INTERNATIONAL BANKING

Manoj Agarwal

INTERNATIONAL BANKING

INTERNATIONAL BANKING

Manoj Agarwal

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

First Published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

International Banking by *Manoj Agarwal*

ISBN 979-8-89161-324-9

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

GLOBALISATION AND FINANCIAL SYSTEM CONVERGENCE

Manoj Agarwal, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- agarwalmanoj21@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The banking sector has changed as a result of globalisation, which has caused international financial systems to converge. This essay examines the concept of globalisation and how it has significantly impacted financial institutions all around the globe. It considers the potential and problems this convergence brings as well as the factors that are driving it, such as technological breakthroughs, governmental reforms, and market pressures. The paper emphasises how changes brought about by globalisation to banking practises, international collaboration, and the competitive environment. It also explores the effects on risk management, financial stability, and the function of central banks in a highly networked global banking system. We acquire understanding of the intricate dynamics of the globalised banking sector and its effects on the larger global economy via this thorough research.

KEYWORDS:

Financial, Globalisation, international financial, System Convergence.

INTRODUCTION

The idea of a unified, worldwide financial system is nearly a reality. Analysts identified 17 banks nine years ago that they thought will have a worldwide reach in the future. They were all universal or commercial banks. The number 17 banks is still considered by experts to be "global" or to have "global potential" in a 1998 study, but they define a totally different grouping. Today's experts include more investment banks than commercial banks in the top rankings due to changes in the financial landscape. Equally notable is the fact that the top two levels in 1998 belong only to US banks. Merrill Lynch, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter, Goldman Sachs, J.P. Morgan, Citibank, Chase Manhattan, and Deutsche Bank are current contenders to become the world's leading banks in the new century. Four banks stood out as the best global banks in 1999: Chase Manhattan was the best global wholesale bank, Citigroup was the best global transaction services bank and the best global consumer bank, Merrill Lynch was the best global asset management bank, and Credit Suisse Private Banking was the best global private bank. An unprecedented number of financial institutions are joining these banks, who are no longer happy to control the home roost, in their quest for genuine global reach.

It seems that a worldwide presence, maybe via foreign acquisitions, is the most obvious plan for independent existence. The development of Islamic banks has occurred concurrently with the growth of conventional banks over the past two decades; examples of such banks include, which price their operations using profit and loss sharing rather than the standard interest rate yardstick. The signs point to financial globalisation being generally advantageous to the global economy. International financial markets may help people throughout the globe access a greater pool of global funds and improve investment prospects. International capital flows have sometimes affected domestic financial markets, but these occurrences were often brought on by unsustainable local policies and signalled the need for change. It would not be

in our best interests to limit the free flow of money in light of the overall advantages of having more access to international financial markets. Making ensuring that these markets and flows are robust and sustainable is the best approach to maximise the advantages of financial globalisation and minimise the dangers of disruptions to national macroeconomic policy [1], [2].

Both domestically and globally, financial systems need to be controlled and monitored with caution. Therefore, the best course of action is to support and participate in global efforts that aim to advance financial market stability globally. Additionally, the expansion of strategic partnerships in local markets and the globalisation of financial markets have enlarged many investors' horizons. For instance, as investors significantly diversify their portfolios to lower risk and boost returns, investment in private capital assets has increased quickly. Since 1990, for instance, increasing numbers of foreign institutional investors have played a significant role in the growth of Latin American stock markets. As institutionalisation and globalisation of money management trends accelerate, so too has the significance of these investors for the future growth of stock markets in emerging economies. The institutionalisation of money management, globalisation, and the expansion of developing stock markets in Latin America and elsewhere have all interacted rather significantly. Small, open, developing nations with shaky financial systems have a lot to gain from globalisation, provided that improvements are made to policy in a number of areas. First, there has to be a decrease in transaction costs in the banking industry. To stop local money from migrating to foreign nations, fiscal policy must secondly change to focus on infrastructure and education. Third, better resource allocation and more competition would result from progressively lowering trade barriers. According to Knight, the process of globalisation, which is represented by a growth in cross-border financial flows and financial globalisation, may eventually result in a more effective global distribution of savings than was previously achievable. A remarkable rise in financial flows to developing and transitional nations is in fact a reflection of the new and expanding connections between emerging and established financial markets.

It is suggested that emerging and transition economies must upgrade their financial systems in order to fully benefit from their expanding access to foreign credit. Furthermore, many developing nations have little choice but to actively engage in the process of financial globalisation due to the extraordinarily low domestic saving rates. The only way the nation can partake in the excess savings of the more developed economies in the globe is by engaging in the global market. However, certain practical results can cause the globalisation process to stall. For instance, recent events in South East Asia, Russia, and Latin America provide compelling evidence that nations undergoing integration are becoming more vulnerable to both internal and foreign economic shocks. The potential exists for decades of development work to be undermined by the increased fragility of emerging economies in particular. The Asian crisis, in this perspective, indicates unequivocally that we are increasingly unable to forecast the causes of such crises and surely do not have the institutional framework to control them. Thus, certain variables that have the potential to slow down the process of globalisation and the integration of emerging countries into the global economy restrict or impair our capacity to govern the interplay between local and international economic forces.

Additionally, the current financial crisis has shown how the financial world has contracted due to globalisation. The financial crisis originated in a tiny, democratic, emerging nation in South East Asia and then extended to East Asia, Russia, Latin America, and the rich nations. It is obvious that financial globalisation will lead to global competition rather than local rivalry. In this regard, it is to be expected that the banking sector will encounter a far more volatile environment in the twenty-first century than it did in the twentieth. First, it is anticipated that advancements in computer technology would enable financial institutions to study market movements more swiftly and to respond to them more quickly. Second, quicker market developments will be transmitted because to advancements in communications

technology. This chapter examines globalisation trends in banking with a particular emphasis on how banks and other financial institutions are changing their roles in corporate governance. The remaining portion of the chapter is divided into four pieces in what follows. The topics of internationalization, securitization, and derivatization are examined in Section 2. Section 3 discusses the topic of banks vs capital markets and the convergence of the financial systems., globalisation is explored in relation to the World Trade Organisation and the General Agreement on Trade in Services. The corporate governance trends are examined in comes to a close by examining the future [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

The banking industry has seen significant liberalisation and financial innovation during the last three decades. Reregulating banks, which continue to be at the core of all financial systems, has made these changes possible and has also prompted adjustments in prudential and monetary regulatory policy. According to Hermes et al., the general tendency has been away from prescriptive regulation of financial activities, quantitative management of bank lending overall and to different economic sectors, and qualitative controls and counselling. A price-oriented monetary policy and general laws have essentially superseded quantitative and qualitative restrictions and guidance in many nations. The latter include restrictions against overexposure, deposit insurance programmes, risk-related capital adequacy requirements, and laws mandating the keeping of sufficient reserves to provide liquidity and make arrangements for bad or dubious loans. Confidential disclosure regulations are enforced to improve oversight by the authorities, while public disclosure and auditing procedures are implemented to make it easier for stock and bond holders to monitor the situation. Last but not least, accounting and disclosure requirements are being harmonised, and country-based authorities are exchanging more data on banks and other financial businesses to facilitate comparison in the increasingly global market. The overarching tendency is to create a set of regulations that encourage banks and other financial institutions to efficiently manage the risks in their asset and liability portfolios. Depositors will be safeguarded while shareholders get a reasonable return if banks are able to strike the right balance between risk and profit. Systemic risk, or the potential of destabilising crises across the whole financial system, will also be reduced, and capital will be distributed more effectively. The banking industry and larger financial markets are "globalising" quickly.

The internationalization of banking began the trend in the 1970s. The 1980s saw a fast era of innovation in the financial markets known as "securitization" after that. Disintermediation, or the rise of non-bank mediated or direct financing, and the practise of "making loans tradable" on securities markets, or the use of asset-backed securities, are both components of securitization. The trend of securitization has persisted throughout the 1990s and been strengthened by the quick expansion of financial derivatives usage, sometimes known as "derivatization." In the 1990s, capital constraints have also been gradually loosened. Some nations moved sooner than others, such as the UK in 1979, but the International Monetary Fund has increasingly supported the liberalisation of capital restrictions as a method of promoting inward portfolio and direct investment to support economic growth. As a consequence, mutual, insurance, and pension funds have rapidly increased their international portfolio assets, with institutional investors from the US and the UK playing a significant role. Additionally, the mid-1990s signing of the GATS financial services agreement promotes the openness of financial sectors throughout the globe to international financial firms. Increased cross-border activity in the financial services industry, such as bank branches and cross-border alliances and mergers, is being fueled by the advancement of European financial integration, which culminated in the establishment of the European Monetary Union and "Euroland."

The majority of the merger activity in Europe to date, however, has been intranational consolidation, which has increased national banking systems' concentration but exposed them to more international competition. The US is likely going through the fastest consolidation, but considering the very fragmented banking system it had at the start of the 1990s owing to stringent branching limits, this is not unexpected. Consolidation also started at the end of the 1990s in Japan's banking and broader financial sector. The image seems to show how international banks have developed to compete on a worldwide scale. The 'digital revolution' is expected to cause this to become more and more obvious, but it is now most advanced in the investment banking sector. Without a branch network, banks may now provide services across international boundaries. As a result, it is considerably simpler to enter, which increases competitiveness. Retail banks that specialise in deposit banking, payments services, and lending are subject to competition on both the positive and negative sides of the balance sheet. There is little doubt that there is more competition in the lending market, notably from credit card firms. In the savings industry, there is also increasing competition from online "banks," mutual funds, and companies that provide longer-term savings investments, particularly pension providers. As more major companies turn to direct financing from the capital and money markets, the big banks have also seen their share of the supply of debt financing to such companies' shrink. Small and medium-sized businesses increasingly rely on 'commercial' or retail banks to provide them with commercial loans.

However, there is also an increase in competition for SME finance in the US as major banks try to "cherry pick" customers using mailshots based on studies of their expanding databases. Banks have had to refocus their operations. In order to assist their major corporate customers in gaining access to the financial and capital markets, several retail-based banks have expanded into the investment banking sector. By doing this, they have increased their revenue from fees in order to make up for the loss of interest-based income from the loans they used to make. 'Universal banking' is a term occasionally used to describe the union of investment and retail banking. While it was not customary in the UK and was illegal in the US after 1933, it has long been legal in several countries of Europe, including Japan after World War II. Japan is now easing the limits put in place by the US government after World War II, while the US has just significantly abolished the 1933 Glass-Steagall Act prohibitions. In Germany and Switzerland, for instance, universal banking has long been the standard. But in Germany, universal banks often own significant stakes in companies that are not in the banking sector. Cross-shareholding between Japanese "city banks" and other "keiretsu" member businesses is very substantial, and it is also typical in countries like France and Italy to have cross-shareholding between banks, insurance companies, and non-financial corporations. The amount of a bank's capital that may be retained as shares in non-financial enterprises is restricted by EU banking laws, and the current trend is towards fewer cross-shareholdings, which poses a variety of concerns for competition and prudential regulatory policy [5], [6].

In the 1990s, concerns about corporate governance and competition also became more prominent, which put pressure on banks to cut down on their ownership in non-financial companies. The prudential concerns about non-financial firms owning banks relate to the risk that the owning firms could take advantage of banking depositors by pressuring banks to provide low-cost financing and the risk that the owning firms could be included in the safety nets for lenders of last resort and "too big to fail." This may also be true when banks hold non-financial businesses whose collapse would put the banks at risk. Additionally, it should be mentioned that there are two methods for corporate organisation, despite the fact that financial conglomeration is evolving into the standard in most national systems, particularly those of the nations that make up the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. The holding company model has generally been preferred in the UK and the US, whereas the integrated firm strategy has been popular in continental Europe. In the US,

diversification has traditionally been done via subsidiaries with distinct capitalizations in an effort to build "firewalls" between them. These haven't been put to the test, but given the "too big to fail" issues, there is a great deal of scepticism regarding their potential usefulness.

