Financial Inclusion in Rural Areas

Manjula Jain

ALEXIS PRESS
JERSEY CITY, USA

FINANCIAL INCLUSION IN RURAL AREAS

FINANCIAL INCLUSION IN RURAL AREAS

Manjula Jain

ALEXIS PRESS

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

First Published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Financial Inclusion in Rural Areas by *Manjula Jain*

ISBN 979-8-89161-313-3

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

OVERVIEW TO FINANCIAL INCLUSION IN RURAL AREAS

Manjula Jain, Professor,
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- jainmanjula776@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Particularly in rural regions where access to formal financial services is often restricted, financial inclusion has emerged as a major driver of economic growth and poverty reduction. This study examines the many facets of financial inclusion in rural areas while examining the obstacles, chances, and approaches to increasing access to financial services. This research intends to provide light on the significance of financial inclusion in promoting equitable development by studying the influence of technology, policy interventions, and community participation. Geographical restrictions, low income levels, and a lack of understanding all contribute to the exclusion of many people from the official financial system, which is a characteristic of rural regions. In this context, the term "financial inclusion" refers to initiatives to make available to rural residents inexpensive and accessible financial services including savings, credit, insurance, and payment methods.

KEYWORDS:

Digital Banking, Financial Inclusion, Financial Literacy, Mobile Banking, Policy Interventions, Rural Areas, Technology.

INTRODCUTION

Regardless of a person's economic level or location, financial inclusion refers to the accessibility and availability of financial services and products to all demographic groups. Even while global infrastructural and technological improvements have substantially enhanced financial inclusion, rural communities still have a difficult time getting access to these services. A comprehensive strategy is needed to address the complicated and varied challenge of financial inclusion in rural communities. Distance from major centres, lower income levels, and a lack of adequate infrastructure are common characteristics of rural communities. These elements play a part in the difficulty in gaining access to traditional financial institutions including banks, insurance companies, and investment corporations. Rural communities are denied access to crucial financial resources including savings accounts, credit options, insurance coverage, and investment possibilities without these institutions. This isolation prevents economic development in these places and feeds a cycle of poverty[1], [2].

The absence of physical infrastructure in rural regions is one of the main obstacles to financial inclusion. Basic financial services are difficult for locals to obtain in many rural areas due to a shortage of bank branches and ATMs. Financial institutions are typically reluctant to increase their presence in rural locations since the expense of establishing and maintaining physical branches there often exceeds the potential earnings. As a consequence, rural households must make time- and money-consuming lengthy trips to receive financial services. Furthermore, rural people' requirements are often not met by conventional banking arrangements. Due to variables including agricultural cycles, rural families' income and spending habits might be erratic and cyclical. Their creditworthiness may not be adequately assessed using conventional procedures, which might result in loan denials. Innovative credit scoring models that take into account other data sources, such as mobile phone use and utility bill payments, have being investigated to better solve this issue[3], [4].

The development of mobile technology offers a huge chance to narrow the financial inclusion gap. Even in remote locations, mobile phones are already commonplace and serve as a platform for the delivery of financial services. Rural communities now have access to mobile banking, mobile wallets, and mobile-based payment methods that eliminate the need for a physical bank office. This technologically driven strategy might significantly increase financial accessibility in rural regions, making it more practical and affordable for both consumers and service providers.In order to improve financial inclusion in rural regions, government action is also essential. Financial institutions may be encouraged to extend their service offerings to underserved areas via regulatory and policy efforts. Financial institutions may expand their reach by using subsidies, grants, and tax incentives to defray the expenses of opening and operating branches in rural regions. Governments may also assist in the creation of locally based financial institutions that are more sensitive to the unique requirements of rural communities, such as credit unions and microfinance organisations[5], [6].

Education and financial knowledge are both critical to increasing financial inclusion. Many people who live in rural areas may not completely comprehend the advantages of formal financial services or how to use them. People may be equipped to make wise financial choices by being knowledgeable about the value of saves, responsible borrowing, and investing. Raising financial literacy and empowering rural populations may be accomplished via workshops, training initiatives, and educational campaignAccess to insurance is another essential component of financial inclusion in addition to banking services. Various dangers, including agricultural failure, natural catastrophes, and health issues, often put rural people at risk. Access to insurance products may act as a safety net, preventing families from being stuck in a debt cycle as a result of unplanned circumstances. Microinsurance, which provides coverage catered to rural communities' requirements and income levels, has showed promise in resolving this problem.

Additionally, promoting economic activity and entrepreneurship in rural regions helps increase financial inclusion. When people in rural areas have the resources to launch and grow their own enterprises, they provide money and support the local economy. The need for financial services such as business loans, savings accounts, and payment options therefore rises. Rural entrepreneurs may be helped and a more dynamic economic environment can be created by offering training, finance access, and mentoring programmes o sum up, tackling the problem of financial inclusion in rural regions requires a multifaceted strategy that integrates technology, policy, education, and economic growth. Access to financial services may be considerably improved by using mobile technology, and government rules and incentives can motivate financial companies to broaden their clientele. Rural inhabitants' financial well-being may be further improved by providing customised solutions like micro insurance and financial literacy education. We can end the cycle of exclusion and strive towards a more inclusive and fair financial environment by providing rural communities with the resources and information they need.

Developmentis a widely treasured objective of people, families, communities, and governments everywhere in the globe, regardless of how we define it. The need for survival and growth is innate in all living forms on Earth, thus development is likewise natural in this regard. Given these two qualitiesits universal dominance as a goal and its occurrence naturallydevelopment merits a scientific investigation and evaluation. It is thus not unexpected that researchers from many religions, philosophies, and academic fields have investigated the topic of development. It is difficult to justify writing yet another book on development when there has already been so much written and spoken about it. However, I firmly believe that a textbook on rural development is necessary; one that draws out

insightful and useful information from the large body of research on the topic and synthesizes it in meaningful ways.. stating the above, I will now look at some of the definitions and connotations that are often used to describe development in general and rural development in particular. The goal is to come up with a definition that is widely accepted and simple to use.

DISCUSSION

Since the term "development" is ambiguous and filled with values, no one can agree on what it means. In various situations, the phrase is employed in a variety of ways. Basically, it refers to "unfolding," "revealing," or "opening up" anything that has been dormant. Therefore, when referring to humans, it refers to developing or releasing their potential.The word "development" often connotes a change that is beneficial. It is hard to come up with a definition of development that is generally accepted since what is desired at one time, location, and in one culture may not be desirable at other periods or at other places in the same place and in the same cultural context. However, development may typically be thought of as a collection or vector of desirable social goals or as a development measure that does not decline through time. The following are a few of the goals that are often included of the set:

- A rise in actual per-capita income (economic growth).
- An improvement in income distribution (equity).
- Freedom of the press and the marketplace.
- Equal access to resources, justice, work opportunities, education, and healthcare.

Thus defined, the idea is relevant at all scales, from people to communities to countries to the whole planet. All people, groups, and countries value development, regardless of their culture, religion, or geographic location.Sustainable development has gained popularity in recent years. Sustainable development is defined as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (World Commission on Environment and Development 1987:43). This definition highlights the necessity for society to promote intergenerational fairness by ensuring that current generations do not spend to the point where they make it impossible for future generations to enjoy even the current level of consumption and well-being. Sustainable development, put simply, is a process where the list of acceptable social goals or the development index doesn't become smaller over time. Sustainable development is dependent on the natural capital stock, which includes the environment and natural resources. A suitable institutional structure and governance system for the execution of sustainable development policies at the national and international levels are included in the list of "sufficient conditions."

The phrase "rural development" refers to the general transformation of rural areas1 with the goal of enhancing the standard of living of rural residents. In this respect, it is a broad and multifaceted notion that covers the growth of rural regions' socioeconomic infrastructure, community services, and facilities, as well as, most importantly, their people resources. It also includes village and cottage industries, crafts, and socioeconomic infrastructure.Rural development may be seen of as a phenomena, process, strategy, and discipline. It suggests that over time, people, communities, and countries will work together to pursue their most important objectives. The combination of different physical, technical, economic, sociocultural, and institutional elements leads to rural development as a phenomena. It serves as a technique to enhance the economic and social well-being of a certain population, namely the rural poor. It is a multidisciplinary field that combines the fields of engineering, management, social, and behavioural sciences with those of agriculture. According to Chambers (1983: 147), rural development is a plan to help a particular set of peoplepoor rural women and menget more of what they desire and need for themselves and their children. It

entails assisting the most vulnerable individuals seeking a living in rural regions to demand and exercise greater control over the benefits of rural development. Small-scale 6farmers, tenants, and landless people are all included in this category[7], [8].

Therefore, any of the aforementioned implications may be implied by the word "rural development." We will define rural development as "a process leading to sustainable improvement in the quality of life of rural people, especially the poor" in order to prevent ineffective floundering among the various definitions.This process often entails changes in public attitudes and, in many instances, even in traditions and beliefs, in addition to economic progress. In a nutshell, the process of rural development must include the whole range of changes that a social system through as it transitions from a 'unsatisfactory' state of living to one that is financially and spiritually better. Rural growth may be likened to a train where each coach pushes the one in front of it and is pushed in turn by the one behind, but the whole train needs a strong engine to move. Finding a suitable engine to connect to the train and, if necessary, constructing one is key to rural development success. If any blueprints for identifying suitable rural development engines exist at all, they are not widely accepted. It is a decision that is influenced by culture, time, and place.

Development is not just an economic phenomenon, therefore even if economic growth is a crucial element, it is not the only one. In the broadest sense, it must go beyond only the monetary and material aspects of people's life. Therefore, development need to be seen as a multifaceted process that involves the restructuring and reorientation of both economic and social institutions. It entai6ls dramatic changes in institutional, social, and administrative structures as well as values and ethos of people and communities, in addition to improvements in the amount and distribution of revenues and production. One may look at the instances of Punjab and Kerala in India to show the distinction between economic growth and development. The former is superior to the latter in terms of economic development as determined by average per capita income alone, but the latter is superior to Punjab in terms of development as determined by factors such as literacy rate, infant mortality rate, sex ratio, and law and order situation in addition to average per capita income. The universal realisation of development may also need significant changes to the global economic, social, and political institutions, even though it is often defined in a national context.

Like in other emerging nations, the average person in India anticipates a greater level of life for himself, his family, his society, and his country. Although specific expectations vary from person to person and area to region, there is a universal expectation that material living circumstances would significantly improve.People want and anticipate having greater access to food, clothing, housing, education, a stable existence, and liberation from slavery. The third world has undergone an expectation revolution, and this is what it looks like.

This occurrence may be explained in a variety of ways. First, the consumption and utility functions of the poor have been warped by the demonstration impact of the rural elite, urban affluent, and foreign visitors engaged in conspicuous consumption of exotic and luxury products. Second, exposure to contemporary technology and lifestyles via films, radio, television, and advertising has raised expectations among the general public. Third, local and national leaders have promised the rural poor access to contemporary conveniences in exchange for their support. Fourth, the central governments have often stated that their main policy objective is the elimination of poverty. The average person first learnt about new goods, technologies, and services via these media; they later developed a desire for them, and now they are in demand.

There will inevitably be a collision between growing aspirations and economic realities since the economies of the majority of emerging nations, including India, cannot possible fulfil

these promises in the near future. The results will vary from nation to nation, but they will undoubtedly include disillusionment, demoralisation, agitations and political upheavals, violence, and a number of other antisocial behaviours including theft, murder, smuggling, and drug trafficking. Because of this, India must prioritise quick agricultural and economic growth in order to close the gap between growing expectations and the country's actual economic situation. Change has development as both a cause and an effect. They are linked in a reciprocal manner, wherein development both influences and is influenced by change. A physical, technical, economic, social, cultural, attitudinal, organisational, or political change is implied by the term "change." While every manifestation of growth may be linked to a change someplace, not all changes result in development every time. Either better (development) or worse (retrogression) changes are possible[9], [10].

A "change" in the context of rural development may be seen of as a tool for fostering rural development. The introduction of new, high-yielding crop varieties, fertilisers, better farm equipment, and pesticides in India in the middle of the 1960s sparked the so-called "Green Revolution" in agriculture. Similar to how artificial insemination of dairy animals and modern milk processing plants, as well as organisational innovations like the Anand-pattern dairy cooperatives introduced in India on a large scale in the early 1970s under the Operation Flood (OF) programme, significantly aided in the modernization and growth of the nation's dairy industry. The rise of agriculture in other places, such Taiwan and the People's Republic of China (PRC), was primarily a consequence of institutional changes, particularly land reforms and technical advancements. One of the most prominent proponents of revolutionary (socio-economic) transformation as a tool for growth was Karl Marx.

A modification might happen automatically or on its own. Both the source and the effect of progress are human beings. The human element is what makes the development process essential. Although studying persons is fundamental to understanding development, this research must instead focus on how individuals interact with one another, with their environment, and with other people.The ability of the human person as an individualand of the human race as a wholeto be at his best is enabled by the development of circumstances, both material and spiritual. A person is under the power of others who determine their means of subsistence. When one's ability to work and feed is contingent upon others, one's independence is illusory. A country is not autonomous if another country controls its economic resources. If a country does not control the means by which its inhabitants may make a livelihood, political independence is useless.

In other words, only when economic growth is based on the equality and human dignity of all parties concerned can human progress follow.A human person cannot get human dignity by the compassion of others. In fact, acts of kindness that stem from charitable acts can undermine human dignity because these deeds violate human rights to equality, freedom, and respect for one another. Human dignity also depends on responsibility and active participation in the society in which one lives and works.Therefore, the growth of individuals is important to the whole structure of national and global communities. There aren't many civilizations in the world that could be claimed to fulfil this function. Few, if any, people support social justice and are organised to work for it in the so-called Revolution of Rising Expectations.

Under capitalism, the greatest technological and economic advancement has been attained. However, a tiny group of individuals who have access to land and money make the choices about what things should be produced and how they should be produced. Their decision-making is based on whether the action will benefit their position as land or capital owners in terms of profit, power, or status. If human needs are taken into account at all, they are

addressed secondarily.There is "no money" for schools and hospitals but enough for five-star hotels, contemporary retail centres, and opulent apartments since there is no profit in creating affordable housing, thus they are not constructed. The end effect is that a select few individuals enjoy luxurious lifestyles, exploiting the riches generated by humanity to maintain their own grandeur and power.

Many men, women, and children are simultaneously subjected to beggarism, filth, and the humiliation of that disease the soul-devouring insecurity that results from their forced poverty.Let us be quite clear: if we are interested in a human being as a person, then we must also show this by being concerned in the community to which they belong, since human beings are moulded by their environments. They will behave like animals if they are treated like animals. If their dignity is violated, they will behave appropriately. They will develop into soulless "hands" that see life as a question of working as little as possible before using vice to enter the delusion of pleasure and pride. If they are just seen as a disposable method of production.

Growing urbanisation has been linked to economic progress in Western nations, as seen by the rising share of the population living in cities. As a result, economists often use urbanisation as a measure of progress. Increasing concentrations of industrial firms with high capital requirements and infrastructure networks in urban centres are, of course, a direct result of expanding urbanisation.

This kind of specialisation has led to the presence of two distinct economic subsystems in an economy, or what is called in the literature on the economics of development as "dualism," particularly in many developing nations. On the one hand, a modest but very advanced and contemporary urban subsector of the economy consumes the majority of the available material, financial, and skilled human resources. On the other side, there is a sizable but traditional and undeveloped rural subsector that makes up the bulk of the population and is marked by pervasive poverty, unemployment, and poor productivity. Both subsectors coexist in many emerging nations, but they lack the connections that were formerly the primary driving forces behind the growth of the industrialised nations of today.

On the other side, a new belief that is quickly taking hold in many developing nations is that rural development is essential to achieving overall development and should be given first priority when allocating resources. The proponents of this school of thinking often ignore or minimise the connections between the rural and urban economic sectors of the economy in their zeal to advance the cause of rural development. A new strategy for development is required that understands the connections and complementarities between the rural and urban subsectors and calls for their full integration.

Growing urbanisation has been linked to economic progress in Western nations, as seen by the rising share of the population living in cities. As a result, economists often use urbanisation as a measure of progress. Increasing concentrations of industrial firms with high capital requirements and infrastructure networks in urban centres are, of course, a direct result of expanding urbanisation.

This kind of specialisation has led to the presence of two distinct economic subsystems in an economy, or what is called in the literature on the economics of development as "dualism," particularly in many developing nations. On the one hand, a modest but very advanced and contemporary urban subsector of the economy consumes the majority of the available material, financial, and skilled human resources. On the other side, there is a sizable but traditional and undeveloped rural subsector that makes up the bulk of the population and is marked by pervasive poverty, unemployment, and poor productivity. Both subsectors coexist

in many emerging nations, but they lack the connections that were formerly the primary driving forces behind the growth of the industrialised nations of today.On the other side, a new belief that is quickly taking hold in many developing nations is that rural development is essential to achieving overall development and should be given first priority when allocating resources. The proponents of this school of thinking often ignore or minimise the connections between the rural and urban economic sectors of the economy in their zeal to advance the cause of rural development. A new strategy for development is required that understands the connections and complementarities between the rural and urban subsectors and calls for their full integration.

CONCLUSION

The elimination of poverty and promotion of fair economic development both depend critically on financial inclusion in rural regions. The foundations for reaching this aim are technology, regulatory changes, and community involvement. The increased usage of mobile phones and other technological developments, in particular, provide creative ways to close the accessibility gap between rural areas and financial services. In addition to protecting consumer interests, strategic policy actions may foster an atmosphere that promotes financial institutions to expand into rural regions. Sustainable financial inclusion, however, goes beyond technology advancements and political adjustments. Enhancing financial literacy and fostering trust in rural areas need for a deliberate effort. Financial inclusion may be a potent instrument for creating economic empowerment and enhancing quality of life in rural regions by equipping people with the knowledge and abilities to access and manage financial services and by building collaborations with local organisations. Prioritising financial inclusion in rural areas is crucial as nations work towards inclusive development if they want to make sure that no one is left behind on the path to wealth.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Bhuvana en S. Vasantha, “Dimensions for measuring financial inclusion in the rural areas of Tamil Nadu”, *Indian J. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i32/98663.

[2] V. BHISE en S. BABAR, “Financial Inclusion in Rural Area: A Case Study”, *TIJ’s Res. J. Soc. Sci. Manag. - RJSSM*, 2016.

[3] B. Jacobs, R. De Groot, en A. Fernandes Antunes, “Financial access to health care for older people in Cambodia: 10-year trends (2004-14) and determinants of catastrophic health expenses”, *Int. J. Equity Health*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12939-016-0383-z.

[4] J. P. Wentzel, K. S. Diatha, en V. S. S. Yadavalli, “An investigation into factors impacting financial exclusion at the bottom of the pyramid in South Africa”, *Dev. South. Afr.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/0376835X.2015.1120648.

[5] M. Bhuvana, S. Vasantha, en R. Bharath, “Information and communication technology (ICT) - A drive for financial inclusion”, *J. Chem. Pharm. Sci.*, 2016.

[6] Madhulata, “Assessment of financial literacy in rural areas of Sonepat district of Haryana”, *Int. J. Res. Financ. Mark.*, 2016.

[7] M. Mala & Dr en . G Vasanthi, “Problems and Challenges of Indian Post in Financial Inclusion”, *Int. J. Interdiscip. Res. Arts Humanit. Impact*, 2016.

[8] M. Maheswari en B. R. Vivek, “EMPOWERING WOMEN: UNCOVERING FINANCIAL INCLUSION BARRIERS”, *Adv. Soc. Sci. Res. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.14738/assrj.34.1563.

[9] J. S. Gandhi, “Impact of Microfinance on Women Empowerment”, *Soc. Work Chron.*, 2016, doi: 10.21863/swc/2016.5.1.013.

[10] M. R. Richards, B. Saloner, G. M. Kenney, K. V. Rhodes, en D. Polsky, “Availability of New Medicaid Patient Appointments and the Role of Rural Health Clinics”, *Health Serv. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.12334.

CHAPTER 2

INVESTIGATION OF CAPITAL VERSUS LABOR DOGMA IN RURAL AREAS

Nazia Hasan, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- nazia_14m@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT:

The labor-intensive strategy, on the other hand, places a higher priority on the maintenance and improvement of traditional rural livelihoods. Supporting labor-intensive businesses like agriculture and crafts and investing in human capital, according to proponents, may provide long-term job possibilities while preserving regional customs. However, this strategy could run into issues with slow technology adoption and significant restrictions on productivity development. These strategies' convergence points imply possible synergy. Transformational outcomes may be attained by strategic investments that include money injection, talent development, and knowledge transfer. For instance, integrating the right technology into agriculture while also offering training to farmers may increase output without alienating the local labour force. Similar to how urban infrastructure improvements may help labor-intensive firms flourish in rural areas The context, including economic growth, resources available, and local ambitions, is vital in selecting the best course of action. Taking into account the particular advantages of each area, a customised combination of labourandcapital oriented policies may maximise the results of rural development.

KEYWORDS:

Capital, Labor, Labor-Intensive Approach, Rural Areas, Rural Development, Sustainable Growth.

INTRODUCTION

Today's development economists took on this heritage from their forebears in the industrialised nations, who saw wealth as the main tool for development. A common illustration of this doctrine is the Harrod-Domar model.In this model, the rate of growth is calculated as the sum of the output-to-capital ratio and the savings rate. Given that labour is in excess supply and that capital and labour cannot be exchanged for one another, capital becomes the primary restraint on economic expansion. These techno-economists who believed that every new technology is embedded in capital gave this orthodoxy a further boost.Development economists and planners in underdeveloped nations have blindly adopted capital fundamentalism. As a result, a number of policies have been implemented in these nations with the goals of boosting savings, redistributing income from workers to capitalists, giving monopoly rights to national and multinational corporations, shifting resources from the private to the public sector, increasing reliance on foreign aid and loans, and underpricing capital, particularly foreign exchange for capital goods. This has had a lot of negative repercussions on these countries' economy. For instance, the undervaluation of foreign exchange for capital goods has destroyed the incentive to develop labor-intensive technologies that are tailored to domestic needs and circumstances, and has resulted in premature and excessive mechanisation in a number of sectors, leading to labour shortages and underutilization of other domestic resources[1], [2].

Human capital creation is now included in the fundamentalism of capitalism. Most developing nations heavily fund higher education, which adds millions of recent college and university graduates each year to the ranks of the jobless white collar proletariat. Huge

investments have been made in India's higher education institutions, especially in the fields of management, engineering, technology, agriculture, and medicine. Many of these schools' graduates often go for employment overseas since they dislike the working conditions and pay scales that are prevalent here. As a result, the government loses the limited resources used to fund their education and training. In contrast to institutions for highly sophisticated training, it seems that at this point in India's economic and technical growth, we need more institutions that teach barefoot agricultural and other technicians, engineers, physicians, and rural managers. Similarly, this is a legacy that today's development economists have received from their forebears in the industrialised nations, who saw money as the primary tool for development. A common illustration of this doctrine is the Harrod-Domar model (Tadaro 1995: 70–72). In this model, the rate of growth is calculated as the sum of the output-to-capital ratio and the savings rate. Given that labour is in excess supply and that capital and labour cannot be exchanged for one another, capital becomes the primary restraint on economic expansion. These techno-economists who believed that every new technology is embedded in capital gave this orthodoxy a further boost[3], [4].

Development economists and planners in underdeveloped nations have blindly adopted capital fundamentalism. As a result, a number of policies have been implemented in these nations with the goals of boosting savings, redistributing income from workers to capitalists, giving monopoly rights to national and multinational corporations, shifting resources from the private to the public sector, increasing reliance on foreign aid and loans, and underpricing capital, particularly foreign exchange for capital goods. This has had a lot of negative repercussions on these countries' economy. For instance, the undervaluation of foreign exchange for capital goods has destroyed the incentive to develop labor-intensive technologies that are tailored to domestic needs and circumstances, and has resulted in premature and excessive mechanisation in a number of sectors, leading to labour shortages and underutilization of other domestic resources.

Human capital creation is now included in the fundamentalism of capitalism. Most developing nations heavily fund higher education, which adds millions of recent college and university graduates each year to the ranks of the jobless white collar proletariat. Huge investments have been made in India's higher education institutions, especially in the fields of management, engineering, technology, agriculture, and medicine. Many of these schools' graduates often go for employment overseas since they dislike the working conditions and pay scales that are prevalent here. As a result, the government loses the limited resources used to fund their education and training. In contrast to institutions for highly sophisticated training, it seems that at this point in India's economic and technical growth, we need more institutions that teach barefoot agricultural and other technicians, engineers, physicians, and rural managers. Similar to this, one questions why we should generate more college and university graduates than we need in fields like the arts, business, and veterinary and agricultural sciences. Pricing higher education at its true resources cost, which is far more than the current cost, might reduce demand for it to meet the availability of employment.

Most students in the United States of America (USA) and other Western nations stop their education after high school and start their own businesses. But because of their extensive, highly practical, and contextually relevant training, high school graduates are competent to launch and run their own small company or accept wage-paying positions. Making our education less expensive and more in line with our needs is something we should take away from this experience. Our current approach to education has to be completely updated since it is no longer relevant in light of the world we live in today. The importance of vocationalization of education must be emphasised further[5], [6].

Autonomous versus Induced Development

Every nation has some natural or autonomous growth throughout time, but the degree and rate may not be sufficient to sustain a minimally acceptable quality of life. In these circumstances, some kind of intervention is required to quicken the normal growth process. One sort of intervention that has grown popular in many developing nations is development planning, which is seen as the key to progress. In truth, even the most developed nations have realized the need of some kind of economic planning or government involvement. The idea that dispersed planning is preferable than central planning and that any planning is preferable to no planning at all seems to be gaining support.We must understand, nevertheless, that planning can only be helpful if it makes it possible to attain development goals more quickly and effectively than if it were left to natural forces. It is now clearer than ever that the government cannot handle all aspects of growth on its own. Private, cooperative, corporate, and other non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and agencies must share it, but most importantly must the general populace. Government planning need to support and add to the work done by people and NGOs.Planning should focus on creating a supportive economic and political climate for individuals so they may accomplish their aspirations as well as establishing and carefully enforcing the rules of the game. India is the largest democracy in the world and one of the oldest civilizations still in existence.It boasts two of the world's 18 ecological hotspots and a rich and diversified cultural history. In terms of people, it is the second most populous country after China, first in terms of the number of cattle and buffalo, and sixth in terms of size. It is now one of the seven nuclear weapons powers and has the third biggest pool of technically skilled labour in the world. Its mainland extends across an area of 3.29 million square kilometers, or 329 million hectares (mha), and is around 3,214 km long from north to south and 2,933 km wide from east to west. India has made significant advancements in science and technology over the previous 60 years since gaining its independence, and it is now self-sufficient in the production of food grains and milk. On the down side, India still struggles with issues like illiteracy, poverty, unemployment, and susceptibility to natural disasters. It has still not been able to fully utilise and exploit its natural and human resources for the benefit of its people[7], [8].

India's economy is mostly of a rural nature. This is shown by the fact that in 2001, around 72% of the country's population resided in its roughly 6.38 lakh villages, and approximately 52% of its labour force was employed in agriculture and related rural pursuits. Over a billion people depend on agriculture and related industries for their livelihood, and in 2006–07, they provided around 18% of India's gross domestic product (GDP) at factor cost at current prices.Rural development and agricultural development go hand in hand in an overwhelmingly agrarian nation like India, where both are necessary for total growth.Consequently, the cornerstone of national growth in such a country should be agricultural development.Since the Physiocrats' time, the significance of agriculture in economic growth has been acknowledged and explored. The Physiocrats claimed that only the agricultural sector played the most crucial part in economic growth since it generated an economic surplus over the cost of production. They saw trade and manufacturing as unproductive in the sense that the value of the raw materials handled by these industries increased only to the extent necessary to cover the costs of labour and capital incurred during the course of production.The significance of agriculture in economic growth was also acknowledged by ancient authors. It is currently thought that Adam Smith's fundamental development model was built on the agricultural sector. He believed that in order to fund non-farm activities, there needed to be an agricultural surplus produced, we provide a general review of India's rural economy with a focus on its agricultural output sector and the

contribution of agriculture to the country's overall growth. We start by outlining the key elements of India's rural economy, including its size, structure, and composition.

A set of economic, social, institutional, legal, and technical structures that people in society use to improve their material and spiritual well-being may be thought of as an economy. Consumption and production are the two basic tasks of an economy. Consumption is regarded as an economy's main engine. The adage "the consumer is sovereign" is based on the idea that a consumer's demand, which is one of the essential conditions for the existence of any commercial company or, for that matter, any economic activity, including production, is a manifestation of the consumer's power. The rural sector and the non-rural sector are the two primary economic segments that make up India. The agricultural subsector and the non-agricultural subsector are the two primary subsectors that make up the rural sector. The agricultural subsector includes agriculture as well as related industries including forestry, fisheries, poultry, and animal husbandry. The non-agricultural subsector includes commercial, service, and small-scale village industry-related economic activities. The term "industry" in this context refers to khadi, handlooms, handicrafts, and other small-scale and local industries. Business refers to small businesses, the selling of common commodities, local stores, petty merchants, etc., while services relate to transportation, banking, communications, the provision of inputs, the marketing of agricultural and non-agricultural products, etc. Farmers, agricultural and non-agricultural workers, craftsmen, dealers, moneylenders, and those involved in providing services including transport, communications, processing, banking, education, and extension are some of the primary players in the rural sector.

