

ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF BIOENERGY PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT



Kul Bhushan Anand



ALEXIS PRESS
JERSEY CITY, USA

**ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF
BIOENERGY PRODUCTION AND
MANAGEMENT**

ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF BIOENERGY PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

Kul Bhushan Anand





ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

© RESERVED

This book contains information obtained from highly regarded resources.
Copyright for individual contents remains with the authors.
A wide variety of references are listed. Reasonable efforts have been made
to publish reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher
cannot assume responsibility for the validity of
all materials or for the consequences of their use.

No part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted,
or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means,
now known or hereinafter invented, including photocopying,
microfilming and recording, or any information storage or retrieval system,
without permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically
from this work please access alexispress.us

First Published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Encyclopaedia of Bioenergy Production and Management by *Kul Bhushan Anand*

ISBN 979-8-89161-297-6

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Global Energy Production, Consumption and Potentials of Biomass.....	1
— <i>Kul Bhushan Anand</i>	
Chapter 2. Analysis of Sustainability in Bioenergy.....	9
— <i>Arun Kumar Pipersenia</i>	
Chapter 3. Determination of Ethanol as Bioenergy: A Review Study.....	16
— <i>Shri Bhagwan</i>	
Chapter 4. Biofuel Production Chains Biomass Feedstock and Conversion Technologies.....	24
— <i>Arun Gupta</i>	
Chapter 5. Impact of Bioenergy on Food Security and Food Sovereignty.....	31
— <i>Sunil Kumar</i>	
Chapter 6. Energy Consumption from Coal-to-Liquids: An Analysis.....	39
— <i>Sunil Kumar Gaur</i>	
Chapter 7. Determination of Solid Biofuels in Bioenergy Production.....	46
— <i>Amit Kumar</i>	
Chapter 8. Environmental Impacts in Bioenergy Consumption.....	54
— <i>Nisha Sahal</i>	
Chapter 9. Investments and Market Development in Bioenergy Production system.....	62
— <i>Shreshtha Bandhu Rastogi</i>	
Chapter 10. Crop Management System in Maintaining Energy System.....	69
— <i>Sunil Kumar</i>	
Chapter 11. An Exceptional Opportunity for TheHydrocarbon Sector to Integrate with TheNational Economy	76
— <i>Puneet Agarwal</i>	
Chapter 12. Analysis of Sustainable and Reliable Energy Source.....	83
— <i>Dinesh Kumar Yadav</i>	
Chapter 13. Determination of Bioenergy Routes and Conversion Technologies.....	91
— <i>Nisha Sahal</i>	

CHAPTER 1

GLOBAL ENERGY PRODUCTION, CONSUMPTION AND POTENTIALS OF BIOMASS

Kul Bhushan Anand, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- anand_kb1980@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT:

With rising demand for clean and renewable energy sources to combat climate change and provide energy security, the world's energy production and consumption are at a crossroads. This essay offers a thorough examination of biomass's potential as a renewable energy source, highlighting its difficulties and contributions to the world's energy transitions. The report goes into the intricate dynamics that highlight the significance of incorporating biomass into the energy mix via an analysis of current global energy production and consumption trends. It emphasizes the crucial role of biomass in lowering greenhouse gas emissions and diversifying the world's energy supply by drawing on concepts of renewable energy, sustainability, and environmental stewardship. The significant dependence on fossil fuels in global energy production and consumption contributes to climate change and energy insecurity. Organic material-derived biomass is a sustainable and renewable option. With rising demand for clean and renewable energy sources to combat climate change and provide energy security, the world's energy production and consumption are at a crossroads. This essay offers a thorough examination of biomass's potential as a renewable energy source, highlighting its difficulties and contributions to the world's energy transitions. The report goes into the intricate dynamics that highlight the significance of incorporating biomass into the energy mix via an analysis of current global energy production and consumption trends. It emphasizes the crucial role of biomass in lowering greenhouse gas emissions and diversifying the world's energy supply by drawing on concepts of renewable energy, sustainability, and environmental stewardship.

KEYWORDS:

Biomass Energy, Climate Change Mitigation, Energy Production, Renewable Energy, Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

In addition to their contributions to the many ecosystem commodities and products necessary for regional well-being, healthy forests and landscapes are important for people and the environment due to the multitude of ecosystem services they provide. These benefits include their innate ability to store carbon, promote biodiversity, control water flow, and preserve soil health. More than 14 million hectares of Indonesia's land have been classified as degraded, including two million hectares of degraded peatlands, which are essential for mitigating climate change due to their enormous carbon storage. With such a vast expanse of damaged land, Indonesia will inevitably need forest landscape restoration (FLR). In the meanwhile, Indonesia's energy needs are expanding quickly along with its people and economy. Due to the government's ambitious aspirations to provide electricity to the whole population via its National electricity Policy (Kebijakan Energi Nasional), as outlined in Government Regulation No. 79/2014, this trend is expected to persist into the foreseeable future. Through its Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC), Indonesia made a commitment to reduce its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 29 percent by 2030 in response to the Paris Agreement in 2015. The government has also pledged to have 23% of its total energy mix come from

new and renewable sources by 2025. One of the strategic steps targeted at accomplishing these goals is the generation of bioenergy[1], [2].

The presence of vast tracts of degraded land and the rising need for energy may provide both possibilities and difficulties. FLR is an expensive endeavor, and the absence of funding to support FLR operations is a major barrier to reaching its goals. The country's surviving natural forests and food production may be put under further stress as a result of rising energy demand and the development of biomass energy, a situation known as the "food, energy, and environment trilemma." However, FLR may generate a variety of commodities and services, including wood, biomass for electricity, biomaterials, agri-food products, and essential oils, as well as economic and social advantages while promoting nature preservation, provided it is properly planned and managed. For instance, restoring damaged and underused land with species that produce biofuel in climate-smart agroforestry systems may provide enormous amounts of bioenergy without competing with land needed for other activities, such food production or nature preservation. Due to its high net primary production, Indonesia has a significant chance to produce cutting-edge, sustainable bioenergy while pursuing ambitious landscape restoration projects, such as its NDC aim of regenerating 14 million hectares of degraded land by 2030.

This book delivers insights from an interdisciplinary team of scientists who joined forces to integrate bioenergy and landscape restoration in Indonesia to academics, practitioners, small and medium companies, and private sector players interested in biomass energy and landscape restoration. The book discusses a wide range of subjects related to the production of bioenergy and the restoration of landscapes, including recent Indonesian government policies and initiatives, geospatial assessments of degraded land suitable for the production of bioenergy, landowners' perceptions of bioenergy crops, and information on specific promising bioenergy species like nyamplung, bamboo, and pongamia. All equipment that comes into touch with food must be kept clean. This comprises instruments such as knives, mincers, blenders, rolling pins, wooden boards, plates made of metal and porcelain, etc. They need to be scraped, washed with soapy water, and rinsed with potable water. It is a good idea to make sure that such equipment is submerged in 80°C hot water for at least 30 seconds before letting it drain completely. This hygienic measure is particularly crucial during epidemics like cholera, infectious hepatitis, etc. After cleaning, parts of blenders, mixers, etc., should be examined to make sure no food debris is still present. If they were to persist, they may promote the growth of dangerous microbes and contaminate the food. Instead than dipping equipment composed of plastic and other materials that cannot tolerate high temperatures in hot water, these items should instead get alternative treatments before being allowed to drain dry[3], [4].

In villages, it is customary to clean plates and utensils with ash before rinsing them with water. After that, the dishes and utensils are left to dry in the sun. Depending on their origin, hazardous bacteria may be carried by alkaline ash, which functions as a beneficial agent. They could bring feces or other sources of harmful germs with them and spread them on the meal. The cockroach is another bug that, like the housefly, may be a source of contamination. These insects, which typically like the dark, have been known to spread dysentery and diarrhea. In addition to these two typical insects, there are many more, from locusts to the larvae found in wheat and rice, which may seriously contaminate food. Rats, mice, and bandicoots are examples of rodents. They not only eat a lot of food, which adds to the general food scarcity in the nation, but they also have the potential to spread illnesses like the plague. Customers dislike the aesthetic presence of insects, insect pieces, rat excreta, and other stuff of insect and rodent origin, even though it may not necessarily be harmful to

health. According to health officials, such food is unsafe for ingestion since it was cooked using subpar sanitary procedures. Therefore, it is essential that precautions be made to avoid the introduction of insects and rodents into the home, particularly in the areas used for cooking and serving. You may do this by

- (i) Storing the prepared food in cabinets covered with wire netting.
- (ii) Repairing flooring and wall fissures and crevices, which are often where insects reproduce and proliferate.
- (iii) Placing wire gauze over drains, holes, etc. to stop rats from getting in.

In villages and small towns where people often live in separate dwellings, such precautions would undoubtedly stop the ingress of insects and rodents. However, in major cities where each building may include several flats, there is always a risk of insects and rodents migrating from one apartment to the next unless steps are taken by the whole community. There are several techniques to manage insects and rodents, but the one most often used in homes is spraying or dusting with an insecticide. Large factories, godowns, and other structures may decide to use fumigation since it eliminates rodents and insects. Chlorinated hydrocarbons (like DDT, TDE, aldrin, dieldrin, etc.) and organic phosphorus compounds (like Parathion, Malathion, Systrex, etc.) are used as the active component in sprays and dustings to kill insects. Pests may also be eliminated with the use of baits that include food and chemicals. These include "Tygon," which has a chemical combined with sugar, and a homemade concoction of boric powder and bengalgram dal flour that, when consumed by cockroaches, kills them. To get rid of rats, warfrain may be combined with food and used as a bait. Insecticides must only be used in very tiny doses and only as required since they are harmful. These are prone to enter our bodies if handled carelessly and eventually cause damage to us. To avoid mishaps, it's crucial to keep items out of children's reach and away from food [5], [6].

DISCUSSION

A wide range of subjects, including bioenergy policies, geospatial mapping and analysis of degraded lands and their suitability for bioenergy production, landowner perceptions and preferences, the socioeconomic and environmental advantages of bioenergy plantations, and suitable bioenergy species for producing biomass and biodiesel. For systems that concurrently promote energy security, food security, and landscape restoration, bioenergy species may be intercropped with food crops under the correct circumstances and if managed properly. Agroecosystems with sound design might generate bioenergy crops that significantly contribute to Indonesia's bioenergy goals, while reducing unexpected social and environmental repercussions and improving local lives. At the agroecosystem level, bioenergy crops have great promise, but there are still concerns about economies of scale for macro-scale bioenergy production, the availability of appropriate lands, and operational and transaction expenses. System designs must guarantee that bioenergy production is environmentally responsible and does not worsen the state of the environment's forests and lands. These inquiries are addressed in this book's chapters, which also include a thorough analysis of Indonesia's use of bioenergy crops for landscape restoration.

The findings show that bioenergy research is moving forward in the nation, but that information is difficult to get by and widespread adoption is still challenging. Their study focuses on the need of enabling circumstances, such as regulations, funding, and incentives, as well as the supply of bioenergy in systems for multifunctional land use or waste recycling. estimate the size of degraded areas suitable for raising species for biomass and biodiesel. Their

study included two production, growth model, and carbon stock scenarios: one with five biomass and biodiesel generating species, and the other with solely biodiesel species. The geographical analysis was done to identify possibly appropriate territory. According to study findings, 3.5 million ha of appropriate degraded land have the capacity to generate 10 PJ yr⁻¹ of biodiesel under the second scenario and 1,105 PJ yr⁻¹ of biomass under the first scenario.

The attitudes of landowners about bioenergy tree species and their choices for repairing degraded lands are investigated using Firth's logistic regression model. According to the findings, most landowners choose well-known species with established markets, whereas few chose the bioenergy plant *Calophyllum inophyllum* L. because of the market uncertainties surrounding bioenergy. According to their study, it is best to choose well-known bioenergy species, ensure that landowners have access to bioenergy markets, and support extension efforts by increasing capacity. select bioenergy plants appropriate for various situations in Chapter 5. The findings include in-depth knowledge on bioenergy tree species, their optimal growth environments (temperature, precipitation, pH, etc.), and energy outputs. They also offer suggestions for more effective bioenergy production methods. Bioenergy is being pushed as a viable substitute for unsustainable fossil fuels in Indonesia and throughout the world for an energy-secure and low-carbon future.

Indonesia may achieve its energy goals by using systems that are properly planned and managed to produce bioenergy on degraded lands, all while promoting a sustainable environment and enhancing local lives. For sustainable bioenergy production and the regeneration of degraded lands and forests, research in varied settings and consideration of local populations are essential. Ecosystem services and sustainable bioenergy production may be achievable via interdisciplinary approaches. Careful planning is required to prevent bioenergy crop growth from competing with agricultural output for land, which might raise food insecurity and food commodity prices. Additionally, system designs must guarantee that bioenergy production is sustainable and won't worsen the state of the environment's forests and lands. CIFOR and collaborators have been researching the advantages and drawbacks of establishing bioenergy crops on degraded lands in Indonesia for the last six years from social, economic, and environmental perspectives. The research that are presented in this book should be able to provide significant insights for managers, investors, and policymakers to take into account when making decisions. As stated in Government Regulation No. 79/2014, Indonesia has pledged to provide electricity for its whole people via its National electricity Policy [7], [8].

Diversification, environmental sustainability, and increased use of domestic energy resources are all emphasized in the rule. Oil, coal, gas, and new and renewable energy (NRE) should all be included in a diversified energy source. By 2025, it requires NRE to make up 23% of the country's energy mix. NRE may come from a variety of sources, such as geothermal, nuclear, micro-hydro, bioenergy, solar, wind, tidal, and shale gas. As stated by the President of Indonesia at the 21st Conference of Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in 2015 (Ministry of Foreign Affairs 2017), Indonesia has also made international commitments to coordinate energy provision with sustainability and to further reduce net greenhouse gas emissions as outlined in its Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC). A significant alternative source of renewable energy is bioenergy. It is defined as energy generated from plant biomass as well as plant-derived trash and residues. While contemporary bioenergy includes energy generated by a variety of methods, such as liquid biofuels, biorefineries, biogas, and wood pellet heating systems, traditional bioenergy mostly refers to energy from burning biomass. Biodiesel, bioethanol, bio-aviation turbine fuel (bioavtur), bio-pellets, bio-briquettes, bio-oil, biogas, and syngas are among the kinds of

bioenergy being studied and produced in Indonesia Since 2006, initiatives developed by the Government of Indonesia (GoI) to meet national bioenergy policies and mandates include biofuel or Bahan Bakar Nabati (BBN) development, energy self-sufficient villages or DesaMandiriEnergi (DME), the Indonesia Domestic Biogas Programme (IDBP) or Biogas Rumah (BIRU), bioenergy power plants, the Sumba Iconic Island renewables project, and bioenergy plantations or HutanTanamanEnergi (HTE). In terms of installed capacity and usage, bioenergy was the top NRE in Indonesia in 2016. Hydro, geothermal, mini- and micro-hydropower, solar, wind, and wave power were next. The most important biological feedstocks for bioenergy include microalgae, animal manures, forestry biomass, and agricultural biomass.

Municipal trash is another source of bioenergy feedstock (FAO 2004). Indonesia has a biomass energy resource potential of around 32,654 megawatts (MW) and an installed capacity of 1,626 MW, according to the Technology Assessment and Application Agency (BPPT 2017). It is anticipated that more people would use bioenergy, particularly biofuel, biogas, and biomass (Abdurrahman 2018; Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2018). For instance, it was predicted that by 2050, the amount of biofuel used will increase from 6.4 million kilolitres (kl) in 2018. More than half of Indonesia's consumption of renewable energy is expected to come from bioenergy-based heat and liquid fuels by 2030. Energy research is crucial in laying the groundwork for greater adoption and implementation. Initiatives for biofuel research and development must be coordinated. According to studies, it is crucial to take into account both upstream and downstream elements, such as bioenergy feedstocks and linked sectors, as well as bioenergy research and development, for effective bioenergy development. Recent research evaluated Indonesia's bioenergy's sustainability.

Numerous research have looked at suitable feedstocks for Indonesian bioenergy growth. These include biomass and the feedstocks used in agriculture, forestry, non-wooden forests, and other industries (Annex 1). In Indonesia, research on 80 different types of feedstock was undertaken between 2005 and 2018. Biodiesel, bioethanol, biooil, biogas, biopellets, charcoal briquettes, and syngas were some of the feedstocks used in these products. The majority of research investigations focused on agricultural feedstock, with oil palm accounting for the biggest number of studies, followed by paddy, sugarcane, coconut, cassava, maize, sorghum, and other sources of agro-lignocellulose. Since 1992, the Ministry of Agriculture's Agriculture Research and Development Agency has been studying how to create biodiesel from crude palm oil (CPO) (PuslitbangPertanian, 2006). With its reliable output and suitable infrastructure, oil palm bioenergy offers more energy potential than other feedstocks in addition to its availability.

Lignocellulose sources and seeds that contain oil have been the major subjects of studies on forestry feedstocks. The bioenergy potential of NTFPs such nipa palms (*Nypafruticans*), sago (*Metroxylonsagu*), black sugar palms (*Arenga pinnata*), and bamboos (*Bambuseae*) was examined in many research between 2005 and 2018. Animal manure, microalgae, municipal solid waste (MSW), and waste cooking oil (WCO) are other resources that have mostly been studied since 2007. Several government agencies have advanced the programs' bioenergy objectives since the release of Presidential Regulation No. 5/2006 on National Energy Policy and its amendment under Government Regulation No. 79/2014.

For instance, research on the bioenergy potential of biomass from oil palm, maize, cassava, sugar, jatropha, candlenut, and animal dung have been supported by the Ministry of Agriculture (Agustian 2015). Additionally, the energy self-sufficient villages (DME) program was expanded to include a project developed by the Ministry of Environment and Forestry's (MoEF) Forestry and Environmental Research, Development, and Innovation Agency

(FOERDIA) to produce bioethanol from black sugar palm in the Boalemo District of Gorontalo Province. Although the majority of research on oil palm biomass has focused on the potential of palm oil for biodiesel, some studies have examined the potential for bio-pellets, bioethanol, biogas, syngas, and bio-oil. Palm oil mill effluent (POME) is processed to produce biogas for electricity, and oil palm lignocellulose residues are chemically broken down to produce bioethanol, bio-oil, and bio-pellets. For instance, the Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI) was able to produce 150 liters of 99.95% fuel quality ethanol from 1,000 kg of oil palm empty fruit bunches (EFBs). Since the CPO Fund was established in 2015, more money has been allocated for research into the use of oil palm for bioenergy. The fund also supports oil palm research and development. The CPO Fund allocated IDR 10.68 trillion in 2016, 22 times more than it did in 2015 (IDR 476 billion), for oil palm-related research.

Paddy, which came in second place behind oil palm in terms of agricultural biomass being evaluated for bioenergy, is mostly used to generate electricity via the conversion of syngas and heat using gasification, thermal incineration, or microbial fuel cell (MFC) technologies. Additionally, research has been done on the possibility of using rice straw and rice husk bio-pellets as sources of biomass for power production.

The lignocellulose in woody biomass from species like calliandra (*Calliandracalothyrsus*), acacia (*Acacia* sp.), and albizia (*Paracerianthesfalcataria*) has been the subject of studies in the forestry industry, primarily for the production of bio-pellets that provide energy, power, and heat. Studies on oil-bearing fruits and seeds from plants including jatropha (*Jatropha curcas*), Indian beech (*Pongamiapinnata*), candlenut (*Reutealistrisperma*), Alexandrian laurel or nyamplung (*Calophylluminophyllum* L.), and sea mango (*Cerberaodollam*) were also often conducted. *Jatropha* biodiesel has been used extensively in the DME program as a result of experiments conducted successfully by the Bandung Institute of Technology from 2005 to 2007. Additionally, research has been done on NTFP biomass. *Borassus flabellifer*, Nipa palm, black sugar palm, and palmyra palm sap have all been investigated for the manufacture of bioethanol via fermentation procedures. All of these plants have been recognized as having the capacity to produce bioethanol (FORDA 2013).

In-depth research has also been done on municipal solid waste (MSW), waste cooking oil (WCO), animal manure, and microalgae as sources of biomass. Animal manure was investigated for its potential to produce biogas, whereas MSW was investigated for its ability to generate power. Following chemical processing, it has been shown that both WCO and microalgae may create biodiesel. Microalgae including *Nannochloropsis* sp., *Chlorella* sp., and *Scenedesmus* sp. have the potential to boost overall biodiesel production per hectare by 10 times compared to CPO biodiesel, according to LIPI (LIPI 2015). The main sources of hydrocarbon syngas that were investigated were municipal trash, rubber, woody biomass, oil palm, and paddy.

The majority of research into biodiesel has been undertaken in Java, Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, Papua, Maluku, Nusa Tenggara, and Bali. This is in line with the regional distribution of bioenergy feedstock research and development. Because they have access to feedstock, these areas might start producing biodiesel. They have also served as sites for bioethanol research. The most popular locations for research into bio-oil made from oil palm, paddy, woody biomass, and MSW have been the provinces of Riau, Lampung, and Jambi, partly because feedstocks are readily available there. Four feedstock sources have been used to create biodiesel initiatives: waste cooking oil (WCO), crude palm oil (CPO), crude jatropha oil (CJO), and crude nyamplung oil (CNO). The majority of these efforts are located in Java, while some are also found in Sumatra, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Bali

-Due to its availability, CPO is the most often utilized feedstock for biodiesel projects in Java, Sumatra, and Kalimantan. There are many CPO biodiesel companies in Indonesia, but only 25 of them were APROBI members in 2018. CJO biodiesel initiatives have been developed in Java, Kalimantan, and Sulawesi, but these initiatives have run into problems with feedstock viability and sustainability. Due to the GoI's dedication to carrying out the DME program, Java, Kalimantan, and Sulawesi are excellent areas for the growth of CPO biodiesel efforts. Because the feedstock (nyamplung), which is extensively farmed and grows well in the area, is only available on Java, specifically in the Central Java Province, CNO biodiesel efforts have only been created there. Local governments in Bogor and Bali have launched and supported WCO biodiesel programs. Two businesses in Bogor (PT MekanikaElektrikaEgra and PT BumiEnergi Equatorial) are working with the Bogor Municipal Government to employ WCO biodiesel for its 'Trans Pakuan' municipal buses. A similar partnership is also taking place in Bali, where the provincial government of Bali and the business PT Bali Hijau Biodiesel are working together to create "Ucodiesel" from spent cooking oil to power four Bali Green School buses. However, both projects run the danger of being abandoned because of a number of problems, including a focus on profit.

CONCLUSION

The research put out emphasizes how crucial it is to include biomass energy into national and international energy strategy. For lowering greenhouse gas emissions, fostering rural development, and solving issues with energy availability in disadvantaged areas, biomass provides a renewable and sustainable alternative. To fully use biomass as a crucial element of the global energy transition, policymakers, energy professionals, academics, and environmentalists must work together. Research into biomass technology, sustainable feedstock production, and regulatory frameworks will enhance the use of renewable energy and help create a more robust and sustainable energy future. Within the sphere of sustainable energy solutions, the use of biomass for energy generation is a vibrant and developing subject that offers potential approaches to tackle the weighty issues of climate change, energy security, and environmental sustainability on a worldwide scale.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. T. Ashraf *et al.*, "Estimation of bioenergy potential for local biomass in the United Arab Emirates", *Emirates J. Food Agric.*, 2016, doi: 10.9755/ejfa.2015-04-060.
- [2] Y. Weldemichael en G. Assefa, "Assessing the energy production and GHG (greenhouse gas) emissions mitigation potential of biomass resources for Alberta", *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.08.118.
- [3] N. H. Kasmuri, S. K. Kamarudin, S. R. S. Abdullah, H. A. Hasan, en A. M. Som, "Potential of biomass for biomethanol production", *Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res.*, 2016.
- [4] A. Hourri en N. Machaka-Houri, "Agave Lechuguilla as a potential biomass source in arid areas", *J. Sustain. Dev. Energy, Water Environ. Syst.*, 2016, doi: 10.13044/j.sdewes.2016.04.0008.
- [5] B. Yelmen en M. Tarık Çakir, "Biomass potential of Turkey and energy production applications", *Energy Sources, Part B Econ. Plan. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/15567249.2011.613443.
- [6] S. Mazzola, M. Astolfi, en E. Macchi, "The potential role of solid biomass for rural electrification: A techno economic analysis for a hybrid microgrid in India", *Appl. Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.02.051.

- [7] G. M. Joselin Herbert en A. Unni Krishnan, “Quantifying environmental performance of biomass energy”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.254.
- [8] X. Cui, H. Hao, Z. He, P. J. Stoffella, en X. Yang, “Pyrolysis of wetland biomass waste: Potential for carbon sequestration and water remediation”, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.02.049.

CHAPTER 2

ANALYSIS OF SUSTAINABILITY IN BIOENERGY

Arun Kumar Pipersenia, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- apipersenia@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

The move to a more environmentally friendly and renewable energy landscape must include sustainability in bioenergy production. This study offers a thorough investigation of sustainability issues in bioenergy, highlighting the importance, difficulties, and contributions to reducing global warming and attaining energy security. The paper delves into the complex facets that highlight the significance of implementing sustainable bioenergy practices through an examination of the multifaceted factors that influence bioenergy sustainability, including feedstock selection, land use, environmental impacts, and socioeconomic dimensions. It emphasizes the crucial role of bioenergy in lowering greenhouse gas emissions, boosting rural development, and fostering energy independence by drawing on concepts of renewable energy, environmental conservation, and social responsibility. Sustainability is a key component in the development and use of bioenergy since it is closely related to socioeconomic, biological, and land use concerns.

KEYWORDS:

Bioenergy, Environmental Impacts, Renewable Energy, Sustainability, Sustainable Feedstocks.

INTRODUCTION

According to Bruntlandsustainability is the capacity of an activity to satisfy current demands without jeopardizing the potential of future generations to satisfy their own needs. The primary impetus for policies that encourage bioenergy research and development is its potential to be a more sustainable source of heat, electricity, and liquid fuel than the currently dominating sources. There are overlapping environmental, economic, and social components to sustainability. Indicators and life-cycle assessments are tools for evaluating sustainability. The sustainability of bioenergy may be evaluated at scales ranging from small operations (such as a farm or biorefinery) to companies with regional, national, or international reach (such as soybean biodiesel or mixed-feedstock cellulosic ethanol). Even when analyzing only one activity within the supply chain, sustainability assessments must take into account implications across the board. (For instance, when evaluating the sustainability of biorefineries, the idea of spatial footprints may be utilized to integrate characteristics of land-use efficiency in feedstock production[1], [2].

Although terminology varies, "viability"one facet of sustainabilitycan be defined as a system's capacity to endure through time. The most glaring indicator of viability is long-term profitability. Viability, however, includes social and environmental factors in addition to economic ones. For instance, maintaining soil quality is necessary for the feasibility of plant-based feedstock production, and public approval of bioenergy systems in general is necessary.In addition to viability, sustainability also refers to how much a given system aids in the capacity of a larger system, such as a nation, region, or the whole world, to satisfy its current and future demands. Effects on soil quality, water quality and quantity, the balance of greenhouse gases (GHG), air quality, biodiversity, and productivity are among the environmental factors that must be taken into account for a bioenergy system to be sustainable.In addition to profitability and social acceptability, social and economic issues

also include employment, welfare, international commerce, energy security, and natural resource accounting. It is possible to evaluate the sustainability of bioenergy systems using indicators. Any quantifiable number that offers information on the actual or possible impacts of human activity on problematic environmental, social, or economic issues is referred to as a sustainability indicator. Indicators may be based on management techniques (such as the quantity of fertilizer used) or their results (such as the amount of nutrients in the soil or in waterways). In certification systems, such as those being developed by the Roundtable for Sustainable Biofuels and the Council on Sustainable Biomass Production, indicators based on management practices may be helpful. Effects-based indicators may be used to analyze the overall sustainability of a bioenergy business or route or to provide management-based indicators an empirical foundation. Indicators should, to the greatest degree practicable, account for the full supply chain. Such indications may provide direction for choices like selecting a certain conversion technique or picking sites that are both suited for producing low-cost feedstock and nearby markets[3], [4].

LCAs are another method for evaluating the sustainability of bioenergy. An LCA generally takes into account one or more environmental important variables (such as energy consumption, CO₂ emissions, and consumptive water usage) and adds up the contributions (both positive and negative) from every stage of the complete supply chain (from "cradle to grave"). LCAs may seem simple on the surface, but depending on how system boundaries, baseline conditions, and co-products are established and handled, LCAs measuring comparable values may provide inconsistent findings. Any attempt to evaluate the sustainability of bioenergy systems has difficulties due to various perspectives on system boundaries, baseline conditions, and co-products. Treatment of baseline disorders presents unique challenges. The word "baseline" may refer to circumstances that existed before bioenergy production was put into place or it can refer to the most probable alternative uses of the land and resources. Baselines in the first category may be measured. The second kind of baselines may sometimes be approximated by carefully identifying and observing land resources that are comparable but deficient in bioenergy systems. In some situations, particularly when considering impacts that could be widely spread (such as air pollution or energy security), adequate proxy locations for those latter baselines might not exist, hence alternative scenarios must be projected using simulation modeling.

Comparing the consequences of a bioenergy system to those of replaced or alternative sources of energy is necessary to fully comprehend the relative sustainability of that system. Baselines may or may not be a factor in this comparison. Typically, fossil fuel systems (such those used to produce electricity from coal or liquid fuels from petroleum) are contrasted with those that use bioenergy. In sustainability assessments, the sustainability of fossil fuel systems should be taken into account, along with their benefits (such as pre-existing infrastructure) and drawbacks (such as non-renewability, high GHG emissions, negative health effects, and (in the case of oil) a propensity for resource location in politically unstable areas). In certain circumstances, it is also reasonable to draw comparisons between bioenergy and other renewable energy sources, especially when electricity is the intended final result. The concept of indirect land-use change (iLUC) is at the center of the debate over bioenergy sustainability. Economic models suggest that the production of bioenergy might increase the price of agricultural commodities globally, leading to the conversion of forests and grasslands for the production of bioenergy. Whether these models are valid, realistic, and/or based on reliable input data enough to be used in policymaking is a topic of debate among researchers. In the feedstock section's article titled "Indirect Land-Use Change - The Issues," this subject is covered in greater detail[5], [6].

There is a fair amount of consensus that bioenergy has the potential to be more sustainable than the presently dominant energy sources, even if experts vary on whether and to what degree contemporary bioenergy systems are sustainable. For instance, many researchers think that growing lignocellulosic biomass crops like switchgrass, *Miscanthus*, or hybrid poplar on land that is degraded, abandoned, or unsuitable for growing traditional crops could address the most urgent concerns about current bioenergy sustainability. A strategy like this will need advancements in both technology (such as overcoming the resistance of lignocellulose) and policy (such as the universal adoption of strong sustainability norms). Despite significant obstacles, research advances on both fronts.

The concept of indirect land-use change (iLUC) is at the center of the debate over bioenergy sustainability. With regard to bioenergy, we may define iLUC as any change in land use brought on by the production of bioenergy, with the exception of converting land that is already being utilized for that production. The underlying premise of iLUC concerns is that when land is utilized for one reason and subsequently changed to the production of bioenergy feedstock, the original purpose's land would become more scarce, raising its value and encouraging people to convert additional land to that purpose.

For instance, it would be assumed that the price of feed corn would increase by roughly the amount necessary to persuade someone else to convert an acre of land from some other purpose to producing corn for feed if an acre of land used to grow corn for livestock feed was converted to growing corn for ethanol.

Additionally, if the area that will be used to produce feed corn contains high carbon stocks (such as an old-growth forest), the conversion will release CO₂ into the atmosphere, generating a carbon debt that might take decades to pay off via the burning of offset fossil fuels. Scenarios like these must take place under a few simple assumptions. If researchers use models that assume that all agricultural land available for conversion is fully utilized, all non-agricultural land available for conversion is relatively undisturbed and has high carbon stores, all land available for conversion is privately held, all landowners seek to maximize profit, and increases in bioenergy production, for instance, their attempts to quantify GHG emissions from bioenergy iLUC are certain to yield positive results. However, many parts of the globe defy these presumptions. Assumptions will always be broken to some extent in modeling since generalizations are necessary. Only when fixing these infractions wouldn't significantly alter the outcome are they allowed. In the case of iLUC, conceptual models show that changing some of these simulation model assumptions might significantly alter the findings [7], [8].

For instance, land-use change may be influenced by multiyear cycles of shifting agriculture, including low-profit and GHG-intensive slash-and-burn methods, around the edges of rainforests. Additionally, the urge to claim functionally ungoverned area may contribute to fresh deforestation. Increased commodity prices would perhaps provide farmers in these regions incentives to maintain already-cleared land more sustainably and intensively as opposed to abandoning it to remove secondary or primary forest.

Sadly, it's possible that there aren't enough data available right now to enable iLUC simulations that would take such potentially important processes into consideration. More investigation is required to gather such data, including higher resolution data on global land use and land cover, as well as surveys of land managers to better understand the driving forces behind management choices. More effort is required to integrate existing but challenging-to-contrast data sets, such as those with high spatial but poor temporal resolution and vice versa.

DISCUSSION

Emerging causal analytic methods from epidemiology offer promise for the task of figuring out if bioenergy significantly influences deforestation and other land-use change via the market. Regarding whether possible iLUC impacts need to be taken into account while developing policies, researchers are divided. Certain academics contend that failing to take into account iLUC impacts would pose an unacceptable risk since certain models forecast significant GHG emissions from iLUC. Other researchers contend that the level of uncertainty around current iLUC estimates is too high for policymakers to take into account because of the wide range of estimates from existing models as well as the lack of empirical support for such models. Additionally, some researchers contend that it is inappropriate to take into account the indirect land-use change (iLUC) effects of bioenergy systems in policymaking because the analogous effects of fossil fuel exploration, extraction, and use on iLUC are poorly understood and are not taken into account in calculations of the environmental and socioeconomic effects of fossil fuels.