Integrated universal banks are, however, increasingly being turned into holding corporations with subsidiaries that specialise in retail, business and investment, asset management, and insurance. The banks have made an effort to diversify their retail financial operations, either in the hopes of cross-selling goods or simply to make use of the data in expanded databases for marketing and product development. As a result, they have broadened their lending portfolios and now often provide house loans, which were formerly the domain of specialised savings banks in many nations. They have also offered insurance and pension products, which has sparked the emergence of businesses dubbed "bancassurance." A lot of insurance businesses are also attempting to penetrate the banking industry, often via telephone- or internet-based services. Thus, the emergence of global bancassurance organisations that provide services in investment banking, retail banking, insurance, and asset management is rapidly becoming a reality. Of course, the big financial conglomerates will still face competition from smaller, more regionally focused, and local institutions, some of which will be 'national champions' created via domestic mergers. There are still some significant unanswered questions. Harmonisation of regulations and oversight has aided in the progress of globalisation. Through the 1988 Basle Concordat on risk-related capital adequacy standards and subsequent recommendations from the "Basle Committee," a first effort was made to level the playing field for foreign banks. Adoption of the 1989 Second European Commission Banking Directive was necessary for the development of the "single market" in the European Union. The 'continental European' style of universal banking, which integrates investment and commercial banking, was strengthened by this, and bancassurance could grow as a result. Japan and the US both maintained banking regulations that distinguished between investment and commercial banking as well as banking and insurance for the majority of the 1990s. A progressive easing of these limits was outlined in the "Big Bang" legislation that Japan presented in 1998, and similar legislation was adopted in the US in 1999. The adoption of the more liberal, "continental" European system in terms of the range of banking activity has occurred, as anticipated in Mullineux, as a result of the desire to attain global competitive equality. As a result, rivalry among the major banks, which are becoming more globally integrated, has broadened and intensified.

Does the current, mostly national regulatory framework provide sufficient regulation oversight of the expanding global bancassurance businesses, the increasingly interconnected national capital markets, and the financial markets and transactions conducted online in such a context? Are there gaps in the financial services offered to low-income and other minority groups, and is financial exclusion being made worse by the contraction of expensive bank branch networks brought on by merger-induced and other closures? Although in the global context the possible financial exclusion of developing nations has become a problem as the Basle II capital adequacy rules are being finalised, the latter concern is beyond the purview of this book. However, the emergence of financial oligopolies or "wide banks" poses the issue of how they ought to be governed. Commercial banks have always been seen as unique. This is due to the fact that they are the main providers of payments services, which are essential to modern commerce, are the only financial institutions to have liabilities that are denominated in money, making them the most significant potential contributors to the inflation-generating process. They are also the dominant financial institutions in terms of serving as savings and financing repositories and sources. As a result, in the majority of nations, banks are governed differently from other financial firms.

Other previously specialised financial firms have joined the banking industry as banks have diversified. Therefore, it has been questioned if there is still a need to regulate banks

independently. FSAs have already been put into place in the UK, Sweden, and Japan. The concept is the same, but the letters in each nation stand for different words: Financial Services Authority in the UK, Financial Supervisory Agency in Japan, and Financial Supervisory Authority in Sweden. The same organisation oversees all financial service providers, and it is a semi-autonomous government body other than the central bank. Insofar as they were in charge of regulating and supervising the banking industry and a broader range of financial institutions, central banks are now required to focus on inflation control and have been given the freedom to set interest rates in pursuit of this objective, subject to a predetermined level of legislative accountability. However, the US hasn't yet taken this step because of its complicated system of bank and other authorities, each with their own vested interests. The Basle Committee of international bank regulators and supervisors has led efforts to harmonise international bank regulation and supervision, while the International Organisation of Security Commissions has taken the lead in efforts to harmonise capital market regulation and supervision as we move to the global stage. However, there are many gaps in international collaboration, and there is no worldwide regulatory and oversight body.

The Financial Stability Forum, however, was created in reaction to the Asian financial crisis of the late 1990s in order to advance global financial stability via improved information sharing and institutional collaboration in financial market oversight and monitoring. Participants include representatives of "offshore banking" organisations as well as the International Monetary Fund, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the Basle Committee, the OECD, IOSCO, and the International Association of Insurance Supervisors. The major advancements in the banking industry over the past three decades, such as the Second EC Banking Directive in 1987, the Basle Concordat in 1988, the Japanese Big Bang in 1998, the recent repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act in the US in 1999, global regulatory harmonisation, financial sector liberalisation, capital account liberalisation, and the computing and information technology revolution, will all have a direct impact on banking in the new millennium. All of these advancements help to create a unified global financial environment and improve capital mobility. However, the securities industry, retail banking, and SME banking continue to have specialised players and geographically distinct markets [7], [8].

Patterns of corporate financing and financial systems convergence a single financial space in Europe?

Murinde et al. look at the patterns of corporate financing activities by banks, bond markets, stock markets, and non-financial corporates themselves via retained profits to see whether there has been any convergence in the EU regarding the structure of the financial systems. First, a test for convergence is employed to look into the possibility of a change towards a sustained increase in the relative share of bank financing as a percentage of the overall capital structure of NFCs, given an initial level, in a way that suggests the economies are moving towards a bank-oriented system as well as a pattern of corporate financing that primarily relies on bank debt. In order to ascertain whether there has been a shift towards a sustained increase in the relative share of equity financing as a percentage of all NFC investment financing, given an initial level, as well as a pattern of corporate financing that relies primarily on bonds and equity issues, the convergence test is secondly applied. Last but not least, the convergence test is used to see if there has been a shift towards a sustained increase in the relative share of internal finance as a percentage of the total NFC investment financing, given an initial level, in a way that suggests the managers of the NFCs behave in accordance with the pecking-order theory of financing choices and thus utilise retained earnings first before turning to debt, equity, or bond financing.

The overall findings also provide insight into whether the financial systems of EU member states are moving more towards a model that is "bank-oriented" or "capital market-oriented."

See also Dermine's Chapter 4 in this book. With particular reference to the financing of NFCs, the general method of moments estimation is applied to a dynamic fixed effects model for convergence on a panel of OECD flow of funds data for seven EU member countries, shedding light on the interaction between the financial and real sectors in relation to the convergence criteria. The research spans the era of significant financial innovation, liberalisation, and regulatory change. In some of the nations under investigation, this process began in the 1970s, but it really picked up steam in the 1980s, especially in the UK and France from the mid-1980s forward. In general, the 1980s may be seen as the decade of securitization leading to an increase in the usage of derivatives in the late 1980s and early 1990s, as indicated in Section 2. The 1970s can be seen as the decade of internationalisation. Exchange rate restrictions have gradually been removed both within and outside of Europe during this time, and the Basle Committee's work has also resulted in the deregulation and reform of the banking and stock exchange industries. The combined effect of these international processes and the EU's programme to create a single financial market has increased competition within and among the banking systems of member countries as well as between these systems and the capital markets, particularly in the area of financing NFCs. One would anticipate that the securitization process has facilitated convergence in the EU and will continue to do so if it is allowed to.

Convergence is likely to be forced by the growing rivalry among different financial systems both inside the EU and between the EU and other nations. As "gaps" in the market are continuously recognised and exploited, a comparable range of financial products has progressively become accessible in all nations. However, according to Brealey and Myers, for all NFCs in the US between 1981 and 1994, internal cash flow was the primary source of corporate financing and covered, on average, 75% of capital expenditures, including investments in inventory and other current assets. Bank debt accounted for the majority of the required external financing, and net new stock issues were hardly ever necessary. The discovery is in line with Rajan and Zingales' conclusions from their international assessments of capital structures in seven OECD nations, as well as Corbett and Jenkinson, Bertero and Edwards, and Fischer's results in a subset of OECD nations. However, these investigations also uncover some evidence of a switch from bank loans to direct capital market financing as a result of the securitization procedure connected to the 1980s financial liberalisation. The observed business finance patterns in the context of the EU nations, however, seem to conceal the stark contrast in the organisation of the financial systems. The UK is used to contrast the Anglo-Saxon financial system with the continental financial system, which is exemplified by Germany and much of continental Europe. According to traditional use, "banking orientated" refers to deposit taking, bank lending via the formation of demand deposits in connection with a debt contract between the bank and the borrower, and the public's access to related money transmission services.

However, banks, particularly in the EU, are expanding their involvement in both the banking and securities sectors. This includes universal banking, fund management, and, more recently, the insurance sector. Because of this, there are several possible meanings for the phrase "bank orientated." It might refer to a system in which banks are the main financial institutions, offering access to direct financing from the money and capital markets via, among other things, products like commercial bills and paper, bonds and euro notes, or shares. Direct and indirect funding, as well as debt vs equity financing, are the main contrasts made here. Since providing indirect debt financing is at the core of banking, "bank orientated" might be interpreted more narrowly to suggest that bank loans are the primary source of external funding for NFCs. Therefore, a bank-oriented system with regard to the EU could be seen as one in which banks are the primary financial institutions in terms of corporate governance because they serve as both lenders of debt and the primary institutional owners of equity, similar to the universal banking system in Germany. Pension and insurance

funds, on the other hand, are the primary institutional shareholders in capital market-oriented systems. Particularly so in the UK, where share ownership is still very tightly held. Institutional shareholders in the UK have not used their voting rights as often as German Grossbanken up to this point [9], [10].

The danger presented by aggressive merger and acquisition activity on the UK capital markets also affects managerial conduct. Unsolicited takeover offers, on the other hand, have, at least until recently, been entirely unknown in continental Europe. The policy discussions surrounding the development of financial systems in EU member nations after the creation of the Single European Market in 1993 are heavily reliant on the relative benefits of bank- and capital market-oriented systems. In formerly bank-dominated financial systems, the capital markets will play a bigger role in the future if direct financing is rising compared to bank financing. Because bank-oriented systems tend to be more "long termist," this tendency may cause investment and "research and development" spending choices to become more "short termist." The privatisation of pensions in response to an ageing population and the associated budgetary pressures caused by maintaining "pay-as-you-go" state pension schemes will result in a build-up of pension funds, which will counteract this development and help to deepen capital markets in previously bank-dominated systems. As constraints requiring significant amounts of the funds to be invested in domestic government bonds are lifted in response to competitive demands to provide respectable returns for investors, these funds will increasingly invest in shares.

Pension funds naturally adopt a strategic approach since they deal with long-term savings, which should help offset any bias towards short-termism. The establishment of a European corporate bond market has already been accelerated by the introduction of the single currency region inside the EU. Bank loans should play a smaller role as a source of corporate debt financing as a result of the sustained, fast expansion of the euro-based corporate bond market. However, it is still unclear if the Single European Market of 1993 has resulted in a trend for the various financial systems in the EU to converge over time. The "convergence criterion" reflects the expectations of EU member countries that the introduction of a borderless Europe in January 1993 would have an effect on these economies' financial systems by facilitating the creation of a single financial space in the EU. This expectation is relevant to the financial systems of the EU and the patterns of corporate financing. With the majority of the EU states' adoption of the decision to press on with the development of a single currency in January 1999, this took a step closer. Convergence is likely to quicken in Euroland [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

A new age of interconnection and complexity in the banking and financial industries has been ushered in by the phenomena of globalisation and the convergence of financial systems. Our study reveals important consequences for the global economy, financial stability, and how financial institutions function as a result of this revolutionary journey. The fusion of financial systems has been accelerated by globalisation, which has also helped to remove regional constraints and promote global collaboration. Financial institutions encounter both possibilities and difficulties as they expand their international reach. The benefits include access to a larger consumer base, diverse revenue sources, and greater risk management via global portfolio diversification. These advantages are complicated by the difficulties of negotiating various legal systems, cultural differences, and market dynamics. Market dynamics, regulatory modifications, and technological improvements all contribute to the convergence of financial systems. Cross-border transactions are now quicker and more effective because to technological advancements that have revolutionized the way financial services are provided and accessed. Standardization and equal playing fields are goals of regulatory changes, but achieving a balance between stability and innovation and competition is difficult. Financial institutions have to modify their business models and strategies in order

to adapt to this changing environment. Institutions now place a high priority on thorough risk assessment and mitigation measures because of how linked the world is. In order to manage systemic risks and crises, central banks often work together beyond national boundaries, playing a critical role in safeguarding financial stability.

REFERENCES:

[1] G. G. Jones and I. Straus, "Historical roots of globalization," *Centen. Glob. Bus. Summit*, 2008.