The amount of land, water, forest, and fisheries available, the size of the rural population, the number of animals, the quantity of production inputs utilised, and the output generated may all be used to estimate the size of the rural sector. India has a wealth of genetically diverse livestock resources, and it tops the global rankings for both the number of cattle and buffaloes. The nation has 185 million cattle, 98 million buffaloes, 61.5 million sheep, 124 million goats, 14 million pigs, and 489 million poultry birds, according to the 2002–2003 livestock census (Government of India [GoI] 2005a: 318). However, animal productivity is similarly low to that of land in terms of average milk, meat, and wool yields per head. Additionally, compared to the carrying capacity of the nation's grazing grounds and feed supplies, cattle density is very high. The country's limited land and water resources are under significant strain from the livestock population, which has resulted in depletion of these resources in many environmentally vulnerable places including mountainous, arid, and semiarid regions. One of the natural resources that has an impact on both the overall level and speed of growth and agricultural development in particular is land. India's entire geographic area is around 329 mha, of which 188 mha are degraded, or 57% of the nation's total geographic area. On the 188 mha of deteriorated land, water erosion damaged roughly 149 mha, wind erosion 13.5 mha, chemical degradation 14 mha, and waterlogging 11.6 mha

Like other natural resources, water is a limited but replenish able resource and is an essential component of the ecosystem. It is crucial for both the continued existence of all living things on our planet and the socioeconomic growth of individual homes, local communities, and whole countries. Maintaining and improving the environment's quality and biodiversity are also essential. India as a whole has access to plenty of fresh water resources. Through precipitation and snowfall, it obtains around 3,800 billion cubic metres (bcm) of fresh water each year. The bulk of the rainfall falls between 100 and 120 days from June through September. The yearly rainfall also varies greatly from place to region, ranging from 100 mm in regions of western Rajasthan to 11,000 mm in Cherrapunji in eastern Meghalaya. Water is

thus not evenly distributed or readily available across space. Similar to rainfall, there are significant variations across the nation from year to year[9], [10].

According to estimates, 500 bcm of the total amount of rainfall that is collected each year is lost via evaporation and transpiration, and 700 bcm seeps into the earth. Nearly 432 bcm of the entire amount of seepage may be replenished by recharging subsurface aquifers, of which 396 bcm can be profitably retrieved each year. 1,900 bcm of the annual rainfall, or over half, is anticipated to be lost as surface run-off to the ocean. This leaves over 690 bcm of fresh, usable surface water, which when combined with the almost 396 bcm of extractable groundwater results in a total usable water supply of around 1,086 bcm. Nearly 600 billion cubic metres (bcm) of the world's total usable water resources have already been used, which seems to be more than enough. The image shifts from positive to negative, however, when we take into consideration that India is home to around 16% of the world's population of humans and 15% of its population of animals. When fresh water availability per person is taken into account, a gloomy picture emerges.

Only 1,086 cubic metres (cm) of sustainable fresh water are now available per person in the nation, according to estimates. It has been falling throughout time and will keep falling in the future as well. While this is the overall national picture, there are notable regional and temporal differences in water availability due to geographic and yearly fluctuations in India's annual rainfall. Scarcity and excess issues at the regional and seasonal levels result from this. There are areas where the average annual fresh water supply per person is much less than 500 cm. Water availability below this point becomes a limiting factor for life. In many areas of the nation, droughts and floods are common occurrences. They make the local and seasonal water shortage even worse. The country suffers greatly as a consequence of droughts and floods, and millions of people are adversely impacted.

DISCUSSION

Since the beginning of time, forests have played a crucial role in India's economy and culture as natural, renewable resources. People hold them in great regard.Ancient religious, political, and literary traditions provide witness to the idea that nature and humans were interdependent, not superior. In terms of the GDP they provide, the jobs they create, and the way of life they provide for the poor, forests play a significant role in India's economy. At current exchange rates, forests contributed Rs 27,013 crore, or 1.2% of India's GDP, to the country's GDP in 2002-03. Over the nine-year period from 1993-1994 to 2002-2003, the contribution of forests to India's GDP ranged from one to 1.5 percent (Central Statistical Organisation [CSO] 2004).According to official records, India's total forest area in 2001 was 7,68,463 sq km, of which 4,23,311 sq. km were reserved forests, 2,17,245 sq. km were protected forests, and 1,27,881 sq km were unclassified woods. In 2001, the country's forest area made up 23.38 percent of its overall geographic area (Forest Survey of India [FSI] 2001). According to estimates, just 35 mha of the 76 mha of land that has been registered as forest, or roughly 11% of the country's total land area, now has an acceptable amount of cover to support the forest cover. According to the National Forest Policy (NFP) (GoI 1988), the nation as a whole should strive to maintain around one-third of the country's geographic area under forest cover. The woods must be restored by afforestation in order to fulfil local demands for firewood, small timber, fodder, and for use in defence and industry as well as to address the degrading effects of the ever-increasing biotic strain on the ecosystem.

In India, forests provide almost 40% of the nation's energy requirements, with more than 80% of that energy being used in rural regions. They also provide 30% of the country's needs for cow feed. Due to the fact that many Non-Wood Forest Products (NWFP) supply food for the rural poor, forest products also play a significant role in the rural and tribal economies.

Forest-related businesses are often the main source of income for households without access to land and small-scale farmers. In addition to the above-mentioned direct, physical economic benefits, forests also provide a number of indirect benefits that are not readily apparent to the naked eye but have a significant impact on people's quality of life. Climate improvement, soil and moisture conservation, and flood management are a few of these advantages.

Currently, Nigeria is the second biggest producer of inland fi sh and the third largest producer of fi sh overall in the world. According to Singh (1994: 10), India has a maritime coastline of 12,700 km, a maritime area of 4,52 km2, and an Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of 200 km2. The Indian economy's socio-economic development includes the fisheries industry significantly. It generated 5.3% of the GDP from agriculture and just under 1% of India's overall GDP in 2004–2005. It is a significant source of affordable, wholesome food as well as a source of foreign currency. India exported fish and fish-related goods of Rs 7,019 crore in 2006–07 (GoI 2008). In addition, it is thought to be a significant source of income for 11 million individuals in the nation who work in the industry directly or indirectly in some capacity. In the next five years, it is predicted that the fisheries industry would alone be able to create 1 million employments.Over the last 20 years or more, fish output in the nation has grown significantly, averaging 5.5% to 5.8% per year, which is far greater than that for the agricultural sector as a whole. In 1950–51, India's total fi sh output was barely 7.52 lakh tonnes; by 2006–07, it had risen to over 69 lakh tonnes.

If the nation's marine and inland fisheries resources were wisely developed, conserved, and harvested via the use of appropriate scientific technology and conservational measures, fish output may be significantly boosted. Seeds, organic manure, chemical fertilisers, plant protection chemicals, irrigation water, manpower, mechanical and animal power, including electricity and feed for animals, and finance are the main inputs used in agriculture. Estimates of the value of a few key agricultural inputs, as well as the output of agriculture and livestock, are shown in Table 2.1. The entire amount of fertilizer used in India in terms of the nutrients nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (NPK) in 1960–1961 was just 2.92 lakh tonnes. In 1980–1981 it reached 5.52 million tonnes, then around 14 million tonnes in 1995–1996 and over 22 million tonnes in 2006–2007. NPK fertiliser usage per hectare grew from 1.90 kg in 1960–1961 to 75 kg in 1995–1996 to 113 kg in 2006–2007. From around 25 lakh quintals in 1980–81 to roughly 155 lakh quintals in 2006–07, certified/quality seed distribution increased. Only 8,620 tonnes of technical grade insecticides were used in India in 1960–1961. In 1990–1991 it reached 75,000 tonnes, but by 2006–2007 it had decreased to 37,950 tonnes (GoI 2008).3

Only 25.33 percent of the nation's total energy consumption81,673 million kilowatt hours (mkwh)was used by tractors in India for agricultural uses. Even half of the potential demand in the agriculture industry couldn't be satisfied by this. Meeting the rising demand for energy from homes, businesses, industry, transportation, agriculture, and other sectors will be difficult. Energy demand patterns are also evolving throughout time. The use of petroleum products, natural gas, and electricity is growing, according to analysis of total commercial energy usageIt will be necessary to take action to reduce energy intensity across many industries by altering technology and business procedures. The replacement of one fuel for another must be optimised. The major focus will need to be on optimising the use of renewable energy sources that are inexpensive for low-income populations in both rural and urban locations. To reduce the energy elasticity of production, efficiency, conservation, and demand control should get top priority.

Being biological in nature, agricultural production is more reliant on weather and other climatic elements than non-agricultural output. For instance, in India, where crop production

completely relies on the amount and distribution of rainfall across the growing season, nearly 60% of the net area cultivated in 2004–04 was rainfed. Since rainfall varies greatly in India from year to year and region to region, crop output and, therefore, agricultural revenues, are very variable. In a nutshell, natural disasters including droughts, floods, hailstorms, and cyclones may have a negative impact on Indian agriculture. For instance, about 60% of the Indian landmass is at risk for earthquakes, around 68% of the region is sensitive to droughts, over 40 mha of land is at risk for flooding, and just 8% of the whole region is at risk for cyclones (Singh and Shishodia 2007: 359). Accordingly, the requirement for insurance against such risks is greater in agriculture than in non-agricultural sectors due to the higher level of risk and unpredictability caused by nature. But regrettably, crop insurance has relatively little coverage, forcing the majority of farmers to shoulder the weight of risk and uncertainty on their own and go bankrupt in the process.

CONCLUSION

The capital versus labour doctrine provides a complex discussion with far-reaching repercussions for rural regions, in conclusion. A strategy that is more balanced and collaborative, as opposed to seeing these viewpoints as mutually incompatible, may provide the best outcomes for rural development. Rural regions may experience sustainable growth and better living circumstances if strategic capital investments are made that take into account local needs and capabilities, as well as skill development and the preservation of traditional livelihoods. Due to the variety of rural situations, a one-size-fits-all strategy is insufficient.

Policymakers, stakeholders, and development professionals must adopt a context-sensitive approach that considers the local population's ambitions, the current economic climate, and the resources that are available. Rural regions may strike a balance between modernization and cultural preservation by adopting a flexible strategy that, where necessary, blends capital injection with labor-intensive practises, building resilient communities and long-term sustainable development.

REFERENCES

[1] J. S. Yoo, C. G. Kim, J. E. Yim, en M. Y. Jeon, “Factors influencing falls in the frail elderly individuals in urban and rural areas”, *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s40520-015-0469-2.

[2] J. Bański en M. Mazur, “Classification of rural areas in Poland as an instrument of territorial policy”, *Land use policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.02.005.

[3] D. Soares da Silva, E. Figueiredo, C. Eusébio, en M. J. Carneiro, “The countryside is worth a thousand words - Portuguese representations on rural areas”, *J. Rural Stud.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.01.012.

[4] W. L. Chuenkongkaew, H. Negandhi, P. Lumbiganon, W. Wang, K. Mahmud, en P. V. Cuong, “Attitude towards working in rural area and self-assessment of competencies in last year medical students: A survey of five countries in Asia”, *BMC Med. Educ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12909-016-0719-9.

[5] N. N. Semenova, S. G. Busalova, O. I. Eremina, S. M. Makeikina, en I. A. Ivanova, “Assessment of sustainable development of rural areas of Russia”, *Indian J. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i14/91518.

[6] T. C. Ramavhona en S. Mokwena, “Factors influencing Internet banking adoption in South African rural areas”, *SA J. Inf. Manag.*, 2016, doi: 10.4102/sajim.v18i2.642.

[7] G. I. C. Mbemba, M. P. Gagnon, en L. Hamelin-Brabant, “Factors influencing recruitment and retention of healthcare workers in rural and remote areas in developed and developing countries: An overview”, *J. Public Health Africa*, 2016, doi: 10.4081/jphia.2016.565.

[8] Y. Matsubayashi, Y. Asakawa, en H. Yamaguchi, “Low-frequency group exercise improved the motor functions of community-dwelling elderly people in a rural area when combined with home exercise with self-monitoring”, *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.1589/jpts.28.366.

[9] M. Karami Dehkordi, H. Kohestani, H. Yadavar, R. Roshandel, en M. Karbasioun, “Implementing conceptual model using renewable energies in rural area of Iran”, *Inf. Process. Agric.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.inpa.2017.02.003.

[10] H. Nagy, J. Káposzta, en B. Meta, “The potentials of rural tourism in developing rural areas in Albania”, *DETUROPE*, 2017, doi: 10.32725/det.2017.029.

CHAPTER 3

LONG GESTATION AND LOW RATE OF FINANCIAL TURNOVER IN RURAL AREAS

Chanchal Chawla, Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- chanchalchawla0@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Rural communities have particular difficulties in dealing with employee turnover, a crucial problem in human resource management. The complexity of turnover in rural settings is examined in this essay, along with the causes of it, its impacts on the people and companies in the area, and mitigation techniques. This research provides insight into the dynamics of turnover in rural settings by investigating the interactions between economic, social, and environmental variables. Rural regions are affected differently than metropolitan ones by staff turnover, or the rate at which workers leave an organisation and are replaced by new recruits. Limited work options, small labour pools, and various socio-cultural elements that affect turnover are common problems in rural regions. The absence of services and educational possibilities for workers and their families may be as important a problem in rural locations as poor pay, restricted professional progression chances, and physical isolation.

KEYWORDS:

Employee Turnover, Human Resource Management, Rural Areas, Rural Communities, Workforce Development.

INTRODUCTION

Compared to many non-agricultural operations, investments in agricultural businesses often take a longer time to pay off. For instance, it takes most crops three to four months to develop, a newborn buffalo calf four to six years to be raised to the point where she begins producing milk, and five to ten years for fruit saplings to begin bearing fruit. In addition, the majority of agricultural firms have poor yearly flows of net returns from investment. Low turnover rates are the outcome, or conversely, it takes longer to recoup the investment. In comparison to metropolitan areas, rural areas experience more poverty. For instance, in 2004–2005, around 28% of the population in rural regions and 26% in urban areas were living in poverty. In absolute terms, 22.1 crore (73%) of the 30.2 crore people living in poverty in the nation in 2004–05 were located in rural regions. Since the NSS probably does not fully capture consumption by the rich, particularly in urban areas, data from National Sample Surveys (NSS) show that the ratio of urban to rural per capita consumption increased from 1.62 in 1993–1994 to 1.76 in 1999–2000 and further to 1.91 in 2004–2005. This suggests that the urban–rural divide is widening. The disparity is significantly wider if the comparison is made to voids in the accessibility of other necessary services. However, the job situation in rural regions has lately improved. The first round of the NSS, which covered the years 2004–2005, revealed that both males and females saw lower unemployment rates in rural regions than in metropolitan ones. Male unemployment rates in rural regions were greater than female unemployment rates. However, the converse was true in urban regions[1], [2].

Therefore, it should come as no surprise that rural regions have far lower average yearly per worker incomes than metropolitan ones. For instance, it was Rs 11,496 at 1993-94 prices between 1998-1999 and 2003-2004 as opposed to Rs 59,961 in the non-agricultural sector (Radhakrishna 2008: 45). The average per capita consumer expenditure (a proxy for per

capita income) in rural regions was around Rs 559 as opposed to Rs 1,052 in urban areas, according to the sixty-first round of NSS (2004-05). Similar to this, although the percentage of the population depending on agriculture and related activities has been essentially constant or dropping at a very moderate pace, the share of the agricultural sector in GDP has been declining over time. More than 500 million people are reportedly supported by the agricultural industry, which also employs 52% of India's population (GoI 2008).10 This indicates that for almost half of India's population, agriculture serves as their primary means of subsistence and employment. The percentage of the population dependent on agriculture has been steadily dropping in industrialised nations like the UK, the USA, Germany, and Japan. Compared to 61.6 percent in India, it was 2.1% in the UK, 2.6% in the USA, 3.0 percent in Germany, and 5.5% in Japan in 1995 (Food and Agriculture OrganisationThe larger proportion of people who rely on agriculture shows that the industrial and service sectors are unable to accommodate the growing rural population.The obvious solution in such situations is to accelerate the growth of the industrial and service sectors[3], [4].

For many products made and services offered by the secondary and tertiary sectors, the agriculture sector offers a ready and sizable market. These products range from pesticides and insecticides to agricultural equipment, pumping units, feed for livestock and poultry, fish feed, pipes, fence supplies, veterinary medications, and automobiles. Rural residents purchase consumer items made by the industrial sector as well. In reality, a lot of large corporations are increasingly focusing on rural areas for their goods and services. Increased agricultural income and buying power thus serve as an important catalyst for industrial growth. A number of economists have stated that the main obstacle to industrial growth in low-income nations is insufficient purchasing power in rural regions. Increasing rural buying power is the answer if the absence of a mass market is really what inhibits industrial growth. There is no simple way to resolve the conflict between the focus on expanded farm purchasing power as a catalyst for industrialization and the necessity to raise agriculture's contribution to the capital required for overall growth. The need for food often rises significantly as an economy develops[5], [6].

The yearly rate of rise in the demand (D) for food, excluding spontaneous fluctuations in demand, is given by $D = p + ng$, where p and g are the rates of growth of the population and per capita income, respectively, and n is the income elasticity of the demand for food.The annual rate of increase in food demand in India is roughly 6% (assuming the income elasticity of demand for food is 0.6), which is consistent with the average annual exponential population growth rate of 1.95 percent per year registered in India during the decade 1991-2001 and the rise of nearly 6 percent in real per capita income per year registered during the Tenth Plan period.

Food prices are expected to significantly increase if supply do not keep up with demand increases. This will cause political unrest and put pressure on pay rates, which would have a negative impact on industrial profits, investment, and economic development. In a developing nation like India, the inflationary effects of a given percentage rise in food prices are far more severe than they are in a high-income economy. This is a straightforward result of the fact that food has a major position as a wage good in low-income nations, where it accounts for 40% to 50% of total consumer expenditures compared to 20% to 25% in wealthy economies. Therefore, failing to produce enough food in emerging nations has serious consequences. The rural labour force has been expanding quickly in the majority of emerging nations, including India, yet job prospects have been decreasing.

If worsening rural poverty is to be prevented, options for non-farm employment must increase as the amount of land available for growth of agriculture becomes more limited. Large-scale urban enterprises are not likely to be able to accommodate the rising flood of

employees moving from the rural to the metropolis given their anticipated development and makeup. With the twenty-first century in mind, we need to decelerate the urbanisation process, which has enormous social and environmental consequences, including traffic, pollution, ballooning land prices, rising violence, and rising rates of STDs like AIDS. The expansion of the rural non-agricultural sector, which places a focus on labor-intensive and small-scale businesses, increases the income alternatives available to the poor, including small farmers, landless people, and women, allowing them to balance off excessive income fluctuations.

In India, regional differences in the relative significance of the rural non-agricultural subsector and the makeup of the different economic activities covered in the sector are significant. This subsector is often defined as non-agricultural economic activities that take place in villages and range in size from families to small industries. Cottage, tiny, village, and small-scale manufacturing, processing, trading, transportation, building, and services of all types are a few examples of these activities. Over time, non-household, small-scale businesses have grown while household industries have diminished. Cottage businesses are less efficient than small-scale, full-time, and specialist rural industries because they rely on part-time family labour. Businesses without the ability to divide workers continue to lose their cost advantage as labour costs increase. Manufacturing operations are attracted to the rural towns that operate as trade and distribution hubs for both urban and agricultural commodities[7], [8].

DISCUSSION

Rural growth depends on the connections between the agricultural and non-agricultural rural subsectors. While agricultural raw materials are processed in the rural non-agricultural subsector, the increase in farm revenue creates a growing demand for consumer items and agricultural inputs produced by the non-agricultural subsector.The rate and pattern of agricultural revenue development, as well as the production technologies used in agriculture, determine the relative strengths of the links between consumption and output. The percentage of non-food expenditure in rural expenditure increases with per capita income growth, which in turn increases the impetus for the expansion of the rural non-agricultural subsector. The distribution of income in agriculture determines the proportion of locally produced consumer products (as opposed to imports from metropolitan regions or overseas) in consumption spending. It is more prevalent among medium-sized or small farmers than among wealthy people,95 village industries have been identified by the Khadi and Village Industries Commission (KVIC) for government assistance. The following seven categories are used to categorise these industries:

- Businesses reliant on minerals.
- Industries reliant on forests.
- Agro-based businesses.
- Industries based on polymers and chemicals.
- Industries focused on engineering and unconventional energy.
- The textile industry, except khadi.
- The service sector.

Since ancient times, the landless and other impoverished people in Indian communities have relied heavily on cottage and village industries as a source of income. For them, it is a significant source of money and job chances. In actuality, rural industry and agriculture are mutually beneficial. After agriculture, this industry employs the second-highest percentage of people. Since more than half of those employed are women, minorities, and the

underprivileged, it has an impact on the lives of the weaker and less organised segments of society. The majority of micro and small businesses (MSEs) are managed by a single entrepreneur, with 57% of units. In non-agricultural private unincorporated firms, they make up 32% of the labour force and 29% of the value contributed.

The industry generates about 40% of the manufacturing sector's gross revenue, nearly 45% of manufacturing exports, and around 35% of all exports. The Government of India has implemented a number of policy initiatives to further support this industry, including a plan for integrated infrastructure development, a reduced excise duty rate for unregistered businesses, a programme for quality certification to obtain ISO 9000, an increase in project outlay from Rs 30 lakh to Rs 50 lakh under the single window programme, and timely and adequate credit supply in accordance with the recommendations of the Nayak Committee from 1992. The investment cap for small-scale industry (SSI) units has also been raised from Rs 60 lakh to Rs 3 crore, from Rs 5 lakh to Rs 25 lakh, and from Rs 50,000 to Rs 2 lakh for composite loans for SSI firms.

It would be reasonable to assume that the majority of the financial demands of the rural part of the SSI sector were satisfied via private sources, including moneylenders, according to the Nayak Committee, which was formed to study the appropriateness of institutional lending to the SSI sector and associated concerns. Regarding the overall SSI sector, it was noted that: 1. SSI units have been dispersed away from metropolises and big cities; 2. despite the increased flow of credit, the share of the tiny sector and village industries has been appallingly low; and 3. the development of forward and backward linkages to ensure the success of enterprises has not kept pace with the increase in the flow of credit.

Only if other supporting facilities, such as adequate and timely availability of raw materials, skilled labour, and marketing support are provided on an assured basis will entrepreneurs be able to prepare viable proposals and obtain institutional financing. Credit is only one of the essential inputs for industrialization. The Nayak Committee suggested, among other things, the establishment of a special fund for modernization, research, and marketing, venture capital support for supporting workable business ventures by technocrat entrepreneurs, and thorough data collecting for small-scale and rural enterprises[9], [10].

This sector may become a growing foundation for self-sustaining employment and wealth development with the addition of relevant technology, design skills, contemporary marketing capacity building, and improved access to financing. It can also promote a culture of creative and competitive industry. By giving villagers meaningful employment, agro food processing, sericulture, and other village businesses help reduce rural-urban migration. Additionally, this will relieve agricultural strain. In areas like the northeast where huge businesses cannot be established owing to infrastructural gaps and environmental issues, the MSE sector might offer up a window of potential. In comparison to the large-scale manufacturing subsector, the money produced by numerous activities in this subsector is dispersed more fairly. Additionally, the subsector may create more employment with a given amount of capital than the related large-scale industrial industry because of the low capital required per worker.

The development of industries in rural regions is severely hampered by a lack of suitable infrastructure. Electricity, transportation, communication, and the accessibility of ancillary and allied servicessuch as raw material and other input suppliers, semi-skilled and skilled labourers to fix machinery issues, marketing and credit support organisations, etc.are crucial for the development of industries. When they are not present, manufacturing activities for small units cluster on the edges of metropolitan areas. The Siva Raman Committee has recommended that state governments be given the duty of providing infrastructure and extension assistance to the growth of companies in rural regions (including supplying raw

material supply). Banks find it difficult to promote the growth of rural businesses in the absence of responsive and dedicated organisations to provide these necessary services.

Numerous ministries, agencies, and organisations handle tasks that come within the purview of the MSE sector and have a number of programmes to assist MSEs. However, since only 13% of MSEs are registered, the benefits only go to a very tiny portion of them. In the Eleventh Five Year Plan, we must use a dual approach to make sure that unregistered MSEs and units outside of the cooperative fold are encouraged to do so and are also eligible to benefit from government programmes while their registration is pending. In truth, the new Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006's provision allowing MSEs to voluntarily file enterprise memoranda is a step in the right direction and need to be put into practice vigorously.

The strategy has to transition from focusing on subsidies with broad targets to establishing an enabling environment. through offering these units better-quality, more affordable infrastructure, information, credit, and support services, as well as through fostering their capability to operate their own collectives successfully, a cluster strategy may assist boost viability. Additionally, public-private partnership (PPP)-based plans for the creation of mini tool rooms, the establishment of design clinics, the provision of marketing support, the sensibilization of stakeholders to the requirements and tools related to intellectual property rights (IPR), the adoption of lean manufacturing techniques, the wider use of information technology (IT) tools, and other initiatives should be developed in order to increase the competitiveness of these micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSME). Building a company's brand may be a successful marketing tactic in both domestic and foreign markets. The National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), in accordance with the Union Budget 2008-09, is to establish a fund of Rs. 5,000 crore in 2008-09 to increase its ability to finance the MSME sector. Similar to this, the Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) will form two funds worth Rs 2,000 crore each; one will be used to finance risk capital, while the other will be used to increase its ability to finance the MSME sector.

If these actions were directed towards recognised clusters, they would all be more successful. Recognising the ongoing need to make it easier for these businesses to advance to higher levels, notably from small to medium, is also vital. Through carefully calibrated financial and non-financial incentives, it is necessary to encourage MSEs to graduate to medium-sized and larger units.Up until the 1960s, the main objective of Indian agricultural policy had been to achieve food security. The production of food grain increased steadily when high yielding variety (HYV) seeds were introduced and quickly disseminated in the late 1960s and early 1970s. The expansion of food grain production and stockpiles has been significantly aided by public investment in infrastructure, research, and extension efforts, along with crop production techniques.

Production of food grains grew from 8.2 crore tonnes in 1960–1961 to nearly 21 crore tonnes in 2006–2007. India now produces enough food for both its citizens and export. It could be the nation that the rest of the world should emulate in terms of feeding its expanding population. However, when compared to agricultural yields in affluent nations, India's current crop yields are very low. For instance, in 2004–2005, the average yield of paddy in India was 2,900 kg per hectare, but it was 6,420 kg and 6,730 kg in Japan and South Korea, respectively. Only through increasing public and private investment in agriculture, broad adoption of eco-friendly suitable new technology, producer-oriented pricing policies, and professionally managed programmes can the yield gap be closed. India might overtake other countries in the globe in terms of food grain output if the country's average cereal yield could be raised to the level of the global average. India's major problem is how to raise food yields

to global average levels while maintaining sustainability, despite the fact that India is still very susceptible to natural disasters like droughts and floods.

Farmers have been committing suicide at an increasing rate recently in the four states of Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Kerala as a result of the severe losses they endure in agriculture every year and the ensuing rise in debt.As a result, the Government of India has authorised a rehabilitation package of Rs 16,978.7 crore for these four states' areas that are most vulnerable to suicide. The program's implementation will take place over a three-year period, from 2007–08 to 2009–10. It contains both short-term and long-term solutions. The rehabilitation package aims to create a system of farming and livelihood support that is sustainable and viable through debt relief for farmers, increased access to institutional credit, a crop-centric approach to agriculture, guaranteed irrigation facilities, watershed management, better extension and farming support services, and subsidiary income opportunities through horticulture, livestock, dairying, fisheries, and other ventures. Banks have so far forgiven interest on past-due loans in the sum of Rs 3,728.4 crore, while the package has disbursed Rs 10,086.6 crore (as of 31 December 2007) to Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Kerala. In India, landholdings are not just few in number but also dispersed extensively throughout the countryside. In states where landholding consolidation has not yet taken place, landholdings are likewise dispersed. Under the current land inheritance rules, the practise of subdividing and fragmenting landholdings continues unabatedly generation after generation. Small and dispersed landholdings provide a significant barrier to the efficient utilisation of agricultural manpower and equipment. Through proper legislative action, it is necessary to halt the subdivision and fragmentation of landholdings such that further subdivision of landholdings below an economically sustainable level is prohibited. For policymakers, implementing such a change in the landholding system is a significant problem.

Additionally, there is a pressing need for tenancy laws that safeguard tenants' rights while not deterring the practice of leasing land.Indian farmers now have more options to profit from better global prices for their product and cheaper costs for various inputs thanks to liberalisation. The necessity for high-tech initiatives and for researching global markets for exportable goods has followed export orientation. The evaluation of credit demand, the appraisal, and the tools for financing high-tech enterprises are all challenging issues.A start has been made with the creation of agricultural development finance firms, but other institutional innovations are necessary to meet the credit demands of this developing export-oriented high-tech industry. NABARD must play a significant role in overcoming this difficulty, and it already is.

Furthermore, local price stability and food security are crucially dependent on expansion in this industry because to the unpredictability of the global markets and the hardening of international prices for food, fuel, and edible oils. In order to improve productivity via balanced resource allocation, greater resource utilisation at all stages of implementation, and quantification of output per unit of resource utilised, it is necessary to find out the forward and backward links that affect productivity. Given that agriculture continues to provide for more than half of the world's population, the question of productivity and resource consumption becomes crucial.

In order to revitalise the agriculture industry, two new initiativesthe Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana (RKVY), with an expenditure of Rs 25,000 crore, and the National Food Security Mission (NFSM), with an outlay of Rs 4,822 crorewere launched in 2007–08. These policy actions will have a significant positive impact on the industry. The development of agricultural workers' human resources is essential not only to increase the penetration of

improved technology, but also because new skill sets are required to allow the underemployed workforce in this industry to be absorbed into other rapidly expanding industries.The negative environmental effects of economic growth and development have recently attracted a lot of public attention. This is due to an increase in soil erosion, air and water pollution, denudation and degradation of forests, soil salinity and waterlogging in canal command regions, and soil erosion. A new paradigm for sustainable development is developing in light of this. Any heedless pursuit of economic expansion at the expense of environmental deterioration is not encouraged by this paradigm. How to accelerate growth while preserving the environment's quality and natural resources is a significant dilemma facing planners for agricultural development today.