Finally, there is philosophical disagreement on how to allocate "blame" (such as carbon penalties) among many causal elements that contributed to a certain result. For instance, if a biofuel system had prevented some indirect deforestation, the same might be said about the people or organizations responsible for burning or chopping down the forest. All organic materials that are produced by plants or that are derived from them and are renewable or reoccurring are categorized as biomass resources. Plant biomass is a complex combination of organic substances that includes lipids, proteins, and minerals as well as carbohydrates (about 75% dry weight) and lignin (around 25% dry weight), with the proportions variable depending on the kind of plant. A limited amount of carbohydrates are found in the form of starches and simple sugars, but the majority of the carbohydrates are in the form of cellulose or hemicellulose fibers, which strengthen the structural components of plants. The adhesive that keeps the fibers together is lignin. Thus, the lignocellulosic components of plants are the stems, stalks, branches, and leaves. These parts of plants are consumed by foraging animals for food, processed mechanically for bioproducts (like wood used in buildings or furniture), or processed thermally or biochemically in a variety of ways to produce heat, electricity, chemicals, and biofuels. The biomass resource base that may be used for creating different forms of bioenergy is made up of both the core lignocellulosic resources (trees, grasses, and food crop stalks) and all by-products of processing (from pulping black liquor to sawdust to food waste and manure). Some procedures for the generation of liquid biofuels need the separation of lignin from cellulose and hemicellulose in order to access the carbohydrates that can be converted to sugars.

In the future, the main source of liquid biofuels and chemicals is expected to be the reduction of lignocellulosic materials to sugars and other molecules. Starches from wheat, other grains, and corn (maize) grains; sugars extracted from sugarcane stalks; and oils obtained from soybeans and other oilseed crops are a few examples of biomass resources that are now utilized for liquid biofuels. It is intended that all biomass resources used to make bioenergy and biofuels would be grown and harvested sustainably. In contrast to an earlier review, a new examination of biomass resources (US Department of Energy, 2011) incorporates a more thorough treatment and modeling of resource sustainability. The baseline and high yield scenarios are both evaluated in the 2011 update. In terms of the volume of the resource potential, which was once anticipated to be more than one billion dry tons annually in the 2005 research, the conclusions of this update are generally similar with those of that study. According to the baseline scenario from 2011, the quantity of forest resources will range from 33 to 119 million dry tons now to 35 to 129 million dry tons in 2030, with a price

range of \$20 to \$80 per dry ton. The main source of forest resources is primary forest biomass, which is produced through logging, thinning, and clearing land. The supply of agricultural resources is noticeably greater and has been growing over time. This rise is brought on by crop residue availability, which is increased by yield increases (assumed to be roughly 1% each year) and assumptions of more land being managed with no-till or decreased cultivation. The deployment of energy crops, which are anticipated to be initially planted in 2014 and have yield increases of 1% year as a result of breeding, selection, and experience acquired, may also be related to the rise. The expected supply of biomass in 2012 ranges from 59 million dry tons at a farmgate price of \$40 or less to 162 million dry tons at a price of \$60 per dry ton.

This biomass's composition is roughly divided into two thirds crop residue and one third waste and residue from different agricultural processing operations. Quantities rise to 160 million dry tons at the lowest simulated price by 2030 and reach 664 million dry tons at the highest predicted price (\$60 per dry ton). Energy crops above \$50 per dry ton become the predominate resource after 2017. Except for the woody crops, no high-yield scenario was examined for forest resources. Forest residues originate from already-used timberlands, and there isn't a clear method to raise quantities other than by lowering the quantity of residues that need to be kept on site for environmental sustainability or by lowering the standards for merchantable use, neither of which was taken into account. By 2018, there will be 100 million dry tons of forest trash.

The high-yield agricultural scenario envisions a higher percentage of corn in no-till and reduced-till farming, as well as higher corn yields (averaging 2% each year) to about twice the present pace of yearly rise. These variables all result in higher residue levels. At a farmgate price of \$60 per dry ton, agricultural residues and wastes will grow from their present amount of 244 million dry tons to 404 million dry tons by the year 2030. The high-yield scenario raised the baseline annual rate of agricultural productivity growth for energy crops from 1% to 2%, 3%, and 4% yearly. The greatest possible source of biomass feedstock is energy crops, with prospective energy crop supply ranging greatly based on productivity assumptions. Energy crop potential by 2030 is 540 million dry tons at a 2% annual growth rate and 658 million dry tons assuming a 3% annual productivity gain. These two projections make use of a farmgate price of \$60 per dry ton. The potential of the energy crop is increased to around 800 million dry tons by raising yield growth to 4%. In the high-yield case, energycrops take on a substantial role, contributing more than half of the potential biomass. Depending on the assumption made regarding energy crop productivity (2% to 4% yearly growth over present yields), prospective supply at a forest roadside or farmgate price of \$60 per dry ton vary from 855 to 1009 million dry tons by 2018 and from around 1046 to 1305 million dry tons by 2030. Resources that are actively in use, such as maize grain and leftovers from the forest products business, are not included in this estimation. The entire biomass estimate increases to well over one billion dry tons when existing resources are taken into account, and to over 1.6 billion dry tons with more optimistic predictions regarding the yield of energy crops. The Data Book table headed "Summary of Currently Used and Potential Biomass" summarizes the aforementioned findings as well as estimates of presently used resources. The updated Renewable Fuels Standard (RFS), which requires the usage of 36 billion gallons of renewable fuels annually (BGY), with 20 billion gallons coming from cellulosic biofuels, is one significant year emphasized in this chart.

The feedstock shown in the baseline scenario takes into consideration traditional biofuels (corn grain, ethanol, and biodiesel) and displays a potential resource of 602 million dry tons of lignocellulosic biomass. This prospective resource has more than enough feedstock to

make the 20 billion gallons of cellulosic biofuels that are needed. The high-yield scenario shows potential that significantly outstrips the RFS requirement. For more than 30 years, law has made mention of biomass. Biomass definitions have changed over time, mostly after 2004. A new Congressional Research Service paper offers a thorough analysis of fourteen biomass definitions that may be found in recently passed legislation. Also examined are seven definitions in proposed law. The definitions' parallels and differences are addressed, along with the implications for developing biomass feedstocks of those distinctions. The report was used to derive definitions from the two most current pieces of legislation. One significant distinction relates to the inclusion or exclusion of biomass taken from federally owned property. For a better understanding of the ramifications of the multiple biomass definitions included in law, it is strongly advised that the whole study be viewed.

In order to create ethyl or methyl ester, organically generated oils are mixed with alcohol (ethanol or methanol) in the presence of a catalyst. This technique is used to make biodiesel. The ethyl or methyl esters generated from biomass may be used plain (100 percent biodiesel) or combined with regular diesel fuel. Any kind of vegetable oil, animal fats, used vegetable oil, and microalgae oils may be used to produce biodiesel. The two most popular vegetable oils used today are canola (rapeseed) and soybean oils.

Bio-oil

Biomass may be transformed into a fuel comparable to diesel known as bio-oil using a completely different method than that used to produce biodiesel. When compact solid fuels are heated for less than two seconds at temperatures between 350 and 500 degrees Celsius, a process known as rapid or flash pyrolysis takes place. Although there are other rapid pyrolysis technologies under development, as of 2009 there were just two fast pyrolysis products available for purchase. The bio-oils that are now generated may be used in boilers to generate power. Research and development are now being done to create bioOil that is high enough grade for use in transportation applications.

Additional Hydrocarbon Biofuels

Syngas, also known as biosyngas, is a synthesis gas that is created when biomass is gasified and consists largely of hydrogen and carbon monoxide. Today's syngas is used directly to create heat and electricity, but it may also be converted into a variety of biofuels. This syngas contains hydrogen that may be recovered or catalytically transformed to methanol or ethanol. The gas may also be sent through a biological reactor to make ethanol, or it can be turned into Fischer-Tropsch diesel, a liquid stream with characteristics like those of diesel fuel, using a Fischer-Tropsch catalyst. However, a similar procedure may also be used to manufacture all of these fuels from natural gas.

CONCLUSION

The information put out emphasizes how crucial it is to use sustainable practices across the whole production cycle of bioenergy, from feedstock selection through conversion methods and distribution.

In order to cut greenhouse gas emissions and encourage sustainable rural development, bioenergy provides a sustainable and eco-friendly option. landscape, policymakers, energy professionals, researchers, and environmental campaigners must work together. The advancement of bioenergy as a significant actor in the global energy transition depends on more study into sustainable feedstock production, environmental impact evaluations, and the social justice component. Within the area of renewable energy options, sustainability in

bioenergy is a vibrant and developing sector that offers potential avenues for tackling the intricate problems of global climate change, energy security, and social development.

REFERENCES:

- [1] H. Rimppi, V. Uusitalo, S. Väisänen, en R. Soukka, “Sustainability criteria and indicators of bioenergy systems from steering, research and Finnish bioenergy business operators’ perspectives”, *Ecol. Indic.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.02.005.
- [2] E. S. Parish, V. H. Dale, B. C. English, S. W. Jackson, en D. D. Tyler, “Assessing multimetric aspects of sustainability: Application to a bioenergy crop production system in East Tennessee”, *Ecosphere*, 2016, doi: 10.1002/ecs2.1206.
- [3] C. M. Sastre *et al.*, “Improving bioenergy sustainability evaluations by using soil nitrogen balance coupled with life cycle assessment: A case study for electricity generated from rye biomass”, *Appl. Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.07.022.
- [4] L. Melece, A. Krievina, en I. Spoge, “Sustainability aspects of bioenergy production: Case of Latvia”, 2016. doi: 10.5593/SGEM2016/B53/S21.073.
- [5] A. Pehlken, K. Madena, C. Aden, en T. Klenke, “Forming stakeholder alliances to unlock alternative and unused biomass potentials in bioenergy regions”, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.052.
- [6] A. Vaidya en A. L. Mayer, “Use of a participatory approach to develop a regional assessment tool for bioenergy production”, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2016.08.001.
- [7] V. Schueler, S. Fuss, J. C. Steckel, U. Weddige, en T. Beringer, “Productivity ranges of sustainable biomass potentials from non-agricultural land”, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2016, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/7/074026.
- [8] Maurizio Lana, “Handbook of bioenergy: bioenergy supply chain--models and applications.”, *Choice Rev. Online*, 2016, doi: 10.5860/choice.194920.

CHAPTER 3

DETERMINATION OF ETHANOL AS BIOENERGY: A REVIEW STUDY

Shri Bhagwan, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- Shribhagwanme@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A key actor in the worldwide shift to sustainable energy alternatives is ethanol, a biofuel made from renewable biomass sources including maize, sugarcane, and cellulose. This essay offers a thorough investigation of ethanol as a bioenergy source, highlighting its importance, production methods, environmental effects, and possible role in climate change mitigation. The paper delves into the complex facets that highlight the significance of ethanol as a sustainable and low-carbon energy option by looking at the numerous variables that affect its position in the renewable energy landscape, including feedstock availability, technological advancements, and policy frameworks. It emphasizes the crucial role of ethanol in decreasing greenhouse gas emissions, boosting energy security, and building a more sustainable energy future by drawing on bioenergy, sustainability, and environmental stewardship concepts. As a bioenergy source, ethanol has the potential to replace fossil fuels with a clean, renewable alternative that will help to fight climate change and diversify energy supplies.

KEYWORDS:

Bioenergy, Ethanol Production, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Renewable Energy, Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

Fermentation ethanol and synthetic ethanol are the two forms of ethanol produced in the US. Since it accounts for more than 90% of global ethanol production, fermentation ethanol (also known as bioethanol) is by far the most widely produced form of ethanol. It is made from maize or other biomass feedstocks. Most fermentation ethanol is generated for use as fuel, while a minor amount is also utilized by the industrial and beverage industries. Ethylene, a petroleum by-product, is used to make synthetic ethanol, which is mostly employed in industrial settings. Only a limited percentage of synthetic ethanol is sent overseas. The most common biofuel used now is ethanol. In order to satisfy the United States' biofuel standards and lower air pollution, more than 7.3 billion gallons of gasoline-equivalent were added to gasoline in 2009[1], [2].

Currently, ethanol is made by converting starch crops into sugars, which are then fermented into ethanol, and distilling the ethanol to create its ultimate form. This process is similar to that used to make beer. Gasoline's octane is raised, and its emissions quality is enhanced, using ethanol. Currently, ethanol is utilized in many parts of the United States as an E10 mix (10% ethanol and 90% gasoline), while it may also be used in greater percentages, such as E85, or in its pure form, E100. E10 is approved for use in gasoline engines by all automakers doing business in the US, but only flex fuel vehicles (FFVs) are built to utilize E85. In October 2010, the Environmental Protection Agency approved a partial waiver, subject to a number of requirements, allowing E15 to be marketed in the United States. Although pure ethanol, or E100, is utilized in Brazil, it is presently incompatible with automobiles designed for the American market. In vehicle owner's manuals, under the headings "refueling" or "gasoline," are references to the manufacturer's acceptance of ethanol mixes[3], [4].

By initially employing pretreatment and hydrolysis methods to extract sugars from cellulosic biomass sources (such as agricultural leftovers, trees, and grasses), the sugars are then fermented to produce bioethanol. Although it is presently more expensive to produce bioethanol from cellulosic biomass than from starch crops, the U.S. Government has started a Biofuels Initiative with the aim of rapidly lowering the cost of cellulosic bioethanol. The efficiency and economics of the cellulosic bioethanol manufacturing process are being improved by researchers. When commercially accessible, cellulosic bioethanol will be utilized in the same way as bioethanol now produced from maize grain. The complex polysaccharides in the raw feedstock undergo hydrolysis, a chemical step that changes them into simple sugars. Acids and enzymes are utilized in the biomass-to-bioethanol conversion process to catalyze this reaction. Several chemical processes known as fermentation turn carbohydrates into ethanol. Bacteria or yeast that feed on the carbohydrates trigger the fermentation process. As the sugar is eaten, ethanol and carbon dioxide are created.

Describe the process. The fundamental procedures for turning crops into sugar and starch are widely employed in commerce today. There are certain exceptions to the rule that these plants are more valuable as food sources than as fuel sources. For instance, Brazil produces fuel for its transportation requirements from its enormous sugar cane harvests. The starch found in the kernels of feed corn, America's biggest agricultural commodity, is the primary source of the country's modern fuel ethanol sector [5], [6].

1. To facilitate handling and boost the effectiveness of the ethanol manufacturing process, biomass undergoes a size-reduction stage. For instance, wood is chipped and agricultural leftovers are ground to produce particles of a consistent size.

2. The hemicellulose portion of the biomass is converted into simple sugars in this stage. When the biomass feedstock is combined with diluted sulfuric acid, a chemical process known as hydrolysis takes place. The intricate sugar chains that make up the hemicellulose are disassembled during this hydrolysis event, yielding simple sugars. A mixture of soluble five-carbon sugars, xylose and arabinose, and soluble six-carbon sugars, mannose and galactose, are produced from the complex hemicellulose carbohydrates. In this process, a little part of the cellulose is also changed into glucose.

3. In this stage, the cellulase enzymes that hydrolyze the cellulose portion of the biomass are grown. An alternative is to buy the enzymes from a commercial enzyme supplier.

(i) Botanical details (such as species and origin, synonyms, common name, and normal usage); (ii) ecological contexts (such as temperature, mean annual precipitation, soil qualities); and (iii) cropping and yields (such as stem density, biomass yield, and bio-oil yield) are all included. The publication's original sources for the data were used to extract the information. As a result, our dataset is an accurate representation of the original data without any translation to a single system (such as the FAO soil classification). The comparability of pH readings is impacted by the fact that extracted soil pH values were often published without additional explanation of the solutions employed (such as H₂O, KCl, or CaCl₂) or salt content (Edmeades and Wheeler 1990; Gavrioloaiei 2012). This review can only give approximations of information on the soil pH values permitted as a result of the lesser precision of the pH values and ranges provided. Based on single tree productivity (such as dry biomass, fruit yield, and oil content) and stand density per unit area, yield statistics in mass or volume per unit area were employed as given in surveys or estimates. In order to calculate energy yields (GJ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), the following conversion factors were used.

The plants described here that can survive droughts and acidic soils are well recognized and often utilized in tropical nations to provide the raw materials for bioenergy. However,

programs that want to create bioenergy need statistics on yield and silviculture. The data supplied here may be used to evaluate the viability of various agricultural systems and bioenergy initiatives from an economic standpoint. For tropical tree species suited to persistently wet and often flood conditions, silvicultural and yield data are limited, yet such data are needed to design workable bioenergy methods for wetlands (like bogs). This knowledge gap may be explained by two factors: (i) a lack of interest in the majority of these tree species, with the exception of palm trees that produce sugar and starch. Non-food crops should be grown on less productive terrain, such as eroded soil, to prevent conflict between the production of food and the raw materials for bioenergy [7], [8].

DISCUSSION

Plantations are the most straightforward method of restoring degraded land. The use of fertilizer, which might result in the release of N₂O, may be necessary to optimize the first plant growth on eroded ground for the production of biomass. Combining non-leguminous and leguminous crops, such as *Elaeisoleifera* (Kunth) Cortés and *Pongamiapinnata*, is a less-proven but promising technique to minimize the quantity of N fertilizer used. In order to prepare the site for rehabilitation, it may be necessary to plant species that can control weed growth, fix nitrogen, and increase soil organic matter. Fast-growing, nitrogen-fixing tree species, such as *Calliandrachalothyrsus* (Meisn.), *Gliricidiasepium*, and *Zapotecatetragona* (Willd.), are ideal for site preparation. Invasive competition is a danger when cultivating non-native tree species. Therefore, importing species native to America and Africa to Southeast Asia, such as *Croton megalocarpus* (Hutch) and *Spondias mombin*, might have a detrimental effect on biodiversity and environmental services. Although there is a lack of information on appropriate trees for peat swamp rehabilitation activities, it is necessary to choose species that can tolerate wet soils and are adapted to the natural conditions of peat swamp forests (e.g., *Dyerapolyphylla*). The palm oil tree, *Elaeis guineensis*, produces 3 Mg to 6 Mg of bio-oil per hectare per year which is comparable to an energy production of 90 GJ to 194 GJ per hectare. In this research, bioenergy outputs are compared to those of palm oil plants [9], [10].

Palaquium ridleyi and *Sandoricum koetjape* (Burm.f.) are two species that have the ability to manufacture raw materials while still producing the same amount of energy. *Dyerapolyphylla*, *Metroxylon sagu*, and *Pongamiapinnata* are a few examples of plants for which extremely high yields have been documented. These yields may be far higher than those that are feasible on degraded land. *Elaeisoleifera* has the lowest energy output estimate of any species, while other species with an estimated energy output of 90 GJ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ may not be suitable for bioenergy production in tropical areas.

According to landscapes provide significant environmental products and services to humanity, including sources of revenue and items for consumption including food, fodder, fuelwood, lumber, and water. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005), and Babigumira et al. (2014), human land-use patterns, notably the increase of agriculture, also cause land degradation and a decline in these important environmental services. When attempting to eradicate hunger and poverty, protect biodiversity, and prepare for climate change, this offers a significant difficulty. How to maintain the welfare of our growing population, which is expected to reach close to ten billion by 2050, without exhausting the resource base and harming ecosystems is a significant concern given the limited quantity of productive land available. In this context, restoring degraded lands offers a chance to broaden the pool of resources available for the production of food and other goods sustainably while addressing present and foreseeable global concerns. The Bonn Challenge, the New York Declaration on Forests, and the SDG target on land degradation neutrality are just a few recent initiatives that have focused global land restoration efforts (i.e., 2,500 million hectares)

and aim to prevent overlap in targeted areas through effective coordination. Tropical nations like Indonesia experience more severe land degradation. Indonesian landscapes are under a lot of strain due to a rising population and fast economic growth. The Government of Indonesia (GoI) has taken corrective action through policies on forest fire prevention and management, a moratorium on issuing new licenses on primary forest and peatlands, and sustainable forest management certificates in recent years, which has allowed it to make significant progress in addressing issues related to deforestation and forest degradation.

It is possible to stop the loss of biodiversity and improve the provision of ecosystem services by restoring degraded land through afforestation, reforestation, agroforestation, natural regeneration, and climate-smart agriculture (The uniqueness of each landscape must be taken into account while undertaking restoration efforts, which should also take into account the socioeconomic and ecological pressures the landscape is subject to). Successful land restoration depends on the selection of the right species and their suitability for the landscape, as well as the rehabilitation of biodiversity and the ecosystem, in order to meet the needs of the local population. The production of food and energy must coexist with biodiversity for a landscape to be sustainable. According to research, degraded or marginal areas that would normally be expensive to recover might be planted with perennial bioenergy crops. As Respondents to our focus group discussion (FGD) said that agricultural production, cattle raising, and remittances from family members who work in cities make up the majority of local household revenues. Small-scale farmers in the region mostly engaged in subsistence farming using rainfed techniques from November to March. Two main land-use systems were being used in the research region, according to our FGD and field observations: monocultures of rice, maize, and peanuts, and agroforestry (intercropping rice, maize, and peanuts with nyamplung for seed production). There were fifteen farmers engaged in agroforestry based on nyamplung. On government-controlled property, food crops were also cultivated via the government's "forest estate lease" program for farmers.

In nyamplung agroforestry zones, some farmers were also engaged in beekeeping for the purpose of producing honey. The location was chosen in order to gather the information needed for the study. It was crucial for the farmers in the degraded regions to grow nyamplung alongside a range of other crops (such as monocultures of rice, maize, and peanuts). This kind of culture made it possible to precisely assess their potential. Poverty and low earnings pose a danger to the sustainability of livelihoods in the study area, as they do in many other Indonesian districts with degraded land. Additionally, the legal limitations on the extraction of particular goods (such as lumber) from unmanaged forests provide smallholder farmers an economic incentive to integrate their agricultural operations. The research area's features as a whole are typical of many agricultural landscapes in Indonesia and other tropical Asia.

Field observations and a focus group discussion (FGD) were utilized to gather primary data on the different local agricultural systems discussed in this research. Twenty local farmers participated in the FGD session, 10 of whom were engaged in monocultures and 10 of whom were engaged in nyamplung-based agroforestry. Farmers in the FGD were specifically chosen based on their knowledge of local farming systems (i.e., the variety of crops grown in the area, cultivation seasons, cultivation methods, production input and output costs, market values, socioeconomic and environmental potential of cultivation, and motivation of farmer), as well as the socioeconomic and geographic conditions of the village and its surroundings. To help the session go forward, a series of important FGD questions was created. Participants in the FGD received a thorough explanation of the questions so they could comprehend each topic discussed. Immediately after the session, a report was created to compile the

participants' responses and viewpoints, as well as to evaluate their validity. Finally, the participants validated the material that had been summarized.

Two agricultural sites were chosen for the field observations based on the data from the FGD. Several photographs of the local agricultural systems, such as nyamplung-based agroforestry and monocultures, were shot during the observations, and pertinent farming data was recorded. To verify and assess the validity of the primary data collected from the study area, as well as to provide background knowledge and qualitative inputs for the study, secondary data were gathered from the Southeast Asian regional office of ICRAF and the headquarters of CIFOR, both of which are located in Bogor, West Java. Based on information gathered from the FGD and field observations, a qualitative study of the social and environmental potential of agroforestry systems was carried out using a story analysis approach. The total economic performance of local agricultural systems was evaluated using quantitative analysis, specifically net present value (NPV), over a 35-year and 6-year time span using a 10% discount rate. A sensitivity analysis was also carried out on the variance in understory crop yields where nyamplung was intercropped since the production of understory crops may be impacted by the combinations of different species.

1. Farmers in Wonogiri grow rice and peanuts despite their poor financial returns because of their importance for food security and survival. Rice is regarded as a basic diet, and cattle are raised on peanut leaves as fodder. Both a staple meal and a source of feed for animals, maize is employed.
2. Farmers said during the FGD that growing their own rice and peanuts was more cost-effective than buying them in local marketplaces.
3. Our analysis indicates that growing nyamplung with rice and peanuts might be financially preferable (NPVs of IDR 66.2 million and IDR 62.6 million, respectively), while intercropping with maize could result in additional profits (NPV of IDR 90.1 million). This is because nyamplung seeds could make up for losses from rice and peanut cultivation.

The largest earnings (NPV of IDR 941.7 million) may be made from Nyamplung cultivated in conjunction with honey production. This agroforestry system might still provide a positive NPV and be economically viable even with losses of up to 60% as a consequence of crop failure brought on by pests and diseases, climate change, etc. Researcher used similar agricultural modeling on mixtures of tree crops and seasonal crops, and their study locations in West Java and eastern Bangladesh demonstrated enhanced economic performance (NPV).

The economic return of each crop cultivated with nyamplung would differ throughout the course of the cycle. Rice and maize could only be planted for the first six years of the 35-year cycle because, after that, nyamplung canopy closure would inhibit the growth of such shade-intolerant plants. The production of peanuts would follow a similar pattern, and even in the best-case scenario, it could only go until year eight of the cycle. In agroforestry systems all around Indonesia, more shade-tolerant crop alternatives like ginger and turmeric have been extensively adopted. However, these crops are not often grown in Wonogiri owing to the poor soil conditions. Maize had the least amount of a loss when yields were intercropped with nyamplung. However, even if maize output were cut in half, over the same period of time, its NPV would only fall by 1.64%.

Nyamplung as a single crop and the production of honey from nyamplung (nyamplung plus honey) were both far more susceptible to yield fluctuations. The resultant earnings (NPVs) would decline by 85.0% and 54.5%, respectively, if the yields of nyamplung and nyamplung + honey were reduced by 60%. Contrary to understory crops, which could only be grown at

the beginning of the system when nyamplung trees are young, honey production would be viable from the sixth to the thirty-fifth year. As nyamplung trees develop and produce more nectar, the NPV of honey production is projected to rise, making this specific system of integration a particularly desired and advantageous investment choice for the farmers of Wonogiri. Any crop combination model with honey may have greater revenue possibilities since honey has a longer production life and higher income prospects than other commodities, as mentioned in the previous portion of this study. Because of this, farmers could cultivate more complex systems, such as "honey," or "maize + peanuts + nyamplung + honey," depending on their livelihood goals, even though our analysis of cultivation models was based on data gathered from the study area. Although the production of honey could increase Wonogiri's financial possibilities, there isn't much information available about honeybee management in Central Java, especially in terms of bee interactions with nyamplung trees. Furthermore, it is unclear how sensitive honeybees are to external pressures and shocks like climate change, which has already had a negative influence on insect pollinators in Europe and the Middle East (Carreck 2016). In spite of Indonesia's long history of producing honey, de Jong (2000) noted that regional variations in beekeeper practices were significant. For the development of improved beekeeping, further research is required.

Despite having seemingly negative (i.e., rice, peanuts) or slightly positive (i.e., maize) NPVs, rice, maize, and peanuts offer particular livelihood and food security values for farmers. When purchasing rather than growing these commodities, farmers may lack confidence and feel more susceptible to rising market prices. They may also be concerned about losing their cultural identity if they stop growing these particular traditional crops. These losses may be compensated for by increased revenue from nyamplung and related goods (such as honey), which would allow them to pay for food and other essentials. In a broader sense, farmers' choices to embrace nyamplung-based agroforestry systems may thus be based on both trade-offs between possibilities for lower and greater revenue as well as concerns for tradition. Our study not only showed that nyamplung-based systems are economically feasible but also that nyamplung cultivation fosters social cohesion by encouraging farmers to share their expertise in tree-planting. Farmers who engaged in nyamplung agriculture were prized in the community since it was seen as more prestigious than planting rice or maize in monocultures.

Nyamplung-based systems would also provide seasonal and regular wage workers with labor options, including harvesting, sorting, and transporting agricultural goods. As a result, these systems may encourage the development of rural farm-related jobs and knowledge. Agroforestry in Indonesia has the potential to play a crucial role in fostering social cohesion from a social and institutional standpoint, in addition to producing employment and serving as a status symbol and representation of cultural identity.

Field observations and information from FGD participants showed that nyamplung cultivation in our study area had already improved overall biodiversity and environmental quality, providing fresh air and habitat for birds, controlling soil erosion, and shielding crops from wind damage. Even on soils with poor fertility, nyamplung production in our research region performed well. Bioenergy crops may have low nutritional needs and maintenance requirements, making them appropriate for marginal soils. This finding confirms this theory. Baral and Lee (2016) proposed that producing bioenergy crops like nyamplung on degraded areas with care might prevent detrimental effects on food production and related land degradation. The requirement for green and sustainable energy in future civilizations might be met by bioenergy since fossil fuel-based energy is unsustainable and produces greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Due to its moderate fire tolerance and ability to shade out grasses that are prone to starting fires, nyamplung is also helpful as a firebreak. This unique species can

withstand typhoons as well. According to study the plant also helps stabilize soil and acts as a windbreak in coastal locations, which may prevent crop damage and erosion. As an attractive plant for landscapes, it could help promote ecotourism. As a result, a well-designed bioenergy production system might help accomplish a number of goals, including improving access to sustainable energy, reducing climate change, and creating rural jobs. Furthermore, the Government of Indonesia has significantly increased the importance of domestic biofuel production by setting biodiesel blending rates of 20% in 2016 and 30% in 2017 for public and private use through Minister of Energy and Mineral Resources. By using and regulating the use of degraded land for the production of biofuels without interfering with existing agricultural and forest land, these policies have created the possibility to save millions of acres.

CONCLUSION

In order to fully realize ethanol's potential as a low-carbon energy source, the evidence put forward emphasizes the need of ongoing research and innovation in ethanol production techniques, feedstock diversification, and regulatory assistance. In addition to other solutions, ethanol provides a sustainable and eco-friendly way to cut greenhouse gas emissions in the transportation industry. To fully use ethanol as a vital actor in the global fight to battle climate change and create sustainable energy systems, policymakers, energy professionals, researchers, and environmental campaigners must work together. The adoption of ethanol as a pillar of the renewable energy landscape is expected to proceed more quickly thanks to future developments in ethanol technology, sustainable feedstock supply, and international collaboration. Within the area of renewable energy alternatives, ethanol bioenergy is a dynamic and developing sector that offers a workable solution to the difficult problems of global climate change, energy security, and environmental sustainability.

REFERENCES:

- [1] X. Y. Zhang, "Developing bioenergy to tackle climate change: Bioenergy path and practice of Tianguan group", *Advances in Climate Change Research*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.accre.2016.06.001.
- [2] J. Cristóbal, C. T. Matos, J. P. Aurambout, S. Manfredi, en B. Kavalov, "Environmental sustainability assessment of bioeconomy value chains", *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2016.02.002.
- [3] A. F. Miranda *et al.*, "Aquatic plant Azolla as the universal feedstock for biofuel production", *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s13068-016-0628-5.
- [4] S. P. Souza, L. A. H. Nogueira, H. K. Watson, L. R. Lynd, M. Elmissiry, en L. A. B. Cortez, "Potential of sugarcane in modern energy development in Southern Africa", *Front. Energy Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2016.00039.
- [5] B. Sen, Y. P. Chou, S. Y. Wu, en C. M. Liu, "Pretreatment conditions of rice straw for simultaneous hydrogen and ethanol fermentation by mixed culture", *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.10.147.
- [6] L. M. Pitombo *et al.*, "Exploring soil microbial 16S rRNA sequence data to increase carbon yield and nitrogen efficiency of a bioenergy crop", *GCB Bioenergy*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/gcbb.12284.
- [7] K. S. Liu en K. A. Rosentrater, *Distillers grains: Production, properties, and utilization*. 2016.

- [8] J. Dumortier, “Impact of agronomic uncertainty in biomass production and endogenous commodity prices on cellulosic biofuel feedstock composition”, *GCB Bioenergy*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/gcbb.12238.
- [9] M. T. VanWeelden, B. E. Wilson, J. M. Beuzelin, T. E. Reagan, en M. O. Way, “Impact of nitrogen fertilization on Mexican rice borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) injury and yield in bioenergy sorghum”, *Crop Prot.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2016.02.005.
- [10] P. Kandylis *et al.*, “Biomass and Bioenergy Acidogenesis of cellulosic hydrolysates for new generation biofuels Lignocellulosic biomass delignification Acidification Ethanol formation Esterification Continuous”, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 2016.

CHAPTER 4

BIOFUEL PRODUCTION CHAINS BIOMASS FEEDSTOCK AND CONVERSION TECHNOLOGIES

Arun Gupta, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- engg.arun12@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

As sustainable substitutes for fossil fuels, biomass-based biofuel production chains have gained popularity as solutions to problems with energy security and the environment. In this article, biofuel production chains are thoroughly examined, with an emphasis on their relevance, feedstock variety, conversion methods, and environmental effects. The paper delves into the intricate facets that highlight the significance of biofuels in achieving a more sustainable and low-carbon energy future through an examination of the complex factors that shape biofuel production, including feedstock selection, technological advancements, and policy frameworks. It emphasizes the crucial role of biofuel production chains in lowering greenhouse gas emissions, improving energy security, and driving a shift towards a more sustainable energy landscape by drawing on concepts of renewable energy, sustainability, and environmental stewardship. A crucial part of the renewable energy industry, the production of biofuels provides a variety of feedstocks and conversion methods to fulfill the world's energy needs while reducing climate change.

KEYWORDS:

Biofuel Production, Biomass Feedstocks, Conversion Technologies, Environmental Sustainability, Renewable Energy.

INTRODUCTION

Biofuel production chains outline the steps in the production process, from the growth of biomass through its technical conversion into biofuel. The kind of biomass feedstock and the energy carrier (fuel) generated may both be used to identify a biofuel production chain. For instance, jatropha might be used as a feedstock, and biodiesel could be the end product. Analyzing the biophysical, technological, and economic elements that are essential to this analytical framework is possible with this kind of integrated approach. The biofuel production chain method also offers a comprehensive picture of the biofuel production system, making it possible to identify any potential effects that the bioenergy production chains may have on food security. Additionally, local environments and local agricultural production systems are strongly related to biofuel production chains. For instance, small-holder farming production of jatropha in semi-arid parts of sub-Saharan Africa [1], [2].

