variety of changes to assist their organisations in achieving desirable future states. Evolutionary change and revolutionary change are two broad categories that include most sorts of change.

Evolutionary change happens over time, in small, targeted increments. A constant effort to improve, adapt, and adjust strategy and structure incrementally to accommodate to changes occurring in the environment is what evolutionary change entails rather than a drastic or sudden

alteration of the fundamental nature of an organization's strategy and structure. Sociotechnical systems theory, total quality management, and the development of empowered, flexible work groups are three such instruments that organisations use in their attempt. Such advancements might be a more efficient approach to use technology or set up the work process.

Evolutionary change happens through time, little by little. However, some organisations have an urgent need to make significant adjustments. They don't want to invest the effort in developing and putting into place programmes that encourage evolutionary change, nor do they want to watch for the improvements in performance these programmes may bring about. An organisation must respond swiftly and decisively when faced with sudden, significant changes in the environment or with imminent disaster brought on by years of neglect and delay. Change that is revolutionary is necessary.

Rapid, dramatic, and broad-based change is what is meant by a revolution. Revolutionary transformation entails a daring effort to swiftly identify new methods of effectiveness. New objectives, a new organisation, and a fundamental change in how things are done are all potential outcomes. All organisational levels corporate, divisional, functional, group, and individual—are affected. Three key tools of revolutionary change are reengineering, restructuring, and invention.

Sociotechnical Systems Theory Advances in Evolutionary Change

One of the earliest theories to emphasise the significance of altering role and task or technical linkages to improve organisational performance was sociotechnical systems theory. It came up as a result of research on evolving working conditions in the British coal mining sector. After World War II, the British mining sector adopted new technologies that altered how miners interacted with one another at work. Coal mining was a small-batch or hand procedure prior to the conflict. Teams of expert miners completed all the tasks required to get the coal to the surface, including digging coal from the coal face underground. Working in a small area required strong teamwork amongst team members in order to be productive. To get the job done and to support one another socially while coping with the stress of their dangerous and confined working circumstances, miners established their own rituals and standards.

The "hand got method," a kind of coal mining, resembled small-batch technology. Managers chose to replace it with the "long wall method," which used mechanised, mass manufacturing technologies, in order to boost efficiency. Powered drills were now used by miners to cut coal, while conveyor belts carried the coal to the surface. As the work process was planned and standardised, tasks became more routine. On theory, the new equipment was expected to greatly boost mining productivity, but in practise, productivity only slightly improved and workers' already high absence rates sharply climbed. Researchers were enlisted to determine why the anticipated efficiency benefits had not materialised.

The researchers made the point that management had altered the job and role connections among the miners in order to run the new equipment effectively, which had undermined informal norms, weakened social support, upended long-standing working relationships, and decreased group cohesion. The researchers proposed decentralising power to work groups and reconstructing the old system of tasks and duties in order to integrate the new technology with the previous social structure. The manufacturing process was restructured in this fashion by management, which resulted in increased productivity and decreased absenteeism.

In order to promote effectiveness, managers are said to need to fit or "jointly optimise" the operations of an organization's technical and social systems, or, in the context of the current discussion, culture.30 A poor fit between an organization's technology and social system results in failure, whereas a close fit results in success. The sociotechnical systems theory teaches us that when managers modify task and role connections, they must be aware of the need to progressively adapt the social and technical systems to prevent disruption of group norms and cohesion.

This groundbreaking research has been followed by a large number of additional studies that highlight the significance of the relationship between technological type and cultural values and norms. Managers must be mindful of the fact that how they organise the work process influences how individuals and groups operate. For instance, contrast the two mass manufacturing environments below. In the first, managers standardise the work process, routinize the technology, and mandate that employees complete repetitive tasks as quickly as possible. Additionally, employees are assigned to a position on the production line and are not permitted to switch positions.

Finally, managers closely supervise employees and make all decisions pertaining to process control. In the second, managers standardise the work process while encouraging employees to develop more effective methods to complete tasks; employees are free to swap positions; and employees are organised into teams with the authority to oversee key elements of their own performance.

What moral distinctions will form between these two kinds of sociotechnical systems? And what impact will they have on performance? Numerous scholars have claimed that a system that is more team-based would encourage the growth of values and standards that will increase productivity and product quality. The continual improvement of product quality, which is the purpose of total quality management, as well as the creation of flexible employees and workgroups—both of which are covered in the sections that follow draw heavily on the principles included into sociotechnical systems theory.

CONCLUSION

The value of a strategic approach to change management, including effective stakeholder participation, resource and support supply, and effective communication. It places a strong emphasis on the need of leadership buy-in, specific goals, and a well-articulated change management strategy to guarantee that organisational redesign initiatives result in the required effectiveness. In summary, the relationship between organisational redesign, change, and effectiveness is crucial to an organization's success.

Organisations can negotiate the challenges of change, maximise the results of organisational redesign, and increase overall performance by understanding and managing this link. In today's changing corporate environment, promoting organisational success and flexibility requires adopting a proactive and strategic approach to organisational redesign and change management.

REFERENCES:

[1]   P. Suksod and C. Cruthaka, "The effects of human resource practices on employee organizational commitment: Findings from the pharmaceutical industry in Thailand," *Syst. Rev. Pharm.*, 2020, doi: 10.5530/srp.2020.3.09.

[2]   D. P. Waggoner, "The use of political skill in organizational change," *J. Organ. Chang. Manag.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JOCM-12-2018-0357.

[3]   D. Rosenbaum, E. More, and P. Steane, "Planned organisational change management: Forward to the past? An exploratory literature review," *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. 2018. doi: 10.1108/JOCM-06-2015-0089.

[4]   A. Shahid, "Employee Intention to Stay: An Environment Based on Trust and Motivation," *J. Manag. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.5296/jmr.v10i4.13680.

[5]   M. Rabiu, R. Willie, and N. Parumasur, "Optimal Control Strategies and Sensitivity Analysis of an HIV/AIDS-Resistant Model with Behavior Change," *Acta Biotheor.*, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10441-021-09421-3.

[6]   J. G. Crowley, K. M. Peterson, W. W. Fisher, and C. C. Piazza, "Treating food selectivity as resistance to change in children with autism spectrum disorder," *J. Appl. Behav. Anal.*, 2020, doi: 10.1002/jaba.711.

[7]   K. S. Schuldt and G. Gomes, "Influence of organizational culture on the environments of innovation and organizational performance," *Gest. e Prod.*, 2020, doi: 10.1590/0104-530x4571-20.

[8]   N. Sarhan, A. Harb, F. Shrafat, and M. Alhusban, "The effect of organizational culture on the organizational commitment: Evidence from hotel industry," *Manag. Sci. Lett.*, 2020, doi: 10.5267/j.msl.2019.8.004.

[9]   X. L. Yang *et al.*, "Quantitative proteomics characterization of cancer biomarkers and treatment," *Molecular Therapy - Oncolytics*. 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.omto.2021.04.006.

[10]   B. R. Byrd *et al.*, "Personalized moderate-intensity exercise training combined with high-intensity interval training enhances training responsiveness," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16122088.

CHAPTER 6

ANALYSIS OF THE QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IN ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT

Mr. Ashok bhat

Assistant Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id:ashokbhat@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Ensuring constant product and service quality, customer happiness, and organisational excellence is made possible through quality management systems (QMS). The objective, elements, advantages, and strategic ramifications of the examination of Quality Management Systems in organisational management are highlighted in this abstract. In order to assure adherence to quality standards and continual improvement, an organization's processes, procedures, and practises are evaluated systematically as part of the study of a quality management system. This abstract covers the goal of a QMS analysis, which is to discover areas for improvement, identify areas for strength, and promote organisational excellence. The abstract highlights the essential elements of a QMS analysis, with special emphasis on the value of assessing the efficiency of the processes for quality planning, quality control, and quality assurance. In order to evaluate the entire effectiveness of the QMS, it is important to look at documentation and record-keeping, personnel training and competency, customer feedback systems, and performance evaluation measures. The abstract also emphasises the advantages of QMS analysis. It looks at how careful analysis may result in better products and services, more customer happiness, greater operational efficiency, and less waste and faulty production. The possible effects on organisational reputation, competitive advantage, and adherence to industry rules are also covered.

KEYWORDS:

Management, Organization, Quality, Strategy, Team.

INTRODUCTION

Total quality management refers to an organization's continuous and continuing search for innovative methods to raise the calibre of its products and services. The first choice to use a TQM strategy often denotes a fundamental transformation in the way activities are structured. However, when an organisation adopts TQM, it results in ongoing, gradual change, and all departments are required to work together to improve quality.

It was first created by many American business experts, including W. After World War II, Japanese businesses eagerly adopted the total quality management (TQM) system developed by Edwards Deming and Joseph Juran.

For Japanese businesses, with their history of long-term working relationships and cooperation between people and groups, the adoption of the new TQM system was an incremental step. Shop floor employees in Japan, for instance, had long been arranged into quality circles, which were groups of workers who met frequently to discuss how work is performed in order to find new ways to increase performance33. Changes frequently inspired by TQM include changing the

design or type of machines used to as- semble products and reorganising the sequence of activities—either within or between functions—necessary to provide a service to a customer. Similar to sociotechnical systems theory, TQM places a strong focus on how well technical and social systems mesh.

In TQM, it's crucial to alter cross-functional interactions in order to boost quality. When employees pass over the task they are performing to persons in different roles or at crossover points, poor quality often starts. For instance, intermediate manufacturing's role is to put together the elements that go into creating a finished product. One aspect of TQM is coordinating the design of the different inputs so that they fit together easily and work well together. Members of the various roles collaborate to come up with fresh ideas for cutting down on the amount of inputs required or to recommend design changes that will make it easier and more reliable to combine inputs. These adjustments improve quality while reducing expenses. Take note that task, role, and group connections are the modifications brought about by TQM. TQM initiatives may have significant consequences, as Citibank, a major international banking institution, learned when it started implementing them to improve customer response.

As the first phase in its TQM endeavour, Citibank concentrated on identifying the issues that upset its customers because it understood that customer loyalty affected the bank's future profitability. When management evaluated client complaints, they discovered that the majority of them were about the length of time it took to fulfil a customer's request, such as resolving an account issue or obtaining a loan. Therefore, officials at Citibank started to assess how they handled various consumer requests. A cross-functional team was created for each different kind of request, and its members were tasked with analysing each request's breakdown into the individual phases and departments involved in completing it. These teams discovered that many process stages were often superfluous and could be eliminated with the application of the appropriate information technology. They also discovered that delays often happened because staff members were ill-prepared to manage the request. When they couldn't manage a request, they simply set it aside until a supervisor could handle it since they weren't receiving the proper training [1], [2].

Citibank therefore opted to deploy a TQM programme throughout the whole company. It was the responsibility of managers and supervisors to simplify the work process and determine the most efficient approach to handle a certain request, like one for a loan.

They were also responsible for instructing staff members on how to respond to each particular inquiry. The outcomes were astounding. The TQM programme, for instance, cut the number of handoffs required to handle a request in the loan department by 75%; the average response time to a client also decreased from several hours to 30 minutes. Within a year, more than 92,000 workers had received training in the new TQM procedures around the globe. Citibank was able to gauge the success of TQM by the faster speed with which it was able to handle an increasing amount of client demands.

Companies are increasingly embracing the ongoing, gradual transformation that comes from the deployment of TQM programmes. However, many businesses have discovered that adopting a TQM programme is not always simple since it necessitates that employees and managers embrace new perspectives on their respective responsibilities within an organisation. In order to empower employees, decentralise decision-making, and play a facilitator rather than a supervisory role, managers must be prepared to do so. An "advise and support" paradigm

replaces the "command and control" model. It is crucial that both managers and employees benefit from the higher profits that effective TQM programmes may bring about. For instance, performance incentives commonly make up 30% or more of employees' and managers' compensation in Japan, where salaries may vary significantly from year to year due to changes in organisational performance.

If management does not openly acknowledge the numerous ways that TQM impacts connections across functions and even divisions, resistance to the changes that a TQM programme demands, may be substantial. Later in this chapter, we go into more detail on how to handle resistance to change.

Despite the success that companies like Citibank, Harley-Davidson, and UTC have had with TQM, many other businesses have given up on their TQM initiatives because they were unable to achieve the quality improvements and cost savings that are often associated with it. Underestimation of the amount of commitment required from individuals at all organisational levels to execute a TQM programme and the lengthy time period required for TQM initiatives to succeed and demonstrate results are two factors that contribute to TQM's lack of success. TQM is not a magic bullet that can transform a company overnight. It is an evolutionary process that only produces results when it gets ingrained in an organisation as a way of life.

Teams with flexible members and flexible workers

Given the objectives of TQM and the sociotechnical systems theory, many organisations in contemporary manufacturing have adopted the idea of flexible employees and work teams as a means of modifying employee attitudes and behaviours. Employees must first learn and gain the abilities required to carry out any of the tasks involved in putting together a variety of final products38. Once a worker has mastered one activity, they are gradually taught to carry out others. Many times, a person's pay is based on how many distinct duties they can do. Any employee may fill in for any other employee. Flexible employees may be moved to the job that the organisation needs them for the most, depending on whether there is an increase or decline in the demand for components or completed goods. As a consequence, the organisation is in a position to react promptly to environmental changes. The reduction of repetition, monotony, and weariness that results from doing several tasks also increases employee motivation to enhance product quality. Employees understand how the various duties connect to one another as they get familiar with one another's jobs. This understanding often results in innovative methods to combine activities or in the redesign of a product to increase manufacturing efficiency and save costs.

Then, flexible employees are put into flexible work teams to hasten the development of functional skills. A flexible work team is a group of employees who take on the responsibility of carrying out all the tasks required to finish a particular stage in the manufacturing process. Workers on the production line who were previously just accountable for their respective jobs are grouped together and given joint accountability for one phase of the production process.

For instance, in Ford factories, one team is in charge of assembling the vehicle's gearbox and transporting it to the body assembly area, where the body assembly team is in charge of attaching it to the vehicle's body. The members of a flexible work team collaborate to assign tasks and move team members from one job to another as needed. The process through which flexible work teams carry out their tasks. Different teams construct various components, which are then

given to the final product work team, who assembles the finished product. The standards that each team must meet stem from the needs of the end product's customers. Each team must thus modify its operations to accommodate the pull of the manufacturing process' output side [3], [4].

Reengineering is a development in revolutionary change. The process by which managers restructure how activities are combined into roles and functions to increase organisational performance has been referred to as "reengineering." According to Michael Hammer and J. Reengineering, according to Champy, the term's originator, entails the "fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in critical, contemporary measures of performance such as cost, quality, service, and speed.42 Change brought on by reengineering necessitates managers to go back to the fundamentals and dissect each step in the work process to find a better way to coordinate and integrate the activities required to provide customised services. The managers of a reengineered organisation concentrate on business processes rather than an organization's functions. Reengineering targets processes, not organisations. Companies redesign the job that the employees in their sales or manufacturing divisions perform, not the departments themselves.

Reengineering requires an organisation to completely rethink how it does business, as this term says. Managers make business processes the centre of attention rather than concentrating on the individual operations of an organisation in isolation from one another. Any action that crosses functional boundaries is considered a business process. The speed with which products and services are provided to clients, or the promotion of high quality or low prices, depends critically on people's and organisations' capacity to work cross-functionally. Cross-functional activities are a part of business operations. Reengineering focuses on business processes rather than functions, therefore an organisation has to reconsider how it handles activity organisation.

Reengineering companies purposefully disregard how tasks, responsibilities, and work activities are currently organised. The question "How can I reorganise the way we do our work, our business processes, to provide the best quality, lowest cost goods and services to the customer?" is the first step in the reengineering process. When businesses ponder this subject, they often come to the conclusion that there are better methods to plan their operations. For instance, after reengineering, a business process that presently requires members of 10 distinct roles to provide products and services sequentially may be completed by one or a few individuals for a fraction of the original cost.

DISCUSSION

Redesigning the materials management function to maximise efficiency is an excellent example of how to utilise reengineering to promote functional integration and increase control of operations. Historically, distribution, production control, and purchasing—the three primary components of materials management—were largely handled by different departments and had nothing to do with one another. the conventionally practical layout. The issue with the conventional design is that since each component of materials management is a distinct function, it is difficult to coordinate their operations. Each function has its own hierarchy, and both vertical and horizontal communication are problematic. It is challenging to process information fast in order to achieve cost reductions because of the structure illustrated in. For example, computerised manufacturing and warehousing need precise activity coordination, but the conventional design of materials management activities does not provide sufficient control to do this.

Most organisations have taken action to reengineer the materials management process after realising that this division of labour often resulted in production delays and increased expenses. The three functional tasks that make up the material management process are now combined into one function in the majority of organisations. All three components of materials management are now under the control of a single hierarchy of managers, and because they all work within the same function, communication between them is simple. In reality, this layout makes it much simpler for businesses to contract with specialised firms like Jabil Circuit, Flextronics, and UPS for their production and inventory management needs. The following are three recommendations for effectively implementing reengineering:

1. Organise around results rather than duties. Work should be set up wherever feasible such that one person or one function can handle all the tasks required to finish the process, without the necessity for transfers between functions.
2. Make the people who will be using the process' output execute the process. Create a set of rules and SOPs that will enable the people who utilise the process' output to take control of it since they are the ones who know what they want best.
3. Decentralise decision-making up to the moment of decision. Allow those present to determine how to react to certain issues that emerge.

Consider how Kansas City, Missouri-based Hallmark Cards, which sells 55% of the 8 billion birthday, Christmas, and other types of cards sold annually in the United States, used reengineering to alter its structure. In the 1990s, Hallmark came under increasing attack from smaller, more nimble rivals who invented new varieties of specialty greeting cards and sold them in supermarkets and discount stores, frequently at reduced prices. Hallmark thus employed a team of reengineering specialists to assess how things were presently being done at Hallmark and then to ascertain what adjustments needed to be made to boost effectiveness in order to stay on top of its market. In the beginning, these experts divided 100 managers into 10 teams and asked them to examine Hallmark's competitors, the shifting nature of customer needs, the organisational structure the company was using to manage its operations, and the ways the company was creating, distributing, and marketing its cards—its fundamental business processes. What the teams discovered surprised management at all levels and revealed to the experts what changes were required [5], [6].

Despite having the biggest creative workforce in the world more than 700 authors and artists who create more than 24,000 new cards annually Hallmark was taking more than three years to bring a new card to market, the experts and management found together. It took an average of three years for a new card to be created, packed, and distributed to stores after an artist created it and a writer came up with a suitable rhyme or message. It took a long time for artists to get information on shifting client wants, a crucial factor in determining what cards should be made. It was difficult for Hallmark to react swiftly to its rivals because of such delay.