[2] M. Tretyakov, "Convergence of corporate governance models," *Vopr. Ekon.*, 2004, doi: 10.32609/0042-8736-2004-1-129-140.

[3] P. Chand and M. White, "A critique of the influence of globalization and convergence of accounting standards in Fiji," *Crit. Perspect. Account.*, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.cpa.2006.05.006.

[4] H. T. Thuy Van, V. T. Kim Anh, and N. D. Huy, "International Financial Reporting Standards and Orientation of Vietnam: 'Roadmap & International Experience,'" *Account. Financ. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.5430/afr.v7n4p167.

[5] A. Mullineux, "Financial sector convergence and corporate governance," *J. Financ. Regul. Compliance*, 2007, doi: 10.1108/13581980710726750.

[6] A. Dementieva, Y. Kalyuzhnova, and M. Sokolova, "Convergence of corporate governance models in the context of globalization," *Mezhdunarodnye Protsessy*, 2018, doi: 10.17994/IT.2018.16.4.55.7.

[7] H. Hariyati, "Perkembangan Standar Akuntansi Keuangan Di Indonesia Ditinjau Dari Filsafat Ilmu," *AKRUAL J. Akunt.*, 2011, doi: 10.26740/jaj.v2n2.p151-171.

[8] C. L. Ahmadjian and G. E. Robbins, "A clash of capitalisms: Foreign shareholders and corporate restructuring in 1990s Japan," *Am. Sociol. Rev.*, 2005, doi: 10.1177/000312240507000305.

[9] J. Vowles, "Does globalization affect public perceptions of 'Who in power can make a difference'? Evidence from 40 countries, 1996-2006," *Elect. Stud.*, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2007.11.003.

[10] P. B. Volmer, J. R. Werner, and J. Zimmermann, "New governance modes for Germany's financial reporting system: Another retreat of the nation state?," *Socio-Economic Rev.*, 2007, doi: 10.1093/ser/mwl028.

[11] K. Pistor, "Global network finance: Institutional innovation in the global financial market place," *J. Comp. Econ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.jce.2009.08.002.

[12] H. Askari, Z. Iqbal, and A. Mirakhor, *Globalization and Islamic Finance: Convergence, Prospects, and Challenges*. 2012. doi: 10.1002/9781118390467.

CHAPTER 2

MULTINATIONAL BANKING: HISTORICAL, EMPIRICAL AND CASE PERSPECTIVES

Anand Joshi, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- anandjoshi869@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

An intricate and multidimensional aspect of the global financial environment is represented by multinational banking. The historical development, empirical analysis, and case views of international financial institutions are all covered in this essay. It investigates the historical setting that has influenced the expansion of these organisations, documenting their beginnings and progression through time. Empirical findings illuminate the effects and ramifications of multinational banking on host and home nations, evaluating their contributions to financial stability, risk management, and economic growth. Case studies of well-known international banks provide practical insights into their approaches, setbacks, and experiences navigating the world of finance. The paper provides a thorough analysis of international banking, focusing on its significance to the global economy, the legal and regulatory frameworks that control it, and the continuing changes that will shape it in the future. Our grasp of the complex dynamics and importance of international banking in a world characterised by financial globalisation and interconnection is deepened as a result of this examination.

KEYWORDS:
Corporation, Financial Globalisation, Global Banking, Multidimensional.

INTRODUCTION

The names of so many of the major actors in global banking have such strong national or regional ties, which is one of its paradoxes. A few examples of this paradox are BankAmerica, Banque Nationale de Paris, Deutsche Bank, Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation, National Australia Bank, Royal Bank of Canada, and Union Bank of Switzerland. This part tackles the paradox by detailing the origins and development of multinational banking, followed by a discussion of several theories of multinational banking in part 2. The theories' empirical foundation is explored, and concrete instances are given. Section 3 examines the many methods in which national or regional banks develop into international enterprises. The main emphasis is on the numerous organisational techniques that multinational banks use, the forms that they adopt, and the factors that influence the forms that they choose. In Section 4, an examination of the performance of MNBs integrates a number of topics and threads from the first two parts. The performance of international MNBs in the US as opposed to their local competitors is the main emphasis. The future of MNBs and regulatory change for MNBs are discussed. The difficulties faced by MNBs and regulators are discussed, especially in light of the banking and financial industry's rapid consolidation. But first, international banking and international banks are described and set apart from multinational banking and MNBs.

Global and multinational banking Particularly outside of the MNB literature, the phrases "multinational banking" and "international banking" are often used synonymously. Similar to that, the terms "multinational bank" and "international bank" are often used carelessly or at least indiscriminately. It is common in the literature to distinguish between "multinational"

and "international"; these terms have more particular implications than in common speech, despite the fact that clear separation is becoming more and more difficult. International banking is the more comprehensive of the two definitions. It's used, for instance, by Lewis and Davis to refer to the "cross-border and cross-currency facets of banking business." They divide conventional foreign banking and eurocurrency banking as the two primary categories of international banking. For trade financing and other international activities, traditional foreign banking entails dealings with non-residents in local currency. Banks that participate in eurocurrency transactions exchange currency with both residents and non-residents. While MNBs may, and in fact often do, handle certain parts of banking, they are not required to. International banks often engage in the supply of trade financing, foreign exchange, and euro market loans.

A global bank does not always have an international bent to its operations. A global firm, according to Buckley and Casson, is one that "owns and controls activities in different countries." MNBs may be stated to "own and control branches and/or affiliates in more than one country" when using this concept in the context of banking. Among others, Robinson, Grey and Grey, Lewis and Davis give definitions that are comparable to one another. The presence of a portion of foreign direct investment is what distinguishes multinational banking, and MNBs are one sort of MNE. International banking and foreign banking are distinct from multinational banking since they do not call for a physical presence in another country. The banks that are the subject of this chapter are those that have "institutional presence in the form of branches in one or more foreign countries." These MNBs may specialise in multinational retail banking, international corporate banking, or multinational wholesale banking, but they are all fundamentally engaged in secondary industries like foreign exchange and credit extension and finance. Actually, most of multinational banking activities of MNBs are essentially an extension of banking activities carried out by banks on a domestic level." In light of this remark, the sections that follow investigate why and how regional or local banks decide to enter overseas markets before moving on to study the operation and prospects of these companies. To set the stage for the information that follows, a short history of international banking is offered earlier [1], [2].

A Tale of Two Waves

Letters of credit and bills of exchange issued beyond sovereign borders were the first documented instances of "international" or, perhaps more correctly, "inter-civilization" banking. They have been around over four thousand years. 'Multinational' or possibly'multisovereign' banking, on the other hand, is a far more recent phenomenon; in the fourteenth century, the great bankers of Florence created subsidiaries or branches in other countries. However, putting away these ancient precursors and concentrating on the present, the development of international banking may be divided into two major phases. In the nineteenth century, the emergence of colonialism was accompanied by and even made easier by the first wave of contemporary international finance. Therefore, there are some similarities between the growth patterns of multinational banking and those of the colonial period. It is hardly surprising, given this synergy, that British banks—the colonial superpower—dominated this wave of transnational banking. In the 1830s, the first British MNBs established branches in the colonies of Australia, the Caribbean, and North America.

These were followed two decades later by the opening of offices in Asia, British India, South Africa, and Latin America. These early MNBs, which were based in London, the time's centre of the world's finance, and which are sometimes referred to as "British overseas banks" or "Anglo-foreign banks," funded a significant portion of the economic growth in the British colonies. Additionally, British MNBs grew throughout continental Europe and the Middle East. On a lesser basis, the other colonial powers also participated in global banking. Belgian, French, and German MNBs were especially active in the decades that followed the 1870s, building branches in their own colonies, Latin America, and even China. They also made a name for themselves in London and other parts of Europe. The early British MNBs had a

similar character, but the European MNBs often operated in both domestic and international markets. For instance, Société Générale, the biggest domestic banking organisation in Belgium at the time, grew its business both by acquiring overseas companies and by establishing foreign subsidiaries. The establishment of German multinational banking was spearheaded by domestic institutions, as was the creation of the French MNB, Banque de l'Indochine. Furthermore, European colonial powers were not the only ones that participated in the first wave of transnational banking. Strong MNBs existed in both Japan and, more specifically, Canada. The first wave was essentially stopped by the decades of conflict and misery that followed 1914. Expanding global commerce, colonialism, and the might of the British empire—the factors that had previously driven the rise of multinational banking all saw a decrease. MNBs faced additional challenges at the same time as nationalistic sentiment and competition from local banks in global markets grew. However, a different combination of variables was to come together in the 1960s to usher in the second phase of rapid expansion for transnational banking. Macroeconomic, regulatory, and microeconomic factors are the three major categories under which Huertas organises the drivers of this second wave of global banking.

The resurgence of international commerce and investment during the post-war boom and the restoration of a somewhat stable political climate fit under the first group. Regulations like Regulation Q, which essentially restricted US banks' capacity to raise money domestically by regulating interest rates payable on US deposits, helped to advance the second wave of regulatory reform. Many domestic US banks were encouraged to open international branches in order to escape the reach of Regulation Q as a result of the subsequent development of the Eurodollar market. These banks were able to finance more domestic loans because, as MNBs, they had access to the new stream of US dollar funding. The second wave was also fueled in subsequent decades by the progressive liberalisation of domestic banking systems in many nations. According to Huertas, the second wave of multinational growth was driven by the most powerful microeconomic drivers. Internalisation of foreign banking operations had a major cost advantage over conventional correspondent banking networks during this time due to the stunning technological advancements. The knowledge that multinational companies would be better positioned to take advantage of the possibilities given by the rising significance of institutional investors and the global increase in financial product and service innovation was another motivator for international expansion.

There are also significant differences between the contemporary waves of international banking in addition to the many variables that shaped the two eras. One discrepancy has already been alluded to: although British institutions led the first wave, the second wave was dominated by US banks, who had previously been small global banking players. As was previously said, the US MNBs' need for more money for their domestic enterprises served as their primary driving force for international expansion. However, they were also motivated by a desire to take part in the emerging global economy, which was dominated by the US. Geographical differences are a second point of distinction. Because of the colonial nature of their growth, MNBs in the first wave concentrated primarily on developing nations; in contrast, their descendants in the second wave were relatively more interested in expanding into developed economies, where the aforementioned microeconomic forces might be used to better advantage. Last but not least, there are variations in the organisational structures and techniques used. In the nineteenth century, several MNBs had retail banking operations overseas. These operations were often "green field" ventures for British MNBs in particular.

The second wave of multinational banking, in contrast, is distinguished by a stronger reluctance to international retail banking, with a few noteworthy outliers, like Citibank, presumably, unless market entrance efforts incorporate foreign bank acquisition. the text returns to these topics. Almost all of the major financial institutions in the world are multinationals now, over four decades after the second wave began. US transnational banking activities have spread more and more. The combined assets of US banks' foreign subsidiaries

and branches topped $1.1 trillion by the end of 1996. More than doubling from 1992 levels, this shows a major increase in US multinational banking activity. In addition to controlling a significant portion of the local banking market in many countries, multinational banking organisations also predominate in the international elements of banking. At the end of 1996, branches and subsidiaries of non-UK banks made around 58% of all UK loans and 26.7% of loans in sterling in the UK. At the end of 1996, branches and subsidiaries of non-German banks made around 17% of all loans made by private commercial banks in Germany. While the external environment and influences that have shaped multinational banking and its development since the early nineteenth century have been discussed in this section, little attention has been paid to the internal factors that domestic banks primarily used to decide whether to become multinational organisations. The next section deals specifically with these MNB hypotheses [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

A few of the various reasons why domestic banks may decide to become MNBs have previously been mentioned. Individual banks may choose to grow internationally for a variety of reasons, albeit one or more of the justifications may be more persuasive. Depending on the individual foreign market being considered, as well as the internal and external conditions at distinct times, different factors may justify or need entrance. Depending on whether the operation comprises retail, service, or wholesale banking, Grubel offers several justifications for international banking. This section's goal is neither to advance a comprehensive theory of multinational banking or to engage in a discussion about the merits of internalisation theory vs eclectic theory as theoretical frameworks for understanding MNBs.3 This is due to the fact that "[a]t the level of abstraction represented by internalisation theory and eclectic theory, it is not possible to generate empirically testable hypotheses," and the purpose of this section is to not only discuss the various factors that drive banks to become multinational, but also to determine how much support each theory receives from the empirical literature and pertinent case studies. For convenience of explanation, the theories are grouped rather than explained individually. The first category includes broad notions about chances for development and financial gain. The second category is made up of theories about utilising strengths across a multinational organisation, the third is made up of theories about bank client interactions, the penultimate category is made up of theories about risk management, and the last category is made up of various theories about multinational banking [5], [6].