Agriculture crops, agricultural and forest leftovers, agroforestry residues, and other organic waste sources are sources of biomass feedstock for energy generation. The following general categories may be used to put together these different biomass streams: NBCrops ideal for the generation of bioenergy are known as energy crops. These include food crops made from starchy plants like maize, sugar-based plants like sugar cane, and plants that produce oil seeds like soybean. This category also includes non-food crops planted only for the generation of bioenergy, such as grass and woody crops. This includes any potential woody biomass that may be made accessible via sustainable forest management. However, using woody biomass for energy purposes might put the industry for forest products like lumber, boards, pulp and paper, etc. in competition. Primary agricultural and forestry residues: These residues are organic waste products from agricultural and forestry harvesting operations. These often

comprise of lignocellulosic material that may be utilized to produce energy, such as tiny branches, leaves, and corn stover. Secondary residues from the processing industry: These residues are generated when food crops and timber are processed in an industrial setting. The many industrial processes generate a wide variety of wastes, each with unique properties. For instance, the sawmill and black liquor produced by the wood processing sector may be utilized as fuel for the creation of electricity. Remember that one of the many advantageous applications for food processing wastes, such as molasses or press cake, is the creation of energy. An extremely diversified stream of biomass that may be utilized for energy generation is made up of organic waste, such as organic municipal solid trash, demolition timber, and leftover cooking oils [3], [4].

These are all practical biomass sources for the creation of bioenergy. However, as the goal of this analysis is to determine how bioenergy production affects food security, special focus is given to energy crops, which may have the most influence on food security. The framework study might also look at other forms of biomass feedstock that provide a feasible option for producing bioenergy with little to no rivalry for agricultural inputs and food production. A complex matrix of alternatives depending on available feedstock sources, technology, and end-use applications makes up the biomass-to-energy process options. The sort of conversion route chosen will rely on the kinds, amounts, and quality of biomass feedstock that are available as well as the best and most economically effective kind of biomass to energy processing technology that is regionally accessible. As a result, one might approach the development of bioenergy by first taking into account the feedstock that is now accessible and then thinking about the technical choices for its conversion. As an alternative, one might decide on the technology and feedstock possibilities available to generate the selected energy carrier first, i.e. depending on the demands of the energy market.

Thermo-chemical, physical-chemical, and bio-chemical processes may be used to categorize the three primary technological conversion pathways for turning biomass into biofuel. The conversion of biomass to an energy carrier via thermochemical processes is dependent on the application of heat energy. The most widely used thermo-chemical processes include carbonization, gasification, pyrolysis, and/or combustion. Physical-chemical processes, such as those used to produce crude vegetable oil and biodiesel from oilseed crops or from leftover cooking oil and animal fat, are examples of physical-chemical technologies. Utilizing microorganisms or enzymes to mediate the conversion of biomass or organic waste materials into ethanol or biogas, respectively, biochemical conversions are based on biological processes [5], [6].

Food security (FS) is a multidimensional topic with several factors. Additionally, a variety of feedstocks cultivated in various conditions may be used to make bioenergy, which can then be produced via various conversion methods. Therefore, no brief note can cover every potential way that bioenergy (BE) output can impact food security. The emphasis of this note will be on how changes in market-based incomes and food prices brought about by BE production impact FS. These are probably the impacts that are quantitatively the most significant in many situations. Without a doubt, though, BE production may have an impact on FS that is independent of income and price levels. For instance, small-scale BE production in rural regions would enable some families to quit using fuelwood for indoor cooking, so enhancing their health and their bodies' capacity to utilise the nutrients in the food they presently consume more effectively. Therefore, BE usage could provide FS improvements even in the absence of modifications to eating habits. Despite the fact that they may be crucial in certain situations, these impacts will be disregarded for the remainder of this paragraph.

Regarding wages and prices, it is clear that money has a significant role in determining the level of food security for the underprivileged. A particular family or person may buy more food, both in terms of quantity and quality, the more money they have. Food costs are also significant factors, although they have more nuanced implications on food security than other factors. The wellbeing of the impoverished is critically dependent on food costs. It is crucial to make a distinction between net food producers and net food consumers in order to comprehend the significance of food prices for food security. When total food sales to the market surpass total food purchases, a person is said to be a net food producer, while this is not the case for net food consumers. Making this difference between different goods and food in general is also beneficial [6], [7].

DISCUSSION

These ideas are quite different from rural and urban in this regard. While the majority of urban residents are net food consumers, not all rural residents are. Very small farmers and agricultural workers are often net consumers of food because they lack the acreage necessary to adequately feed their families.²⁹ The lowest of the impoverished often reside in these landless rural homes. From one nation to the next, the significance of the rural landless varies substantially. The population of rural areas in various nations, like Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, and many others, is disproportionately made up of people who lack access to land. Others, like Thailand, which has an abundance of land, place far less emphasis on them.

In general, with the exception mentioned in footnote 1, increasing food costs may significantly harm net consumers of food. One must be aware of the fact that a significant portion of the poor's expenses go toward food in order to fully comprehend this impact. In many nations, the poorest quarter of the population might spend as much as 70 to 80 percent of their income on food. In these situations, even though rises in food prices do not directly influence nominal income, they may nonetheless have significant effects on effective buying power. For instance, study showed that women in low-income households reacted by lowering their caloric intake in order to better feed their children when rice prices rose in Indonesia in the late 1990s, which resulted in an increase in maternal wasting. Additionally, in order to afford the more costly rice, purchases of items that were more nutrient-dense were decreased. As a result, young children's blood hemoglobin levels (and those of their mothers) showed a discernible fall, raising the risk of developmental harm. Bangladesh has also shown a link between rice prices and nutritional status that is unfavorable.

On the other hand, farmers that produce a net amount of food will probably profit from rising pricing, which, all else being equal, will likely result in an increase in their revenue. Since many farmers are indigent, higher prices may contribute to reducing poverty and enhancing food security. The fact that farmers with larger amounts of excess produce to sell would profit more from high prices than those with smaller amounts of surplus to sell must also be kept in mind. Furthermore, farmers with more land often have better financial circumstances than farmers with less land, so it's possible that poorer farmers won't gain as much from rising food prices. Although these are helpful first estimates, the actual implications of increasing food costs on FS may be more complicated. First, there may be second-round multiplier effects as a result of farmers' increased profits brought on by higher food prices, which in turn stimulates demand for other products and services, many of which are probably produced locally. However, it is important to keep in mind that these new multiplier effects will outweigh the previous ones produced by the poor's spending habits, as they will have less money to spend on non-food items as their food costs rise if farmers' additional income is simply a transfer from the rural landless and urban poor. The key takeaway is that a shift in relative pricing brought on by either government policy or adjustments to the external market

environment does not result in a net multiplier effect. It is also true that whether a particular family is a net food producer or consumes more food than it produces has multiplier effects in the same way that new technologies that boost production, like new seed types, do. Only by carefully measuring the shift in income distribution and contrasting the spending habits of the beneficiaries and losers of the new set of relative prices will it be possible to determine if there will be net positive multiplier effects. While it is true that from the bottom to the top of the income distribution, there is a somewhat decreasing inclination to purchase local vs imported goods, net food consumers often make up the majority at both ends of the income spectrum. Therefore, it is unclear if net food producers have a larger inclination to buy domestic goods than net food consumers. In actuality, it would seem that rising food costs are unlikely to have significant net multiplier effects in any direction.

The demand for agricultural labor, which is one of the main sources of income for the poor will rise as food prices rise. Using data from the 1950s to the 1970s, Ravallion came to the conclusion that the typical landless poor household in Bangladesh is a net consumer of rice and loses from an increase in the price of rice in the short run (due to higher consumption expenditures), but gains slightly in the long run (after five years or more). This is due to the fact that over time, as wages adjust, the rise in family income—which is mostly driven by unskilled wage labor—is sufficient to outpace the increase in household spending on rice. However, this research employed comparatively ancient data from a time when rice farming was a more significant economic sector and hence had a more significant influence on labor markets. Rashid (2002) discovered that after the mid-1970s, rice prices in Bangladesh no longer significantly affect agricultural earnings as job possibilities got more diverse and agriculture became a smaller proportion of the economy, updating the data used by Ravallion. As a result, how much the agricultural labor market influences the entire unskilled labor market will determine how much induced pay rises will compensate farm employees for rising food costs.

The net impact of increased food prices and bioenergy demand on food security will vary depending on the situation. There will always be some people whose access to food improves, and there will always be other people whose access to food deteriorates. The precise net result will depend on the socioeconomic structure of society, the particular commodities whose prices have increased, and the relative position of the farmers who produce the commodities that have seen the price rises in the income distribution. Even for relatively slight price increases, the overall impact of increasing food costs on food security is likely to be detrimental. For instance, Senauer and Sur (2001) predicted that 440 million more people would be undernourished globally (195 million in sub-Saharan Africa and 158 million in South and East Asia) if food prices increase by 20% in 2025 compared to the baseline (for instance, as a result of an increase in bioenergy demand). But this is an international figure. distinct nations will have distinct circumstances, and various areas within countries will have different results. Even if the feedstock is a non-food crop, bioenergy production will almost always compete with resources utilized by food producers, which will tend to drive up food costs.

Even if the crop is produced on previously uncultivated land, BE production will still have a propensity to drive up food costs owing to the utilization of other resources. Despite several government interventions, changes in market supply and demand continue to have a significant impact on food market prices. Furthermore, the cost and accessibility of numerous inputs, including land, labor, water, and fertilizer, have a significant impact on the market supply curve for food. The supply curve for food production will not be impacted if BE production does not compete with food crop growers for these resources, which should help

to temper rises in food prices. For instance, there shouldn't be any effects on the marginal cost of food production if BE crops are produced on previously unutilized land with previously unemployed labor without the need of fertilizer and by using previously untapped water resources. These conditions may be accurate in some situations, but in many others, the production of BE will significantly compete with food production for these resources [5], [6].

To provide an example, even though *Jatropha* may thrive in unfavorable conditions, it produces more oil and, thus, more biodiesel when cultivated with more water. Therefore, if *Jatropha* biodiesel production competes for limited water resources that are already utilized by agriculture, it may still have a negative effect on food security even if it is produced on marginal, previously unused land without any fertilizer. *Jatropha* may be grown without irrigation water, but if so, it will be crucial to determine whether or not significant amounts of biodiesel can be produced in such an environment. In several other circumstances, BE production will face fierce competition for crucial agricultural inputs. The majority of the world's agricultural biofuels now originate from maize in the United States and sugar cane in Brazil, both of which need substantial inputs such as fertilizer, water, and premium agricultural land to develop. The cultivation of US maize and Brazilian sugar cane competes fiercely with the development of crops that are eaten by the poor for land, water, and fertilizer, even though these crops are not always important sources of calories for the poor.

A thorough analysis of the inputs utilized in BE production and how this usage of inputs impacts market supply curves for food production is required to determine the influence of BE production on food security. If bioenergy production is aimed at the poor, it will increase employment at both the agricultural and industry sectors, enhancing food security. It is crucial to keep in mind that other uses for the land and money required for BE production would have created employment as well, and this alternative employment has to be taken into account while evaluating.

At least in developing nations, a growth in BE production is anticipated to result in an increase in employment mostly as a result of the need for more labor to cultivate the feedstock on farms. Understanding the labor needs of the BE feedstock per unit of area-time (for example, per hectare per year) in comparison to the labor requirements of other land uses is significant in this case. If the site was idle before, growing BE feedstock will undoubtedly result in the creation of new jobs. However, if the BE feedstock requires less labor than the crops that were previously cultivated, BE production would, on the whole, reduce farm-level employment. Depending on the crop used as feedstock and the crops that were produced before it, the final result will differ.

Small-scale BE production, which is expected to be more labor- and capital-intensive than large-scale BE production, seems to be more likely to provide jobs for the poor when producing fuel from feedstock. In reality, the USA and Brazil's present bioethanol and biodiesel plants need substantial capital inputs, sometimes in the region of 100 to 200 million US dollars. Additionally, the labor engaged in these companies may favor people with moderate skill levels (who often have access to food).

The capacity of small-scale BE production to compete with large-scale BE production should be taken into account, even if it may be superior at generating jobs. Smaller facilities may not be as competitive in general, and any job growth would probably be temporary. However, competing with large-scale enterprises is probably not a big problem if BE manufacturing is utilized to improve access to energy in tiny towns with inadequate infrastructure. The employment generated at such modest processing facilities is probably going to improve local food security.

Bioenergy production in one country will have significant implications on food security in other nations as changes in food prices on international markets influence local markets as global commodities markets become increasingly linked. Infrastructure and domestic trade policy will have an impact. Even if the tiny developing nation in question does not produce BE itself, BE production may have an impact on food security there. The result is pretty straightforward: in many situations, higher prices on international commodity markets will spill over into commodity markets in developing nations owing to, for instance, greater demand for maize as an ethanol feedstock in the United States. A net food exporter will profit from rising food prices at the national level while a net food importer would suffer (the consequences may vary depending on which particular food prices rise). It is critical to understand that not all recent rises in commodity prices over the last several years can be attributed to the demand for biofuels. First, rising oil prices increase the cost of producing food (fertilizer and equipment), which raises food prices even in the absence of a demand for biofuels. Second, maize demand is significantly rising regardless of its usage as an ethanol feedstock. Consumption of animal products that heavily rely on maize as feed is shifting away from grains as consumers' incomes rise in China, India, and other quickly growing nations. An rise in the demand for meat results in a significant increase in the demand for grain since producing one calorie of meat takes many calories of grain [8], [9].

Third, changes in exchange rates, particularly the depreciation of the US dollar, are to blame for much of the rises in commodity prices. Because it is less expensive to purchase commodities in local currency terms when the US dollar is weak, nations whose currencies have risen (such the euro and West African currencies pegged to the euro) see an increase in commodity demand (at any given US dollar price). A weak US dollar also causes the supply curve to move inward since farmers in nations with stronger local currencies now earn less domestic currency units (again, at any given US dollar price) per unit produced. The change in supply and demand causes an increase in commodity prices (expressed in US dollars). History has also supported this idea. For instance, rising commodity prices in the mid- to late 1980s were a result of the weak US currency at the time.

However, some of the most recent price spikes on global markets might be attributed to the need for biofuels. The specific effects of rising food costs internationally on local prices will vary depending on the trade policies followed by the relevant nation. Higher international prices often translate into higher local costs in a nation that permits private imports subject simply to tariff protection. However, if high transit and transaction costs result in local prices that fall between import and export parity prices, this impact may be diminished or even eliminated. Even if a nation that allows a set import quota may not see a rise in local prices after an increase in international prices, the outcome relies on other criteria, such as whether the quota is legally binding.

CONCLUSION

The information put forward emphasizes the significance of ongoing study and innovation in feedstock diversification, governmental support, and biofuel production technologies to maximize their potential as low-carbon energy sources. In order to cut greenhouse gas emissions in the transportation industry and other energy-intensive businesses, biofuels provide a sustainable and ecologically appropriate option. In order to fully use the potential of biofuel production chains as a crucial element of the global effort to battle climate change and create sustainable energy systems, policymakers, energy professionals, researchers, and environmental campaigners must work together. The acceptance of biofuels as key components of the renewable energy landscape is expected to increase thanks to new developments in biofuel technology, sustainable feedstock supply, and international

collaboration. Within the sphere of renewable energy solutions, biofuel production chains constitute a dynamic and developing sector that provides a variety of avenues for addressing the difficult problems of global climate change, energy security, and environmental sustainability.

REFERENCES:

- [1] C. Cambero, T. Sowlati, en M. Pavel, “Economic and life cycle environmental optimization of forest-based biorefinery supply chains for bioenergy and biofuel production”, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.cherd.2015.10.040.
- [2] J. Zhong, T. E. Yu, J. A. Larson, B. C. English, J. S. Fu, en J. Calcagno, “Analysis of environmental and economic tradeoffs in switchgrass supply chains for biofuel production”, *Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2016.04.086.
- [3] F. Zhang, D. Johnson, M. Johnson, D. Watkins, R. Froese, en J. Wang, “Decision support system integrating GIS with simulation and optimisation for a biofuel supply chain”, *Renew. Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.041.
- [4] J. M. DeCicco, D. Y. Liu, J. Heo, R. Krishnan, A. Kurthen, en L. Wang, “Carbon balance effects of U.S. biofuel production and use”, *Clim. Change*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10584-016-1764-4.
- [5] R. Ganigué, P. Sánchez-Paredes, L. Bañeras, en J. Colprim, “Low fermentation pH is a trigger to alcohol production, but a killer to chain elongation”, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00702.
- [6] E. S. Parish, V. H. Dale, B. C. English, S. W. Jackson, en D. D. Tyler, “Assessing multimetric aspects of sustainability: Application to a bioenergy crop production system in East Tennessee”, *Ecosphere*, 2016, doi: 10.1002/ecs2.1206.
- [7] J. E. Santibañez-Aguilar, G. Guillen-Gosálbez, R. Morales-Rodríguez, L. Jiménez-Esteller, A. J. Castro-Montoya, en J. M. Ponce-Ortega, “Financial Risk Assessment and Optimal Planning of Biofuels Supply Chains under Uncertainty”, *Bioenergy Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s12155-016-9743-1.
- [8] B. H. Hong, B. S. How, en H. L. Lam, “Overview of sustainable biomass supply chain: from concept to modelling”, *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10098-016-1155-6.
- [9] L. Petridis en J. C. Smith, “Conformations of Low-Molecular-Weight Lignin Polymers in Water”, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, doi: 10.1002/cssc.201501350.

CHAPTER 5

IMPACT OF BIOENERGY ON FOOD SECURITY AND FOOD SOVEREIGNTY

Sunil Kumar, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- sunilgju123@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A key factor in the search for sustainable and renewable energy sources is the effect of bioenergy on food security and food sovereignty. The complicated linkages between the production of food and bioenergy, as well as the consequences for food security and food sovereignty, are thoroughly examined in this research. The need of establishing a balance between the increase of bioenergy and preserving the accessibility and affordability of food supplies is emphasized. The research goes into the numerous facets that highlight the significance of sustainable bioenergy techniques via an assessment of the many elements that affect this connection, including land use, feedstock competitiveness, and legislative frameworks. It emphasizes the crucial role of bioenergy in meeting energy demands while guaranteeing food security and sovereignty for disadvantaged communities by drawing on ideas of renewable energy, agricultural resilience, and social justice. Food security and sovereignty may be impacted by the increase of bioenergy production in both good and negative ways, thus it is crucial to have a comprehensive strategy that balances these concerns.

KEYWORDS:

Bioenergy, Food Security, Food Sovereignty, Land Use, Policy Coherence.

INTRODUCTION

Some environmental and humanitarian organizations are opposed to biofuel quotas because they believe that too enthusiastic biofuel production might result in significant deforestation and food shortages. The conversion of arable land for plants used to produce green fuel has resulted in an increase in agricultural prices that was hurting impoverished nations compelled to import their food at a higher cost, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food, Jean Ziegler, said in 2007. A terrible calamity for people who are hungry, using land for biofuels would lead to "massacres" (This is one of several claims made on the potential harm to food availability, food costs, and food security caused by the large-scale production of fuel from biomass. Lula da Silva, the president of Brazil, recently said: "I am offended when people point their fingers at clean biofuels - those fingers that are besmirched with coal and fossil fuels." Here are some statistics: just 2% of arable land is utilized globally for bioenergy development, while 30% of arable land which is essential for producing food crops—is left fallow. These figures alone show that land used to cultivate biofuel raw materials is not the primary contributor to world hunger[1], [2].

15 million acres of coffee and tea are cultivated worldwide, despite these crops not being proven to reduce hunger. Both the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) have released devastating analyses in recent months that blame biofuels mostly for the increases in crop prices in 2007 and 2008. Both groups contend that due to the disastrous impact biofuel production is having on food prices and rising global famine, governments should (now) reconsider their biofuel policies. These reports were released at a time when maize and wheat prices were less expensive than they were at the start of 2007. Wheat and maize prices peaked at

approximately €250/tonne in the beginning of 2008, and grain prices have now risen to the point where the European Commission is resuming its interventionist strategy. The blame for increasing commodity prices has been placed on biofuels, however supply and demand fluctuations in agricultural markets often cause price increases. Real (inflation-adjusted) international wheat prices were 15% higher in 1995 and 1996 than they were in 2007, according to historical statistics. Furthermore, the EU only started making bioethanol from wheat in earnest in 2003[3], [4].

DISCUSSION

So there must be a variety of variables at play, some of which are cyclical and others of which are structural, that have an impact on commodity prices. On the one hand, increased demand from developing nations, historically low levels of investment in agriculture and agricultural research that have slowed down productivity, rising biofuel output, and higher oil prices are among structural variables. The Sustainable Development Commission specifically claims that a rise in oil prices from US\$50 (€38) to US\$100 a barrel may result in a 13% increase in production costs in commodity prices for crops and a 3-5% increase in pricing for animal goods. Commodity prices are also affected by cyclical factors, such as unfavorable weather leading to poor harvests in major global production regions, restricted international commodity trade as a result of export restrictions imposed by different nations, and, it seems now above all, speculative investment in agricultural commodity markets. In conclusion, rising demand and slow productivity development caused the transition from a period of excess to one of scarcity and laid the groundwork for rising commodity prices. Stocks of several agricultural commodities were already low when weather and crop disease shocks struck the commodity markets in 2006 and 2007, which exacerbated the price consequences. The situation worsened, especially for rice, as a result of certain nations' policies isolating their own markets via export restrictions. The price of commodities has not been significantly impacted by rising biofuel output[5], [6].

The Association for Bioenergy in Germany has established a doable 2017 formula: 10% bioenergy in the electric power sector, 10% in the heating sector, and 12% for car fuel emissions. These objectives, along with many more for the future, may be met without interfering with crops for human consumption. According to a 2007 Swiss study, these alternative energy carriers can, along with other renewable energy sources, play an important role in our future energy supply if the biomass that is currently available is converted into energy in an effective and environmentally friendly manner while at the same time reducing consumption and increasing energy efficiency.

Only a quarter of Brazil's 340 million acres of fertile land is really being farmed. Brazil has a total land area of 850 million hectares; the Amazon rainforest and other ecologically fragile regions are not included in this computation. Brazil today generates enough ethanol to fuel almost 50% of its passenger cars because to sugar cane's very high productivity, which requires just 3.4 million hectares, or 1% of its arable land. This is an impressive accomplishment. In fact, as gasoline battles to maintain its market dominance, competitive ethanol prices are helping to keep costs in control. Research on biomass biofuel production, land availability, and food security in industrialized and emerging nations, as well as their effects on climatic, economic, and social restrictions, is being conducted by the International Research Centre for Renewable Energy (IFEED). The research takes into account concerns with biomass production, conversion, and vehicle technology in the short-, mid-, and long-term. The supply of biomass necessitates a thorough examination of the surrounding agro- and natural environments. Through plant breeding, gene- and biotechnologies, and optimizing management techniques of conventional and new crops, as well as new species including

algae and microorganisms, as well as improvement of conversion technologies and engine efficiencies, there are significant prospects for increasing and improving biomass productivity. The main findings suggest that, on a long-term time scale, the generation of up to 10% of the agricultural cultivated areas (in Brazil, the current land under sugar cane cultivation for the production of sugar and ethanol amounts to only 2%), would have favorable effects on farmer income, poverty alleviation in developing countries, the mitigation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and the environment, as well as on food security. Food production and energy supply and availability are tightly intertwined. One of the main causes of poor productivity, poverty, and food scarcity in rural parts of developing nations is the lack of energy in agricultural operations [7], [8].

Indonesia's need for bioenergy has greatly expanded as a result of population expansion, urbanization, and economic development with dwindling fossil fuel supplies unable to supply rising energy demands in the future. Bioenergy may provide a possible option to fulfill rising energy demand while also addressing interests in green energy and restoring damaged lands. The Indonesian government has a mission to boost the production of renewable energy, including bioenergy, with the goal of having 23% of the country's energy come from renewable sources.

Expanding bioenergy production, however, may put other land uses like food cultivation and biodiversity preservation in competition. Degraded land has been suggested as a viable target for bioenergy production in order to avoid this rivalry. An estimated 7.2 million hectares (ha) or more of Central Kalimantan Province's land is considered to be degraded (ICCC 2014). Land degradation is mostly caused by the conversion of forests for other land uses, such as agriculture and open pit mining. Degraded land, including degraded peatlands, have increased as a result of frequent forest fires, especially in recent years. Local farmers' managed agricultural land has been impacted by fires, which has decreased production and led to the abandonment of the majority of burnt land, including peatland, owing to its decreased fertility.

With 42% of homes in the province without access to power, Central Kalimantan is also experiencing an energy shortage. According to IRENA's 2017 report, traditional cooking methods use a fair amount of biomass. The federal government, via the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources (ESDM), in conjunction with district and provincial administrations, has started a bioenergy initiative called Bioenergi Lestari to improve community access to energy. In order to increase bioenergy output, the initiative entails planting bioenergy crops on roughly 62,500 hectares of abandoned land, including degraded land in Pulang Pisau and Katingan districts (Rony 2015). However, only a small number of research provide pertinent data on bioenergy crops that may be grown in Central Kalimantan on degraded areas. This research project intended to discover the most adaptable bioenergy crops appropriate for degraded soils and evaluate their performance in agroforestry and monoculture systems in order to close this knowledge gap.

The effectiveness of bioenergy crops in restoring peatlands required to be evaluated because huge regions, in particular peatlands, damaged by deforestation and forest degradation need a sustainable long-term solution for restoration related to energy security and generating renewable energy. This study aims to evaluate possible bioenergy crops' performance and their ability to restore burnt and damaged peatlands without jeopardizing food security. Increasing mobility and reducing the effect of transportation on the environment and the climate are top priorities for many governments and regions today. The globe is frantically looking for alternative energy sources as it struggles to fulfill its rising energy needs. By 2025, the demand for petroleum is expected to climb by 35% globally, according to

energy experts. The rising demand for energy throughout the globe will be satisfied by biofuels. The number of automobiles on the planet is expected to increase from 700 million now to over 2 billion by 2050, therefore attempts to discover solutions to supply this rapidly expanding demand while also reducing the sector's greenhouse gas emissions are escalating. Future plans for clean transportation are dependent on the availability of fuels, the right engine technology, and their effects on the environment and climate. Along with new engines, the switch from fossil fuels to alternative and renewable fuels is already underway and will proceed apace. Leading automakers have contributed to developing the essential technology and are still doing so. In Japan, the US, the EU, and other countries throughout the globe, advancements have been made in the adoption of regulatory frameworks, directories, improved engine efficiency, fuel production, and marketing techniques. Biomass-based liquid and gaseous transportation fuels boost national fuel market stability by reducing reliance on crude oil imports.

Most bioenergy systems emit much less greenhouse gases than do fossil fuel-based systems, and they may even be greenhouse gas neutral if effective techniques for producing biofuels are discovered. Commercially available technologies exist to make first-generation liquid and gaseous fuels such as biodiesel from vegetable oils and ethanol made from starch and sugar. However, the supply of feedstock restricts the substitution of fossil fuels. More readily available biomass, such as agricultural and forestry leftovers, is used in second-generation liquid transportation fuel. Although there are technologies for turning lignocellulosic biomass into liquid fuels, they have not yet been used on a wide scale.

Fuel ethanol output for automobiles for the transportation fuels industry reached 39 billion liters in 2006, an 18% increase over 2005. With notable rises also in Brazil, France, Germany, and Spain, the United States had the most growth in output. In 2006, the United States overtook Brazil as the world's top producer of gasoline ethanol by generating more than 18 billion liters. As hundreds of new manufacturing facilities went online, US output rose by 20%. Even still, the United States' inability to produce enough ethanol to meet demand in 2006 resulted in a sixfold rise in ethanol imports, which totaled around 2.3 billion liters.

As a replacement oxygenator for the chemical molecule methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), which more and more states have outlawed owing to environmental concerns, most gasoline supplied in the nation by 2007 was mixed with some amount of ethanol. In 2006, Brazil's ethanol output climbed to approximately 18 billion liters, or almost half the global amount. In Brazil, every gas station offers both pure ethanol and gasohol, a combination of 25% ethanol and 75% gasoline. Due to the recent introduction of 'flexible-fuel' vehicles by Brazilian manufacturers, the demand for ethanol fuels was much higher in 2007 than it was for gasoline. With an 85% share of all auto sales in Brazil, these vehicles, which can run on any mix, are well-liked by drivers. Brazil is now the world's top supplier of gasoline ethanol, and major international commerce in this product has recently arisen.

Australia, Canada, China, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, France, Germany, India, Jamaica, Malawi, Poland, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Thailand, and Zambia are more nations that produce gasoline ethanol. In 2006, the worldwide output of biodiesel increased by 50% to over 6 billion liters. Germany continues to produce half of the world's biodiesel. Both Italy and the United States saw significant gains in output (the latter seeing a more than threefold rise). Biodiesel expanded its market share and received greater acceptability in Europe thanks to new legislation. Southeast Asia (Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore, and China), Latin America (Argentina and Brazil), and Southeast Europe (Romania and Serbia) were all seeing aggressive growth in the production of biodiesel. Based on its palm oil fields, Malaysia hopes to take 10% of the global biodiesel market by 2010. As

part of a biofuels growth program that includes US\$100 million in subsidies for palm oil and other agrofuels like soy and maize, Indonesia also intended to increase its palm oil plantations by 1.5 million hectares by 2008, to reach 7 million ha in total. Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Denmark, France, and the United Kingdom are other biodiesel producers. 17 features and prices of the most popular renewable energy applications.

Many of these prices continue to be higher than those of traditional energy sources. (Traditional fuels typically cost between US\$0.4 and US\$0.8 per kilowatt hour (kWh) of new base-load electricity, but peak power prices may be higher and off-grid diesel generators have much higher costs.) The majority of renewables still need official assistance due to rising prices and other market constraints. Economic competitiveness, however, is not constant. With advancements in technology and the development of the market, several renewable energy systems have seen considerable cost reductions. As gas turbine technology advances, for instance, certain traditional technology costs are falling, while others are rising as a result of variables like as rising fuel prices and environmental regulations. Future fossil fuel prices and carbon-related regulations have an impact on future cost competitiveness. Biofuel production and technology have advanced significantly during the last 30 years. The majority of new automobiles produced in Brazil now enable customers to pick between gasoline, ethanol, or any mix of the two fuels at the gas pump. -Brazil is no longer reliant on oil imports and is in a far better position to withstand what some politicians and experts are referring to as the "third oil shock" thanks to biofuels and the incredible accomplishments of Petrobrás.

Worldwide, the commercial and private aviation industries are expanding quickly, but the rising cost of fuel and the need to cut emissions are forcing the sector to consider alternate energy sources. Finding a substitute for petroleum is receiving more attention and funding than ever before, and investment funds are also at historic highs. Additionally, the need for new fuels will only rise. If present trends continue, airline ticket sales will quadruple by 2025 and reach 9 billion yearly, predicts Airports Council International. Fuel now makes up around 30% of the operational expenses for the airline sector, compared to 10% five years ago. The price of jet fuel is compelling the aviation industry to take seriously energy efficiency and alternative fuel sources. Governments are suffering as well, even though US airlines alone spent more than US\$33 billion on fuel in 2005.

The US military consumes 8 billion gallons of petroleum annually, making it the greatest fuel consumer in the world. The US Air Force spent \$5 billion on fuel in 2006 and is presently in charge of testing liquid synthetic jet fuel made from coal and natural gas using the Fischer-Tropsch process, which has been around for 80 years. By 2016, 50% of the air force's fuel should come from this synthetic fuel, according to officials. In addition to Air France, Air New Zealand, All Nippon Airways, Cargolux, Continental Airlines, Gulf Air, Japan Airlines, KLM, SAS, and Virgin Atlantic Airways, the new Sustainable Aviation Fuel Users Group is led by Boeing. They claim that they utilize 15–25% of the jet fuel used on commercial aircraft together. In order to lower emissions and lessen reliance on fossil fuels, the team will investigate renewable fuel sources with engine manufacturer Honeywell and its technology developer Universal Oil Products. As new fuels become available, a series of high-profile demonstration flights that started in early 2008 and will undoubtedly go on for years are routinely outlining the stages on that route.

One of the four GE CF6-80C2 engines of a Virgin Boeing 747 that flew from London Heathrow to Amsterdam in February 2008 was powered by a 20% mixture of coconut and palm oil. Virgin said that although such feedstocks wouldn't work for large-scale flights, they did demonstrate the feasibility of the idea and garner some favorable press. In February 2008, Airbus installed a synthetic 40% mix made from the gas-to-liquids conversion process in one

of the Rolls-Royce Trent 900 engines of an Airbus A380. In order to meet up to 30% of the global demand for jet fuel by 2030, Airbus, Honeywell Aerospace, US carrier JetBlue Airways, International Aero Engines (IAE), and Honeywell's UOP developed their own agreement. Together with Rolls-Royce, British Airways will test a variety of alternative fuels and ultimately choose four. Each provider will be required to give up to 60,000 liters for testing on one of the airline's RB211 engines. Pratt & Whitney is working closely with Boeing and Japan Airlines (JAL) to schedule a trial flight for 2009. One of the four engines of a JAL Boeing 747-300 aircraft with Pratt & Whitney JT9D engines will test a second-generation biofuel that has yet to be identified. On the hour-long trip from a Japanese airport slated on March 31, 2009, Japan Airlines will provide the aircraft and personnel. The flight will mark the first Pratt & Whitney engines and a biofuel demonstration by an Asian airline.

The sustainable second-generation biofuel jatropha has successfully completed the first commercial aircraft test flight in the world in Auckland. In December 2008, Air New Zealand carried out a biofuel test flight utilizing a 747-400 aircraft with US-refined gasoline made from *Jatropha curcas*. The two-hour test flight, which departed from Auckland International Airport at 11.30 am (New Zealand time) on Tuesday, December 30, included more than a dozen significant performance tests. One of the Air New Zealand Boeing 747-400's Rolls-Royce RB211 engines was powered by a biofuel mixture of 50:50 jatropha and Jet A1 fuel. At least 1 million barrels of ecologically friendly fuel will be used yearly by Air New Zealand by 2013, covering at least 10% of its entire annual demand. Air New Zealand, Continental, Virgin Atlantic Airways, Boeing, and UOP were among the first group of aviation-related members to join the Algal Biomass Organization when it was originally established in June 2008. The first time camelina was used as a feedstock was on January 30, 2009, at Haneda Airport in Tokyo, by Boeing and Japan Airlines (JAL). Additionally, it was the first biofuel flight powered by Pratt & Whitney engines, with one of the 747-300's four engines using a 50% mix of three second-generation biofuel feedstocks. Less than 1 percent came from algae and less than 16 percent from jatropha made up the biofuel element, which was 84% camelina-based. False flax or camelina is an energy crop that may grow in rotation with wheat and other cereal crops and has a high oil content. The crop is mostly farmed in regions with a more tolerant environment, such as the US's northern plains. It can be cultivated at high elevations, in arid climates, and in nutrient-poor soil. Sustainable Oils, a US-based supplier of renewable, ecologically sound, and high-value camelina-based fuels, procured the camelina to be used in the JAL demonstration flight. The jatropha oil was obtained and supplied by Terasol Energy, while Sapphire Energy supplied the algal oil. We'll test the No. 3 engine (middle right) with the fuel mixture before takeoff to make sure everything is working properly. Yasunori Abe, vice president of JAL's environmental affairs, notes that while in the air, "we will check the engine's performance during normal and non-normal flight operations, which will include quick accelerations and decelerations, as well as engine shutdown and restart." Pratt & Whitney and Boeing experts will analyze data from the aircraft when the flight is over. To ascertain if the biofuel mix provided comparable engine performance to standard Jet A1 gasoline, a number of the engine measurements will be analyzed.