The specialists and team leaders provided Hallmark's senior management with 100 suggestions for improvements that would enable the firm to do its task more swiftly and efficiently using their newly acquired expertise. The proposals demanded that the organisation of the company's fundamental business operations be completely altered. Hallmark started by radically reorganising its operations. The company has been operating on a functional framework. Both authors and painters had distinct workspaces from those who handled materials management, printing, and production. 25 handoffs were required to complete a card from the design team to

the printing department, and 90% of the time, work was just sitting in someone's in- or out-basket. As a result, Hallmark adopted a cross-functional team structure, where employees from various functions such as artists, writers, and editors are now organised into teams that are in charge of creating a particular kind of card, such as Christmas cards, get-well cards, or new lines of speciality cards.

Each team is in charge of every step of the design process to avoid the need for handoffs between departments. All team members collaborate from the start to plan the phases in the design process, and they are all in charge of evaluating the effectiveness of their efforts to minimise the necessity for handoffs within the team. Hallmark installed a computerised point-of-sale merchandising system in each of its Hallmark Card stores so that each team has immediate feedback on what and how many different kinds of cards are selling. This system helps each team evaluate its efforts and provides each team with the information it needs about customer preferences. These modifications have had significant impacts. In addition to being introduced in less than a year, some cards also hit the market quickly. As each team concentrates on improving their cards, quality has grown, and expenses have decreased due to the effectiveness of the new work structure.

TQM and reengineering have close ties and compliment each other. What is the greatest method to provide consumers the products or services they need once revolutionary reengineering has occurred? After the question of "How can we now continue to improve and refine the new process and find better ways of managing task and role relationships? " has been addressed, evolutionary TQM takes over. Successful businesses look at these issues side by side and are always looking for new, more effective ways to achieve their objectives of improved productivity, quality, and client responsiveness.

E-Engineering

E-engineering describes efforts made by businesses to employ various information systems to improve their performance. Numerous instances of how the usage of Internet-based software systems might alter a company's strategy and organisational structure were given in earlier chapters. New IT may be used for a variety of purposes and in all facets of an organization's operations. For instance, T. J. Rodgers, the CEO of Cypress Semiconductor, utilises the company's online management information system to continuously monitor his managers' actions and support him in maintaining a flat organisational structure. According to Rodgers, he can evaluate the objectives of all 1,500 of his managers in roughly four hours, and he does this once a week. E-engineering is becoming more significant since it alters how businesses organise their value-creation processes and connects them to enhance performance [7].

Restructuring

Restructuring and reengineering are intertwined because, in practise, changing to a more effective organisational structure often necessitates the layoff of personnel, unless the company is expanding quickly enough for these people to be relocated or absorbed elsewhere. Because of this, reengineering initiatives are unpopular with both employees and managers. employees worry that they will lose their jobs as a result of reengineering, while managers worry that they will lose control of their empires when new, more effective methods of arranging task and role connections are developed.

However, restructuring describes the process through which managers modify organisational structure and culture as well as task and authority relationships in order to increase organisational performance. One of the most prevalent types of restructuring efforts is the transition from a functional organisation to some kind of divisional structure, as well as the transition from one divisional structure to another. Managers must assess how well their organization's structure today suits them as the environment and strategy evolve. They often discover that there is a more effective method to organise the items they now produce to meet client wants and switch, for instance, from one kind of product structure to another.

Downsizing, where managers simplify the organisational structure and fire managers and employees to save bureaucratic expenses, is another style of organisational restructuring that has gained popularity in recent years. These most recent reduction and restructuring attempts have been massive in scale. Fortune 500 corporations are thought to have reduced their workforces by as much as 10% during the last ten years, according to estimates. Companies reorganised during the recent crisis in order to cut costs and increase efficiency, laying off record numbers of workers in the process.

As companies compete to improve their performance and implement new information technology, the desire to reduce bureaucratic costs is frequently a response to this.45 For instance, the wave of mergers and acquisitions that occurred in the 1990s in many industries, including telecommunications, banking, and defence, has also resulted in downsizing because merged companies typically require fewer managers.

Downsizing waves often occur across businesses in a given sector as a result of one industry company's forced examination of its own organisational structure to identify inefficiencies. For instance, the biggest Canadian brewing firm, Molson Breweries, stated it was cutting the number of employees at its corporate headquarters in order to save money. Apparently, Molson's senior executives felt that the 200 headquarters employees it hired were unnecessary after seeing its biggest rival, Labatt Breweries, decrease its headcount there to 110. There is no denying that companies have saved a lot of money by streamlining their hierarchies and downsizing, but some analysts are now questioning whether this process has gone too far. There are more and more reports that the remaining managers in downsized organisations are working under extreme stress, both because they worry they might be the next workers to be let go and because they are required to do the work that was previously delegated to less-experienced staff.

Furthermore, there are worries that organisations may be trading off short-term advantages from cost reductions for long-term losses due to missed opportunities if they push their downsizing efforts too far. The claim is that organisations always need a certain number of "surplus" managers with the time and drive to enhance existing operational procedures and scour the surroundings for fresh chances for development and expansion. In the future, downsized organisations may suffer because they lack the inventive middle managers who carry out this crucial duty. be a result, organisations that have too many managers and too much downsizing are said to be anorexic or hollow in order to assist them adapt to changing circumstances.

Even if it is obvious that excessive shrinking has drawbacks, it is nonetheless true that many organisations got overly tall and fat as a result of previous top-management teams' failure to manage the expansion of their hierarchies and properly construct their organisational structures. Managers are compelled to reorganise their organisations in these situations in order to stay competitive, if not to live. In order to reduce operational expenses, organisations that are

suffering a significant decline in performance typically turn to removing divisions, departments, or levels in the hierarchy. Restructuring often results in changes to the connections between departments or functions.

Why may an organisation need to decrease its activities or undergo restructuring? Sometimes, the environment changes unexpectedly. For example, a global recession may lower demand for the company's goods or a change in technology may render its products outdated. When the products and services an organisation offers are old or give bad value for the money, consumers may no longer desire them, causing an organisation to have surplus capacity. Organisations sometimes reduce their size because their overhead expenses have skyrocketed and they have become overly large and bureaucratic.

Too frequently, businesses are forced to reduce their workforces and let go of employees because they did not continuously monitor how they function—their fundamental business processes—and they did not make the gradual adjustments to their strategies and organisational designs that would have allowed them to control costs and adapt to shifting circumstances. They are paradoxically driven into a situation where restructuring is the only way they can survive and compete in a highly competitive market because they have not paid attention to the necessity to reengineer themselves [8], [9].

Restructuring creates resistance to change, much as reengineering, TQM, and other change initiatives. The choice to downsize often necessitates the creation of new task and role linkages. They oppose the modifications that are being made because they may endanger the employment of certain employees. Due to the high amount of opposition they meet at all levels of the organisation, many change-inducing strategies, including restructuring, take a long time to execute and fail.

Innovation

Restructuring is often required as a result of technological advancements that render both the products and processes an organisation employs to generate them outdated. For instance, advancements in technology have altered the kind of computers that consumers want while also making them more powerful and cheaper to produce. Organisations must take action to launch new products or create new technologies to manufacture such items consistently and affordably if they want to avoid falling behind in the competitive drive to generate new goods and services. Innovation is one of the most challenging tools of change to manage. It is the effective use of talents and resources to develop new technologies or new products and services to enable an organisation to adapt and better meet the demands of consumers. The challenges of managing innovation and raising the degree of entrepreneurship and creativity inside an organisation.

Change Management: Action Research

Managers must convince their organisations to change, regardless of the evolutionary or revolutionary changes they choose to implement. Kurt Lewin has a similar viewpoint on how managers might implement change inside an organisation. His force-field theory contends that organisations are balanced between forces for and against change. Implementing change, in Lewin's opinion, requires three steps: unfreezing the organisation from its current state, making the change, and refreezing the organisation in the new, desired state to prevent its members from returning to their old work attitudes and role behaviors.

Lewin cautions that resistance to change will quickly lead to an organisation and its members returning to their old ways of doing things unless the organisation actively takes steps to refreeze the organisation in the new state. Making a few adjustments to task and role connections is insufficient to guarantee the effectiveness and sustainability of the modifications.

Managers must actively manage the transition process if they want an organisation to stay in its new condition. The techniques and practises of action research, developed by experts, assist managers in unfreezing an organisation, moving it to its new, desired position, and refreezing it so the benefits of the change are retained. Action research is a strategy for generating and acquiring knowledge that managers can use to define an organization's desired future state and to plan a change programme that allows the organisation to reach that state.

CONCLUSION

The strategic ramifications of QMS analysis in organisational management are also covered. It highlights the necessity for organisations to match the QMS analysis with their overarching strategic goals, highlighting the need of a customer-centric strategy, continuous improvement, and an organisational culture of quality.

The article examines how QMS analysis may help with decision-making, resource allocation, and process optimisation to help the organisation reach its objectives. In conclusion, a crucial component of organisational management is the examination of a quality management system.

Organisations may discover areas for development, promote ongoing quality improvement, and attain organisational excellence by performing rigorous evaluations. To successfully use QMS analysis for long-term performance and customer happiness, it is essential to have a strategic alignment, engaged employees, and a commitment to continuous improvement.

REFERENCES:

[1]   I. Gremyr, J. Lenning, M. Elg, and J. Martin, "Increasing the value of quality management systems," *Int. J. Qual. Serv. Sci.*, 2021, doi: 10.1108/IJQSS-10-2020-0170.

[2]   M. Y. Dyakonov, A. V. Novikov, D. N. Slabkaya, S. L. Balova, V. D. Sekerin, and A. E. Gorokhova, "Customer service quality management system," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.*, 2019, doi: 10.35940/ijitee.J9540.0881019.

[3]   G. R. Adams and B. Wallbank, "Abstract TP395: Completing Stroke Education Requirements for Comprehensive Stroke Center Certification," *Stroke*, 2017, doi: 10.1161/str.48.suppl_1.tp395.

[4]   T. E. Malangwasira *et al.*, "Employer/employee perceptions of performance appraisal and organizational outcomes: A case study approach," *ProQuest Diss. Theses*, 2014.

[5]   Z. Zamani and D. Gum, "Activity-based flexible office: Exploring the fit between physical environment qualities and user needs impacting satisfaction, communication, collaboration and productivity," *J. Corp. Real Estate*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/JCRE-08-2018-0028.

[6]   S. Vasanthi and S. Rabiyathul, "On the Job Training Implementation and Its Benefits," *Int. J. Res. Anal. Rev.*, 2019.

[7]   S. V. Thakker, J. Parab, and S. Kaisare, "Systematic research of e-learning platforms for solving challenges faced by Indian engineering students," *Asian Assoc. Open Univ. J.*, 2021, doi: 10.1108/AAOUJ-09-2020-0078.

[8]   S. Tu and H. Long, "Rural restructuring in China: Theory, approaches and research prospect," *J. Geogr. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11442-017-1429-x.

[9]   J. B. Hessler-Kaufmann, J. Heese, M. Berking, U. Voderholzer, and A. Diedrich, "Emotion regulation strategies in bulimia nervosa: An experimental investigation of mindfulness, self-compassion, and cognitive restructuring," *Borderline Personal. Disord. Emot. Dysregulation*, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s40479-020-00129-3.

CHAPTER 7

ASSESSMENT OF THE ORGANIZATION MANAGEMENT

Ms. Anandasrinivasan Deviprabha
Assistant Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: deviprabha@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

An organization's performance, capabilities, and general state are all evaluated as part of the evaluation process. This abstract gives a high-level summary of the evaluation of an organisation while emphasising its goals, essential elements, and strategic ramifications. Gaining a thorough grasp of an organization's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and dangers is the goal of the assessment process. It entails examining a number of factors, such as organisational culture, market position, operational effectiveness, and financial success. The objective of organisational assessment, which is to identify problem areas, guide decision-making, and increase organisational performance, is explored in this abstract. The essential elements of organisational assessment while highlighting the need of a comprehensive and organised approach. It looks at the value of gathering and examining information from a variety of sources, including financial accounts, performance measures, staff surveys, client feedback, and industry standards. It also discusses the need of including stakeholders at different organisational levels in order to guarantee a thorough and accurate evaluation. The abstract also illustrates how organisational evaluation has strategic ramifications. It addresses how strategy planning, resource allocation, and performance improvement activities might benefit from evaluation results. It emphasises how crucial it is for businesses to utilise assessment findings to identify areas of competitive advantage, set investment priorities, and close crucial gaps or inefficiencies.

KEYWORDS:

Management, Organization, Quality, Strategy, Team.

INTRODUCTION

Managers must accept the presence of a problem that needs to be fixed and that a change of some kind is necessary in order to do so as the first step in action research. Typically, the need for change is recognised when someone in the organisation notices a discrepancy between expected and actual performance. Perhaps there have been more client complaints concerning the quality of products or services. Perhaps profits have lately decreased or operational expenses have increased. There may have been an excessive amount of management or employee turnover. Managers must conduct an analysis of the current situation and the reasons behind difficulties during the first stage of action research.

The process of diagnosing the organisation might be difficult. Managers must discern between causes and symptoms, much like a doctor. For instance, if the issue is that demand is declining because consumers do not like the product's design, introducing new technologies to cut manufacturing costs is of little benefit. To effectively identify the issue and win over people to the change process, managers must carefully gather information about the organisation. At this

early stage of action research, managers should gather data from individuals at all organisational levels as well as from external sources like consumers and suppliers. Employee, customer, and supplier questionnaire surveys as well as interviews with employees and managers at all levels may provide crucial information for a proper diagnostic of the organization's current status.

Choosing the Ideal Future State

The next stage after identifying the current state of an organisation is to determine its ideal future state. This stage also entails a challenging planning process as managers consider many potential courses of action that might lead the organisation in the direction they want and decide what kind of change to implement. Choosing the organization's strategy and structure is necessary for determining the intended future state.

Putting into Practise

The third stage of action research is implementation. It is a three-stage procedure. In order to successfully implement changes, managers must first identify potential barriers to change that they may run into at the organisational, group, and individual levels.53 For example, let's say managers decide to restructure the company from a functional to a cross-functional team structure in order to accelerate product development and cut costs. When they unfreeze the organisation and implement the changes, they must prepare for the challenges they will face. For instance, functional managers are likely to vigorously oppose efforts to restructure the firm since the change would diminish their standing and authority inside the company. Similar to this, team members from each function who are used to working with the same individuals and developing consistent task and role connections may object to being put on a new team where tasks and roles must be redone and new interpersonal ties must be learnt.

The difficulty of implementing a change increases with how revolutionary it is. Managers must devise strategies for reducing, managing, and coopting opposition to change. They must also come up with plans to engage organisational members and strengthen their support for the change process. In order to prevent employees from reverting to previous behaviours, managers must also consider the future and search for methods to refreeze the improvements they have achieved. Choosing who will be in charge of actually implementing the changes and overseeing the change process is the second phase in the implementation process. Employing internal change agents, managers from inside the organisation who are aware about the problem, external change agents, outside consultants who are professionals in managing change, or a mix of both, are the options available [1], [2].

The main issue with utilising internal change agents is that other employees can think they are politically biassed and engaged in the changes from the beginning. In contrast, external change agents are probably thought of as less subject to internal politics. Employing external change agents is also advantageous because they can distinguish between the "forest and the trees" and have a detached perspective on the organization's issues, which insiders may lack due to their level of involvement in the situation. Large organisations commonly hire management consultants from McKinsey & Co. to assist the senior management team in identifying issues and making recommendations. The implementation of comprehensive quality management, reengineering, and other forms of organisational change are among the many organisational change-related specialties of many consultants.

Choosing the precise change strategy that will unfreeze, transform, and refreeze the organisation is the third phase in putting a plan into action. Later in this chapter, specific methods for implementing change are covered. Top down and bottom-up changes are the two main forms of change that these methods produce. High-level managers in the organisation conduct top-down change. Top-down change is the outcome of substantial organisational reengineering and restructuring. High-ranking managers make a decision knowing full well that the effects would be felt across the whole organisation. The decision to manage and address issues as they develop at the divisional, functional, or individual levels is made by the management.

Employees at lower levels of the organisation conduct bottom-up change, which progressively spreads across the whole organisation. The first phase in the action research process diagnosing the organization becomes crucial in assessing the effectiveness of the change when an organisation seeks to implement bottom-up change. To get their feedback and reduce resistance, managers include workers at all levels in the change process. Bottom-up change makes it easier for workers to unfreeze and enhances the possibility that they will remember the new behaviours they pick up throughout the change process by lowering the uncertainty they feel. Top-down change happens quickly, putting staff under pressure to stay up and fix problems as they come up.

Because it encounters less opposition, bottom-up change is often simpler to accomplish than top-down change. The majority of well-run organisations pay attention to change, are used to change, and change often. These organisations have the time to participate in bottom-up transformation. Top-down restructuring is required in poorly managed organisations, those that seldom change, or those that put off change until it is too late. All of the big automakers and airlines experienced this in the 2000s; in order to avoid bankruptcy, they moved to reorganise, downsize, and find methods to reduce expenses in order to compete with low-cost rivals.

Because of their managers' ongoing openness to the need for change and constant use of action research to identify new and better methods to function and boost performance, organisations that change the most are able to take advantage of the benefits of evolutionary bottom-up transformation. Rarely changing organisations are potential candidates for revolutionary top-down transformation. Because their managers don't consistently employ action research, they try change so late that their only alternative to turn around their organisation is some significant re-structuring or shrinking [3], [4].

Considering the Action

The evaluation of the action that has been done and the evaluation of the extent to which the modifications have achieved the intended goals are the fourth and final steps in the action research process. Based on this assessment, management determines whether additional change is required to get the organisation closer to its ideal future state or if more work needs to be done to refreeze it in its current condition.

Creating metrics or criteria that enable managers to judge whether the organisation has met its goals is the best method to assess the transformation process. Managers have enough of information to evaluate the results of the change process when criteria established at the outset of action research are used consistently throughout time. To determine if efficiency has improved, they might compare expenses between before and after the improvement. To find out whether employees are happy with their occupations, they may conduct surveys of them. Customers

might be surveyed to see if they are happy with the organization's product quality. Managers at Citibank meticulously polled clients as part of their TQM initiative to ensure, for instance, that customer service had improved. They used the data to assess the efficacy of their reform endeavour.

Because the consequences of change may take time to manifest, evaluating their impact may be particularly challenging. The method of action research we have been discussing might take many years to complete. Restructuring and reengineering often take months or years, and once comprehensive quality management is in motion, it never stops. As a result, managers want trustworthy and meaningful metrics for performance assessment. All too frequently, underperforming organisations fail to create and regularly use performance evaluation criteria. When shareholders, parents, or state inspectors uncover high rates of postsurgical infection in hospitals, or when parents complain about their children's bad grades, such organisations are often under external pressure to reform.

Creating Institutions for Action Research

In today's rapidly changing world, the need to manage change is so crucial that organisations must institutionalise action research, or make it a compulsory habit or a standard embraced by every employee. Both at the top of the organisation and on the shop floor, action research has to be institutionalised. Members at all levels of the organisation must be rewarded for taking part in successful change initiatives since change is so challenging and needs so much thinking and work to achieve. Stock options and incentive programmes that are based on organisational success are available as rewards for top managers. Employee stock ownership plans, performance incentives, and remuneration that is based on individual or group success are all options for rewarding lower-level employees. Indeed, real, performance-based incentives encourage individuals to adopt and maintain desirable behaviours, which help refreeze an organisation in its new state.