Theories Regarding Profit Opportunities and Growth Almost every theory of global banking may be argued to have some bearing on growth or profit potential if it is thought that banks want to maximise growth, profit, or income or to minimise their respective opposites. The theories listed under this area, however, speak generally and broadly about such prospects. The parts that follow discuss the more detailed hypotheses. The main goal of exploring offshore possibilities by domestic banks is to increase expansion, earnings, or both. The domestic banking and financial services industry may be very competitive or have mature domestic markets for these prospective MNBs.4 According to the foreign market size and foreign market relative growth hypotheses, banks looking for fresh growth or profit prospects overseas are more drawn to big foreign markets and foreign markets with relatively high rates of growth. These ideas may be especially relevant for banks 'want to buy into the rise of international commerce' or trying to win over local clients in foreign markets. The Australia and New Zealand Banking Group's choice to enter Asia in the 1980s was undoubtedly influenced by these potential. The relative growth hypothesis has not been well examined, and the findings are ambiguous, but the foreign market size hypothesis has some empirical evidence. Regardless of market size or relative growth, growth and profit potential may also be proportionately larger if the overseas banking industry is less intensively competitive and entry barriers are low. According to the foreign market competitiveness theory, prospective MNBs are more inclined to expand into international banking areas that are less competitive

at home. The competitiveness of foreign banking industries is often assessed using concentration ratios in econometric analysis, and the hypothesis has some empirical backing [7], [8].

Theories Concerning Leveraging of Strengths

According to Grubel's ground-breaking application of the idea of MNEs to the study of multinational banking, prospective MNBs must have a competitive edge over local rivals in international markets. In the absence of a competitive advantage, this argument states, banks would be hesitant to grow internationally. However, this idea may be expanded to account for the case when a bank buys a foreign company that has certain technology, say, with the explicit goal of using such technology to enhance its own operations. This section examines the many theories of multinational banking that entail some leveraging of a bank's competitive advantages. A bank's success in a particular area of its business might result from a variety of factors. It could have advanced business practises, cutting-edge information technology, and/or superior managerial abilities, knowledge, and experience. It could thus try to build offshore branches to take use of these qualities. For instance, National Australia Bank believed that its "package of products and services, systems, and management [could] be effectively duplicated in other countries." These advantages may have developed during years of competition in a highly developed local financial industry, via prior exposure to global banking, or even from earlier operations in a comparable foreign market.

The econometric research has generally shown a positive correlation between the size of the domestic market, which is often used as a proxy for domestic market complexity, and the degree of presence in overseas markets. In Davidson's sample of MNEs, the influence of prior multinational experience on multinational operations was favourable. Additionally, in Pastre's poll, more than half of the respondents who were from multinational companies said that picking a bank in a foreign country was influenced by its reputation abroad. However, the metrics used to represent multinational experience have traditionally been connected with MNB size in the work that is particular to MNBs as opposed to MNEs generally. Since a bank may have additional competitive advantages due to its size or to economies of scale or scope, which may be connected to size, this has made it more difficult to verify the theory. A causation issue further complicates the empirical matter, raising the question of whether multinational status contributes to banks being big or if large banks prefer to become multinational, for instance in pursuit of new offshore growth prospects. Of course, assessing whether bank size, scale, or scope economies have an impact on the volume of multinational banking activity similarly suffers from the challenges associated with testing the multinational experience hypothesis. It is obvious that starting a worldwide banking operation may demand a significant amount of cash, especially in light of capital adequacy rules and the growing significance of information technology investments.

A major bank may also have extra comparative advantages in foreign markets since it may have access to funding that is more affordable. Therefore, such a company may decide to extend its operations internationally in order to benefit from its size or the power of its money and assets. Cho, among others, offers some evidence in favour of the size theory, however, as was said, it may be difficult to separate size from international experience. Scale or scope economies may also provide a competitive edge that may be applied to a foreign market. For instance, an MNB with scale efficiencies could be able to run on narrower interest margins, luring in overseas depositors and borrowers. While Mahajan et al. discovered that US MNBs have economies of scale and are more effective than their domestic competitors, other studies' findings, like those of Tschoegl, are less conclusive. Once again, the issue of causation emerges, with the possibility that multinationality may result in economies or that economies may spur multinational development. The cost of capital funds for an MNB is not only determined by its size. Differentials in bank cost of capital may be attributed to a

number of factors, including home-country national saving habits, macroeconomic policy, business structure, financial regulation, and taxation. In various industrialised nations, commercial banks have significantly varied bank costs of capital, according to Zimmer and McCauley.

They discover that variations in the cost of capital in the local markets of the MNBs may account for the growth in the market share of foreign MNBs in the US. Savings and macroeconomic policy, as well as the interactions between businesses, banks, and the government, all contributed to cost of capital advantages. In their investigation on foreign banks' access to the US lending market, McCauley and Seth also discovered that overseas MNBs had lower capital costs than their domestic US competitors. In both situations, foreign-owned MNBs with lower cost of capital have seen an increase in market share when compared to competitors with greater cost of capital. Last but not least, banks without a competitive advantage might get an edge by buying foreign companies, usually in high-end sectors.

This might be referred to as the "foreign market sophistication" theory for this reason. According to this view, achieving multinational status entails using or leveraging a recently obtained advantage in both local banking operations and any already-existing global activities. The stronger the motivation to become an MNB by making a foreign acquisition in such a market, if the complexity of the foreign market is taken as a proxy, the more sophisticated the foreign target bank's market is in contrast to that of the acquirer. This theory has little or no empirical backing. However, obtaining the potential advantages of having a sizable presence in the technologically superior US market was one of the driving forces for National Australia Bank's purchase of the US bank, Michigan National Corporation. The National Australia Bank's acquisition of Florida-based mortgage expert Home Side Inc., whose cutting-edge mortgage systems and procedures were systematically deployed across the bank's worldwide companies, was even more blatant evidence of this "reverse leveraging" approach [9], [10].

Theories Pertaining to Client Activities

In the first of the three hypotheses concerning bank customers' actions, it is assumed that when domestic clients choose to invest overseas, their home banks will set up appropriate international representation to keep offering services there. A decision to become multinational in such circumstances may be defensive in that failing to do so may result in the loss of a multinational domestic client, not just in foreign markets but possibly domestically as well, as the client may opt to bank with a foreign MNB with domestic branches or with a domestic MNB competitor of the purely domestic bank. In the words of Hilmar Kopper, then-spokesman for the board of managing directors of Deutsche Bank, "[banks] had to become global institutions in order to safeguard their strength at home."5 The defensive expansion theory is presented here. The trade finance hypothesis, a second and related theory, postulates that domestic banks expand internationally to provide banking services for domestic customers' trading, as opposed to investing, operations. For instance, US Steel and DuPont both supported Citibank to expand into South America at the beginning of the 20th century.

However, expansion in such situations need not be completely defensive. In truth, Citibank had a second reason for expanding that may be called "offensive expansion" or "opportunistic expansion" as well. In order to lure exporters' accounts to the City Bank, Citibank's then-president anticipated that the creation of its South American offices would "yield a very considerable return by offering facilities that other banks cannot offer to exporters." The link between measurements of the size of the foreign market and foreign investment and trade proxies makes it difficult to interpret the findings of some of the econometric testing of these hypotheses. The MNB may have been motivated by the potential of a sizable new market or

by the necessity to provide services to current customers overseas for trade or investment objectives. In other words, because of this association, it may be challenging for econometric research to identify or isolate the factors that lead to the creation of international branches. However, the extensive empirical research has generally found support for both client-related theories. The fact that 52% of surveyed US corporations continued to bank with their home MNB with regard to their activities in other jurisdictions provides further evidence for these assumptions [11], [12].

Theories Relating to Risk Management

Increased growth rates and profitability may result from expanding internationally, whether it is by tapping into large, fast-growing markets, capitalizing on current advantages, or by following customers there. Of course, factors related to risk also play a role in deciding whether to join an MNB in two diametrically opposed ways. First of all, it is obvious that there are dangers and uncertainties associated with investing in other countries. Second, and in contradiction to the first point, an offshore investment has the ability to reduce and diversify risk. This section discusses the risk management-related ideas of international banking. Risk-averse banks can choose to enter foreign markets that are familiar to them in terms of culture, language, and institutional structure.8 Any doubts may be lessened in this manner.9 Rather than 'greenfield' growth, foreign bank acquisition might allay these worries. Similar to individuals, risk-averse banks could steer clear of nations or areas with shaky governments. This does not imply that these banks would completely avoid international economies that are riskier than their home markets. The portfolio diversification theory, on the other hand, contends that an MNB may reduce its commercial and political risk exposure by making investments in many nations.

In fact, a major, well-known MNB may even benefit from entering a less stable economy if it can develop a sizable retail business by giving local depositors more protection than what is offered by domestic institutions. Regarding the portfolio diversification idea, Fieleke's short research of US banks is ambiguous, and Goldberg and Johnson state that "International diversification benefits have been claimed but supporting evidence is weak." The former CEO of National Australia Bank, Don Argus, contends that "geographic diversification of the business reduces that volatility in the bank's performance that arises from total exposure to the domestic economy." Similar to the previous statement, "Citicorp's global policy of broad diversification of both assets and liabilities helps to maintain stability and reduces risk of excessive concentration in any particular country." On the other hand, banks from politically unreliable local markets could want to build up a more safe asset base and revenue stream overseas in a more secure political or economic environment. By flipping the example from above, Marashdeh argues that an MNB with such a strategy could find it difficult to attract customers in lower-risk markets without giving foreign depositors a proportionate return. Again, under these circumstances, growth via the purchase of an existing overseas bank could be a more realistic course of action. There hasn't been a lot of empirical research on these concepts, and the few studies that have been done have produced contradictory findings. This is presumably owing in part to the contrasting viewpoints and reasons outlined above, as well as the challenges associated with determining a country's degree of risk and, in particular, separating the risk proxy from other relevant characteristics like market size and complexity[13], [14].

CONCLUSION

Exploring international banking from historical, empirical, and case perspectives shows a dynamic and complicated industry that is essential to the world's financial system. This multifaceted examination highlights the wide-ranging effects, possibilities, and difficulties connected with global financial organisations. Multinational banks have historically changed

to meet the shifting requirements of international commerce, finance, and investment. Their beginnings may be traced to the colonial period, and they have been influenced by changes in geopolitics, economic policy, and technical development. Understanding this historical background offers helpful insights into how these organisations have evolved and expanded.

The complex implications of multinational banking on host and home nations are clarified by empirical investigations. These organisations have the potential to make important contributions to risk management, financial stability, and economic growth. They do, however, also present issues with regulatory coordination, systemic risk, and flaws in the world financial system. Case views provide practical information on the tactics, difficulties, and experiences of well-known global banks. These organisations function across a variety of international marketplaces, according to regional needs while keeping an international presence. Their performance often depends on efficient risk management, adherence to legal requirements, and in-depth knowledge of regional markets. In summary, international banking is a vital and dynamic part of the world economy. It promotes economic growth, cross-border commerce, and investment, as well as financial stability. However, it is not without its complications and difficulties, such as the requirement for responsible banking practises, regulatory oversight, and the control of systemic risk. Cooperation between regulatory bodies, good risk management, and a dedication to moral and sustainable banking practises will be crucial as multinational banks continue to navigate a shifting global financial environment. In order to create a robust and successful financial ecosystem, it is necessary to find a balance between opportunities and problems since multinational banking institutions will play a significant role in determining the direction of finance and the global economy.

REFERENCES:

[1] B. N. Jeon, M. P. Olivero, and J. Wu, "Multinational banking and the international transmission of financial shocks: Evidence from foreign bank subsidiaries," *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2012.10.020.