Billy Glover, co-chair of the ABO and managing director of environmental strategy at Boeing Commercial Airplanes, said that there is "considerable interest across multiple sectors" in the potential of algae as an energy source. According to Dr. Max Shauck, ethanol is "the best fuel there is." Shauck and his wife, Grazia Zanin, crossed the Atlantic Ocean in a single-propeller prototype Velocity in 1989. Shauck is the head of the Institute for Air Science at Baylor University in Waco, Texas. The Ipanema, an airplane made by Embraer, is the first production aircraft in the world to be certified for usage on 100% ethanol. Brazil, where one-third of the country's transportation fuel is now ethanol, is where this certification originally occurred.

For one and a half years, Embraer and Brazil's Centro TecnicoAerospacial collaborated to accept the certification. The Ipanema crop duster initially took to the air in 1970, and the most current model was released in 2004 with ethanol usage authorized. Today, more than 60 Lycoming IO-540-K1J5 engines supplied with a unique fuel system created by Embraer are burning 100% ethanol. In order to make older Ipanemas operate on ethanol, more than 100 kits have been offered. However, the range is 40% less than with AvGas, and the engines are pre-configured for a 40% higher fuel flow. Even Shauck acknowledges that ethanol's energy density and specific energy are too low for usage in aircraft engines. Range and payload would be severely constrained, and its flash point, which is just 12°C, would pose serious safety risks. The lengthening of time between engine overhauls is a benefit. "Using ethanol as a fuel reduces vibration." That results from the wider flammability range. According to Shauck, the first spark uses up all of the gasoline.

CONCLUSION

The findings made clear how crucial it is to approach bioenergy development holistically and comprehensively, taking into account how it would affect land use, agriculture, and policy coherence.

The potential of bioenergy as a renewable energy source must be carefully considered in order to prevent negative effects on food security, especially for vulnerable people. To make sure that bioenergy development is in line with aspirations for food security and national sovereignty, policymakers, agricultural professionals, researchers, and activists must work together. This requires careful planning of land use, the production of feedstocks using sustainable practices, and policies that support both food and energy resilience. In the worldwide endeavor to develop sustainable energy systems, the effect of bioenergy on food security and sovereignty continues to be an important factor. To effectively address the complex issues of energy availability, agricultural resilience, and social justice on a global scale, these objectives must be balanced.

REFERENCES:

- [1] N. Wald en D. P. Hill, "'Rescaling' alternative food systems: from food security to food sovereignty", *Agric. Human Values*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10460-015-9623-x.
- [2] R. Sunam en J. Adhikari, "How does Transnational Labour Migration Shape Food Security and Food Sovereignty? Evidence from Nepal", *Anthropol. Forum*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/00664677.2016.1197819.
- [3] M. Huambachano, "Through an Indigenous lens food security is *food sovereignty*: Case studies of Māori of Aotearoa New Zealand and Andeans of Peru", *Univ. Auckl.*, 2016.
- [4] K. Havnevik, "From food security to food sovereignty?", in *Africa-Europe Group for Interdisciplinary Studies*, 2016. doi: 10.1163/9789004305465_009.
- [5] J. Clendenning, W. H. Dressler, en C. Richards, "Food justice or food sovereignty? Understanding the rise of urban food movements in the USA", *Agric. Human Values*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10460-015-9625-8.
- [6] A. Nugraha, M. S. Hestiawan, En D. Supyandi, "Refleksi Paradigma Kedaulatan Pangan Di Indonesia: Studi Kasus Gerakan Pangan Lokal Di Flores Timur", *Agricore J. Agribisnis Dan Sos. Ekon. Pertan. Unpad*, 2016, Doi: 10.24198/Agricore.V1i2.22717.

- [7] J. Dixon en C. Richards, “On food security and alternative food networks: understanding and performing food security in the context of urban bias”, *Agric. Human Values*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10460-015-9630-y.
- [8] E. Gómez, E. Martínez, J. Rivas, en E. Villalobos, “La seguridad y soberanía alimentaria - Food security and sovereignty”, *Rev. Iberoam. Bioeconomía y Cambio Climático*, 2016.

CHAPTER 6

ENERGY CONSUMPTION FROM COAL-TO-LIQUIDS: AN ANALYSIS

Sunil Kumar Gaur, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- sonusingh.gour.2301@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Due to its potential to increase energy security and lower greenhouse gas emissions, the use of coal-to-liquids (CTL) technology as a substitute energy source has drawn interest. This study offers a thorough investigation of energy consumption from coal to liquids, highlighting its importance, technical developments, effects on the environment, and implications for energy policy. The research goes into the various facets that underline the significance of CTL in the global energy environment via an assessment of the subtle aspects that create CTL technology, including feedstock selection, conversion processes, and carbon capture methodologies. It emphasizes the crucial role of CTL in tackling energy concerns while mitigating climate change by drawing on concepts of energy efficiency, carbon reduction, and sustainable development. Energy consumption from coal to liquids is a complex issue with possible advantages for energy security and lower carbon emissions, but it also poses environmental problems that need to be carefully managed.

KEYWORDS:

Carbon Capture, Coal-to-Liquids, Conversion Technologies, Energy Consumption, Environmental Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

The Fischer-Tropsch method is being used to create the first jet fuel to replace petroleum-based kerosene, which is being tested under the direction of the US Air Force. With the much-awaited certification of a 100% synthetic fuel on April 9, 2008, acceptance took a giant stride forward. Sasol of South Africa is once again in the forefront of coal-to-liquids and gas-to-liquids technology for airplanes. The Synfuels plant in Secunda, South Africa, where Sasol produces jet fuel is covered under the permission. According to Johan Botha, general manager of Sasol's product applications, the 100% synthetic fuel will be included in the regular supply of Jet A1 to the market. "Once the product is certified, it ceases to be synthetic fuel and changes to Jet A1 in identity." Botha claims that no extra preparations are required to deliver or consume the gasoline. The Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition's Vivian Stockman hopes that coal-to-liquids wasn't quickly becoming a common practice. She mentions that the National Coal Association anticipates a doubling of mining activities. The villages in the coal fields, she argues, "cannot bear a doubling of mining where people already suffer from poison water, poison air, and destroyed ecosystems." The five barrels of water required to manufacture one barrel of gasoline also concerns environmentalists [1], [2].

Any new fuels, according to the industry and its authorities, will be cleaner than petroleum, if not greener. A significant new participant in the fuels industry might soon achieve its first significant certification target thanks to a risky new clearance procedure for 50% mixes of synthetic jet fuel. If all goes according to plan, CAAFI will oversee the certification of 100% synthetic kerosene blends by 2010 and equivalent biofuels certifications for aircraft as early as 2013. The CAAFI combines the resources of its powerful sponsors and aviation industry stakeholders. The sponsors of CAAFI include the US Federal Aviation Administration's Office of Environment and Energy, the Aerospace Industries Association (AIA), the Air Transport Association (ATA), Airports Council International - North America (ACI-NA), and

these organizations. To push alternate aviation fuels, they have enlisted dozens of stakeholders, including officials from eight different nations.

According to CAAFI executive director Richard Altman, the few specific alternative fuels already in use have each received separate approval. However, any manufacturer may soon be welcomed to comply with the new fuel regulations for US skies. The US Air Force, one of seven US government stakeholder institutions in the CAAFI, filed a Request for Information (RFI) prior to its high-profile B-52 engine testing using 50% synthetic kerosene produced by the FischerTropsch process in 2006, which served as the catalyst for this move. Drop-in fuels are the current area of emphasis for the certification and qualification panel, according to Mark Rumizen, FAA fuels expert and manager of the CAAFI Certification team. That is, it shares a chemical composition with petroleum-based gasoline[3], [4].

Achieving a height of approximately 97,000 feet (29,600 meters) in 2001, NASA's Helios brought solar energy back into the spotlight and pioneered the use of unmanned solar-powered vehicles. Paul MacCready, an inventor, made the first and perhaps the best solar flight in 1981 when he piloted his Solar Challenger over the English Channel. MacCready served as chairman of Aerovironment until his death in August 2007, a company that offers energy solutions for a variety of transportation requirements, including unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). We no longer use solar cells for it, he said. We currently employ hydrogen stored as liquid because it can supply electricity to fly at 65,000 feet (19,800m) for a week or two weeks, which is long enough to suit our demands. "As you go to high northern latitudes there isn't enough sunlight to do the job." According to MacCready, hydrogen is a poor alternative for the fuel used by airplanes. "It has good energy, a lot of energy per pound, but even when it's liquid, you carry up so few pounds in a large volume."

DISCUSSION

After five years of research, Boeing Phantom Works' Fuel Cell Demonstrator Aircraft has been in the air since 2007. We utilize electricity from the supplemental batteries for takeoff and ascent. According to Jonay Mosquera, the aeronautical engineer in charge of design, molding, and accurate calculations, "on cruise we fly on power from the fuel cells." "I'd assume the technology should be ready to be deployed with durability for typical items in 10-15 years," adds Mosquera. "This project is a symbol of the investment and research the Boeing company is doing to create environmentally friendly aircraft," says the statement. Even though Mosquera claims it is the only human fuel cell-powered aircraft on the market, hydrogen fuels a number of commercially available unmanned aerial vehicles. According to the Advisory Council for Aeronautical Research in Europe (ACARE), significant changes will start to occur as new engines and designs start to gain popularity. The Dutch aeronautical research agency NIVR launched the Out of the Box project for ACARE, which is considering permanent flotillas and flying saucers[5], [6].

Although the potential for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and the carbon footprints of various crops may differ greatly, bioenergy from crops is a renewable and sustainable source of energy that may come close to becoming carbon neutral. Through the process of photosynthesis, which is fueled by solar energy, green plants develop, producing both simple and complex carbohydrates. These carbohydrates may be used as feedstock in several thermochemical, biological, and gasification processes to provide a wide variety of energy sources. Around two billion people are thought to depend on biomass as their main source of cooking and space heating. Approximately 14% of the world's primary energy comes from wood fuels, especially in developing nations in Africa, Asia, and Latin America.

The process of photosynthesis has gathered and stored almost all of the energy that humans consume today. Approximately 200 billion tonnes of carbon are fixed annually by autotrophic plants in the form of biomass, which is 10 times the amount of energy utilized globally each year. 800 million (0.4 percent) of these 200 billion tonnes are utilized to feed people. Based on photosynthesis, biomass buildup is a key energy-related activity. The only living thing that can transform solar energy into the chemical energy of organic molecules is the green plant, which does it with the pigment chlorophyll and the assistance of carbon dioxide and water. In addition to adding carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen to organic matter, plants also use light reactions to add nitrogen and sulphur. A suitable temperature and other nutrients are also necessary for the photosynthetic process to work well. Less than 1% of the energy contained in light rays striking plants is fixed as chemical energy, which is how photosynthesis efficiency is measured. Given the size of the process involved, even a slight improvement in this efficiency would have amazing results. The 'photosynthetic efficiency' that has been defined, however, is essentially the total of the individual efficacy of a huge number of complicated and connected processes occurring both within the plants and in their surroundings.

Globally, each hectare of land gets 1.47 10¹³ calories of total energy radiation (TER), which is the amount of solar energy found at 40° latitude. We would anticipate a yield of more than 2000 tonnes per acre if this energy were totally transformed into the chemical energy of carbs. However, 43% of the sun's total energy strikes the earth's surface as photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). The photosynthetic efficiency for a crop producing 10 tonnes of dry matter per hectare per year at latitude 40° would be 0.27 percent for TER or 0.63 percent for PAR. The maximum efficiency for absorbing solar radiation is 6.8% of the total radiation, or 15.8% when converting TER to PAR, since PAR makes up around 43% of TER [7], [8].

A theoretical upper production limit of about 250 tonnes of dry matter per hectare could be taken into consideration for an area with an amount of incident radiation similar to that at 40° latitude, taking into account the total amount of radiation incident on certain areas and keeping in mind that the calorific content of dry matter is approximately 4000 kilocalories per kilogram (= 4kcal/g). Because the vegetative cycle, high growth rates, and sun consumption rates cannot be gained and maintained constantly throughout the full year, this production value has not yet been reached with any crop. We know that the green plants' photosynthetic system operates with an efficiency of more than 30% from laboratory experiments. Why is there a difference? When we calculate the harvested biomass from our fields, what we truly measure is the difference between the rates of photosynthesis and respiration. Additionally, we must take into account that the primary crop growth season only lasts a portion of the year, and because leaf mutual shadowing, unfavorable soil water content, air humidity, and too-low or too-high temperatures all prevent the yield from reaching the theoretical maximum. In addition, the solar spectrum has large areas with wavelengths that are virtually inactive for photosynthetic activity (wavelengths below 400 nm and above 700 nm). The availability of sufficient supplies of water and nutrients throughout the development cycle is another crucial element. The genetic makeup of the genotype and population, environmental conditions, and external inputs are the three primary categories of determinants that have an impact on photosynthesis.

The C₃ route and the C₄ pathway are the two main photosynthetic pathways. The CAM route (crassulacean acid metabolism) is a third, less typical pathway. The initial product of photosynthesis in the C₃ route is a 3-carbon organic acid (3-phosphoglyceric acid), while the first products in the C₄ pathway are 4-carbon organic acids (malate and aspartate). The C₃ assimilation pathway is often suited to function at its best rates at low temperature (15–20°C)

environments. The C3 species have lower rates of CO₂ exchange at a given radiation level than the C4 species, which are suited to function at their best in circumstances of higher temperature (30–35°C) and have greater rates of CO₂ exchange. In addition, while C3 species have maximum rates of photosynthesis in the range of 15–30 mg CO₂ /dm² /h with light saturation at 0.2–0.6 cal/cm² /min, C4 species have maximum rates of photosynthesis in the range of 70–100 mg CO₂ /dm² /h with light saturation at 1.0–1.4 cal/cm² /min total radiation. One more group of organisms has developed and adapted to function in xerophytic environments. These organisms possess CAMs. CAM species have various distinctive characteristics that are not seen in C3 or C4 species, despite the biochemistry of photosynthesis in CAM species sharing many characteristics with those of the C4 species, including the creation of 4-carbon organic acids. These include the ability to absorb solar energy during the day and fix CO₂ at night, leading to very high water usage efficiency. Pineapple and sisal are two CAM plants that are important to agriculture. There are about five groupings that may be used to group the C3, C4, and CAM crops.

Approximately 14 million acres of arable land are being utilized to produce modern biofuels, according to the IEA (IEA, 2006a). Less than 20 million hectares are now utilized for biofuels globally, compared to the 5000 million ha (the total area of arable and pasture lands) used for food and feed globally. Large saline wasteland regions could be involved in bio-saline agriculture. The FAO claims that there is insufficient evidence to support future global land scarcities. While the global population almost quadrupled between the early 1960s and the late 1990s, farmland barely increased by 11% during that time. As a consequence, even though the global population has almost doubled, the amount of farmland required per person has decreased by 40%, from 0.43 ha to just 0.26 ha. However, throughout this time, nutrition standards significantly improved and food prices decreased in actual terms. This trend may be explained by a phenomenal rise in land productivity, which during the same time resulted in a 56% decrease in land usage per unit of production.

Only around 1.6 billion hectares are now in use, despite the fact that an estimated 4 billion ha are thought to be suitable for rain-fed agricultural cultivation. This is not to imply that regional shortages cannot arise as a consequence of the production of energy crops, especially given the fact that these surpluses are not dispersed equally around the world, nor that in certain locations, conflicting demands on water resources and soil nutrients do not need to be balanced. The choice of crop and the effectiveness of the full energy conversion process from crop to drop determine to a significant measure the real demand on arable land resources represented by the wide-scale production of energy crops. serve as an example of this. It is crucial to emphasize that while lignocellulose is the preferred feedstock, production is not limited to arable land but rather includes production from degraded/marginal areas that are not currently utilized for food production. In the end, this will often be the favored choice. With 10 billion people, the US National Agricultural Biotechnology Council predicts that the amount of arable land needed for food production.

The availability of commercial conversion techniques for such feedstocks, as well as the market and supply infrastructure required for the production capacity of second-generation biofuels, are prerequisites for the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass. With the introduction of novel species and types, a new field of study is opened up, independent of the question of what kind of land bioenergy crops should be grown on and, thus, independent of the direct rivalry with crops for food and feed. In terms of plants, efforts are being made to find varieties that neither people nor animals can eat. Promising results have been obtained with species like jatropha, which is farmed only for its high energy content. Many of them cultivate in unfavorable environments on soils that are unsuited for the majority of food or

feed crops. The difficulty will be in minimizing water usage so that they are suitable for marginal situations. Many of today's so-called wild species have extremely low yields (up to 1.5 tonnes of oil per hectare per year on poor soils), and significant breeding efforts are still required to raise their productivity. Generally speaking, if these new crops are produced on previously cleared land, particularly in a cycle with food crops, they might give meaningful cash flow to farmers and would complement food production rather than supplant it. This is true for any market crop, such as cotton. This land could be made available for growing crops like *Jatropha* spp. or for the harvesting of grasses by using species adapted to marginal conditions in conjunction with improved husbandry techniques in small ruminant grazing systems, which are currently frequently among the lowest-yielding agricultural systems in the world. Innovations in protein production (e.g., using algae, novel fish farm ideas, even directly biorefining some crops, single cell proteins) may really result in significant gains in protein production efficiency and a consequent decrease in the need for land relative to the base predictions.

In other words, it seems doubtful that a lack of land will be a significant long-term element in the argument over using biomass for fuel or food. In many areas, low agricultural production has led to unsustainable land usage, soil erosion and loss, deforestation, and poverty. Increased productivity over time as a result of improved farm management, new technologies, improved varieties, energy-related capital investment, and capacity building would gradually increase the intensity of land use so that there is enough space to meet the expanding demand for the production of food, feed, fiber, and biofuels. In Minnesota, harvesting biomass is a novel method that aims to reduce the amount of fuel used and provide raw materials for energy production. It is necessary to modify fuel reduction recommendations in order to solve operational difficulties as well as issues with planning and coordination.

Incorporating an early knowledge of production logistics into harvest plans and prescriptions helps lower fuel management and biomass production costs after biomass harvest has been chosen as a management alternative. The economic sustainability of biomass harvests as a method to manage bioenergy crops depends on a variety of factors, including site requirements, proximity to markets, operation size and efficiency, and equipment. It is important to take into account the environmental consequences of biomass removal on soils, animal habitats, and other natural characteristics. In the proper set of conditions, biomass harvesting may lower crop management expenses.

For the use of energy crops as feedstocks for industrial and energy uses, the availability of suitable logistic systems, including harvesting, recovery, compacting, transport, upgrading, and storage, constitutes a fundamental demand. Regarding the raw material's dry matter content, shape, size, and particle consistency, each conversion process has distinct criteria. An efficient connection between industrial operations and agricultural production systems may be made using the logistics of the raw materials. The principal product processing techniques and the need for year-round availability determine mechanization of harvest, transport, and storage. Chain management is required because semi-finished items may be produced with a range of characteristics and qualities and utilized to make a range of final products. This has an impact on potential storage methods and environmental factors during harvest (in the winter and spring), as well as on the feasibility and timeliness of harvest and storage.

Agricultural product harvesting is usually accompanied by heavy lifting, transportation, and processing. This is especially true for the collection of biofuels from herbaceous field crops that produce yearly, such as energy cereals, miscanthus, straw, and hay. The yearly turnover of these crops may be quite large since the entire dry matter adds to the energy output.

Additionally, in order to fulfill the demand for biofuel, the area required for production and supply of biofuel must be quite big due to the need for cost-effective combustion units to be larger than a specific size. This raises the need for long-distance transportation. This illustrates one of the key issues with biofuels: whereas the needed volume for a single unit of fuel equivalent might easily vary by a factor of 10, the mass-related energy density of solid biomass changes by only a little amount. linkages, but through time, the type and strength of the connection have altered. Energy has long been used in agriculture, and it is a crucial component of contemporary agricultural output. Over the next ten years and maybe longer, the demand for agricultural feedstocks for biofuels will have a substantial impact on agricultural markets and global agriculture.

Through the burning of a variety of biomass resources, electricity and heat are produced. There are many different types of waste that can be sources, including post-harvest residues from fields, animal manure, wood wastes from forestry and industry, residues from the food and paper industries, municipal solid wastes, sewage sludge, and biogas produced by the digestion of organic wastes from agriculture and other sources. Dedicated energy crops are also employed, including grasses. Various industrialized nations are presently concentrating their research and development (R&D) efforts on technologies that are pertinent to their requirements and potential markets. It is crucial to remember that similar technology may often be used in less developed parts of the globe with little alterations. Therefore, renewable energy will become more important in future plans for collaboration between developed and developing nations as well as in the export markets for numerous areas. The whole spectrum of conventional and contemporary liquid fuels, according to Grassi and Bridgewater (1992), may be produced from biomass by thermochemical conversion, synthesis, or product improvement.

In many regions of the globe, including Europe, North and South America, advanced gasification for the production of energy via integrated power generation cycles has a key role to play in the near term. Production of hydrogen, methanol, ammonia, and transportation fuels via enhanced gasification and synthesis are longer-term goals. Microbial and enzymatic processes are used in biological conversion technologies to create sugars that can subsequently be converted into alcohol and other solvents valuable to the chemical and petroleum sectors. For instance, fermentation using yeast has produced ethanol from crops grown for their sugar or starch. Anaerobic digestion may be utilized to generate methane from both solid and liquid waste. Several biomass types with high oil, sugar, or starch concentrations along with the methods that are utilized to turn them into biofuels. Other conversion methods focus on the conversion of lignocellulose-rich biomass. The several conversion processes that may be used to high-lignocellulose biomass to produce a variety of biofuels.

CONCLUSION

To fully realize CTL's potential as a low-carbon energy source, it is crucial to conduct ongoing research and innovation in its conversion processes, carbon capture and utilization, and sustainable feedstock consumption. The transportation sector's greenhouse gas emissions may be reduced by CTL, which also improves energy security. In order to fully realize the promise of CTL technology as a significant actor in the global fight to battle climate change and create sustainable energy systems, policymakers, energy professionals, researchers, and environmental campaigners must work together. The adoption of CTL as a substantial component of the renewable energy landscape is expected to increase with further developments in CTL technology and carbon capture techniques. Energy consumption from coal to liquids provides both possibilities and problems, therefore it is crucial to manage it

carefully to reduce its negative effects on the environment while maximizing its positive effects on energy security. Within the larger framework of sustainable and low-carbon energy solutions, CTL continues to be an area with developing promise.

REFERENCES:

- [1] L. S. Mapamba, F. H. Conradie, en J. I. J. Fick, “Technology assessment of plasma arc reforming for greenhouse gas mitigation: A simulation study applied to a coal to liquids process”, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.104.
- [2] S. Chiuta, N. Engelbrecht, G. Human, en D. G. Bessarabov, “Techno-economic assessment of power-to-methane and power-to-syngas business models for sustainable carbon dioxide utilization in coal-to-liquid facilities”, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jcou.2016.10.001.
- [3] H. Zhou, S. Yang, H. Xiao, Q. Yang, Y. Qian, en L. Gao, “Modeling and techno-economic analysis of shale-to-liquid and coal-to-liquid fuels processes”, *Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2016.04.108.
- [4] W. J. Fan, J. Feng, M. X. Wu, W. Turmel, en W. Y. Li, “Separation of coal liquid distillate by dimethyl sulfoxide”, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2016.01.034.
- [5] D. O. Glushkov, S. V. Syrodoy, A. V. Zhakharevich, en P. A. Strizhak, “Ignition of promising coal-water slurry containing petrochemicals: Analysis of key aspects”, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2016.03.008.
- [6] A. Staroń, Z. Kowalski, P. Staroń, en M. Banach, “Analysis of the useable properties of coal-water fuel modified with chemical compounds”, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2016.07.007.
- [7] P. Pei, S. F. Korom, K. Ling, en J. Nasah, “Cost comparison of syngas production from natural gas conversion and underground coal gasification”, *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11027-014-9588-x.
- [8] B. R. Reddy en R. Vinu, “Microwave assisted pyrolysis of Indian and Indonesian coals and product characterization”, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2016.08.016.

CHAPTER 7

DETERMINATION OF SOLID BIOFUELS IN BIOENERGY PRODUCTION

Amit Kumar, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- amitkmr514@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The creation of solid biofuels, such as wood, agricultural waste, and energy crops, is crucial to the development of renewable energy sources and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. The importance, range of feedstocks, conversion processes, and environmental effects of solid biofuels in bioenergy production are all highlighted in this research. The research digs into the various facets that underline solid biofuels' significance in the global energy environment via an assessment of the complicated aspects that determine their utilization, including feedstock availability, technical improvements, and sustainability concerns. It emphasizes the crucial role of solid biofuels in meeting energy needs while advocating a shift towards a more sustainable and low-carbon energy future by drawing on concepts of renewable energy, sustainability, and environmental responsibility. Solid biofuels include a variety of feedstocks and conversion processes, providing adaptable and sustainable solutions to energy-related problems.

KEYWORDS:

Bioenergy Production, Combustion Technologies, Environmental Benefits, Feedstock Diversity, Solid Biofuels.

INTRODUCTION

After mechanical processing and compaction, the raw materials may either be utilized immediately or transformed into different forms of biofuels. However, unless oil prices climb to a level that is far higher than anticipated prices in the future decades, methanol and hydrogen created from biomass are likely to be substantially more expensive than traditional hydrocarbon fuels. The generation of pyrolytic oil, often known as "bio-oil" (sometimes known as bio-crude oil), seems to be the most promising thermochemical conversion process for lignocellulose raw materials presently accessible. Up to 80% weight yield may be achieved by using flash or quick pyrolysis to create this liquid. It may be stored, transported, and used in many applications where traditional liquid fuels are employed, such as boilers, kilns, and perhaps turbines, despite having a heating value that is around half that of conventional fossil fuels. It is easily upgraded into hydrocarbons for more demanding combustion applications, including gas turbines, by hydrotreating or zeolite cracking, and further refined into gasoline and diesel for use as transportation fuels. There is also a significant amount of untapped potential for the extraction and recovery of specific compounds[1], [2].

Bio-oil production methods are developing quickly due to increased process performance, higher yields, and higher-quality end products. Despite being at a much earlier stage of development and requiring more basic study, catalytic upgrading and extraction also have great promise and potential for transport fuels and chemicals. Numerous labs and businesses are looking into the use of the items, which is crucial for the industrial manifestation of these technologies. In the short term, these processes' economic feasibility seems quite promising, and there aren't any significant obstacles to their integration into traditional energy systems.

usage of bioenergy. The European Union, North America, Central and Eastern Europe, and Southeast Asia (Thailand, Malaysia, and Indonesia) are now the major bioenergy growth markets, particularly with regard to efficient power production from biomass wastes and residues, as well as for biofuels. The paper and pulp business and cane-based sugar industry are two important industrial sectors for use of cutting-edge biomass combustion (and maybe gasification) technologies for power generation. A market that is expanding globally is power production from biomass using cutting-edge combustion technology and co-firing systems. Technology that is mature, effective, and dependable is available to convert biomass into electricity.

Due to increased access to biomass resources and scale efficiencies in conversion technology, the average size of biomass combustion systems is fast growing in a variety of sectors. Where lower-cost leftovers are available, especially in co-firing systems, where investment costs might be low, competitive performance in comparison to fossil fuels is achievable. This progress may be accelerated by specific (national) policies like carbon levies or assistance for renewable energy sources. In the medium term, gasification technology (integrated with gas turbines/combined cycles) offers even better perspectives for power generation from biomass and, once this technology has been validated on a commercial scale, can make power generation from energy crops competitive in many areas of the world. Additionally, gasification, and more expansive circulating fluidized bed (CFB) technologies, provide tremendous opportunities for co-firing systems[3], [4].

For small-, medium-, and large-scale solutions, biomass is the renewable heat source. The feedstock for bioheat comes in the form of pellets, chips, and diverse by-products from forestry and agriculture. Particularly, pellets provide potential for conventional fuels and high energy density fuels to be employed in automation systems, providing convenience for the end users. The development of new pellet manufacturing facilities, the installation of millions of burners, boilers, and stoves, as well as suitable logistical solutions to service customers, should lead to a major expansion of the pellet market. In recent years, efficiency and emissions have been improved for stoves and boilers that burn wood chips, wood pellets, and wood logs. But more can be done in this regard. Additional advancements are required, particularly in the areas of fuel management, automated control, and maintenance needs.

The deployment of such technologies offers tremendous market growth potential in rural regions. District heating facilities, which are now mostly administered by energy corporations and sometimes by farmers' cooperatives for small-scale systems, are gaining popularity. The systems now in use typically utilise waste products from forestry and wood processing, but use of agro-residues will be a significant concern in the years to come. The proven method for producing heat at industrial sizes from biomass is direct combustion. When regulated circumstances are used to burn the solid biomass, hot combustion gases are created. The hot gases are often sent via a heat exchanger to create hot air, hot water, or steam, but they are also frequently utilized directly for product drying. The kind of combustion device that is used most often mixes a regulated quantity of combustion air with a bed of fuel using a grate. The grates typically move so that the biomass may be introduced at one end and burnt in a fuel bed that descends the grate gradually to an ash removal device at the other. With more advanced designs, it is feasible to isolate the total combustion process into its three major stages: drying, ignition and combustion of volatile elements, and burnout of char and to manage the parameters separately for each activity. Low-ash fuels may be burned on a fixed grate, in which case a spreader-stoker would input the fuel charge and maintain an equal bed and fuel distribution for the best possible combustion conditions. Grates have a strong track record of dependability and can handle a wide variety of fuel quality (moisture content and particle

size). They have also shown to be effective and under control. One of the main motivations for contemporary advances is the need to reduce emissions. The principal alternative to grate-based systems, fluidized bed technology, has been developed with this objective in mind[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

Fuel is burnt in a bed of inert material in a fluidized bed. The inert material is suspended in the fluidized bed by air, which is also employed to completely or partly burn the biomass fuel. Additional secondary air is provided downstream of the bed in the event of incomplete combustion in order to enable complete combustion. The fluidized bed itself contains a substantial amount of inert material relative to fuel at any one moment, and because to its suspended condition, the bed is always in motion. This minimizes the effects of changes in fuel quality by promoting quick fuel-air mixing and quick heat transmission between the fuel and bed during ignition and combustion. Temperature control may be used to maintain ideal combustion conditions, increase combustion efficiency, and lower emissions. In order to maintain fluidization of the bed, strong fans are consequently necessary, which increases the auxiliary power needed in comparison to grate systems and may make it hard to achieve all theoretically achievable efficiency gains (efficiency of 80-90%). If heat, such as hot water or process steam, is required for biomass power generation, CHP is often the most financially advantageous option. In comparison to separate systems for electricity and heat, the greater efficiencies lower fuel input and total greenhouse gas emissions. They also result in better economics for power production when costly natural gas and other fuels are replaced. Organic Rankine cycle (ORC) systems or steam turbine systems are now commercially available for medium-scale CHP ranging from 400kW to 4MW. The first small-scale CHP units (1-10kW) are only now becoming commercially accessible, and in a few years, a breakthrough in the gasification of biomass with a capacity of 100-500kW may happen.

The adoption of an advantageous national and European legislative framework has led to a rise in the use of biomass for power production in recent years. In the EU-25, the production of power from biomass including solid biomass, biogas, and the biodegradable portion of municipal solid waste grew by 19% in 2004 and by 23% in 2005. However, the majority of biomass power plants now in operation are still expensive to construct and have poor boiler and thermal plant efficiency. The key issue is to create systems that are more effective and less expensive. Modern biomass-based power generating systems need improved flue-gas treatment, improved fuel technology, and improved combustion and cycle technology. Future technologies must integrate complex biomass preparation, combustion, and conversion processes with postcombustion clean-up to provide improved environmental protection at a cheaper cost. These technologies include gasification with biomass integration, externally fueled biomass gas turbines, and fluidized bed combustion. Today, one of the combustion processes mentioned above is used to produce power from biomass.

In this process, steam is produced, and the steam is then used to power a turbine or engine that produces electricity. Although the process of turning biomass into steam is efficient, the process of turning steam into energy is far less effective. The steam engine or turbine will exhaust into a vacuum condenser when the production of electricity is to be maximized, and conversion efficiencies are likely to be in the range of 5-10% for plants with less than 1MWe, 10-20% for plants with 1-5MWe, and 15-30% for plants with 5-25MWe. The condenser typically provides low-temperature heat (50°C), but this is often inadequate for most purposes, thus it is squandered by dispersing it into the atmosphere or a nearby canal. In the USA, steam plants have a conversion efficiency that is typically about 18%. The USA has put in 7000MWe of wood-fired power plants. The 50MWe McNeil power plant in Burlington,

Vermont The plant may be configured to deliver hightemperature steam in situations when heat and power are required, such as when processing biomass products like sugar or palm oil or kilning wood. This is accomplished in one of three ways: by removing some steam straight from the boiler, by extracting partly expanded steam from a turbine made specifically for this use, or by setting up the steam engine or turbine to create exhaust steam at the necessary temperature. All three choices drastically restrict the quantity of power the plant can produce, but their combined energy efficiency may be substantially greater - often between 50% and 80% [7], [8].