Organisational Growth

To make their organisation more adaptable, managers can use a variety of organisational development (OD) techniques and methods. According to organisational theorist Warren Bennis, OD is a "complex educational strategy intended to change organisations' beliefs, attitudes, values, and structure so that they can better adapt to new technologies, markets, and challenges as well as the dizzying rate of change itself." Managers must continuously unfreeze, alter, and refreeze managers' and employees' attitudes and behaviours as action research advances. To assist managers in doing this, several OD strategies have been created. We begin by looking at OD strategies to assist managers in defrosting organisations and overcoming change resistance. The next section examines OD strategies that may be used by managers to refreeze an organisation in its new, intended state.

OD Strategies for Handling Change Resistance

Change is met with resistance at every level of an organisation. It shows itself as intra-organizational politics, power battles between people and organisations, varying opinions on the need of change, etc. The following strategies may be used by managers to lessen resistance to change: education and communication, empowerment and involvement, facilitation, bargaining and negotiation, manipulation, and coercion [5], [6].

Education and Communication

Uncertainty about the future is one of the most significant barriers to change. Internal and external change agents may tell organisational members about the change and how it will effect them via education and communication. In addition to formal group meetings, memos, one-on-one meetings, and increasingly technological channels like email and videoconferencing, change agents may convey this information to others. For instance, Walmart boasts a cutting-edge videoconferencing system. To inform both managers and employees of the upcoming changes, corporate headquarters managers create presentations that are broadcast to all Walmart locations.

Even when factory closures or significant layoffs are anticipated, it is still essential to let workers know what will happen to them while downsizing takes place from both an ethical and change perspective. Many businesses worry that irate workers would attempt to harm the company as it shuts or obstruct the closing procedure. However, employees often cooperate up to the very end. Organisations are expanding communication with the workforce to win over employees' cooperation and overcome their reluctance to change as they become more and more aware of the advantages afforded by gradual change.

Participation and Empowerment

One way to lessen resistance to change is by inviting employees to take part in the change process. Participation enhances empowerment, expands employee participation in decision-making, and provides employees more freedom to alter work processes to boost organisational performance. Organisations are also giving up their records to educate employees about the financial health of the company in an effort to encourage employees to contribute their skills and abilities. Companies like Southwest Airlines and GE utilise ESOPs to encourage and reward staff members as well as to tap into their willingness to embrace change. The majority of TQM programmes place a strong emphasis on empowerment and participation.

When employees are given more authority, they often make numerous decisions and shoulder a lot of the responsibility that used to fall under the purview of middle management. Because of this, a significant shift that has occurred in many organisations is a decrease in the number of middle managers. What happens when many of the middle managers' prior tasks are taken on by empowered work groups? In essence, they act as sponsors, instructors, coaches, and facilitators for the empowered groups. In a way, they are what some refer to as the "new non-manager managers."

Cindy Ransom, a middle manager in charge of a Clorox manufacturing facility in Fairfield, California, which employs around 100 people, is one of these new non-manager managers. She is 37 years old. Ransom made the decision to empower her subordinates by asking them to reorganise the whole facility in an effort to boost plant performance.

Teams of hourly-paid employees were suddenly establishing five customer-focused business units inside the factory, creating training programmes, and crafting regulations governing absenteeism. Ransom purposely avoided interfering with the employees' tasks; instead, she mostly provided answers to their inquiries. Middle managers may have historically instructed staff members on what to do, how to do it, and when to do it, but leaders of empowered work groups believe it is their duty to pose the proper queries and let their teams come to their own conclusions.

Ransom's facility had the greatest increase in performance in its division two years later. What did Ransom do when employees began to assume many of her previous roles and responsibilities? She concentrated on tasks she had not given much thought to in the past: determining and meeting the demands of Clorox's clients and suppliers. Overall, the nature of middle managers' employment has altered as a result of empowerment. They now have additional obligations in addition to some of their previous ones [7], [8].

Facilitation

Because established task and job connections shift as a result of change, both managers and employees find it stressful. Organisations can assist their members in managing stress in a variety of ways, including by offering training to help them learn new tasks, time off from work to recover from the stressful effects of change, or even senior members sabbaticals to allow them to recover and plan their future work activities. For instance, businesses like Google and Apple allow their most skilled engineers time off from regular work assignments to brainstorm new product ideas.

Many businesses work with psychologists and consultants who focus on assisting employees in managing change-related stress. Many businesses hire consultants during organisational restructuring, a time when mass layoffs are frequent, to assist the affected employees in coping with the stress and uncertainty of losing their jobs and having to look for new ones. Some businesses engage consultants to assist their CEOs in managing the duties associated with their positions, including firing people, which is particularly distressing for CEOs who are aware of the effects layoffs have on employees and their families.

Negotiation and bargaining are crucial strategies for managing conflict in the workplace. Since conflict is a natural result of change, bargaining is a crucial strategy for getting beyond opposition to change. Managers may anticipate the consequences of change on interpersonal and intergroup interactions by employing action research. Managers may utilise this information to assist various individuals and groups in negotiating their future responsibilities and duties and in reaching agreements that will enable them to accept change. In order for the organisation as a whole to build a shared understanding of why change is essential and why it is taking place, negotiation also aids individuals and groups in understanding how change will effect others.

Senior managers must interfere in the bargaining process and manipulate the situation when it is obvious that change would benefit certain people and groups at the cost of others in order to achieve the agreement, or at least the acceptance, of diverse people or groups to the outcomes of the change process.

Powerful managers have a strong tendency to oppose change, as we describe in Chapter 14, and internal conflict inside huge organisations may stymie or even stop the change process if it is not properly controlled. As a means of overcoming the objections of influential functions and divisions that feel threatened by the changes taking place, politics and political strategies like co-optation and forging coalitions become crucial.

The best method to overcome opposition to change is to compel the important players into embracing the change and to threaten them with grave repercussions if they decide to oppose it. If they oppose or pose a danger to the change process, employees and managers at all levels may be threatened with reassignment, demotion, or even termination. Top managers make an effort to

overcome opposition to change by using the legal authority at their disposal. The quickness with which change happens might be a benefit of compulsion. The disadvantage is that it may cause individuals to become irate and disillusioned and may make the process of refreezing difficult.

DISCUSSION

Managers shouldn't undervalue the degree of change resistance. Organisation's function because they eliminate uncertainty by establishing dependable procedures and standards that employees can follow to do their duties. Rule and routine predictability is destroyed by change, which may also mean the demise of the status and prestige that come with specific positions. People naturally resist change, which is why organizations—which are really a collection of people—are so challenging to transform.

OD Methods to Encourage Change

Numerous OD strategies aim to alter and refreeze things. These methods may be used at the level of the person, the group, and the organisation. The nature of change affects the technique selection. In general, an organisation is more likely to apply OD practises at all three levels the more revolutionary a change is. The OD practises of counselling, sensitivity training, and process consulting aim to alter people's attitudes and behaviours. Different strategies work well for groups and organisations.

Consulting About the Process, Counselling, And Sensitivity Training

Individuals have unique personalities, and these differences influence how they understand and respond to other people and situations. Even while personality cannot be significantly altered in the near term, individuals may be guided to see that their own interpretations of events are not always accurate or the only options. Another thing that may be done is to aid people in understanding the need to embrace and appreciate human variety as well as learn to tolerate variances in perspective. Organisations may assist people understand the nature of their own and other people's personalities and then utilise that understanding to better their relationships with others by using counselling and sensitivity training.61 For instance, the highly motivated, driven manager has to understand that his or her subordinates are not unreliable, slothful, or suffering from personality disorders just because they choose to leave work at 5 o'clock and prefer undemanding tasks. Instead, they prioritise their free time and their own set of work values. Enhancing organisational members' quality of work life as well as their wellbeing and organisational satisfaction has historically been one of OD's primary goals.

Professionals with training, like as psychologists, provide counselling to organisational members who are thought by their superiors or peers to have specific difficulties understanding the perspectives of others or interacting with certain sorts of organisational members. Through counselling, students gain the skills necessary to better manage their relationships with others inside the company. Counselling that is really rigorous is called sensitivity training.62 Organisational members who are thought to have difficulty interacting with others get together in a group under the guidance of a qualified facilitator to explore their shared worldview.

Members of the group are urged to be open about how they see themselves and the other members, and through conversation they discover the extent to which others see them in parallel or dissimilar ways. Members of the group may have a better knowledge of how others see them and develop more empathetic communication skills by analysing the causes of perception

disparities. Because one's innermost thoughts and emotions are exposed and discussed in public, taking part in sensitivity training is an extremely emotional experience. Many individuals find this process to be quite unpleasant, thus sending "difficult" members for sensitivity training in the hopes that they may better understand themselves may pose some ethical concerns.

Is a manager excessively demanding, too dictatorial, or too wary of their employees? Does a management purposefully withhold facts from employees to keep them dependent? Such issues are addressed via process consultation. There are similarities between process consulting and both counselling and sensitivity training.63 An experienced facilitator or process consultant collaborates closely with a management while they are at work to assist the manager enhance their communication with other group members. The outside consultant serves as a sounding board for the manager, helping them better understand what is happening in the group environment and identify the interpersonal factors that are affecting how well the group members get along at work [9], [10].

Three of the numerous OD strategies that have been created to assist people in learning to alter their attitudes and behaviour so they may work successfully both as individuals and as organisational members are process consulting, sensitivity training, and counselling. Many major organisations regularly provide an annual budget to their upper level managers to be used for either more traditional knowledge-gaining activities like executive education programmes or individual development initiatives like these.

INTERGROUP TRAINING AND TEAM BUILDING Change agents may use three distinct OD strategies to manage change inside a group or across groups. Similar to process consultation, team building is a popular technique for enhancing relationships within a group, with the exception that everyone in the organisation participates in an effort to enhance how they interact at work.64 For instance, group members talk about the interpersonal interactions on their team and with their manager with a change agent who has been trained as a group facilitator. The purpose of team building is to enhance how members of the group cooperate—to enhance group processes to obtain process benefits and minimise process losses brought on by shirking and free-riding. The goal of the group is not emphasised during team building exercises. When reengineering reorganises how individuals from various departments collaborate, team development is crucial. Team building may assist members of newly formed groups in swiftly establishing task and role linkages so they can collaborate successfully. Building a team makes it easier for members to adopt useful group norms and values and aids in the creation of a unified strategy for problem-solving.

The change agent starts the process of team-building by observing group interactions and determining how the group presently functions. The change agent then has one-on-one conversations with some or all of the group members to understand the issues the group is facing or to simply pinpoint areas where the group process may be strengthened. The change agent shares his or her observations with the group at a future team-building activity, which often takes place somewhere other than the usual workplace, and solicits their opinions on the topics brought up. The goal of this debate is for team members to have a fresh understanding of the factors influencing their behaviour. Small task forces may be formed by group members to debate particular solutions to ongoing issues or to recommend methods to enhance group processes. The objective is to create a foundation from which group members may continuously improve how the group works without input from the change agent. Intergroup training extends team

development by using it to enhance collaboration across several departments or roles. By concentrating on the shared activity and output of a function or division, it seeks to increase organisational performance. Given that total quality management and reengineering place a premium on cross-functional coordination, intergroup training is a crucial OD strategy that businesses may use to bring about change.

Organisational mirroring, an OD technique created to increase the efficacy of interdependent groups, is a well-known kind of intergroup training.65 Imagine that two groups are at odds or just need to get to know one another better, and one of the groups hires a consultant to boost intergroup harmony. The consultant starts by interviewing members of both groups to learn how each group perceives the other and to address any potential issues the groups may be experiencing. The consultant then gathers the groups for a training session and informs them that the objective is to study perceptions and interactions in order to enhance working relationships. The second group then sits and listens as the consultant guides the conversation as one group shares its opinions of what is occurring and its issues with the other group. The consultant then flips the script hence the phrase organisational mirroring—and the group that was paying attention takes turns talking about what it thinks is going on and its issues while the other group is still paying attention.

Following that first dialogue, both groups now respect one other's viewpoint. The members of both groups will then create task teams to talk about how to handle the concerns or issues that have developed. The objective is to create action plans that may serve as a roadmap for future intergroup interactions and a foundation for follow-up. Due to the delicate topics that both groups will be addressing during this training session, the change agent leading it must be proficient in intergroup interactions. This OD method may further erode intergroup interactions if the process is poorly handled.

ENTIRE ORGANISATIONAL ACTIONS To encourage change throughout the organisation, a range of OD strategies may be used at the organisational level. The first is the meeting for organisational conflict.66 All of an organization's management gather for this meeting to discuss whether the organisation is successfully achieving its objectives. Top management starts the process by inviting a free and open debate about the state of the organisation, once again with the assistance of a change agent. The consultant then splits the managers into groups of seven or eight, making sure the groupings are as diverse as possible and don't include any bosses and subordinates. The types of issues the organisation is facing are categorised when the small groups present their results to the larger group. This list of problems is used by top management to establish organisational priorities and organise collective action. Small groups are organised into task forces to take on the problems that have been identified, and each task force updates senior management on its progress. Changes to the organization's operational methods and structure are likely to be the outcome of this approach. Organization-wide OD interventions that highlight the kind of issues an organisation must address give rise to restructuring, reengineering, and overall quality management 10 times.

CONCLUSION

The advantages of continuing and routine organisational review. It looks at how businesses may improve their competitiveness, adjust to shifting market circumstances, and promote a culture of learning and innovation by prioritising evaluation and continuous improvement. In conclusion, an organization's evaluation is a crucial process that helps businesses to review their

performance, pinpoint areas for development, and reach wise conclusions. Organisations may increase their effectiveness, promote performance improvement, and achieve sustainable success in today's changing business climate by performing thorough evaluations and strategically using the results.

REFERENCES:

[1] CEBMa, "Evidence-Based Management Assessment for Organizations," *Cent. Evidence-Based Manag.*, 2021.

[2] G. Y. Ostaev, S. N. Suetin, O. Y. Frantsisko, and E. V. Alexandrova, "Assessment of the effectiveness of the management of agricultural organizations in management accounting," *Rev. Amaz. Investig.*, 2020, doi: 10.34069/ai/2020.28.04.29.

[3] B. Barafort, A. L. Mesquida, and A. Mas, "Integrated risk management process assessment model for IT organizations based on ISO 31000 in an ISO multi-standards context," *Comput. Stand. Interfaces*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.csi.2018.04.010.

[4] X. Wang, V. Sugumaran, H. Zhang, and Z. Xu, "A Capability Assessment Model for Emergency Management Organizations," *Inf. Syst. Front.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10796-017-9786-7.

[5] D. Bellini *et al.*, "A metamodel for competence assessment: Co.S.M.O.© competences software management for organizations," *Eur. J. Train. Dev.*, 2021, doi: 10.1108/EJTD-04-2018-0034.

[6] D. Etkin, "How risk assessments by emergency management organisations fall prey to narrow framing," *Int. J. Emerg. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1504/IJEM.2019.099204.

[7] Z. Heritage and M. Dooris, "Community participation and empowerment in Healthy Cities.," *Health Promot. Int.*, 2009, doi: 10.1093/heapro/dap054.

[8] D. Luisi and K. Hämel, "Community participation and empowerment in primary health care in Emilia-Romagna: A document analysis study," *Health Policy (New. York).*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2020.11.007.

[9] A. S. M. M. Islam, "Women Participation and Empowerment System under Government Institutions and Constitution from 1971-2020," *Sch. Int. J. Multidiscip. Allied Stud. ISSN 2394-336X*, 2021, doi: 10.19085/sijmas070801.

[10] M. Lyons, C. Smuts, and A. Stephens, "Participation, empowerment and sustainability: (How) do the links work?," *Urban Stud.*, 2001, doi: 10.1080/00420980125039.

CHAPTER 8

OVERVIEW OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT

Mr. Anil Gowda
Associate Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: anilbgowda@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

An operational strategy's foundations are introduced via a strategic theme. We want the reader to have a clear understanding of an operations strategy, its function, and how it fits into other corporate or company plans. Competitive strategy is about differentiation, the selection of specific actions to provide a distinctive value-mix to a chosen market. So, the foundation of strategy is choice. Markets, product and service combinations, resources in the broadest sense, and future paths are all choices. Four topics dominate this chapter. First, one must comprehend the essence of strategy. Second, to talk about the many strategic stances and ideas that exist about the essence of strategy. evaluating what is intended by strategic positioning is the next step. The character of an operations strategy should also be taken into account, including its nature and context, history, connections to the larger company strategy, formulation, and implementation to support the organization's competitive goals. We start with a traditional understanding of strategy. An organization's sense of purpose, such as a guiding purpose or policy, a focal statement, or even a philosophy for the accomplishment of a target, may be characterised as corporate strategy. The future paths that must be charted utilising the available resources are those that need to be taken.

KEYWORDS:

Capabilities, Corporate, Management, Strategic, Strategy, Supply.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate strategy research is a relatively new field of study. One of the first academics to make the case that internal corporate events mattered just as much as external external market events was Edith Penrose. Up until this point, economics had mostly concentrated on the latter, giving market demand and supply concerns careful analysis. According to Penrose, the firm's expansion was dependent on how it used its resources, its past, and its evolution through time; the past had a significant impact on future growth. Alfred Chandler, a US strategist, also produced a sizable report on the firm's expansion. In agreement with Penrose, he claimed that comprehending strategy requires a knowledge of how an organisation develops through time.

Term clarification at this time. It is critical to understand that corporate and business levels of strategy differ for many businesses. We have a corporate, long-term strategy at the highest level. This aims to identify the markets and industry sectors where British Sugar will compete. At this level, decisions about investments, vertical integration, diversification via acquisitions, new business ventures, divestments, and resource allocation to business units will all be decided. British Sugar's business strategy is focused on how each plant or business unit competes in a certain market or sector to get a competitive edge. The work of domain navigation, as opposed to domain selection in the case of the corporate strategy, is described by this competitive strategy.

The so-called functional strategy and subsequent level of strategy are focused on supporting the execution of the corporate and business strategies. The different ideas are then implemented as short-term approaches. The organization's principal objectives, goals, and purposes, as well as the basic strategies, policies, and philosophies for attaining them, are laid forth in a form that defines the kind of business the company is now involved in or aspires to be, as well as the type of entity it is or would want to be. Three perspectives on strategy at the corporate or company level might be used: The creation of a strategy; Implementation of a strategy; control over a strategy. Accordingly, any organisation must manage plans in three key areas, according to the third perspective: Internal resources of the company; The outside environment in which the business operates; The business's capacity to improve what it does [1], [2].

Internal Assets

Every company must gather and use its essential resources. In this sense, resources go beyond the conventional definitions of land, labour, and money to encompass things like human resources, information, and knowledge. According to the traditional perspective, strategies aim to maximise the use of these resources and to highlight how their unique talents work together to help the organisation thrive.