[2] B. Williams, "Positive theories of multinational banking: Eclectic theory versus internalisation theory," *J. Econ. Surv.*, 1997, doi: 10.1111/1467-6419.00024.

[3] H. G. Grubel, "A theory of multinational banking," *PSL Q. Rev.*, 2014.

[4] F. Sufian, "An exploration into the home field, global advantage and liability of unfamiliarness hypotheses in multinational banking," *IIMB Manag. Rev.*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.iimb.2011.06.003.

[5] S. Z. Ahmad, "Foreign multinational banking in Malaysia: Trends, motives and activities," *Asia-Pacific J. Bus. Adm.*, 2013, doi: 10.1108/17574321311321612.

[6] R. McCauley, P. McGuire, and G. von Peter, "After the global financial crisis: From international to multinational banking?," *J. Econ. Bus.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jeconbus.2011.06.004.

[7] J. Niehans, "Financial innovation, multinational banking, and monetary policy," *J. Bank. Financ.*, 1983, doi: 10.1016/0378-4266(83)90011-0.

[8] B. Williams, "Domestic and international determinants of bank profits: Foreign banks in Australia," *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0378-4266(02)00251-0.

[9] L. Qian and A. Delios, "Internalization and experience: Japanese banks' international expansion, 1980-1998," *J. Int. Bus. Stud.*, 2008, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400317.

[10] M. Collins and G. Jones, "British Multinational Banking, 1830-1990.," *Econ. Hist. Rev.*, 1994, doi: 10.2307/2598105.

[11] C. Yildirim and G. Efthyvoulou, "Bank value and geographic diversification: regional vs global," *J. Financ. Stab.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jfs.2018.04.003.

[12] S. Magri, A. Mori, and P. Rossi, "The entry and the activity level of foreign banks in Italy: An analysis of the determinants," *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2004.04.004.

[13] B. Williams*, "The Defensive Expansion Approach to Multinational Banking: Evidence to Date," *Financ. Mark. Institutions Instruments*, 2002, doi: 10.1111/1468-0416.00008.

[14] J. G. Fung, E. A. Bain, J. G. Onto, and I. R. Harper, "A decade of internationalization: The experience of an Australian retail bank," *J. Int. Financ. Mark. Institutions Money*, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S1042-4431(02)00021-5.

CHAPTER 3

COLLATERALIZED LOAN OBLIGATIONS, ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES, AND CREDIT DERIVATIVES

Mohit Rastogi, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- rtmohit@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT:

Collateralized loan obligations, asset-backed securitization, and credit derivatives are examples of complex financial products that have grown in importance on the international financial markets. The complexity of these financial inventions is investigated in this essay, along with the structures, purposes, and ramifications for the financial sector. Asset-backed securitization has significant effects on risk management and liquidity by aggregating and selling income-generating assets to create marketable securities. Corporate loans are securitized through collateralized loan obligations, giving investors access to varied credit portfolios. Credit derivatives give flexibility in risk management techniques by providing a way to transfer and control credit risk. The influence of these financial instruments on financial markets and institutions is highlighted as this research dives into their historical development, market dynamics, and regulatory issues. We learn more about the complexity and importance of these financial innovations in a quickly changing global financial environment thanks to this thorough examination.

KEYWORDS:

Asset-Backed Securities, Credit Derivatives, Collateralized, Loan Obligations.

INTRODUCTION

The process of turning illiquid assets into liquid assets that can be traded on the securities markets is known as asset-backed securitization. Banks may now liquidate assets using this method that were previously kept on balance sheets until maturity. Infinite assets, such as residential or commercial mortgages, personal loans, business loans, auto loans, credit card receivables, and aircraft lease receivables, debt obligations, tax receivables, student loans, music receivables, and intellectual property rights, are among the assets that can be securitized. The process of disintermediation in the banking industry is often referred to as "securitization." This is the ongoing exodus of banks' top clients to the securities markets, where businesses may obtain capital at prices that are more affordable than the interest rates the banks offer for loans. However, we'll just be concentrating on ABS in this chapter. This chapter has two goals in mind. First, we will examine and map current ABS market developments, concentrating on the securitization of bank assets known as collateralized loan obligations.

Additionally, we examine current advancements in CLO transactions, namely the utilisation of credit derivatives. By using such financial instruments, credit risk may be managed and payoffs or protection based on an underlying hazardous debt reference can be generated. Second, we'll examine the regulatory framework for ABS. Our study focuses on capital needs and transaction reporting criteria. A basic review of ABS, including its theory, principles, and issues, is given. Here, the justification for using ABS and the subsequent changes in such securitizations will be discussed in relation to the major challenges that banks are now under.

Collateralized obligations are together with a short overview of credit derivatives, giving readers a broad understanding of their applications, advantages, and benefits with regard to balance sheet restructuring. will provide a more detailed description of credit derivatives used in CLO agreements.

Here, we'll illustrate how credit derivatives might be used by using particular structures and transactions, such the Glacier deal by Swiss Banking Corp. In terms of perceived riskiness and capital efficiency, we will also assess the success of such improvements. We examine the regulatory concerns relating to ABS. We begin by analyzing the possible effects of the new capital adequacy suggestions from the Bank for International Settlements. In our second analysis, we look at the new transaction reporting guidelines created by the ABS sector under the aegis of the European Securitization Forum. comes to an end[1], [2].

DISCUSSION

In terms of economic and financial processes, the securitization of assets, which is roughly defined as the exchange of one form of financial claim for another, is a relatively new invention.1 The origins of asset-backed securitization may be found in the US, where in the 1970s a market for pass-through mortgage securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association was created. Despite the fact that the asset-backed market has arguably existed for more than 20 years, a pure ABS contract was not created until 1985, when First Boston initiated a transaction of this kind. "It is a form of financing that was initially used to finance relatively simple self-liquidating assets, such as mortgage loans, and has expanded its application [to] more complicated financing structures," write Albrecht and Smith. If you exclude mortgage transactions, the usage of ABS in relation to other assets is even more recent—it only dates back around ten years. The number of ABS in the US increased quickly as a result of the expansion of securitized assets, such as loans to the personal sector and credit card receivables. Asset-backed agreements in the US increased in value from an overall volume of roughly $10 billion in 1986 to over $200 billion in 1993, $225 billion in 1995, and then $630 billion in 1998.4 By the end of 1996, assets had been securitized for a total of around $2.5 trillion.5 Due to this increase, the secondary market has expanded significantly outside of the US during the last ten years, reaching a global value of $55.6 billion. The ABS market has developed significantly more recently in Europe, the biggest ABS market outside of the US. The chapter will examine the comparable growth drivers which take into account both internal and external pressures later. By 1998, the European market had grown to around $46.7 billion, up from yearly forecasts of less than $10 billion in the years before to 1996. The majority of securitized assets in this market are mortgages , and the outstanding amount is valued at around $130 billion [3], [4].

Generalized Operation of ABS

By simply reviewing the securitization process, it is possible to see how securitization offers a different and expanded scope for conventional intermediation. Typically, the originating institution provides the first set of cash to create a special-purpose, bankruptcy-remote securitization conduit, known as an SPV. The SPV then buys a portion of the loan portfolio from the bank that originated it, or in certain circumstances, it may even start originating loans. The conduit offers a variety of asset-backed market instruments, often floating rate notes 8, as collateral for its portfolio to be financed. A significant percentage of the SPV's debt is issued to investors, such as institutional investors, who often demand that the senior securities be of the highest investment grade for a number of reasons. The SPV has to get credit enhancement for the underlying portfolio in order to create highly rated tranches. The majority of the improvements are often provided by the originator bank and may take a variety of forms, such as giving standby letters of credit to the SPV or repurchasing the SPV's most junior stocks. These high-yielding assets are popular among investors as contrasted to others of comparable credit rating, such corporate and developing market bonds. Due to the

potential prepayment risk and the undeveloped secondary market in Europe, they provide higher returns. The originator bank receives origination, service, and monitoring fees in addition to capital relief. Additionally, it obtains the residual spread—secured by different techniques of profit extraction between the yields on the loan portfolio and the conduit's adjusted interest expenses [5], [6].

Collateralized Loan Obligations

The initial driving force for the development of these products was to provide investors with a new way to purchase high-yield bonds. Das adds that insurance firms were a big force in this industry since they discovered that storing certain of their portfolios presented a number of challenges. They ran into liquidity issues with some of the instruments, which were exacerbated by the adoption of weighted reserve requirements. They were costly to maintain because of these requirements, which varied from 1 to 10% based on the security's level. In response, the businesses bundled these assets into high-yielding securities, getting rid of the riskier ones while keeping the high-quality securitized loans, and as a result, they were able to reduce their capital requirements. Similar principles underlie the beginning of asset securitization in the financial sector. CLOs were first created in the 1990s as a result of banks' desire to get troublesome loans off of their balance sheets. International banks have embraced the concept of securitizing large, diverse portfolios of corporate loans since NatWest's $5 billion Repeat Offering Securitisation Entity Funding deal in late 1996. The underlying investment pool consists of investment grade commitments, usually in the form of revolving or term loans, however it is also possible for it to incorporate derivatives and standby letters of credit. One of the biggest challenges facing commercial banks to date, the addition of liquidity to commercial loan portfolios, was dynamically addressed by that acquisition. As a result, CLOs have increased exponentially to the point that the total volume of CDO transactions exceeded the volume of credit card loans securitized in 1997. Because there has never been a graded default on a CLO transaction, the CLO market is now the segment of structured finance that is expanding the quickest, outpacing CBO agreements in terms of new issuance. According to EuroWeek, commercial banks shifted $60 billion in credit exposure to the capital markets in 1998 via CLO transactions.

This is predicted to grow much more in the near future, encouraged by things like the euro. The market categories and related structures are determined by the various motivations behind CLO agreements. The necessity for finance and regulatory capital relief by the originating bank drives the first kind of structure, the balance sheet structure. The majority of the securities in the underlying portfolio are investment-grade, and the sponsoring bank typically bears the initial loss under the CLO structure. As a result, the bank concentrates on including investment-grade loans, which reduces the amount of equity or credit upgrades needed and ensures good regulatory treatment. Irving pointed out that an originating bank may get the same upside potential by purchasing a much smaller CLO junior tranche rather than adding assets to the balance sheet, which would result in a regulatory capital blow. The second kind of structure is what may be referred to as an arbitrage structure. Typically, an investment bank would start a CLO transaction in order to benefit from chances to increase value that were present in the secondary market. The returns from the spread between the cash flow from the asset portfolio and the servicing needs of the secondary market asset acquisition are used to repackage the undervalued assets. High-yielding loans, notably those to developing markets, are often included in these CLOs. Parsley emphasises that institutions in continental Europe, especially those with high return-on-capital obstacles, are particularly fond of this form of funding. Credit protection trades, which will be covered in Section 4, give somewhat greater returns with only minor increases in risk, mostly illiquidity risk, when spreads are narrow [7], [8].

CLO Structuring

With the money raised via the sale of debt instruments to investors, a pool of assets is acquired from the originating bank after the creation of the special-purpose vehicle. The SPV's structure may incorporate a number of tranches backed by the asset pool, similar to how simple ABS agreements operate. The deeply subordinated securities are considered as an equity investment, and these tranches are grouped according to the order in which they have precedence over the cash flow of the underlying asset pool. The senior investment group gets the highest credit rating inside the CLO structure as a result of its greater credit protection. At this point, it must be emphasised that due to the tiering of claims and explicit credit enhancements, the rating of this senior tranche is typically higher than the average rating of the underlying asset pool. The severely ranked debt could not have a rating or be of investment grade. This share is often kept by the original bank or may be given to other investors looking for a greater return. For instance, in 1996, US Treasuries underperformed by 13%, according to Moody's Investors Service's speculative grade total return index. This junior tranche resembles pure stock in terms of features; it seldom bears a coupon, is often unrated, and typically has the same risk/return profile. However, it plays a crucial role in a CLO transaction since it defines the degree of protection that the senior tranches may depend on in the event of a failure, which in turn affects the rating. Irving pointed out that by making the younger classes bear the risk, the issuer effectively shields the most senior tranches from prospective losses.