Although pricey, steam technology may be regarded as sound and well-proven in all of the situations thus far discussed. In areas where biomass residues are inexpensive or free, electricity and CHP systems utilizing biomass and steam technology may be competitive with electricity produced from fossil fuels; however, electricity prices will not be competitive if the biomass fuel must be purchased at market prices. In this situation, biomass-to-electricity systems need additional justifications for their use as well as power pricing structures that take these factors into account. Where these pricing structures are present, steam technology will continue to be a viable solution for biomass-to-electricity facilities. However, the environment and other advantages of utilizing biomass are not fully realized, and ongoing price support is required, both of which are often undesirable concerns. If conversion efficiencies rise and capital costs fall, biomass-based energy production will become more economically viable. By reducing overall reliance on fossil fuels, improving conversion efficiency also contributes to maximizing environmental benefits and related environmental tax credits. Unfortunately, there is little room for advancement since steam technology has essentially reached its maximum potential. Therefore, new conversion technologies are crucial. Two relatively young technologies that are almost commercialized are gasification and pyrolysis.

Gasification is a thermochemical process that converts carbonaceous feedstocks into a stable fuel gas by heating them to temperatures as high as 1200°C (Dumbleton, 1997). A part of the carbon in the biomass fuel is changed into gas by an exothermic chemical reaction with oxygen. The remaining biomass fuel undergoes the typical producer gas chemical processes when heated to high temperatures in an oxygen-poor environment. With just trace quantities of higher hydrocarbons like ethane and ethylene, the resultant fuel gas is mostly composed of carbon monoxide, hydrogen, and methane. Unfortunately, if air is employed as the oxygen source, carbon dioxide and nitrogen diminish the amount of these flammable gases. The final fuel gas combination has a low heating value of 4-6MJ/Nm³ because carbon dioxide and nitrogen have negligible heat capacity. This is just 10-40% of the value of natural gas, which is typically 32MJ/Nm³, for which the present engines and turbines were developed. Additionally, pipeline transmission of the gas is problematic due to its poor heating value. There are also trace quantities of unwanted byproducts such ash, tar, oils, and char particles (unconverted carbon). These must be eliminated or converted into more fuel gas since they might harm the engines and turbines.

If oxygen is added in place of air, the gas's heating value increases to 10-15MJ/Nm³. The ability to produce power with stock engines and turbines would result from this enhancement. However, using oxygen-blown gasifiers is not a preferred alternative due to the high expense of producing oxygen and the inherent risks connected with its usage. Only heating applications may be feasible for direct burning of the hot fuel gas from the gasifier in a boiler or furnace. By keeping temperatures high, the tars that are cracked and burnt in the combustor don't condense. It may be able to remove dust (ash) easily by utilizing a hot gas cyclone if the gasification of biomass fuels or other processes results in the formation of dust.

Some combustion systems can operate with a little amount of dust without the need to clean the gas. In the pulp and paper sector, where waste materials are used as fuel, biomass gasification for combustion is often seen. Several Bioneer gasifiers are also used in district heating systems in Finland, while it is unclear if this method is more economical than burning. Before the gas can be utilized in combustion engines or turbines, its quality must be enhanced. Additionally, the gas may need to be cooled to intermediate, if not low, temperatures due to temperature restrictions in the fuel control systems of the engines or turbines. Reducing the temperature of the gas will enhance its volumetric heating value but will also cause more tar to condense, making it even less appropriate for engines and turbines. In this situation, a gas-cleaning system that may include cyclones, filters, or wet scrubbers will be necessary. Wet scrubbers are highly efficient because they may lower gas temperature, collect water-soluble tars, and inert particles such as ash and mineral pollutants all in one operation. A polluted liquid waste stream is created, however. This calls into question the notion that sustainability and biomass fuels are clean alternatives.

Due to the high temperatures utilized during the gasification process, fuels with ashes that have low ash softening and melting temperatures are not suitable. This category includes several annual crops as well as their byproducts. There is now development of advanced gasification for the production of energy via integrated power generation cycles in various regions of the globe, including Europe, North America, and South America. Closely connected gas turbine gasification methods are being advocated, including both atmospheric and pressurized air gasification. Although there are still issues to be addressed regarding the interface between the gasifier and turbine as well as satisfying the gas quality standards for the turbine fuel gas, both sectors are getting a lot of support. Long-term goals that depend on larger-capacity facilities that may benefit from economies of scale include the generation of hydrogen, methanol, ammonia, and transport fuels via improved gasification and synthesis.

The heat breakdown of carbonaceous material without the presence of oxygen or air is known as pyrolysis. Most often, temperatures between 350 and 800 °C are used. In pyrolysis processes, gas, liquid, and solid products (char/coke) are always formed, but the quantities of each may be altered by adjusting the reaction temperatures and residence times. Careful regulation of the reaction conditions may enhance the production of the intended product. As a result, heat is often indirectly contributed to the reaction. The most popular end products of modern pyrolysis are charcoals for grills and industrial applications. By optimizing the production of the solid product while implementing lengthy reaction periods (hours to days) and low temperatures (350°C), an overall efficiency of 35% by weight may be attained. Burning the gas and liquid waste may provide heat for the process in conventional kilns. To create pyrolysis oils, higher temperatures and shorter residence durations are employed. 500°C is the ideal temperature, and response times should be between 0.5 and 2 seconds. Rapid quenching of the fuel, which stops any further chemical reactions, allows for the quick response time that is required. Higher molecular weight compounds may also endure by avoiding extra chemical processes. The fast heating/cooling requirements of the raw material creates process control problems, which are most often dealt with by fine milling the feedstock to less than a few millimetres in size.

Up to 85% of conversion efficiency may be converted to liquid. A little amount of gas is created, and it is often used to heat the fuel. Additionally, solid char is created. The char is still present in the pyrolysis oil and has to be removed before it can be used in turbines or combustion engines, however it may also be used to heat fuel. The liquid result is a chemically and physically unstable, highly oxygenated hydrocarbon with a high water content. This instability might make it difficult to store and utilize the goods, among other

things. The greatest effective fuel storage duration can potentially be constrained by harmful chemical reactions occurring inside the liquid. A twin screw reactor is shown as an example of flash or quick pyrolysis technology. The reaction time for this kind of low-temperature pyrolysis (450–550°C) is less than one second. The three primary products produced are coke, gas, and bio-oil. Using miscanthus as an example, the mass balance for this technique in relation to the starting weight of the biomass. The energy balance may also be calculated in relation to the fraction's heating value: displays the energy balance that results from treating the drying stage as a distinct operation.

Successful tests have shown that heavy fuel oil may be replaced in boilers with pyrolysis oil. Due to the oil's volatility, certain burner modifications are necessary, and care must be given to keep the temperature of pyrolysis oil in storage tanks and hot fuel supply lines to a minimum. Additionally, pyrolysis oil has undergone limited testing as a diesel fuel alternative in diesel engines, and the findings have been sufficiently promising for larger-scale testing to be planned. The pyrolysis oil will not self-ignite in the diesel engine, thus a pilot injector ignition system must be added. At temperatures as high as 700–800°C, pyrolysis processes result in a substantially higher percentage of gas with proportionately fewer liquid and solid products. More than 80% by weight of gas may be yielded. The fuel gas may be used without modification in a variety of combustion engines and turbines thanks to the gas's moderate heating value of 15–20 MJ/Nm³. Less than 5% of the fuel is typically liquid in the form of tars, but similar to gasification, the tars must be removed or converted before the gas fuel can be utilized in engines and turbines. The highly reactive dry char that makes up the solid residue has a tendency to draw in any pollutants that may have been present in the biomass feedstock, such as alkali metals.

Typically, less than 10% of the fuel's weight is made up of solids. Alkaline fuel cells, solid polymer fuel cells, and phosphoric acid fuel cells are examples of low-temperature, or first-generation, fuel cells. Molten carbonate fuel cells and solid oxide fuel cells, on the other hand, are examples of high-temperature, or second-generation, fuel cells. Low-temperature cells have been used in the marketplace, but their fuel supply is limited, and they are difficult to incorporate into combined heat and power applications. Through internal reforming processes, high-temperature cells may utilize a broad range of fuels, are very efficient, and can be included into combined heat and power systems. Although the capital costs of fuel cells are decreasing, more environmental regulations must be put in place before fuel cells may begin to replace current technologies in the transportation or power production sectors. By successfully overcoming each of these obstacles, methanol (MeOH) and hydrogen (H₂) generated from biomass have the potential to significantly reduce the need for transportation fuel. This is particularly true when utilized in fuel cell vehicles (FCVs). Without first burning the fuel to produce heat to power a heat engine, the chemical energy of fuel is transformed in a fuel cell straight into electricity. With no need for emission control equipment, the fuel cell delivers a quantum jump in energy efficiency and almost eliminates air pollution. Proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cells in particular have seen dramatic technical advancements, which have drawn attention to this technology for automobiles. While successfully addressing issues about air quality, energy security, and global warming, the FCV has the ability to compete with the petroleum-fueled internal combustion engine vehicle (ICEV) on both a cost and performance basis.

Fuel cells are one of the newest markets being investigated for bioethanol applications. In order to provide a clean and very effective energy source, electrochemical fuel cells directly transform the chemical energy of bioethanol into electrical energy. One of the best fuels for a fuel cell is bioethanol. Highly pure bioethanol may address the main issue of membrane

contamination and catalyst deactivation inside the fuel cell, which limits its life expectancy, in addition to the fact that it is made from renewable resources. Bioethanol continues to be one of the most attractive fuels for fuel cells, giving all the advantages that the bioethanol fuel cell technologies promise thanks to intensive research efforts. [In 1993, Ballard Power Systems, Inc. of Vancouver, Canada, unveiled a PEM fuel cell bus prototype. The company hoped to start selling PEM fuel cell buses in 1998. Germany's Daimler Benz unveiled a van prototype PEM fuel cell light-duty vehicle in April 1994 and declared aspirations to develop the technology for industrial automotive uses.

The 'Partnership for a New Generation of Vehicles', a joint venture established in September 1993 between the Clinton Administration and the US car industry, considered the FCV as a top candidate technology for rapid development in the USA. The partnership's objective was to create within ten years production-ready prototypes of cutting-edge, low-polluting, safe vehicles that could run on reliable energy sources, particularly renewable ones, and that would have up to three times the fuel efficiency of current gasoline ICEVs with equivalent performance while being equally as affordable to own and operate.

CONCLUSION

In order to maximize their potential as low-carbon energy sources, the data given emphasizes the significance of ongoing research and innovation in solid biofuel production, feedstock diversity, and sustainability standards. Solid biofuels improve energy security, support rural development, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In order to fully realize the promise of solid biofuels as a crucial element of the worldwide effort to battle climate change and establish sustainable energy systems, policymakers, energy experts, researchers, and environmentalists must work together. The adoption of solid biofuels as key components of the renewable energy landscape is expected to proceed more quickly as a result of future developments in solid biofuel technology, sustainable feedstock production, and international collaboration. Solid biofuels are a varied and developing area of renewable energy solutions that provide a number of global approaches to solve the complicated issues of climate change, energy security, and environmental sustainability.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Y. Zhou *et al.*, "A comprehensive review on densified solid biofuel industry in China", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.09.096.
- [2] E. A. Martínez Londoño, J. E. Cañón Barriga, en M. Palm, "Solid biofuels production from energy crops in Colombia: challenges and opportunities", *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining*, 2016, doi: 10.1002/bbb.1646.
- [3] A. A. D. Maia en L. C. de Morais, "Kinetic parameters of red pepper waste as biomass to solid biofuel", *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.055.
- [4] N. Popadynets en Y. Maksymiv, "Development of the market of solid biofuel in Ukraine under current conditions", *Econ. Ann.*, 2016, doi: 10.21003/ea.V159-20.
- [5] N. S. El-Gendy en H. N. Nassar, "Study on the effectiveness of spent waste sugarcane bagasse for adsorption of different petroleum hydrocarbons water pollutants: kinetic and equilibrium isotherm", *Desalin. Water Treat.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/19443994.2015.1004598.
- [6] W. S. Faria *et al.*, "Transformação dos resíduos lignocelulósicos da cafeicultura em pellets para geração de energia térmica", *Coffee Sci.*, 2016.

- [7] H. Li, S. Saravanamurugan, S. Yang, en A. Riisager, “Direct transformation of carbohydrates to the biofuel 5-ethoxymethylfurfural by solid acid catalysts”, *Green Chem.*, 2016, doi: 10.1039/c5gc01043h.
- [8] K. Chen *et al.*, “Feasibility of tubular solid oxide fuel cells directly running on liquid biofuels”, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ces.2016.04.024.

CHAPTER 8

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS IN BIOENERGY CONSUMPTION

Nisha Sahal, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- nisharsahal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

When evaluating the sustainability and profitability of bioenergy as a renewable energy source, the environmental effects of bioenergy use are of the utmost significance. The environmental effects of using bioenergy are thoroughly examined in this research, with special emphasis placed on their importance, major causes, and possible solutions. The research digs into the various facets that underline the environmental implications of bioenergy via an assessment of the complicated aspects that determine these consequences, including feedstock selection, conversion technology, and land use changes. It emphasizes the crucial significance of addressing these effects to guarantee that bioenergy contributes favorably to a shift towards a more sustainable and low-carbon energy future by drawing on sustainability, carbon reduction, and ecosystem resilience concepts.] The use of bioenergy has a variety of environmental effects, including changes in land use and carbon emissions, which need for careful assessment and mitigating measures.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity Conservation, Carbon Footprint, Environmental Impacts, Land-Use Change, Sustainable Practices.

INTRODUCTION

There is a growing understanding that excessive use of fossil fuels may be seriously harming the climate and ecosystem, accelerating global warming, and perhaps having a severe impact on flora via acid rain. Additionally, there is a great deal of worry about the potential harm that transportation mishaps and nuclear power plant dangers may produce. Mineral oils have the ability to seriously damage the land, water, and air. For example, one litre of mineral fuel may contaminate one million liters of water. Oils of fossil origin may cause significant harm to both terrestrial and aquatic flora and wildlife in situations of leakage and transport mishaps. Nuclear fuels have a finite amount, but their radioactive byproducts are almost limitless. Additionally, radioactive material handling, installation, deposition, and storage need intensive monitoring over an extended period of time. The Chernobyl tragedy and other nuclear power plant mishaps show how easily large-scale catastrophes may occur [1], [2].

A non-stop renewable energy source, biomass may be used to generate electricity, heat and cool buildings, and power vehicles. Biomass fuels are simple to store and can satisfy both peak and daily energy needs. Biomass is a renewable energy source that may directly replace fossil fuels like coal, oil, and natural gas in any combination or in multiple forms (solid, liquid, or gaseous). Since all carbon released during burning has already been absorbed by plants, bioenergy is CO₂ neutral. Increased plant species, the reintroduction of old crops, and the introduction of new alternative crops all provide opportunities for reorganizing agricultural production in the direction of an ecologically sound system. As a result, new energy feedstocks will be created that have higher yields and less impact on the environment. Additionally, it will result in variety, a better-looking landscape, a decrease in crop management inputs like fertilizers, herbicides, and fungicides, and an improvement to the microclimate via water conservation and recycling techniques. Energy crops have made it

possible to generate and use large amounts of renewable fuels without significantly raising the atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

Crops may create oxygen from water during photosynthesis and absorb CO₂ that is produced when biofuels are used, so slowing the loss of oxygen in the atmosphere. Both developed and developing nations may benefit from biofuels' positive impact on the 'greenhouse effect' and the effects of global climate change. Energy crops can certainly be cultivated in ways that are beneficial to the environment. By producing biomass for energy, it is feasible to enhance the environmental condition of the land in comparison to its current usage. How the biomass is generated has a significant impact on the environmental result. In more detail the advantages and limitations that the production and harvest of solid energy crops have on the environment. All mineral nutrients, with the exception of nitrogen, are recovered via the thermochemical conversion of vegetative feedstocks at the biomass conversion plant in the form of ash. The ash may subsequently be used as fertilizer on the crops. By optimizing crop rotation systems and using nitrogen-fixing plant species (such as legumes), it is possible to replenish fixed nitrogen while reducing or eliminating the need for the required mineral nitrogen fertilizers. Annual food crops need more nitrogen than perennial lignocellulose energy crops. Additionally, energy crops in Otto cycle engines, using bioalcohol instead of gasoline improves knock resistance and lowers the amount of carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrocarbons (HCs) in the exhaust stream. Smoke, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and hydrocarbon (HC) emissions are reduced when diesel engines are run on bioalcohol. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and carcinogens are reduced in the exhaust gas when rapeseed oil and RME are used in diesel engines rather than diesel fuel [3], [4].

Power stations that use biomass integrated gasifier/gas turbines (BIG/GT) would be distinguished by their low emissions of sulfate and particulate matter. It is feasible to avoid the expensive capital equipment and operational cost penalties associated with sulphur removal thanks to the low sulphur concentration of biomass. However, the biofuels' nitrogen content may cause NO_x emissions. By cultivating biomass feedstocks with low nitrogen content and/or by selectively harvesting the areas of the biomass with high C/N ratios, these might be preserved at low levels. Additionally, compared to new, high-yielding varieties, feedstocks from ancient grain types with a low harvest index contain far less nitrogen. Production of feed, fuel, transportation and compression, and ultimate usage are taken into account. The primary sources of emissions from the biomass feedstocks, which in each instance have much lower life-cycle carbon dioxide emissions than the conventional feedstocks, are feed production, transport, and compression. No emissions are produced during gasoline manufacturing, and none are produced during end-use.

Less reliance on oil for conventional transportation fuels may result from the production of biomass biofuel for transportation and the ensuing improvement in land use. Methanol and hydrogen fuels made from biomass would also have the added benefit of boosting competition and price stability on the global markets for transportation fuels, as well as giving developing country rural regions a reliable source of income. When compared to traditional biofuels like ethanol from corn, these fuels have lower negative environmental effects and higher land-use efficiency. Additionally, they may be used in effective and eco-friendly FCVs. It is critical that biomass producers be informed about the many applications for their biomass as well as the growing demand for methanol and hydrogen fuels for use in transportation vehicles like FCVs. Developers of FCVs should also be aware that their invention and research efforts are valuable since these fuels are produced by using sustainable biomass.

Environmental Resources Management examined the economics of bioethanol as well as the results of subsidies in order to enable wheat and sugar beet farmers earn more money. The farmers were classified into "base case" and "favored region" groups in the research. The base scenario took into account small farms, which account for around 70% of farmers in the EU but are not located in typical sugar beet agricultural regions. In this case, wheat yields were anticipated to be 6t/ha and sugar beet yields to be 49t/ha; the set-aside subsidy was €270/ha. Larger farms were established in historic sugar beet producing areas in the preferred region, which also had bioethanol processing facilities there. Wheat yields were predicted to be 7t/ha and sugar beet yields to be 63t/ha; the set-aside subsidy was €315/ha. The study's findings are in terms of farmers' net income for the production of wheat and sugar beet in the base case and the preferred region when the crops are grown as food crops, as bioethanol crops on set-aside land, and when the land is left fallow and the subsidy payment is collected. The only person who may currently get a set-aside subsidy for producing wheat for non-food purposes is the wheat farmer [5], [6].

DISCUSSION

The study's findings also revealed the amount of money that a tax subsidy provided at the gasoline blending step would return to the base case farmers. The EU would waive a levy of €40/t on sugar beet. This would add €2/t to the farmer's revenue at a crop price to the farmer of €23/t. The EU would not impose a levy on wheat worth €151/t. This would add €2.5/t to the farmer's revenue at a crop price to the farmer of €28/t and a €45/t set-aside payment. The research came to the conclusion that growing wheat or sugar beet crops for the production of bioethanol would not be particularly practical for farmers in the EU. A tax decrease on fuels containing bioethanol would also not result in a large financial return to the farmer. To assist rural areas, the tax credit for bioethanol in motor fuels would be insufficient.

Compared to the generation of nonbiomass fuels, technological advancements in biomass conversion may first change the existing technical and economic circumstances. According to assessments of emerging technologies, power plants of a medium size (20-50MW) could achieve thermal efficiencies of more than 40% within a few years, eventually reaching 50% or more, and do so with capital costs that are significantly lower than those of comparable conventional biomass plants using boiler/steam technology. As a result, biomass usage for biofuels will be much more competitive. Energy crops meet the sustainability requirement since they don't deplete non-renewable fossil fuel resources because they convert solar energy and are cultivated sustainably. This will impose biodiversity and ecosystems, both of which ought to be significant economically.

Although the cost of biomass feedstock is now high, biomass methanol and hydrogen fuel costs might eventually match those of coal. Biomass methanol and hydrogen fuels are predicted to be competitive with natural gas by about the year 2010 if the cost of producing fuel from natural gas increases as anticipated. A tariff of less than 2% on the use of natural gas-derived fuels in fuel cell vehicles (FCVs) at that time might be sufficient to make biomass-derived fuels more cost-effective than natural gas. The contribution of biofuels to the energy supply varies among developed nations. In Germany, biomass makes up less than 2% of the nation's total primary energy supply, compared to 7% in Denmark, 4.5% in the USA, 13% in Austria, and approximately 17% in Sweden. Sweden has introduced three environmental taxes: a sulphur tax, a CO₂ tax, and an energy tax. It has built a highly successful taxing system for energy sources. Due to all of these factors, fossil energy resources are now more expensive than biofuels.

According to the US Department of Energy (DOE, 1997), about 66,000 employment were supported by economic activity related to biomass in the USA in 1997, with the majority of these jobs being located in rural areas. By 2017, it is anticipated that more than 30,000MW of biomass power might be constructed, with around 60% of the fuel coming from more than 10 million acres of energy crops and the other 40% coming from biomass wastes. This would significantly boost rural economies and sustain nearly 260,000 employment in the US .M Biomass energy crops may be a lucrative option for farmers that will complement current crops rather than compete with them and so contribute to the agricultural sector's revenue. It is intended that unused agricultural land will be used to produce biomass energy crops.

Expanded biomass power will offer high-skill, high-value employment possibilities for power plant owners and operators, agricultural equipment suppliers, and utility and power equipment vendors in addition to rural jobs. The ability to move to contemporary stoves and cleaner fuels like kerosene, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), and electricity is made possible by higher earnings and consistent access to fuel supply. Around the globe, many cultural traditions may be seen going through this transformation. These technologies are favored for their practicality, coziness, cleanliness, simplicity of use, speed, efficiency, and other qualities. As customers gradually transition from wood stoves to charcoal, kerosene, LPG or gas, and electric stoves, the efficiency, cost, and performance of stoves typically rise.MBy switching to enhanced biomass, gas, or kerosene stoves instead of conventional stoves that utilize commercially bought fuelwood, both operational costs and energy consumption may be significantly reduced. There are also options for replacing conventional stoves with high-performance ones, as well as liquid or gas (fossil or biomass-based) stoves with biomass stoves. The customer's decision will then be influenced by local differences in stove and fuel prices, as well as availability, convenience, and other factors, as well as consumer perceptions of stove performance[7], [8].

Over time, it will be necessary to switch to high-quality liquid and gas fuels for cooking. The time and effort required to cook with biomass fuels could be greatly decreased with this transition, and the household, local, and regional air pollution from smoky biomass (or coal) fires could be greatly reduced. This transition could also free up significant amounts of labor that are currently used to gather biomass fuels in rural areas. It is very crucial to employ biomass-derived liquid or gaseous fuels (such ethanol, biogas, and producer gas) for cooking and other high-tech possibilities. In addition to increasing the output efficiency of energy conversion processes and improving the environment, the development, advancement, and production of biomass stoves and cookers will also present significant opportunities for both direct and indirect job creation worldwide, particularly in rural areas.

In other circumstances, women in Asia and Africa must travel up to 20 miles to acquire firewood and spend up to 8 hours cooking just one meal for the family. The development of technology for pelleting and briquetting biomass will have a significant beneficial influence on rural markets, as will the cultivation of energy crops and the use of plant leftovers. Instead, the money that must be spent on fossil fuels will remain in the area. 300,000 m³ of bioethanol can be produced annually from sugar and wheat syrups. Compared to using fossil fuels, the production method reduces carbon dioxide emissions by up to 70%. Biomass is used as the major energy source. 6As a result, the firm has been granted 125,000 m³ of the dispersed production licenses, or half of them, year until 2013. This will help the Belgian government push biofuels on the domestic market. This technique has cost more than €250 million. In addition to purchasing the French ethanol manufacturer RyssenAlcools in June 2008, CropEnergies increased production capacity at its Zeitz, Saxony-Anhalt facility from 260,000 to 360,0060 m³ of bioethanol annually in order to cement its position as the biggest

bioethanol plant in Europe. RysseAlcools has an annual capacity of 100,000 m³ of bioethanol for fuel applications. With the completion of these projects, CropEnergies' ability to produce approximately 700,000m³ of bioethanol annually has almost quadrupled[9], [10].

Fossil fuel reliance has caused a broad range of issues for emerging nations that don't produce oil, as well as for certain developed nations and economies in transition. Energy imports surpass 10% of total export value in over 30 nations, placing a significant strain on their trade balance and often resulting in debt issues. Payments for oil imports outweigh payments for repaying foreign debt in around 20 developing nations. An essential component of the energy-foreign-exchange nexus is this. Decentralized rural electricity made possible by advanced bioenergy technologies will encourage rural development and drastically lower the price of imported fuels. The efficient utilization of current agricultural wastes for energy production should be given top attention in emerging nations with sizable populations of impoverished people who depend on agriculture. This choice would be least harmful to the poor and might bring in more money for underdeveloped rural areas. However, it necessitates the creation of efficient revenue-sharing systems that guarantee the fair distribution of the increased profits from the use of agricultural wastes among all stakeholders, including low-income farmers. A legislative and regulatory framework that permits the growth of contemporary agricultural waste-based bioenergy and offers, among other incentives, access to the power grid and the transportation fuel market is also necessary. In such circumstances, it would be necessary to put in place procedures for the effective centralization of agricultural wastes. Developing nations can consider the option of dedicated energy plantations after optimizing the use of current agricultural wastes for energy generation and establishing adequate revenue-sharing, regulatory, and policy frameworks, all while carefully balancing any associated trade-offs between food security and energy generation. Fortunately, the technical, regulatory, and policy know-how required to advance a fair agricultural waste energy industry frequently also includes the abilities required to create and nurture a sustainable dedicated energy plantation sector that doesn't negatively impact the underprivileged or reduce food security.

For about 2.4 billion people in poor nations, biomass is their main source of energy. For many of the world's poor, biomass is a convenient source of economical and necessary energy for cooking and space heating. Biomass-based industries are a significant source of employment and income in underdeveloped rural areas with few other options, despite the fact that their widespread use in developing nations has been linked to indoor air pollution, land degradation, and the consequent soil erosion. Different developing nations use a different proportion of biomass energy in their overall energy consumption, but in general, the poorer the nation, the higher its dependence on conventional biomass resources. The role of biomass in overall energy consumption has a lot of potential to increase, and this development might have a big influence on agriculture and the poor both positively and negatively.

The globe possesses the resources to produce all the food required, as well as significant quantities of biomass for use as fuel, but not in every nation or area. Trade is a potent tool for dispersing the advantages of this global capability while allowing nations to concentrate on cultivating the food, feed, or energy crops for which they are most competitive. Trade would also enable changes in bioenergy production patterns that are most economically advantageous when second-generation technologies become available. By selecting the proper sizes and methods for generating and processing biomass, the advantages for the underprivileged may also be increased. The market's large-scale production and processing of bioenergy have received the majority of attention so far since it is often the most economical strategy for private businesses. This is so that biomass crops may benefit from scale

economies of production and processing. Nevertheless, given their size and weight, the scale advantages must be weighed against the transportation costs and energy loss. Smaller-scale, rural production and processing are now possible, and the poor would benefit considerably more from this than they would from large-scale, urban processing. Additionally, combining land into highly automated farms for the production of biomass may not be acceptable in many developing nations. A better strategy is to unite smallholders so they can cultivate and sell biomass crops to significant processing companies. Many developing nations now use small-scale biomass processing to generate power or biogas, for example, and there is potential to increase these alternatives in the future. This helps them satisfy their local energy demands in rural regions. To overcome these problems and make biofuels beneficial to the poor, developing nations' agricultural research programs must play a significant role. For research, this is a viable field for public-private collaboration. The Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) might be essential in advancing global knowledge and promoting information sharing on the development of biofuels that benefit the underprivileged.

Nigeria-based Global Biofuels is constructing the first of many refineries for the ethanol generation process using sweet sorghum. E10 will be created by blending ethanol with gasoline. Nine states in Nigeria will host the initiative, according to Global Biofuels. With an initial capacity of 27 million liters annually, production is anticipated to begin in December 2009. Safflower, an oilseed crop used in food, will also be utilized to make biodiesel by the corporation, which will be used to power generators and factories. The IFAP [International Federation of Agricultural Producers] farmers' primary focus will always be on producing food and animal feed, but biofuels provide a new market opportunity, aid in risk diversification, and support rural development. The greatest alternative right now for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation sector and so aiding in climate change mitigation is biofuels.

Biofuels also enhance fuel security at a time when oil prices are at historic highs. Rising food costs have recently been attributed to biofuels. Numerous causes, such as supply limitations brought on by unfavorable weather conditions and changes in eating patterns that are driving up demand, are to blame for the increase in food costs. Since just a tiny amount of agricultural land is used to produce biofuels globally (1% in Brazil, 1% in Europe, and 4% in the United States) the development of these fuels has a negligible impact on the growth in food costs. It's crucial to dispel the myths around biofuels for an agricultural community that has long struggled with meager revenues. If the production of bioenergy conforms with sustainability standards, it offers an excellent chance to strengthen rural economies and combat poverty. Food production is not endangered by family farmers' sustainable biofuel production. It offers a chance to become profitable and revitalize rural areas.

Until the sector develops, the development of biofuels is dependent on supportive public policy frameworks and incentives, such as obligatory biofuel usage objectives and fiscal incentives that favor biofuels over fossil fuels. When biofuels are produced locally, it is in the national interest since it boosts the economy and creates jobs. Governments could also provide financial incentives for investment, such as income tax breaks for small biofuel producers, funding for bioenergy facilities, matching subsidies to farmers to encourage involvement, and lower business risk for implementing innovative technology. It is essential to support research and development, especially in the areas of small-scale technologies and increasing the energy potential of native plants. Although they are not a panacea, biofuels provide farmers enormous economic potential.

'For farmers to profit, a thorough long-term analysis of economic, environmental, and social benefits and costs is necessary to pinpoint practical options targeted at raising producers' earnings. To fully realize the potential environmental and economic advantages, sound strategies must be created in collaboration with all relevant parties. These strategies should include the implementation of a sensible land-use strategy, the careful choice of crops and production regions, and the defense of farmers' rights. Farmers' groups must advocate for the development of the appropriate incentive structures so that their members may take advantage of this new opportunity and produce supplemental income. To prevent conflict between the uses of certain crops for food and fuel, as well as to get the proper signals on the growth of biofuel production globally, more research and development are required. Therefore, it is crucial to close the knowledge gap about biofuels by disseminating information and implementing capacity development programs to help farmers take control of the value chain.

CONCLUSION

The information put out emphasizes how crucial it is to implement sustainable practices in the choice of feedstocks, land use planning, and conversion technologies in order to limit harmful environmental effects. Although it must be produced and used in a way that protects ecosystems, preserves biodiversity, and lowers carbon emissions, bioenergy shows promise as a renewable energy source.

To make sure that bioenergy consumption is in line with sustainability objectives, policymakers, environmental scientists, energy specialists, and researchers must work together. This calls for the incorporation of environmental factors into bioenergy regulations, funding for the development of low-impact technology, and the encouragement of sustainable land use methods.]

Consuming bioenergy has an influence on the environment, which is a complicated task. However, with coordinated efforts and a dedication to sustainability, bioenergy may play a significant part in reducing climate change and promoting a more ecologically friendly energy landscape.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A. F. Torres *et al.*, "Maize feedstocks with improved digestibility reduce the costs and environmental impacts of biomass pretreatment and saccharification", *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s13068-016-0479-0.
- [2] B. H. Ba, C. Prins, en C. Prodhon, "Models for optimization and performance evaluation of biomass supply chains: An Operations Research perspective", *Renew. Energy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.045.
- [3] A. Singh en D. Rathore, *Biohydrogen production: Sustainability of current technology and future perspective*. 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-3577-4.
- [4] A. T. Ubando *et al.*, "Priority Evaluation of Life Cycle Impact Factors for Algal Biofuel Production in the Philippines Using Analytic Hierarchy Process", *Appl. Mech. Mater.*, 2016, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.842.355.
- [5] R. Patrisina, "Humanitarian Logistics Planning in Indonesia", 2016.
- [6] Vincentia Poppy Indraswari, "Pengaruh Teknologi Informasi, Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi, Keahlian Karyawan dan Pengendalian Internal Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan Hotel di Slatiga", *Front. Neurosci.*, 2016.

- [7] W. Liu, J. Wang, T. L. Richard, D. S. Hartley, S. Spatari, en T. A. Volk, “Economic and life cycle assessments of biomass utilization for bioenergy products”, *Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/bbb.1770.
- [8] Z. Jiang *et al.*, “Assessment of bioenergy development potential and its environmental impact for rural household energy consumption: A case study in Shandong, China”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.085.
- [9] V. L. Samokhvalova, A. O. Khristenko, L. O. Shedey, P. A. Samokhvalova, en O. V. Karatsuba, “Prediction of soil fertility considering their macronutrient status”, *Fundam. Appl. Soil Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.15421/041711.
- [10] R. Alders, “Achieving ethical and ecologically sustainable human diets through the planetary health paradigm”, *J. Nutr. Intermed. Metab.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jnim.2017.04.003.

CHAPTER 9

INVESTMENTS AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT IN BIOENERGY PRODUCTION SYSTEM

Shreshta Bandhu Rastogi, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- Shreshtha.polytechnic@tmu.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The bioenergy production system's growth, sustainability, and influence on the global energy landscape are all shaped by investments and market development, which are essential components of the system. In order to fully understand investments and market growth in the bioenergy production system consumption, it is important to understand their relevance, major motivators, difficulties, and possibilities. The study goes into the complex dimensions that highlight the significance of these features in the context of bioenergy via an assessment of the intricate variables that impact market dynamics, investments, and technical breakthroughs, including governmental frameworks, financial incentives, and improvements in technology. It emphasizes the crucial role of encouraging investments and market development to enable the effective integration of bioenergy into the larger energy mix by drawing on the concepts of economic viability, innovation, and energy security. Investments and market growth in bioenergy production systems are influenced by a variety of variables, from governmental support to technical innovation, which calls for strategic planning and cooperation.