Corporate Environment

Strategies can't be created separately. The environment in which they work and its impacts must be taken into consideration. The environment may be defined as everything that is outside of the company, yet it is often quite challenging to thoroughly investigate and evaluate all the different factors. Organisations will make an effort to create strategies that are best matched to their internal capabilities and the external environment, taking into account both their strengths and shortcomings in that context. Many observers, like Mintzberg, contend that the environment is so unpredictable that long-term planning may not be viable. It could be necessary to develop strategies by studying and gradually experimenting. According to this perspective, it may be impossible to forecast how value will be created due to the environment's quick changes. An understanding of the environment and the capacity to think beyond the confines of the company are crucial for the contemporary organisation. Here, planning's function is to make an effort to track and evaluate environmental circumstances. The division of environmental elements into 'macro' and 'micro' is the most often utilised framework.

The bigger, more general surroundings. include components including economic, social, technical, demographic, governmental, and environmental variables. The smaller, more intimate setting. The market or competitive environment, which will include suppliers, rivals, customers, etc., is described in this way. We provide a framework for environmental factor analysis in chapter 7 on page 136 that may be utilised to direct this thinking. It should be clear that these environmental factors may have a significant impact on a company's operations. Furthermore, as we have previously seen, an organisation often depends substantially on its external environment for crucial resource inputs. Finding a "fit" between an organization's internal resources and core skills and the possibilities and risks presented by its external environment is the strategist's environmental problem [3], [4].

Adding worth

Value added, systems theory, and complexity theory are three frameworks that may be used to assess an organization's operations. These are related on a strategic level. According to the systemic approach, the organisation wants negative entropy as an open system. Any complex open system must import and store more energy from its environment than it expends in order to prevent the consequences of the second law of thermodynamics and a shift towards disorganisation or death. This energy manifests as resources for the organisation. From a strategic standpoint, it's crucial to make sure that such resources are given value in order to survive over the long run. In order to give the product to clients in the formats they demand, this entails employing operational operations to create value. The goal of the corporate and business strategy is to establish the circumstances necessary for the company to generate this essential extra value and transfer it to the consumer, both now and in the future. Against the backdrop of the environment and its influence, the strategic challenge is to forge a unique path forward utilising the core strengths and resources at hand. The company wants a durable competitive advantage via these unique competencies.

Advanced Viewpoints

Divergent schools of thought exist in the study of strategy, much as in other academic fields. These may be followed along with how the topic has changed over time. must be aware that there are now two main points of contention among strategic commentators: process vs design and market-driven versus resource-based perspectives.

Process versus design

Design

The 'design or classical school' is founded on the idea that top managers can evaluate an organization's resources, environment, and business objectively in order to create strategies that would maximise their chances of success in the face of uncertainty. Additionally, they may put this plan into action to get the results they want. Taking into consideration all potential needs, formulation and execution proceed in a logical and sensible manner.

Process

The "design school's" detractors will claim that this is mainly made up. The procedure is more scattered and less structured. It is harder to distinguish between formulation and execution. One of the main figures in the process movement is Mintzberg. He believes that even while top management may have an intended plan in mind, its evolution is far from logical since it will ultimately take the form of discussion, bargaining, and compromise between people and groups. The actual difference, however, is that the realised approach will only be between 10% and 30% of what was originally anticipated. In other words, a strategy will develop gradually as decision-making processes adjust to changing environmental factors.

DISCUSSION

The process school focuses on the processes and reality of how a strategy could develop. The design school, on the other hand, is more normative in its search for variables that may provide a durable competitive edge. Here, there is still room for one more argument. The concept that strategic planning and thinking are the responsibilities of managers at the upper echelons of the firm is reflected in both design and process schools. However, we are aware that the organisation

has to adapt in light of everyday events and learn from them. Henry Mintzberg contrasts this with the method of logical planning by using the phrase creating strategy:

Imagine someone strategizing. A top manager, or group of them, sitting in an office formulating courses of action that everyone else will follow on schedule, is probably what comes to mind when you think of organised thinking. The overarching theme is reason: rational control, which entails comprehensive competition and market study, as well as business strengths and weaknesses, the combination of which results in clear, explicit, full-fledged plans.

Imagine someone now developing a plan. As distinct from planning as craft is from mechanisation, the resulting picture is most likely completely different. Traditional skill, commitment, and precision through the mastery of detail are all associated with craft. Involvement, a sense of closeness and harmony with the materials at hand, established through extensive experience and dedication, comes to mind more than thinking and reason. Formulation and execution combine to form a dynamic learning process where original ideas are generated.

Resource-based vs market-driven perspectives

Market-driven

The emphasis of strategic thinking changed to opportunities based on the environment throughout the 1970s and 1980s. Michael Porter is the main supporter of this strategy. The five forces model and the notion of generic strategies were both proposed by him in his book Competitive Strategy, which was written from the standpoint of industrial economics. According to the argument, where an organisation wishes to compete in terms of the type of competition, rather than the industry it operates in, is what matters. This competition is made possible by the nature of the rivalry between current businesses, the danger of new competitors and competing goods, and the purchasing and supply chain's negotiating power. Three approaches to navigating these dynamics and securing a durable competitive advantage are provided by the generic strategy: overall cost leadership, distinctiveness, and emphasis. The notion holds that in order to compete in the market, any company must use one of three tactics. A company that participates in many and fails to accomplish any of them is in genuine danger since it is caught in the between.

Resource-based

The market-based approach has been largely replaced by the resource-based view, which places a far greater focus on the organisational resources as a whole. Despite its current prominence, research on diversification is where the idea of resources and capabilities first emerged. Wernerfelt, for instance, expanded on Penrose's economic ideas and saw businesses more as a collection of resources than as holders of competitive advantage when formulating strategies. Prahalad and Hamel expanded on the idea of different competences, which Selznick and Ansoff had first raised. Their study refers to core competencies as being important resources, abilities, and technology. The resource-based paradigm, which emphasises the significance of resources in strategy development, has been applied to the fields of strategic analysis and strategic choice since the 1980s.

A resource is a fundamental component that a business manages to optimally organise its operational activities. Examples include a person, a machine, a raw material, knowledge, a brand image, and a patent. There is often a difference between tangible and intangible resources. The

firm's capacity for innovation, its reputation, and its network of internal and external ties are three of Kay's top resources. A resource, or collection of resources, may be exploited to gain an edge over rivals. The ease with which the resources may be duplicated or replaced determines how long this advantage can be maintained. Combining resources may result in the development of competences and capabilities.

Competencies describe the core information that the company has. They span the company and its organisational boundaries in order to be unique since they are not restricted to functional areas. Concentrating on essential abilities may provide you a competitive edge. While an organization's capabilities show how well it can put its competences to work. In terms of management ability to continually increase the effectiveness of the organisation, capabilities relate to the dynamic routines that the organisation has developed. Capabilities are the company's "collective tacit knowledge of how to initiate or respond to change that is built into an organization's processes, procedures, and systems, and that is embedded in models of behaviour, informal networks, and personal relationships," according to Collis. However, it's important to remember that resources, capabilities, and competences are dynamic and ever-evolving [5].

The emphasis of the resource-based approach is on the organization's unique assets, competencies, and skills rather than the industry-wide strategies that apply to all businesses. Understanding the sector is crucial, but in that situation, businesses should look for their own unique solutions. Utilising a certain organization's pertinent resources results in a sustainable advantage. The internal resources produced by a certain organisation that cannot be acquired outside are highlighted by the resource-based perspective. Managers must develop them in particular ways since organisations are collections of resources, talents, and competences. To build an organization's distinctive difference, these factors may be handled and blended. Organisations must define opportunities in terms of current internal capabilities and concentrate on the distinctive skills since they cannot simply be rearranged to take advantage of market possibilities.

Before we move on from the topic of business and corporate strategy, we need to take a moment to consider how a firm positions itself within its market and industry. In order to match its key skills with market prospects, this positioning is essential. Additionally, it offers a crucial tool for linking the many operational techniques the company could use.

Competition's Foundations

The statements expressed in the opening are still true: strategy is about choices, regardless of whether the reader subscribes to the resource-based or market-driven approach, or a combination of the two. A competitive strategy emphasises differentiation and the selection of actions that will provide a special blend of value. "The essence of strategy is in the activities," according to Michael Porter, "choosing to perform activities differently or to perform different activities than rivals." Strategic positioning and the use of certain competences, capabilities, and procedures both need decision-making.

Conceptual positioning for a strategy

According to Porter, while making these decisions, businesses will take certain strategic stances that come from three different sources:

Placement based on variety. based on employing a unique set of activities to produce a portion of a given industry's goods or services. For instance, specialised eateries serving organic vegetarian and vegan food or Asian cuisine like wok stir-fry cooking; automotive support services like Kwik-fit that focus on tyre, exhaust, and oil changes rather than a full garage service; specialised auto insurance companies serving niche driver groups; and third party logistics services that cater to chilled and frozen foods supplied to large grocery retailers. These are specialised rivals that only address a portion of the demands of a broad range of clients served by a value chain.

placement depending on needs. In this category, the business will make an effort to meet every need of a certain clientele. The firm's actions are designed to meet all of the wants of the consumers, which might include varying pricing requirements, product features, information, support services, or even the same client group having various needs at different times. The key point is that a company operating under this orientation must build up distinct sets of operations to address the diverse demands since the needs of all groups cannot be met by the same value system. Examples are businesses that have positioned themselves to meet all of their clients' demands. Marks & Spencer, Arcadia Group, J.C. Penney, or Nordstrom for apparel; Tesco Stores, J. Sainsbury's, or Wal-Mart Stores for groceries; and Ikea for home furnishings.

location depending on access. the division of clients into groups that may be reached in various ways. The configuration of activities to reach them is varied, even if their demands could be comparable to those of other consumer groups. For example, distinct sets of activities required to effectively contact consumers. Unknowingly, the little grocery shop or post office will use this location. Its utilisation of local product and accessibility allow a configuration of activities centred on accessibility for a local population, despite its inability to compete on price [6], [7].

Fundamental skills, talents, and procedures

Prior to deciding on a strategic posture, judgements on competences, capabilities, and procedures must be made. By shifting the emphasis from function to process, the significant role that operational activities play throughout the company may be explored. Three definitions of operational management were provided in chapter 1. The third, a wide viewpoint, read as follows: Activities that Michael Porter refers to as being the core of strategy will be involved in the management of these internal and external systems and resources. These operations affect both the company and its supply chain, and some of them are also referred to as unique core competencies or processes.

Based on these distinctive capabilities, a long-term competitive advantage may be developed. They may be founded on expertise, knowledge, experience, invention, and the application of particular information. Competitors find it challenging to mimic the actions and procedures using these abilities. Products and technologies only provide a temporary tactical advantage due to their short lifespans and ease of duplication or improvement. These essential competences, capabilities, and procedures transcend organisational boundaries. For instance, supply chain management, order fulfilment, and product development all depend on coordinated contributions from all divisions of the company and other businesses in its operating environment. The significance of these procedures and key competences must be acknowledged on a strategic level. There are three methods to do this:

They must constantly improve the value they provide to the client. In other words, identifying the tasks that the client values most and striving to enhance the skills associated with them.

Analysis of internal activities and capabilities in order to transform them into products and services with a market value. using innovation and creativity to transform internal knowledge into marketable value for customers. The renowned SABRE reservation system was first created by American Airlines for internal usage. But as soon as it was turned into a thing that could be sold to other airlines, it was obvious that it had a spin-off value. Development of new and modified items will result from the imaginative translation of activities and capabilities into commodities and services.

creation of novel tasks and skills that may be used to break into new markets. A new financial solution for customers has been created using the financial management experience of numerous top supermarket stores in the UK. They currently provide account administration services, loans, and mortgages as offerings. This is a total departure from typical business, yet it was effective because it was based on knowledge of already-established abilities and procedures. We may now use strategic positioning in practise while keeping in mind the significance of core strengths [8], [9].

Practised strategic positioning

However, the location stated above has applications in real life. Effective positioning outlines the company's strategy for competing in the market based on its organisational strengths and weaknesses, the demands of its target market, and the position of the competitors. The following competing alternatives or grounds must be chosen from in reality.

Cost

The constant reduction of all waste across the whole cost structure. This is not a short-term strategy; to attain competitive advantage based on being the lowest cost player, it takes a long-term and intense commitment to the pursuit of operational cost reduction. This does not, however, imply reducing investment. Modernised facilities, equipment, programmes, and systems, as well as skill development and training, are all necessary competencies.

Quality

Eliminating flaws and meeting requirements are just two aspects of quality. Quality should be seen as a chance to find novel methods to go above and beyond what the customer expects. This obviously depends much on comprehending customer demands and also recognising the superior accomplishments of the opposition.

Flexibility

Flexibility may be categorised in a variety of ways, as was covered in chapter 1. The capacity to quickly introduce new goods, adjust old ones, cater to particular value demands, and adapt a broad variety of products to individual preferences is increasingly recognised as a strategic weapon. This method's success depends on technology, having the appropriate knowledge and training, and combining operational plans and activities in the proper way.

Response

Response time, speed, and time-based competitiveness are closely tied to flexibility and are prevalent in many industries. If the customer has wait an excessive amount of time for delivery, the ability to provide customised products and services offers little to no competitive advantage.

A lot of organisations are now struggling with the issue of e-fulfillment, as can be seen with various online buying options. The ability to quickly enter new markets, adapt, and maintain strong connections across operational operations are all crucial. At all levels, particularly those who interact with customers, decision-making must be promoted. Whole supply networks are involved in coordinated alliances and partnerships for speed of reaction.

Whatever the reason for positioning, the firm's reaction calls for a specific or unique set of operations. The development of a distinct and useful position via the use of a variety of actions is referred to as strategy. The key to strategic positioning is to choose actions that set you apart from competitors. Companies could simply switch between them and success would be determined by operational effectiveness if the same set of operations were the best for producing all variations, meeting all demands, and reaching all customers. Now that the business plan has been established, we may investigate the function of an operations strategy.

An Operations Strategy's Purpose

It is now obvious that an operations strategy, like any other strategy, is based on a set of decisions. The choices or decisions that need to be made have less to do with specific daily tactical actions and more to do with the organization's overall transformation system. The decisions also reflect changes in the firm's surrounding competitive environment, as we will see in a minute. The pattern of choices supports both the company's core competencies and competitiveness as well as how it employs resources and technology to create sustainable competitive advantage in the future. The kinds of decisions required for an operational plan will differ from company to company and heavily rely on the specific sector or industry. To briefly describe the nature of such choices. As follows:

1. Long- and medium-term. In other words, we will think about demand and how to meet its needs during the next one to five years.
2. Operation-level analysis. Considering the whole operations cycle, whether at the functional or organisational level, but also taking into account the supplier networks.
3. Conceptualising at the level of operations. For instance, what goods, services, and standards of quality are needed in the future? In this case, daily operations including the provision of the goods and services would be considered operational management activities.
4. Strategic as opposed to tactical. Should we contract out any work? For strategic inputs, which major providers should be chosen? Should 'preferred' status be given to certain suppliers and strong ties be formed with them? Tactical operations management will focus on enhancing supply chains or accelerating orders. In contrast to operational management, the nature of an operations strategy is described in the following three case vignettes.

What constitutes an operations plan and what does not have been rather murky up to this point. Clarification is required, thus to achieve this we will provide a structure or genealogy as a general framework for the next chapters of the book. A field of study known as systematics—the science of population distinction and classification—can be used to accomplish this. As a taxonomy, the following genealogy is suggested.

With a separate species at the bottom of the table, we can start. These are the fundamental components of an operations plan, albeit they may also be included in a tactical, operational

management strategy. When used in actual construction, the building blocks will have certain distinguishable components. Then, several components come together to create a certain operational or tactical strategy. The foundational elements of a separate operations plan are then decided upon in a medium- to long-term strategic manner. The pattern of organisation or shape that is a qualitative collection of relations is thus apparent and creates a certain identifiable sort of operations strategy. As a result, the class is a distinguishable kind of operational strategy for a certain kind of operational circumstance.

This organisational pattern is then physically manifested in the building, making a distinct, individual, and quantifiable operations plan obvious or really being used by a firm. these differences. Additionally, we may find certain subclasses where the method is considerably more limited, such as when it is applied to a linear chain rather than a network or simply a portion of a chain. The class therefore belongs to a higher division or phylum that may be seen as a generic model of an operations strategy; one that lacks any specific type that can be identified but nevertheless has connected building pieces. The generic operations strategy is a part of a wider kingdom of business strategies, to sum up.

A practical explanation of operations strategy

Despite the good work of Nigel Slack et al., in our view, the definition of an operations strategy is still rather random. A further contribution is made towards achieving this. There are two ways to conceptualise an operations strategy:

The main tactical actions, technology, resources, and core capabilities required in the function or chain of functions that produce and provide product and service combinations and the value sought by a client must be strategically managed. The first definition offers a limited viewpoint, similar to our definitions of operations management in chapter 1. We are using functional thinking in this situation. For instance, different activities, a manufacturing function that offers products or services, and other auxiliary tasks that are performed internally and externally.

The first definition gives rise to a second. It is far more comprehensive and accounts for businesses, especially in the service industry, that may not have a clear functional strategy. It includes all the actions taken both within the company and across the larger supply chain that benefit the client. To avoid adopting a strategy that tries to encompass the strategic management of all resources and activities required to generate products and services, we must be cautious. As a result, we would be vulnerable to criticism from fields like marketing, which would have an equally significant impact.

Important choices must be made about the strategic management of core competences, capabilities, and processes, as well as technologies, resources, and critical tactical operations, all of which are essential components of any supply network and are required to develop and deliver product and service combinations that satisfy customer demands. In order to create one or more distinctive, organizational-specific strategic architectures, these diverse building components must be combined in the strategic role. According to this definition, the strategic function entails combining specific operational building elements into a special operations plan. Due to the format and emphasis of this blending exercise, each method will change. Also to be mentioned is the possibility of a company having many strategies. This second definition builds on the first since it also addresses the narrower perspective. It covers all three of the previous definitions of operational management; it embraces the scenario in which the operational activities are seen as

the firm's unique expertise; and it supports the execution of the business plan. It is a definition that can readily be applied to all organisations creating product and service combinations, regardless of the mix, and it clearly emphasises the strategic relevance of activities. The operations strategy relates long- and short-term operations choices to corporate strategy.Future talks of operations strategy in the book will use this second definition, which incorporates the perspectives of strategy that are driven by the market and strategy that are based on resources.

Components of A Business Plan

According to the second definition, an operations strategy is made up of a series of choices. Concerning generic elements or aspects, judgements are taken for the medium and long terms. Core competences, capabilities, and procedures are a few of these, along with resources, technologies, and certain important tactical actions that are essential to advancing a specific strategy or posture.

Operational Strategy's Function

Now let's look at how the operations plan compares to business strategies and how it might help create a competitive advantage. Porter views activities as the fundamental building blocks of competitive advantage. He contends that an organisation will take on a certain strategic posture and compete on the basis of flexibility, affordability, quality, speed, diversity, and variety, among other factors. In this context, "performing different activities from rivals or performing similar activities in different ways" is the definition of strategic positioning.