CONCLUSION

Finally, employment turnover presents unique possibilities and problems in rural settings. In rural settings, a mix of economic, social, and environmental factors influence the factors that affect turnover. High turnover rates have effects on rural towns' social structures as well as companies.

A thorough strategy that considers both the employment and general quality of life factors is needed to reduce turnover in rural regions. Rural firms can retain competent workers by developing a healthy work atmosphere, giving competitive pay, and offering possibilities for advancement. To improve workforce development and match skill sets with local requirements, local governments, educational institutions, and enterprises must work together. In the end, preventing turnover in rural regions is essential for maintaining vibrant communities and fostering economic development. Stakeholders can work towards developing resilient and prosperous rural communities that retain their workforce, foster social cohesion, and contribute to overall regional development by understanding the complex dynamics at work and putting strategies into practice that take into account the particular challenges and strengths of rural contexts.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Lu *et al.*, “The relationship between job satisfaction, work stress, work-family conflict, and turnover intention among physicians in Guangdong, China: A cross-sectional study”, *BMJ Open*, 2017, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014894.

[2] L. C. Lindley en M. J. Cozad, “Nurse Knowledge, Work Environment, and Turnover in Highly Specialized Pediatric End-of-Life Care”, *Am. J. Hosp. Palliat. Med.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1049909116649415.

[3] L. H. Taylor *et al.*, “The role of dog population management in rabies elimination-A review of current approaches and future opportunities”, *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 2017. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2017.00109.

[4] D. J. Russell *et al.*, “Patterns of resident health workforce turnover and retention in remote communities of the Northern Territory of Australia, 2013-2015”, *Hum. Resour. Health*, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s12960-017-0229-9.

[5] G. Z. Portela, A. C. Fehn, R. L. S. Ungerer, en M. R. D. Poz, “Human resources for health: Global crisis and international cooperation”, *Cienc. e Saude Coletiva*, 2017, doi: 10.1590/1413-81232017227.02702017.

[6] G. H. Barhate, “AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF AWARENESS AND PERCEPTION TOWARDS GST AMONGST TRADERS IN RURAL AREAS”, *Int. Res. J. Eng. Technol.*, 2017.

[7] E. Piano *et al.*, “Urbanization drives community shifts towards thermophilic and dispersive species at local and landscape scales”, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13606.

[8] A. Griffiths, D. Royse, K. Culver, K. Piescher, en Y. Zhang, “Who stays, who goes, who knows? A state-wide survey of child welfare workers”, *Child. Youth Serv. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2017.04.012.

[9] L. M. Jing *et al.*, “Effectiveness Evaluation of the Reform in Incentive System for Healthcare Professionals Implemented”, *Chinese Gen. Pract.*, 2017, doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1007-9572.2017.19.007.

[10] S. Bednar en D. Gicheva, “Workplace Support and Diversity in the Market for Public School Teachers”, *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3007683.

CHAPTER 4

INVESTIGATION OF MEASURES OF DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL AREAS

Vivek Anand Singh, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- vivekanand.ima@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Rural regions are crucial to a country's growth and can provide different possibilities and problems than metropolitan ones. The many facets of rural development are examined in this study, along with significant drivers of growth, development methods, and the functions of local governments and communities. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of how rural development may be used to create sustainable growth by looking at its economic, social, and environmental aspects. Rural development is a complicated process that is impacted by many different causes. Economic issues include restricted market access, poor infrastructure, and low income levels often impede development. Human capital development may be hampered by socioeconomic problems such insufficient access to social services, healthcare, and education. Long-term survival also depends heavily on environmental factors, such as resilient resource management and climate change. Holistic strategies that address numerous variables at once are essential to successful rural development. Economic development may be boosted by increasing agricultural production via contemporary technology, effective supply networks, and training initiatives. Infrastructure investments in healthcare and education may empower people and enhance quality of life. The development of agro-processing, ecotourism, and cottage businesses in rural areas may spur innovation and provide new employment possibilities.

KEYWORDS:

Community Engagement, Economic Development, Governance, Rural Areas, Social Development, Sustainable Progress.

INTRODUCTION

the limitations of per capita income as a measure of rural development, per capita consumption expenditure of rural people is thought to be a more accurate indicator of that development for a number of reasons, including the relative ease with which the respondent can recall the expenditure and the general propensity of rural people to disclose all expenditures, as opposed to income. Consumption spending per person is a passable stand-in for per capita income. India's National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) regularly carries out sample surveys around the country to estimate consumer expenditures for both urban and rural residents. The most recent round (the sixty-first) took place in 2004-2005. The NSSO estimations are thought to be mostly trustworthy[1], [2].

Additionally, for a few sectors, estimates of consumer spending are also accessible in research studies by academics and organisations. To make nominal consumer expenditure relevant for comparison, it should be adjusted for changes in the GPI over time and across place, much like nominal income. The amount of different commodities and services consumed per person by the rural population of a nation over a certain reference period of time determines the degree of rural development in that nation. It makes no difference whether a person obtains the products and services they consume for free or whether they are provided to him for a certain cost. For example, the government offers its citizens free or low-cost access to roads, parks, schools, hospitals, and other municipal amenities like

streetlights and police protection. These facilities and services are accessible, which reflects "real income" and contributes to the standard of life. A useful indicator of socioeconomic wellbeing is the amount of money spent by the government per person on such services and facilities. This metric should also be modified to account for variations in the GPI when making comparisons across time and place. When combined with per capita income or spending, this metric provides a passably accurate representation of rural development[3], [4].

However, no entity or body in India makes estimates for this variable or measure. To estimate and apply this metric, one must thus get the necessary data from the official records of village panchayats and other village/block level organisations. Morris and McAlpin (1982: 1-30) created this metric to assess how development initiatives affect the target populations. The PQLI is the name of the indicator.It complements the most often used indicator of economic development, the real GDP per person. Infant mortality, life expectancy at one year, and basic literacy are its three constituents. These three indicators are easy to compute and comprehend, are responsive to changes in the distribution of development's benefits, do not reflect the values of any particular cultures, and reflect outputs rather than inputs. They also lend themselves to intra-national and inter-national comparisons[5], [6].

A common component market price allows different items and services to be incorporated in the computation of GNP. However, there isn't a single factor that rates the three PQLI component indications equally. Instead, they are combined into a single index, PQLI, using a straightforward indexing mechanism. The performance of each state or nation is rated for each indication on a scale of 0 to 100, with zero being the unquestionably "worst" performance and 100 denoting the "best." A composite index is created by averaging the three indications and giving each one an equal amount of weight after the performance for each indicator has been scaled to this common measurement. As a consequence, the resultant PQLI is similarly scaled from 0 to 100.

For 150 nations, Morris and McAlpin calculated the PQLI. Based on an analysis of the historical experience of the relevant nations, the range for each component index was determined. For those above the age of 15, the literacy rate varied from 0% to 100%, while the newborn mortality rate ranged from 229 to 7% per 1,000. In order to properly account for the multifaceted character of rural development, Mathur (2005: 159–90) created a composite index of rural development. As illustrated in Table 3.2, twenty-five indicators that represent all significant facets of rural development were identified and divided into nine major components.

The values of all 25 state-level rural development indicators were combined into an index with a value of 100 for India as a whole. A two-step process was used to derive an overall composite index of state level rural development based on the 25 factors. Nine different group level composite indicators of rural development were generated in the first stage. The group level indices were calculated for this purpose as a simple average of the rural development indices pertaining to each of the six groups that had more than one indicator. The nine composite indices that were subsequently calculated show various aspects of rural development at the state level in India. To create the composite index of state level rural development, the nine group level composite indices were combined in the second stage.

Two different techniques were used for this goal. In the first option, a straightforward process similar to that used to calculate group-wise composite indices was applied. The resultant Composite Rural Development Index (CRDI), known as Simple Index, was calculated. The nine group indices were each given an equal weight in this alternative. The nine indices were combined to create a weighted average in the second option. The weights were obtained using

a First Principal Component Method variation (Kundu 1980). The Weighted Index is another name for this metric. The rural development simple and weighted indexes for the years 1981, 1991, and 2001.In all three of the reference years, Kerala emerged as India's most highly developed state in terms of both the Simple Index and the Weighted Index, while Punjab closely trailed after. The paper explores the issue of whether or not economic expansion fosters human development. In addition to outlining methods for human development in the 1990s, it addresses the definition and measurement of human development, suggests a new composite index of human development, and recaps the history of human development over the previous three decades[7], [8].

Human development was defined as the process of expanding people's possibilities in the Human Development Report of 1990. It emphasised that access to the resources, work opportunities, and income necessary to maintain a respectable level of living are among the most important choices that individuals should be allowed to choose.As a result, it is defined that money alone is not a sufficient indicator of development. As a result, the study suggested a brand-new indicator of progress called the HDI, which consists of three indicators: logarithmic income, adult literacy, and life expectancy. The methodology for defining the component indicators and calculating the HDI has undergone various revisions in the Human Development Reports that followed. The revisions include adjusting income for disparities in income distribution and differences in purchasing power, combining adult literacy and mean years of schooling into an index of educational attainment, and computing disaggregated HDI for males and females as well as for various population groups. A Human Freedom Index and indices of human security for a few nations for which data are available have also been added to the HDI.In contrast to prior years, the HDI for 1994 was estimated using a new methodology.The four fundamental variables had maximum and minimum values that were fixed: life expectancy (85 years and 25 years), adult literacy (100 percent and 0 percent), mean years of schooling (15 years and 0 years), and income ($40,000 and $200), which was adjusted for differences in purchasing power.The threshold amount for income was determined to be the world average real GDP per capita of PPP $5,120.

In terms of overall economic wellbeing, the amount of per capita real GNP and its distribution are both crucial factors. Economic well-being is often correlated with greater real GNP per capita and more fair distribution of that wealth. In terms of overall economic wellbeing, a nation with a high real per capita GNP but an unequitable income distribution would rank worse than one with a similar real per capita GNP but a more equitable income distribution.

Economists employ a broad range of measurements to examine the distribution of income. Among others, they take into account the Pareto index, the income shares of the poorest and top 20% of families, the standard deviation of income logarithms, the Lorenz Curve, and the Gini Concentration Ratio (GCR). There are two qualities that a decent measure of income distribution should have. First, if the income distribution for year X is essentially a scaled-up version of that for year Y, then we should see them as being characterised by the same degree of inequality. Second, it should not be changed by equal proportionate increases in all incomes. In other words, if from year X to year Y, the incomes of lower income households increase proportionately more than the incomes of higher income households, this ought to result in a strictly positive reduction in the index of inequality and not just leave it unchanged (Atkinson 1970: 253-54). Secondly, it should be sensitive to disproportionate changes at all levels of income.

Here is a basic explanation of how some of the most popular indicators of income disparity are calculated. The phrase "rural development" is the polar opposite of the phrase "rural

poverty." It signifies a lack of development or underdevelopment, therefore understanding its measurements is just as crucial for someone studying rural development as understanding the measures of rural development. We include several widely used indicators of rural poverty in this section.

Rural poverty is an international issue that affects both developing and industrialised nations. According to estimates, nearly one billion people worldwide are considered to be poor. The prevalence of poverty varies greatly across nations, regions, and places within those countries as well as throughout the whole globe.South Asia, which is home to around 30% of the world's population, is where about half of the world's poor people reside. Poverty reduction has been a key goal of development programmes and initiatives across the globe, especially in India. Although there are various implications and definitions that are popular today, there is no one definition of poverty that is widely accepted. Poverty is a state of being that is typified by some kind of deprivation and is seen negatively by the person(s) involved or others. It's a multifaceted idea and phenomena. Scholars generally agree on whether poverty should be thought of and defined as absolute or relative. Absolute poverty refers to a person's inability to get objectively assessed quantities of commodities and services sufficient to meet both his material and nonmaterial fundamental requirements[9], [10].

Contrarily, relative poverty refers to a person's relative lack of access to the necessities of life as compared to a reference group of individuals. Even if both families or individuals may be able to meet their basic material requirements, one may be deemed impoverished while the other, in contrast, may not be. Numerous conceptual, methodological, and empirical issues plague poverty measurement. It is difficult to define poverty conceptually in ways that all people can agree with. Given the choice of a specific measure of poverty, it is extremely difficult to gather the trustworthy data required for calculating the value of the indicator or measure selected, and methodologically, there is no agreement among researchers on the appropriate indicator or measure of poverty. Despite these issues, policymakers, planners, and academics have tried to quantify poverty and have used poverty metrics to track changes in the degree or incidence of poverty as well as for other reasons. The standards or guidelines used to define poverty and establish the poverty line have an impact on the level of poverty at any particular period. To define the poverty line, two standards or criteria are often used:

- The standard based on the idea of a diet that is nutritionally sufficient.
- A standard of behaviour based on the idea of a minimal standard of life.

Many academics have made an effort to calculate the price of supplying a meal that is nutritionally appropriate. For instance, Dandekar and Rath (1971: 8–9) calculated the poverty line to be Rs 15 per capita per month for rural families and Rs 22.50 for urban households at 1960–1961 prices based on an average calorie consumption of 2,250 per person per day.Regarding the second norm based on the idea of a minimum standard of living, the Planning Commission of the Government of India established a renowned Working Group2 in July 1962 to consider the issue of what should be considered the nationally desirable minimum level of consumer expenditure. The research group suggested that a per capita monthly consumer spending of Rs 20 for rural regions and Rs 25 for urban areas be considered the national minimum at prices from 1960–1961.The ‘minimum' for urban regions anticipated some level of subsidies in urban housing; however, this excludes spending on health and education, which are supposed to be funded by the state.The Planning Commission established a "Task Force on Projection of Minimum Needs and Effective Consumption Demand" in 1979, and it defined the poverty line as the level of per capita spending at which the average per capita daily calorie intake was 2,400 kcal for the rural population and 2,100 kcal for the urban population. At 1973–74 prices, the proposed poverty

threshold was Rs. 49.09 per person per month for rural residents and Rs. 56.64 for urban residents. In order to make the Task Force's recommendations compatible with the greater overall level of private consumption expenditure recorded in the National Accounts Statistics (NAS), the Task Force also suggested boosting the consumption expenditure levels assessed by the NSSO by a "factor." This 'factor' started off little but over time got more and bigger., The Task Force poverty line, which at 1973-74 prices equaled Rs. 49.09 for rural regions and Rs. 56.64 for urban areas, was continued, according to the Expert Group (EG) that the Planning Commission established in 1989. The EG suggested using specifically created state-by-state indicators to adjust the poverty level for changes in prices. Additionally, it advised against adjusting NSSO-based consumption expenditure with NAS consumption spending since the causes for the disparities were complex and the NSSO survey was more credible because it collected information directly related to consumption. The Planning Commission updated the poverty series starting in 1973–1974 in response to the EG's request. Since then, the official poverty figures have been based on the EG's approach.

CONCLUSION

Active community involvement should be a component of rural development strategies to guarantee that interventions are situationally appropriate and sensitive to regional requirements.

Local government structures should promote accountability, openness, and involvement so that rural people may direct their own development. Rural development helps promote balanced regional progress by minimising gaps between urban and rural communities and increasing economic growth. Stakeholders may create solutions that encourage sustainable development in rural regions by understanding the complex interaction of economic, social, and environmental elements. In a world where urbanisation is on the rise, rural development is still crucial for balanced and equitable growth. The potential for rural communities to make a substantial contribution to national and international development objectives may be unlocked by societies via concentrated efforts, cooperation, and a dedication to local empowerment.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Yarkova en E. Mutafov, “Rural Areas in Bulgaria-Investigation on some Factors for Development”, *East. Eur. Countrys.*, 2017, doi: 10.1515/eec-2017-0003.

[2] J. Kouřilová en M. Pělucha, “Economic and Social Impacts of Promoting Cultural Heritage Protection by the Czech Rural Development Programme 2007-2013”, *European Countryside*. 2017. doi: 10.1515/euco-2017-0029.

[3] R. Roche, R. Bain, en O. Cumming, “A long way to go - Estimates of combined water, sanitation and hygiene coverage for 25 sub-Saharan African countries”, *PLoS One*, 2017, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171783.

[4] B. Liddle, “Urbanization and Inequality/Poverty”, *Urban Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/urbansci1040035.

[5] P. A. Afulani, N. Diamond-Smith, G. Golub, en M. Sudhinaraset, “Development of a tool to measure person-centered maternity care in developing settings: Validation in a rural and urban Kenyan population”, *Reprod. Health*, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s12978-017-0381-7.

[6] N. Hashemi en G. Ghaffary, “A Proposed Sustainable Rural Development Index (SRDI): Lessons from Hajij village, Iran”, *Tour. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2016.07.021.

[7] J. Gale, A. Coburn, K. Pearson, Z. Croll, en G. Shaler, “Developing Program Performance Measures for Rural Emergency Medical Services”, *Prehospital Emerg. Care*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/10903127.2016.1218978.

[8] T. Huang, J. chao Xi, en Q. sheng Ge, “Livelihood differentiation between two villages in Yesanpo Tourism District in China”, *J. Mt. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11629-017-4390-3.

[9] Y. Wang, E. Gonzales, en N. Morrow-Howell, “Applying WHO’s Age-Friendly Communities Framework to a National Survey in China”, *J. Gerontol. Soc. Work*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/01634372.2017.1292980.

[10] S. E. Uhunamure, N. S. Nethengwe, en A. Musyoki, “Driving forces for fuelwood use in households in the Thulamela municipality, South Africa”, *J. Energy South. Africa*, 2017, doi: 10.17159/2413-3051/2017/v28i1a1635.

CHAPTER 5

DETERMINATION OF PARADIGMS OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Vipin Jain, Sr. Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- vipin555@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Over time, models for rural development, which is a cornerstone of egalitarian growth, have experienced radical changes. By examining the ideologies that have formed ways to tackling the difficulties and possibilities particular to rural environments, this research examines the changing paradigms of rural development. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of the many methods to rural development by looking at historical viewpoints, modern models, and their ramifications. Early models of rural development that placed a strong emphasis on modernity and agricultural production have given way to more comprehensive paradigms that include everyone. The modernization paradigm, which predominated in the middle of the 20th century, placed a strong emphasis on using technology to boost agricultural productivity. While increasing output, this strategy often ignored social issues and created inequalities. The community-driven paradigm, which emphasises the involvement of local communities in recognising and resolving their development needs, originated as a reaction to the flaws of modernization. The core principles of this paradigmcommunity involvement, bottom-up planning, and empowermentpromoted more inclusive and sustainable development results.

KEYWORDS:

Community Participation, Modernization, Paradigms, Rural Development, Sustainable Development.

INTRODUCTION

There are several development paradigms or models, as well as numerous views or opinions, that are now popular. A theory should serve two key purposes: explaining the phenomena and making predictions about it. There isn't a model or theory of rural development that is widely accepted that can explain the phenomena as it is now and forecast its future direction. In the field of development, what we have are a number of hypotheses and propositions that represent higher level generalizations. The development theories apply to rural development as well, to the degree that it is a subset of development. Many of these theories focus both economic and non-economic factors that influence development, making them highly thorough. The fact that some of the ideas advanced by development theorists are not completely operational that is, they are refractory and that testing them is very difficult is another aspect of these hypotheses. This chapter is dedicated to a critical analysis of a few modern paradigms of development and looks at how applicable they are to rural development in India. By doing this, we may liberate ourselves (at least somewhat) from the constraints of our own era and better prepare ourselves for an unbiased appraisal of the intricate process of growth[1], [2].

The conditions for economic development were of primary interest to economists in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century. In Europe, the Industrial Revolution took place during this time. The time of take-off into continuous development was experienced by the classical economists, notably Adam Smith, David Ricardo, Thomas Robert Malthus, John Stuart Mill, and Karl Marx. Therefore, there is a great deal of interest in what these economists have to say about the nature and causes of economic growth. Now, we'll go over some fundamental principles from the Classical school of thinking that could still be

applicable. The idea of circularity, which described the link between technology, investment, and profit, was an intriguing aspect of the arguments made by classical economics. Their claim that the degree of technology relies on the degree of investment, investment depends on profits, and profits partially rely on the degree of technology is circular. This circularity wasn't an oversight or an accident. It was exactly what the Classicists wanted to emphasize that nothing succeeds in economic growth like success, and nothing fails like failure. We already have a hint about the performance gap between wealthy and developing nations from the circular argument[3], [4].

The emphasis of the classical economists was not specifically on rural or urban growth. They may have believed that development would follow organically from economic expansion. Development only became a significant area of study and drew many researchers near the close of World War II, around 1945. The majority of the early publications on the topic focused on defining development, identifying the elements that impact it, and examining the links between those factors.

In the 1950s, two separate schools of thought the Capitalist School and the Marxist School as well as two unique theories the Capitalist School's "Modernization Theory" and the Marxist School's "Dependency Theory" emerged. The Modernization Theory, sometimes known as the "Free World" model of development, is a manifestation of the main points of the Capitalist School. In the context of the Cold War, the Modernization Theory served as the justification for US hegemony. Economists, sociologists, historians, and anthropologists were among the academics who contributed to the creation and development of this theory, and they identified both economic and non-economic elements as development drivers. The core of the idea was the eradication of all social and ideological barriers to such a process and the transmission of Western technology and reason as a method of progress without altering class structure[5], [6].

According to Barnett the Modernization Theory was founded on a number of presumptions, some of which are briefly mentioned here:

- Increasing output via the use of Western science and technology is necessary for progress.
- All societies go through the same stages in the evolution process, which may be broken down into a number of distinct phases.
- As a result of growth, contemporary institutions take the place of outdated social and political ones.
- Democratic forms of government will take the place of conventional feudal structures of control.

Simply put, the "American way of life" was portrayed as the pinnacle of modernity in the Modernization Theory. It assumes that industrialization, urbanisation, and agricultural technology transformation are the only ways to accomplish developmenta conclusion supported by the experience of the recently industrialised nations of East Asia and Southeast Asia.The Modernization Theory provides a number of helpful insights relevant to rural development, such as the inevitable use of modern technology to increase agricultural production and the need to replace traditional feudal institutions with new democratic ones for a shift towards a more scientific temper, as well as secular values and norms. The theory has, however, lost a lot of its appeal as a result of its inability to foresee and explain a variety of economic phenomena, such as the failure of the post-World War II boom in the 1960s, the global depression in the 1970s, and the change in the terms of international trade in favour of developed nations. The theory also failed to account for the unsustainable nature of the capitalist/free market paradigm of development and its harmful effects on the environment.

With an emphasis on the creation of a New International Economic Order, the guarantee of Basic Needs, and Structural Adjustment Programmes, International Keynesianism is one new route the theory has gone in response to these flaws and critiques. These new efforts, however, do not specifically address the issues with rural development and are thus irrelevant.

The financial (currency and stock market) chaos in East Asian nations has shown that the free-market economy model, or the capitalism road, cannot provide stable and durable economic progress marked by rapidly rising living standards.Mounting bankruptcies, increasing unemployment, and rising inflation had challenged not just the economic self-confidence of the region but also its political and military stability from Japan and South Korea to Malaysia and Indonesia.Another factor that prevents the Modernization Theory from being applicable in the context of developing nations like India is the lack of regulations and controls intended to stop private businesses or groups from controlling their domestic markets. Such laws and regulations are successfully applied in the free market-oriented Western democracies, where they have a tendency to balance out the distribution of wealth and income. In developing nations like India, where private industry activity is not strictly regulated and controlled, not all of the expected social benefits of free markets are realized [7], [8].

DISCUSSION

The Dependency Theory ofthe Marxist School

There are several development paradigms or models, as well as numerous views or opinions, that are now popular. A theory should serve two key purposes: explaining the phenomena and making predictions about it. There is no one, widely accepted theory or paradigm for rural development. Development scholars were forced to ask new questions and seek new answers using an alternative paradigm as a result of the growing disillusionment with the Modernization Theory and its inability to explain growing inequality, poverty, violence, and military coups in the newly independent nations in Africa and Asia. Karl Marx, Friedrich Engels, and other Marxist theorists served as the conceptual forebears of the new paradigm. Marx and Engels disagreed with the Modernization Theory's assumption that social transformation occurs gradually and evolves. Instead, it was marked by the conflict of interests between social classes, or class conflict. Class conflict was seen by Marxists as the catalyst for societal advancement.

The Marxists contended that imperialism was an exploitative system of economic, social, and political relations rather than a benign political development of European civilization (as claimed by the Modernization Theorists). The colonised countries were transformed by the system into marketplaces for their goods as well as sources of inexpensive inputs for manufacturing in the capitalist countries. The imperial authority always benefited from this arrangement. A full reversal of the logic of modernization from the promise of progress to destitution resulted from such an understanding of the processes at play in the capitalist system. This was the central thesis of the Dependency Theorynt, a branch of the Marxist School of thought that helped explain and forecast the current occurrence of rural development. In the field of development, what we have are a number of hypotheses and propositions that represent higher level generalisations. The development theories apply to rural development as well, to the degree that it is a subset of development. Many of these theories focus both economic and non-economic factors that influence development, making them highly thorough. The fact that some of the ideas advanced by development theorists are not completely operationalthat is, they are refractoryand that testing them is very difficult is another aspect of these hypotheses. This chapter is dedicated to a critical analysis of a few

modern paradigms of development and looks at how applicable they are to rural development in India. We start by looking at the historical great minds' contributions to the field, especially those made by the classical economics. Then, in light of later experience, we may decide in what ways they were correct or incorrect. By doing this, we may liberate ourselves (at least somewhat) from the constraints of our own era and better prepare ourselves for an unbiased appraisal of the intricate process of growth.The conditions for economic development were of primary interest to economists in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century. In Europe, the Industrial Revolution took place during this time. The phase of takeoff into persistent growth was experienced by the classical economists, such as Adam Smith, David Ricardo, Thomas Robert Malthus, John Stuart Mill, and Karl Marx. Therefore, there is a great deal of interest in what these economists have to say about the nature and causes of economic growth. Now, we'll go over some fundamental principles from the Classical school of thinking that could still be applicable.The idea of circularity, which described the link between technology, investment, and profit, was an intriguing aspect of the arguments made by classical economics. Their claim that the degree of technology relies on the degree of investment, investment depends on profits, and profits partially rely on the degree of technology is circular. This circularity wasn't an oversight or an accident. It was just what the Classicists wanted to emphasizethat nothing succeeds in economic growth like success, and nothing fails like failure. We already have a hint about the performance gap between wealthy and developing nations from the circular argument[9], [10].

The emphasis of the classical economists was not specifically on rural or urban growth. They may have believed that development would follow organically from economic expansion. Development only became a significant area of study and drew many researchers near the close of World War II, around 1945. The majority of the early publications on the topic focused on defining development, identifying the elements that impact it, and examining the links between those factors.In the 1950s, two separate schools of thoughtthe Capitalist School and the Marxist Schoolas well as two unique theoriesthe Capitalist School's "Modernization Theory" and the Marxist School's "Dependency Theory “emerged. The Modernization Theory, sometimes known as the "Free World" model of development, is a manifestation of the main points of the Capitalist School. In the context of the Cold War, the Modernization Theory served as the justification for US hegemony. Economists, sociologists, historians, and anthropologists were among the academics who contributed to the creation and development of this theory, and they identified both economic and non-economic elements as development drivers. The core of the idea was the eradication of all social and ideological barriers to such a process and the transmission of Western technology and reason as a method of progress without altering class structure (Alavi and Shanin 1982: 2).

According to Barnett (1988: 26; McKay (1990: 55), the Modernization Theory was founded on a number of presumptions, some of which are briefly mentioned here:

- Increasing output via the use of Western science and technology is necessary for progress.
- All societies go through the same stages in the evolution process, which may be broken down into a number of distinct phases.
- As a result of growth, contemporary institutions take the place of outdated social and political ones.
- Democratic forms of government will take the place of conventional feudal structures of control.

Simply put, the "American way of life" was portrayed as the pinnacle of modernity in the Modernization Theory. It assumes that industrialization, urbanisation, and agricultural

technology transformation are the only ways to accomplish development, a conclusion supported by the experience of the recently industrialised nations of East Asia and Southeast Asia.The Modernization Theory provides a number of helpful insights relevant to rural development, including the inevitable use of modern technology to increase agricultural production and the need to replace traditional feudal institutions with new democratic ones in order to move towards a more scientific temper, as well as secular values and norms. However, the theory has lost a lot of its appeal as a result of its inability to foresee and explain a number of economic phenomena, including the failure of the post-World War II boom in the 1960s, the global depression in the 1970s, and the change in the terms of international trade in favour of developed nations. The theory also failed to account for the unsustainable nature of the capitalist/free market paradigm of development and its harmful effects on the environment. With an emphasis on the creation of a New International Economic Order, the guarantee of Basic Needs, and Structural Adjustment Programmes, International Keynesianism is one new route the theory has gone in response to these flaws and critiques. These new efforts, however, do not specifically address the issues with rural development and are thus irrelevant.

The financial (currency and stock market) chaos in East Asian nations has shown that the free market economy model, or the capitalism road, cannot provide stable and durable economic progress marked by rapidly rising living standards.Mounting bankruptcies, increasing unemployment, and rising inflation had challenged not just the economic self-confidence of the region but also its political and military stability from Japan and South Korea to Malaysia and Indonesia.

Another factor that prevents the Modernization Theory from being applicable in the context of developing nations like India is the lack of regulations and controls intended to stop private businesses or groups from controlling their domestic markets. Such laws and regulations are successfully applied in the free market-oriented Western democracies, where they have a tendency to balance out the distribution of wealth and income. In developing nations like India, where private industry activity is not strictly regulated and controlled, not all of the expected social benefits of free markets are realised. Latin America provided the early evidence in favour of the Dependency Theory, primarily via the work of Raul Prebisch and his colleagues at the Economic Commission for Latin America (ECLA). Andre Gunder Frank, who served as the theory's principal proponent, scorned it as being ineffective from a policy standpoint. Frank argued that the relationship between wealthy and underdeveloped countries was not merely detrimental to the latter, but also actively harmful, impeding and distorting their growth.