KEYWORDS:

Energy Security, Financial Incentives, Innovation Ecosystems, Investments, PolicyFrameworks.

INTRODUCTION

To produce jatropha and other non-food plants for biodiesel, for instance, China has set aside an area the size of England. Jatropha may be grown on up to 60 million acres of non-arable land, and India wants to use jatropha-based biodiesel to replace 20% of its diesel fuel. Large-scale initiatives are being carried out in Brazil and Africa to grow castor and jatropha for biodiesel. The market for biodiesel in the USA is expanding quickly, going from 25 million gallons annually in 2004 to over 450 million gallons in 2007. Less than 1% of all diesel usage in the US is made up by the biodiesel marketed there. By 2010, it is intended for biodiesel to account for 6% of all transportation fuel used in Europe. New government objectives and incentives are being implemented in China, India, Brazil, and Europe with the aim of lowering petroleum imports and boosting the usage and production of renewable fuels. This is due to economic and environmental security concerns. Each of the following regions has a goal of replacing 5 to 20 percent of all diesel with biodiesel: Europe, Brazil, China, and India. South America has a lot of potential for expansion in the biofuels industry because to its tropical climate, vast territory, and great fertility[1], [2].

It is a matter of adapting in the case of Brazil, which has a solid and mature three decades of ethanol development already under its belt, to maintain its leading position as a key producer of affordable and sustainable biofuels and reclaim its title as the world's largest ethanol producer from the US. While poor countries like Ecuador are still in the early stages of creating sustainable markets for biofuels, Peru and Colombia are making steady progress on new biodiesel and ethanol plants. Statistics from New Energy Finance, a research firm that specializes in renewable energy, show that in 2007, more than a third of all biofuel

investments worldwide came from Latin America. "What we saw in 2007 was US\$19.5 billion (€15 billion) of investment globally in biofuels projects," says Camila Ramos, an analyst with New Energy Finance located in So Paulo. "Latin America invested US\$7.9 billion in bioenergy in 2007, and US\$5.1 billion was invested there only in the first half of 2008. Already stronger than 2007, much of the investment has gone toward expanding the sugar cane industry in Brazil. In reality, despite the fact that the pace of worldwide investment in biofuels has slowed overall, Brazil led the list of the most desirable nations for biofuels investment in the first and second quarters of 2008, displacing the US, which topped the list in 2007[3], [4].

Brazilian ethanol output is anticipated to increase from 26 billion liters per year in 2008 to 38 billion liters per year in 2012. Brazil has over 400 factories, utilizes about 80% of its output locally, and only exports about 15% of it. Nearly 90% of Brazilian automobiles are now flex-fuel vehicles that accept an ethanol mix, and ethanol currently makes up 52% of all the fuel used by passenger cars in Brazil after surpassing gasoline consumption for the first time in March 2008. Brazil has a lot of experience, which is important when it comes to the nation. Impressive levels of effectiveness. According to data from the World Bank, Brazil generates approximately ten times as much energy from sugar cane as the US does from maize, and whereas Brazil only uses 3.6 million ha to cultivate its corn for ethanol, the US utilizes over 10 million ha. The global ethanol market is anticipated to reach 27.7 billion gallons by the year 2012, driven by increasing crude oil costs, growing environmental concerns, and the ensuing migration to alternate fuels. In the medium to long term, it is anticipated that strict emission standards legislation and governmental action in the form of subsidies and tax incentives would promote market expansion. The future expansion of the market is anticipated to come from both established and emerging markets. India and China are promising opportunities for growth.

Rising consumption trends in end-use markets have a positive impact on the global ethanol industry. With MTBE being banned in various countries and being replaced with ethanol as a consequence, fuel ethanol is seeing unprecedented attention. The majority of governments in developed countries are enforcing regulations, which is also boosting demand for ethanol in gasoline. By the year 2012, the US must consume 8 billion gallons of ethanol, according to the renewable fuels requirement. Government involvement to promote ethanol usage is encouraged by the desire to minimize costly increases in crude oil costs, decrease greenhouse gas emissions, and lessen global dependency on oil. For instance, government incentives and subsidies that are provided at both the state and federal levels in most nations will support the usage of ethanol. The global ethanol industry is anticipated to benefit from the growing acceptance of flexible fuel vehicles (FFVs) and oxydiesel, a fuel mix of ethanol and diesel. Increased ethanol usage in fuels is anticipated as a consequence of technological advancements that allow for the blending of more than 10% ethanol in gasoline. It is anticipated that the development of new technologies and the rise of new end-use applications will provide new growth prospects.

Given that more than 50% of the sugar cane produced globally is utilized to make ethanol, ethanol output is correlated with sugar cane crop yields. According to a recent research from Global Industry Analysts Inc. (2008), South America and the United States control more than 66.5 percent of the expected total volume sales of ethanol in 2008. Brazil consumes over 90% of the ethanol needed in South America. By 2015, it is anticipated that Brazil would use 7.45 billion gallons of ethanol. Over the years 2008 to 2012, it is anticipated that sales of ethanol in Canada, one of the markets with the highest growth globally, would increase by around 208.25 million gallons. The use of ethanol in fuels is anticipated to be the main driver of

global expansion. Over the years 2008 to 2012, it is anticipated that ethanol volume consumption in the market for fuels for end use would increase by around 7597 million gallons at double-digit rates. With an estimated 64.2% of the worldwide market for food and beverage end-use in 2008, Asia-Pacific is the market leader. By the year 2015, it is anticipated that the solvent end-use market in the US would utilize more than 230 million gallons of ethanol. According to estimates from 2008, Europe, Germany, and France together make up 35.5% of the regional ethanol market[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

Without fully accounting for the ensuing social, environmental, economic, and security repercussions, the globe still seeks energy to meet its requirements. It is increasingly obvious that existing energy practices cannot be sustained. Political institutions must make sure that the end users benefit from the research and development of technology enabling sustainable systems. In order to preserve sustainability and prevent disruption of the natural life cycle, scientists and people must accept responsibility for recognizing that the Earth is an interconnected whole and must realize the influence of our activities on the global ecosystem. Demands must be met and hazards must be eliminated for sustainability to exist on a regional and global scale.

The methods used to produce energy today are non-renewable and unsustainable. Additionally, energy is closely related to the most important social problems that have a negative impact on sustainable development, including gender inequality, poverty, jobs, income levels, access to social services, population growth, agricultural production, climate change, environmental quality, and economic and security concerns. The global social, economic, and environmental objectives of sustainability cannot be attained without giving proper consideration to the crucial role of energy to all these areas. The amount of change required is, in fact, enormous, fundamental, and directly tied to the amount of energy generated and used on a national and worldwide level. Overcoming the lack of commitment and developing the political will to preserve people and the natural resource base is the main obstacle to achieving these goals. Failure to act will cause the deterioration of natural resources to continue, increase disputes over limited resources, and exacerbate the divide between the affluent and the poor.

While we still have options, we must take action. One of the most crucial tools at the disposal of humanity for building a sustainable future is the implementation of sustainable energy plans. Over two billion people, the majority of whom reside in rural regions, lack access to modern energy sources. Energy availability is intimately correlated with the availability of food and fodder. At the IEF, energy generation and consumption must be sustainable, ecologically friendly, and primarily based on renewable energy sources. It combines a variety of non-polluting energy production options, such as contemporary wind and solar power generation and the production of energy from biomass. It should aim to maximize ecological semi-closure and energy autonomy while simultaneously ensuring socio-economic viability and taking into account the most recent ideas in landscape and biodiversity management. The idea takes into account the following demands of the rural people to better their living standards, living situations, and the environment.

An IEF. Climate restrictions, water availability, soil conditions, infrastructure, skills and technology availability, population structure, flora and fauna, typical agricultural practices, and the availability of economic, educational, and administrative resources in the area should all be taken into account. It is clear that in Europe, wind and biomass energies make up the majority of the energy mix, but in North Africa and the Sahara, sun and wind energies are

clearly the primary focus. Equatorial locations have excellent opportunities for solar and biomass energy production, and minimal contribution from wind energy is anticipated in these areas. According to these hypotheses, a farm area of 4.8, 10 and 12 ha would be required in southern Europe, the equatorial areas, and northern and central Europe, respectively, for the growing of biomass for energy purposes. This would equate to yearly output for the corresponding areas of 36, 45, and 60 tonnes. In the areas of North Africa and the Sahara, 14 tonnes of biomass from 1.2 percent of the total land would be required for energy supplies in addition to wind and solar energy [7], [8].

Global interest in the production and use of biofuels has been sparked by rising fossil fuel costs, geopolitical concerns, and environmental damage related to fossil fuel consumption. A variety of policies have been adopted by both developed and developing nations to promote the development of combustible fuels derived from plants, which has led to public and private investments in the R&D and production of biofuel crops. The UN's Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), which have 2015 as their goal year, provide a road map for enhancing livelihoods (by reducing poverty) and protecting the environment. Even though energy is the driving force behind economic growth, which is crucial for reducing poverty, diversifying agricultural uses and finding and introducing biofuel crops will increase farmers' incomes and help to eradicate extreme poverty (MDG 1) in rural regions. Given the close relationship between per capita energy consumption and crop yields in both rich and developing nations, "energizing" the agricultural production chain is essential to ensuring food security. Energy feedstocks made from particular crops, along with other renewable energy sources, offer environmentally friendly and sustainable energy options that support environmental sustainability (MDG 7) and present chances to raise the income levels of smallholder subsistence farmers in developing countries who depend on agriculture for their livelihoods. But not all crops have the same environmental benefits.

Crucial factors include the crop, cultivar, production method, and processing technology. New local, regional, and national public-private partnerships for development will result from bioenergy R&D. Applications for bioenergy are quite varied and include fuels for transportation, power production, and heat provision. Burning wood for cooking and heating is an example of a direct use of biomass. Indirect uses include turning biomass into ethanol from sugar cane or biogas from animal manure. Due to a lack of alternatives, traditional biomass usage in open hearths for heating and cooking continues to be crucial in underdeveloped nations. Burning wood for heating in compact systems like stoves or open chimneys has a long history elsewhere. Modern heating systems now utilise processed wood wastes in the form of pellets or chips. Modern biomass is burnt in large-scale facilities to generate electricity and heat. Solid biomass, such as wood waste, yard waste, and straw, may be burned alone or in combination with coal in existing coal-fired plants. In certain facilities, biogas may be produced from agricultural waste products like slurry. It may either be utilized to generate electricity and heat or injected into gas networks.

Plant oils derived from plants like rape, sunflower, or oil palm are used to make biofuel or biodiesel. After fermentation, bioethanol is produced by plants that contain starch and sugar, such as potatoes and sugar beets. Hydrogen and methanol may also be produced from solid biomass. Whether garbage or specifically grown crops are employed, using biomass for energy may significantly aid in resource conservation and climate preservation. Additionally, it may be used to all fuels, heat, and power applications. In addition to helping the environment, using biogas, digester gas, and landfill gas as energy sources offers farmers the chance to increase the value of their slurry and reduce odor emissions. However, the generation of bioenergy may have detrimental effects on the environment, such as

eutrophication, acidification, or summer smog. Depending on the farming or forestry practices used, the production of energy crops may potentially have undesirable effects. Standards must be established for the optimum production techniques in each application in order to manage the landscapes sustainably and to obtain the most energy. To establish such standards, the World Wide Fund for Nature is working within its own programs, including forestry, agriculture, fresh water, and climate and energy.

Biomass from live plants may be found everywhere around us. Plants store the energy of the sun in their leaves, stems, bark, fruits, seeds, and roots as they develop. Because of their wide variety, bioenergy crops may be grown almost everywhere in the globe. When we talk about energy crop species, we're talking about annual and perennial species that may be grown for their ability to create solid, liquid, or gaseous energy feedstocks. Although they do not fit under this category, the organic wastes and leftovers from the most varied forms of plant production that are also utilized for energy generation still have a significant potential.

All plant species that predominantly store oils or carbohydrates may be used to make liquid fuels. Among other things, ethanol may be made from cellulose, starch, sugar, and inulin. Oils from plants may be used as fuel. Lignocellulose-containing plant parts have the potential to provide energy either directly as solid fuels or indirectly via conversion. In agriculture, making alcohol from raw vegetable ingredients has a long history. Starch plant species, cereals like maize and grain sorghum, as well as potatoes, topinambur, and sugar crops (sugar beet, root chicory, sweet sorghum, and sugar cane) are the species that can be used to produce ethanol from an agricultural standpoint [9], [10].

the majority of the crop species now utilized to make ethanol. Although not yet used on a large-scale engineering level, the goal-directed utilization of cellulose-containing biomass from agricultural crops for alcohol production has great promise. If technologies created at the laboratory size could be used at large technological production scales, the whole USA's requirement for gasoline might be replaced in this manner. Although oil crops are widely spread from north to south of the globe, only a small number of plants have a high oil production per unit area (tonnes per hectare), which is a disadvantage compared to alternative fuel feedstocks like ethanol or solid biofuel. The three primary crops that produce the most oil are rape, sunflower, and soy.

The yearly oscillation of the water level controls the life cycle of plants that grow on floodplains. The difference in water level has a typical amplitude of 10 meters, however it may vary from 6 meters to 14 meters depending on the year. It is not a floating plant, *echinocloa*. The leaves extend out of the water, but the roots are anchored in the earth. To endure the rising and flowing water, the stem must be strong and long enough. Because of their enhanced production, C4 plants are able to put more assimilates into the stem. The length of the young plants increases rapidly starting in November at a rate of around 1.1 m every month. If the stems are vertical, the crown extends 1-2 meters above the water.

Internodes are incrementally added at a rate of seven per month to improve stem length. New shoots develop at the nodes of the old stems and take root in the silt as the water level recedes in October, exposing the sediment surface. Each branch develops into a separate plant as the old stems rot away and die. Normally, each new plant just has a single, unbranched shoot. In late November or early December, when the water level rises to cover the silt, the stems elongate upward to keep up with the constant increase in water level. Each node loses its leaves as it sinks, and adventitious roots start to grow in their place. Highly murky water prevents submerged plants from receiving enough light for photosynthesis. Only when the leaves are arranged in a canopy above the water's surface can photosynthesis take place. Only

34 4 days are the leaves' average lifespan. When the leaves are submerged by the rising water, they quickly decompose and perish. At the top of the stems, new leaves grow quickly enough to keep the canopy thick. The stems grow more exposed and twisted as the water level declines, finally falling into the exposed sediment surface in October.

The yearly oscillation of the water level controls the life cycle of plants that grow on floodplains. The difference in water level has a typical amplitude of 10 meters, however it may vary from 6 meters to 14 meters depending on the year. It is not a floating plant, *Echinochloa*. The leaves extend out of the water, but the roots are anchored in the earth. To endure the rising and flowing water, the stem must be strong and long enough. Because of their enhanced production, C4 plants are able to put more assimilates into the stem. The length of the young plants increases rapidly starting in November at a rate of around 1.1 m every month. If the stems are vertical, the crown extends 1-2 meters above the water. Internodes are incrementally added at a rate of seven per month to improve stem length.

New shoots develop at the nodes of the old stems and take root in the silt as the water level recedes in October, exposing the sediment surface. Each branch develops into a separate plant as the old stems rot away and die. Normally, each new plant just has a single, unbranched shoot. In late November or December, when the water level rises to cover the silt, the stems elongate upward to keep up with the gradual increase. At a location in the center of the Amazon, the crop's maximum standing at 80t/ha was discovered. The stand's mean dry matter production rate per unit of solar energy collected was 2.3 g/MJ, which is near to the maximum rate for C4 plants. After November, the biomass rises gradually to a high of 6880g/m² in September, or 8000g/m² if associated dead material is taken into account. The total dry mass grows by 7000 35g/m² throughout the nine-month growth period from the December harvest to the September harvest, growing at a daily rate of 25.9 0.1g/m².

Peak dry mass of 8000g/m² is not a true representation of net primary output as a whole. 9930 670g/m² are obtained when dead leaves are included, of which the shoots account for 9420 660g/m². Since November, the total net primary output has increased consistently every month, demonstrating that there is no seasonal productivity constraint at all. It was shown that a biomass production of up to 20 tonnes of dry matter per hectare is feasible when this plant species was grown for many years on dry terrain (in Germany as a perennial crop) (El Bassam, 2001b). According to published evidence, the majority of the carbon used to produce CO₂ emissions from Amazon rivers and floodplains comes from C4 plants. It looked at whether the rates of net primary production (NPP) and biomass turnover of floating grasses in Lake Calado, a lake in the heart of the Amazon, are consistent with this claim. During the aquatic growth phase, low altitude videography and ground-based measurements of species composition, plant growth rates, plant densities, and aerial biomass were used to estimate community NPP and compare predicted with observed biomass.

CONCLUSION

The supplied data emphasizes the significance of building a supportive governmental climate, luring investments, and cultivating innovation ecosystems to promote the growth of the bioenergy sector. Increasing energy security, lowering carbon emissions, and fostering economic development are all achievable goals with bioenergy, but doing so needs strategic planning and cooperation among stakeholders. To establish ed a favorable climate for bioenergy investments and market expansion, policymakers, investors, energy specialists, researchers, and industry leaders must collaborate. This entails creating policy frameworks that are encouraging, offering financial incentives, and promoting technological innovation. Investments and market development in the bioenergy production system present dynamic

and evolving challenges, but bioenergy can play a significant role in achieving a more diversified and sustainable global energy mix with concerted efforts and a commitment to sustainability and innovation.

REFERENCES:

- [1] I. Valdez-Vazquez, C. del Rosario Sánchez Gastelum, en A. E. Escalante, “Proposal for a sustainability evaluation framework for bioenergy production systems using the MESMIS methodology”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.136.
- [2] F. K. Chong *et al.*, “Design of Ionic Liquid as Carbon Capture Solvent for a Bioenergy System: Integration of Bioenergy and Carbon Capture Systems”, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2017, doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b00589.
- [3] Y. Ma, W. Cai, en Y. Liu, “An integrated engineering system for maximizing bioenergy production from food waste”, *Appl. Energy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.08.190.
- [4] E. Martinez-Hernandez, M. Y. Leung Pah Hang, M. Leach, en A. Yang, “A Framework for Modeling Local Production Systems with Techno-Ecological Interactions”, *J. Ind. Ecol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/jiec.12481.
- [5] A. R. Proto, J. Bacenetti, G. Macrì, en G. Zimbalatti, “Roundwood and bioenergy production from forestry: Environmental impact assessment considering different logging systems”, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.227.
- [6] R. G. Saratale *et al.*, “Bioelectrochemical systems using microalgae – A concise research update”, *Chemosphere*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.132.
- [7] O. Arodudu, K. Helming, H. Wiggering, en A. Voinov, “Towards a more holistic sustainability assessment framework for agro-bioenergy systems — A review”, *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.eiar.2016.07.008.
- [8] D. Puyol, D. J. Batstone, T. Hülsen, S. Astals, M. Peces, en J. O. Krömer, “Resource recovery from wastewater by biological technologies: Opportunities, challenges, and prospects”, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02106.
- [9] E. Rodias, R. Berruto, D. Bochtis, P. Busato, en A. Sopegno, “A computational tool for comparative energy cost analysis of multiple-crop production systems”, *Energies*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/en10070831.
- [10] C. H. Greene *et al.*, “Geoengineering, marine microalgae, and climate stabilization in the 21st century”, *Earth’s Future*. 2017. doi: 10.1002/2016EF000486.

CHAPTER 10

CROP MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IN MAINTAINING ENERGY SYSTEM

Sunil Kumar, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- er.sunil85@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

Crop management practices are essential for preserving the energy system and guaranteeing a consistent and sustainable supply of bioenergy feedstocks. In order to maximize crop yields, reduce negative environmental effects, and improve the overall sustainability of the energy system, this study emphasizes the relevance of crop management systems in the context of energy production. The paper delves into the intricate dimensions that highlight the significance of these systems in ensuring the availability of bioenergy feedstocks through an examination of the complex factors that shape crop management practices, including soil health, precision agriculture, and sustainable farming methods. Systems for managing crops include a variety of techniques and tools that are designed to increase agricultural yield while reducing negative effects on the environment.

KEYWORDS:

Crop Diversification, Precision Agriculture, Soil Health, Sustainable Farming.

INTRODUCTION

The nutritional content of plant stems is relatively low while that of goods generated from the protein-rich leaf material is high, this process makes use of the differences between the plant parts. The value of the stems is larger when they are utilized for energy production than when they are used as fodder. Following gasification, the gas powers a combustion turbine with a 50 MW output, and the heat is utilized to create superheated high pressure steam, which powers a steam turbine with a 29 MW output. The plant's net output is 75 MW, and its overall efficiency is 40%. It is also feasible to burn the stems directly, which would reduce the cost of building the power plant but reduce efficiency. Before the stems and leaves are separated, the plant must be dried. In addition to increasing the value of the fodder, the removal of the leaf and fibrous debris also eliminates the nitrogen from the fuel-bond. The turbine produces less NO_x as a result. For the production of leaf meal and power from alfalfa, calculated energy balances have shown a total system efficiency of 1:3, meaning that for every unit of energy input, the system generates three units of energy output [1], [2].

The overall output of alfalfa may rise by up to 42% when it is cultivated just for biomass with two to three cuts as opposed to the usual practice of four to five cuts for generating dairy hay, and the potential ethanol production from stems doubles. Local production and processing of alfalfa-based cellulosic biomass will be necessary to increase energy output while lowering transportation costs. When you take into account the high yield produced by nearby producers, the fit is obvious for the Columbia Basin. The efficiency of energy output by alfalfa is two to three times that of maize grain or soy beans when it is situated within 15 miles of a processing plant.

Every drop of oil on Earth is the result of millions of years' worth of accumulation from algae and other natural debris that has been buried, crushed, and finally drilled. Since the late 1800s, this oil has been our main source of energy. Now think that we will deplete what took hundreds of millions of years to produce in less than 300 years. Alternative sources of energy

are also certain to emerge as a result of the unavoidable global oil depletion. Algae are plants that can take in carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and solar energy, which they can then store as biomass. A variety of labs throughout the world are doing research on microalgal production at varying sizes. Production of microalgal biomass has enormous potential to boost global energy supply and reduce CO₂ emissions as energy demand rises. This approach utilizes resources that are unsuitable for agriculture and other biomass production technologies, including as desert and semi-arid regions and highly salinized water. Microalgae are one of the most varied groupings of organisms on Earth, with over 40,000 species currently known. They naturally create significant amounts of lipids and other oils as well as other biomaterials [3], [4].

With a growth potential an order of magnitude greater than terrestrial crop plants due to their extraordinarily efficient light and nutrient utilization, nature has had about 4 billion years to create strains with unique abilities to grow robustly in a variety of environmental conditions and evolve unique metabolic characteristics like intracellular lipid storage. The use of naturally occurring photosynthetic microalgae that have been collected and isolated over the past 25 years for the production of renewable liquid fuels offers a green and renewable resource of feedstock biomass to meet rising energy needs, particularly the demand for liquid fuels. Initially in collaboration with the Aquatic Species Program of the DOE, and later at Arizona State University. Microalgae may live as unicells, colonies, or long filaments and exhibit a wide variety of genetic variability. They are widely dispersed across the biosphere and may grow in a broad range of environments. Microalgae may be grown in a variety of aquatic environments, including very salinized water and fresh water. The sands of the desert, the wet, black dirt, and every other environment in between are all home to them. Cloud-dwelling microalgae have been discovered, and they are well-known to be crucial parts of coral reefs. The variety of metabolic products they make is greatly influenced by this broad spectrum of ecological needs. Both open culture systems, including ponds, lakes, and canals, and highly regulated closed culture systems, such those employed in industrial fermentation operations, may be utilized to cultivate microalgae. When the environmental conditions are particularly precise, such as in high-salt or high-alkaline ponds, lakes, or lagoons, certain microalgae are very appropriate for open system production. The development of competing species is greatly constrained by the harsh nature of these conditions, yet other kinds of creatures may contaminate the culture. Such systems have the benefit of often requiring little investment, being very cost-effective, and being simple to operate. Contrarily, closed culture systems are much more expensive to set up and maintain but are independent of any fluctuations in agroclimatic conditions and are tightly regulated for best performance and quality.

Open culture systems make use of the sun's natural light but are completely vulnerable to the whims of the weather unless they have some kind of shade device in place. For phototrophic culture and traditional fermenters for heterotrophic growth, highly regulated closed systems are used. Both the related expenditure and the spectrum of complexity possible for the two systems are fairly broad. Photobioreactors, for instance, may range from simple, externally lighted glass jars to highly sophisticated fermenters filled with light-transmitting fiber optic filaments to assure uniform illumination to all cells and injected with precise gas mixes to govern metabolism and growth rates. An α -type tubular design is used in several modern photobioreactors for increased cost effectiveness and commercial efficiency [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Direct extraction is the easiest and most efficient method of acquiring products when the hydrocarbons are synthesized anabolically by the microalgae. This may be accomplished by

using solvents, by directly expressing the liquid lipids, or by combining the two techniques. The heavy greasy or tarry material produced by the thermochemical liquefaction process is often divided into distinct fractions by conventional catalytic cracking. Microalgal lipids may be transesterified into acceptable gasoline and diesel fuels, much as hydrocarbons produced from other renewable biomass sources. The research and development on microalgae combines environmental bioremediation with the utilization of microalgae for the generation of biofuels. An further environmental advantage provided by microalgae is the removal and recycling of nutrients (such as nitrogen and phosphorus) from water and wastewater as well as carbon dioxide from flue-gases released by fossil fuel-fired power plants.

A unique field-scale bioreactor has been used to show the combination of biofuel production, carbon sequestration, and wastewater bioremediation. Although algal biomass leftovers from the oil extraction process can be used as fertilizer or animal feed, we are currently investigating the possibility of using biomass leftovers to produce ethanol, methane, and high-value biomaterials like biopolymers, carotenoids, and very long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in partnership with our industrial partners. Collaboration with commercial partners has either begun or is ongoing to provide flue gas (APS), animal wastewater (United Dairymen of Arizona), commercial algal feedstock production capabilities (PetroAlgae), technical assistance with converting algae oil to biofuels (UOP and Honeywell Aerospace Division), and assistance with marketing algal feedstock.

Algae-based biodiesel businesses are expanding in the US and the EU in response to the need for clean fuels. Each of these initiatives displays amplified interest from the public and business sectors in second-generation, non-food markets. Late in 2006, investments began, and they will continue to rise until at least 2008. For instance, Chevron has teamed with and invested in the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to grow algae for biodiesel, jet fuel, and biocrude. To improve the use of algae for jet fuel and biofuels, BP has invested in PPPs with the University of California at Berkeley, Arizona State University, and DARPA. In 2008, the US Department of Energy contributed US\$2.3 million to initiatives using algae. To generate algae for biofuels, Shell has engaged in a PPP with Cellana, a partnership with the Hawaiian Natural Energy Laboratory and HR Biopetroleum (Emerging Markets Online, 2008). Algae has received around US\$300 million (€233 million) in investment so far in 2008. According to preliminary results from a new research called Algae 2017, there are three major investment patterns or waves that are now manifesting themselves on the road to the industry's commercialisation. Investment in public-private partnerships (PPPs) is the initial wave [5], [6].

After the previous crop has been harvested in late summer (early September), or in the spring, the annual ryegrass seed may be sowed. Approximately 35kg/ha of seed was sowed. Two to four weeks before to the typical first frost date is the suggested sowing date. The recommended fertilizer rates from Germany for annual ryegrass crops with more than one harvest per year are shown in Table 10.5 below. Nitrogen fertilizer, which may take the form of up to 7.5% liquid manure, is administered in accordance with the various development phases of the plant. The rates of fertilizer change depending on the kind of soil. Among the ailments that harm annual ryegrass crops the most are rusts (*Puccinia* spp.) and mold (*Fusarium* spp.). Annual ryegrass is simple to include into the crop rotation. There is presently no suggested crop rotation since annual ryegrass has not been proved to negatively affect crops that come after it, and because no crops have been demonstrated to negatively affect annual ryegrass. Annual ryegrass is a fast-growing, aggressive, cool-season grass that can be cultivated almost wherever there is sufficient soil moisture. It may live in moist, poorly drained soils, but not in prolonged floods. It might be sown alone, with tiny grains,

legumes (clover), or Bermuda or bahia grass overseeded in warm-season pastures. Annual ryegrass is typically seeded from late September to the beginning of October at a rate of 20 to 30 pounds per acre for pure stands or 10 to 15 pounds per acre for mixtures (small grains or Bermuda or bahia grass overseeding). Warm-season grass should be overseeded in November, and sufficient forage should have been removed to enable optimum soil-seed contact. Rust and armyworms might pose issues. The recommended seeding depth is between 0.5 and 1 cm [7], [8].

With the exception of Europe, the native habitat of bamboos, a group of enormous woody grasses, is located approximately between the 40° southern and northern latitudes. 25 grass bamboo genera and more than 130 genera of woody bamboos have been named as of the present, while several other estimates put these numbers lower. More than 1300 species of the Bambusoideae subfamily, which are found in tropical, subtropical, and mild temperate regions of the globe, make up these bamboos. Many Asian nations, including Bangladesh, China, India, and Indonesia, significantly rely on bamboo. They have a total area of more than 25 million hectares and are dispersed throughout tropical, subtropical, and mild temperate zones.

The upright aerial stems, or culms, of bamboo plants, which are typically perennial, arise from a subterranean root system and rhizome mat. Typically hollow, smooth, spherical, and ranging in color from brown to yellow-brown to yellow-green, culms are made mostly of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. They may grow to a maximum height of 10–40m in around 3–4 months and have a diameter of up to 20 cm or more. One species, *Phyllostachys*, may reach heights of 2–10 m and is being researched as a potential energy plant. Once the culm reaches its maximum height, lateral buds located close to the top of the culm often develop into branches. The final branches of the branches, which are capable of secondary and tertiary branching, bear leaves. Bamboo's long fibers, which may range in length from 1.5 to 3.2 mm and constitute up 60–70% of the culm's weight, are what make it ideal for making paper. Bamboo is sturdy, produces a lot of biomass, and has a comparable quantity of energy as wood. Regarding their rhizome system, bamboos may be classified as either sympodial or monopodial. Sympodial bamboos are clump-forming and lack any roots or buds at the neck of the rhizome. The rhizomes of monopodials, which spread apart from one another, contain roots and buds, and at regular intervals, axillary buds cause the creation of new culms. Unlike temperate bamboos, which may fall into either group, tropical bamboos are sympodial [9], [10].

Although bamboos can adapt to a variety of settings rather well, the majority of species need warm, humid temperatures. It is desirable that the yearly mean temperature be between 20 and 30 °C. Although it is advised that the temperature not drop below -15°C and the height stay under 800m, certain species have the essential cold resilience to be able to survive at higher latitudes and altitudes, where temperatures may reach -30°C. Bamboo typically requires 300mm of precipitation per month during the growth season and 1000–2000mm of precipitation yearly in its natural habitats. There are strains that can withstand drought, such *Dendrocalamus strictus* in India, which requires just 750–1000mm of moisture annually to live. Both soil erosion prevention and saline soil bioremediation are possible with bamboos. All of Europe has demonstrated that bamboo grows well, although it has showed a predilection for environments that are comparable to its natural habitats. Areas where bamboo has flourished include southern France, Italy, Portugal, and Spain. Bamboos prefer loamy-clayey soils with plenty of humus and nutrients to light, well-drained sandy loam soils. The soil shouldn't dry up too fast. Avoid using soils with a salt level that is too high. The pH range

for soil is best between 5 and 6.5. The cultivation of bamboo is advised to get as much wind protection as feasible.

Propagation

There are now two types of bamboo propagation techniques: traditional propagation and in vitro propagation. The two classic types of conventional propagation are vegetative and seed. Despite being less costly, simpler to handle, and transport, seeds can come with drawbacks, such as limited vitality, poor storage, and uncertain supply. Typically, seed propagation entails growing the seed and seedling in a lab or nursery before transferring them to the field. The seedling grows to a height of 5–10 cm in about 2–3 months, at which point it is prepared for transplanting. The annual requirements of weeding and crop thinning are a challenge for direct seeding into the field.

Planting offsets, culm cuttings, or branch cuttings are the primary methods of vegetative propagation. Cutting 1- or 2-year-old rhizomes with nodes and buds into lengths of 30 to 50 cm, burying them in the ground, and anticipating the emergence of new shoots within a few weeks constitutes offset propagation. Due to the small number of rhizomes present in each clump of bamboo culms, this approach is not appropriate for big plantations. 0m lengths of culm with nodes 7–15cm deep into a rooting media is required for culm cutting propagation. It is challenging to apply this strategy to all varieties of bamboo due to the wide range of rooting ability across the many species. The success percentage of culm cuttings from thick-walled bamboos ranges from 45 to 56%. Branch lengths are directly inserted into the ground in the field as part of branch cutting propagation. This approach hasn't worked out so well since it takes the branch several months to create roots and one to three years to generate rhizomes, during which time it is extremely vulnerable to bad weather.

The benefit of vegetative propagation is that the traits of the young plant may be identified as those of the parent plant. Vegetative propagation does, however, have drawbacks, including the fact that it may only be used at certain times of the year, that it has poor success rates, and that it is too labor- and financially-intensive for big plantations. Somatic embryogenesis and micropropagation are the two subcategories of in vitro propagation, the other category. Somatic embryos, which are non-gametic fusion-formed cells with the shoot and root pole, are used to produce plantlets during somatic embryogenesis. From the callus of seeds or early inflorescences, somatic embryo cells may be extracted. Plant formation results from cell germination. Until mature plantlets of 8 to 10 mm in height are achieved, the work is done in a lab on a tissue culture medium. At that point, the plantlets are transplanted to pots filled with soil, sand, and manure.

The plants will spend two to three weeks in an acclimation room during this time, followed by two months in a glasshouse or PVC greenhouse. The plants are placed in bags after the first two months and maintained there until they are around eight months old. When they are approximately a year old, they are sent to the foresters to plant. The selection of the starting material is the first step in micropropagation. This often comes from mature culms or seedlings. The axillary buds of young, non-flowering stems are now advised for usage. In tissue culture, the buds may develop into entire plantlets. Over 10,000 plantlets from a single seedling have been produced from experiments utilizing *D. strictus* in a single year. In media culture, the nodal explants generated 8–10 shoots apiece after 6–7 weeks. The shoots were then cultivated in flasks until they began to root. The plantlets were transplanted into pots with soil and sand after they had grown to a height of 50–60 mm. The plants might ultimately be moved into the field after acclimatization. Comparing plants reproduced using traditional

techniques to those propagated by micropropagation, it can be shown that the latter exhibit alterations such as earlier blooming, earlier culm formation, and faster growth rates.