Operational effectiveness, in contrast, is defined by Porter as "performing similar activities better than rivals." Operational performance unquestionably relies on the appropriate operations strategy, that distinctive design or mix that, as previously defined, integrates numerous general building parts. In order to complement a firm's overall strategy and serve as its unique competency, our primary assumption is that an operations strategy aims to conduct core operational tasks better than competitors.

Businesses may rapidly copy individual tasks, but not how they are put together in an architecture to create a distinctive operations strategy. One may also draw the conclusion that if operational success were to be attained by the use of an operations strategy, the latter would comprise both the execution of distinct activities better than competitors and the performance of comparable activities.

In conclusion, the existing hierarchy of strategic orientations may be combined as follows:

1. Generic strategies, which are based on reduced costs, premium prices via differentiation, or combinations of the two on a large scale or with a narrow emphasis;
2. Strategic positioning is putting the company in a position to compete on factors like adaptability, responsiveness, affordability, speed, variety, etc.;
3. Operations strategies are a special kind of resource-based fusion of core competences, capabilities, and processes, resources, technology, and certain important tactical actions that are essential to support a specific strategy or positioning. To wrap things off, let's look at how operational management might use the different tactics to meet strategic goals:

4. Operational management is the arrangement of operational management tasks and administration strategies, both internally and externally, to provide a product or service in both for-profit and nonprofit organisations.

It appears obvious that an operations strategy plays a significant role and makes a significant contribution in obtaining competitive advantage. The specific sorts and makeup of operational strategies that may be used are still up for debate. The two chapters that follow will make an effort to clarify these points.

CONCLUSION

The critical analysis has been expanded from operational management to operations strategy. It has been required to have an understanding of the strategic context in order to achieve this. From the perspectives of internal resources, the impact of the environment, and the need to generate value, the essence of a company or corporate strategy has been analysed. Some strongly contested schools of thought are involved in the conception, implementation, and administration of strategies. The key points of contention were the necessity for market-driven and/or resource-based strategies, as well as whether strategy can be defined or whether it is a process. In terms of conceptual positioning, the grounds for competitiveness were also assessed, and the significance of certain activities, core skills, and processes was emphasised. An analysis of an operations strategy's contribution and a possible genealogy were made. The plan was outlined and definitions created. The hierarchy of strategic thinking that can be found in every firm served as a summary of strategic viewpoints.

REFERENCES:

[1]   E. Duçi, "The relationship between management accounting, strategic management accounting and strategic cost management," *Acad. J. Interdiscip. Stud.*, 2021, doi: 10.36941/ajis-2021-0146.

[2]   S. Suriyankietkaew and P. Petison, "A retrospective and foresight: Bibliometric review of international research on strategic management for sustainability, 1991-2019," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. 2020. doi: 10.3390/SU12010091.

[3]   A. Bereznoy, "Corporate foresight in multinational business strategies," *Foresight STI Gov.*, 2017, doi: 10.17323/2500-2597.2017.1.9.22.

[4]   C. Tseng and C.-C. Tseng, "Corporate entrepreneurship as a strategic approach for internal innovation performance," *Asia Pacific J. Innov. Entrep.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/apjie-08-2018-0047.

[5]   B. Arbab Kash, A. Spaulding, L. D. Gamm, and C. E. Johnson, "Healthcare strategic management and the resource based view," *J. Strateg. Manag.*, 2014, doi: 10.1108/JSMA-06-2013-0040.

[6]   S. Gong, G. Sheng, P. Peverelli, and J. Dai, "Green branding effects on consumer response: examining a brand stereotype-based mechanism," *J. Prod. Brand Manag.*, 2020, doi:10.1108/JPBM-03-2020-2785.

[7] Ö. Kethüda, "Positioning strategies and rankings in the HE: congruence and contradictions," *J. Mark. High. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/08841241.2021.1892899.

[8] S. S. Hassan and S. Craft, "Examining world market segmentation and brand positioning strategies," *J. Consum. Mark.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 344–356, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.1108/07363761211247460.

[9] S. Shahid, "Does the Choice of Brand Positioning Strategy Matter in the Creation of Brand Love? The Mediating Role of Brand Positioning Effectiveness," *Lahore J. Bus.*, 2019, doi: 10.35536/ljb.2019.v8.i1.a6.

CHAPTER 9

DEVELOPMENT OF AN OPERATIONS STRATEGY TAXONOMY

Ms. Pramoda Hegde
Assistant Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: pramodah@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

The chapter's major goal is to provide a taxonomy of strategies that is based on a strong conceptual and empirical foundation shared by the social sciences. The need to encourage more participation from empirical, field-based research was highlighted in a recent assessment of operations strategy research. The author also concluded that two similar themes process and content have been emphasised in operations strategy.

The development of strategic types, however, is still being held back from both a theoretical and empirical perspective, claim Miller and Roth. This chapter aims to address this gap and present some precise suggestions for the various operations strategy options, as well as some useful implementations for the creation and use of such strategies. The research issues that are first posed in this chapter are especially pertinent to the study of operations strategy. After that, a taxonomy is created utilising a specific social science framework. Last but not least, we present a model that emphasises the many links and directs the reader's comprehension in this direction in order to maintain the subject's holistic aspect.

KEYWORDS:

Development, Operation Strategy, Strategy, Supply, Taxonomy.

INTRODUCTION

The creation of an operations strategy taxonomy is a vital first step in improving organisational knowledge and management of operations strategies. With a focus on their importance, methods, and effects on organisational performance, this review article attempts to provide an overview of the creation and usage of operations strategy taxonomies. To gain a competitive edge, operations strategy requires coordinating operational choices and actions with the broader company strategy. A taxonomy offers a structured method for classifying operations strategies according to their traits, goals, and primary motivators. This essay examines the significance of creating an operations strategy taxonomy as a way to improve organisations' clarity, consistency, and communication.

We now provide five research questions that might guide our thought across. These inquiries are crucial and connected to a cycle of experience learning. begin with the individual's experience of an event or stimulus, which they then reflect on in an effort to make sense of. This might result in the creation of theories as to how or why something occurred in the manner that it did. This process is comparable to induction, in which we develop hypotheses based on our observations, reflections, and experiences. Additional observations may then be used to support these beliefs. As an alternative, we might begin with guiding principles, impersonal laws, or theories that can be applied to fresh occurrences or stimuli. In essence, we are using a deductive method where we

extrapolate from general rules to specific examples. Whether the theory or rule was developed independently or as a result of earlier experience and reflection, its application in new contexts will result in fresh experiences that will prompt reflection, observation, and eventually new rules. Two things should be noted: first, different people will emphasise different parts of the learning cycle depending on the predilections they have acquired through socialisation; and second, we frequently combine these approaches in research to create what is known as the "hypothetico-deductive model" of scientific explanation, which marries empirical conception with the certainty of deductive logic. The reader may want to think about Mintzberg for further information [1], [2].

We must also keep in mind that, despite the long history of operations management and operations research, the contribution of an operations strategy, as well as its composition and components, is a relatively recent phenomenon. Consequently, we must create leading research questions to guide our thinking.

An Organisation of Operational Strategies

The interaction between the various operations strategy types being used, their makeup, and how they are executed inside the organisation will be highlighted by the tight relationship. The visual user interface. It is first necessary to consider the relevant theoretical viewpoints before attempting to establish a taxonomy of operations strategies. The pattern of organisation and structure are two independent conceptual components of classification. A method that has been used in many fields is what we are doing [3], [4].

Format of the organisation

This is the study of form or pattern in order to depict a set of components in a configuration. The relationships between certain components are given below in sets. These relationships define the system as being a member of a certain class. Any system's organisational structure, which is formed by the interactions between its parts, defines the key traits of the system. The description of such organisation only refers to connections in general terms; it makes no mention of the specific parts.

Structure

The structure is made up of the actual relationships between the individual parts. In other words, the system's organisation is manifested physically in the system's structure. A particular organisation may be represented by many different sorts of components in a variety of ways since the system's organisational pattern is independent of the components' characteristics. Physical relationships among components make up the structure, which is the physical embodiment of its organisation. A certain organisation may be embodied in a variety of ways by several types of components. The structure is quantifiable, weighable, and measurable.

A pattern of organization's description entails an abstract mapping of relationships, but a structure's description entails detailing the system's real physical components, including their forms, chemical compositions, and other details.

As an illustration, consider a bicycle. There must be a number of functional linkages among the parts known as the frame, handlebars, wheels, etc. for anything to be referred to be a bicycle. The bicycle's organisational structure is made up of the whole configuration of these functional links.

In order to provide the system the fundamental characteristics of a bicycle, each of these interactions must exist. The bicycle's structure is a physical representation of its component arrangement in terms of its specific forms and materials.

Numerous distinct buildings may include the same design, "bicycle." For a touring bike, a race cycle, or a mountain bike, the handlebars will have a distinct form. the tyres large, smooth, or heavy tread; the frame hefty, sturdy, or light. All of these combinations will be clearly distinguishable as various structures or manifestations of the same pattern of interactions that characterises a bicycle. We distinguish between organisation and structure as a result.

This conceptual approach may now be applied to operational strategy. Any strategy will have an abstract organisational structure that outlines the connections between its constituent pieces. However, when a company adopts and uses such a strategy, we may then observe a specific physical manifestation of its organisation: a certain arrangement of elements. As a result, every generic kind of operations strategy may be expressed in a variety of ways by a variety of combinations of components, as we have stated, since "the system's organisation is independent of the properties of its components." According to our theory, each organisation has an abstract kind of operations strategy, and some could even have more than one, which will be obvious in each case from its physical embodiment. The figure establishes a connection between generic operations strategy types with specific components and the actual physical manifestation of the operations strategy within a specific firm.

Organisational structure: operations strategies

It is now feasible to consider the many generic kinds while thinking about the taxonomy of operations strategies. We may start by briefly reminding readers of our prior ancestry. We have isolated both the generic operations strategy, which contains a variety of building blocks, such as core competencies, capabilities, and processes; resources; technologies; specific key tactical activities that are essential to support a particular strategy or positioning; and the specific classes possible from the research carried out by the Strategic Operations Management Centre at the University of East Anglia.

There is some overlap, which the reader should be aware of. A company may use many operational strategies. For instance, it could be suitable to call for a prompt response, time-based competition, and tactical delay. Supply chain management, just-in-time manufacturing, strategic purchasing, and lean production are all commonly integrated. As well as a brief summary and some literature references for more investigation, we will now go into depth about the classifications that were found [5], [6].

Quick action or anticipated product reaction

developed for the textile and apparel industry's many SKUs with short product life cycles, high seasonality and complexity, quick inventory turnover, and high gross margins to cover markdowns. The QR method connects every action to current demand. It is specifically geared to small- and medium-sized businesses and is customised by the individual merchant or producer. It is intended to depend on the location and be context-specific.

We provide a case study of QR in action in the next section. Effective customer reaction used in the supermarket sector for fewer SKUs that are seasonal and have a lower unit value, but that move considerably more quickly and have lower profit margins. ECR consists of four essential

components: effective product introduction, effective advertising, and effective retail assortments. The effort is still active, and certain advancements have been achieved in other category management activities and in forging stronger ties with suppliers.

Competing according to time

The core of the TBC operations approach, which has been attributed to Japanese manufacturers like Sony and Matsushita, is the capacity to quickly translate consumer demands into new product designs that would hasten the obsolescence of current products. It is a just-in-time manufacturing technique that is expanded to include the whole supply chain. The tactic entails more than simply quick reactions. Reduced lead times, less dependency on extended lead times, and most importantly the need to produce according to forecast are all issues that are addressed. Time-based competitiveness necessitates increasing innovation, flexible production, and diversity in addition to quick responses.

Network supply strategy

Supply/demand management, supply management, and value-stream management are just a few examples of operational activities that fall under this umbrella. These are intra-industry ideas that evolved from the principles of systems theory, supply chain management, logistics, materials management, and systems dynamics. Its objectives are to coordinate the movement of resources and information inside a network with the idea of gratifying final consumers. This form of operations strategy will be used by businesses who see the supply chain as a whole as strategically crucial to sustaining competitive advantage. A subtype of supply chain strategy tries to connect production and inventories closely along a network of linear nodes. Since it encompasses more than just logistics, supply network strategy is still a subclass of it. These methods are increasingly being used as all-purpose software solutions for corporate resource planning packages, industrial resource planning packages, and distribution resource planning packages. They are also subjects that are easily influenced by the newest craze and assertions of deep utopian advantages and universal application [7], [8].

Vendor controlled inventory, just in time, and just in time a strategy that was developed in Japan's shipbuilding and car sectors. It became well-known as the Toyota Production System in the later. Other manufacturing techniques are covered under the JIT operations strategy. JIT may be seen as a production scheduling system, an approach to controlling and reducing inventory, and a mindset for waste reduction and continual improvement. JIT works well for items with consistent demand and larger volume. The tight collaboration between the supplier and the client in JIT is expanded upon in JIT II. Here, employees of the supply company are authorised to buy materials, etc. and operate directly for the client host. For VMI, the supplier that oversees the whole process is solely responsible for replenishing a customer's inventory.

DISCUSSION

Supply chain agility, manufacturing agility, strategically flexible production, or close proximity manufacturing. Originally an idea for the garment industry, responsive operations systems need it. The failure of Japanese manufacturing systems to adapt to a shift from diversity to mass customization and personalisation led to the development of agile operations strategies. The goal of the agile manufacturer is to provide highly customised items with short lead times and costs equivalent to mass manufacturing. When combined with QR, the resulting product customization

to demand has a larger service component and consequently more added value. A learning culture contains flexible production methods, a workforce, and organisational structures. Externally, horizontal outsourcing and short-term, flexible contracts have taken the role of long-term collaborations and vertical integration. Through a vast network of communication systems, they enable quick reaction.

Strategy for virtual operations

As far as is known, the idea of a "virtual" organisation was first introduced in 1992. Every two years, INSEAD in France surveys manufacturing and practises in Europe and found that many companies using different Japanese approaches had found that improvements on the production floor had not translated into higher profits or improved competitiveness. Due to the formation of external links and dependencies, the survey respondents' companies reported a greater reliance on their supply chains. The report's authors claimed that there was a move towards "virtual operations strategy," which refers to the mobilisation and configuration of networks of external resources for a specific job before disbanding or reconfiguring such networks. The physical and informational parts of logistics operations are handled separately. Through supply networks and information and communication technologies, ownership and control of resources are exerted remotely. It does depend on outsourcing and the quick creation of organisational boundaries for this sort of operations strategy.

Strategic contracting out

The idea of an outsourced operations strategy is closely related to virtual strategy. Adherents hold the opinion that an organisation should concentrate on its core competencies or distinctive capabilities before actively looking for ways to eliminate, limit, or outsource activities where it cannot compete favourably with other companies that specialise in that field, unless those activities are crucial to its strategic focus. The secret is to determine which tasks must be done internally and which may be outsourced to professionals while still maintaining some level of control. The devolution of responsibility to 'partners' for the supply of warehousing, transportation, information technology, and administrative services is one of the most prevalent instances today. However, losing control over a crucial process can be a problem down the road.

Superior Manufacturing

the degree of performance shown by leading firms throughout the globe, or the skills that need to be developed to compete in export markets internationally. This method has been hampered by these fairly unhelpful and tautological definitions, which compel them to connect it to any effective or fashionable operations strategy at the moment. Key characteristics of WCM, according to Hayes et al., include: becoming the best competitor; expanding more quickly and profitably than rivals; hiring and keeping the best employees; developing a top-notch engineering staff; being able to react swiftly and decisively to shifting market conditions; adopting a product and process engineering approach that maximises the performance of both; and perpetual improvement (kaizen) [9], [10].

Lean thinking and lean production

derived from the Toyota Production System with a strong emphasis on getting rid of waste in a system. In order to build a new product in half the time, the lean operations approach employs half the labour force in the plant, half the manufacturing area, half the investment in tools, and

half the engineering hours. Additionally, it creates a wider and continuously expanding diversity of goods while requiring less than half the inventories to be kept on site, producing considerably fewer mistakes.

According to its proponents, it may be "applied equally in every industry across the globe" as a best practise standard. Unfortunately, this naivety has drawn a lot of criticism from those who point out its linear assumptions, generalised, clichéd, homogenous conceptions, and inability to recognise the context-specific or contingent aspects of any organisational scenario. The strategy does, however, offer benefits in large volume, mass manufacturing sectors. Its usefulness in increasingly intricate, adaptable, and dynamic marketplaces where SMEs are prevalent must be questioned.

Strategic deferral

An operations technique called postponement seeks to put off tasks until the precise drivers of demand can be determined. The clothes retailer and maker Benetton is one of the top proponents of strategic delay as an operating approach. There are three types of postponement: time, location, and form. Benetton employs the last strategy by delaying the release of greige—undyed products—until the very last minute, when it is established what colours will be popular during a certain sales period. All operations-related tasks, including sourcing and buying, may be postponed. The personalization of items will be helped by the adoption of a delayed operations approach. Examples from the electronics sector come from Dell computers and Hewlett-Packard, while examples from the transportation sector come from National Bicycle and Honda. The decoupling point idea has also been used to define postponement. This is the point in the products flow when activities that are forecast-driven and order-driven collide; the depth to which the consumer order permeates the supply chain is shown by this point.

Logistics Approach

According to one school of thinking, the majority of the ideas we're going through in this article fall under the category of logistics. Logistics' definition, which includes both supply and demand, is undoubtedly "organising, moving, and supplying." However, from a strategic perspective, it is believed that the majority of what logistics is concerned with is the little tasks that follow the manufacturing of a products or service and provide value to the customer experience. As a result, it belongs to the supply network strategy subclass and is sometimes combined with supply chain strategy. However, a logistics operations plan may be formed if the demand side of a supply network develops strategic relevance for an organisation. Instead of focusing on the total, it will concentrate on the supply's distribution facility components. The tendency towards regional firm dispersion and growing globalisation have given logistics a more important role.

Network Sourcing, Strategic Sourcing, Strategic Procurement, And Materials Management

These operations strategies focus on the supply side, as their names imply. Everyone acknowledges the critical role that buying, procurement, and the processing of goods or service inputs play before any change. In order to assure operational performance and a contribution to strategic positioning, an organisation wanting to obtain a competitive advantage from this area of the supply network will establish an operations plan. Such a strategy often makes use of a tiered supply chain and heavily relies on SMEs. Improves relationships between retailers and suppliers

by using collaborative processes across a supply network that: Open, yet allow secure communications; Flexible across the industry; Applicable to all supply system processes; Support a broad set of requirements, etc.); Provides an environment for dynamic information sharing that integrates both demand and supply side processes; Effectively plans, forecasts, and executes orders. In general, CPFR uses a DIS model to continuously enhance the flow of goods and information across the whole supply chain. Visit Sherman and the Voluntary Interindustry Commerce Standards Association at www.cpfr.org for more information.