In his opinion, interactions between cultures were the cause of both progress and underdevelopment. To back up his claims, he created thorough historical case studies of Chile and Brazil. The key justifications for the Dependency Theory are as follows (McKay 1990: 55–56):

- Without the systematic exploitation of the developing nations (the Third World), the developed countries (the First World) would not have reached the level of development that they have.
- It is a misconception that the development process happens in a succession of phases.
- As long as there is an exploitative global system in place, developing nations cannot achieve progress by following the road taken by rich nations.
- Currently impoverished nations were not always that way; rather, a worldwide system of capitalist exploitation propelled them into this level of underdevelopment.
- Only by severing ties with rich nations can developing nations progress.

The Dependency Theory gained a lot of traction in the 1970s because it offered a convincing explanation for why poverty and stagnation persist in developing nations despite strenuous attempts to address them. Development academics acknowledged the need of studying the current relationships between wealthy and poor countries critically to determine if they were beneficial to the poor countries or destructive.

The theory, however, lost a lot of its original appeal in the 1980s as it came under fire for being "too deterministic" and "simplistic." The experience of the East Asian tigers disproved the theory's central claim that "underdevelopment" in emerging nations (the periphery) results from "development" in industrialised countries (the core/centre). These tigers were originally reliant on the developed nations (i.e., they were on the perimeter), but through time they advanced to the centre by becoming very competitive and evolved. Additionally, the theory failed to take into account the significance of a number of internal variables in understanding the presence of "underdevelopment," including unsustainable population growth, undeveloped human resources, a lack of natural resources, and class conflict.

In the context of rural development, we could say that the theory offers a helpful caution that, in addition to identifying the determinants of rural development, we should critically examine various inter-sectoral linkages and interactions (both backward and forward), and determine whether they are beneficial to rural people or not. If not, suitable governmental steps should be implemented to make the connections and interactions advantageous to the rural population. To determine which international economic and political linkages are beneficial and which are detrimental to economic growth in general and rural development in particular, a comparable exercise must be conducted at the national level.

This idea contends that if a development initiative is to have any possibility of success, a certain amount of resources must be allocated to it. Getting a nation into self-sustaining development is similar to taking flight in an aircraft. Before the craft may take flight, a certain ground speed must be exceeded (MIT 1957: 70).

This theory's main tenet is that doing things "bit by bit" won't have the same consequences as adding up all the individual bits. A little investment is a need for success, albeit it is insufficient.

Three broad types of indivisibilities, which might be seen as the primary challenges to the development of emerging nations, are identified by Rosenstein-Rodan (1970). These include the indivisibility (kink) in the supply of savings, the indivisibility of demand (complementarity of demand), and the indivisibility in the supply of social overhead capital (lumpiness of capital). He contends that in order to overcome the economic barriers to development posed by these three types of indivisibilities and the external economies they give birth to, a significant push in the form of a large amount of investment is necessary. This suggests that the development process consists of a number of abrupt "jumps," each of which needs a "big push." A phenomenon of indivisible ardour and drive needed for effective development policy may perhaps finally exist. Small, isolated initiatives could not have enough of an influence on growth. Only once a crucial minimum level of investment has been attained may an environment conducive to growth emerge.

Although international commerce may lessen the amount of the minimal push necessary to overcome the impact of indivisibility (complementarity of demand), Rosenstein-Rodan does not provide any specific and workable solutions to address the negative consequences of indivisibilities. The largest obstacle that developing nations cannot overcome on their own continues to be the mobilisation of sufficient resources to offer the necessary "big push." A trust should be formed with outside funding to concurrently plan and finance investments for

the whole region, according to Rosenstein-Rodan. The claim that a developing nation like India cannot afford the resources needed to provide the "big push" is a key critique of this notion. In actuality, a nation that could mobilise the necessary volume of resources would not be considered impoverished. Planners and academics continue to find this paradigm intriguing theoretically.

The main contention of Harvey Leibenstein's (1957) theory is that a specific critical minimum magnitude of the first growth stimulant is required in order to achieve persistent secular growth. Leibenstein claims that a number of connected characteristics that are stable to some extent at their low equilibrium values define economic backwardness. Due to the constant usage of stimulants and shocks in the economy, the actual values diverge from the equilibrium levels. The stimulants often cause per capita incomes to rise beyond the point of equilibrium. Long-term economic development, however, does not occur in underdeveloped countries since the strength of the stimulants is insufficient. In other words, attempts to overcome economic backwardnesswhether spontaneous or coercedfall short of the essential threshold required for long-term progress. In the long term, the produced income-depressing factors are more significant than the induced income-raising factors for minor stimulant values; however, for large stimulant values, the opposite is true. Growth of the population might be used as an illustration of this process. A modest gain in capital brought about by higher incomes would have a greater positive impact than an equal rise in population and a corresponding drop in per capita income. Of fact, there is a physiologically determined maximum rate of population increase that ranges from 3% to 4%. As a result, progress would ultimately be possible if capital continued to accumulate above a certain minimal rate. The need for a minimum effort arises from the need to overcome internal and external scale inequalities, to overcome obstacles that may be created by growth-stimulating factors that reduce income, and to create enough momentum in the system to ensure that the growth-stimulating factors continue to play their role.

CONCLUSION

The current focus on sustainable development is consistent with the interconnectedness of the problems facing rural development. For long-term success, this paradigm acknowledges the need of balancing economic, social, and environmental objectives. Furthermore, community-driven projects often result in more efficient and long-lasting outcomes, underscoring the significance of local communities as active agents of their own development. The integration of many paradigms gives a complete framework as nations continue to struggle with difficult problems related to rural development. Rural development that is transformational may be facilitated by a sophisticated strategy that integrates community participation, sustainable practises, and a focus on human well-being. Stakeholders may strive towards a vision of rural development that is inclusive, sustainable, and capable of enhancing the lives of millions in rural regions by fusing historical knowledge, modern insights, and forward-thinking solutions.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Pelucha en V. Kveton, “The role of EU rural development policy in the neo-productivist agricultural paradigm”, *Reg. Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/00343404.2017.1282608.

[2] M. J. Herington, E. van de Fliert, S. Smart, C. Greig, en P. A. Lant, “Rural energy planning remains out-of-step with contemporary paradigms of energy access and development”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.103.

[3] L. Secco en C. Burlando, “Social capital, network governance and social innovation: Towards a new paradigm?”, in *Social Capital and Local Development: From Theory to Empirics*, 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-54277-5_5.

[4] M. Graziano, S. L. Billing, J. O. Kenter, en L. Greenhill, “A transformational paradigm for marine renewable energy development”, *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2016.10.008.

[5] H. B. Tantoh en D. Simatele, “Community-based water resource management in North-west Cameroon: the role of potable water supply in community development”, *South African Geogr. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/03736245.2016.1208589.

[6] V. Singh, “SUSTAINABLE LIVELIHOOD THROUGH SKILL DEVELOPMENT AMONG RURAL YOUTH: ROLE OF MICRO-FINANCE IN THE DEVELOPMENTAL PARADIGM”, *Commonw. Youth Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.25159/1727-7140/1389.

[7] J. Lin, J. Cai, Y. Han, en J. Liu, “Identifying the conditions for rural sustainability through place-based culture: Applying the CIPM and CDPM models into Meibei ancient village”, *Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/su9081334.

[8] K. A. Burnett en M. Danson, “Enterprise and entrepreneurship on islands and remote rural environments”, *Int. J. Entrep. Innov.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1465750316686237.

[9] E. Guinjoan, A. Badia, en A. F. Tulla, “The new paradigm of rural development. Theoretical considerations and reconceptualization using the ‘rural web’”, *Bol. la Asoc. Geogr. Esp.*, 2016, doi: 10.21138/bage.2279.

[10] OECD, “A New Rural Development Paradigm for the 21st Century”, *OECD Dev. Cent. Stud.*, 2016.

CHAPTER 6

ANALYSIS OF LEWIS' MODEL OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Pankhuri Agarwal, Associate Professor,
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- dreams.pankhuri@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The Lewis' Model of Economic Development, put out by economist Arthur Lewis, offers a fundamental framework for comprehending how traditional countries evolve into contemporary industrial economies. The main ideas of Lewis' Model, as well as its ramifications and applicability in the present day, are examined in this essay. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of Lewis' Model and its relevance in the area of economic development by looking at the dual-sector economy, surplus labour, and the function of capital accumulation. Lewis' Model is focused on the structural restructuring of developing economies, especially those with a large agricultural sector and a labour surplus in rural regions. The idea of a dual-sector economy, consisting of a traditional agricultural sector and a contemporary industrial sector, is the model's main principle. Due to slow productivity development in the traditional industry, there is excess labour, which results in low salaries and subsistence-level living standards. The concept suggests that as the modern industrial sector expands, the extra labour from the agricultural sector may be absorbed into it. Due to the increased demand for labour caused by this process of labour migration, salaries are increasing in the contemporary industry. Additionally, when labour becomes comparatively scarce, higher salaries in the contemporary sector drive productivity growth, resulting in greater industrialization and economic progress.

KEYWORDS:

Dual-Sector Economy, Economic Development, Industrialization, Lewis' Model, Surplus Labor.

INTRODUCTION

the existence of sizable labour pools in many emerging nations, whose marginal productivity is minimal, nil, or even negative. This labour is unlimitedly accessible at a pay that is equal to the subsistence standard of life plus a margin large enough to remove resistance to transitioning from the "subsistence sector" to the "capitalist sector," which is often referred to as the "subsistence plus" wage. Because there is an endless supply of labour, at the standard pay rate, new industries may be founded and current ones can grow without end. The capitalist sector likewise need qualified personnel. But Lewis contends that by giving unskilled individuals access to training opportunities, the skilled labour shortage might be temporarily alleviated[1], [2].

There is a capitalist surplus because the marginal productivity of labour in the capitalist sector is greater than the standard pay rate. The employment of additional individuals from the subsistence sector is made feasible by the utilisation of this surplus in capital production. Capitalists' increased investment boosts labor's marginal productivity, which encourages employers to hire more people until the marginal productivity of labour reaches a level equal to the standard pay rate. This cycle continues until the capital-to-labor ratio increases to the point where the labour supply is no longer elastic. Some critics have argued that Lewis' optimism regarding development by absorbing disguised unemployment from agriculture is unfounded because it is not possible to transfer a significant number of workers permanently

and on a full-time basis from agriculture to industry without a decrease in the agricultural output; in other words, the marginal productivity of the labour in agriculture is not zero[3], [4].

As long as there is a labour surplus, technological advancement in the capitalist sector may also raise the proportion of profits in the national income. The percentage of professionals rises as the capitalist sector as a whole expands and as the proportion of professionals within a given-sized capitalist sector rises as a result of innovation. Lewis claims that this is the primary mechanism for the increase in capital creation from 4% or 5% to around 11% to 15% of national GDP.In addition to profits, bank loan also serves to develop capital. Credit creation will increase production and employment in a developing nation that is marked by underutilised resources and a lack of capital. This is similar to how profits do it. However, capital production financed by credit causes a brief increase in prices. When voluntary savings from higher profits are sufficient to finance new investment without turning to bank lending, the inflationary process is said to be complete[5], [6].

Lewis claims that for a variety of reasons, the process of development must come to an end since it cannot go on forever. When this occurs, the process of capital production may still be maintained by promoting immigration or capital export to nations with plentiful labour supply and subsistence pay rates. Trade unions vehemently oppose the former plan, thus the latter seems more workable.Lewis' model seems to provide a useful framework for comprehending the course of economic growth in emerging nations with a labour surplus, like India. Its fundamental tenet is that labour productivity in agriculture must significantly rise in order to produce surplus in the form of food that can be utilised to build the non-farm sector and to release the excess labour from agriculture to fulfil the non-farm sector's expanding labour demands. However, a number of issues limit the model's applicability. First, as worker productivity rises, labour unions may enhance pay rates while preventing higher than anticipated rates of capital creation and productivity. Second, rather of reinvesting the surplus in growth, capitalist employers may choose to utilise it for speculative or unproductive reasons. In reality, India and other emerging nations have been experiencing this lately. Third, rural residents may spend more and save less than the model predicts in order to fulfil their increased expectations, which would slow down growth.

Inasmuch as it ignores the prospect of a shift in agricultural production, the Lewis model does not provide a sufficient analysis of the agricultural sector.

By first analysing the role of the "neglected" agricultural sector in a static sense and then generalising the "static" analysis by introducing the possibility of an increase in agricultural productivity, Ranis and Fei (1970), building on the Lewis model, proposed a theory of economic growth (Higgins 1966: Chapter 5).

The generation of investment capital required to employ the surplus workers liberated from agriculture is the essential missing ingredient in these models, according to Cochrane's critique of Lewis' and Ranis and Fei's models in Chapter 11 of 1969. He goes on to say that there are three main wayseither one or a mix of themto collect the funds necessary to finance the pricey process of agricultural modernization:

- By reducing agricultural surplus further.
- By reducing the pace of investment in non-farming industries and in essential infrastructure.
- By securing grants and loans from abroad.

He claims that international loans and grants are the best or least costly of these three sources. He continues by saying that a developing nation's agricultural output growth rate has to be increased to fulfil its rising food needs in the early stages of development. He contends that in order for this to occur, agriculture has to be pushed hard by a strategy that emphasises the use of contemporary technology as well as supporting infrastructure and services. He claims that the pull that greater market prices impose on agriculture will not be sufficient to achieve this.

Cochrane's model, in my view, provides a clear explanation of the process of agricultural growth as well as the potential and constraints of agricultural development as a driver of total national development. A population control strategy and the global economic and political climate are the two components I would want to add to Cochrane's model. Without effective population control measures and a supportive global economic and political context, no agricultural or national development plan could ever be successful. While a developing nation may always take action to restrict its rapidly growing population, it is the duty of the global community and its organisations to provide an appropriate international environment[7], [8].

Average income levels in the majority of non-Soviet nations, as well as global inequalities in wealth, investment, and income. Myrdal believes the theoretical framework (automatic self-stabilization) is insufficient to address the issue.the issues with inequity. According to him, in a typical circumstance, a change does not needsupportive modifications that shift the system in the opposite direction of any countervailing changesthe first change's initial orientation, but considerably more quicklythe circular andaccumulation of causes. A social process tends to move in a cyclical fashion as a consequence of this causality.faster. By injecting fresh external alterations into the system, a social process may be interrupted.system. He explains this using the African-American struggle in the United States as an example.American States (USA). Prejudices against white people that lead to discrimination andThere is a connection between prejudice towards African Americans and their "low plane of living." TheirDiscrimination by whites keeps a poor level of life in check. The other handon the other, the plight of African Americans in terms of their health, ignorance, and superstition.

DISCUSSION

Deficiencies' allegedly dirty look, offensive scent, unruly behaviour, poor family relationships, and crime fuel whites' hostility towards them. These two elements mutually "cause" one another.He also underscores the importance of non-economic variables in development and the negative consequences of progress caused by unbridled market forces. Regional inequality is accentuated by the concentration of labour, capital, products, and services in several locales and regions, which leaves the other areas mostly rural onesmore or less in the backwaters. The amount of economic development falls short of what it would have been if growth points had never formed due to the concentration of businesses, resources, and skilled people in certain localities (growth points) at the cost of neighbouring regions (the backwash).

However, there are certain centrifugal ‘spreadeffects of expansionary momentum from the centre of economic expansion to other areas that act as a counterbalance to the backwash effectsAccording to empirical data, spread effects only completely offset back wash effects at a high degree of development. This is one of the reasons why, once a nation reaches a high degree of development, fast sustained advancement becomes an almost automatic process. Poverty and stagnation arise in both circumstances when the spread effects are extremely weak or just strong enough to negate the backwash effects at low levels of development.Similar to domestic policies, international trade, money flows, and migration have significant negative spillover effects for poor nations. Examples of emerging nations

whose cultures have suffered due to the development of economic relationships with the outside world are readily available. However, in general, the ideas of "backwash effects" and "spread effects" are helpful and must be taken into account when planning for rural development.

This approach places a strong focus on the value of investing in human capital in the process of economic and social growth. Human capital refers to the mental and physical skills that have been developed via education, training, healthcare, and the practise of some spiritual practises like yoga or meditation. Human effort and financial investment are the main ways that human capital is acquired. The simplest and most crucial model of this kind is the schooling model, which connects economic growth to education[9].

The quality of human resources was implicitly included in the theoretical frameworks of classical and neoclassical economics; labour was assumed to comprise both physical and mental effort. The notion of human capital was developed by Theodore Schultz in 1964, who also expressly recognised the need of investing in human capital as a factor in economic progress. Following this, many other academics developed an interest in the economics of human capital, particularly the economics of education, and several research on the topic were carried out. The approach underlines the need to maximise human potential for collective benefit while taking into account the whole range of human potential. It respects societal structures, values, and beliefs as well as people's cultures and religions. In comparison to other models, it is more appropriate to nations like India. The three underlying premises of the human capital approach to rural developmentwhich have been disregarded by the conventional theory of developmentare as follows:

- The traditional premise of a homogenous work force is untrue since human physical and mental talents are partly inherited and partly learned, and they vary from person to person.
- The beneficial impact that human capital has on productivity and the decline in opposition to the adoption of new technology in the economy, particularly in the rural sector, directly contribute to development.
- All emerging nations in the globe, including India, have an abundance of endless human resources. Human resources have the potential to significantly contribute to development if they are effectively developed and used.

Thus, as a foundation for general development, this paradigm transfers the focus from the construction of physical capital to the formation of human capital and from the growth of industry to that of rural areas. This concept seems to be best suitable for labor-surplus emerging nations like India, where there are many underutilised human resources with significant development potential. Human resources are also replenish able and hence limitless.Therefore, in the course of development, human capital may take the place of exhaustible, non-renewable physical capital, greatly relaxing the restriction on progress imposed by the lack of enough physical capital. In actuality, the success of initiatives for the development of the tertiary (service) sectorcurrently the sector in India with the greatest growthrequires talented, experienced, and inventive human resources. And India should choose this route in order to achieve global sustainable development. When it comes to allocating resources for the goal, human resource development via proper nutrition, healthcare, education, training, and empowerment should now get the top priority.

The complicated process of development is influenced by both economic and non-economic variables. The Classical school correctly acknowledged the significance of non-economic variables in growth. John Stuart Mill believed that non-economic factors, such as beliefs,

habits of thought, customs, and institutions, play a significant role in economic development and that the despotic and anti-progressive nature of those factors is what causes underdeveloped nations to be backward.

The sociological dualism that Boeke defined as "the clash of an imported social system with an indigenous social system of another style" was an effort to explain underdevelopment. He comes to the conclusion that the best thing the West can do for emerging nations is to leave them alone since any attempt to develop them following Western lines would simply expedite their regression and disintegration based on his analysis, which is partly based on the Indonesian experience. Accepting dualism results in two policy conclusions: (a) it is generally impossible to have a single national policy; and (b) what is beneficial for one segment of society may be destructive for another. An evaluation of Boeke's thesis would show that, although dualism is undoubtedly real, it cannot be explained by the way Boeke sees society; rather, it may be explained in terms of economics and technology. This is shown by the fact that not all Western-provided technical and financial help has been ineffective in promoting development in developing nations.

For instance, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), which assisted India financially and technically in setting up modern land-grant type state agricultural universities in the 1960s and trained its agricultural scientists in American land-grant agricultural universities, deserves a large portion of the credit for bringing about the Green Revolution in India. Similar to this, the OF programme, which is credited with modernising India's dairy industry, benefited greatly from food aid in the form of skimmed milk powder and butter oil, first from the European Economic Community (EEC) and then from the World Food Programme of the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO).Even if one disagrees with Boeke's notion of sociological dualism, sociological, cultural, and psychological aspects are still important for economic progress.

In fact, one might argue that all economists with expertise in economic development are aware of how important it is for these elements to interact with economic forces. The psychological and social prerequisites for growth are just as significant as the economic requirements, according to Meier and Baldwin. They merit complete consideration on their own merits. However, only a small number of economists have had the guts to make an effort at a comprehensive theory of development that would take strategic sociological, cultural, and psychological aspects into account. David McClelland and Everett Hagen stand out among these few

The 'Need-for-Achievement Motivation' (N-Ach) hypothesis by McClelland aims to show how N-Ach and economic development are related. His theory is based on two claims: (a) that group differences in the average level of specific motives, like N-Ach, predict differences in the rate of economic growth; and (b) that particular motive combinations predispose people to act like successful business entrepreneurs, who have been instrumental in all prior economic development. His research and analysis lead him to the conclusion that altering people's values and motivations is the first step in fostering economic development. According to him, this may be achieved by (a) persuasion or education, (b) bringing about changes to the social order, and (c) early character development. The third of the three is undoubtedly the one with the best chance of success. Because principles may be ingrained from the very beginning in this manner. A group of carefully chosen, professionally qualified nursery and primary school teachers may transmit early character development.Therefore, McClelland's analysis comes to the conclusion that a large number of people with the entrepreneurial motivation complex, particularly with high N-Ach, are necessary for a take-off into economic development, and that it takes time to create the psychological

preconditions for this. It is exceedingly difficult to single out just a few essential variables or deter minants since the elements influencing rural development positively or negatively are so numerous and have intermingled through time in so many different ways. The amount and rate of rural development are influenced by a variety of institutional, organisational, political, technical, economic, sociocultural, and physical variables. These variables are active at all scales, including the home, village, district, state, country, and the whole planet. These elements may influence development favourably or unfavourably depending on how they are handled. As an example, if a nation's people resources are not properly developed via good nutrition, healthcare, education, and training, and are not then used successfully, these resources become liabilities and barriers to progress. But if they are effectively developed and used, they become fantastic assets and important development-related elements. For rural development managers to be able to employ numerous determinants to effectively and efficiently accomplish their objectives, they must have knowledge on the nature and scope of those impacts. The main factors influencing rural development are listed in this chapter, along with an analysis of how they contribute to it.There is no one statistic or indicator that can fully reflect the complex nature of rural development, Rural development is typified by various aims. Nevertheless, it is doubtful that we will have any insight into the quantitative effects of the variables influencing rural development until we are able to quantify the phenomena of rural development. In the lack of a single measurement of rural development, we will utilise change in output as a stand-in and explore the significance of numerous elements that, according to our previous knowledge, are significant drivers of this measure. Assume that changes in capital, employment, capital allocation, technology, institutions, and organisations all affect production. It is far more difficult than commonly believed to measure production changes over time.

A set of accounts that provide indications of production change are necessary for this. It is possible to employ a variety of indicators, although they are all constrained in some ways. We'll make a few remarks on how economic growth might help advance global development before moving on to a review of specific indicators of output change.Practically every country, affluent or poor, has as one of its objectives a developing economy. However, this obsession with expansion has become more difficult in recent years, especially in wealthy nations.

Today, it is made clear that growth should only be seen as a tool for fostering development and not as an aim in and of itself.

In reality, it is now generally accepted that both negative and positive effects are brought about by economic expansion. It has been suggested that our obsession with creating larger, better, and quicker goods to appease our insatiable whims and appetites is a kind of "growth mania."

The implication is that we have been so with raising certain indices of wealth or output that we have overlooked some of the growth's negative side effects, which are the root causes of the declining quality of life for people.

It must be shown that economic activity will raise the degree of well-being for at least some people before growth can be deemed the end of social policy The national income accounts have been created to provide measures of total output or,alternately, aggregate revenue to the owners of the production-related inputs on the opposite side of the accounts. These metrics include national income, gross national product (GNP), net national product (NNP), individual income, and personal disposable income.

CONCLUSION

Lewis' Economic Development Model provides insightful information on how traditional economies are changing structurally. Understanding economic growth in developing nations continues to be influenced by the dual-sector economy framework, the surplus labour theory, and the importance of capital accumulation. Although the model may need modifications to take into account the complexity of contemporary global dynamics, its fundamental ideas continue to guide economists and policymakers in developing plans for equitable and sustainable development. Lewis' Model serves as a fundamental road map for navigating the possibilities and difficulties of economic transformation as nations work to strengthen their economies and raise citizens' standards of living. In many nations, the early phases of economic growth may still be understood using ewis' Model. The transition of labour from agricultural to the industrial and service sectors in developing countries is an example of the dual-sector principle in action. The focus on capital accumulation and the state's function are consistent with current development plans intended to advance industrialization, infrastructure building, and skill development.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Feldman, T. Hadjimichael, en L. Lanahan, “The logic of economic development: a definition and model for investment”, *Environ. Plan. C Gov. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0263774X15614653.

[2] D. H. B. Welsh, E. Kaciak, en N. Thongpapanl, “Influence of stages of economic development on women entrepreneurs’ startups”, *J. Bus. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.055.

[3] S. Martínez-Fierro, J. M. Biedma-Ferrer, en J. Ruiz-Navarro, “Entrepreneurship and strategies for economic development”, *Small Bus. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11187-016-9738-5.

[4] A. P. Kyriacou, “Individualism-collectivism, governance and economic development”, *Eur. J. Polit. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2015.11.005.

[5] C. Houle, “Inequality, Economic Development, and Democratization”, *Stud. Comp. Int. Dev.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s12116-016-9220-z.

[6] R. Singh, “Female literacy and economic development in India”, *Rupkatha J. Interdiscip. Stud. Humanit.*, 2016, doi: 10.21659/rupkatha.v8n2.07.

[7] F. Furuoka, “Natural gas consumption and economic development in China and Japan: An empirical examination of the Asian context”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.11.038.

[8] R. Lahoti en H. Swaminathan, “Economic Development and Women’s Labor Force Participation in India”, *Fem. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/13545701.2015.1066022.

[9] M. Fritz en M. Koch, “Economic development and prosperity patterns around the world: Structural challenges for a global steady-state economy”, *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.02.007.

CHAPTER 7

ANALYSIS AND DETERMINANTS OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Roma Khanna, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- romakhanna11@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The trajectory of advancement in rural regions is shaped by a wide range of causes, making rural development a complicated process. This essay examines the complex variables that affect rural development, looking at both internal and external drivers that are important. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of the complex web of factors that promote or obstruct rural development by looking at economic, social, environmental, and governance aspects. The elements that influence rural development are many and interact in a variety of complex ways. Economic issues that affect the viability of rural businesses and sectors include access to markets, finance, and technology. Human capital development and general quality of life are influenced by social variables, including education, healthcare, and social infrastructure. Long-term ecological sustainability depends on environmental factors including resilient climate management and sustainable resource management. Key characteristics that influence the enabling environment for rural development include governance and policy issues. Development is greatly aided by efficient government, open decision-making, and laws that support inclusion and fair resource allocation. In order to make sure that development projects are responsive to the needs of rural communities and contextually relevant, local leadership and community involvement are essential.

KEYWORDS:

Economic Factors, Environmental Factors, Governance, Rural Development, Social Factors.

INTRODUCTION

Any good, substance, or condition that man finds in his natural surroundings and may use in some manner for his benefit is referred to as a natural resource. The resources that nature provides in this sense include air, climate, soil, water, plants, animals, mineral ores, mineral oil, coal, natural gas, solar radiation, and a few tourist-friendly facilities. The distribution of resources in the world varies with time, but not due of changes in nature's fundamental provisions, but rather because of changes in what is considered a resource.Natural resources may be divided into two categories: stock (non-renewable) resources like metal ores, mineral oil, and coal reserves, and flow (renewable) resources like solar radiation, plant and animal species, and winds, among others. From the perspective of strategies for resource development, conservation, and use, this difference is crucial.

The development of rural areas depends heavily on natural resources.Mother Nature gives us unrestricted access to natural resources and serves two crucial roles in the development of the economy by supplying raw materials for industrial processes and digesting the waste products of those operations. As a finite, closed, and non-growing planet, Earth's capacity to provide inputs and absorb trash is constrained. As a result, both of these crucial functions have a natural limit. This suggests that there are ecological and natural limitations to economic development, and as a result, it cannot be continued indefinitely to increase the production of goods and services utilising natural resources. We must use/harvest only the amount that is naturally replenished in order to maintain our natural resources and environment during the process of economic growth. In other words, we must live off the "flows" and preserve the

"stock" of natural resources and the environment. We would want to emphasise, however, that it is now feasible to improve the natural flow/harvest of the products of nature by suitable technical and managerial interventions[1], [2].

For instance, fish catches can be increased sustainably through artificial breeding and feeding; crop yields can be increased through the use of balanced organic and inorganic fertilisers, bio pesticides, and scientific soil and water management; and forests can be revitalised more quickly and have higher natural productivity through the use of fertilisers and water. Therefore, it is possible to increase the carrying capacity of our biosphere in terms of the number of living things to a certain amount by technical and administrative interventions. As a result, economic development has its limitations, in contrast to what technocrats and growth maniacs proclaim, and in contrast to what ecologists claim, those boundaries are not inflexible in the absolute sense; rather, they may be loosened. Sustainable development proponents acknowledge this reality and strive for a medium ground between the technocrats' and ecologists' two extremes.The Neo-Malthusian theory, the Cornucopian hypothesis, and the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis are the three main ideas that attempt to explain the connection between natural resources and development. The neo-Malthusian hypothesis holds that the carrying capacity of our planet Earth is constrained since the globe is finite, closed, and non-growing. Its proponents are mostly ecologists and biologists.In other words, the capacity of our planet Earth to absorb trash and provide inputs is limited. This means that both of the important functions of the ecosystem have a natural limit[3], [4].

On the other hand, those who support the Cornucopian theory have a positive outlook. Most of them are technicians, agronomists, and economists. They claim that the catastrophic breakdown of society predicted by academics who follow the Neo-Malthusian school is unfounded and there is no need for concern.Due of the incentives offered by competitive marketplaces, businesspeople are looking into Common Pool Resources (CPRs), or resources that are utilised by many people at once, are highly significant in India as supplies of food, fuel wood, fodder, and many other basic necessities for rural residents, especially the poor. India has over 30 million hectares (mha) of common pool forests, approximately 100 million mha of common pool land, and the majority of its water and fisheries resources are also CPRs. The inability of the poor to use privately held natural resources and natural CPRs is one of the main factors contributing to rural poverty in India.