In vitro propagation has the benefit of allowing for the rapid and efficient growth of a large number of plants. The drawbacks of this method of propagation include its high cost, labor-intensive nature, the fact that the characteristics of the starting material are frequently unknown, and the fact that, as of right now, it is not possible to link the characteristics of in vitro growth with desirable characteristics of mature plants, which frequently leads to the production of inferior plants. From around April/May to the end of August, there is fresh growth. According to *Dendrocalamus strictus* planting density experiments conducted in India, a density of 10,000 plants/ha produced the largest amount of biomass during an 18-month period, 27t/ha. Because producing bamboo as a crop has not received much attention in Europe, little is known about the diseases and pests that affect bamboo. Even in other nations that rely on bamboo, little is known about the illnesses and pests that affect it. In its natural environment, disease may harm bamboo's roots, rhizomes, culms, leaves, blooms, and seeds. The impact of diseases and pests on bamboo will need time and investigation because of the differences between the climate of Europe and that of the bamboo's native region.

New diseases and pests that are endemic to Europe are also likely to damage bamboo. In its natural habitats, bamboo is susceptible to a number of common diseases, including damping-off from *Rhizoctonia solani*, rhizome bud rot from *Pythium middletonii* and *Fusarium* spp., rhizome decay from *Merulium* spp., root infections, basal culm decay from *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium* spp., bamboo blight, culm rot suspected. Because of the high humidity and lack of sunshine, bamboo is particularly vulnerable to a number of fungus that are endemic to tropical regions.

Bamboo clumps are more vulnerable to illnesses if they are allowed to deteriorate. By tropical climatic standards, excessive humidity, a lack of sunshine, and allowing clumps to deteriorate are three conditions that are rare to occur in Europe. In its natural environments, bamboo is attacked by numerous common pests. *Estigmene chinensis* larvae tunnel into the internodes, resulting in shorter and twisted internodes. The growing tip of fresh culms is targeted by the weevil *Cyrtotrachelus longipes*. The buds may be attacked by the plant louse *Asterolecanium bambusae*. *Atrachea vulgaris* and *Chlorophorus annularis*, among other insects, burrow through bamboo to deposit their eggs. The beetle *Dinoderus minutus*, whose larvae consume the parenchyma tissue of over-mature and stored culms, may attack them.

Along with other creatures like goats, deer, porcupines, rats, and squirrels that are known to consume bamboo shoots or gnaw on the rhizomes, aphids, locusts, and termites have been seen to attack bamboos. Bamboo plantations will have an edge over bamboo's natural habitats in Europe due to the anticipated use of bamboo as biomass for electricity generation. Numerous ailments and pests that damage bamboo result in changes like discoloration and crooked growth, which impair the quality of the plant's use as food, building material, furniture, decoration, etc. The aesthetics and durability of bamboo when cultivated for biomass production are not significant considerations. The performance of the bamboo *Dendrocalamus strictus* was studied in India to ascertain the impact of planting densities and felling intensities, among other parameters. On marginal terrain with red silt loam soil, crops were grown. Temperatures varied from 13 to 36°C, and the average annual precipitation was 827mm. Planting densities are influenced by the use that bamboo is to be put to.

CONCLUSION

The information put out emphasizes how crucial it is to use precision agricultural methods, improve soil health, and encourage sustainable farming methods in order to maximize crop

yields and reduce negative environmental effects. Crop management strategies support the global objectives of lowering carbon emissions and encouraging renewable energy sources by strengthening the resiliency and sustainability of the energy system. Crop management systems must be included into energy and agricultural programs via cooperation between policymakers, farmers, energy specialists, researchers, and environmentalists. This involves encouraging information sharing among stakeholders, promoting research and development, and rewarding sustainable behaviors. Within the context of energy production, crop management systems are a dynamic and developing area that provide a method to improve environmental stewardship and agricultural output. Crop management systems may continue to play a crucial part in guaranteeing a reliable and environmentally friendly energy supply with a dedication to sustainability and innovation.

REFERENCES:

- [1] S. Alhajj Ali, L. Tedone, L. Verdini, en G. De Mastro, “Effect of different crop management systems on rainfed durum wheat greenhouse gas emissions and carbon footprint under Mediterranean conditions”, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.135.
- [2] P. Chattopadhyay, G. Banerjee, en S. Mukherjee, “Recent trends of modern bacterial insecticides for pest control practice in integrated crop management system”, *3 Biotech.* 2017. doi: 10.1007/s13205-017-0717-6.
- [3] Y. Xu, J. Li, en J. Wan, “Agriculture and crop science in China: Innovation and sustainability”, *Crop Journal.* 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2017.02.002.
- [4] S. Chakane, H. Chaskar, P. Patil, ... P. S.-I. J. of, en undefined 2017, “Automated Information System for Improved Crop Management”, *ijair.org*, 2017.
- [5] C. Peter, K. Helming, en C. Nendel, “Do greenhouse gas emission calculations from energy crop cultivation reflect actual agricultural management practices? – A review of carbon footprint calculators”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.* 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.059.
- [6] A. P. Martins *et al.*, “Short-term Impacts on Soil-quality Assessment in Alternative Land Uses of Traditional Paddy Fields in Southern Brazil”, *L. Degrad. Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2640.
- [7] N. Guilpart, P. Grassini, V. O. Sadras, J. Timsina, en K. G. Cassman, “Estimating yield gaps at the cropping system level”, *F. Crop. Res.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2017.02.008.
- [8] I. Degefa, “Agricultural Practices and Traditional Preservation of Taro (*Colocasia* spp.) in Abaya Woreda, Southern Ethiopia”, *Adv. Biotechnol. Microbiol.*, 2017, doi: 10.19080/aibm.2017.07.555723.
- [9] T. M. Bowles, L. E. Jackson, M. Loehner, en T. R. Cavagnaro, “Ecological intensification and arbuscular mycorrhizas: a meta-analysis of tillage and cover crop effects”, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12815.
- [10] J. Neuman, “Soil Organic Matter Maintenance in No-Till and Crop Rotation Management Systems”, in *Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences*, 2017. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-409548-9.10653-0.

CHAPTER 11

AN EXCEPTIONAL OPPORTUNITY FOR THE HYDROCARBON SECTOR TO INTEGRATE WITH THE NATIONAL ECONOMY

Puneet Agarwal, Assistant Professor

College of Engineering, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- puneetagarwal007@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

The hydrocarbon industry offers a rare chance for the national economy to meld with ease, promoting sustainable growth, energy security, and economic expansion. This article offers a thorough investigation of this exceptional opportunity, highlighting the necessity of coordinating hydrocarbon resources with overall national economic goals. The paper delves into the complex aspects that underline the significance of utilizing the potential of the hydrocarbon sector through an examination of the intricate factors that support this integration, including policy frameworks, technological advancements, and energy transition imperatives. Strategic planning and cooperation are required for the hydrocarbon sector's integration with the national economy since it affects a broad variety of factors, from income diversification to the transition to sustainable energy. The article addresses themes such as energy transition, income diversification, policy frameworks, and sustainable development that are associated with this remarkable potential for the hydrocarbon industry to connect with the national economy. This study provides a thorough investigation, making it a useful tool for decision-makers, energy specialists, researchers, and industry stakeholders trying to understand the relevance and potential of this integration.

KEYWORDS:

Energy Transition, Policy Frameworks, Revenue Diversification, Sustainable Development.

INTRODUCTION

The increase in resource prices might be beneficial for a nation with abundant natural resources like oil, but it could also increase currency value, cause wealth to leave the country, and stunt the development of companies not reliant on energy. 'The Dutch syndrome' is the name given to this phenomena. One way to prevent "The Dutch syndrome" is to heavily invest in basic infrastructure and/or prioritize investments made possible by high energy prices in industries that encourage import substitution or, even better, industries with great potential for exports outside hydrocarbons. The Economic Model for the Date Sector provides this specifically via its multisectoral agricultural and industrial features. While bioethanol is now made from dates, it may one day be made from date palms, which are plants (as opposed to trees). Nakhool made from dates, which was used to expand the date groves today, will provide us access to a sizable amount of biomass tomorrow. Date groves will subsequently be able to provide dates for other processing needs, such as the creation of "sugars."

Furthermore, compared to the growth of intensive secondary crops like maize, sugar cane, or sugar beet, the expansion of a primary crop like the date palm entails lower risks of specialization. If a number of requirements are accomplished, most notably the engagement of the downstream hydrocarbon sector in the date sector, the bioethanol-fuel processing sector might serve as the primary underlying dynamic component of the OASIS program. In this light, the hydrocarbon sector will no longer be seen as an industry that is only financially integrated into the rest of the country's economy but rather as the real development engine powering all other agro-industrial sectors. Hydrocarbons, petrochemicals, wood, packaging,

paper, cereals, animal feed, food-processing (coffee, sugar, vegetable proteins), cosmetics, and medicines all have a very high potential for replacement from the dates groves industry. The date palm's miracle, this "wonder of wonders," will continue as long as dates and date palms are applied to and intelligently adapted to by current market technology in many industries[1], [2].

The surpluses generated by date farmers will very naturally go on investments in co-planted crops, following an import substitution approach, first focused on high added-value agricultural output, since Nakhoil provides the date industry with the means for expansion. Food processing enterprises will be needed for each of these co-planted crops. Once the date sector has stabilized and found a regular rhythm, densification of industrialisation in the date grove areas will take place harmoniously based on the sector's comparative advantages. However, the expansion via substitution strategy used by the date industry extends beyond imports. The date industry will make it possible for grain farmers to concentrate on producing grains for human use.

Brazilian bioethanol fuel from sugar cane appears alongside the USA as a prominent operator in renewable energy in the strategic liquid fuels sector in a hydrocarbon market that is trending toward expansion. Comparatively, the Arab bioethanol, the Nakhoil, the real grower of the date groves, will enable food security to be attained in a semi-arid setting by introducing in vitro propagation of prolific cultivars and palm tree intensification. Was the low price of wheat not correlated with the even lower cost of energy, which is comparable to 5% of the global GDP, but energy's contribution is more like 50%? What will happen to the price of wheat and rice on international markets if the Arab world is still able to pay for its food bill tomorrow as energy prices are continuously pushed higher by the carbon economy? These are the problems, especially those related to date palm farming, for which biotechnology must in part provide solutions[3], [4].

When compared to the Brazilian model, the next Arab model really features an asymmetrical structure. Actually, Nakhoil, the Arabic bioethanol, transforms the situation from one of abundant hydrocarbons to one of food security in a semi-arid climate. Contrarily, the Brazilian model under consideration steered away from agricultural plenty and toward energy security. Both nations are utilizing bioethanol as a guiding force to achieve what is seen to be a strategic objective. Date palm agriculture not only has the benefit of preparing us for the post-oil period in its own unique manner, but it also corresponds with the Kyoto Protocol's concerns. The Arabic nations will benefit greatly from solar energy, wind turbines, and bioethanol made from palm and date trees. Carbon monoxide in the environment is reduced by 30% when bioethanol at 5% is used as a fuel. The conversion of dates into Nakhoil makes perfect sense in the long-term growth plan for renewable energy, but palm trees are also a crucial component of the carbon economy. 'Biological zero' for the date palm is 7°C. This essentially implies that the date palm continues to produce oxygen throughout the whole year. The date palm retains its green color all year long, unlike other trees and plants that shed their leaves in the fall and winter. Date palms are 'carbon sinks' that work as an efficient, cost-effective way to counter growing atmospheric carbon dioxide levels in semi-arid regions.

The date palm is a form of insurance policy for the continuance of plant production since it flourishes in warm climates. There won't be many effects on biomasses if the present climate change causes temperatures to rise by 1-2°C. However, with the exception of date groves, which may adapt to such a shift, a temperature increase of as high as 4-5°C would cause serious failures in the global agricultural system. By gradually establishing a "sustainable, productive green belt" of date palms along the major axes of the transportation system, an

agro-energy program based on palm trees would have the enormous advantage of preventing desertification as an allied aim.

Energy-producing nations who have not yet realized the need of being ready for the post-oil period will gradually start to show interest in the date industry as a sector of strategic importance. Since the US president proposed significant changes to American energy policy in his State of the Union Address in 2005, people should have been provoked to reflection. Date palm agriculture not only has the benefit of preparing us for the post-oil period in its own unique manner, but it also corresponds with the Kyoto Protocol's concerns. The growth of palm forests, the rising use of bioethanol in automobiles to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, the vegetation-covering of semi-arid terrain, and its ability to delay the onset of the desert are all significant advantages for the date industry. The date sector's establishment benefits from a positive overlap with the global agenda. The UN will probably declare 2010 to 2017 the decade for battling desertification. The expansion of the date industry and the global agenda coexist peacefully. A new sector is rapidly emerging: the economics of carbon. It is already in effect in Europe, preparations are being made in several US states, and planners in India and China are starting to take it into consideration. When seen in this larger framework, the date industry will demonstrate its untapped potential and partially offset CO₂ emissions from the energy industries[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

The problem facing the Arabic world is to shape its land such that the semi-arid regions serve as the buffer zone necessary to restore stability to national development. In the sense that the investment injected into the semi-desert region will be far less expensive than the health, social, and political costs that would be incurred by towns and cities in the case of an unchecked rural exodus, it is not only an issue of good governance. Additionally, it involves addressing the nation's profound ambitions, which show a connection to "their lands" that is still very much alive, in contrast to the Northern industrialized countries, because of a distinct foundation to the social development history. Nakhoil, the bioethanol made from dates, improves the area and generates a variety of agricultural and industrial forms of economic activity by focusing all efforts on its production. It stabilizes communities and restores pride and dignity to the southern inhabitants of the nation, who all too often feel "useless" due to their lack of integration with the rest of the national economy.

There is no denying that the OASIS project has a national and Arabic component. The guiding concepts of OASIS are compatible with sustainable development, which includes globalization and the emerging carbon economy. Industry as we now know it must change or it will crumble under the pressure of the new system. Due to the prominence of the date as the prophet Muhammad's favored fruit and beyond to all people who share deserts, the OASIS project appeals to the whole Arab People and all Muslims via its cultural and civilizational resonance. The Arab nations are therefore seen as legitimate advocates and collaborators in the conversation between civilizations and the maintenance of the ecological balance of the globe since it speaks to all peoples on the planet via its environmental features. The palm tree, which now gets access to the rank it deserves: to be a significant crop emanating from the depths of the beginning of mankind, will allow the Arab nations to use globalization in order to better integrate it into their historical evolution.

By 2010, a businessman from Oman aims to start manufacturing biodiesel and selling it at biofuel stations all around the nation. Within four years, the Sohar-based biofuel refinery will be able to process 4.8 million tonnes of biofuel. The capacity will be 900 million tonnes per year for the first two years, using 10 million of the region's common date palms as ethanol

feedstock. When yeast is used to ferment glucose, which is obtained from date palms in Oman, ethanol, a biofuel, is created. Over 3500 Omanis are projected to be employed by the biofuel project in the first five years, increasing the number of employment for Omanis.

A rapidly expanding tropical tree species called eucalyptus is utilized to make pulp and paper as well as a biomass source for bioenergy. The DNA of the tree is being mapped via extensive study with the goal of enhancing it as an energy crop. The Joint Genome Institute (DOE JGI) of the US Department of Energy is focusing on eucalyptus, and an international team is aiming to improve the species' capacity to produce biomass and sequester carbon.

Australia is the natural home of eucalyptus species. Eucalyptus trees originated in Australia and have since spread over various tropical and subtropical areas of the globe during the last 200 years. The Myrtaceae family includes the genus Eucalyptus, which has more than 550 species. The Greek terms eu and kalyptos, which indicate "well covered" in reference to the operculum of the flower bud, were used to create the name of the genus. Numerous eucalyptus species are very adaptable and grow quickly in a variety of climate situations. The most significant environmental issue limiting the latitudinal and altitudinal range across which eucalyptus may be planted is sensitivity to low temperatures. Many eucalyptus species may be cultivated effectively in most of the tropics, subtropics, and warm temperate zones in addition to the areas where they naturally exist. Outside of Australia, around 100 different eucalyptus species have been planted with varying degrees of success.

The adaptability of the eucalyptus species is influenced by rainfall quantity and dispersion. Some species do well where it rains in the summer, while others do better when it rains in the winter. In general, it is unsuccessful to introduce a species from its original habitat in winter rainfall regions to summer rainfall areas (ral uses). It is used in several nations for the manufacturing of hardboard, poles, posts, shelterbelts, and charcoal. It is used in Argentina as industrial charcoal. Although the pulp quality is lower to that of *E. globulus*, it is employed for pulp manufacture in Morocco and to a smaller degree in Spain and Portugal. It is regarded as a plant that can withstand drought and is good for afforestation in arid or semi-arid areas.

Bulbous eucalyptus It is common to find *Labill. ssp. globulus* in certain Mediterranean nations. Plants may grow as tall as 55 meters as adults. The juvenile leaves are glaucous to blue, opposite, sessile, and stem-clasping. The Iberian Peninsula and other regions of the globe have a large-scale cultivation of this subspecies. It thrives in cool tropical locations with high altitudes and moderate, temperate temperatures. The northwestern shores of Spain and Portugal provide the best weather in Europe, with a mean annual precipitation over 900mm, a mild dry season, and a minimum temperature above 7°C. It is regarded as being very sensitive to moisture stress. It grows on deep soils with available soil moisture in southwestern Spain, where there is 465mm of annual precipitation and a roughly four-month dry season. *E. camaldulensis* excels on shallower, drier soils. The eucalyptus *globulus* grows well in a variety of soil conditions. Although deep, sandy clay soils are optimal for growth, clay loams and clay soils may still provide strong growth with the right drainage. Limiting variables include salinity, inadequate drainage, and insufficient depth. One of the finest eucalyptus species for creating paper is said to be this one. It is also well-known for use in high-end building projects, as poles, piles, railway sleepers, and as fuel [7], [8].

Eucalyptus generate a significant amount of biomass, making them less nutrient-depleting than many other agricultural crops. This is partially due to the fact that lignocelluloses make up the majority of the biomass generated. The nitrogenous chemicals are either missing or in small amounts in wood, and any downward movement of nitrate that contaminates subsurface waterways is more likely to be caught by the robust root structure of dense eucalyptus

plantations. However, any strategy for a eucalyptus plantation must still prioritize long-term viability and site fertility. It has been said that eucalyptus plantations absorb more water from the soil than any other tree species. The design of the root system and the depth of root penetration have a major role in the absorption of soil water. Although some eucalyptus roots may reach a depth of 30 meters and draw water from a depth of 6 to 15 meters, most eucalyptus plantations have shallow root systems. Eucalyptus, however, seems to function similarly to any other tree plantation or naturally occurring forest cover in terms of water dynamics and the water balance of the watersheds. Brazilian productivity is among the greatest in the world. Eucalyptus grandis has dry matter yields up to 50t/ha/year. Eucalyptus production in Brazil is thought to average between 25 and 30 m³ per hectare per year. In the coastal region, average increases of 50 to 60 m³ per hectare per year have been recorded. *E. grandis* and *E. saligna* were planted virtually entirely for a very long period. An estimate puts the area per plant at around 6m². According to Mangales and Rezende (1989), the volumetric conversion rate is 1.8–2.0 m³ of wood for every 1 m³ of charcoal. The moisture percentage of the wood is between 25% and 30%.

The cottonwood and Eucalyptus species (*amplifolia* and *grandis*) were planted at planting densities of around 1000 trees per acre (conventional planting involves one row of trees per bed) at the University of Florida's research test plot area near Orlando, which is about 6 acres. The areas where weed management (either mulching, composting, or a mix of both) was done have had the best yields. For use as a reed on woodwind instruments including the oboe, bassoon, clarinet, and saxophone, the stem material is both flexible and robust. It is often used for the chanter and drone reeds of several varieties of bagpipes. Flute making using giant reed dates back more than 5000 years. Ten or more reed pipes make up the pan pipes. Additionally, climbing plants and vines are supported by the rigid stems of this plant. Walking sticks and fishing poles are two more applications. Arundo species have been suggested as a source of cellulose for paper and biomass for energy due to their rapid growth; at least one North American paper mill considered planting one (Samoa Pacific on Humboldt Bay, CA, in 2002), but decided against it by early 2003.

Depending on its application, giant reed might be harvested annually or every other year. For instance, since it increases the endurance of the shelters, it is often collected every other year for the construction of sun protection shelters. Each year, gigantic reed is taken for electricity or pulp manufacture, and new growth begins in the spring. The enormous reed might be gathered in southern European countries either in the fall or in the late winter. It should be noted, nevertheless, that between the harvests in the fall and the late winter, there is a noticeable decrease in biomass output. Losses of leaves and many tips are the cause of decreased dry matter yields, particularly when harsh winters are coupled by high winds. The moisture content of plants gathered in the fall in semi-arid Mediterranean climates varies from 36 to 49%, and the weather is conducive to natural drying in the field after cutting. These findings suggest that not only is postponing harvesting until late November ineffective, but there is also a risk of large biomass losses, depending on the local weather. However, the fall harvest, particularly in rich fields and warm areas, may cause a spring sprouting that is earlier than usual. These early sprouts are often killed by a late winter frost, but they are swiftly replaced by new ones that appear from buds at the rhizomes. Giant reed has excellent storageability when compared to many other biomass crops, which is a considerable benefit. Without any shelter protection, it may be kept outside with just modest losses. Storage losses mostly affect the leaf fraction (blades and sheaths), which makes up a modest portion of the overall biomass production—roughly 10% to 15%. Stems may be kept almost loss-free.

Global output of groundnuts was 34,856,007 tonnes in 2008. China produces the most peanuts in the world, accounting for around 37.5% of the total, followed by India (about 19%) and Nigeria (about 11%). In producing nations, yields vary widely depending on the climate, soil, agricultural practices, and seed variations. Over 2t/ha in the United States represents a significant portion of the spectrum (International Trade Centre, 2001). More than half of all tropical legume seed output is made up of groundnuts (Ashley, 1984). According to FAO (1994), the biggest areas are cultivated for groundnuts in Asia and Africa, which also produce the most of the world's more than 25 million tonnes of groundnuts in shell (with a shelling percentage of around 70%). The semi-arid tropics (SAT) are thought to be where 67% of the world's groundnut crop is cultivated, virtually exclusively by small-scale farmers. Compared to the 2900kg/ha cultivated in nations with sophisticated agricultural methods, the average yield of dried pods is unfavorably low at 780kg/ha, while harvests of over 3000kg/ha are not unusual on research farms in the SAT (McDonald, 1984; Smart, 1994). This suggests that increasing farmer yields has a strong chance of happening. The peanut crop's high energy content may be shown via a comparison to other crops. According to the amount of oil produced each crop, peanuts are a crucial commodity that may be grown for both food and profit. Studies may be used to corroborate the proportions of the groundnut biomass, making it one of the food crops that can be used as a source of biofuel. It is possible to separate this biomass into above- and below-ground components. yields for both fresh and dried products. According to this statistics, it can be calculated that fresh fodder (2.62 t/ha) makes up around 52% of the total fresh biomass and dry fodder (1.88 t/ha) makes up roughly 46% of the total dry biomass when it comes to above-ground matter.

One of the first plants to be domesticated, hemp is also one of the most useful, profitable, and divisive plants in human history. The industrial hemp plant has a lengthy history that has shown its inherent value. Its stalks and seeds may be used as a raw material for an interesting variety of many different goods, including paper, building materials, items for the automotive sector, and fuels. The plant's Latin name, which translates to "useful hemp," is accurate, and it lives up to that description. Central Asia is where hemp first appeared. It is a short-day, annual plant that is pollinated by the wind. Its seeds have a high protein and fat content, whereas the stems have a high cellulose and lignin content. Although some types may grow as tall as 5 meters, the typical height is closer to 2.5 meters. The leaves have edges that are serrated and finger-like. The stem and the leaves both have glandular hairs on them. A taproot dominates its root system. The vegetative cycle of the plant lasts for about 100 days. The primary growth period occurs in June and July, and is followed by blooming in August. The first class of plants utilized by humans includes hemp. Hemp is grown on roughly 260,000 hectares of land worldwide, with about 55,000 ha of that land in Europe. The oil in the seeds contains between 46 and 70 percent linoleic acid and contains around 35 percent fat. A extremely robust and long-lasting fiber may be found in the stem. Depending on the hemp variety, this bast fiber percentage may vary from 8 to 32%; 15% is thought to be the cutoff point between high- and low-fibre variants. The stems' typical cellulose content is 57 to 60 percent, whereas their average lignin concentration is 8 to 10 percent. German law entirely forbids the production of hemp due to the presence of delta-9- tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). THC, which is mostly generated by the glandular hairs in the leafy sections of the inflorescences of female and hermaphrodite plants, is often present in hemp at 8–12% (Long, 1995). THC must be present at levels more than 2% in order to be utilized as a drug.

CONCLUSION

The integration of the hydrocarbon industry with the national economy is a singular and revolutionary opportunity that may promote sustainable development, energy security, and

economic prosperity. The importance and difficulties of this integration have been thoroughly examined in this research, with a focus on the need of strategic planning and cooperation. The information put out emphasizes how crucial it is to match hydrocarbon resources with sustainable development objectives, diversify sources of income, and ease the switch to cleaner energy. In order to strike a balance between economic success and environmental responsibility, the hydrocarbon industry might be crucial. To foster an environment that will allow the hydrocarbon industry to be integrated with the national economy, policymakers, energy specialists, researchers, and business leaders must work together. This involves developing regulatory frameworks that support eco-friendly behavior, stimulate income diversification, and ease the switch to greener energy sources.

REFERENCES:

- [1] L. P. Zuleta-Dueñas, Á. J. López-Quiroga, F. Torres-Torres, en O. Castañeda-Porras, “[Possible oral transmission of Chagas disease among hydrocarbons sector workers in Casanare, Colombia, 2014].”, *Biomedica*, 2017, doi: 10.7705/biomedica.v37i3.3153.
- [2] L. G. Carmona, K. Whiting, en A. Carrasco, “The water footprint of heavy oil extraction in Colombia: A case study”, *Water (Switzerland)*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/w9050340.
- [3] A. Benramdane, “Oil price volatility and economic growth in Algeria”, *Energy Sources, Part B Econ. Plan. Policy*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/15567249.2015.1128015.
- [4] C. Gutiérrez-Antonio, F. I. Gómez-Castro, J. A. de Lira-Flores, en S. Hernández, “A review on the production processes of renewable jet fuel”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.108.
- [5] A. Shahi, B. Ince, S. Aydin, en O. Ince, “Assessment of the horizontal transfer of functional genes as a suitable approach for evaluation of the bioremediation potential of petroleum-contaminated sites: a mini-review”, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s00253-017-8306-5.
- [6] M. Jaju, F. H. Nader, F. Roure, en L. Matenco, “Optimal Aquifers and Reservoirs for CCS and EOR in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: An Overview”, in *Frontiers in Earth Sciences*, 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-44726-1_3.
- [7] R. Singh, C. Sharma, en M. Agrawal, “Emission inventory of trace gases from road transport in India”, *Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2017.02.011.
- [8] V. Grewe, E. Tsati, M. Mertens, C. Frömming, en P. Jöckel, “Contribution of emissions to concentrations: The TAGGING 1.0 submodel based on the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy 2.52)”, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-2615-2017.

CHAPTER 12

ANALYSIS OF SUSTAINABLE AND RELIABLE ENERGY SOURCE

Dinesh Kumar Yadav, Lecturer

College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- dineshkmr8412@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

In order to combat climate change, improve energy security, and promote economic growth, it is imperative that we find a sustainable and dependable energy source. This essay offers a thorough examination of the need for sustainable and dependable energy sources, with particular emphasis on their contribution to reducing environmental effects, securing a consistent energy supply, and fostering long-term economic and social well-being. The paper delves into the complex facets that highlight the significance of shifting to sustainable and reliable energy systems through an examination of the intricate factors that underlie the pursuit of such energy sources, including renewable technologies, energy efficiency, and policy frameworks. The search for dependable and sustainable energy sources involves a variety of factors, from the acceptance of renewable energy to the creation of energy storage technologies, demanding cross-disciplinary approaches and international collaboration.

KEYWORDS:

Energy Efficiency, Global Cooperation, Policy Frameworks, Renewable Technologies.

INTRODUCTION

One of the biggest issues that humanity will confront in the future decades is the availability of sustainable energy, especially in light of the need to combat climate change. The sustainable provision of future energy needs may be significantly aided by biomass. It now contributes more renewable energy than any other country in the world and has a lot of room to grow in terms of producing heat, power, and transportation fuels. By replacing imported fossil fuels with domestic biomass, future bioenergy deployment could lead to improvements in energy security and trade balances, even greater contributions to the world's primary energy supply, significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, opportunities for the economic and social development of rural communities, and the ability to use wastes and residues. V An overview of the potential for bioenergy and the difficulties posed by its expanded use is given in this paper. In connection to resources, technology, practices, markets, and policy, it addresses possibilities and hazards. The objective is to provide insights into the possibilities and steps needed to build a sustainable bioenergy business. The primary feedstocks for the production of power and heat from biomass at the moment are wastes and leftovers from forestry, agriculture, and municipal operations. Additionally, only a very tiny portion of the crops grown for sugar, grains, and vegetable oils are utilized as feedstocks in the manufacturing of liquid biofuels. Currently, biomass accounts for around 50 EJ1 of the world's total annual primary energy consumption. Most of this is conventional biomass that is used for heating and cooking. By using the considerable amounts of wasted leftovers and garbage, it is possible to significantly increase the usage of biomass[1], [2].

With careful consideration of the availability of land and the need for food, the use of traditional crops for energy usage may also be extended. The majority of the biomass resource might be generated in the medium future on marginal, degraded, and surplus agricultural areas using lignocellulosic crops (both herbaceous and woody). Aquatic biomass, or algae, may also contribute significantly in the long run. Although most biomass supply scenarios that account for sustainability constraints indicate an annual potential of between

200 and 500 EJ/yr (excluding aquatic biomass), the technical potential for biomass is estimated in the literature to be possibly as high as 1500. Between 50 and 150 EJ/year would come from forestry and agricultural leftovers and other organic wastes (including municipal solid waste), while the remaining amount would come from energy crops, excess forest growth, and improved agricultural output.

up from around 500 EJ in 2008. Future demand for bioenergy might reach 250 EJ/yr, according to scenarios examining the penetration of various low carbon energy sources. Therefore, it is safe to anticipate that biomass might sustainably contribute between a quarter and a third of the future global energy mix since the expected demand is well within the sustainable supply potential estimate.. Whatever is really realized will rely on future regulatory frameworks, such as greenhouse gas emission reduction objectives, and the cost competitiveness of bioenergy. Up to 2030, much will rely on supply and demand side issues. Demand is probably going to rise significantly as a result of tough renewable energy goals being established at the regional and national levels (like the European Renewable Energy Directive). Increased usage of residues and wastes, sugar, starch, and oil crops, as well as a growing amount of lignocellulosic crops, are anticipated to be used to meet this requirement. The choice of crop and planting rates, which are controlled by agricultural productivity growth, environmental limits, water availability, and logistical constraints, determine the contribution of energy crops. Over the next 20 years, significant development is feasible under the right circumstances. Estimates of the possible increase in output do, however, differ considerably[3], [4].

The development of the food sector (increased food demand, population diet, and crop productivity), as well as factors restricting access to land, like water and nature protection, have a significant impact on the long-term potential for energy crops. Additionally, the choice of energy crops affects the biomass yield levels that can be produced on the available land. The influence of biotechnology, such as genetically modified species, water accessibility, and the productivity consequences of climate change are additional elements that could alter biomass potential. just like with all agricultural products, energy crops and residues all require appropriate supply chain infrastructure; resource and environmental issues – biomass feedstock production can have both positive and negative effects on the environment (water availability and quality, soil quality, and biodiversity). US\$4/GJ is frequently regarded as an upper limit if bioenergy is to be widely deployed today in all sectors. These will lead to laws prohibiting or rewarding certain behaviors (such as environmental laws, sustainability standards, etc.).

Increased demand for biomass might result from factors such as legislative objectives for renewable energy sources, which can put pressure on the land now used for food production and perhaps (indirectly) lead to the conversion of sensitive regions to agricultural use. To guarantee sustainable demand and supply, policymakers will need to intervene via control of bioenergy chains and/or regulation of land use. Understanding the intricate challenges at hand and cooperating internationally on initiatives to support worldwide sustainable biomass production systems and practices are necessary for the development of an acceptable policy.

Government policies and industrial efforts must be focused on raising biomass yield levels and modernizing agriculture in places like Africa, the Far East, and Latin America in order to directly increase global food production and, as a result, the resources available for biomass. Technology advancement and the spread of the finest sustainable agriculture techniques may accomplish this. Globally, it is necessary to encourage and promote the sustainable use of leftovers and wastes for bioenergy, which pose little or no environmental hazards. Here are a few examples of industrial gasification facilities; the complexity and expense of this

technology will determine how widely it is used. In comparison to alternative biomass-based power production choices, gasification offers higher efficiency, better economics at both small and large scales, and reduced emissions over the long term—if dependable and cost-effective operation can be more widely proved. In a variety of small-scale applications, particularly for CHP, other technologies (such the Organic Rankine Cycle and Stirling engines) that are now in the demonstration stage may prove to be commercially feasible.