Strategy for Continual Improvement In Operations

Continuous improvement must take into consideration customer wants, competitive strengths, and the organization's core competencies and skills in order to be a successful operations strategy. As with other operations strategies, it must become part of everyday operations and have significance to each and every employee as a purpose. Kaizen, a strategy to continuously and incrementally change and improve all operational components, including equipment, procedures, skills, throughput time, quality, supplier relations, product and service designs, etc., is how continuous improvement as an operations strategy got its start in Japan.

The Toyota Production System has an operations strategy, and as a result, it is closely related to the lean production and lean thinking strategies. Achieving effectiveness via leadership, motivation, and goal-setting is one of the three key goals of the continuous improvement approach. The other two are changing values to promote a culture of improvement and quality. The following ten guiding principles are then provided to help in the further development of a comprehensive CI operations strategy: process driven across all organisational functions; total employee involvement; good labor-management relations; effective leadership and cross communication; adaptability to changing environments; visibility and control of all processes; waste reduction; customer orientation; standardisation; and quality awareness and quality control. The fundamental objective of continuous improvement, from a negative perspective, is cost and waste. It should be used in conjunction with other tactics for increased adaptability and responsiveness. Huda and Imai provide further information.

Over the course of the 20th century, organisational theory underwent a number of historical developments. Some of these may immediately be included as adjustments to operations plan. Since the Industrial Revolution of the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, there have been noticeable changes in the offering of product and service combinations. It is generally acknowledged that there has been a shift from handcrafted manufacture to the industrial mass production of the Fordist period. Our present situation is less obvious. Recent events have witnessed the rise and fall of lean manufacturing as well as a shift towards flexible specialisation and mass customisation with a focus on satiating a demand for specialised and one-of-a-kind products and services that is becoming more fragmented, volatile, and ephemeral. The demand for agility, which emphasises the capacity to quickly move from one market-driven purpose to another, and the proponents of neo-Fordist, lean manufacturing, which reduce waste in all its forms, are now at odds. Others, maybe more accurately, emphasise that both strategies have a place in today's globalised economy.

CONCLUSION

The changes in focus do not indicate that leanness is dead and agility is the newest fad, as Harrison notes in his contribution to the lean vs agile debate. They do, however, indicate that

trade-offs must be made when creating a [operations] plan and that you can't have it all. Now that life is increasingly complicated, we're beginning to see that the notion that an organisation must choose between conflicting operations methods is false. As was previously noted, our study currently contends that there are, in fact, a variety of operations strategies that are continually evolving, some of which overlap, and often a firm will use more than one. This is the ideal complexity scenario. This chapter has illustrated a taxonomy of operations strategies that distinguishes between the conceptual organisation model and its actual physical manifestation. The foundational elements of every operations strategy, the distinctive mixing and modification of such strategies to address specific demand conditions, and the competitive effect of these strategies will all be covered in the next two chapters.

REFERENCES:

[1]   E. Dilorenzo *et al.*, "Enabling the Reuse of Software Development Assets through a Taxonomy for User Stories," *IEEE Access*, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2996951.

[2]   M. A. Akbar *et al.*, "Prioritization Based Taxonomy of DevOps Challenges Using Fuzzy AHP Analysis," *IEEE Access*, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3035880.

[3]   S. R. Das and M. P. Joshi, "Process innovativeness in technology services organizations: Roles of differentiation strategy, operational autonomy and risk-taking propensity," *J. Oper. Manag.*, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2006.05.011.

[4]   O. Y. Seng, M. Jantan, and T. Ramayah, "Implementing Total Productive Maintenance (Tpm) In Malaysian Manufacturing Organisation: An Operational Strategy Study," *Kinerja*, 2017, doi: 10.24002/kinerja.v9i1.899.

[5]   S. Başar, A. Kucuk Yilmaz, M. Karaca, H. T. Lapçın, and S. İ. Başar, "Fleet modelling in strategic multi-criteria decision-making of approved training organization from capacity building and resource dependency theory perspective: risk taxonomy methodology," *Aircr. Eng. Aerosp. Technol.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/AEAT-03-2020-0051.

[6]   M. F. Arshad, M. J. Thaheem, A. R. Nasir, and M. S. A. Malik, "Contractual Risks of Building Information Modeling: Toward a Standardized Legal Framework for Design-Bid-Build Projects," *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001617.

[7]   N. Caldwell, "Supply network strategies," *J. Purch. Supply Manag.*, 2004, doi: 10.1016/j.pursup.2004.02.001.

[8]   T. E. Johnsen, O. S. Mikkelsen, and C. Y. Wong, "Strategies for complex supply networks: findings from the offshore wind power industry," *Supply Chain Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/SCM-11-2018-0410.

[9]   A. S. Lee, T. S. Ong, R. M. Said, R. Senik, And B. H. Teh, "Strategic Management For Superior Environmental And Financial Performance In Malaysian Manufacturing Firms," *J. Sustain. Sci. Manag.*, 2021, doi: 10.46754/jssm.2021.08.023.

[10]   R. Ghiaasiaan, M. Muhammad, P. R. Gradl, S. Shao, and N. Shamsaei, "Superior tensile properties of Hastelloy X enabled by additive manufacturing," *Mater. Res. Lett.*, 2021, doi: 10.1080/21663831.2021.1911870.

CHAPTER 10

ESSENCE OF AN OPERATIONS STRATEGY

Dr. Yagnamurthy Raja
Assistant Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: narasimharaja@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

The core purpose of an operations strategy is to play a crucial part in coordinating operational choices with overarching company goals in order to gain a competitive edge and succeed as an organisation. The main ideas, essential elements, and strategic implications of an operations strategy are defined in this abstract to provide a high-level understanding of the concept. A company's processes, systems, and resources are designed, managed, and improved via the implementation of an operations plan. It includes a long-term view that extends beyond daily operating tasks and is focused on improving efficiency, effectiveness, and responsiveness in the provision of goods or services. The abstract outlines the essential elements of an operations plan, such as precise, quantifiable goals like cost leadership, product differentiation, excellence in quality, and adaptability. It emphasises how crucial it is to match these goals with the organization's overall business plan in order to develop a unified and integrated strategy. An operations strategy's core attributes are flexibility and constant development. The notion of dynamic capacities is discussed, emphasising the need for organisations to be adaptable and sensitive to changing surroundings. In assessing the success of the operations plan and fostering continuous improvement, it also discusses the function of performance measurement and feedback loops. To identify some of the fundamental components of an operations plan in this chapter. It covered hese elements in their most general form up to this point. It investigates how certain sorts of operation plan are formed from these distinct components.

KEYWORDS:

Demand, Management, Operations Strategy, Strategy, Supply.

INTRODUCTION

An essential element of organisational success is an operations strategy, which offers a framework for coordinating operational choices and actions with overarching corporate objectives. By analysing its definition, important elements, and the variables that affect its creation and use, this review article seeks to uncover the core of an operations strategy. The definition of an operational strategy is covered in the introduction to the review. It emphasises how an operations strategy covers the choices and steps used to create, run, and enhance organisational systems and processes. In order to achieve competitive advantage, match customer expectations, and boost overall organisational performance, it emphasises the strategic character of operations. The significance of establishing precise goals including cost leadership, product differentiation, excellence in quality, speed, and adaptability. Additionally, it emphasises the need of taking into account variables like supply chain management, technology adoption, and process improvement activities.

The effects of market circumstances, consumer needs, industry standards, legal requirements, and organisational resources are among the external and internal aspects that are covered. In order to guarantee a unified and integrated plan, it emphasises the need of alignment between the operations strategy and the overall company strategy. The significance of continual improvement and adaptation in operations strategy is also covered in the article. The idea of dynamic capacities and places a focus on the need for organisations to be responsive, adaptable, and agile. It also emphasises the need of performance monitoring, measurement, and feedback loops in determining how successful the operations plan is and fostering continuous improvement.

The assessment also looks at how successful operational strategies affect organisational success. It looks at how a well-thought-out strategy may promote operational effectiveness, customer happiness, quality, cost savings, and sustained competitive advantage. The capacity to match operational choices and actions with the broad company goals is the core of an operations strategy.

Organisations may create and put into practise efficient operations strategies that enhance performance and facilitate long-term success by setting clear goals, taking important factors into account, and adjusting to changing situations. Future study should concentrate on examining how global supply chain dynamics, rising technology, and environmental issues will affect operations strategies in the future.

The idea that there are several sorts of operations strategy was presented in the chapter. The relationship between several forms of operations strategy, those strategies' building pieces, and the application or deployment of the strategy was proposed. By recognising the connections between the many generic strategy kinds and their physical descriptions and embodiments, we may further understand how the taxonomy was developed. Strategic choices made in relation to the operations strategy building pieces and their distinctive fusion into a unique entity serve as the interface between these.

In addition, the last chapter's discussion of the finance sector highlighted the possibility that different organisations might employ various operations strategy building blocks in a specific blend or with a specific emphasis. In this chapter, which is relatively brief, we discuss the research evidence to support the idea that there are certain building blocks of a strategy [1], [2].

The Elements That Make Up an Operations Strategy

An organisation will adopt an operational plan that reflects its strategic priorities if it believes that certain building blocks are crucial. It is more probable that it will choose an operational plan that incorporates a specific combination of these elements and is closely related to the organization's strategic aims. Based on the company and industry, each facet of the matrix will have a different focus.

Employed operational strategies

The many operations techniques that may be used in professional and commercial environments. In reality, certain varieties may be found in particular industries. In a recent poll, 78 top retailers in the fast-moving consumer goods industries were asked whether they had an operations plan in place and, if yes, what kind. According to the data, 66% of the firms say they use an operational strategy. It's interesting that three other firms acknowledged using a strategy but were unable to identify it. Undoubtedly, just as in the case of broader company strategy, a strategy may exist

without a specific nomenclature. Additionally, it seems from this data that a lot of merchants really use several operating strategies. To determine the precise causes that need the usage of more than one, additional investigation is required.

Building components of an operations strategy

After confirming that there are several conceivable operations plan types and that some businesses may use more than one, we must now evaluate the makeup of such strategies. Are the parts essentially the same? If so, do they then have distinct configurations? What key skills, tools, and resources may be combined to create a special operations strategy? The building blocks that merchants in this industry employ the most often are identified in the following paragraphs. We are just reporting on one specific research in the consumer goods industries.

Shared planning for strategic operations with important clients/suppliers. Today, supply pipeline configurations are often recognised as the primary source of competition in many industries. Several businesses in our study sample collaborate closely with their suppliers and/or clients when it comes to operational and strategic planning.

This entails ongoing communication on the partnership's long-term course. In an organic collaboration when each partner has a stake in the success of the other, the planning involved might also take into account commercial considerations relating to shared ownership and joint investment.

Joint planning of products and goods between suppliers and clients. On a more practical level, the parallel processes of product creation and modification and merchandise planning include retailers, consumers, and suppliers as well. In addition to discussing more specific plans for the timing of product releases and the introduction of new lines, etc., such collaboration enables the quick production of samples or prototypes for in-store testing prior to the sales season.

Bar-coded products

No matter the product and service mix, supply systems now depend on this vital technology. All components and finished goods/services may be uniquely identified and tracked throughout a supply network thanks to bar coding. Many businesses assert that their operational goals can only be implemented if bar codes, electronic data exchange, and point of sale data sharing are used, firmly connecting all supply chain activities to the pull of ongoing client demand.

Small batch quantities and orders

makes ensuring that every action is timed to the speed of demand and client behaviour. Since clients want more customisation and more individualised services, many businesses are lowering the batch sizes of their items. Homogeneous items in large quantities are getting harder to find and more costly to operate with [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Information and product data exchange with business partners. There is more information included here than simply data on inventory keeping. New developments in operational processes, availability of goods, pricing strategies, costing, logistics programmes, etc., carried out between businesses in an open book culture where there is a high level of trust and openness. New product/service development, promotions and other marketing initiatives.

Interchange of electronic data

a crucial technology element that enables connections between businesses in a network of shared operations. Beyond the initial link between the store and the supplier, EDI encompasses a complete network. EDI serves as a low cost communication alternative and is utilised as the medium to enable electronic trade to facilitate worldwide sourcing.

Recorded sales at the item level

Understanding the flows of goods and services at the individual level is important in addition to bar code. We must comprehend how individual products operate if micro-marketing and segmentation at the person level are becoming more prevalent.

To put it another way, recording activity at the level of a single item or Stock Keeping Unit as opposed to bigger aggregates like batches.

Systems for continuous and autonomous replenishment

There will still be place for more homogenous items like milk, socks, tins of beans, and green Wellington boots despite the growing distinctiveness of product and service combinations. A vendor or supplier may renew these consistent product lines at the precise pace of sales. Operational schedules may be influenced by knowledge about demand, and replenishment comes directly from manufacturing output rather than stock holding.

Standardised item numbers or product codes in the supply chain

Due to the inefficiencies caused by duplication, bar codes used for product identification and tracking must be unique to each SKU and standardised across the supply chain. These UPCs are now starting to be made accessible via online catalogues that a lot of businesses in a supply network may access.

Reordering online. To improve efficiency and accuracy and to take into account client demand preferences for a specific, tailored product or service, the ordering and reordering of services and items should be done electronically. This component is strongly related to EDI, bar coding, and PoS data.

Ready-to-eat or store delivery. By putting products straight on the shelf or having them prepared for quick consumption after delivery, one may shorten the delivery cycle. To meet the demands of the store or the client, price ticketing, specifications, packing, etc., must be accomplished.

Shared and open methods for managing inventories. Information systems are often shared and accessible to members in various operational networks. Each supply point's inventory information will be accessible to all network partners.

Cellular manufacturing and intelligent production. Intelligent production and modular or cellular manufacturing give a far better degree of reaction, speed, and agility for more tangible commodities. Despite the initial investment in time and money, many supplier manufacturers are using this kind of production organisation more often.

Methods for quick re-estimation and reordering. Numerous circumstances need quick re-estimations of sales and reorders throughout the season based on real-time demand for more volatile, seasonal, or trendy items and services. This manner, even items that sell quickly are

supplied to meet demand. This lessens the possibility of goods shortages and unforeseen markdowns. Codes for shipping containers. The container or batch of items has an identification number much as each SKU does. These allow logistical monitoring of cargo deliveries, display the contents and destination, and speed up reaction times and delivery of goods that are ready for consumption.

Data sharing at the point of sale with clients and vendors. Although collecting point of sale data is important, it is useless if it is only used by one organisation. Without this information, substantial portions of a supply network would only be able to fulfil demand using forward inventory building and forecasting rather than working in accordance with real-time demand patterns, which is essential for a flexible and responsive supply. Unfortunately, it still often proves difficult to share raw PoS data with other components of the supply chain [5], [6].

Systems that collect data about consumer demographics. The 'mining' of consumer data has become quite profitable. According to research, many companies involved in mutual networks are willing to exchange consumer and demographic information in order to target specific micromarkets and improve category management overall.

Internet access and e-commerce

Despite the bluster and hoopla, the Internet is only an enabling technology—a potent collection of tools that offers a fresh approach to doing business. However, the operational effectiveness and strategic positioning are significantly impacted by the Internet on an operational level. In the first situation, the Internet enables the value chain to be configured and customised in a specific way, while in the latter case it speeds up the interchange of real-time data and information across the supply system. The idea of the electronic marketplace might potentially be developed thanks to the Internet. a reliable, secure, and adaptable network for transferring data that enables communication across businesses. Additionally, some businesses are experimenting with leveraging the Internet or Extranets to carry out the many tasks associated with more conventional EDI [7], [8].

New Logistical Techniques

As was already said, logistics typically occupies the demand side of any firm. Delays in the finished products logistics networks might negate benefits that result from keeping up with demand given the emphasis on speed of response and the Internet. Strategic logistics solutions are reportedly receiving substantially more attention from several merchants.

Reducing the 'open-to-buy' times

Quick reaction, time-based competitiveness, strategic delay, and other operations methods that depend on manipulating time. To guarantee that the ordering of product and service combinations is completed as near to the beginning of the selling point as feasible, such techniques must include the manipulation of time prior to any selling point. To put it another way, we aim not to buy in large quantities too far in advance of established demand preferences.

The lead period in this graphic stand for the months prior to a selling season. The lead time increases and it becomes more difficult to predict demand preferences as we go further from this point of sale. The 'Quick Response' case study at the conclusion of the chapter goes into further detail about the implications of this strategy. Retailers are becoming more cautious when making

product orders far in advance of a discount season. According to research, if such actions are necessary, they should be postponed until as near to the sale season as feasible and backed by a thorough study of the most expected patterns.

Season-Ahead Delivery

Similar to the aforementioned, several organisations have started to question the custom of sending out products very early in the preseason. In the past, it was typical to deliver a sizable amount of the goods if not all before the beginning of the season. There are a tonne of hazards involved with this. Many now aim for small pre-season shipments followed by quick, adaptable, and responsive supply throughout the season when demand preferences are recognised.

Creation of Virtual Products

Utilising virtual teams from many networked organisations and virtual technologies, quick new and modified goods are generated. It is currently acknowledged that the need for new and modified products and services is increasing more quickly than before. However, concerns with personalization and quality standards are equally crucial. Demands development activities are now being carried out in tandem to address all of these utilising input from a variety of dispersed, virtual groups in the supplier network. Such organisations breakup and reorganise with great flexibility while continuing to be accountable for the product and service combination for its entire lifespan [9], [10].

Putting Off Some Tasks

Organisations are increasingly using the strategy of delaying numerous supply system actions until demand can be more accurately identified. There are various advantages to using strategic delay in operations.

It is complicated, however, and requires careful planning. Its key elements include a thorough comprehension of consumer preferences and how they manifest themselves, which is communicated along various supply streams; good coordination between all parties involved in the supply of goods; flexibility in all operations to adjust operations in line with this shifting demand profile; and a highly qualified and trained workforce.

Management of Interfaces And Supply System Visibility

tracking every component, finished product, and service by unique SKU throughout the whole supply chain. The capacity to monitor all of these components throughout the course of their lives and across the whole supply chain is crucial for modern operations. This calls for cooperation, openness, and coordination from all system levels, as well as the capacity to control the network's numerous interfaces for quick reaction and to avoid stock/inventory duplication. Described some of the most significant operational strategy building blocks employed by retailers. There will also be less focused influences, as we have seen in the Operations Strategy Composition Matrix.

Using The Basic Elements of Operations Strategy

We may now think of an illustration of how these diverse building components are really employed, returning to the empirical study. The respondents to our study identified the components of the operations plan. It is obvious that some components are shared by a lot of

industries as well as certain industries. The use of empirical research in the fast-moving consumer products industry. The results that these people adopted certain sorts of operations strategy, either alone or in collaboration with others. These tactics also shared similar basic pieces. Even if the elements were similar, each technique was distinct since the ingredients had different focuses. Utilised a general model, the operations strategy composition matrix, to illustrate this property.