He comes to the conclusion that subordination makes sourcing and selling the junior tranche the only way to provide investment-grade senior tranches, assuring at least some credit improvement. It goes without saying that there are a number of systemic problems with the provision of CLO tools. The amount of issues required must be determined by the originator, and this will determine whether it employs a single or master trust structure. Through a single SPV conduit, a master trust structure enables the issuance of many series of collateralized securities. Similar to this, it is necessary to decide on the management of the asset pool and the kind of structure (market or cash flow). Although the two are not entirely separate, under a cash-flow arrangement the money raised from the underlying asset pool covers the outflow to the investors. With market-value structures, however, the marked to market values particularly their worth in relation to a predetermined threshold value are given more weight. As opposed to the restricted trading of cash-flow arrangements, they are often connected with CBOs and call for active trading. Loan participations, other kinds of loan commitments, and default swaps all fall under the category of collateral, which is a crucial component of the CLO structure and defines the calibre of the issued securities. The kind, depth, and quality of collateral are typically determined by the originator or servicer. Although some CLOs may hire an asset manager to boost the performance and market value of the underlying collateral, often the job is that of a servicer as CLOs are primarily cash-flow transactions rather than a portfolio manager.

Improved balance sheet

Performance One may argue that the primary driver driving commercial banks' deployment of CLO arrangements is the return on capital. According to Smithson et al., banks relied on return on assets and book equity until recently as performance indicators, even if straightforward return on equity and return on assets measurements were enough. Banks had been allocating capital to different business units via the use of regulatory capital. The present emphasis has changed to risk-adjusted return measurements, however, and banks are now more concerned with economic capital than regulatory capital as a result of expanding complexity. Economic capital may be thought of as a gauge of earnings-at-risk. Credit, market, and operational risks are all included in this situation and then appropriately discounted by an interest rate. In a related matter, implementing a CLO deal requires suitable

operational and monitoring skills, which may lead to an increase in the effectiveness of the originating bank's risk-management capabilities. Banks have been compelled by regulatory, industry, and shareholder pressure to pay more attention to business risks and integrate profitability goals into risk-related performance measure frameworks. Since all corporate loan grades are subject to the same risk weighting, or 100%, under the Basle 1988 Accord, a bank may boost gearing by eliminating the better-quality low-yielding assets from its balance sheet and using the remaining bank capital to fund higher return operations.

A 100% risk weighting suggests that a minimum of 8% of the total value of the bank's loan portfolio is needed to cover the risk of losses under this Accord. Therefore, the bank must basically improve shareholder value and risk-adjusted returns via restricted optimisation, but subject to the limitations of capital needs. Large banks with a large portfolio, the majority of which is made up of loans of investment grade, tend to be motivated by this purpose more than smaller banks. Although the origination and execution of the CLO may be more expensive than on-balance sheet financing, the risk-adjusted return on capital, or RAROC15, is better with the CLO. This metric is becoming increasingly popular among banks. Therefore, CLOs provide a flexible and dynamic technique of reorganising balance sheets, as well as increased returns on equity and effective use of equity.

Credit-linked Notes/Credit Derivatives in Securitization

Innovations often entail the modification of an existing idea or method; it is uncommon to stumble across a completely new notion. The usage of CLOs with embedded credit derivative instruments, where there has been a fusion of two ideas, might be justified using the same line of reasoning. Any successful financial innovation must increase the financial market's operational effectiveness and comprehensiveness. An innovation might generate profit potential by efficiently leveraging any inefficiency in financial intermediation or incompleteness in financial markets when there is unmet investor demand. It seems that investment banks have jumped at the chance to make money from the creation of CLO securities. Banks have used credit derivatives to address the pressures coming from both internal and external sources. Credit derivatives made their way into the mainstream of structured finance in 1996 thanks to a series of well-known securitizations, which often took the form of credit-linked notes. Neal pointed out that CLNs combine the features of a conventional bond with a credit option. Through the securitization of simple credit default swaps, they increase the adaptability of a medium-term note issuance mechanism by incorporating them into a note or bond. A fixed income security and an embedded credit derivative are combined in this. Additionally, without making a direct investment in the security itself, the note allows the investor to duplicate credit exposure to an underlying reference portfolio while collecting cash flow or changes in the instrument's underlying default risk. The originating bank may transmit essentially risk-free senior exposure in the CLO by using credit derivatives or unfunded guarantees, leaving only the junior levels to be financed in the bond markets. CLNs essentially entail repackaging credit risk portfolios into tradable financial instruments.

Rationale for the Use of CLNs

The primary driver for the adoption of credit derivatives in CLOs is undeniably financial gain. However, there are a lot of auxiliary reasons, such lowering credit risk. Such developments are made to lessen exposures to such dangers when situations are turbulent. Different kinds of financial goods are in demand as a result of these economic factors. Since many institutions innovate during times of economic success in the pursuit of corporate expansion, it is obvious that fluctuations in the amount of economic activity do drive innovation. However, the focus turns to risk mitigation and liquidity in the event of a severe real or expected recession. Financial deregulation, which makes it harder to distinguish

between financial intermediaries, is another significant cause. Due to the increased competition, it may be required to create new, alluring investment offerings in order to survive. Other motivations result from evading regulatory and tax restrictions. The major goal of financial innovation in this situation is the restoration of profitability, risk reduction, or both. The primary drivers for the proliferation of new banking products are profit- or risk-reduction-related. The expansion and quickness of financial service applications are made possible by these, together with technical advancements that encourage process change rather than product change.

There are several uses for credit derivative products in bank-structured instruments, many of which are related to the fundamental principles of CLO securitization. Credit derivatives "can be a wonder drug," according to Covill. They provide commercial banks the ability to leverage their balance sheets by purchasing new assets or selling the risk of existing ones, and are sometimes referred to as the "Viagra" of commercial banks. Greater focus on balance sheet management and customer relationship management has reinforced traditional motivations including cheaper financing costs for many banks with lower credit ratings, capital management, and credit-risk management. Banks and investors alike must search for more obscure assets to trade due to decreasing credit spreads and relatively stable financing costs. Investment banks have always placed a high value on their ties with corporate clients, but as the financial system has become more decentralised, many banks' balance sheets now include some loans with poor yields. Contrary to typical CLOs, which sell loans, this kind of securitization using derivative instruments might be seen as relationship-friendly. The selling bank has the ability to jeopardise a carefully cultivated relationship by offering a low-yielding loan, which is often associated with huge corporations. It should be emphasised that there is a definite chance that this would produce unique concentrations of credit risk when there are strong links with a certain business or sector. On the other hand, if huge corporations cut down on their banking links, the surviving banks may be required to provide additional loans, which might raise the concentration risk.

Through the use of credit derivatives, the bank is able to repackage a particular credit risk and sell it to investors. As a result, the originating bank is able to covertly reduce its credit exposures while upholding the privacy and security of the customer. Importantly, without a dependable source of bundled funds, through which a long-term connection would have been built, a firm's survival may be questioned in terms of credit crunches. In other words, the bank is able to manage or segment a piece of the risk while keeping the asset and the connection. Additionally, if a bank maintains this connection, it could be able to offer these corporations additional, more lucrative products. A credit derivative transaction does not need the reference company, whose risk is being transferred, in the case of a CLN, to be aware of it. On the other hand, a loan assignment via the secondary loan market has to notify the obligor. Except when they are included in structured notes, credit derivatives are off-balance sheet instruments, and as a result, they provide a broad range of flexibility in terms of leverage. The employment of a credit derivative instrument enables the user to correct a potentially skewed credit-risk profile, which entails receiving a modest premium at the risk of a significant loss. Relationships with banks have come under strain as a result of corporate sector and banking sector consolidation. This will have increased the focus on balance sheet structure and strategic competitiveness, coupled with the introduction of the euro. The euro will increase financial market liquidity while also enhancing transparency and comparability, which will draw attention to any price discrepancies. The wave of banking mergers is related to the euro, and instead of seeking balance sheet contraction, the emphasis will be placed on balance sheet stability [9], [10].

The Cycle of Regulation versus Innovation

Regulation enactment and the effectiveness, or completeness and profitability, of any market are always at odds. According to Van Horne, the goal of financial markets is to direct societal funds towards the most lucrative investment options in terms of risk-adjusted return. Market innovation and regulation are inextricably linked. Regulation often drives financial innovation, even when it doesn't make much sense economically. Ineffective banking regulation has the ability to counteract any economic benefits of financial innovation. New variations of financial products would emerge since regulation is thought to impede this process. On the other hand, market developments encourage the development of regulation. Opportunities for investment may come from the private sector, where the rate of return on the investment is crucial, as opposed to the public sector, where social rewards are encouraged. Therefore, regulation has to find a way to strike a delicate balance between these two views. The capacity of the capital adequacy agreement to be utilised as a standard for financial examination by regulators and counterparties alike is what makes it valuable. The many flaws already mentioned, as well as the rising number of financial innovations, reduce the efficiency of the capital adequacy standards. Banks may successfully attain risk-based capital ratios that are lower than the Accord's nominal 8% because to the growth of capital arbitrage strategies, including securitization. Large differences between economic risks and those of the risk-weighted metric established by the BIS are what essentially fuel capital arbitrage.28 In addition to its efficiency, this may also lead to skewed risk-management practises. From the standpoint of safety and soundness, risk-management distortions may be just as problematic as capital arbitrage. In contrast, effective banking regulation not only creates a foundation for financial advancements but also, to a certain degree, enables governments to accomplish social goals that may otherwise be impractical or more expensive to attain. The occurrence of capital arbitrage presents some important policy trade-offs under the existing Accord since the only way regulators have to prevent such conduct is by imposing wide limits on the use of financial engineering tools.

However, capital arbitrage often serves as a safety valve for reducing the negative consequences of nominal capital needs, which, for certain industries, are too large, says Jones, making this unproductive and potentially unworkable. The potential that improper rules or poorly thought-out regulatory measures might aggravate or heighten financial market volatility could rise as a result of a lack of knowledge of the regulatory nature of derivatives. In essence, capital arbitrage enables banks to engage in certain operations that they otherwise would have had to stop doing because the returns on the required regulatory capital were inadequate. Apart from capital arbitrage, securitization and other risk unbundling strategies seem to provide substantial economic advantages to some degree. The question of whether ineffective or onerous capital adequacy regulations might lower banking risks is often the subject of discussion. According to Blum, "under binding capital requirements, a bank will value an additional unit of equity tomorrow more." If increasing equity is too expensive, increasing risk now is the only way to increase equity in the future. Importantly, Gehrig highlighted how the nature of strategic rivalry among banks is significantly influenced by capital requirements. In essence, it must be understood that capital adequacy may actually result in a rise in bank risks in a dynamic environment with incentives for asset substitution. Reduced bank earnings are another impact of such regulation, if regulators are interested in lowering the bankruptcy risk of banks. The "leverage effect of capital rules," which increases the value of stock to the bank, theoretically reduces a bank's motivation to prevent default when earnings are lower. Because more than one dollar might be invested in a lucrative but hazardous asset for every dollar of equity. With banks having to deal with an arbitrary capital requirement of 8%, the 1988 Basle Accord is very basic in terms of credit risk, even if many internal capital allocation mechanisms have changed along with credit products.