The rural poor find it difficult to satisfy their basic needs as a result of the increasing economic exploitation of natural CPRs. The rural poor, especially women, are now forced to spend a significant amount of their time and energy travelling great distances to obtain water, fuel wood, and feed, which has exacerbated their suffering and labour. This is due to the CPRs of land, forests, and water becoming depleted. For the rural poor's well-being as well as the environment's quality, restoration and prudent management of natural CPRs are crucial. Utilising locally accessible natural resources forms the foundation of an economy at any stage of economic growth. The degree and rate of a country's economic growth is, in large part, determined by the number and quality of its natural resources as well as the intensity and effectiveness of their usage. However, as the examples of Denmark, Switzerland, Israel, Hong Kong, and Japan demonstrate, a high degree of economic growth is not always precluded by a lack of natural resources. These nations have made up for the dearth of natural resources by developing the necessary structures, organisations, and human resources. India has a good amount of natural resources, but it hasn't been able to properly develop and use them for the benefit of its people. This explains India's underdeveloped agricultural and rural areas.It is virtually always true that the value of natural resources to economic growth

increases in direct proportion to how much of a nation's wealth is distributed to those who control its natural resources. In the case of food production, this is simple to show. About 42% of all private consumption expenditures in Indiaa proxy for incomego into food and drink[5], [6].

Rent is given to landowners for a percentage of the cost of production, which amounts to around 30%. Thus, only land services account for around 13% of the community's overall revenue. This indicates that a significant portion of India's capital wealth is held in the form of land. Due to the fact that economic, political, and social advantages are often connected to land ownership, who controls this property has a significant impact. On the other hand, in a developed nation like the USA, around 10% of gross domestic product is spent on food, while almost 20% of the cost of producing food is spent on land rent. Thus, the owners of land only get little more than 2% of the overall revenue of the community. Therefore, compared to India, the USA has far less economic and political significance with regard to land ownership.

DISCUSSION

The quantity and calibre of human resources are crucial factors in the growth process. The employment level is best analysed from both a long-term and short-term perspective. Long-term, population growth is mostly correlated with employment. The correlation between employment and population growth is particularly strong in civilizations where people begin working at a young age and where a large portion of labour is used in agricultural activities, where it is thus likely to be used even in underemployment. The quantity of labour utilised in relation to other production elements will increase as population growth rates rise.But in this context, it's crucial to remember that developing a fully functional person requires time and effort. Children having to work shortens the time, which often leads to less productive work being done over time. In contrast, if work is delayed and children attend school, the burden on public institutions, like educational institutions, increases and the net consumption reflected in per capita incomes is decreased because resources are directed towards institutions for maintenance and training rather than consumption. As a result, per capita earnings are initially lower until individuals ultimately enter the labour market, at which point they may grow provided the labour is productive enough to offset the time invested in education and training.

On the other hand, by giving individuals additional chances to work, employment might be raised in the short term. This may be accomplished by providing advantageous pay, which entice formerly unemployed individuals into the labour market, and by creating a strong economic climate with an abundance of employment.The quality of labour is more crucial than the quantity for increasing productivity, according to research conducted in both industrialised and developing nations. If one examines the experiences of many nations, a distinct image becomes apparent. No country with a highly educated, technologically skilled work force is poor, and no nation with a labour population that is mostly uneducated and illiterate is wealthy. In general, economic progress depends considerably more on the calibre of the work force than it does on the quantity of natural resources. Despite having essentially no mineral or energy resources, Japan has a high rate of economic output due to its highly educated, skilled, and productive workforce.

A relatively high internal rate of return on investment in education and training has also been seen in terms of economic output. The benefits of fundamental literacy are very substantial. In many wealthy nations, the rate of return on investment in education is in the area of 50% every year, while in emerging nations like India, the rate of return on investment in basic

education is considerably greater. Any underdeveloped nation that wishes to grow must invest its limited resources on management, education, technical training, and schools. People are important in the development process, but it's also important to keep in mind that their beliefs and attitudes must support development. A growth in income and wealth, whether held individually or publicly, must be one of the main priorities in life for progress to take place. In other words, individuals need to want to acquire, amass, or consume things at rising rates. Otherwise, progress is all but impossible. There is no doubting that this ambition gave rise to Western technology, financial intermediaries, private property, free contract, and an economic system based on trade. Some people believe that the supremacy of financial concerns in the hierarchy of privately held objectives led to even political liberty, which encouraged social mobility and, in turn, contributed to progress[7], [8].

India is a nation with a surplus of workers. According to the 2001 census, there were 234.1 million rural workers in India, including 127.3 million cultivators and 106.8 million agricultural labourers. This represents nearly 64% of all workers in India. In terms of abilities, training, values, and attitudes, the rural work force is of low quality. In India, the rural population's literacy rate in 2001 was just 59%, whereas it was 80% for the urban population. The real per capita gross domestic product (GDP) and the literacy rate are positively correlated. The simple correlation between these two variables for a sample of 43 emerging nations was 0.48. The low productivity and therefore low per capita incomes in India's rural sector are mostly explained by the poor quality of the rural labour force and the very low per capita capital availability**.**

The majority of development economists from wealthy Western nations believe that capital is the most important tool for promoting economic growth. As a representative example of this school of thinking, the Harrod-Domar model may be used. Since the rate of economic growth in this model is calculated as the sum of the output-capital ratio and the savings rate, capital accumulation is essential to the process of economic development. Therefore, capital formation is a crucial need for economic progress. New technology is mostly embodied in capital, including high yielding crops, chemical fertilisers and insecticides, tractors, combine harvesters, and food processing facilities. The marginal productivity of labour rises as the capital stock increases, which in turn raises pay rates in general.

Different classifications are possible for capital. Improvements to land, machinery, equipment, fundamental infrastructure, and other long-lasting kinds of capital are examples of long-term capital, while seeds, fertiliser, fuel, and other raw materials required in production each year are examples of operational capital. Additionally, capital may be divided into categories based on whether it is held privately or publically. The management of private capital is the responsibility of the individual business owner, and examples include the long-term and operational capital mentioned above. The society's investment in infrastructure, such as roads, schools, hospitals, the national security, and different government institutions, is known as public capital, on the other hand. Individuals build private money, of course, by choosing to spend less than they make.Public capital, on the other hand, is created by collective political activity but may also exist when society produces more than it consumes. Both private and governmental capital investments are required to promote rural development.

Both sorts of investments are now insufficient in India, which has a negative impact on the rate and degree of rural development.When seen from the perspective of the economy, capital resources may be obtained in one of two ways: either via domestic saving or foreign help. Domestic savings may be obtained from three sources in the majority of nations. The first comes from private individuals who consume less than their wages and contribute the

difference to the economy in the form of investments. People save regularly in wealthy nations in an effort to give protection against a variety of scenarios; as a result, saving often takes the shape of insurance premiums, retirement annuities, bank accounts, etc. People believe that saving improves their well-being since they are making these decisions deliberately, therefore there is no deprivation taking place.

On the other hand, saving is often difficult in developing nations because individuals are always on the verge of being hungry or becoming sick and must spend their whole salary just to get by.Savings are thus often small. However, domestic saving has recently increased across all industries in India. For instance, the average gross savings rate across all sectors in 2004–05 was 29.1%, up from 24.9% in 1999–2000. Similar trends were seen in the household (private) sector, where the average rate of gross saving rose from 21.3 percent in 1999-2000 to 22.0 percent in 2004-05 If society requires greater saves than can be achieved by individual saving choices, then saving must be coerced through inflation[9], [10].

Second, savings may be obtained from businesses, which typically reinvest part of their profits back into the company for new capital development in an attempt to grow. This kind of saving and the ensuing capital development are crucial in wealthy nations with many, strong, and massive enterprises. The average rate of gross savings in India's private corporate and public sectors, which were 4.8% and 2.2%, respectively, in 2004-05, has been rising but is still relatively low Last but not least, taxes and inflation are two ways that governments might raise money for capital formation. All taxes, including income tax, property tax, excise tax, value-added tax, and others, have benefits and drawbacks of their own. However, there isn't enough room for a review.

Taxation also includes inflation. By expanding the money supply, the government fuels inflation by driving up prices for goods and services. Price increases follow, and the average increase in a price composite index is referred to as inflation. The government purchases products and services using the cash generated by operating printing presses or selling securities. These might come in the form of investment funds. Since people in the economy who maintain cash balances see their buying power diminish due to inflation, inflation is actually a tax on cash reserves. Thus, wealth is transferred from people who have it in the form of cash balances to those who acquire the resources via the production of money, in this instance the government. Of course, it is possible to investigate and assess the effectiveness and equitable consequences of inflation relative to other types of taxing in order to obtain money for governmental purposes. However, this is beyond the scope of this chapter.

Saving is, of course, only one component of capital accumulation. The economy's savings must somehow be made accessible to investors, who are the ones who truly create capital creation. If private savings are prioritised, there has to be a structure in place to handle the money transfers to investors. Commercial banks, savings and loan associations, insurance firms, and credit cooperatives are the institutions that fall under this category in the majority of nations. Similar to this, there has to be an institutional foundation to transmit government funds to investors, whether they originate from taxes, foreign assistance, or money creation.These take the form of central banks, as well as industrial and agricultural banks, in various nations (including India).

Since the end of World War II, foreign assistance has been widely used as a means of transferring money internationally. But it should be noted that a lot of the developed nations of today got a lot of their funding for growth from outside sources. Foreign capital supplies, especially from the UK, were a huge help to the USA and Canada in the launch period of their development. However, there is one significant difference between that time and the

present. Private international sources provided the majority of the development money that fueled the growth of the US and Canada. The exceptionally large number of professional prospects attracted money that was looking for these professions. Since the capital transfer was voluntary, it should go without saying that both lenders and borrowers benefited from it.

Today, a lot of private money also travels overseas. Large international and multinational firms have branches in numerous nations, including India. However, significant financial resources are collected for development objectives from international institutions like the World Bank, the Asian Development Bank (ADB), the Inter-American Development Bank (IADB), and via government to government foreign assistance. Again, there is plenty to gain for both the donor and the grantee as long as the transfers are mutually beneficial and consensual. The grantees don't worry too much about the economic viability of initiatives using these money since a significant quantity of overseas funding is accessible via grants or low-cost loans. This is a wasteful use of precious resources. Low-cost loans and international grants should be managed just as carefully and sparingly as high-cost loans. Regardless of the source or conditions of the cash, the true cost to the economy is the opportunity cost of not employing the resources in the most efficient way. These capital money should be used for purposes that provide the most productivity. When deciding how to employ funds from foreign help in the economy, planning should be equally as strict and meticulous as it would be if the funds were from domestic saving.

One of the biggest obstacles to rural development in India is the capital shortage in the country's rural areas. Compared to the rate needed to achieve a better degree of rural development, the rate of capital creation in the rural sector has been low.Additionally, a large portion of the surplus created in the sector is diverted to the urban sector for a number of reasons, such as the absence of institutional frameworks for collecting small savings and offering incentives to small savers.

Most likely, the main element influencing economic progress is the advancement of technology. It is development, in many ways the condition prior to development. Studies conducted in developed nations have shown that fewer than half of output gains over time can be attributed to growth in capital, employment, and natural resources. Therefore, qualitative rather than quantitative gains in the inputs of production must account for the majority of development. In essence, technical advancement is an improvement in the manufacturing processes that results in higher output per unit of input. Productivity increases as a result of advances in knowledge and expertise, enhanced abilities, and the use of better tools and machines.

Many development scholars have developed theories of development that place technical advancement at the very heart of concern, including Hayami and Ruttan (1970), Schultz (1964), and Rostow (1960). According to Schultz, the main difference between traditional and contemporary agriculture is the use of modern inputs, which are defined as those that are technologically sophisticated. According to Rostow's model, when the conventional way of life's static stage has been disrupted, society moves on to the following stages: (a) setting up the circumstances for development; (b) takeoff; (c) drive to maturity; and (d) mass consumption. The contemporary science's discoveries start to be transformed into new industrial functions during the time of setting up the circumstances for expansion. Simply put, this is another way of emphasising that technology is advancing.

The dynamic evolution of an economy is influenced by two classes of factors, according to Schumpeter (1949), including: (a) the effects of changes in factor availability, which he refers to as the "growth" component; and (b) the effects of technological and social changes, which

he refers to as "development" or "evolution." According to him, development includes the following five combinations:

- The debut of a new product or service.
- The use of a new manufacturing technique.
- The debut of a fresh marketplace.
- Seizing control of a fresh source of raw materials or partially finished items.
- The restructuring of an industry, such as the establishment or dissolution of a monopolistic situation.

The entrepreneur is the key component of Schumpeter's economic growth paradigm. By using innovations, exploring unexplored technical possibilities for the manufacture of new commodities, manufacturing existing commodities in novel ways, and so forth, he completely transforms the pattern of production. The capitalist rationale and bourgeois institutions are crucial precondition for entrepreneurial activity to flourish. Credit was given a significant part by Schumpeter in allowing entrepreneurs to acquire useful resources and carry out innovation. He emphasises how crucial innovation is to the creation of business cycles. According to Schumpeter, the rate of growth in production per person is unrestricted.

CONCLUSION

, there are many different economic, social, environmental, and governance aspects that contribute to rural development. These elements are complex and varied. For successful development plans to be developed that result in comprehensive and long-lasting growth in rural regions, it is essential to comprehend these drivers and how they interact. Due to the complexity of rural development, a thorough and context-specific strategy is required. To identify and address the precise variables that impede or promote development in each distinct rural environment, policymakers, development practitioners, and stakeholders must cooperate together. Rural development initiatives may be targeted to strengthen economies, improve living circumstances, and support equitable growth in rural regions by using the strengths of each component and adopting a holistic view.

REFERENCES

[1] D. R. Rodríguez-Triana en J. A. Benavides-Piracón, “Health and rurality in Colombia: an analysis from the standpoint of the social determinants of health”, *Rev. Fac. Nac. Salud Pública*, 2016.

[2] R. S. Thorsen en M. Pouliot, “Traditional medicine for the rich and knowledgeable: Challenging assumptions about treatment-seeking behaviour in rural and peri-urban Nepal”, *Health Policy Plan.*, 2016, doi: 10.1093/heapol/czv060.

[3] N. Petry *et al.*, “The proportion of anemia associated with iron deficiency in low, medium, and high human development index countries: A systematic analysis of national surveys”, *Nutrients*. 2016. doi: 10.3390/nu8110693.

[4] A. Martinovska Stojcheska, A. Kotevska, N. Bogdanov, en A. Nikolić, “How do farmers respond to rural development policy challenges? Evidence from Macedonia, Serbia and Bosnia and Herzegovina”, *Land use policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.08.019.

[5] L. Huicho *et al.*, “Examining national and district-level trends in neonatal health in Peru through an equity lens: A success story driven by political will and societal advocacy”, *BMC Public Health*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3405-2.

[6] M. Munamati, I. Nhapi, en S. Misi, “Exploring the determinants of sanitation success in Sub-Saharan Africa”, *Water Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2016.07.030.

[7] S. Djukic, D. Glavas-Trbic, en N. Banjac, “Management problems of rural development in Fruska gora”, *Ekon. Poljopr.*, 2017, doi: 10.5937/ekopolj1701027d.

[8] B. Prus, S. Bacior, en M. Dudzińska, “Settlement network concentration as determinant for rural areas development”, 2017. doi: 10.22616/ERDev2017.16.N093.

[9] B. A. Wondimagegnhu en M. E. Zeleke, “Determinants of Rural Out-Migration in Habru District of Northeast Ethiopia”, *Int. J. Popul. Res.*, 2017, doi: 10.1155/2017/4691723.

[10] S. A. M. Najafizada, I. L. Bourgeault, en R. Labonté, “Social Determinants of Maternal Health in Afghanistan: A Review”, *Cent. Asian J. Glob. Heal.*, 2017, doi: 10.5195/cajgh.2017.240.

CHAPTER 8

ORGANIZATIONAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK IN RURAL AREAS

Anshu Chauhan, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- anshuchauhan1411@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The institutional environment in rural regions has a significant impact on how development, governance, and overall success are shaped. The relevance of the institutional framework in rural settings is explored in this research, along with its many elements' effects on community involvement, resource management, and sustainable development. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of how the institutional framework affects rural dynamics by examining official and informal institutions, governance systems, and their relationships. The collection of guidelines for social interaction and behaviour inside a society is referred to as the institutional framework. These guidelines include conventions, organisations, and governance systems. Due to the special possibilities and problems presented by elements like agriculture, land use, natural resource management, and local government, this framework is especially essential in rural regions.

KEYWORDS:

Formal Institutions, Governance, Informal Institutions, Institutional Framework, Rural Areas.

INTRODUCTION

A wide range of elements, including natural resources, human resources (labour), money, technology, institutions, and organisations, have an impact on rural development. Although natural resources, labour, technology, and investment were highlighted by classical and neoclassical economists as important factors in economic growth, institutions and organisations were not given a significant role in the process. They took the institutional structure of the economy for granted (exogenously) and hence beyond the purview of scientific investigation. In reality, they promoted a laissez-faire philosophy and fought for reducing the involvement of the government in the process of development. The importance of institutions and organisations in the process of economic growth was first highlighted by institutional economists and Karl Marx[1], [2].

'Organisation' and 'institution' are often used interchangeably. We think of organisations as a part of the larger category of institutional arrangements or structures. An organisation is a term used to describe the coordinated actions or efforts of two or more people. It was developed to put into practise a certain institutional setup. An economic organization's primary role is to provide signals to self-interested economic individuals and entities to encourage them to behave in the greater community's best interests. various economic individuals. Markets effectively provide these signals when they have minimal transaction costs. Such signals may also be sent by non-market processes, such as governmental and non-governmental organisations (NGOs), which includes cooperatives[3], [4].

Organisations and institutions have a significant role in development. They may have an impact on agricultural and rural development in a variety of ways, such as the provision of production inputs and services, the reduction of transaction costs, the improvement of rural producers' bargaining power with regard to those to whom they sell their produce and from

whom they purchase production inputs and services, the influence of investments and savings, the bringing together of the two, and so forth. Any community's economic activity takes place among a variety of groups and organisations. They create the rules by which the economic game is played and in large part shape the community's economic structure. The development and production of the economy will presumably be significantly impacted by changes in these organisations and institutions throughout time. Because of the interaction between changes in organisations and institutions and other instrument factors of agricultural growth, these impacts are sometimes difficult to separate and quantify[5], [6].

Many other types of organisations, including sole proprietorships, partnerships, corporations, cooperatives, and charity trusts, may and do meet the requirements of Indian farmers. The kind of organisation that is most suited to advancing agricultural development should completely align with the requirements and interests of farmers, both organizationally and operationally. The government has been, continues to be, and will continue to play a significant role in India's agricultural and rural development in the near future.The government is seen to have a specific responsibility for development. The function of the public bureaucracy, the part of the government tasked with carrying out the directives of the political elite, is significantly impacted by this. The efforts to enhance the quality of life for rural residents rely primarily on government bureaucracy and administration.

Laws of property and contract have a positive effect on economic development at the institutional level. Here, the crucial inquiries are as follows:

- What rights does a man have in his property?
- How may third parties treat his property?
- What kind of economic activity is allowed for him?

While some cultures, like Japan, are reasonably open to the free operation of private businesses and people, others impose many regulations that stifle private profits in the guise of safeguarding the larger public interest. Other concerns centre on the kind of agreements that private parties may enter into, the types and scope of claims and contracts that may be upheld, etc., All of these inquiries have to do with how government control of commercial activity affects economic growth. How strictly is the government's control over certain corporate activities? How are taxes, tariffs, subsidies, and other charges used to promote certain activities while discouraging others? How are taxes and inheritance laws used to regulate income distribution at the price of economic expansion? When looking for a supportive institutional and organisational atmosphere for economic growth, it is important to keep in mind the dynamics and elements mentioned above that impact the incentives for economic production.A cooperative is the only institution that theoretically meets every need for an effective rural organisation. The cooperative organisational structure is only intended to advance the shared interests of its user patrons on the basis of equity and equality[7], [8].

Democratically, they are in charge of it. Additionally, since the lender, borrower, vendor, and buyer are all treated as one individual, it resolves any conflict of interest between the parties. The goal is to fulfil the requirements of the members rather than only focus on making profits. It is a neighbourhood association that encourages neighbourhood involvement. Since its policy is chosen democratically by the local members/users, it is sensitive to local requirements. It acts as a commercial and democratic training ground for rural residents.The Anand pattern dairy cooperatives in India are an example of what the right institutions and groups can achieve to start and promote agricultural and rural development. Similar to this, sugar cooperatives have made a significant contribution to rural development.

In addition to cooperatives, there are several other legal and informal organisations that might effectively support rural and agricultural development.Examples of NGOs that support people's groups at the grassroots level to engage in agricultural and rural development initiatives include Sadguru Water and Development Foundation, headquartered in Dahod, Gujarat; SEWA, based in Ahmedabad, Gujarat; and PRADAN, based in Delhi.NGOs organise individuals and provide them with technical knowledge, training, and, to some degree, financial assistance. Additionally, they aid grassroots groups in obtaining financial support from different governmental and non-governmental sources.

Most of the time, NGOs' activities have performed better than government programmes when it comes to achieving their goals. This remark, however, cannot be applied universally since many NGOs lack the administrative and technical know-how, as well as the financial discipline, to start and fund initiatives for agricultural and rural development. Indian businesses and enterprises might be crucial in promoting agricultural growth. Numerous blue-chip companies, including the Tatas, Mafatlals, Larsen and Toubro, and Hindustan Unilever, as well as industrialist associations like the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) and the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI), have already received recognition for their outstanding work in the field of agricultural and rural development from both domestic NGOs and government organisations as well as from foreign donors and development organisations. Corporates may improve the agricultural sector by introducing contemporary management techniques, science and technology, and worldwide markets. This is especially true in the current liberalisation, deregulation, privatisation, and globalisation period[9], [10].

DISCUSSION

Relation Between Rural Development and Its Determinants

The link between rural development and the numerous factors covered in the previous section is difficult to define. One issue is that no time series data are available for any reliable indicator of rural development, much alone for these factors. Some of which are completely unquantifiable. Second, each of these factors is always changing. concurrently, making it impossible to identify and quantify the contribution of any one factor. determinant without the use of certain advanced econometric methods. In the past, a few efforts have been made to gauge the effect of some of these factors that affect rural development. Take Hayami and Ruttan (1970: 895–911) as an example. tried to provide an explanation for the variations in agricultural production per worker (a proxy for agriculture) between a cross-section of developed and developing countries and discovered that: (a) resource endowment (land and cattle); (b) technology (machines and fertilisers); (c) human capital (general and vocational education); 95 percent of the differences were explained by this. Their findings' implications for an

There is a defined approach for agricultural growth in developing nations. Attempts must be made designed to reduce the disparity between the levels of contemporary industrial inputs, education, and research between the industrialised and developing world. produced an agricultural surplus By narrowing the gap, more than what is required to keep up with development of Industrial growth must be financed by agricultural output. As in India Well, several research, especially at the farm level, have been carried out to ascertain the impact of manpower, land, water (irrigation), fertilisers, and electricity on agricultural output and earnings. These studies provide important details regarding the nature and scope of the influence of many factors on agricultural revenue. But there is a requirement. for carrying out

research at the macro level to ascertain the link between some a reliable indicator of rural development and the many variables that influence it.

According to Webster's dictionary, "policy" is defined as a fixed course of action chosen (by the government, an organisation, a group, or a person) from among possibilities in order to direct and typically prevent future actions. The word "policy" is most often used in social and political contexts to describe a plan of action or anticipated course of action that is pursued or positioned to be followed after considering all available options. The creation, adoption, and implementation of these courses of action constitute the policy process. We shall focus on public rural development policy in this article, which refers to activities made by the government to further certain rural development goals. Agricultural development is also a part of rural development, as usual.

It is crucial to make a distinction between (a) policies, (b) programmes, and (c) projects right away. As was already established, the word "policy" is broad and denotes a collection of desired acts. It includes projects that are more focused on what has to be done, how, by whom, and where than policy yet have a limited reach than policy. Before a policy can be put into practise, it must be translated into a number of programmes. A project lends itself to planning, financing, and execution as a whole since it is very specific and precise in terms of its goals, location, length, funding, and executing agency.A programme might include a number of initiatives. A rural development project may be defined as an investment activity in which money is invested to produce an asset that will provide income over a prolonged period of time.The conceptual framework and justification for public policy are the first few fundamental components of public policy that are covered in this chapter. A summary of India's main public policies concerning the rural sector is then given. We conclude by briefly addressing the significant effects of globalisation on rural development. The chapter's major goal is to educate the reader with the fundamental concepts of public theory and the key aspects of India's intermittently implemented rural development plans.

Social control takes the shape of public policy. While a farmer who accepts a production loan from a nationalised bank and a government subsidy is constrained in how he can use the loaned money and subsidy, he has more freedom to increase his output, raise his income and standard of living, and cultivate his unique talents.The farmer is battling the conflict between independence and control. He values his individuality and dignity as an individual, but he also recognises the need of discipline in a group setting. However, this is only sophistry, based on two options that are presented as comprehensive and mutually incompatible, like so many other difficulties.The concepts of freedom and control may coexist; they are not mutually incompatible choices. In reality, restricting certain behaviours via social regulations is mostly done to protect the freedom of some behaviours.

Here, it helps to distinguish between "licence" and "freedom." If freedom is defined as self-expression that does not hurt others and licence is defined as self-gratification that is damaging to others, then social constraints may advance freedom by limiting licence. From this vantage point, freedom and control do not always conflict. In actuality, societal regulation may help increase personal freedom. Therefore, the fundamental issue is not how to eliminate social control but rather how to make social controls so selective that they will limit freedom and encourage it in the interests of society as a whole. A higher necessity for public policy in the economic field emerges the farther we go from basic, small-scale handcraft industry and self-contained, subsistence agriculture. The overall market conditions, employment, output, and production efficiency of the country as a whole, as well as how money is divided among the populacein other words, the country's economic welfarehave an increasing impact on the individual as a producer and consumer. The following are some

specific arguments in support of government involvement in the rural economy. India has made the decision to create a "socialist pattern of society." This means that social gain should be the primary criterion for determining the directions of development rather than private profit, and that the pattern of development and the structure of socio-economic relations should be planned in a way that leads to greater income and wealth equality as well as appreciable increases in national income and employment (Government of India [GoI] 1961: 30). The benefits of development, however, have not been equally distributed among all people in India, according to the country's experience to yet. The problem of poverty has been made worse by this, which has led to an increase in unemployment, malnutrition, the expansion of slums, a decrease in real wages, and the impoverishment of marginal and small farmers, among other manifestations. The main goal of planned development, which is raising the quality of life for the majority of people, is undermined by the poverty and employment issues that persist in India even 60 years after independence. It has long been understood that intentional measures intended to guarantee the fair distribution of development's benefits cannot be replaced by a high pace of growth. Therefore, a public policy is required to guarantee development while ensuring social fairness or justice. Because it is biological in origin, agricultural production is more susceptible to the whims of nature than non-farm production and, as a result, reacts more strongly than industrial production to unpredictable rainfall or other natural occurrences.

Increased fluctuations in agricultural prices and, therefore, agricultural earnings result from fluctuations in agricultural production. This is due to the fact that the demand for the majority of agricultural items is inelastic (less than 1.00), or because agricultural production has a larger price flexibility with regard to changes in supply. To put it simply, this means that a 1% increase in the price of a farm product, like wheat or rice, is associated with a 1% decrease in demand, or vice versa, a 1% decrease in the price of a farm product is associated with a 1% increase in demand. The majority of farmers cannot deal with the effects of fluctuations in farm product prices and revenues since they are small-scale operations and impoverished. They need some defence against the damaging impacts of the free market and niggardliness. Only the government can provide this protection in the form of insurance, credit programmes, and price support. In India, rural regions experience more poverty than urban ones do.

The average per capita income is similarly smaller and more unevenly distributed in rural regions than it is in metropolitan ones. Between 1998-1999 and 2003-04, the average annual per worker income in the agricultural sector was Rs 11,496 at 1993-1994 prices, compared to Rs 59,961 in the non-agricultural sector 6India, the poverty rate was 28.3% in rural areas, 25.7% in urban areas, and 27.5% across the nation, according to the Uniform Recall Period (URP)1 consumption distribution data from the sixty-first round of the National Sample Survey (NSS), conducted by the National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO), in 2004-05. In India, the urban population has benefited from development's material benefits more than the majority of the rural population. This also applies to other nations.Government action to promote rural income and enhance its distribution via anti-poverty measures is justified by the unfairness of the predicament of rural residents. The majority of rural businesses are tiny, dispersed, and unorganised. Due to these traits, its owners have little to no negotiating leverage with those who purchase their supplies and to whom they sell their output. This leads to fraud on both the purchasing and selling sides. This increases the need for government measures that promote equality of opportunity, increase the bargaining power of people and organisations in rural regions, and prevent the strong from taking advantage of the weak.

All of these goals seem to be good, therefore policymakers should seriously pursue them. To be of any benefit to society, these aims must be turned into targeted initiatives and projects that can be executed under the current circumstances. Many rural development plans are complicated amalgamations of diverse objectives, varied arrays of tools or methods, and are constrained by various circumstances. Such policies must be broken down into a number of programmes or initiatives in order to be understood.

Each project may have a clearly defined goal that a certain government agency is expected to work towards. The programme measures may then be identified, evaluated to see whether they are suitable and effective in achieving the goal, and adjusted to the circumstances beyond the scope of that specific programme. These requirements often play a major role in establishing whether or not a certain project is "administratively" practicable. Given the diversity of policy objectives, it is important to examine the relationships between them and ensure that they either support or militate against the public interest.