CONCLUSION

A clear operations plan has important strategic ramifications. The abstract examines how a strong operations strategy may result in greater operational effectiveness, lower costs, more customer happiness, better quality, and long-term competitive advantage. It emphasises how crucial it is to use the operations strategy to further all-encompassing company goals and promote organisational success.

In summary, the capacity to harmonise operational choices with the organization's overarching objectives is the heart of an operations strategy. Organisations may establish and execute operations strategies that drive performance and allow long-term success in a dynamic and competitive business environment by setting clear goals, taking important factors into account, and embracing flexibility. The key components of operations strategies for the future should be explored in future study, with a particular emphasis on how developing technology, environmental issues, and the effects of global supply chain dynamics will be included.

REFERENCES:

[1]   D. A. de J. Pacheco, J. A. V. Antunes Junior, and C. A. de Matos, "The constraints of theory: What is the impact of the Theory of Constraints on Operations Strategy?," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107955.

[2]   Y. K. A. A. Migdadi, "Identifying the effective taxonomies of airline green operations strategy," *Manag. Environ. Qual. An Int. J.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/MEQ-03-2019-0067.

[3]   E. Karakoç and G. Çağdaş, "A data-driven conceptual framework for climate adaptive building shell: A hybrid control strategy," *Civ. Eng. Archit.*, 2021, doi: 10.13189/cea.2021.090216.

[4]   P. E. Campana *et al.*, "Li-ion batteries for peak shaving, price arbitrage, and photovoltaic self-consumption in commercial buildings: A Monte Carlo Analysis," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2021.113889.

[5]   Y. Chen, A. Bharadwaj, and K. Y. Goh, "An empirical analysis of intellectual Property rights sharing in software development outsourcing," *MIS Q. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.25300/MISQ/2017/41.1.07.

[6]   Z. Cai, H. Liu, Q. Huang, Y. Kang, and L. Liang, "Encouraging client's knowledge sharing in enterprise system post-implementation through psychological contract and entrepreneurial orientation," *Inf. Technol. People*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/ITP-11-2018-0510.

[7]   A. Kawa and M. Wałęsiak, "Marketplace as a key actor in e-commerce value networks," *Logforum*,2019,doi:10.17270/J.LOG.2019.351.

[8]   F. Biagi and M. Falk, "The impact of ICT and e-commerce on employment in Europe," *J. Policy Model.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jpolmod.2016.12.004.

[9]   R. Stark *et al.*, "Competing in engineering design-The role of Virtual Product Creation," *CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.cirpj.2010.08.003.

[10]   J. Füller, H. Mühlbacher, K. Matzler, and G. Jawecki, "Consumer empowerment through internet-based co-creation," *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, 2009, doi: 10.2753/MIS0742-1222260303.

CHAPTER 11

IMPLEMENTING A BUSINESS PLAN

Dr. Varsha Pratibha
Associate Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: varsha.ps@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

This chapter focuses on the implementation and deployment of an operations plan. This presented several building components that are essential to support a certain approach. Remember that these related to the specific sector under investigation, and the components will differ by industry and even firm. Here, we'll demonstrate how these components may be combined or "fused" to create specific and distinctive operations strategy designs. Furthermore, we want to show how different blends might change depending on the sort of operations plan and other factors. This chapter will go over how each operations strategy has a unique composition matrix that enables it to be customised depending on the situation. This method delivers both a distinctive strategic effect and a long-lasting competitive advantage. A discussion of the several approaches that an organisation may use to effectively implement its operations plan marks the chapter's conclusion.

KEYWORDS:

Business, Demand, Management, Strategic, Supply.

INTRODUCTION

An organisation may display a hierarchy of strategies, including general strategies, strategic positioning, and operational strategy. As a result, it is clear that each company's operations plan or strategies may directly relate to and interpret its corporate and larger business strategies. The viewpoint of this chapter and how it relates to the two before it.

The class of operations approach, the distinction between generic types, and the physical embodiment. The study from one business sector that was covered in Chapter 5 highlighted some of the specific components that could be involved in the latter physical embodiment. We now look at the actual implementation of operations strategies and the mechanism that gives them their distinctive composition. Using a composition matrix that tailors a strategy to the particulars of its circumstance and surroundings, we'll look at how these strategies are created and put into practise.

Composition Matrix for Operating Strategies

The contemporary organisation is subject to a number of new demands. The cluster of value was proposed as a tool to illustrate how several entities have an impact on an organisation, and that these influences must be considered in order to develop an effective operations plan. The customer's power will be among the strongest in this situation.

They will serve as the centre of action due to their varied value demands and requirements. We argue that businesses should use distinct operational techniques for each primary category of

demand, just as consumer groups and their wants vary. Since the majority of organisations will have various suppliers, customers, products, and/or services, it makes natural that they would use a variety of operations strategies, each one specifically designed to suit different demands and situations.

A firm's operations strategies in a given industry are likely to have certain components that are blended with specific emphases, and the process of combining or blending will take on strategic relevance. The combination of actions and components that make up, for example, supply management, time-based competition, and sourcing will get operations strategy status from the organisation if they are of significant strategic relevance to it. A variety of business sectors dealing with certain product and service combinations will share some structural building blocks. Others, though, could be distinct to that environment yet nevertheless vital to a certain sector. An operations plan will also be shaped by other general factors in addition to these key components. The organization's strategy is unusual in that it places emphasis on certain building blocks, which gives it a sustained competitive edge [1], [2].

These various operations strategy designs support Michael Porter's assertion that activities serve as the fundamental building blocks of competitive advantage and that strategy is primarily a question of capacity development. To refresh the reader's memory, he advises that an organisation will take on a certain strategic posture and compete on the basis of flexibility, affordability, quality, speed, diversity, and variety, among other factors. In this context, "performing different activities from rivals" or "performing similar activities in different ways" refer to strategic positioning. The efficacy of operations, in contrast, is defined by him as "performing similar activities better than rivals." Clearly, the proper operations strategy, that distinctive architecture or mix that incorporates multiple parts, is what determines operations effectiveness. In order to complement a firm's overall strategy and serve as its unique competency, our concept is that an operations strategy aims to conduct core operations tasks better than competitors. Individual actions may be rapidly copied by businesses, but not how they are integrated to create a distinctive operations strategy.

But in order to customise an operational strategy in this manner, we must first comprehend how the strategic architecture's elements might be put together. This is what we mean by a composition matrix. The matrix is made up of a special combination of the elements discovered. Depending on the sort of business sector, it is possible that there will be others as it is not comprehensive. Other external factors of a more broad character will also have an impact. For instance, a certain operational strategy may place more emphasis on joint strategic operations planning with significant suppliers and less emphasis on consumer demographic data systems. Despite the fact that each of these elements might serve as the foundation of any operations strategy, one aspect can be more prominent in a certain strategic composition matrix than another. Therefore, it is crucial to choose a proper combination of building blocks for each circumstance, one that is particular to a recognised demand profile. After all, as seen in the prior operations plan, this is its primary goal.

In order to produce and deliver product and service combinations and the value that a customer demands, major choices must be made concerning, and strategic management of, core competencies, capabil- ities and processes, technologies, resources, and essential tactical actions. Combining these numerous components into one or more distinctive, organizational-specific strategic architectures is the strategic role. Each operations plan has a distinct design that makes

a crucial strategic contribution to achieving long-term competitive advantage. We may infer from this that organisations will use many operational strategies. Each has a distinct and specific focus that is determined by a variety of circumstances, including trade partners, the configuration of the supply system, and demand behaviours, even though they may share certain components. Additionally, it seems that these results strengthen Fisher's supply chain conceptualization. He contends that depending on their intended use in producing creative or useful items and the necessary demand patterns, there may be a distinction between physically efficient and market-responsive supply chains. Fisher supports the idea that various supply channels satiate various demand types, although restricting his dichotomy to supply chains rather than the whole variety of operations strategies [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

A company could utilise a variety of structural components that are combined to create strategic architectures that are tailored to the demands of the market.We may also hypothesise that an operations plan will have distinctive components that provide each circumstance its own special emphasis. It is explained how specific operations components work together to produce an operations strategy that is adapted to the specific needs of the business activity. The two hues are meant to demonstrate how a company could give certain elements more importance than others. There are several activities going on, as shown by the cluster of value, and as a result, numerous solutions are required, each one catered to a particular set of conditions.

Operations Strategies Customization

Elements or circumstances could require the adoption of a certain concoction of operations strategy components. Do the specific mixes depend on the demand situation? It is obvious that creating an ideal operations plan is a difficult task. The combination of elements and the emphases that each may provide in a certain customer and/or product circumstance must be carefully considered. Many businesses that operate in uncertain marketplaces are only now learning how to use one operating approach. In the future, they will each have a unique number with a separate meaning. Perhaps more troublingly, all of these numbers will be mutable and transient.We set out to determine which components would work well with others and under what conditions. What are the motivating factors, in other words, that need a specific fusion of core competences, capabilities and procedures, resources, technology, and certain essential tactical operations. Two significant multiple retailers of grocery products participated in the research. The two merchants provided information on two different product categories. It's essential to comprehend the behaviours of supply and demand from two key angles in order to design an operations strategy: at the level of product/service combinations and the supply system [5], [6].

Demand for a mix of goods and services

This group comprises:

1. Product features. such as the product's shelf life, complexity, price points, and dynamics of the product line.
2. Patterns of demand. All of these issues, including demand periodicity, demand unpredictability, and product line economics, will be raised.

customer conduct. The features of the client or final consumer impose various demands on the supply chain and alter the demand pattern. Different ordering procedures, EDI usage, payment options, logistical needs, advertising specifications, packing and shelving restrictions, product characteristics all of which differ depending on the consumer, the product group, and the specific product will alter the demand pattern and require a different operations strategy. The intricacy and influence of individual consumer behaviour start to become apparent when the numerous seasonal affects, cyclical demands, fashion trends, and partnership programme agreements are taken into account.

Behaviour of the supply system

Flows of Product Stream Value. very active and complicated. Such a strategy requires an understanding of the supply system's design and its complexity, as well as the players' power and the supply system's integration.

Consideration of pipeline members' vertical integration tactics, as recommended by Hayes and Wheelwright. This covers the manner in which any extension will be made, the length of the necessary process span, and the balance of the vertically integrated stages, whether it be entirely or partly balanced. The two retailers were able to assess the significance of each of these elements impacting the two chosen items versus the previously mentioned operations strategy components by analysing the demand behaviour for product and service combinations. A maximum weighting of five may then be used to assess each item's significance. Certain components are more crucial than others for the two product categories. As a result, any operations plan should include these features to give it a distinctive mix and emphasises for the product group.

Furthermore, we can see from the totals row that the overall significance of an operations plan differs significantly depending on the kind of product [7], [8].

Now let's discuss supply system behaviours. The same method may be used to rank the relevance of operations plan components for each retailer and/or supplier in a certain pipeline condition using mapping analysis. Again, certain elements obviously carry more weight than others and get higher scores, as does the operation's approach as a whole. We start to realise how each store and supply condition might alter the operations approach. We'll quickly go over the value of customisation before moving on.

Mass Personalization

The past ten years have seen a plethora of demands for mass customization in the management literature, such as those made by Westbrook and Williamson, Pine et al., Fincke and Goffard, Kotha, Fisher, Gilmore and Pine, Fung and Zipkin, among others. This trend acknowledges the necessity for bulk as well as the growing need for unique, tailored, and personalised products and services. To put the discussion in perspective, the following evolutionary milestones may be used to define the contemporary operations era:

Mass Manufacturing: conventional, high-volume products and services. economies of scale, low variable costs, and little to no diversity.

Lean Manufacturing: focused on eliminating all waste from the working environment in accordance with the Toyota Production System.

Mass Personalization: Variety is produced similarly to mass manufacturing. Each consumer offers specific information so the product may be adapted to their needs rather than choosing from a single variation. demands adaptable manufacturing methods and delivery capability. Additionally, known as "superficial customization" at times flexibility and agility in operations. The agile manufacturer seeks to create highly-customized items in a timely manner and at a cost equal to mass manufacturing. The added value is higher when items are tailored to customer demand since there is a larger service component. A learning culture contains flexible production methods, a workforce, and organisational structures. Externally, horizontal outsourcing and short-term, flexible contracts that enable quick reaction through a vast network of communication networks have taken the role of vertical integration and long-term collaborations. Specialisation that is flexible. in order to meet a new demand pattern that is moving away from Fordism and mass manufacturing and towards individualization. a resurgence of craft production, based on the use of information technology and specialised, short-run manufacturing, in a network of small businesses working in specific, segmented markets.

Operational Tactics: We are now starting to see a new trend, as this book has been arguing. According to recent empirical research, many organisations are using an operational strategy to respond to the desire for diversity. Three significant implications have also been confirmed through research. First, a company will often use more than one operations strategy, just as it may have more than one product or client base or operate in more than one market. Second, these tactics are often adaptable to satisfy the special requirements of each circumstance, such as a certain client or product group - an operations tactic for every demand scenario. Utilising special operations techniques, organisations are able to match and adapt to the demand complexity of the value stream thanks to this simple yet effective strategy. Third, compared to a functional strategy like manufacturing or production, operations strategies are more expansive since they are designed to be integrative connection devices in a supply network.

Delivering A Strategy for Operating

This plan provides a tailored operations strategy in response to requests for increased mass customization of goods and services. The relevance of each operations strategy component may be rated across a variety of goods or services, manufacturers, and retailers in a supply chain using the operations strategy component weighting in the table's final column. certain configurations of operations strategies one for each unique demand situation can probably be used to target certain groupings of businesses and goods.

By 'blending' several operations techniques to meet demand, this strategy enables organisations to match and adapt to the complexity of the value stream. The strategic implications of individualised operational approaches. An operational strategy's ability to be modified will have significant competitive effects. The topic of an operational strategy as a source of competitive advantage is covered in further detail. At this point, we suggest providing a succinct illustration of the potential effects [9], [10].

Effectiveness Factors

We have seen how demand may be leveraged to provide the operations plan a customised influence. Perhaps one of the main forces at play is that the operations strategy's main goal is to satisfy the requirements and values of the client. However, there will be other factors that will shape such a strategy, and these may be divided into three groups. So now we have: Behaviours

in the product and supply systems; Performance-related factors. the elements that affect operations strategy most, i.e., their quantitative performance and their capacity to provide long-term competitive advantage; and Tactical elements. In their specificity, broader issues will be unique to each firm, its circumstances, and its surroundings.

Added value evaluation

The Omicron Foods management team's evaluation of performance, was mostly based on an internal viewpoint. In other words, the management team pondered the influence that these operational building blocks which, it must be said, operate inside a larger supply system will have on their own internal performance. While important, this way of thinking does not entirely capture the idea that performance evaluation is also an external activity. We emphasised the notion that competition is now occurring at the supply pipeline level in the early sections of this book, but this is nothing new. The notion that we should evaluate performance at this level is a step towards the creation of new, external scoring techniques.

In a supply system, suppliers and retailers often assess one another's operational performance and overall company operations. Systems used by retailers to evaluate their vendors and vice versa are nothing new. The new 'Added Value Assessment' method that is already starting to appear in many vendor-retailer partnerships is what is notably novel. This idea unites the players in a supply route as partners on an equal footing. Then, a process is used to pinpoint tasks that: contribute value; Do not contribute value; or Are now required but do not add value over the long run.

Then, in order to offer the incentive for ongoing supply channel development, a mutually approved financial scoring system or collaborative reward system is invoked. As a result, behaviours across an integrated supply chain that create value are rewarded, while those that continue to take value away are penalised. This increases the incentive for all businesses in the supply chain to think about actions that go beyond their borders and how they affect the network as a whole. The plan may also be administered by an impartial adjudicator, who will make sure rulings are fair and uphold confidentiality agreements. The AVA strategy fosters more open information sharing among members as well as much improved supply performance. The ongoing input from the performance evaluations, both internal and external.

CONCLUSION

A long overdue synthesis of the strategic operations management and mass customisation debate has been attempted in this chapter. As we've seen, the components of an operations strategy may be thought of as coming together to create a composition matrix in response to the demands and supply system conditions. Due to its focus on specific performance variables, the distinctive architecture that is created is somewhat unique to each strategy, company, and industry and offers strategic effect and competitive advantage. The operations plan is thus dependent on the unique conditions, which has a significant positive impact on how it is ultimately implemented. A summary of this chapter's justification. Here, we discussed how numerous operations strategy kinds were obvious and fostered in a certain sphere of influence that included a variety of performance elements. Additionally, it was noted that each circumstance would also entail a number of tactical elements that would have a larger effect and influence the ensuing operations plan. In the next chapter, we will turn to these viewpoints.

REFERENCES:

[1]   R. Stark *et al.*, "Competing in engineering design-The role of Virtual Product Creation," *CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.cirpj.2010.08.003.

[2]   J. Füller, H. Mühlbacher, K. Matzler, and G. Jawecki, "Consumer empowerment through internet-based co-creation," *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, 2009, doi: 10.2753/MIS0742-1222260303.

[3]   R. Stark, H. Hayka, and D. Langenberg, "New potentials for virtual product creation by utilizing grid technology," *CIRP Ann. - Manuf. Technol.*, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.cirp.2009.03.066.

[4]   D. Bettiga, L. Lamberti, and G. Noci, "Investigating social motivations, opportunity and ability to participate in communities of virtual co-creation," *Int. J. Consum. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/ijcs.12409.

[5]   S. Chen, "Analysis of Customization Strategy for E-Commerce Operation Based on Big Data," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, 2021, doi: 10.1155/2021/6626480.

[6]   D. Arias-Aranda and M. J. Álvarez-Gil, "Long and short-term effects of customisation on the service operations strategy," *Int. J. Serv. Technol. Manag.*, 2004, doi: 10.1504/IJSTM.2004.004298.

[7]   B. Squire, S. Brown, J. Readman, and J. Bessant, "The impact of mass customisation on manufacturing trade-offs," *Production and Operations Management*. 2006. doi: 10.1111/j.1937-5956.2006.tb00032.x.

[8]   A. Chiarini and E. Vagnoni, "Strategies for modern operations management: Answers from European manufacturing companies," *Benchmarking*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/BIJ-11-2015-0115.

[9]   A. Murphy, J. Kirwin, and K. A. Razak, "Operating Models: Delivering on strategy and optimizing processes," 2016.

[10]   M. Schnieder, C. Hinde, and A. West, "Land consumption of delivery robots and bicycle couriers for on□demand meal delivery using gps data and simulations based on the time□area concept," *Sustain.*, 2021, doi: 10.3390/su132011375.

CHAPTER 12

OPERATIONAL STRATEGY SHAPED BY TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Dr. Vinay Muddu

Professor,Masters in Business Administration,
Presidency University, Bangalore, India.
Email Id: muddu.vinay@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

By analysing the broader viewpoints that will influence both an operations strategy's creation and performance, this chapter continues to look at the context of an operations strategy. Every organisation is a part of a unique setting. Even while an industry, for instance, may have certain similar variables and businesses may even have common operations strategy building blocks, the specific combination of these features will be exclusive to the commercial entity and its industry. It was shown how different supply and demand scenarios may be reflected in operations strategy. The specific composition and deployment of such a strategy will also be influenced by other, more general tactical elements and contingency influences; these are the topics of this chapter. We publish a warning to the reader right away. It is only possible to provide a broad description of the different tactical elements and contingency considerations mentioned in this section. They will vary depending on the organisational conditions, which is why we said earlier that strategy is all about the unique characteristics that provide customer value and the potential competitive advantage they may secure.