Historically, regulatory capital requirements have been too simplistic, which tends to penalise institutions that make significant investments in sophisticated internal risk-management systems. Therefore, the simplest way to address regulatory concerns about capital adequacy is to let qualified institutions to apply their own risk models to calculate capital sufficiency for credit and market risks, subject to regulatory scrutiny. This strategy may support innovation, a stable financial system, and more effective capital allocation. The economic content of the risk exposures resulting from structured securitizations is currently not completely captured by regulatory capital regulations. Measurement challenges have arisen as a result of the employment of complicated derivatives and complex structures. When regulatory capital requirements are inadequate to account for the complexity of particular risk positions taken on by banks, problems arise. Although it is impossible to estimate risk precisely because there are always potential estimation mistakes, measurements will eventually become reliable enough to support broad modifications to prudential legislation. Clearly, the shortcomings in the international standard have fueled the expansion of CLO transactions and other types of arbitrage. This has happened as a result of certain banks developing sophisticated models that quantify hazards, including credit risk, and deviate far from the statutory requirement of 8%. Regulators should move from a ratio-based norm, which says nothing about bankruptcy, to a model-based one, particularly for the more complex institutions, as the most advanced banks have done via fast growth of their system. Since high capital ratios don't always translate into low solvency probability, this criteria is ineffective for these organisations' goal of keeping bank failure to tolerable levels. Securitization, loan commitments, and credit derivatives 91 risk-management procedures, putting all banks at risk, is more harmful than the possibility of a few institutions falling behind. The risk is not only limited to counterparty failures; for instance, the financial system is harmed by the systematic under-pricing of credit risk. In the long term, it's also conceivable that the regulated company will become smaller than an uncontrolled one. What are the options that might [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

Collateralized loan obligations, asset-backed securitization, and credit derivatives are examples of complex financial environments that have transformed risk management, liquidity generation, and credit exposure management in the international financial markets. Following our examination of these financial developments, the following major conclusions become clear: The way income-generating assets are bundled and exchanged in financial markets has undergone a fundamental transformation because to asset-backed securitization. It has made it possible to allocate risk and money effectively and to increase access to financing sources for a variety of industries, from mortgages to consumer loans. However, in order to curtail excessive risk-taking and provide investor safety, ABS markets must be subject to strict scrutiny and transparency, as was shown by the global financial crisis of 2008. Collateralized loan obligations have given investors the chance to get exposed to diverse portfolios of corporate loans, which has helped to diversify portfolios and increase yield. Because of their complexity, these instruments need for comprehensive risk analysis. Effective credit risk management is crucial to their performance, especially during recessions. With the ability to customise their risk exposure, credit derivatives have emerged as crucial instruments for transferring and managing credit risk. However, concerns about the opaqueness and complexity of the credit derivatives markets have forced more openness and regulatory monitoring. Regulatory issues are essential to all of these financial advances in order to preserve market integrity and stability. Regulational changes aiming at increasing transparency, boosting risk management procedures, and securing the financial system have been motivated by the lessons learnt from previous financial crises.

REFERENCES:

[1] R. Kumar, "Stock Markets, Derivatives Markets, and Foreign Exchange Markets," in *Strategies of Banks and Other Financial Institutions*, 2014. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-416997-5.00005-1.

[2] F. J. Fabozzi and V. Kothari, *Introduction to Securitization*. 2011. doi: 10.1002/9781118266892.

[3] R. R. Zamora-Mesinas, G. G. Cruz, and A. López Pérez, "A policy proposal to manage the risk of leveraged MBS and CDO purchases," *J. Deriv. Hedge Funds*, 2011, doi: 10.1057/jdhf.2011.8.

[4] M. Choudhry and F. J. Fabozzi, "Originating Collateralized Debt Obligations for Balance Sheet Management," *J. Struct. Financ.*, 2003, doi: 10.3905/jsf.2003.320318.

[5] *The Structured Credit Handbook*. 2012. doi: 10.1002/9781119201564.

[6] C. Becker and G. D. Guill, "Using CLOs to Manage the Credit Risk of Corporate Loan Portfolios.," *J. Appl. Financ.*, 2009.

[7] H. E. Jackson, "Loan-Level Disclosure in Securitization Transactions: A Problem with Three Dimensions," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2012, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1649657.

[8] M. Ruppelt, A. B. Missaggia, B. M. dos Santos, and F. da Silveira, "Análise da crise do Subprime e os derivativos de crédito nos Estados Unidos da América," *Espaço e Econ.*, 2018, doi: 10.4000/espacoeconomia.4342.

[9] C. L. Culp, A. Van Der Merwe, and B. J. Stärkle, "Single-Name Credit Default Swaps: a Review of the Empirical Academic Literature," *Isda*, 2016.

[10] R. Kuroki, "Introduction: Economic crisis and Keynesian perspective," *Keynes and Modern Economics*. 2012. doi: 10.4324/9780203123393-6.

[11] M. Choudhry, "Collateralised Debt Obligations," in *Corporate Bonds and Structured Financial Products*, 2004. doi: 10.1016/b978-075066261-1.50059-1.

[12] V. K. Bhalla, "Global Financial Turmoil.," *J. Manag. Res.*, 2009.

CHAPTER 4

IMPRESSION OF EMU ON BANKING AND FINANCIAL MARKETS

Vibhor Jain, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- vibhorjain7@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

An important turning point in the development of Europe's financial system was the creation of the Economic and Monetary Union in the European Union. This essay examines the broad effects of EMU on the banking and financial markets while examining the significant changes it has brought about. The euro, which was introduced as a result of EMU, revolutionised international trade and altered financial integration inside the Eurozone. It reduced currency risk, improved pricing transparency, and promoted financial institution competition. This paper explores the effects of EMU on banking system stability, financial regulation, and monetary policy. It also looks at the difficulties and possibilities it offers to banks, investors, and market players. We obtain insights into how EMU has changed the banking and financial markets in Europe, paving the path for a more integrated and linked financial environment, via a thorough examination.

KEYWORDS:

Emu, EU finance, EMU, Financial Markets.

INTRODUCTION

The introduction of Euroland marks a turning point in EU finance. This is a result of EMU itself, as well as how it was implemented at the time and related market changes. The latter 'positioning' reflects the EMU's ostensibly successful debut immediately after another significant and connected regulatory endeavour, the SMP. The hopes of EU bankers for longer-lasting deregulation and more competitiveness have been strengthened by EMU. Current market changes include phenomena such as globalisation, the emergence of a shareholder value culture in banking, a related desire for efficiency, escalating rivalry, the need of pension reforms throughout most of Europe, and, of course, technology. In this regard, EMU may have a major and complementary effect on a banking sector that is already tightly integrated and becoming more fiercely competitive. The strategic impact of EMU on banking in the new Euroland is highly complicated, with several temporal dimensions associated to distinct potential impacts, much like its predecessor the SMP. As previously stated, it may be difficult to separate the precise impacts of a single bank strategy driver, such as a significant regulatory push, when other significant developments are simultaneously occurring and becoming more pronounced. With these restrictions in mind, the strategic effect of EMU is already showing to be significant, as was predicted.

There is substantial evidence that the performance gap between the European bank sectors has shrunk in 1998 and 1999. The important macroeconomic indicators inside the EU seem to have sharply narrowed their gap, which is thought to be related to this occurrence. EMU is anticipated to increase pressure to reduce excess capacity in banking, put more pressure on bank profits, make geographic and market diversification more appealing in some banking segments, and increase bank M&A activity. The aforementioned authors believe that GDP growth is now the primary factor determining performance among EU bank sectors. In most

industries, the rivalry in banking is expected to increase. Current securitization trends are anticipated to be continued and increased as securities markets become deeper and more liquid. The financial markets and the conventional wholesale banking activities of foreign currency, corporate banking, and government bond trading will be the quickest and most visible effects of EMU. EMU has slashed international trade transaction costs and reduced currency risk. Bond and equities markets are anticipated to deepen and become more liquid, while portfolio allocations are anticipated to become more globally diversified. Financial services will liberalise and open up to greater international competition in many nations.

The development of a more globally oriented retail banking industry inside the EU should also be aided by EMU and the parallel emergence of internet and direct banking. Under EMU, the cost of government debt issuance is probably going to be reduced. When valuing the debt of various EMU nations, emphasis will shift away from currency risk and towards the assessment of credit risk. The markets will determine each state's creditworthiness. Government debt rating will be given greater consideration, and proficiency in local market underwriting will also be emphasised. The market for private securities, however, might expand dramatically with, for instance, more investors and issuers entering the market in a bigger EMU bloc. This is true even if fiscal consolidation within EMU is anticipated to result in a decrease in the amount of government debt instruments. The corporate bond market may benefit from EMU since there will be less cost associated with new bond and commercial paper offerings thanks to more competitive underwriting and hedging in a market that is no longer divided by currency. Companies are more inclined to issue debt than borrow from banks in this new climate. Up to one-third of the current corporate loan activity conducted by EU banks, according to some experts, might move into the capital markets.

The number of investment portfolios to whom these new instruments might be promoted will rise in tandem with euro asset managers' increased diversification. The necessary reform of EU pensions and the ensuing boost to asset management following US lines will further encourage this trend towards greater portfolio diversity. To expect that Euroland would 'overnight' transform into a capital market in the US's way, however, is unduly idealistic. There are a lot of distinctions between Europe and the US, and there always will be. For instance, Gros and Lannoo highlight two such distinctions. In the EU, regional disparities are particularly significant and are caused by ingrained institutional and structural characteristics. A second significant distinction is that, in comparison to the US, EU banks play a far larger role in the financing of investments than market-based methods do. However, in the long term, EMU will undoubtedly make it easier for the EU to go significantly in the direction of the US-style capital market. Trends like equities market integration, the formation of government bond markets that are modelled after those in the US, and rising securitization already demonstrate this. Furthermore, certain market sectors may have a greater need for the transition to US-style investment banking.

The improved macroeconomic climate brought forth by EMU is anticipated to provide banks with more chances, similar to the SMP. Spavanta emphasises that due to the well-established relationship between economic growth and financial development, the encouragement of financial markets' expansion and development should in turn strengthen EU economies. Businesses should prosper as they reorganise and expand, which should benefit banks. The more stable and sustainable economic climate that results in higher rates of GDP growth should assist to increase bank profitability. Although developments like securitization and the rise of US-style asset management look to pose more dangers to banks in the medium term, there are also more possibilities for different institutions. Another aspect that deserves attention is the fact that, when applied rigorously, the banking ramifications of Euroland go much beyond the Euroland banks themselves. One factor is that other banks from the EU and other countries compete fiercely in the wholesale markets that are most directly impacted by the EMU proposal. Banks in those nations that have not yet fully embraced EMU must be strategically prepared, which is another strategic element. In this broad sense, it's noteworthy

to notice that certain banks tend to place a strong focus on domestic M&A activity and defending their current domestic markets [1], [2].

DISCUSSION

Surprisingly, many banks seem to be unprepared for EMU, as noted by Davidson et al. Despite addressing the operational and IT obstacles brought on by a single European currency, they were unable to deal with the trickier strategic problems. Simply put, they haven't thought through how they would survive after a single currency wipes off significant portions of earnings from their conventional wholesale activities of dealing in foreign exchange, corporate banking, and government bonds. According to Davidson et al., the greatest possibilities in the new Euroland environment are probably going to go to a small number of banks. Following EMU, bank revenue growth in wholesale banking is most expected to occur in investment banking, an industry in which EU banks would likely struggle to match the size and sophistication of the major US investment banks now present in the EU. Overall, "EMU is likely to create more losers than winners in wholesale banking," according to Davidson et al. EMU thus presents banks with several, grave dangers. For instance, the elimination of foreign currencies might result in the "typical" European bank losing over 70% of its foreign currency trading income. It seems that the concerns are equally as substantial in other wholesale banking sectors. Bank loans that were formerly considered "cheap" for business lending are becoming less viable. In order to boost high-performing assets and reduce the number of low-income assets on their balance sheet, banks throughout the EU are being forced to shift their internal capital allocation strategies.

Despite the fact that EMU undoubtedly supports this sort of atmosphere, this is not a distinct EMU result. Governments throughout Europe are typically eager to increase competitiveness by discouraging historical tight links between banks and industry and governmental subsidies. Additionally, a more unified and liquid European corporate bond market will enable more bond offerings by businesses. Customers of banks may also be charged for the reserve requirements that the European Central Bank has placed on Euroland banks, raising the cost of bank intermediation. In this new context, there will be downward pressure on bank lending volumes and profitability. Banks may have a rising adverse selection issue in their own lending due to increased securitization. Another sector of wholesale banking that may under pressure is the deposit and money market operations. Volumes in Euroland might decrease as corporate clients will no longer be required to maintain bank accounts in each of the EU currencies they trade in. Traders will also stop holding deposits in various currencies in order to take advantage of interest rate differences. A countering tendency is that an EMU-enabled EU money market will be deeper, more liquid, and more uniform, which should improve competitiveness and provide banks with additional options.