This is possible if different programme and policy goals are grouped in the shape of a pyramid. The following hierarchy would be shown by descending the levels of the pyramid of policy aims from the high top of generality to the practical base of actual issues:

A. Promoting general welfare, which includes economic welfare as well as cultural, social, and political wellbeing, is the main objective of economic policy. Two better goalsmaximum national income and opportunity equalityserve this overarching objective. The highest level of the hierarchy is made up of both the master goal and the superior objectives.
B. Goals are defined at a lower plane of generality, working their way down the hierarchy from the top level. These objectives, which may be referred to as the primary aims of the key national programmes, deal with specific maladjustments in the different economic sectors. These fundamental objectives are all still extremely broad in scope and intricate in design. For practical implementation, these aims must be further subdivided into more specific programme objectives targeted at a wide range of scenarios and involving distinct populations, geographic areas, and goods.
C. The goals of specific government initiatives created to remedy misadjustments or eliminate the cause of unhappiness experienced by certain groups of individuals are found at the following level. For instance, the main purpose of agricultural policy is divided into a number of programme goals for irrigation, soil conservation, agricultural loans, marketing, research, education, and extension.
D. Next, we go down to the lowest, most specialised level of programming units, whose goals are very clear and unambiguous. These include providing loans to farmers for specific goals, encouraging farmers to use certain soil conservation techniques, supporting particular agricultural commodities at particular prices, and building dams and irrigation systems.

For the analysis of policies, the process of creating programmes with well-defined objectives and methods is essential. But using the approach is not an easy task. Depending on how one views things, aims become means and means become objectives. Consider the elimination of rural unemployment as an example. According to the Union Ministry of Rural Development, it is an objective that can be achieved through budgetary funding allocation, grants to non-profit organisations, institutional credit, subsidies for the acquisition of income-generating assets, and providing technical assistance to the beneficiaries. According to the Planning Commission, eliminating rural poverty is a way to equalise opportunities and improve income distribution, both of which are ways to enhance social, cultural, and economic welfare, which in turn are ways to achieve the overarching goal of achieving general welfare.

In a broader action system, an aim in the context of a more specific programme emerges as a means. We take the aim for granted when assessing the appropriateness of a means; yet, while assessing the appropriateness of an objective, we must put it in the role of a means supporting a greater purpose. A qualitative policy is distinguished from a quantitative policy.

A qualitative strategy aims to alter the economic structure through establishing new institutions, altering pre-existing institutions, and nationalising private businesses.

A quantitative policy aims to alter the size of certain factors, such as the tax rate. A police ample that combines both qualitative and quantitative elements is the implementation of a free education system when there was previously a tuition fee. It is both qualitative and quantitative since it signifies a shift in the economic systemfrom one where there is a charge to one where there is none. Agriculture policies are divided into development policies and compensation policies by Heady (1965: 15). A development policy aims to enhance the availability of resources and commodities while also raising the calibre of outputs and inputs. A compensation policy seeks to compensate its target group in a number of ways, such as via subsidies, price support, and other measures.

India's government has a long history of involving itself in the economy's rural sector.The British government's intervention during the time before independence was intended to encourage the export of food and raw resources to Great Britain. There was no official policy for the exploitation of Indian resources for the benefit of the populace. The British government made major decisions about the introduction of a land tenure system, the opening of road and rail links, and the encouragement of export commerce in certain agricultural commodities. The foundation of the Forest Department in 1864, the Department of Agriculture in 1871, the Royal Commission on Agriculture (RCA) in 1926, and the Imperial (now Indian) Council of Agricultural Research in 1929 were further significant events of that time. At a meeting called by the Government of India in Shimla in October 1928, the RCA report was recognised as the foundation for future agricultural growth. The study stressed, among other things, how crucial it is to maintain a minimal quality of living in rural areas and modernise agriculture via research, extension, better cooperation between ministries that deal with agriculture, and the creation of cooperative organisations. However, many of the RCA's suggestions could not be put into action because of a lack of funding and the Great Depression.

CONCLUSION

Development and governance in rural regions are largely dependent on the institutional structure. It includes a broad spectrum of official and informal organisations that set norms, specify rights, and sculpt relationships. The interplay of these institutions affects how rural development proceeds, having an effect on community involvement, resource management, and general well-being. Policymakers and development professionals must take a comprehensive approach while acknowledging the significance of the institutional structure. Understanding the local environment, upholding traditional customs, and matching formal institutions with community needs are all essential components of effective development plans.

Rural development may be inclusive and sustainable when local people are involved in decision-making, local governance systems are strengthened, and traditional institutions are given due respect.In the end, rural institutional framework is a dynamic and changing construct. To guarantee that development activities are in line with the goals of rural communities and contribute to their long-term success, it is necessary to continuously adapt, collaborate with stakeholders, and incorporate local knowledge.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Karami Dehkordi, H. Kohestani, H. Yadavar, R. Roshandel, en M. Karbasioun, "Implementing conceptual model using renewable energies in rural area of Iran", *Inf. Process. Agric.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.inpa.2017.02.003.

[2] H. Nagy, J. Káposzta, en B. Meta, "The potentials of rural tourism in developing rural areas in Albania", *DETUROPE*, 2017, doi: 10.32725/det.2017.029.

[3] P. Usta, A. Arici, A. Evci, en E. Kepenek, "Sustainability of traditional buildings located in rural area", *Period. Eng. Nat. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.21533/pen.v5i2.136.

[4] Y. Yarkova en E. Mutafov, "Rural Areas in Bulgaria-Investigation on some Factors for Development", *East. Eur. Countrys.*, 2017, doi: 10.1515/eec-2017-0003.

[5] D. Šipuš en B. Abramović, "The Possibility of Using Public Transport in Rural Area", 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2017.06.136.

[6] E. Biernat, P. Bartkiewicz, en S. Buchholtz, "Are structural changes in Polish rural areas fostering leisure-time physical activity?", *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/ijerph14040372.

[7] N. Karmakar, S. Majumdar, A. Dasgupta, en S. Das, "Quality of life among menopausal women: A community-based study in a rural area of West Bengal", *J. Midlife. Health*, 2017, doi: 10.4103/jmh.JMH_78_16.

[8] L. Kubičkova, M. Moravkova, M. Tuzova, en I. Nečas, "The role of small and medium-sized enterprises in the development of rural areas", *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*. 2017. doi: 10.11118/actaun201765061987.

[9] M. Lisiak, K. Borowiak, en E. Muńko, "The concept of sustainable tourism development in rural areas - A case study of Zbąszyń commune", *J. Water L. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1515/jwld-2017-0007.

[10] K. Hasegawa en H. Yoshino, "Characteristics of home energy consumption in a rural area and an urban area", *AIJ Journal of Technology and Design*. 2017. doi: 10.3130/aijt.23.201.

CHAPTER 9

EXPLORATION AND DETERMINATION OF NATIONAL FOREST POLICY (NFP) IN RURAL AREAS

Amit Kansal, Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- amit.kansalmrt@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

An essential tool for managing and conserving a nation's forest resources is the National Forest Policy (NFP). This essay examines the importance and effects of the National Forest Policy in rural regions by examining its goals, guiding principles, and methods for execution. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of how the NFP affects rural landscapes by studying the multiple functions of forests in rural development, livelihoods, and environmental sustainability. The National Forest Policy provides a framework for tackling the difficult problems associated with sustainable development, land use, and forest management. It lists goals like resource conservation, community involvement, and fair resource allocation. The NFP has a significant impact on how rural dynamics are shaped since forests are often a crucial part of livelihoods, culture, and ecosystem services in rural regions. Promoting afforestation, sustainable forest management, and community participation in decision-making are some of the NFP's core values. Initiatives to reforest help preserve the environment, fight climate change, and save watersheds that are essential to rural populations. The availability of forest resources for rural communities is guaranteed through sustainable forest management techniques without jeopardising those resources' viability for future generations. The NFP's emphasis on participation from the local community acknowledges the significance of regional communities in forest management. Communities in rural regions often depend on forests for food, fuel, and non-timber forest products. Rural inhabitants are given the opportunity to participate in decision-making via the NFP's provisions for community-based forestry, which promotes a feeling of ownership and responsibility for forest resources

KEYWORDS:

Community Involvement, National Forest Policy, Rural Areas, Sustainable Development, Forest Management.

INTRODCUTION

One of the few nations in the world to have a forest policy in place since 1894 is India. A new forest strategy was introduced in May 1952 after the country gained its independence to guarantee the best possible use of the land and to acknowledge the significance of forests to the national economy. The new policy stipulated, among other things, that there should be at least one-third of the entire geographical area covered by forests and that the forest regions should not be arbitrarily converted to agricultural land. A further modification of the 1952 forest strategy was advised by the National Commission on Agriculture (NCA) in 1976. In 1988, the forest policy was updated. The preservation, conservation, and development of forests is the core tenet of the updated forest policy from 1988. The following are the key components of the amended policy:

- The nation must cover at least one-third of its entire land area with trees or forests.
- Complete safeguarding of tropical rain forests.

- Based on the forests' carrying capacity, the amount of forest usage for grazing and extraction will be limited.
- Encouragement of tribe members' and forest residents' participation in the preservation, renewal, and development of forests.
- The practise of providing forest output to enterprises at reduced prices would end, and forest-based companies would have to grow their own plantations to satisfy their needs.

To guarantee that the forest area may rise from its current level of 75 million hectares (mha) to 110 mha (33 percent of the total land area), these principles are required.The updated forest strategy has repercussions for a number of economic sectors, including energy, manufacturing, and agriculture. The ecological balance is being carefully considered before beginning any development initiatives. This is accomplished by evaluating how they affect the environment[1], [2].

However, India's forest policy in the past has not been supportive of encouraging the use and management of forest resources in a sustainable manner. If the relationship between the scarcity of forest resources and their pricing is to be restored, the existing policy must also be changed. Price increases for forest products should be occurring as a result of the increasing scarcity of forest resources, which will help to reduce deforestation and speed up reforestation.Many forest goods and services are now not only not valued at all, but even lumber, a commodity that can be traded worldwide, is currently priced below its genuine scarcity value as a result of both implicit and explicit subsidies and institutional failures. Forest concessions often fall short of meeting the needs for incentives to promote conservation and regeneration. Non-timber products and services are undervalued, which leads to excessive deforestation, conflicts with local populations, lost economic value, and environmental harm. Promotion of local timber processing often results in inefficient plywood factories, over capacity, loss of tax income, and waste of precious tropical timber. Replanting subsidies often wind up funding the conversion of a superior monospecies plantation into a desirable natural forest, resulting in a loss of value for both tropical hardwoods and biological diversity[3], [4].

Reorganising the agricultural organisation is required to provide the groundwork for a progressive rural community. A competent land reforms strategy may significantly contribute to the growth of agriculture and rural areas; hence it merits great importance. In order to facilitate the greater involvement of landowners and tenants in the rural development process, the land policy should be such that it ensures the scientific and intensive use of land, generates productive employment, reduces disparities in the distribution of land, offers incentives to increase the productivity of land, and induces changes in property relations and social structure. The foundation of the agricultural structure should be peasant ownership, enhanced and augmented by joint and cooperative farming methods, and supported by the supplies and services required for the best possible use of the land.

For the first time since gaining independence, the GoI created a comprehensive national land reforms programme in the First Five Year Plan. The primary goals of the programme were to eliminate any inherent barriers to agricultural modernization found in the agrarian structure left over from earlier times, as well as to lessen glaring disparities between the agrarian economy and rural life. It gave top emphasis to initiatives that enhanced agricultural output, encouraged diversification, reduced income and wealth disparities, ended exploitation, and gave tenants and employees protection. The priority of its components have only slightly changed in all succeeding five-year plans as this approach has been followed. The state governments have previously passed necessary land reform legislation, which is now in

effect. Periodically conducted reviews and evaluations of the policies and programmes have taken place. The assessments often conclude that the schemes have been implemented carelessly. Between the goals of the policy and the laws passed to accomplish them, as well as between the laws and their implementation, there are several apparent gaps (Singh 1997: 152–55). Therefore, the programmes need to be carried out more strictly than in the past. The purchase of excess land and its distribution, land development, irrigation and drainage, and land reform activities should all be effectively integrated and carried out to get the best outcomes. Restoration of degraded common property land resources and effective exploitation of them for the greater benefit of the rural population need special attention. About 100 mha of India's wastelands are expected to be adequately developed and exploited with the creation of the National Wastelands Development Board (NWDB)[5], [6].

The following strategy for land reform was outlined in the National Agriculture Policy of 2000:

- National holdings are being consolidated along the lines of the northwest states.
- Redistribution of waste and ceiling excess lands among landless farmers and jobless youngsters with early starting funding.
- Reforms to tenancies that acknowledge sharecroppers' and tenants' rights.
- Creation of lease markets to expand holdings by creating legal procedures for leasing private lands for agriculture and agro-business.
- The computerization of land records, their updating, and the distribution to farmers of land passbooks.
- Acceptance of women's land rights.

With the assistance of panchayati raj institutions, volunteer organisations, social activists, and community leaders, the rural poor would be more actively engaged in the implementation of land reforms.

DISCUSSION

National Water Policy (NWP)

The most important natural resource influencing the scope and speed of agricultural and rural development is water. Therefore, it becomes very important to develop and use water resources in the best possible ways. Over 55% of the nation's overall agricultural production comes from the irrigated land, which makes up close to 36% of the country's total gross cultivated area. For the development and control of the nation's water resources, policies and plans must be established by the Union Ministry of Water Resources. The GoI declared India's first NWP in September 1987. "Water is a prime natural resource, a fundamental human need, and a priceless national asset," it emphasised. National viewpoints must guide the planning and development of water resources (GoI 1987). It suggested an integrated and interdisciplinary approach to project planning, formulation, and execution in order to fulfil the water needs of diverse sectors and to, as far as possible, liberate the nation from the scourge of recurrent floods and droughts.

The following issues are highlighted by the NWP 1987:

- The need for efficient water usage.
- The need for an effective information system.
- The need for maintaining environmental quality and ecological balance.

- Periodic reevaluation of the groundwater potential on a scientific basis, taking into consideration the quality of the water at hand and the practicality of its utilisation from an economic standpoint.
- Surface water and groundwater development and usage should be coordinated and integrated.
- The distribution of water should take equity and social justice into account.
- Farmers' participation in different water management activities, including water distribution and water fee collection via water users' groups.

The GoI declared a new NWP in April 2002 (GoI 2002c). Additionally, it emphasises the issues that were raised by the first NWP. The Policy emphasised, among other things, the need of conserving environmental quality and ecological balance as well as the efficient use of water. This policy replaces the one from 1987, yet it also expresses the same worries.Both the NWP 1987 and the NWP 2002 lack a clear statement of their objectives as well as any practical instructions on how the numerous provisions established in the Policy Papers will be implemented.We may conclude that the Policy framework is ineffectual in light of this. Our belief is that its fundamental objective should be to achieve water security for everyone and forever by restoring, developing, conserving, using, and managing the nation's surface water and groundwater resources in the most advantageous manner for society and the environment. In addition, the policy needs a legal foundation and practical guidance to accomplish its goals. Additionally, the government must recognise that having access to water is a fundamental human right, put it in the list of development objectives, and utilise it as a starting point for development efforts. According to the Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council, personal consumption, hygiene, and sanitation requirements must be met with a minimum of 40 litres of water per person per day[7], [8]**.**

The approach was consumer-oriented by providing them with food at affordable costs. The Agricultural Prices Commission (later known as the Commission for Agricultural Costs and Prices [CACP]), which was established in 1965 for the development of a balanced and integrated pricing structure, specified a wide framework for a price strategy for the first time in its Terms of Reference. While developing a pricing strategy, the Commission was expected to consider the interests of both the producer and the customer.In 1980 and 1986, the policy's underlying framework was examined and modified. The most recent assessment was completed in 1991, after India's signing of the new global trade agreement, which for the first time also included agriculture. The goal of the new pricing strategy for the agricultural sector is to level out prices, eliminate input subsidies, limit PDS recipients to the poor, do away with the food management system, and liberalise trade in agricultural products.

The government's price policy for agricultural products has three main goals: 1. to ensure that farmers have access to a profitable and generally stable price environment that will encourage them to increase their output, increasing the supply of food grains; 2. to improve the physical and financial access of the populace to food; and 3. to develop a production pattern that is in line with the needs of the economy as a whole.To this objective, minimum support prices (MSPs) for important agricultural goods are annually published and fixed in accordance with the CACP's suggestions. When recommending prices, the CACP considers all relevant factors, including the cost of production, changes in input prices, input/output price parity, market price trends, inter-crop price parity, demand and supply conditions, impact on industrial cost structure, impact on general price level, impact on cost of living, impact on international market price situation, and parity between prices paid and received by far.

The cost of production is the most observable element and it accounts for all fixed and operating expenses. Through a number of public and cooperative organisations, including the

Food Corporation of India (FCI), Cotton Corporation of India (CCI), Jute Corporation of India (JCI), National Cooperative Agricultural Marketing Federation of India (NAFED), and Tobacco Board, the government administers the Price Support Scheme (PSS) for commodities, for which the MSPs are fixed. The government also provides for market intervention for commodities not covered by PSS on specific requests from the states for specific quantities at a mutually agreed-upon price. If there are losses, they are split equally between the central and the state. The pricing strategy has yielded impressive rewards. In recent years, the government has significantly increased the MSPs. Subsidies for food and inputs have been utilised in conjunction with the APP. Contrary to popular belief, which holds that the price support programme, input subsidies, and food subsidies have only benefited a small number of crops and farmers in a small number of regions, it is now well established that these instruments have significantly contributed to the achievement of the goals of food security and accelerated economic growth, and have benefited all facets of society (Acharya 1997: 26).Additionally, several other commodities are covered under the Market Intervention Scheme (MIS), such as onions, potatoes, and ginger. The FCI, which has served as the nodal organisation for putting the price support policy in place for rice and wheat, was given the task of supporting the price of coarse cereals as well. In 1992, a revised PDS was introduced with the intention of expanding the distribution of highly subsidised food grains to the people living in mountainous and dry regions as well.In order to promote growth in rural regions, it is critical that the overall connection between input and output prices in agriculture and the terms of trade between agriculture and other economic sectors be favourable. An APP's primary goal should be to eliminate market distortions, which are often bad for society[9], [10].

The interests of the producer should be protected through price support (above market price) operations during a sharp decline in market prices, and the interests of consumers, particularly the most vulnerable segments of the population, should be safeguarded during a sharp increase in market prices by providing food grains and other basic necessities at a fair price (below market price). These two actions are parts of the same policy. Since the MSP is anticipated to account for changes in input prices, it is generally advised to avoid using input subsidies as a means of encouraging producers to boost their output, with the exception of small and marginal farmers in difficult regions. A transit subsidy will be more suitable in the latter scenario.

According to the National Agriculture Policy 2000, the central government must continue to fulfil its obligation to provide fair pricing for agricultural products by announcing MSPs for important agricultural commodities.While determining the support prices of various commodities, the country's needs for food, nutrition, and other imports and exports will be taken into consideration. To create a good economic environment for the agricultural sector and to achieve an equitable balance between the earnings of rural and urban areas, the pricing structure and trade mechanism are regularly evaluated. The CACP routinely reviews the approach it used to determine production costs. To secure greater returns for the farmers and promote cost efficiency throughout the economy, the pricing structure of both inputs and products is monitored. To avoid farmer distress sales, domestic market prices are regularly watched. To reduce the broad fluctuations in commodity prices and to mitigate their risks, the government plans to expand the scope of futures markets. The goal is to eventually include all significant agricultural goods in futures trading.

Government participation in the rural lending sector has a long history in India. The Government of India (GoI) began lending money to cultivators under two different acts: (a) the Improvements Loans Act of 1883; and (b) the Agriculturists' Loans Act of 1884. This was

done in response to the necessity to provide institutional credit to farmers in order to safeguard them from the exploitation of private moneylenders and dealers. These loans go under the name of taccavi loans. The 1883 statute permits the granting of long-term loans for making long-lasting improvements to land. The 1884 statute allows for the granting of short- and medium-term loans to fulfil the demands of the agricultural industry at the time, such as the buying of seeds, fertilisers, and minor tools and utensils. The track record of taccavi loans hasn't been great. The lack of oversight, poor recovery, insufficient amount, excessive delays in loan processing, and a lack of coordination are some of the downsides.

Both the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) have had a significant impact on the development of India's rural lending policy and the country's rural economy.

Various committees have periodically evaluated the rural credit policy.

The following significant events marked the development of India's rural credit policy:

- Cooperative Credit Societies Act of 1904, for example.
- State engagement in cooperative equity was introduced by the All-India Rural Credit Survey Committee in 1954, along with a three-tier cooperative credit system.
- The All-India Credit Review Committee (1969) used a multi-agency approach to address the issue of rural credit.
- In 1969, 14 commercial banks were nationalised.
- The Lead Bank Scheme and Priority Sector Lending from the Nariman Committee of 1971.
- The Differential Rate of Interest (DRI) Scheme was introduced in 1972.
- Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) were founded in 1975 thanks to the Narasimham Committee.
- NABARD was established in 1982. CRAFICARD is the Committee to Review Arrangements for Institutional Credit for Agricultural and Rural Development, 1981.
- In 1989, the Agriculture Credit Review Committee created a new credit policy.
- The 1990 Agricultural and Rural Debt Relief Act.
- The Narasimham Committee brought changes to the financial sector in 1991.

The first cooperative organisations were cooperative credit organisations, which were founded in India with the aim of releasing impoverished farmers from the control of moneylenders by giving them access to sufficient credit on convenient conditions. The credit cooperatives have been crucial in helping farmers get loans, and they have a significant position in India's rural credit system. They made up around 24% of the total institutional credit supply in the rural sector in 2005–06; their proportion fell from about 40% in 1999–2000 to about 24% in 2005–06. Additionally, although having been in India for more than 100 years, their primary goal is still mostly unmet. They experience several managerial, financial, organisational, and legal restrictions. Their inability to effectively mobilise resources, their low rates of recovery, their high transaction costs, their frequent suspensions of recovery, their low administered interest rates, government controls, and political interference in their business and management affairs have all had a negative impact on their viability and sustainability. They must be freed from these restrictions, and the cooperative credit system must be revamped to make it sustainable, dynamic, and strong enough to withstand the difficulties presented by the new economic strategy of privatisation and liberalisation. If not, the majority of credit cooperatives will eventually have to close their doors.

The current credit strategy has to be reoriented in order to give a bundle of all necessary financial services to the impoverished rural population. This would allow them to embrace new technologies and improve their socioeconomic status and income. More flexibility in loan repayment schedules is also required. In a nutshell, after 60 years of independence, rural credit institutions should aim to emulate the private moneylenders' successful business strategies.

According to the National Agriculture Policy 2000, rural and farm finance would continue to be institutionalised gradually in order to provide farmers timely and sufficient financing. The rural credit institutions will be designed to encourage risk management, investments, and savings. The elimination of distortions in commercial banks' priority sector lending to the agricultural and rural sectors would get special consideration. To strengthen cooperatives' institutional and financial shortcomings, special efforts will be implemented, and a simplified process for approving and disbursing agricultural loans will be developed. The goal will be to guarantee distribution equity while extending loans. The use of microcredit will be marketed as a powerful instrument for reducing poverty. As an additional mechanism for integrating the rural poor into the formal banking system, self-help organisations and a bank linkage system, appropriate for the Indian rural sector, will be established. This will increase banks' outreach and the flow of credit to the poor in an efficient and long-lasting way.

CONCLUSION

A key tool that influences forest management, conservation, and rural development is the National Forest Policy. The NFP's goals, guiding principles, and implementation plans are of utmost importance in rural regions because of how closely forests are tied to livelihoods and the environment. Rural people may benefit from the NFP's emphasis on fair resource sharing, community engagement, and sustainable forest management. The NFP supports comprehensive rural development by recognising the many contributions that forests provide to rural economies, culture, and environmental stability. The National Forest Policy continues to be an essential instrument for building resilient rural communities and encouraging sustainable land use practises as nations work to address the problems of forest degradation, biodiversity loss, and climate change. The NFP may improve the well-being of rural inhabitants while preserving priceless forest resources for future generations by fusing traditional knowledge, participatory methods, and technological adoption.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Kaliszewski en W. Gil, “Goals and priorities of the ‘National Forest Policy’ in the light of the Forest Europe (formerly MCPFE) commitments ”, *Sylwan*, 2017.

[2] M. Roche, “Forest governance and sustainability pathways in the absence of a comprehensive national forest policy The case of New Zealand”, *For. Policy Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.forpol.2015.12.007.

[3] D. Ellison *et al.*, “Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world”, *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.01.002.

[4] S. Y. Erol en H. T. Yıldırım, “A análise qualitativa e quantitativa dos documentos da política florestal Turca no âmbito de desenvolvimento rural”, *Cienc. Rural*, 2017, doi: 10.1590/0103-8478cr20151549.

[5] G. J. Nabuurs *et al.*, “By 2050 the mitigation effects of EU forests could nearly double through climate smart forestry”, *Forests*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/f8120484.

[6] A. Castro-Nunez, O. Mertz, en C. C. Sosa, "Geographic overlaps between priority areas for forest carbon-storage efforts and those for delivering peacebuilding programs: Implications for policy design", *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2017, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aa6f20.

[7] D. Bhandari en Z. Jianhua, "Household Dependency on Buffer Zone Community Forest and its Implication for Management of Chitwan National Park, Nepal", *Int. J. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.18483/ijsci.1214.

[8] L. A. Fisher, Y. S. Kim, S. Latifah, en M. Makarom, "Managing forest conflicts: Perspectives of Indonesia's forest management unit directors", *For. Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.24259/fs.v1i1.772.

[9] J. S. Brandt, T. Allendorf, V. Radeloff, en J. Brooks, "Effects of national forest-management regimes on unprotected forests of the Himalaya", *Conserv. Biol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/cobi.12927.

[10] N. L. Harris *et al.*, "Using spatial statistics to identify emerging hot spots of forest loss", *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2017, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aa5a2f.

CHAPTER 10

INVESTIGATION AND DETERMINATION OF NATIONAL AGRICULTURE POLICY

Gurleen Kaur, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- gurleenkaur234gk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A major step that affects how a country's agricultural sector develops is the formulation of a national agriculture policy (NAP). This essay examines the importance of choosing a NAP by examining the variables, interest groups, and factors that affect the creation and application of such policies. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of how NAPs affect rural development, food security, and sustainable farming practises by evaluating economic, social, environmental, and governance elements. Making a national agriculture policy is a complex process that calls for the integration of several aspects. Economic factors include things like value chains, market access, and trade agreements. Rural livelihoods, job creation, and the health of agricultural communities are all social elements. The necessity for policies to handle concerns like land use, water management, and agro ecological practises is a crucial aspect of environmental sustainability.

KEYWORDS:

Agriculture, National Agriculture Policy, Policy Determination, Rural Development, Sustainable Agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

In July 2000, the Indian government unveiled its national agriculture policy. This might be seen as the GoI's most recent national agriculture strategy being officially announced. The goal of the strategy is to encourage the sustainable growth of agriculture by encouraging the use of the nation's natural resourcesland, water, and genetic endowmentin an ecologically sound, economically viable, socially acceptable manner. It is suggested that actions be done to reduce biotic stresses on the environment and to limit the indiscriminate use of agricultural areas for non-agricultural activities.The underutilised wastelands will be used for farming and reforestation.The Policy seeks to achieve the following goals over the next two decades: 1. An agricultural sector growth rate of over 4% annually; 2. Resource-efficient growth that protects our soil, water, and biodiversity; 3. Growth with Equity, or Growth that is Distributed Across Regions and Farmers; The goal of the strategy is to encourage the sustainable growth of agriculture by encouraging the use of the nation's natural resourcesland, water, and genetic endowmentin an ecologically sound, economically viable, socially acceptable manner[1], [2].

Controls will be put in place to prevent the indiscriminate use of agricultural areas for non-agricultural ones. To fulfil the rising food demand brought on by unrelenting population pressures as well as the growing need for raw materials for agro-based businesses, special efforts will be undertaken to increase crop yield and output. To attain the maximum development potential of each area, a regionally tailored approach will be implemented, taking into consideration the agronomic, climatic, and environmental factors. Aquaculture, livestock species, novel location-specific and commercially viable enhanced varieties of agricultural and horticultural crops, as well as the preservation and responsible use of germplasm and other biodiversity resources, will all be given extremely high importance. A

strong focus will be given to regionalizing agricultural research based on identified agro-climatic zones. Applications of cutting-edge sciences such biotechnology, remote sensing, pre- and post-harvest, energy-saving, and environmental protection technologies will be supported via the national research system as well as private research. To implement technical advances in Indian agriculture, an effort will be made to create a well-organized, effective, and result-oriented agricultural research and education system. The new policy's defining characteristics will include an improvement in agricultural education and an orientation towards consistency in educational standards, the empowerment of women, user-orientation, vocationalization, and the promotion of excellence. The government would make an effort to provide farmers with an adequate and prompt supply of quality inputs, including seeds, fertiliser, plant protection chemicals, biopesticides, agricultural equipment, and finance at fair rates. Testing of the soil, fertiliser, and seed quality, as well as the provision of fake inputs, would be guaranteed. To maximise the efficacy of nutrient utilisation, balanced and optimal fertiliser use will be encouraged together with the use of organic manures and bio-fertilizers[3], [4].