KEYWORDS:

Management, Quality, Service, Supply Chain, Supply.

INTRODUCTION

The many "bundles" of resources or core competences of the company will often be tied to the numerous tactical aspects we shall discuss, which exist both within and outside.

Contingency Questions and Tactical Factors

Four linked sections make up this major section:

1. The operations strategy's mission;
2. The placement of the operations strategy;
3. The external environment of competition.
4. Using tactical considerations and emergency situations as managerial tools.

The tactical challenges and circumstances that are probably to have an impact on the operations plan are covered in the fourth part. So, in order to contribute to the performance, impact, and added value evaluation we discussed in the operations strategy context model, these tactical aspects will need to be quantifiable. The reader may also perceive the connection between this figure and the elements we will discuss later. By illustrating how these elements affect and contribute to the operational plan areas already covered, the scenario.

The Goal of Operations Strategy

We would want to reaffirm the dual functions of operations strategy. It may provide support for the company's overarching plan; It might act as the company's unique competency.

An operations strategy's mission will establish and specify its overarching goals. The mission, however, must be concrete, not ambiguous, and have measurable goals. Employees should be able to relate to and embrace the mission as a motivating factor in their daily work. Because of this, effort must be taken to make sure that such a statement may be appropriately interpreted at every level within the company and that it is consistent with the ethos and values upheld. Naturally, the mission must also identify those key talents and areas of expertise that might provide a competitive advantage; as a result, there will be a close relationship between the mission and the company plan [1], [2].

The Placement of Operations Strategy

Positioning, quantifiable goals are required at the next level so that we can determine whether objectives are being met. These objectives must be defined with clarity and specificity. Strategic positioning in practise; conceptual strategic positioning. The company's positioning directly affects how it will compete. Flexibility, quality, affordability, and speed are some of the possibilities that may be considered while positioning the operations plan, both theoretically and practically. Some of these options won't be mutually incompatible. For instance, if we choose flexibility, the graphic shows that this includes a lot of additional decisions that will have a bigger influence. Cost, quality, and speed will all be impacted by the logistics department's flexibility. Changes in delivery schedules will impact responsiveness, dependability, cost, and flexibility.

The goals of strategic positioning should be related, prioritised, and meaningfully quantifiable. Improvements in certain areas may, however, come at the price of others. For instance, a slower delivery speed may increase dependability, but it will also have an impact on customer service and stock holding. Finally, these goals are flexible and will alter often. In order to achieve continuous improvement in a quickly changing environment, it is crucial that the operations plan may be evaluated.

The external context of Competition

The external competitive environment is the last. a potential source of contingent influence that might have an effect on both the overall operations plan and a number of tactical variables. The following comprehensive factor analysis may be used to take this environment into account. The operations plan will take into account the EFA12 elements and must guarantee a fit with their requirements. Finding a balance between the operations strategy's objectives, positioning, and external competitive environment is crucial. One approach to do this is to see tactical variables and contingency problems as proactive levers that the organisation can use to adapt to the demands of its competitive environment rather than simply as forces to which one must respond [3], [4].

Using tactical elements as Management Tools

We now focus on how each operations plan will be specifically shaped by the numerous tactical considerations and contingency concerns. It should be kept in mind that these varied areas not

only affect the operations strategy, but also have managerial influences on the organization's quantifiable operations goals. We shall briefly discuss eight general management levers:

Products and Methods

The operations strategy places a lot of emphasis on the structures and processes that support the launch of new and modified product and service combinations. These fundamental procedures may include a wide range of people and businesses and span beyond organisational and supply system boundaries. The organisational ability to launch new goods and services at the appropriate stage of the product life cycle is a key factor in growth and success. Indeed, as shown by studies, the creation of products, services, and processes is coming under growing external competitive pressures.

In response to its market, the company will provide goods and services; nevertheless, these will also determine the markets in which the company will operate. They will also specify the new procedures and fundamental skills the company will need. These three components are closely related to one another. A successful new product development process will work to: Reduce the time needed to design a new service or product; Match the characteristics of the product and service combination with consumer requirements; Ensure that customer requirements are met in the simplest and least expensive way possible.

DISCUSSION

Conceptualising the order-qualifying and order-winning criteria is an intriguing method to consider the likelihood of success of a new or modified product and service combination. Order-qualifiers are the requirements that a business must satisfy in order for another business to even consider using it as a supplier or for a consumer to consider buying from it. Order-winners will actually be chosen to provide the good or service.

New Products

Customers, marketing, design, and operations are four closely related sets of activities that go into the creation and modification of goods. New and modified product/service/process development is an organised, methodical activity that will take place in a feedback loop. In the past, new product development and modification often followed a lateral sequence of tasks: modified or new product strategy. the overall emphasis and direction of the action. systematic idea creation from internal and external sources, not only by NPD teams. Idea evaluation. to limit the amount of concepts. Creating and testing a concept. Transforming thoughts into product designs and pictures. The notion is expanded upon in the concept. Consumers' perception of a prospective product is called its image. Here, the company may make use of symbolic and actual target customer groups.

Marketing Approach: The planned product positioning, the intended target market, and the desired sales, market share, and profit margins are all described in the marketing strategy. For instance, the younger, more educated, and higher-earning demographic is the target market for our new sports model. The focus will be on being inexpensive, entertaining, and environmentally friendly.

Enterprise Analysis: A study of sales, cost, and profit forecasts to see if these elements meet organisational goals.

Product Creation: The new product may have merely been conceptualised or mocked up crudely up to this point. It has now been transformed into a tangible, usable product. This necessitates spending. After that, prototypes are tested, and there may also be some client testing.

Marketing Test: In-store style testing is one example of a more realistic market environment where product and marketing programmes are implemented. Controlled test markets and standard market testing are used.

Commercialization: The beginning or launch.

We can see right away that this takes time and does not readily fit with the significant new commercial trends. Additionally, it won't improve reaction time or flexibility. As a result, many businesses try to enhance the design process. Utilising multifunctional design teams, designing for manufacture, assembly, and service provision, designing with environmental factors and their implications in mind, and measuring the various influences and trade-offs that changes to the product or service might make are some methods for achieving this.

New Services

Similar to the creation of new products, designing or changing a service is a creative process. The idea behind the service is to provide features that set it apart from the competitors. Location, facility design, layout, workflow, task definitions, quality standards, customer participation, equipment, and capacity are just a few examples of important factors. In actuality, as services are continuously enhanced and changed, the design process never ends. This approach makes use of a variety of "enablers" including people, things, technology, and systems [5], [6].

New Methods, Essential Skills, And Technologies

The use of new technologies and the automation required to generate and deliver product and service combinations are examples of new and modified processes. However, technology need not be limited to hardware since it also comprises cutting-edge programmes like automated multiphased testing in healthcare or electronic money transfer. Many procedures and technologies are often a crucial component of the finished product during production. However, because clients are actively involved in the supply of services, the process in this case is the product. Thus, client acceptance and their readiness to take an active role in the service process are key factors in the success of any new technology, automation, or procedure.

The management system

The operations system, whether it is a single function or encompasses the whole firm and its supply chain, is crucial for every organisation if it wants to provide the value customers want in product and service combinations. This operational strategy will have a number of tactical practical issues.

Control Strategy

We previously looked at the development of several operational strategies and how to position them to coincide with the firm's competitive goals. It is obvious that the tactical operating system must reflect this stance and convert the business strategy into important operations choices. According to Krajewski and Ritzman, the operations manager must choose a flow strategy based on the firm's competitive priorities for its products and services, which determines how the

operations system is organised to handle the volume and variety of products and services for a particular market segment. Depending on the competitive objectives for each set of goods or services the company wishes to create, it may use more than one flow strategy in its operations. With a flexible flow strategy, the system is set up around the production processes for the good or service for instance, at a health clinic where patients may move between various procedures in various order depending on the disease. The system is organised around the product or service itself when using a line flow approach line flows are generally observed in many mass production facilities, where the product flows along a line of operations. There are numerous different solutions that lie between the two intermediate flows, with flexible flow and line flow being the extremes.

process option

Additionally, processes must be planned and selected to support the flow strategy. In a service factory like a clothes catalogue, there are five fundamental categories that constitute a continuum when contrasted to low level, high volume consumer participation.

Product Control and Planning

The transformation system is controlled and planned for in production planning and scheduling. Additionally, this has to closely align with the operations strategy and all of its components. The production plan, which includes choices on production rates, inventory holding, staffing and labor-related aspects, machine capacity and utilisation, expenses and budgets, material requirements, etc., requires input from several areas [7], [8].

Place, Capability, And Facilities

Many different organisations may consider location to be significant. For businesses like shops and service providers, for instance, it may turn into a deciding strategic element between success and failure. When a manufacturing considers supplies, raw materials, and logistical concerns, location also matters. Decisions on capacity and placement are interdependent. Along with the quantity of goods/services produced, they also place restrictions on the use of raw materials and components, storage, distribution, the number of employees, etc. Decisions on facilities affect the positioning and priorities of distribution hubs and operational units. Are many required? Does each facility carry out the same task, or do they concentrate on a certain industry, method, or mix of goods and services?

The function of information and data

Business, trade, and operations have all undergone radical change as a result of the processing of data and information and the usage of information communication technology. Today, many organisations are driven by information systems rather than information technology. Internal and external information flows are essential for the successful creation and implementation of an operations strategy at the operational level. Information makes it possible to create goals and offer feedback on performance. The contribution of information systems and the technology that improves them is a major part of the operations strategy at this level.

Information systems and information technology are used by retailers, distributors, transit, hospitals, banks, etc. as an essential component of their competitive strategy. Many businesses will purposefully create information and information technology strategies today that emphasise

the significance of this position. The power of knowledge. Power in supply systems comes from information. It gives the decision-maker the capacity to compete, to run a company smoothly and successfully, and it aids us in comprehending growing complexity. We should also take into account how technology helps to increase the availability of data and information. Recent advancements in bar coding, point-of-sale data transmission, electronic data exchange, computer-aided design and manufacturing, and the Internet have made it possible to do business in a whole new manner. To be clear, they are enabling tools and technology and as such are merely parts of an operations strategy – possibly new methods of doing business, but not strategies in and of themselves.

Human resource administration

Any level of strategy corporate, business, operations, and functional—must prioritise the choice, training, and ongoing development of the organization's most valuable asset. HRM as a concept dates back to the early 1970s. Employees were formerly thought of as an expense. Employees began to be seen as resources and assets as ideas about human capital emerged. By the 1980s, it was obvious that managing human resources was a specialised responsibility that belonged in the larger corporate plan.

Quality

The overall quality management lever consists of the methods and equipment used to attain quality goals. Two viewpoints may be used to think about quality: the quality objectives, which are definitions and quantifiable goals, and the methods for achieving these goals. Once again, a plethora of business and management literature is devoted to the works of quality gurus, quality techniques and ideas, and comprehensive quality management. We can only provide a few general viewpoints at this point: Quality management strategies will differ depending on the industry; Quality is connected to value and the process of providing value, which places an emphasis on both internal and external clients. Each operation should benefit a specific consumer; quality is related to the whole bundle that we refer to as product and service combinations. In the delivery of goods and services, quality obviously encompasses a wide range of factors, including performance characteristics, dependability, compliance, durability, serviceability, aesthetics, and perceived quality, to mention just a few. Personal attention, reliability, empathy, expertise, security, compassion, and other qualities top a long list of desirable traits in the service industry.

Within organisations, sourcing, buying, and procurement often take on a strategic role. Turning them into independent operational plans. This is a crucial management lever and has an impact on the operations strategy given the significance of resource inputs to almost every form of organisation.

The activity includes logistics, distribution, and buying. Decisions include who to order from, where to order from, when to order, and how much to order. Purchasing and operations clearly have a strong relationship. Choosing, evaluating, and developing sources of materials, supplies, and services; maintaining and forging close relationships with suppliers; negotiating and controlling quality, delivery, payments, and returns; seeking out new sources of better materials, products, and services; and negotiating and acquiring raw materials, capital equipment, consumer goods, and services at competitive prices consistent with quality [9], [10].

Infrastructure and building decisions

The operations system or network should be briefly mentioned. Every transformation activity is a component of a broader, more intricately integrated network with design concerns for the organisation, flow, and roles. Both within and across the network's larger supply and demand layers, the flows of data and information, along with the flow of commodities and services, must be coordinated. The following topics were crucial to the network's design:

Vertical fusion: How much does one action influence the network as a whole? What percentage of the network should a company own? The focal point of vertical integration is these purchase or make choices. Some businesses will provide the raw materials, produce all the components, handle final assembly, and distribute the products. Some of these responsibilities will be delegated to providers by others.

Quasi-ownership contracts related to outsourcing, third-party agreements, vendor-managed inventories, and even partly owned suppliers in preferred supply networks fall somewhere in the middle.

Site of operation: Where should the network's various components be placed? These are really crucial choices.

Capacity: How much space does each component of the network have physically? What considerations about long-term capacity are required?

Expansion: What direction are any planned vertical integrations or capacity increases taking? Are there any intentions to integrate horizontally? What is the length of the needed process span? How are the remaining vertical activities distributed in terms of balance?

All of these choices might have an impact on the supply system or network's overall quality, responsiveness, reliability, flexibility, and cost.

Supply Chain

Numerous businesses use the distinctive operations model known as network supply. The supply chain strategy that addresses a linear supply chain is a subtype of this. We prefer to use the terms network or system instead of "chain" since, unfortunately, it does not adequately capture the intricacy involved in these actions. Furthermore, as we will see, the approach is more concerned with supply than demand.

The reason supply chain management is brought up here is not because of how often it is used in business, but rather because any operations plan will be significantly impacted by the numerous interactions, activities, and links in a supply system. Thus, a number of tactical difficulties relating to the supply chain will have an impact on the operations plan chosen.

The relationships between suppliers and consumers take centre stage in the supply network. In reality, both the supply and demand sides of the business and its value chain are involved in the management of the supply system.

Competitive advantage cannot be defined by considering a firm as a whole. It results from the several separate tasks that a company completes in the design, production, marketing, delivery, and support of its product. Each of these actions has the potential to influence a company's relative cost position and provide the groundwork for differentiation. To understand the

behaviour of costs and the current and prospective sources of distinction, the value chain breaks down a company into its strategically important operations. By doing these crucial tasks cheaper or more effectively than its rivals, a company earns a competitive edge.

Porter

Michael Porter emphasises the significance of fundamental tasks including operations, inbound logistics, and outbound logistics. He also affirms that these operations are part of a larger value system that includes the value or supply chains of several firms.

In order to provide higher customer value at a lower cost to the supply chain as a whole, supply chain management is defined as "the management of upstream and down-stream relationships with suppliers and customers."

In the most recent business literature, this topic is covered by a wide variety of words and phrases. We'll now make an effort to differentiate some of the numerous ideas, albeit we'll once again return the reader to our initial operations strategy genealogy. Supply chain management and buying. a concentration on the upstream, supply-side business activity organisation. Management of physical distribution. similar to the aforementioned, but on the demand or downstream side. Managing the materials. Involves supply and demand but is only applicable to physical goods before to, during, and after the operations change.

Inventory control includes choices on the supply and demand sides related to ordering, distribution, material management, and logistics. It also tackles how much to order, when to order it, where to store it, and how to transport it a logistics. an action that goes beyond just physical distribution. It includes arranging how information and goods flow through an organization's supply chain to the consumer, focusing mostly on the demand side of the organisation. Supposedly a tactical strategy.

Management of the supply chain or the supply system. An operational management activity that covers a number of entity interactions in the pipeline and is concerned with the connections throughout the whole supply and demand chain, from the origin of the material to consumption and disposal.

Management of the value chain. The fundamental value-creating activities in either a value chain or value system are highlighted in our suggestion that value chain management is maybe a more conceptual approach. Value is just the difference between the price one may charge and the cost of creating an item or service.

The several strategies created by businesses to cover the main value-adding activities of inbound logistics, operations, and outbound logistics depend on some of the tactical elements mentioned above that are essential to the success of a strategy or specific positioning.

CONCLUSION

This chapter has looked at the tactical variables and emergency situations that affect the operations plan. In order to do this, we have positioned the operations strategy inside a larger, more competitive business environment and taken into account its posture within that context. A variety of tactical or support actions that may be conceived of on three levels also have an impact on the operations strategy. As they make up the specific skills that guide the goals, mission, operations, and business strategies, all of the aforementioned components should be aligned with

the operations strategy. Through the implementation of its operations strategy, the alignment of all these components will provide the firm foundation for a lasting competitive advantage.

REFERENCES:

[1]   J. D. Fonseca, J. M. Commenge, M. Camargo, L. Falk, and I. D. Gil, "Multi-criteria optimization for the design and operation of distributed energy systems considering sustainability dimensions," *Energy*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2020.118989.

[2]   D. M. A. Dueñas, M. Riedel, M. Riegraf, R. Costa, and K. A. Friedrich, "High Temperature Co-electrolysis for Power-to-X," *Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik*, 2020, doi: 10.1002/cite.201900119.

[3]   A. Distanont and O. Khongmalai, "The role of innovation in creating a competitive advantage," *Kasetsart J. Soc. Sci.*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.kjss.2018.07.009.

[4]   W. Huang, "Does banking deregulation affect accounting conservatism?," *J. Account. Public Policy*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2021.106876.

[5]   N. King, "Exploring the impact of operating model choice on the governance of inter-organizational workflow: The U.S. e-prescribing network," *Eur. J. Inf. Syst.*, 2013, doi: 10.1057/ejis.2012.47.

[6]   W. M. Lu, "Intellectual capital and university performance in Taiwan," *Econ. Model.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.econmod.2012.03.021.

[7]   M. C. Soares, C. V. Ferreira, and T. B. Murari, "Supply chain resilience and industry 4.0: a evaluation of the Brazilian northeast automotive OEM scenario post COVID-19," *AI Perspect.*, 2021, doi: 10.1186/s42467-021-00010-1.

[8]   C. Herrera, A. Thomas, and V. Parada, "A product-driven system approach for multilevel decisions in manufacturing planning and control," *Prod. Manuf. Res.*, 2014, doi: 10.1080/21693277.2014.949895.

[9]   K. A. S., S. Tjandra, and S. T. B. Tambunan, "Perancangan Website Human Resource Administration," *J. Inf. Syst. Hosp. Technol.*, 2019, doi: 10.37823/insight.v1i01.8.

[10]   C. O. Medeiros, S. B. Cavalli, and R. P. Da Costa Proença, "Human resources administration processes in commercial restaurants and food safety: The actions of administrators," *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.09.002.