First generation biofuels, primarily bioethanol and biodiesel made from oil crops and waste oils and fats, are extensively used in the transportation sector in a number of nations. The cost of producing contemporary biofuels varies greatly depending on the size of the facility, the feedstock being utilized (and its unpredictable price). If the conditions for sustainable land use are satisfied, there is a great likelihood that these first-generation technology will be further deployed. Due to the fact that first generation biofuels mostly come from food crops, which may raise food prices as well as indirectly modify land usage, they present both social and issues. Technology development is also advancing for next-generation processes that rely on non-food biomass (for example, lignocellulosic feedstocks such as organic wastes, forestry residues, high yielding woody or grass energy crops, and algae), even though such risks can be reduced by regulation and sustainability assurance and certification. When compared to select 1st generation biofuels, the utilization of these feedstocks for the manufacture of 2nd generation biofuels would enhance greenhouse gas emission reductions, result in reduced environmental and social risk, and reduce possible pressure on land usage. Technologies of the second generation, which primarily use lignocellulosic feedstocks for the production of ethanol, synthetic diesel, and aviation fuels, are still in their infancy and require additional research and funding to show that they operate reliably at commercial scale and to reduce costs through scale-up and replication. The degree of activity in the region right now suggests that these routes will probably become commercial during the next ten years. Future generations of biofuels, such oils made from algae, are in the applied research and development stage and need a lot of work before they can compete in the energy markets[5], [6].

The fundamental reason for the continued development of bioenergy technologies is to increase the efficacy, dependability, and sustainability of bioenergy chains. Improvements in the heating industry would result in cleaner, more dependable systems connected to better quality fuel sources. Smaller, more affordable power or CHP plants might better fit the availability of local resources in the electrical industry. With advancements, biofuels might become more sustainable and of greater quality for the transportation industry. In the end, bioenergy production may increasingly take place in biorefineries, where a variety of biomass feedstocks may be used to create transport biofuels, electricity, heat, chemicals, and other commercially viable products. More technological and financial emphasis should be given to the connection between generating energy and other materials.

Understanding the emissions produced by various bioenergy pathways and the significance of bioenergy in decreasing emissions in a given industry are both necessary to comprehend where bioenergy may have the biggest influence on GHG emission reduction. Regarding GHG emissions, bioenergy networks might perform extremely differently. When replacing fossil fuels with biomass for the production of heat and electricity, the cost is often lower, and the amount of emissions reduced per unit of biomass is greater than when replacing fossil fuels with biomass for transportation. While biofuels are the main option for decarbonizing road transport until all-electric and/or hydrogen fuel cell powered vehicles become widely deployed, which is unlikely to be the case for some decades, the stationary bioenergy sector can rely on a variety of different low carbon options. In the long run, biofuels could still be

the only way to decarbonize air transportation, a field where finding an alternative to liquid fuels would be challenging.

The development of biospheric carbon sinks may be carried out on land that is appropriate for the production of biomass for electricity. The relative desirability of these two solutions is influenced by a number of variables, including land productivity, including co-products, and fossil fuel substitution efficiency. Additionally, the potential direct and indirect emissions from repurposing land may significantly limit the climate impact of both carbon sink and bioenergy projects, thus they both need to be carefully considered. The time frame used to evaluate the carbon reduction potential also has an impact; while a shorter time frame tends to favor the sink option, a longer time frame offers greater savings because biomass production is not constrained by saturation and can consistently (from harvest to harvest) reduce greenhouse gas emissions by replacing fossil fuels. It is theoretically possible to manage mature forests that have stopped acting as carbon sinks in a traditional way to generate lumber and other forest products, delivering a relatively moderate GHG reduction per hectare. Alternately, they may be transformed into plantations that provide better yields of energy (or food), although doing so would require releasing at least some of the carbon storage that was generated.

DISCUSSION

Laws and how they are applied. Utilizing organic waste, agricultural/forestry leftovers, and lignocellulosic crops that might be produced on a variety of different kinds of land could help to lessen the demand for land and water as well as the rivalry with food. Systems for producing feedstock might potentially have a number of advantages. For instance, collecting forest residues enhances the readiness of forest sites for planting, thinning typically enhances the development and productivity of the remaining stand, and removal of biomass from too thick stands may lower the danger of wildfire. Biomass may be grown in agriculture in what are known as multifunctional plantations, which, through carefully selected sites, design, management, and system integration, provide additional environmental services that, in turn, add value to the systems.

The design of bioenergy policy must be in line with achieving social and environmental goals. Regulation of bioenergy is necessary to address environmental and social concerns, recognize and value the environmental benefits given by bioenergy systems, and support rural development goals. Any organic material with a vegetable or animal origin is considered biomass. It is accessible in a variety of formats and sources. Bioenergy's environmental and social performance have come under more scrutiny as it moves beyond its niche status and into the mainstream. Along with worries about their wider environmental and social implications, public skepticism about the potential greenhouse gas reductions biofuels may deliver has grown. The possible negative effects of using biomass for energy purposes in place of other uses (such as food, feed, pulp and paper, etc.) and the need to find alternatives for those applications have made these problems even worse [7], [8].

While there may be some hotspots for environmental and social concern, bioenergy is currently a very small portion of the agricultural and energy sectors (about 3% of primary energy in OECD countries, and on average much less than 1% of agricultural land is used for energy crops), so its global implications should not be significant at this time. However, the growth of a sustainable bioenergy industry will require a better comprehension of the risks posed by this expanding industry as well as the creation of procedures and guidelines that minimize any environmental and social risks and maximize the many advantages that biomass can offer. In recent years, the argument over bioenergy has often proven to be

contentious. This discussion needs to be supported by more reliable scientific data. This implies that more uniform methods are needed for evaluating the advantages and disadvantages of bioenergy. Although bioenergy must address environmental and social issues, it also has to contend with competition from other energy sources for biomass resources, market and logistical problems brought on by the need to buy larger amounts of biomass, and the need for technological advancements to enable more effective conversion of a wider variety of feedstocks. Although there may be significant prospects for bioenergy and its contribution to various societal goals (such as energy security and climate change mitigation) may be significant, there are still many obstacles that must be overcome before its untapped potential can be utilised in a sustainable manner.

The intricate relationships between the large-scale production and use of biomass for energy and materials, food production, energy usage, water use, biodiversity, and climate change must be taken into account when evaluating the potential for biomass production. By highlighting several important interactions and presumptions. These complex interactions have not yet been effectively described by any one research or model. As mentioned in Section 2.1, it is conceivable to estimate the restrictions placed on the potential biomass supply by the need for food, water restrictions, and nature reserves to some degree. This is now not viable for other causes, such as the usage of GMOs or climate change. Compared to lignocellulosic crop production, the environmental effects of conventional crop production have been studied in much more depth. However, because they need less fertilizer and agrochemicals and are perennial, lignocellulosic crops may generally be predicted to generate fewer and lower consequences related with agronomic inputs. Producing bioenergy crops may also have good effects, such as enhancing the fertility and soil structure of deteriorated areas.

On the other side, the conversion of sparsely vegetated regions into high-yielding lignocellulosic plants might result in significant decreases in downstream water availability, which could worsen situations in locations with a limited supply of water. The reference land use—the land use that was abandoned in favor of energy crops—is a key factor in determining the environmental effects, which are dependent on regional circumstances. Water is a scarce resource that is essential for the production of biomass and food in many areas. The development of energy crops on a broad scale might result in a significant increase in evapotranspiration, perhaps comparable to the current evapotranspiration from world farmland. This can aggravate an already problematic water situation in several nations. The location and kind of biomass production systems installed will affect water outcomes [9], [10].

Increased traditional food crop production will mostly result from plans that concentrate on biofuels for transportation and increase world water usage in a manner similar to that caused by rising food sector demand. However, keep in mind that due to the growing need in the food industry, the regional pattern may shift due to the demand for crops for biofuels. The scenario in regards to water changes if lignocellulosic feedstocks become the main source of feedstocks. First off, because bioenergy is based on the utilization of leftovers and biomass from the processing of byproducts in the forestry and food industries, a growth in bioenergy would not considerably increase water demand. The leftovers and byproducts that might be utilized for bioenergy are produced using the same water that is used to generate food and traditional forest products.

Second, a variety of crops bred specifically for bioenergy have characteristics that make them drought resilient and relatively water-efficient.¹² Farmers that use such crops may be better able to adapt to altered precipitation patterns and greater rates of evapotranspiration as a

result of warmer temperatures. A gain in productivity and overall output may be achieved if a bigger portion of the rainfall can be captured and used in plant production. This can be done without necessarily increasing the amount of freshwater that is withdrawn from rivers, lakes, and aquifers. An increased allocation of freshwater flows for plant transpiration, however, may cause lower groundwater levels, exacerbate river depletion, and decrease downstream water availability without effective hydrological catchment planning. An integrated basin study is necessary to evaluate the effects of land and water use and management, but it is seldom carried out today. To improve our knowledge of how changes in water and land management will affect downstream users and ecosystems, it is necessary to do research on the effects of energy crops on changes in hydrology. Such effects may, in many situations, be favorable. For instance, local run-off collection and water harvesting upstream may lessen the amount of erosion and sedimentation in downstream rivers while enhancing the resilience of the agricultural communities upstream.

These elements have an impact on biomass supply chain economics as well. Traditional food crops including sugarcane, maize, rapeseed, and palm oil as well as forestry goods like round wood and pulp chips have well-established and affordable logistics. To some degree, knowledge gained from these crops may be used to the new bioenergy crops, such as trees that develop quickly or perennial grasses. The creation of cost-effective supply chains, however, is a significant barrier for the majority of field wastes. At the collection, pre-transport processing (such as chipping or baling), and transportation of agricultural and woody leftovers may greatly increase the total feedstock costs.

Additionally, cost structures heavily rely on the infrastructure that is available and the present harvesting methods, such as whether entire trees are skidding to the roadside, in which case leftovers are accessible there, or if trees are chopped to length in the forest, in which case residues must be transported. With extensive expertise gathered in Scandinavia over the last three decades, the improvement of woody waste supply chains via cost reduction is a continuing effort. Different manufacturing chains have been created throughout time and put into use on the market to handle different types of unrefined and refined woody biomass fuels.

Generally speaking, the following elements must be taken into consideration when planning the logistics for large-scale bioenergy conversion plants:

1. Biomass often has a high moisture content (up to 55%) and a poor energy density, particularly when compared to fossil fuels.
2. The economics of biomass conversion facilities normally improve with growing size. To lower transportation costs and enhance physical qualities, it is essential to increase the energy density by chipping, baling, bundling, etc. and decrease the water content. On the other side, because of higher travel distances.

Feedstock costs normally increase as necessary feedstock quantities grow. The best plant size for the economy is often determined by a trade-off between these two variables. Advanced pre-treatment technologies can further increase energy density, making long transport distances more cost-effective and potentially allowing for larger plant sizes. These technologies include further densification (briquetting or pelletizing) or thermochemical treatment (such as pyrolysis or torrefaction).

Feedstock supply for biomass conversion facilities is also impacted by seasonal availability and storability. For instance, the sugar-cane harvesting season normally lasts 6-7 months each year, which restricts the number of operating hours. In certain circumstances, this may need for storing biomass (like bagasse) for a number of months. Another issue with biomass is its

storability. For instance, straw has a limited harvesting season but is used all year round, making storage a significant issue. Another example would be the inability of sugarcane to be kept in storage for more than 24 hours owing to the sugar content depleting, hence storage of the finished product (ethanol) is recommended. In general, high moisture levels (e.g., >20%) make it difficult to store biomass feedstocks because they increase the rate of dry matter loss and the danger of self-ignition. These problems may be partly resolved by using advanced pre-treatment solutions. Compared to trains or trucks, transportation by boat is far more cost- and energy-efficient. The development of intercontinental biomass has been made possible by the use of high density biomass energy carriers (such wood pellets or ethanol) and transportation in big sea-going boats.

CONCLUSION

It is crucial to find sustainable and dependable energy sources since doing so has significant effects on the environment, energy security, and people's well-being. This need crosses national borders and economic interests. The importance and difficulties of this endeavor have been thoroughly examined in this study, with a focus on the need for international collaboration and multidisciplinary methods.

The information put out emphasizes how crucial it is to hasten the adoption of renewable energy technology, improve energy efficiency, and create legislative frameworks that are supportive of these efforts. Not only are sustainable and dependable energy sources crucial for reducing climate change, but they are also necessary for ensuring energy security and fostering economic resilience. To achieve the transition to sustainable and dependable energy systems, policymakers, energy experts, researchers, and stakeholders from all sectors must work together globally. This entails encouraging best practices in energy management as well as stimulating innovation and technology transfer.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. Paneque, "Bioenergy - a Sustainable and Reliable Energy Source", *Agric. Res. Technol. Open Access J.*, 2017, doi: 10.19080/artoaj.2017.04.555642.
- [2] I. Dincer en C. Acar, "Smart energy systems for a sustainable future", *Appl. Energy*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.12.058.
- [3] B. S. How en H. L. Lam, "Integrated biomass supply chain in Malaysia: A sustainable strategy", *Chem. Eng. Trans.*, 2017, doi: 10.3303/CET1761260.
- [4] M. Viccaro *et al.*, "Wastewater reuse: An economic perspective to identify suitable areas for poplar vegetation filter systems for energy production", *Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/su9122161.
- [5] M. M. Madan, "Compatible renewables and hydro power for sustainable development", *Water Energy Int.*, 2017.
- [6] E. J. Falcón-Roque, F. Marcos Martín, C. Pascual Castaño, L. C. Domínguez-Dafauce, en F. J. Bastante Flores, "Energy planning model with renewable energy using optimization multicriteria techniques for isolated rural communities: Cajamarca province, Peru", *J. Renew. Sustain. Energy*, 2017, doi: 10.1063/1.4989574.
- [7] F. Dawood, T. Urmee, en G. M. Shafiullah, "The renewable energy household lighting for Chibayish inhabitant's in Iraq", *Renew. Energy Environ. Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.1051/rees/2017009.

- [8] E. D. Rössel-Kipping, H. Ortiz-Laurel, E. E. González-Medina, en A. Amante-Orozco, “Conceptual design and functional modelling of a portable thermophilic biodigester for a high dry matter feedstock”, *Chem. Eng. Trans.*, 2017, doi: 10.3303/CET1758078.
- [9] J. Resler *et al.*, “PALM-USM v1.0: A new urban surface model integrated into the PALM large-eddy simulation model”, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-3635-2017.
- [10] D. Mentis *et al.*, “Lighting the World: the first application of an open source, spatial electrification tool (OnSSET) on Sub-Saharan Africa”, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2017, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aa7b29.

CHAPTER 13

DETERMINATION OF BIOENERGY ROUTES AND CONVERSION TECHNOLOGIES

Nisha Sahal, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- nisharsahal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A crucial task in our search for sustainable and renewable energy sources is the identification of bioenergy pathways and conversion technologies. The numerous paths and conversion techniques that have the potential to utilize bioenergy are thoroughly examined in this study, with an emphasis on their contribution to energy security, climate change mitigation, and the development of a green economy. The paper delves into the complex facets that underline the importance of making informed decisions in this field through an examination of the intricate factors that shape the choice of bioenergy routes and conversion technologies, including feedstock diversity, technological advancements, and policy frameworks. From feedstock appropriateness to environmental sustainability, the choice of bioenergy pathways and conversion technologies involves a broad variety of factors, demanding multidisciplinary approaches and strategic decision-making.

KEYWORDS:

Feedstock Diversity, Policy Frameworks, Sustainability Criteria, Technological Advancements.

INTRODUCTION

Biomass is a special renewable resource in many aspects, Unlike renewable sources like wind and solar, which provide sporadic electrical power that need immediate use and a link to the grid, it can be stored and moved quite readily. There is a price. With the exception of trash and residues, the cost of biomass often accounts for a significant portion (typically in the range of 50% to 90%) of the cost of producing bioenergy. Due of this, bioenergy's economics are fundamentally different from other renewable energy sources, which mostly depend on unpaid resources (such as wind, sunshine, geothermal heat, waves, etc.). To turn raw biomass into consumable bioenergy goods and services, one or more conversion stages are required. photosynthesis, plant biomass turns solar energy into chemical energy that is then stored in the chemical bonds of the molecules that make up the plant as it develops. This chemical energy may either be changed directly into heat via combustion (which can then be transferred into power through an engine or turbine) or into a range of usable intermediate chemical and energy compounds. The later biomass-derived energy products may be gaseous (biogas, synthesis gas, hydrogen, etc.), liquid (biodiesel, bioethanol), solid (chips, pellets, charcoal, etc.), or liquid (bioethanol). These products can be utilized in a range of energy applications, including as transportation fuels. Unlike all other renewable energy sources (such as sunshine, which has the same spectrum everywhere in the globe), biomass is a resource with a very diverse nature [1], [2].

This necessitates the creation of unique technologies for every situation, as described in the next section. In a bioenergy chain, or route, a raw biomass feedstock is converted into a final energy product (heat, electricity, or transport biofuel) via a sequence of conversion processes. Due to the diversity of raw biomass feedstocks (such as wood, grass, oil, starch, and fat) and potential end applications, there are several potential bioenergy chains. Different conversion methods have been created that are tailored to the various physical properties and

chemical make-up of feedstocks as well as the energy service needed (heat, electricity, or gasoline for transportation). While some methods are simple (such as burning forest wood directly to produce heat), others call for a number of pretreatment, upgrading, and conversion stages, such as those necessary to produce liquid fuels that may be utilized in internal combustion engines[3], [4].

Thermochemical conversion, in which high temperatures cause chemical decomposition of biomass. Combustion, gasification, pyrolysis, and torrefaction are the four thermochemical methods. They vary primarily in their temperature ranges, heating rates, and levels of oxygen in the process. Oil extraction, perhaps followed by a transesterification procedure, is used to make liquid fuels (biodiesel or vegetable oil) from oil crops (rapeseed, soybean, *Jatropha*, etc.). Biological approaches employ active microorganisms to break down the feedstock and create liquid and gaseous fuels (enzymes, bacteria). The key biological pathways include anaerobic digestion (mostly from wet biomass), fermentation from sugar (sugar-cane, sugar-beet, etc.), starch (corn/maize, wheat, etc.), and lignocellulosic (grass, wood, etc.) feedstocks, as well as the more recent bio-photochemical pathways (such as hydrogen production using algae), which depend on sunlight. Pyrolysis is the controlled thermal breakdown of biomass that takes place at around 500°C in an anaerobic atmosphere to create liquid bio-oil, a combination of gas and charcoal (biochar), and syngas. The two primary pyrolysis methods are rapid and slow. These are distinguished by varying pyrolysis reactor residence periods, which result in varying ratios of the liquid, gas, and solid components. While rapid pyrolysis is more popular because it maximizes the production of bio-oil, slow pyrolysis favors the generation of bio-char, which may be replaced in any applications utilizing coal. Compared to raw solid biomass, handling, storing, and transporting bio-oil should be less expensive. Additionally, bio-oil has a competitive advantage over pellets or torrefied biomass in terms of transport costs due to its greater energy density (per unit volume) than other products. The potential for upgrading bio-oil and using it as a transportation fuel offers a quick access point to fuels that might be seamlessly integrated with a petroleum infrastructure. Additionally, bio-oils may be created via liquefaction at high pressure (120–200 atmospheres) and relatively low temperatures (300–400 °C) with water and perhaps other solvents (such as methanol). Hydrothermal upgrading (HTU) is the term used to describe this procedure. Wet biomass may be employed immediately in this process, and the bio-oil is less soluble in water than the bio-oil from quick pyrolysis, which is an appealing property. Despite these benefits, and despite the fact that these technologies have acquired a lot of experience recently, particularly with regard to rapid pyrolysis, they are still in the demonstration phase. Only a few pyrolysis were successful. Heat is by far the greatest market sector for bioenergy, despite the fact that biomass has a wide range of possible end uses. An estimated 39 EJ (or 87%) of the 50 EJ of biomass that was added to the world's primary energy mix in 2006 (IEA 2008b) is burned in conventional stoves for home heating and cooking, predominantly in developing nations (IEA 2008e). Around 21 million home-scale biogas digesters were in use for cooking and lighting in 2005, mostly in China and India, whereas there were an estimated 570 million wood or charcoal stoves (REN 21 2006). Despite the low efficiency of conventional stoves, hand-picked fuelwood is the cheapest alternative in impoverished countries since it provides a free source of energy in rural regions.

Modern solid biomass technologies are often cost-competitive with traditional fossil-based solutions in industrialized nations, particularly in the construction industry. In industrialized nations, using wood for heating has long been stigmatized as an inferior, unclean, and inconvenient technology. The rising acceptability and popularity of biomass-based heating in homes, however, is now being attributed to the development of user-friendly, effective, and clean pellet boilers. In 2006, there were around 45 GW of installed capacity for biomass-

based power generation worldwide (IEA 2008b), producing an estimated 239 TWh of energy (nearly equivalent to Spain's annual total power consumption). This power is produced primarily in the following locations: co-firing plants for countries with coal plants; combustion-based CHP plants for nations with district heating systems (Nordic countries in Europe); large pulp and paper or food industries (e.g. Brazil, USA); MSW incineration plants, although a significant potential is still untapped; stand-alone power plants where significant amounts of residues are available (e.g. sugar-cane bagasse in Brazil); and anaerobically powered plants. In the EU, 55 TWh of biomass-based power were generated in 2004 (approximately equivalent to Switzerland's yearly use), mostly from wood waste and MSW. Finland is setting the bar high, producing 12% of its energy needs from biomass and garbage. About 85% of all wood processing wastes in the US (excluding forest leftovers) are utilized to create electricity. Smaller-scale CHP or biomass-to-power plants have become more common in recent years in both developed and developing nations. Along with other renewable resources, biomass power plants are becoming more and more popular in China, Brazil, Latin America, Thailand, and India [5], [6]. Roughly 9% of the \$60 billion invested in renewable energy capacity globally in 2007 went toward biomass-based cogeneration of heat and electricity, which accounted for roughly US\$5.2 billion of the global asset finance in 2008 (NEF 2008). While the worldwide market for renewable energy grew by 45% in 2006, biomass-to-power had the weakest growth with just a 5.5% gain. This can be attributed to the declining availability of cheap and accessible biomass feedstock as well as the poor economics of small-scale biomass-to-power plants (which encourages larger-scale projects, but these take longer to develop). By 2030, the share of biomass-to-power plants will increase from its current 1.3% share (231 TWh/year) to 2.4-3.3% (800-1000 TWh/year), which is equivalent to a 5-6% average annual growth rate. Thus, the net increase would be around four times the present output in absolute terms and significantly reduce CO₂ emissions. Despite this fast rise, the contribution of biomass is still rather limited when compared to its technological potential. The following are the primary opportunities in the short to medium terms.

Co-firing is still a viable, cost-effective alternative for generating electricity from biomass, in part because it gives power producers the freedom to choose the least expensive fuel on a daily basis. By 2010, the EU is expected to produce 55% more biogas from farm-size and bigger biogas-to-power systems (EurObserv'ER 2007, 2008a). Also anticipated are biogas booms in the USA, China, and India. MSW has the potential to be a substantial source of feedstock for biogas, but its usage is dependent on the integration of waste and energy regulations. In most places, there is currently little industrial interest in the generation of energy or fuel. Where biomass feedstock is accessible at a reasonable price, stand-alone combustion-based biomass facilities might provide attractive options, however their economic viability is more heavily influenced by local policy and regulatory constraints. The adoption of decentralized biomass power and CHP systems may in the medium future be significantly impacted by the commercialization of small-scale gasification. When this technology will become commercial, however, is yet unknown. Similar to how Stirling and ORC Engines might improve the possibilities for small-scale biomass power and CHP production, however it is not anticipated that the leading innovators in these developing technologies would concentrate on biomass-fueled systems [7], [8].

DISCUSSION

Long-term, biomass integrated gasification gas turbines (BIG/GT) and combined cycles (BIG/CC) are attractive technologies that, because of their high overall efficiency, might provide increased chances for relatively large-scale power production from dedicated

biomass facilities. Again, it is impossible to anticipate when these gasification-based technologies will be deployed since there is still room for considerable cost reduction as well as improvements in efficiency and reliability at a wider scale. The economic and environmental appeal of biomass is diminished by long-distance transportation, which has increased interest in energy densification methods. Although the sole commercially accessible densification method is pelletization, it may lose market share to torrefaction and pyrolysis since they provide equal benefits particularly for large-scale power production. Torrefaction is being considered by remote forestry organizations (such those in Siberia) as perhaps the most economical method of moving their fuelwood in the near future to very far-off selling locations (PC 2008). Therefore, reliable market predictions are not yet available for this technology, and the adoption of pyrolysis will rely on its capacity to address outstanding technical and economic hurdles.

From 2000 and 2005, most of them doubling (IEA 2006). The majority of manufacturing takes place in Europe where businesses in Germany and Austria have made names for themselves as top technology suppliers. Depending on the fuel and vehicle requirements, bioethanol is often combined in various amounts with gasoline as a gasoline alternative. Along with gasoline and ethanol mixes, plain ethanol is also offered for use in automobiles in Brazil alone. Tax incentives and increasing demand for ethanol as a component of gasoline blending are the main causes of the USA's rapid rise in ethanol production (IEA 2006). In Brazil, ethanol consumption declined in the 1980s as a consequence of lowering oil prices, but it has lately increased as a result of declining production costs, rising oil prices, and the development of flex-fuel cars that enable users to switch between ethanol and regular gasoline.

Due to restrictions imposed by fuel and vehicle standards, biodiesel is utilized as a diesel alternative and is often mixed with diesel up to 5%. Fleet vehicles (such as trucks and buses) are the only ones that utilize higher biodiesel fuel mixes. Due to prior assistance for domestic biofuel production, the majority of biofuel produced in the EU is biodiesel, which accounts for 87% of the world biodiesel supply (with Germany and France being the leading European producers). However, with only around Prospects. In the next decades, there will likely be a large growth in demand for road transport fuels, particularly in emerging nations. With a predicted average production growth rate of 6-8% per year, biofuels are likely to play an increasingly important part in supplying this demand by 2030 (IEA 2008b). To fulfill demand from 2005 to 2030, this suggests a total investment in biorefineries of between \$160 billion and \$225 billion (IEA 2006). The United States, Europe, China, and Brazil are predicted to have the largest increases in biofuel usage (IEA 2008b).

The primary forces behind the growth in the use of biofuels are energy security and climate change policy. Agriculture policy has also played a significant role and does so now. The markets for biofuels are also heavily influenced by the price of oil and other commodities. First generation biofuels become more cost competitive when oil prices rise, while this impact may be offset by rises in agricultural commodity costs. The future of biofuels is also dependent on advancements in competing low-carbon and oil-consuming transportation technologies, such as fuel cell cars in the long run and improvements in vehicle economy and electric vehicles in the medium term. In the long term, aviation and heavy load will be the only remaining markets for biofuels. The environmental and social sustainability of the biofuels business will be a key factor in determining its future development. The direct and indirect consequences of biofuels on the environment and society have recently come under closer study.

Understanding and minimizing any undesirable effects, such as emissions from indirect land use change or implications on food prices, is likely to be crucial for maintaining government support. Although current ethanol and biodiesel production from sugar, starch, and oil crops promises access to a larger resource and greater GHG reduction potential, these routes are still a decade or two away from contributing a significant portion of the world's liquid fuels. Additionally, new biofuel technology may enable biofuels to enter markets for other types of transportation fuels, such as aviation fuel.

International commerce in bioenergy has grown significantly during the last ten years. The easily accessible domestic biomass resources in industrialized nations are often already used, and mobilizing more domestic resources frequently encounters challenges like insufficient supply infrastructures or excessive production costs. In this context, importing bioenergy often offers a viable option for diversifying the energy mix, reducing CO₂ emissions, and/or achieving particular bioenergy or broad renewable energy objectives.

For the generation of specialized biomass from agricultural and forestry wastes, many developing nations have significant technological potential. Although the cost of producing biomass in these nations is sometimes substantially cheaper than in industrialized nations because of reduced labor and land expenses, domestic demand is frequently insufficient to fully realize the potential. Exports of bioenergy provide a chance for these nations to increase their revenue and create jobs. The creation of worldwide markets for biomass may therefore turn out to be crucial to the realization of these potentials. The market for wood pellets has increased dramatically over the last several years as a result of these appealing features. According to a preliminary calculation, the amount of traded wood pellets rose by nearly 50% between 2004 and 2006. Europe presently produces (and consumes) the majority of the world's wood pellets. Between 6-7 million tonnes of wood pellets are thought to have been produced worldwide in 2006, with 3-4 million generated in Europe and two million each in Canada and the United States.

Given the lack of official data for this young and rapidly expanding business, estimating the size of the worldwide pellet trade is difficult. The amount of intra-European trade in 2004 was about 30 PJ (roughly 1.7 million tonnes), and the main flows are from east to west, from Finland, the Baltic States, and other eastern European nations to the rest of Scandinavia, the UK, and the Benelux. A cross-border commerce occurs with around 35% of all wood pellets produced in Europe. Regarding intercontinental commerce, the USA is the second-largest importer of pellets, followed by Canada. relationship between the forestry and bioenergy industries.

Both as the main output of the forestry industry (logs) and as a byproduct of the wood processing sector (wood chips and sawdust), wood has a wide range of uses. A variety of wood species with varying characteristics are processed and utilized in a wide range of purposes, including energy, in the forestry and wood processing sectors, which form a complex and interrelated system of businesses and activities. Additionally, vast amounts of wood are practically consumed at the site of origin, making it difficult to compile any kind of inventory of material flows and proportional proportions of the many uses.

According to UNECE/FAO, estimates of the fluxes of wood wastes to various uses are imprecise since there is a paucity of information about amounts of wood resources mobilized on both the supply side¹⁴ and the consumption side. A lack of a precise definition of "trade" makes it especially challenging to estimate trade flows of wood pellets used for co-firing. About 58% of the total wood used in the EU (820 million m³) is currently used for sawn timber, pulp and paper, wood-based panels, and other products, while the remaining

42% is used for energy, primarily for the production of heat in private homes and heat and/or power in industries. This rather balanced use of wood for energy and industrial purposes might be regarded as typical of most industrialized nations. The majority of biomass used for energy in the developing countries is harvested by hand and utilized immediately by families for heating and cooking. Structured consumption statistics are scarce since commerce is few and mostly informal.

Focusing in particular on post-consumer recovered wood, logging waste, and woody biomass outside of forests, specifically on the use of wood as a source of energy and on the conversion factors used to determine the comparable amount of raw wood used in goods. Future usage assessments indicate that the use of wood for energy will grow more quickly than for material uses. Three elements will determine the amount of demand from the energy sector: bioenergy objectives, the degree of government support for the industry, and how competitive bioenergy choices are with other renewable energy sources. The combined shortage of wood supply in of severe occurrences brought on by climate change, including as wildfires, significant insect outbreaks, and storm damage. Furthermore, just because forest trees have an average lifespan of many decades, the forestry industry cannot react to an increase in demand as quickly as the agricultural sector. The expected gap in wood supply will have to be made up by imports or shared by all sectors unless more of Europe's wood resources are mobilized. For all the industries that use wood as their primary raw material, this will most certainly lead to increased wood costs and slower growth rates.

The additional demand from the bioenergy sector has already had such a significant impact in some nations (such as Sweden) that the paper and board industries are now receiving government subsidies in order to compete with those from nations where there is less feedstock competition. In some places, the industry of biomass-based heat and power production is strongly reliant on government assistance to guarantee enough buying power to acquire the essential feedstock quantities. It is also difficult to reach a new market equilibrium since an increase in demand does not necessarily translate into an increase in supply because prospective providers of wood often lack the necessary market knowledge on the changes in supply and demand and relationship between the agriculture-based industries and liquid biofuel for transportation. The interaction between liquid biofuels for transportation and other businesses that utilize the same agricultural raw material is more intricate than it is in the case of wood. First, producers' choices of which crops to grow affect how various agricultural products react to price changes on an annual basis. Second, numerous crops may be used in place of one another, such as oilseeds (such as soy, oil palm, and rapeseed) and cereals (such as wheat, barley, maize, and rice). It should be emphasized that there are significant variations among biofuels in terms of how they affect the consumption of agricultural products. Additionally, when the production of liquid biofuels rises, co-products and wastes that are utilized as inputs in other industries also rise. It is customary to use rapeseed-based biodiesel as an example. Rapeseed oil's usage for non-food purposes first surpassed its use for food in 2005. Rapeseed cake, which is used as animal feed, is now more widely available as a consequence of the growing usage of rapeseed oil for the manufacturing of biodiesel. As a consequence, the price of rapeseed cake is anticipated to have decreased by up to 40%.

CONCLUSION

The research made clear how crucial it is to take environmental sustainability, feedstock variety, and legislative frameworks into account when choosing bioenergy conversion technologies and routes. Making informed decisions in this area is crucial for a number of reasons, including energy security, carbon emission reduction, and the development of a

green economy. To analyze the feasibility of different feedstocks, examine the environmental implications, and create supporting regulatory frameworks, policymakers, energy experts, researchers, and industry leaders must work together. The shift to a more sustainable and renewable energy environment will be aided by this comprehensive strategy. We can fully use bioenergy to satisfy our energy demands while protecting the environment for future generations with strategic planning and a dedication to sustainability. Determining bioenergy pathways and conversion technologies constitutes a dynamic and developing problem.

REFERENCES:

- [1] R. Strzalka, D. Schneider, en U. Eicker, “Current status of bioenergy technologies in Germany”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.091.
- [2] E. T. Kostas, D. Beneroso, en J. P. Robinson, “The application of microwave heating in bioenergy: A review on the microwave pre-treatment and upgrading technologies for biomass”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.135.
- [3] T.-T.-H. Do en T.-T.-H. Pham, “Agricultural Waste for Promoting Sustainable Energy”, in *Sustainability Challenges in the Agrofood Sector*, 2017. doi: 10.1002/9781119072737.ch23.
- [4] H. Honkanen en J. Kataja, “Technological aspects of nonfood agricultural lignocellulose transformations”, in *Bioenergy Systems for the Future: Prospects for Biofuels and Biohydrogen*, 2017. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-101031-0.00002-8.
- [5] G. Jungmeier, “The Biorefinery Fact Sheet”, *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.*, 2017.
- [6] M. Roselina, “Pengaruh Gel ekstrak daun kenikir (*Cosmos Caudatus* H.B.K) Terhadap Penyembuhan Luka Eksisi Tikus Putih Jantan (*Rattus Novegicus* Strain Wistar)”, 2017.
- [7] E. Mousa, C. Wang, J. Riesbeck, en M. Larsson, “Biomass applications in iron and steel industry: An overview of challenges and opportunities”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.07.061.
- [8] Z. Liu, W. Liao, en Y. Liu, “A sustainable biorefinery to convert agricultural residues into value-added chemicals”, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s13068-016-0609-8.