The government will work to remove distortions in the agricultural incentive system, enhance terms of trade with manufacturing sectors, implement domestic and international market reforms, and rationalise the domestic tax system in order to create an environment that is conducive to increasing capital formation and a farmer's own investments. It will work to provide the agricultural sector with benefits that are as similar to those enjoyed by the manufacturing sector as possible, including easy access to credit and other inputs, infrastructure facilities for the development of agribusiness industries, creation of efficient delivery systems, and unrestricted movement of agricultural products. The financial needs of the agriculture industry are acute. The public sector's investment in the agriculture sector has decreased. Public investment will be increased for reducing regional imbalances, quickening the construction of infrastructure that supports agricultural and rural development, especially rural connectivity. To lower the cost of essential inputs for agriculture, input subsidy reforms will be undertaken as a mix of pricing and institutional changes. The system for allocating resources will be examined with the goal of redirecting the available funds from assistance programmes towards asset creation in the rural sector. More emphasis will be placed on promoting private sector investments in agriculture, especially in fields like agricultural research, human resource development, postharvest management, and marketing[5], [6].

Small and marginal farmers make up a large majority in Indian agriculture.Institutional changes will be made in a way that will focus their efforts on boosting output and productivity. The reforms will, among other things, consolidate holdings across the nation in the manner of the north-western states; involve the private sector through contract farming and land leasing arrangements; gradually institutionalise rural and farm credit to provide timely and adequate credit to farmers; actively support the promotion of cooperative forms of enterprise; and ensure greater autonomy and operational freedom to them to improve their functions. Due to natural disasters and market fluctuations, farmers' conditions remain unpredictable despite economic and technical progress. The National Agriculture Insurance Scheme (NAIS), which insures all farmers and all crops across the nation, will be made more farmer-specific and efficient. It includes provisions to protect farmers from financial hardship brought on by natural disasters and ensure that agriculture is financially viable. The goal is to provide farmers a comprehensive insurance plan that covers everything from planting crops to processing them after harvest, including market fluctuations in the cost of agricultural products. The Central and state governments will need to make extensive changes to the way they administer agriculture if policy objectives are to be implemented effectively. Through regionally diversified work plans that include interventions specific to a certain crop,

location, or target group, the Central Government will support and complement the efforts of the state governments. These work plans will be developed in an interactive manner and carried out in a spirit of cooperation with the states., To assist the states in their attempts to achieve rapid agricultural development, the central government will transition from a schematic approach to a macro-management mode and adopt a role of advocacy, articulation, and facilitation.[7], [8]

DISCUSSION

The cooperative movement in India has its roots in agriculture and related industries, and it first developed as a method for pooling people's scant resources in order to provide them access to the benefits of economies of scale. The Cooperative Credit Societies Act, which was passed in 1904, was the first effort to institutionalise cooperatives; the more comprehensive Cooperative Societies Act, passed in 1912, expanded its purview. The Government of India Act, 1919 handed the responsibility for enacting cooperative legislation to the then-provinces, giving them the authority to do so. Cooperatives continued to be a provincial subject as per the Government of India Act, 1935. Cooperative Societies is currently included as a state topic under entry 32 of the State List of the Indian Constitution. State governments have now put into effect the Cooperative Societies Acts.

The Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies Act, 1942, which was later replaced by the Multi-State Cooperative Societies Act, 1984, under entry 44 of the Union List of the Constitution of India, was passed by the GoI to regulate the operations of cooperative societies where membership came from more than one province.Prior to independence, the government took a passive approach to encouraging the growth of cooperatives. Following independence, the introduction of planned economic growth marked the beginning of a new age for cooperatives. As a favoured tool for planned economic growth, cooperation started to be seen as a separate sector of the national economy. In the paper outlining the First Five Year Plan, it was expressly stated that one way to assess the plan's effectiveness was to look at how much of it was carried out by cooperative enterprises. In the 1960s, cooperatives played a significant role in generating improved agricultural productivity and rural development.

The Green Revolution, a new agricultural approach intended to achieve food self-sufficiency, was a significant advance on the agricultural front between 1966 and 1971. The development of hybrid and high-yielding seed types as well as the funding of significant projects to build irrigation systems and use agricultural inputs properly have greatly expanded the role of cooperatives in agriculture. As a result, the Green Revolution greatly boosted the activities of cooperative societies, improved agricultural output and productivity, called for a focus on value-adding in agricultural products, marketing and storage, and the growth of related industries. Specialised cooperative organisations were established as a consequence in the fields of milk, oil seeds, sugarcane, cotton, agroprocessing, and so forth. The production of fertilisers and the distribution of agricultural products saw the emergence of several sizable cooperatives. Thus, unlike other similar businesses in the public or private sectors, the function of cooperatives has evolved to include new economic endeavours rather than being limited to their core activity.

In the evolving context of globalisation and economic liberalisation, the function of cooperatives has taken on a new dimension. These institutions' internal and systemic flaws, together with the absence of adequate governmental backing, have neutralised their beneficial effects. There are significant regional inequities in the nation's cooperative development. Despite their successes and extraordinary expansion, cooperatives are plagued by a number of managerial, organisational, and financial limitations. It is vital that they be restructured in

light of this. They are also looking for a fresh start and a fresh approach to ensure their survival and development in the rapidly shifting domestic and global economic landscape.Due to this, a clear-cut national cooperatives strategy is now required in order to promote the long-term growth and development of strong, self-sufficient cooperatives that can satisfy the sectoral and regional ambitions of the populace while adhering to the cooperative ideals. In this regard, it is also crucial to address the problems that must be resolved by creating appropriate legal and policy support for these institutions.

The Government of India adopted a National Policy on Cooperatives in April 2002 in response to the cooperatives' status as detailed above. The Policy is an essential component of the Government of India's deliberate efforts to provide favourable policy and legal frameworks for cooperatives with the goal of revitalising them. In this part, some of the Policy's key components are succinctly summarised (GoI 2002d). This is encouraging for the nation's millions of rural impoverished growers and consumers. However, in order to give them legitimacy, the GoI's obligations must be institutionalised by the adoption of a suitable (model) central cooperative legislation. It is hoped that the administration would faithfully carry out the new Policy and uphold its promise to take action.

Only then will the government's noble aims succeed.The primary goal of the national policy is to promote the overall growth of cooperatives throughout the nation. Cooperatives would receive the necessary support, encouragement, and assistance under this Policy to ensure that they operate as independent, self-sufficient, democratically managed institutions accountable to their members and make a significant contribution to the national economy, especially in the areas that call for participation from people and community efforts. This is even more significant in light of the fact that a sizable portion of the population in the nation still lives below the poverty line, and that the cooperatives are the only suitable method to provide assistance to this group of people. In collaboration with the state governments and other relevant organisations, such as federal/national level cooperative groups, the GoI intends to develop a time-bound plan of action for implementation of the policy and support it with suitable financial assistance[9], [10].

The Government of India should be commended for its policy promises to do some things and refrain from doing others. However, as the proverb goes, custard is best enjoyed when consumed. Therefore, the effectiveness of the Policy will depend on how it is implemented and how it affects cooperatives and their stakeholders. To increase the likelihood that the Policy will be efficient and successful in accomplishing its goals, we recommend the following actions: First, a deadline for creating "a time bound plan of action for implementation" should be established. Second, it would be necessary to identify and designate a select group of reputable national-level organisations as "Policy Implementing Agencies" (PIA). The National Dairy Development Board (NDDB) might serve as the PIA for dairy cooperatives, NABARD as the credit cooperatives' PIA, and the National Cooperative Development Corporation (NCDC) as the marketing and processing cooperatives' PIA, for instance.

There was no national fisheries policy in India prior to the 2004 announcement of the first such policy for managing (marine) fisheries. However, the GoI has attempted to boost fi sh output in the nation via many R&D initiatives.

In order to conduct research for the development of composite fi sh culture and induced breeding technologies and to encourage their use, the GoI specifically created a number of fi sheries research institutions under the auspices of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR). In addition, it created the Fish Farmer Development Agency (FFDA) at the national level in 1976 with initial support from the World Bank to advance aquaculture throughout the

nation. The FFDA assisted fish farmers with technical, financial, and extension assistance so they could start raising fish in communal village ponds and tanks.The growth of deep-sea fisheries in the nation has also received the proper attention in recent years from the Union Ministry of Agriculture. The declaration of an Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) in 1976 made it easier to explore, exploit, and use marine living resources in the seas 200 nautical miles surrounding India, providing the country with a wealth of opportunities and challenges in terms of harvesting the resources and managing them on a sound scientific basis. In the country's marine fisheries industry throughout the last three decades, both public and commercial organisations have taken swift action. The GoI facilitated the mobilisation of capital and expertise domestically to address this issue in the 1981 Charter Policy after realising that the majority of the deep-sea fishery resources beyond the conventional fishing limit and fishing capability of the indigenous craft could only be gainfully exploited if the upgraded and sophisticated vessels of adequate size and capabilities were inducted into the fishery.

The government changed the policy to address the deficiencies identified during its operation and to make it more advantageous to the nation after the policy had been in effect for five years. A new 1986 Charter Policy was then declared. This charter policy called for Indian corporations to purchase boats either via joint partnerships, imports, or local building. The Charter Policy allowed 311 foreign fishing boats to be operated by 97 businesses. Along with increasing the nation's marine fish output, the Policy also made it easier to export the fish that these boats were catching. These ships were all entirely run as Export Oriented Units (EOU) vessels. The need for the Indian enterprises that hired boats to acquire ships of a sufficient sort and quantity contributed to the rapid expansion of the Indian deep-sea fishing fleet.

The government moved on to broaden the efforts via the Deep Sea Fishing Policy (DSFP) 1991 after laying the groundwork for the Indian deep-sea fishing sector. In addition to enabling the use of the boats chartered under the 1986 policy, this policy was intended to encourage joint ventures, test fishing, and leasing. The DSFP has been opposed by a number of fisherman organisations, MPs and MLAs, owners of mechanised angling vessels, processors of fish, and other stakeholders from the beginning of 1994. The fisherman organisations also used agitation, claiming that bigger boats operating under charter, joint ventures, and leasing agreements are encroaching on their working region.

The Government of India established a committee to evaluate the deep-sea fishing policy in response to these complaints and protests. In 1996, the committee turned in its report. With slight adjustments, the government adopted all 21 of the committee's recommendations.As a result, the government abandoned all of its previous regulations on deep-sea fishing. Additionally, it was agreed that the government's fishing regulations needed to be updated sometimes. Following the formation of further committees to collect information on the availability of fishing gear, the condition of marine fishing resources, concerns pertaining to different stakeholder groups, etc., the Government of India (GoI) launched its first Marine Fishing Policy (MFP) in 2004.

It is crucial to develop the infrastructure needed for marine fisheries, and this calls for a comprehensive strategy. The Policy calls for the construction of infrastructure including jetties and landing areas, as well as the supply of fuel, water, and ice, and the maintenance of boats and equipment. A master plan for infrastructure development over the following ten years would be created with this goal in mind. Additionally, "Build-Operate-Own" and "Build-Operate-Transfer" schemes as alternatives to the current system of financing infrastructure projects by the centre and the state with cost sharing will be investigated.

The necessary emphasis would also be given to matters like the use of information technology, the improvement of marine fisheries databases, the development of human resources, and the ecolabelling of marine goods.

However, the 2004 Policy has a few shortcomings. For the fisheries industry, for instance, it does not explicitly define any long-term goal. Additionally, it lacks a clear vision of true decentralised government and grassroots empowerment and does not describe any specific organisational structure or management mechanism for carrying out the Policy and tracking its success. Additionally, no specific tools have been identified for attaining the policy's goals. Last but not least, the whole policy statement makes no mention of gender. Despite the fact that fi sherwomen are actively involved in the local grading, processing, and selling of fi sh, this is the case.Nevertheless, despite these flaws, we may claim that MFP 2004 is a positive step towards managing over-fishing and marine fishery resources. However, the policy must be honestly carried out using the proper tools and including all significant stakeholders, especially the fishermen and fisherwomen via their representatives and groups.

The New Economic Policy (NEP) was introduced in India in August 1991, and since then, a process of privatisation, deregulation, and globalisation has been underway. A market-driven and directed model will likely replace the statist model of rural development, which is typified by the state's predominate role in starting, nurturing, and guiding rural development. These days, it's fashionable to think that a greater reliance on market forces and the integration of national economies within a global economy, or globalisation, would lessen the issues of poverty and unemployment by accelerating the pace and level of economic growth. Additionally, this renewed confidence in market forces has caused international development strategies to be reoriented more in favour of free trade than assistance as a tool for development. However, despite all of this, the majority of development scholars and practitioners remain unconvinced about the new model's applicability to developing nations like India, where a sizable portion of the population lives below the poverty line and is thus exempt from the influence of market forces.

The protection of Indian agriculture from the effects of global market forces has mostly come through a system of quantitative import limits on almost 800 different agricultural goods. As a signatory to the Uruguay Round of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT) and a member of the World Trade Organisation (WTO), India is now required to replace non-tariff measures (quantitative restrictions/quotas) with fair levels of tariffs. As a result, there are no longer any quantitative restrictions on the import of commodities, and import taxes on non-agricultural items have decreased from 300 percent in 1991–1992 to 125 percent in 2006–2007. It is anticipated that the deregulation of agricultural imports would hurt our farmers and harm the agriculture sector's development potential. According to a research by Chand (1997: 1-6), farmers and consumers may be significantly impacted by the deregulation of international commerce in agricultural commodities in the following ways:

A. It is anticipated that the elimination of quantitative trade barriers would encourage both imports and exports of agricultural commodities and production inputs. As a result of the increased competitive advantage in the agriculture industry, commercialization and specialisation would proceed more quickly. If appropriate precautions are not taken, such as the use of biofertilizers and biopesticides, the provision of groundwater recharging in areas with a lack of water, and the provision of drainage in water-surplus waterlogged areas, export orientation of agricultural production may require increased quantities of chemical fertilisers, pesticides, and irrigation water, which would negatively affect the quality of the environment.

B. The opening up of the import market, which is now monopolised by government institutions, who act as the only agency that cannibalise the import of various items, would allow for the entrance of private enterprises and organisations. Due to greater competition and ensuing efficiency, both agricultural producers and consumers would be impacted by price changesproducers from higher prices, and consumers from cheaper and/or better quality.
C. If internal constraints are not removed from the agriculture industry, importers would have an edge over local producers. To further stimulate increasing involvement of the private sector in processing, marketing, and distribution, government regulations and interference in the industry need to be eliminated. Globalisation is built on a set of ideals, much like any other economic phenomena, including competitiveness, efficiency, wealth growth, and the unrestricted operation of market forces. Without a global perspective of society as a global family, the globalisation of business and commerce would cause social unrest and economic conflict, which is exactly what is occurring in many developing nations that have implemented structural adjustment programmes at the moment. Such qualities like empathy, kindness, compassion, world-brotherhood, cooperation, and so on have no place in the globalisation paradigm. The biggest winners from globalisation would be capitalists and portfolio investors since money flows across borders more easily than labour does. This would make the issue of wealth and income gaps between the affluent and the poor worse. Furthermore, since portfolio investors want to buy the loyalty of politicians and bureaucrats, globalisation would also lead to corruption, black money, and other societal ills.

CONCLUSION

The formulation of a national agriculture policy is a difficult process that calls for the fusion of governance, economic, social, and environmental factors. NAPs are crucial in determining how a country's agricultural sector will develop, having an effect on rural lives, food security, and environmental sustainability.

A thorough and successful NAP requires the participation of many stakeholders, data-driven insights, and participatory methodologies. Recognising the agricultural sector's complexityfrom economic viability to social equality to environmental resilienceallows governments to create policies that support sustainable farming practises and comprehensive rural development. NAPs must be flexible and forward-thinking as the world's agricultural environment changes, taking into account new problems including climate change, technology improvements, and altering consumer tastes. Nations may leverage the potential of their agricultural sectors to foster economic development, reduce rural poverty, and contribute to a more sustainable future by developing and implementing strong NAPs.

REFERENCES

[1] MINAGRI, “Rwanda National Agriculture Policy”, 2017.

[2] R. H. Khwidzhili en S. H. Worth, “Evaluation of policies promoting sustainable agriculture in South Africa”, *South African J. Agric. Ext.*, 2017, doi: 10.17159/2413-3221/2017/v45n2a443.

[3] A. S. Saint Ville, G. M. Hickey, en L. E. Phillip, “How do stakeholder interactions influence national food security policy in the Caribbean? The case of Saint Lucia”, *Food Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.foodpol.2017.01.002.

[4] A. G. Rodríguez en L. E. Meza, “Building cooperation agendas from policy dialogue on agriculture and climate change in Latin America and the Caribbean”, *Clim. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/17565529.2016.1223596.

[5] S. Zhai, G. Song, Y. Qin, X. Ye, en J. Lee, “Modeling the impacts of climate change and technical progress on the wheat yield in inland China: An autoregressive distributed lag approach”, *PLoS One*, 2017, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0184474.

[6] N. Doggart en C. Meshack, “The marginalization of sustainable charcoal production in the policies of a modernizing African Nation”, *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2017.00027.

[7] D. W. Olivier en L. Heinecken, “The personal and social benefits of urban agriculture experienced by cultivators on the Cape Flats”, *Dev. South. Afr.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/0376835X.2016.1259988.

[8] E. S. Simelton, D. C. Catacutan, T. C. Dao, B. V. Dam, en T. D. Le, “Factors constraining and enabling agroforestry adoption in Viet Nam: a multi-level policy analysis”, *Agrofor. Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10457-016-9906-2.

[9] Y. Zhang, A. L. Collins, J. I. Jones, P. J. Johnes, A. Inman, en J. E. Freer, “The potential benefits of on-farm mitigation scenarios for reducing multiple pollutant loadings in prioritised agri-environment areas across England”, *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2017.04.004.

[10] W. Moon, J. B. Chang, en J. Asirvatham, “MEASURING PUBLIC PREFERENCES for MULTIFUNCTIONAL ATTRIBUTES of AGRICULTURE in the United States”, *J. Agric. Appl. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1017/aae.2016.43.

CHAPTER 11

ANALYSIS AND DETERMINATION OF STRATEGIES FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Aditya Sharma, Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- adityahr2018@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A crucial endeavour in the midst of intricate global difficulties is the quest of sustainable development. In order to determine methods for sustainable development, this study analyses a variety of factors, including stakeholders, methodologies, and multiple aspects. This research adds to a thorough knowledge of the tactics supporting sustainable development objectives by examining economic, social, environmental, and governance factors. A multidisciplinary approach is necessary for the study and formulation of sustainable development plans in order to take into account the complex interactions between many components. Inclusive development, resource efficiency, and creative company models that strike a balance between profit and social and environmental responsibility are all part of economic plans. Social strategies have an emphasis on promoting human empowerment and well-being by ensuring equal access to social services, healthcare, and education.

KEYWORDS:

Environmental Strategies, Governance Strategies, Social Strategies, Stakeholders, Sustainable Development.

INTRODUCTION

A strategy, according to Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, is a long-term plan of action intended to accomplish a certain "goal," most often "winning."Due to its long premeditation and frequent practical rehearsal, strategy differs from "tactics" or quick acts using available resources.The term is derived from the Greek word strate-gos, which is made up of the words stratos (meaning army) and ago (meaning "leading" in ancient Greek). During the Athenian democracy, the term "military commander," or strate-gos, was used. The topic of sustainable development strategies is covered in this chapter.Recent years have seen a growth in the importance of sustainable development on the global economic and political agenda. The World Commission on Environment and Development's (1987) "Our Common Future" report was the first significant international effort to raise policymakers' awareness of sustainable development and the nuanced interactions among environmental issues, economic growth, and the needs of both rich and poor people. The United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), which took place in Rio de Janeiro in June 1992, was the culmination of one of the follow-up initiatives the UN took to put the WCED recommendations into practise. Global concern about the state of the environment and interest in the quest for workable measures for sustainable development have both increased as a result of the UNCEDV [1], [2].

The essential meanings and implications of sustainability and sustainable development are first discussed in this chapter, followed by a few non-sustainable development indicators. A critique of India's rural development plans follows, and then the fundamental components of

a new strategy for sustainable development are presented. The idea of sustainability essentially denotes a resource's potential to endure in its current form for all time. The World Conservation Strategy (WCS) of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) is where the idea first gained notoriety in 1980. Following this, the WCWD, or Brundtland Commission, stressed the crucial importance of agricultural sustainability as the cornerstone of sustainable development in its 1987 report, "Our Common Future" (WCED 1987). There are now two major sustainability theories that are popular. One worry of the economist's worldview of sustainability is the stability of economic production, income, or consumption over the long run. Two, the notion of sustainability as understood by ecologists and biologists pertains to the long-term preservation of the biosphere, that is, the support of human populations and the preservation of biodiversity in a specific geographic area or region with finite natural resources Organisation for Food and Agriculture (FAO 1989: 65; Bartelmus 1997: 326-27). The former, or economic sustainability, is production- and consumption-oriented, while the latter, or ecological sustainability, is focused on human nourishment and the preservation of biodiversity[3], [4].

Economic sustainability refers to the preservation and consistency of created capital as well as natural capital (resources and environment) employed in the creation of commodities and services. Environmental sustainability is defined as adhering to the maximum carrying capacity of natural systems. A natural system, region, or watershed's carrying capacity is often determined by how many people it can support permanently, for a certain amount of time, or at a given level of life. Carrying capacity is obviously influenced by the amount of desired quality of life, the sort of industrial technologies in use, the analysis's time horizon, and international commerce. Because of variations in each of these parameters/factors, the notion can only be used on a worldwide scale, which is a utopia.The WCED (1987: 43) defined sustainable development as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs," as we previously said in Chapter 1. This definition places a strong focus on the necessity for the current generation to promote intergenerational fairness by protecting the interests of future generations by preserving the planet's natural resource capital. In these ways, it resembles the idea of a sustainable lifestyle, which refers to the long-term, secure access of both the current and future generations to fundamental essentials of existence including food, clothing, housing, security, freedom, and health care. This idea of a sustainable way of life is almost identical to the idea of human freedom and dignity, or human progress, which is what we believe should be the ultimate objective of humanity. Few would contest the fact that achieving sustainable livelihood (survival) is a more environmentally benign and socially acceptable objective than achieving economic development[5], [6].

In order to achieve sustainable development, we must keep our natural resources and environment untouched during the process of economic growth and use/harvest only the amount that is naturally replenished; in other words, we must live off the "fl ows" and preserve the "stock" of natural resources and the environment. We would want to emphasise, however, that it is now feasible to improve natural flow/harvest of natural goods with suitable technical and managerial interventions.

Two inquiries are crucial in the sustainability context:

- Considering our existing patterns of use, how long will our natural resources last?

- How can we manage our environment and natural resources to ensure that future generations enjoy the same standard of living as we do today?

How will technology, taste, population, and natural regeneration affect the stock of environmental commodities that will be accessible from one year to the next is the first issue of resource longevity. As a result, the ethical motivations driving sustainability are rooted in a worry for the future and a suspicion that the production and consumption tendencies in place now are endangering the welfare of coming generations.

Planners and economists have long been concerned about the sustainability of resources because they fear that fi sh, agricultural land, and other essential natural resources may soon run out. The level of resource use that is sustainable has been determined using a number of criteria. These indexes essentially split inventories by consumption rates in their most basic form. More complex indexes take into consideration the possibility that future demand may decline due to changes in taste or technology, while natural regeneration, particularly for biological resources, may boost supplies to keep up with the rising demand. The majority of resource sustainability estimates are often inaccurate. Before we can confidently predict how environmental quality and commodities will fare in a consumption-oriented society, it is evident that a greater knowledge of demand and technological trends is required.The second sustainability issue, "How should we manage our resources," necessitates the establishment of some kind of management goal for the equitable distribution of resources between generations.

When we don't know the likes, preferences, or technology of the future generations, the Pareto optimality conceptuseful in the study of welfare within a generationcannot be used. Consumers, especially the impoverished, sometimes put more importance to today's purchases than tomorrow's. Should we disregard this propensity when considering sustainability, or should we consider the society's preference for the now by undervaluing the worth of future consumption? What about anticipated technological advancements? Could conserving too many of our natural resources and environmental resources benefit future generations at the cost of current ones?

The word "we" in the second sustainability question, "How should we manage...," suggests that there is some kind of consensus over the objectives of sustainability. However, there is no broad agreement on a single sustainability metric. The neoclassical economists' maximisation of net present value, however, is the most often cited measure of the sustainability of any planned resource usage over time (Grafton et al. 2001: xxvi-ix).However, it is still controversial whether sustainability and sustainable development should be used to describe the preservation of the natural capital or the maintenance of the status quo, economic growth, income redistribution, or protection of capital assets.The response would vary depending on the degree of economic growth within a civilization as well as from one society to the next. The concepts of "weak sustainability" and "strong sustainability" are relevant to this inquiry. The former is defined as the preservation of the value of the whole capital stock. It indicates that we may replace natural capital with man-made capital in both production and consumption, leading to an association between economic expansion and environmental quality advancements[7], [8].

DISCUSSION

The success of a sustainability policy is significantly influenced by the magnitude of sustainability. Since the size varies from local to worldwide, we may distinguish between local and global sustainability. The scale dictates what kinds of issues may be handled locally, nationally, or internationally. For instance, only at the global level and not at the local level can issues like global warming or ozone layer depletion be properly addressed. 'Weak sustainability' and ‘strong sustainability' are two terms that may be used to distinguish between local and global sustainability. 'Weak sustainability' and ‘strong sustainability' are often seen as opposing paradigms in debates on sustainability. Recognising that each of these measurements may simultaneously be applicable in various settings is more helpful. Maintaining stocks of a vital resource, such as biodiversity, above a "safe minimum standard" level for sustainable development is crucial for ensuring long-term sustainability on a global scale. However, while being reliant on strong global sustainability, local economies may choose for poor local sustainability by exhausting their renewable resources, such as fisheries or forests, to preserve their material well-being without negatively harming global sustainability. The productivity of 1.2 billion hectares (bha) of landan area greater than China and India combinedhas decreased over the previous 50 years or so addition, it is estimated that 5 million hectares (mha) of land are lost to desertification each year and that 500 billion tonnes of topsoil have been lost since 1972 It will be exceedingly difficult to feed the world's population, which is expected to almost quadruple by the middle of the twenty-first century, if such human-caused losses persist. Approximately 188 mha of land, or roughly 57% of India's 329 mha total geographical area, is thought to have been degraded in 1994. On the 188 mha of deteriorated land, water erosion damaged roughly 149 mha, wind erosion 13.5 mha, chemical degradation 14 mha, and waterlogging 11.6 mha

According to a recent study by the National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, 66% of all of India's land surface (about 192 mha) was in various stages of degradation Both inherent characteristics, such as location, environment, and the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil, as well as man-made situations, may contribute to land degradation. Land degradation has significant negative effects on agricultural yield and the environment, regardless of its underlying causes. In a study of four villages in Uttar Pradesh, Joshi and Jha (1991) discovered that the irrigation system's salinization and waterlogging caused a 50% drop in agricultural production over an eight-year period.

Common pool landsthat is, lands utilised jointly by identifiable groups of peopleare a distinguishing element of India's land resources. Regardless of who legally owns them, the local population has unrestricted access to these lands and is free to utilise them as they like. They might be referred to as open access resources (OAR) in this sense. All OAR experience what Hardin (1968) incorrectly referred to as "the tragedy of the commons," The majority of OAR are encroached upon, contaminated, eroded, vegetated, and degraded. India has very little chance of maintaining even the current (low) pace of food production in the next decades since more than half of its land is already damaged.loss and dwindling of water resources.

All types of life on our planet depend on water to survive. A significant aspect impacting agricultural productivity and, therefore, food security is the timely and adequate availability of water for irrigation. According to estimates, there are 41,022 cubic kilometres (ckm) of

renewable water resources in the world. In 1998, the amount of water available per person was 6,918 cubic metres (cm), with differences across countries ranging from only 11 cm in Kuwait to 6,06,498 cm in Iceland (WRI 1998: 305). Pressure on water resources has greatly increased as a result of the expanding area irrigated, growing industrialization and urbanisation, and growing human and animal populations. As a result, both surface water and groundwater resources are rapidly being depleted and degraded in the majority of the world's countries. Some people predict that there will be more water wars than any other sort of battle in the twenty-first century, both globally and inside individual countries. This pattern seriously jeopardises both human existence and sustainable growth. In comparison to the average amount of water accessible in many other nations throughout the globe, India as a whole has a pretty good water supply, with an average yearly availability per person of 1,896 cm of renewable water (WRI 1998: 304-05). However, because to the very unequal distribution of rainfall, there are significant fluctuations in the water availability across both time and location [9].

India's groundwater and surface water resources are both seriously degraded and polluted. The level of the deterioration of the water resources has reached a point where it is imperative that both governmental and non-governmental organisations intervene right away by taking the proper steps. Surface water is scarcely fit for human consumption. 'Mother Ganga', as the river Ganga is known to devoted Hindus, is no exception. At numerous locations, it is quite polluted.1Similar to this, groundwater in many arid and semi-arid regions has been polluted by the leaching of pesticide and fertiliser residues from cultivated fields and depleted owing to excessive extraction. As a result, there has been a noticeable rise in the prevalence of waterborne infections in recent years.Additionally, improper management of surface water and groundwater resources, combined with the rising demand for water for domestic, industrial, and agricultural uses, has led to a number of issues, including the depletion and degradation of groundwater aquifers, pollution of surface water bodies, and a severe shortage of freshwater in hard rock and arid regions of the nation. In various regions of the nation, including Mehsana district in north Gujarat and Coimbatore district in west Tamil Nadu, the groundwater table has significantly decreased.

According to estimates, the water table in the Mehsana area has been declining at a pace of five to eight metres per year, and some 2,000 wells are anticipated to dry up each year (Moench and Kumar 1997: 305). Excessive groundwater extraction in Gujarat's coastal regions has depleted the aquifers, and the resulting vacuum has been filled by saltwater intrusion, a process known as salinity ingress. According to estimates, 60% of Gujarat's 1,100 km long Saurashtra coast is seeing an alarming increase in saline incursion of up to one km per year (The Times of India, 1998). Groundwater in those locations is now unfit for home and agricultural use, and crop yields have been negatively impacted by the saline infiltration. The majority of issues with the use and management of water resources may be attributed to the absence of clearly defined property rights and suitable institutions to control the use of water. Governmental action in the form of the establishment and enforcement of adequate property rights, control of water use, taxation, and cooperative management by users' organisations or associations are all necessary to restore the sustainability of renewable water resources.

For sustainable development, forests are a valuable renewable resource that provide the fundamental life support system. The population's rapid growth has led to a rise in demand

for forest resources. As a result, the pace of depletion of forest resources is greater than the rate of natural regeneration. The average yearly rate of deforestation in tropical regions of the globe is estimated to be 16.9 mha. The rate reported in the 1980 Tropical Forest Resources Assessment is 50% greater than this one (WRI 1992: 285). Environmentalists are alarmed by the burning tropical forests, barren dry fields studded with the stumps of once-thriving trees, and crumbling slopes, even if there is disagreement on the amount of deforestation of tropical forests worldwide. Asia has the largest annual rate of deforestation among the three tropical areas, Africa, Latin America, and Asia, at 1.2% between 1981 and 1990.

Millions of rural Indians rely on the woods for their survival. As a result, maintaining the flow of forest products is crucial for maintaining their way of life. However, during the last thirty years or more, there have been significant biotic and abiotic pressures on forest resources. They have been overworked, degraded, and encroached upon (Singh 1994: 226). According to the Society for the Promotion of Wastelands Development (SPWD) in 1984, 36 mha or so of the total forestland has been deforested and degraded. Additionally, substantial portions of forest land are being converted to non-forest usage. As a result, the woods' acreage, productivity, and output have all decreased, endangering their long-term survival. The amount of growing stock in India in 2000 was 43 cm per hectare, compared to 119 cm in Malasiya and 100 cm in Nepal (FAO 2001), demonstrating the poor productivity of Indian forests in terms of growing stock. All of this puts millions of impoverished people, particularly tribal people who rely heavily on forests for their livelihood, in danger of dying out.

The world's supply of fossil fuels is being rapidly depleted as a result of the fast rise in commercial energy use from conventional sources. The International Energy Agency predicts that by 2010, worldwide energy consumption would have increased from its 1993 level by nearly 50% (WRI 1998: 170). Additionally, according to estimates from 1992 by the United Nations, we now have just 90 years' worth of proven recoverable mineral reserves, 243 years' worth of proven reserves in situ, and 800 years' worth of proven overall reserves. Additionally, there are significant differences in energy usage across areas and nations. According to estimates, industrialised countries' per capita energy consumption in 1989 was ten times more than the global average for underdeveloped nations (WRI 1992: 313).

When compared to wealthy nations, India has an extremely low per capita usage of commercial energy. It was just nine Giga joules annually in 1989, for instance, compared to 295 Giga joules in the USA (WRI 1992: 316-17). About 31% of the nation's total energy consumption in 1994–1995 was used for agricultural purposes (GoI 1997c: 113). India's per capita energy usage in 2001–02 was 313 kilowatt hours (kwh), while agriculture accounted for 25.33 percent of the nation's overall electricity consumption (GoI 2004a). However, even this level of energy consumption is unsustainable given the size of its people unless unconventional sources of energy are completely explored and exploited. For ecosystems and the biosphere as a whole to operate normally, species variety is required. The global economy receives billions of dollars in benefits from the genetic material found in wild species in the form of enhanced agricultural species, novel medications and treatments, and raw materials for industry. But in addition to their practical value, wild animals should be protected for moral, ethical, cultural, artistic, and merely scientific grounds.

There are no accurate global estimates of the amount of biodiversity.

The best estimate is close to 10 million species, of which only 1.4 million have actually been identified so far. Estimates range from 2 million to 100 million species.

The Earth's biological legacy is under ever greater danger. According to estimates, one-fourth of all species are in risk of becoming extinct, and between 5,000 and 1,50,000 new species are lost every year as a result of destructive farming, deforestation, pollution, destructive fishing, and grazing practises that destroy biomass and habitat (Bartelmus 1997: 325). According to estimates, the biodiversity of the globe is vanishing at rates that are 50 to 100 times faster than natural regeneration rates in underdeveloped nations (globe Bank 1988: 26).

India has an extraordinarily rich biodiversity. It is one of the 12 nations with the greatest variety in the world, making about 60% to 70% of all biodiversity. India is home to 6% of the flowering plant species, 14% of the bird species, a third of the world's cultivated plant species (about 45,000), and around 81,000 cultivated animal species (World Bank 1996: 1). Natural resources and biodiversity in India are significant commercially on a national and international scale.India, one of the oldest and greatest agricultural nations in the world, offers a remarkable variety of crop species and kinds. The subcontinent is the birthplace of at least 166 agricultural plant species and 320 species of wild crop relatives. In India, plant species account for around 90% of all medications, many of which are gathered from the wild.

The tribal people relies heavily on medicinal herbs and other non-timber forest products as a source of income and nutrition. growth and management of natural resources, which are essential for agriculture as well as for industrial and municipal growth, are significantly influenced by natural ecosystems.Deforestation has caused a significant loss of biodiversity in India. Numerous plant and animal species are in danger of becoming extinct. Although the extinction of 23 species has been confirmed, many more are likely to have perished silently.Although most often the immediate causes of biodiversity loss are habitat loss, overharvesting, and pollution, the underlying causes are a number of socioeconomic factors like population pressure, poverty, unemployment, ignorance, and a lack of incentives for using natural resources and biodiversity sustainably in the interests of society.

There was no environmental deterioration and no loss of biodiversity as long as the population of people and animals stayed within the bounds of the local environment's and natural resources' carrying capacities. However, as the population grew and local economies became more connected with those of other countries via trade, a process of resource degradation and biodiversity loss began. In addition, a lot of communities rely solely on natural resources for survival. They have no other work options and are very impoverished and illiterate. This forces them to over-exploit and degrade the natural resources that are available to them, which in turn presents a serious danger to both their own existence and the safety and integrity of the environment. It is past time for India to establish a responsible national strategy for the preservation of the country's natural resources and biological variety, one that goes hand in hand with its plans for social and economic development.

CONCLUSION

Analysis and formulation of sustainable development plans need a thorough comprehension of the intricate dynamics underlying human growth. To promote a peaceful and resilient future, strategies must incorporate economic development, social fairness, environmental

protection, and efficient government. Formulating successful policies requires cooperation among a variety of stakeholders, including governments and grassroots organisations. Societies may move towards more sustainable paths by using technological progress, inventive economic models, and the power of education. In the end, the quest for sustainable development is an iterative process that demands constant adjustment, cross-sectoral collaboration, and a strong dedication to the welfare of both the present and the future generations. Societies may create the conditions for a rich, just, and sustainable future by analysing and selecting tactics that value complexity and promote balance.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Holden, K. Linnerud, en D. Banister, "The Imperatives of Sustainable Development", *Sustain. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/sd.1647.

[2] S. Morton, D. Pencheon, en N. Squires, "Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and their implementation", *British Medical Bulletin*. 2017. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldx031.

[3] M. Wackernagel, L. Hanscom, en D. Lin, "Making the sustainable development goals consistent with sustainability", *Front. Energy Res.*, 2017, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2017.00018.

[4] M. Bexell en K. Jönsson, "Responsibility and the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals", *Forum Dev. Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/08039410.2016.1252424.

[5] C. L. França, G. Broman, K. H. Robèrt, G. Basile, en L. Trygg, "An approach to business model innovation and design for strategic sustainable development", *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.06.124.

[6] A. Kangas, N. Duxbury, en C. De Beukelaer, "Introduction: cultural policies for sustainable development", *International Journal of Cultural Policy*. 2017. doi: 10.1080/10286632.2017.1280790.

[7] R. G. G. Caiado, R. de Freitas Dias, L. V. Mattos, O. L. G. Quelhas, en W. Leal Filho, "Towards sustainable development through the perspective of eco-efficiency - A systematic literature review", *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.166.

[8] E. B. Barbier en J. C. Burgess, "The sustainable development goals and the systems approach to sustainability", *Economics*, 2017, doi: 10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2017-28.

[9] F. Annan-Diab en C. Molinari, "Interdisciplinarity: Practical approach to advancing education for sustainability and for the Sustainable Development Goals", *Int. J. Manag. Educ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ijme.2017.03.006.

CHAPTER 12

ASSESSMENT OF INDIA'S STRATEGIES OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Vikas Singh, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email Id- vikassamar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

India's rural development policies have changed throughout time to handle the complex issues of inclusive growth, reducing poverty, and raising the standard of living in rural regions. This essay examines India's rural development policies, examining major programmes, legislative frameworks, and rural populations' effects. This research sheds light on the success and difficulties of India's attempts at rural development by looking at economic, social, environmental, and governance aspects. India's rural development plans include a broad variety of initiatives and regulations intended to promote fair development and solve rural inequalities. By giving rural families access to work opportunities, programmes like the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) aim to combat both poverty and unemployment. The Pradhan Mantri Grammeen Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) seeks to promote market access and connectivity in rural areas.

KEYWORDS:

India, Rural Development, Rural Development Strategies, Sustainable Development Goals.

INTRODUCTION

India's five-year plans place a significant focus on agricultural and rural development. The Community Development Programme (CDP), which reflected India's overarching concern with nation building and equality, dominated the First Five Year Plan. The CDP's main strategy was carefully planned to meet growth, welfare, equality, and community engagement objectives at the same time. This paradigm tries to address the physical, economic, technical, social, motivational, organisational, and political underpinnings of the main issues of poverty, unemployment, and inequality. It adopts a highly broad yet integrated perspective on these issues. The many objectives of this strategy are intended to be accomplished through strengthening the community's ability to participate in development in conjunction with the government. This strategy is predicated on the crucial premise that the government can change how society distributes power and that centralised bureaucracies can learn to delegate authority to neighbourhood organisations. Complex decentralised matrix structures with permanent mechanisms for vertical and lateral integration, a combination of specialist and generalist skills, institutional leadership, social intervention capability, and systems management are necessary for the successful implementation of this strategy[1], [2].

This paradigm was intended to be followed by several other initiatives introduced after the CDP, including the Training of Rural Youth for Self-Employment (TRYSE), Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP), and National Rural Employment Programme (NREP). However, this plan did not provide the intended outcomes due to India's current organisational structure and governance system, which lacks many of the preconditions mentioned before for the effective execution of this strategy. By the middle of the Second

Five Year Plan, it had become more clear that, success of the CDP or not, a new strategy was needed to keep agricultural output ahead of the rising population's needs in India. India had its first post-independence food crisis in 1957–1958. A new programme known as the Intensive Agriculture District Programme (IADP), or Package Programme, was developed and introduced in seven selected districts across the nation in 1960–1961, and was later expanded to eight more districts as a response to this crisis and in accordance with the recommendations of the Ford Foundation–sponsored Team of American Agricultural Production Specialists[3], [4]6.

The IADP marked a significant shift from the CDP since it deployed resources using the concentration principle rather than the CDP's equality requirement. Its primary goal was to boost agricultural productivity quickly at the farm level by using complementary inputs and services (package approach). The foundation of IADP was the farm planning. A number of new agricultural development programmes, including the Intensive Agricultural Area Programme (IAAP), the High Yielding Varieties Programme (HYVP), and the Intensive Cattle Development Programme (ICDP), had been designed after the IADP by 1966, when the fundamental idea of coordination, as well as the effective use and better management of resources, had gained national acceptance.

All of these initiatives were growth-oriented; equity-related concerns were not addressed. They showed the growth-oriented strategy's inability to address the fundamental issues of rural poverty and economic inequality, while also demonstrating the concentration principle's success in attaining significant gains in food production. The experience with these schemes taught us that increasing economic development rates were not a guarantee against deteriorating poverty and that a direct frontal assault on the root causes of unemployment and poverty was necessary. Through extensive social programmes like the Minimum Needs Programme (MNP), Applied Nutrition Programme (ANP), Mid-day Meals Programme (MMP), National Old Age Pension Programme (NOAPP), and others, this aims to improve the wellbeing of the rural population in general and the rural poor in particular. The free provision/distribution of products, services, and public facilities in rural regions is the main tactic utilised in this approach. The crucial presumptions of this approach are that individuals are unable to recognise and address their issues, and that government specialists are capable of doing so while using the financial and administrative tools at their disposal. Villagers play the role of passive consumers of services. This tactic is paternalistic in nature. The number of commodities, services, and public facilities supplied is used to evaluate how well the programmes are doing. The welfare-oriented activities provide a contrasting image; in certain regions, but not in others, the rural poor have significantly benefited from some policies[5], [6]. There are three main issues with this tactic:

- Dependence has been established.
- Governments cannot afford the resources it demands.
- It has made it easier for individuals in charge of distributing social benefits to engage in widespread corruption.

This is meant to assist rural residents in taking care of themselves via the creation of their own organisations, active engagement, and other support networks. Its main focus is on meeting the needs of rural residents as defined by them. The government's job is to support the villagers' attempts at self-help by providing tools and resources that aren't accessible

locally. This strategy's key premise is that rural poor people would recognise and address their issues if given limited assistance and otherwise left to their own devices and efforts. The main success metric for this technique is community involvement in and control over project activities. A prominent illustration of this tactic is India's Operation Flood (OF), which was started in 1970 in 18 milksheds across 10 states. OF used a three-tiered system of dairy cooperatives in the Anand pattern to modernise and promote the Indian dairy sector. This model of development is also used by several nonprofit organisations.We may sum up by saying that what is required right now is an integrated or holistic strategy that incorporates all the beneficial aspects of the preceding four strategies and is faithfully executed through the development of an adequate organisational and institutional structure. It is necessary for us to develop strategies that will enable us to transition from the current, frequently unsustainable processes of growth and development to sustainable development paths given the failure of the rural development strategies implemented so far in India to address the issues of rural poverty and unemployment. All nations will need to adjust their policies in this regard, both in terms of their own growth and the potential development of other countries. In general, the following are some crucial components of a realistic sustainable development plan. Sustainable livelihoods, which rely on sustainable agriculture, are a need for food security, which in turn is a key component of sustainable development.

DISCUSSION

At the national level, food security may be defined as the guaranteed access of all people and families to an appropriate supply of food for an active and healthy life over the long term. The idea of food security has now been expanded to include livelihood security, which refers to assured physical and financial access to a healthy diet, clean drinking water, sanitary surroundings, primary education, and essential medical care (Swami Nathan 1996: 66). Physical accessibility, economic accessibility, and sustainabilitythe three pillars of food securityare crucial for preserving societal harmony and peace on a global scale. As a result, it makes logical sense to state that food security is a need for peaceful, sustained growth.Promoting sustainable agricultural production methods must be given high attention if we are to achieve food security. Sustainable agriculture is the opposite of increasing agricultural output at the expense of deteriorated land and depleted and contaminated water resources. Agriculture land use should be determined by a scientific evaluation of the area's potential.

Furthermore, the pace of natural regeneration must not be exceeded by the yearly loss of top soil, fish stocks, or forest resources. Future productivity gains in both wealthy and developing nations should be based on more carefully regulated water and agricultural chemical applications, as well as wider use of organic manures and non-chemical pest management methods. The foundational support systems for life on Earth are provided by the natural resources of land, water, plants, animals, forests, fisheries, and the environment. The prudent exploitation of these natural resources is essential to sustainable development. Most of the natural resources in India are severely deteriorated and have extremely poor productivity, particularly those that are owned and/or exploited collectively, such as common pool resources (CPRs) and open access resources (OAR). The majority of CPRs and all OAR experience what Hardin (1968) refers to as "the tragedy of the commons." According to estimates, almost 100 mha of India's land is common pool land, together with 30 mha of forests, the majority of its water resources, and its fisheries resources (Singh 1994: vii).

Millions of rural poor people rely on CPRs and OAR for their livelihoods, thus their restoration and prudent management are essential.

It won't be feasible to achieve sustainable development if the process of depletion of natural resources, particularly the environment, is not stopped. The CPRs of land, water, forests, and fisheries are dynamic biological systems that, fortunately, are susceptible to management interventions that may provide sustained benefit flows in the form of food, fodder, fuel wood, fibre, lumber, and numerous environmental amenities.

A thoughtful orchestration of policies and management practises is required for the management of CPRs on a sustained yield basis. A distinct advantage that agricultural economists have over other technical and social sciences in devising socially optimal methods for exploiting and maintaining CPRs is their specialisation in natural resource economics. Natural resources may be handled under a variety of different property regimes or management systems. According to Singh (1994: 49–70), they include private, cooperative/collective, corporate, and public property regimes. A tool to prevent their "tragedy" and increase output in OAR might be the creation and enforcement of adequate property rights (Singh 1997: 136–50). Cooperative management has often been shown to be a potential substitute for maintaining CPRs (Singh and Ballabh 1996: 16–18). The watershed method might enable the sustainable use of renewable CPRs, as shown by India's experience with numerous watershed development initiatives (Singh 1995). We must ascertain which resource is most likely to be wisely used and managed under what property regime and under what circumstances, as well as how the watershed technique may be more generally applied in India, particularly in the rainfed regions.[7], [8]

Since the beginning of time, natural calamities have been a curse on India's economy. Natural calamities including extended droughts, flash floods, hailstorms, landslides, cyclones, and forest fires are mentioned in ancient Indian literature. India's landmass is sensitive to cyclones, floods, earthquakes, and droughts to varying degrees. About 60% of the country is at risk from earthquakes, over 40% from floods, 8% from cyclones, and 68% from droughts. Severe cyclonic structures are common over an 8,000 km long coastline. The overall region, which is sensitive to earthquakes, is located in seismic zones III and V to a degree of around 55%. Landslides constitute a risk in the Sub-Himalayan and Western Ghats.

If economic expansion makes people more susceptible to both natural and man-made catastrophes, it is not sustainable. A drought can compel farmers to sell their livestock or other valuable assets in order to maintain output in the coming years. A plague may completely destroy a crop, rendering the crop farmers bankrupt. Similar to this, a decline in farm product prices might lower farm profits and lead farmers to overuse natural resources. Pursell and Gulati (1993) assert that increased grain prices brought on by the deregulation of both domestic and international commerce in agricultural commodities may make the poor in India more vulnerable to food insecurity. The public distribution system (PDS), which serves as a safety net for the poor, will need to be better targeted and made more effective in order to counteract the negative consequences.We may argue that a development path that incorporates both increased growth and decreased vulnerability is more sustainable than one that does not.

Overall social development has human growth as both a method and an objective in mind. The people and their welfare should be the main emphasis of the development strategies and activities.

People should have the flexibility and opportunity to develop their skills, and they should also get the education, empowerment, and inspiration they need to help achieve sustainable and equitable development. In addition to enhancing technical skills and knowledge, human resource development is essential for helping people and countries adapt to the fast shifting social, environmental, and developmental realities. Global knowledge sharing would ensure improved communication and a stronger readiness to equally distribute resources. Any plan for sustainable development must include increased and improved access to social services including education, healthcare, and other associated programmes. To provide more public funding for delivering essential services and facilities to everyone, especially the poor, current priorities must be revised. A recent analysis found that developing nations might effectively divert a large portion of their present spendingmore than 2% of their GDPtowards human development. Large sums of money might be made available to assist human development via initiatives to cut down on military spending, stop capital flight, fight corruption, and privatise loss-making state firms[8], [9].

The dynamics of population expansion are very closely related to the sustainability of development. The population of India has been predicted out to the year 2150 using a variety of different scenarios. The medium fertility scenario seems to be the most likely of all the possibilities. This scenario assumes that fertility will stabilise at replacement levels, or only slightly more than two children per woman. The population of India is anticipated to increase in this scenario from 929 million in 1995 to 1,533 million in 2050, 1,617 million in 2100, and 1,669 million in 2150 (UN 1998: 187).

To slow India's population increase from its current (high) pace, immediate action is required.The level at which the population stabilizesroughly 1.25 billion peoplewill be influenced by the decisions taken today. The right to self-determination is a fundamental human right, and it should be ensured, particularly for women, by providing individuals with knowledge, incentives, and facilities that enable them to determine the size of their families. However, this is not merely a demographic concern. For livelihoods to be sustained in perpetuity, it is essential to instill in people the values and behaviours that are supportive of sustainable development from an early age. India's Vedic way of life was sustainable in that it placed a strong focus on thriftiness, harmony with nature, and the preservation of the environment. The Rig Veda has hymns that honour several deities, including Prithavi devata (the Earth), Indra devata (the Rain God), Surya devata (the Sun, which is the source of unending Solar Energy), Vayu devata (the Air), and others. principles and way of life as well. Additionally, there are Vedic petitions that ask the devatas for blessings in the form of food, animals, health, and money. This indicates that people in the Vedic age respected nature and accepted whatever gifts it bestowed upon them with appreciation. Unfortunately, the majority of people today see nature as a means of self-promotion, which reduces its productivity. The majority of Indians, especially the urban elite, increasingly emulate Western attitudes and lifestyles and engage in wasteful, unsustainable spending. If someone from the west recommends the Vedic way of life to them, they could adopt it.

By providing people with food at affordable costs, the consumer-oriented policy6oriented. For the first time, a wide framework for a pricing policy was specified in the Terms. Agricultural Prices Commission's (later known as the Commission's) Terms of ReferencCACP (Centre for Agricultural Costs and Prices), established in 1965, I pricing structure that is coordinated and balanced. The Commission has to bear these things in mindBefore deciding on a pricing strategy, consider both the producer's and the consumer's interestin 1980 and 1986, the policy's underlying framework was examined and modified. The

The most recent assessment was completed in 1991, when India signed the new global trade agreementagreement that encompassed agriculture for the first time. The new pricing strategy forthe agriculture industry seeks to stabilise prices and end government subsidies forinputs, restricting participation in the public distribution system (PDS) to the poor, and eliminatinliberalisation of commerce in agricultural commodities and a system for managing foodThe government's primary goals in setting agricultural product prices are1. to ensure farmers have access to a profitable and generally steady pricing environment

- Encouraging them to expand their output and therefore increasing the supply of grain food;
- To increase peoples' physical and financial access to food;
- To develop a manufacturing plan that corresponds to the industry's general demands.

The most palpable component among all the variables is the cost of manufacturing, which takesaccount for all fixed and operating expenditures. The Price Support is organised by the government. Commodity Supply Scheme (PSS) through several public and cooperative agenciessuch as the Cotton Corporation of India (CCI), Jute Corporation of India, and the Food Corporation of India (FCI)National Cooperative Agricultural Marketing Federation of India, Corporation of India (JCI)Tobacco Board and India (NAFED), for which the MSPs are fixed. not for commoditiesAs part of PSS, the government also organises market intervention in response to specific state requests for a certain amount at a specific price. If losses occurany, are split 50/50 between the federal government and the state. A wealthy outcome of the pricing policydividends. In recent years, the government has significantly increased the MSPs. the twoInput subsidies have been utilised in conjunction with other APP tools. as opposed tothe prevalent perception that input, food, and price support programmes subsidiesjust a select few farmers and crops in a select few areas, and it is presently in good condition. it has been determined that these tools have been crucial in achieving theaim of increased economic development and food security, and have benefitedall societal segments Presently, the MSP system covers 25 agricultural commodities.Additionally, several other goods including onions, potatoes, and ginger are categorised asthe MIS, or Market Intervention Scheme.

The FCI, which has served as the central organizing was given the task of carrying out the price support programme for wheat and rice.help for coarse cereals' prices as well. Regarding focusing on the less fortunate areas, aPDS was updated and introduced in 1992 with the goal of expanding distribution reach.providing food grains with specific subsidies to those living in hilly and desert regions as well.For rural development, it is crucial that the general link between input and outputagricultural production prices, as well as the conditions of trade with other industriessegments of the economy be set up such that rural regions' development is

encouraged. The primary goalthe goal of an APP should be to eliminate market distortions, which are often bad for society.The producer's interests need to be protected since they are related to the same policy.when there is a substantial decline in prices, price support (operations above the market price)market pricing, consumer interests, especially those of the most vulnerable groups ofthe people should be safeguarded by providing food grains and other necessitieswhen there is a big increase in the market, buying requirements at a reasonable price (below the market price)prices. Since the MSP is anticipated to accommodate for fluctuations in input costs, there are several.In general, input subsidies should be used as a motivator to raise outputUnless dealing with tiny, marginal farmers and difficult locations, avoid. In this case.In this instance, a transit subsidy might be preferableAccording to the National Agriculture Policy of 2000, the federal government willcontinuing to fulfil its obligation to provide fair pricing for agriculturalby announcing the MSPs policy for important agricultural commodities.The nation's needs for food, nutrition, and other imports and exports willbe considered when calculating the support prices for various commodities. TheTo guarantee a beneficial environment, the pricing structure and trading system are regularly revised.economic climate for the agriculture industry and to achieve a fair balancein the incomes of rural and urban areas. The approach used by the CACP to arriveEstimates of manufacturing costs are examined on a regular basis. The pricing ranges for bothThe monitoring of inputs and outputs ensures greater returns to farmers and bringsregarding the economy's overall cost-effectiveness. Prices in the domestic market are monitoredFarmers are watched monitored to avoid distress sales. The federal government wants to expand the use of futures markets to reduce large swings in commodity pricesas well as to mitigate their dangers.

The goal is to include all significant agricultural topics.items that will eventually be traded on futures exchanges. A structured campaign to promote sustainable development will be necessary.and widely used innovative technologies, such as those for renewable energy and agricultural productioncontrols over pollution systems. This campaign will mostly be centred on the worldwideexchange of technology via agreements to transfer technology, the sale of new equipment, the hiring of professionals, joint research projects, and other means. Consequently, the process andPolicies affecting these exchanges must promote innovation and assure ready and willing participants.extensive availability of green technology.All of these responsibilities will need to be completed via the reorientation of technology, whichthe primary connection between people and nature. the potential for technologicalInnovations need to be considerably improved so that our country can react more quickly.efficiently to the problems associated with sustainable development. Second, the direction thatEnvironmental concerns must be given more consideration in technological development.FactorsTechnologies developed in industrialised nations are not necessarily appropriate or readily applicable tothe environmental and socioeconomic situations of emerging nations. to combineThe issue is that not enough urgent issues are addressed by most global research and developmentchallenges that these nations must deal with include desert land agriculture and the management of tropical pests 6and illnesses. Recent advancements in material technology, energy conservation, information technology, and biotechnology are not being sufficiently adjusted to the demands ofIndia and several emerging nations. To bridge these gaps, public awareness must be improved.investment in capabilities for design, development, and extension.

The methods for developing new technologies, improving existing ones, andEnvironmental factors should be considered while deciding which foreign technology to use.issues with resources. The majority of technical research conducted by businesses is focused onto marketable advances in products and procedures. Technology is required.can provide "social goods," such better air quality or longer product lives,Solutions that handle issues often beyond the pricing considerations of individual businesses,such as the trash disposal or pollution external costs.Optimal Use and Management of Energy Resources by providing people with food at affordable costs, that is, the consumer-oriented policyoriented. For the first time, a wide framework for a pricing policy was specified in the Terms.Agricultural Prices Commission's (later known as the Commission's) Terms of ReferenceCACP (Centre for Agricultural Costs and Prices), established in 1965, I pricing structure that is coordinated and balanced. The Commission has to bear these thingin mind.Before deciding on a pricing strategy, consider both the producer's and the consumer's interests.In 1980 and 1986, the policy's underlying framework was examined and modified. TheThe most recent assessment was completed in 1991, when India signed the new global trade agreement.agreement that encompassed agriculture for the first time.

CONCLUSION

India's rural development policies demonstrate a diverse approach to resolving the numerous issues that rural people must deal with. India has made considerable strides in enhancing the welfare of rural inhabitants via projects focusing on jobs, infrastructure, sanitation, and livelihoods.

The analysis of India's rural development plans also emphasises the need of ongoing development. Making sure benefits are distributed fairly, strengthening implementation processes, and addressing regional discrepancies continue to be crucial concerns. The effectiveness of these tactics may be increased by incorporating sustainability concepts and using technology-driven solutions. A comprehensive and inclusive strategy that incorporates local people, makes use of cutting-edge technologies, and is in line with global sustainability standards is essential as India works to meet its development goals. India can set the way for more resilient, wealthy, and inclusive rural communities by continually modifying policies and learning from both triumphs and obstacles.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Bjärstig en C. Sandström, “Public-private partnerships in a Swedish rural context - A policy tool for the authorities to achieve sustainable rural development?”, *J. Rural Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.11.009.

[2] K. Salemink, D. Strijker, en G. Bosworth, “Rural development in the digital age: A systematic literature review on unequal ICT availability, adoption, and use in rural areas”, *J. Rural Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2015.09.001.

[3] S. Neumeier, “Social innovation in rural development: identifying the key factors of success”, *Geogr. J.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/geoj.12180.

[4] O. J. Rover, B. C. de Gennaro, en L. Roselli, “Social innovation and sustainable rural development: The case of a Brazilian agroecology network”, *Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/su9010003.

[5] J. Kouřilová en M. Pělucha, “Economic and Social Impacts of Promoting Cultural Heritage Protection by the Czech Rural Development Programme 2007-2013”, *European Countryside*. 2017. doi: 10.1515/euco-2017-0029.

[6] A. Nieto Masot en G. C. Alonso, “25 Years of the Leader Initiative as European Rural Development Policy: The Case of Extremadura (SW Spain)”, *Eur. Countrys.*, 2017, doi: 10.1515/euco-2017-0019.

[7] J. B. Forrest, “Rural Development and Food Security in the 21st Century: A Review and Proposal”, *J. Dev. Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0169796X17735239.

[8] M. Šťastná en A. Vaishar, “The relationship between public transport and the progressive development of rural areas”, *Land use policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.05.022.

[9] M. E. Menconi, D. Grohmann, en C. Mancinelli, “European farmers and participatory rural appraisal: A systematic literature review on experiences to optimize rural development”, *Land use policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.10.007.