However, this heightened rivalry will contribute to a decline in this company's margins. In Euroland, pressure will also be applied to the wholesale payments sector. Correspondent banking accounts provide for a significant portion of this activity, but EMU will end intra-European currency transfers. The necessity for conventional correspondent banking services has been reduced even further after the launch of TARGET. In addition, since more commerce is anticipated to result from the EMU, cross-border payments are probably going to rise. However, in this new market context, banking size and efficiency are expected to remain crucial competitive elements of success. Another significant area of wholesale banking that might pose a danger to many banks in Euroland is the trading of government bonds. As was previously said, governments will exercise more budgetary restraint, which will result in fewer issuances of government bonds. At the same time, local EU banks won't be able to attract business by using their expert understanding of national currencies, interest rates, and monetary and fiscal policy. In Euroland, understanding national credit risk will be

increasingly crucial for successful government bond operations, along with distribution skills. These dangers are urgent and real, and they go hand in hand with the broader and unstoppable advancement of trends like globalisation and securitization [3], [4].

However, Euroland offers numerous excellent chances for wholesale banks. The expansion of a larger, more liquid, and deeper European capital market should encourage the international merger and acquisition of several sectors, increasing the amount of M&A activity for banks. The atmosphere of the single currency ought to boost the municipal, corporate bond, and stock markets. The 'privatisation' of government debt might aid in boosting Europe's municipal bond market, which was previously almost nonexistent. Large public infrastructure projects may increasingly be financed by the private sector. Although both equity and bond financing are relevant, the kind of income streams involved make bond financing a particularly good fit. A municipal bond market modelled after the US might someday replace the current government borrowing system. The capital markets, investment management, and linked wholesale banking are also anticipated to benefit from the privatisation of social security and pensions. This succinct and selective strategic review of the risks and possibilities that Euroland's banks face highlights several strategic issues.

The seeming premium on bank size is a key strategic challenge, but US history also shows that smaller firms can effectively compete with the top investment banks in markets like municipal bonds. There does, however, seem to be a widespread strategic belief that scale may be especially relevant in several significant wholesale banking areas. The issue of which tactics are most likely to be effective is raised by all that has gone before. Rather than just concentrating on size in and of itself, this problem seems to be considerably more complicated. Whether EU banks can effectively compete in this new, more globalised economy is another, more particular matter. We are again in the territory of "crystal ball" speculating. Many US experts and consultants give off the strong impression that the only US investment banks that can be counted on to prevail in this new, ostensibly more US-style of banking in Euroland. EMU has significant ramifications for retail banking as well. EMU has helped to create a better macroeconomic climate that has put downward pressure on interest rates and interest margins in many retail markets, which has a negative impact on bank profitability. These retail banking markets in the US seemed to be more competitive throughout the 1990s due to consolidation and major national and super-regional banks' greater penetration into regional and local markets. Smaller financial institutions are, nevertheless, "surprisingly adept at survival" in local and regional US markets.

US data shows that "retail banking clients remain strongly dependent on financial services firms with a local presence, and where there is a high level of concentration, this is reflected in both interest and deposit rates." Individual European banking markets are strongly characterized by local preferences, and one defining aspect of these markets is the growth of many local and regional banking organisations. The majority of national markets also have relatively modest levels of foreign bank participation, which is often limited to specialised, niche, or wholesale activity. 'The involvement of state at national, regional, and municipal level' is another aspect of European banking that is expected to have an impact on the changing market structure. Walter raises the point that nonjoint stock European banks work under various performance demands and that "competitive outcomes will clearly be affected when public- and private-sector firms meet in the market." Although the long-term results are uncertain, the immediate effect is to assist lower retail banking profit margins in places where public sector banks compete with commercial banks. Information benefits are expected to exist for local banks. Even as the amount of competition rises, asymmetric information in bank lending will allow banks to target client categories. Traditional credit operations are expected to continue to be significant because of information about customers and the need to establish a connection with borrowers. Small and medium-sized businesses and individual

consumers, who lack easy access to the securities markets, would be most affected by this situation. Therefore, it may be claimed that having a local advantage gives certain retail banks a long-term competitive advantage. However, specialised lenders that have benefited from technology advancements and are offering lower-cost services compete with the many kinds of European banks [5], [6].

According to De Bandt, the expected consequences of EMU on banks' typical business operations include a decrease in their competitive advantages and an increase in the need for asset transformation and uncertainty management. In Europe, historical traits and the presence of asymmetric information allow for the coexistence of a sizable number of small banks servicing local and regional markets, while a limited number of giant banks offer Pan-European services mostly to corporate clients. In the post-EMU climate, small banks have a variety of strategic alternatives. One is specialising in specialised fields. A further option is to develop partnerships with universal banks, either to safeguard regional markets from future rivals or to get information technology and a wider distribution network. Attempting to reach critical mass via a merger would be still another tactic. Mergers, however, are likely to put even more pressure on those domestic small businesses that lack the strength and efficiency to compete. Domestic retail banking consolidation is expected to go hand in hand with the expansion of Euroland, as was the case with the SMP. Cross-border bank mergers also look to be more likely in a larger Euroland, however most experts contend that any cost savings and synergies must be carefully targeted. Many others also think that it will be difficult to discover and much more difficult to maintain these cost reductions and synergies. According to Walter, the current state of the EU may be likened in some ways to that of the US before its banking sector reorganisation in the early 1990s.

The US financial services sector had too much capital and labour invested in the creation of financial services before it was reformed; as a result, both capital and labour were reduced via consolidation, a process that has only started in Europe. However, there are a number of obvious distinctions between Europe and the US. Retail market consolidation may occur more slowly in Europe than the US due to historical variations in bank ownership. Additionally, the ruthlessness that is evident in the US banks consolidation process is comparatively less pronounced in Europe. Nevertheless, all European retail markets are predicted to see increased competition. Inevitably, this new ecosystem will have new and more creative distribution outlets. Particularly for much smaller retail financial services companies and those without a well-established conventional banking franchise, the internet and other forms of direct banking provide the possibility of considerable cross-border development. These technological advancements are anticipated to play a crucial role in the EU's efforts to internationalise the markets for retail financial services. EMU will help this process along since it is anticipated to result in a far larger standardisation of institutional market characteristics in retail financial services throughout the EU. underline the fact that many European banks have poor expense management. It is also proposed that the skewed competition environment and variables like inappropriate product mixtures and pricing strategies for corporate customers might be used to explain the apparent poor performance of Euroland banks.

There are really only two methods to enhance the Euroland banks' ostensibly subpar performance. The first is to enhance bank performance via the current management; the second is to change the management. The M&A path is the typical strategic approach in this later setting, and it is in this area that US experiences may often provide some useful ideas. A ‘mega' wave of banking mergers has occurred in the US during the previous ten years, resulting in a 30% decrease in the number of banks. The fact that analysts have not been able to consistently discover gains in the post-merger firms is an intriguing empirical finding; see, for instance, Hurst et al. The current M&A activity in European banks also seems to reinforce

the idea that many bank mergers do not result in efficiency advantages over the long run. Interpreting these apparent outcomes is not without its challenges, of course. The empirical methods used to evaluate merger-related performance provide one set of issues. The second focuses on the applicability and significance of other, less obvious causes of bank merger and acquisition activity. Hurst et al. state in their comparison analysis that, in principle, Europe "should see a merger wave much as has occurred in the US." This opinion is shared by many other analysts and academics, and recent patterns that seem to be emerging in Europe provide empirical confirmation. The majority of the study seems to point to post-EMU surplus capacity in banking, which will inevitably be followed by restructuring and consolidation in the new Euroland. Additionally, with rising securitization and other trends emphasizing the greater significance of investment banking, it is possible to anticipate a rise in bank concentration due to the higher capital expenditures that are typical of this kind of banking: see, for instance, Danthine et al. This raises two questions. First, why hasn't the current EU merger wave grown to rival the size of the US bank crisis? Second, can we truly anticipate a big bank merger wave similar to that seen in the US, along with the corresponding increase in bank concentration? These queries are connected in many ways.

The solutions could once again directly relate to the structural and institutional distinctions between the US and Europe. According to Hurst et al., there are still several significant obstacles to restructuring in Europe as opposed to the US. Examples include the substantial public ownership of banks and the role of non-economic factors in bank restructuring. EMU may potentially lead to stricter regulations and responses to ostensibly anti-competitive laws. The effective utilisation of pooling agreements by significant EU bank sectors, such savings banks, is one of the additional Euro-specific features. Cost-cutting and associated M&A activity may be slowed down by more stringent labour rules in Europe [7], [8].

The EC research also indicates that there are still significant language and cultural barriers, as well as regulatory and tax disparities, across the EU that might affect banking tactics. The aforementioned may imply that, although important, the restructuring and consolidation of Euroland's banks will likely occur more slowly and on a smaller scale than US experiences may imply. Hurst et al. also draw the conclusion that the majority of European banks would often try to take advantage of M&A prospects in domestic markets before looking for chances abroad. EMU would thus likely result in further bank consolidation, but it "may well be a very slow process." Euroland banks' post-EMU strategy will take many different shapes. The obstacles are great for those few who aim for worldwide prominence. It is widely acknowledged that acquiring a sizable position in US securities is a prerequisite for rising to the position of leadership in investment banking. Prospective regional or multilocal players are also probably influenced by wholesale. According to Davidson et al., these banks have three fundamental alternatives in the post-EMU environment: sell all or a portion of their investment banking business; establish a fully Pan-European presence; or take on a specialised role. These banks must carefully consider and take advantage of client and product franchises where they may maintain competitive advantages. Local competitors are mostly focused on retail; these banks have to think about focusing solely on retail financial services. One issue here is that, as the pensions industry undergoes change, these institutions could wish to offer their clients equities brokerage services and mutual fund products. Creating strategic alliances might be one of the lesser players' options. These types of players may also choose to concentrate more on their core, specialized, and specialized offerings. The latter might be distributed internationally through the internet and direct financial methods [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

The banking and financial markets of the Eurozone bear the permanent marks of the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). Cross-border transactions have been eased,

competition has been encouraged, and macroeconomic stability has been aided by the introduction of the euro, centralization of monetary policy, and harmonization of financial regulation. The necessity for efficient budgetary coordination and resolving economic inequities among member nations are still issues, however. Despite these difficulties, EMU has given banks, companies, and investors many chances to prosper in a connected financial environment. It is a significant accomplishment in the history of European finance because its success highlights the potential for financial integration to support economic development and stability in a region characterized by variety and complexity.

REFERENCES:

[1] E. Cutrini and G. Galeazzi, "External Public Debt, Trade Linkages and Contagion During the Eurozone Crisis," *World Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/twec.12470.

[2] D. Proksová and M. Bohdalová, "Bond yield spreads in the Eurozone," *Analele Stiint. ale Univ. Al I Cuza din Iasi - Sect. Stiint. Econ.*, 2015, doi: 10.1515/aicue-2015-0015.

[3] E. P. M. Gardener, P. Molyneux, and J. Williams, "Competitive banking in the EU and Euroland," in *Handbook of International Banking*, 2003. doi: 10.4337/9781843765646.00013.

[4] J. Mencinger, "Financial regulation in Slovenia Creation, adaptation and collapse," in *Financial Regulation in the European Union*, 2015. doi: 10.4324/9781315691015-9.

[5] C. Alcidi, A. Belke, A. Giovannini, and D. Gros, "Macroeconomic adjustment programmes in the euro area: an overall assessment," *Int. Econ. Econ. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10368-016-0350-6.

[6] B. Braun, "Preparedness, Crisis Management and Policy Change: The Euro Area at the Critical Juncture of 2008-2013," *Br. J. Polit. Int. Relations*, 2015, doi: 10.1111/1467-856X.12026.

[7] F. Laursen, *The EU and the eurozone crisis: Policy challenges and strategic choices*. 2013.

[8] T. Lynch, "Writing Up Qualitative Research: Methodology," *English Lang. Teach. Cent.*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2.

[9] A. Razin and S. Rosefielde, "What really ails the eurozone?: Faulty supranational architecture," *Contemp. Econ.*, 2012, doi: 10.5709/ce.1897-9254.63.

[10] C. Gortsos, "Legal Aspects of the European Central Bank (ECB) - The ECB within the European System of Central Banks (ESCB) and the European System of Financial Supervision (ESFS)," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3162024.

CHAPTER 5

TIE YOUR HANDS: A CURRENCY BOARD AND AN INDEPENDENT CENTRAL BANK

Nazia Hasan, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- nazia_14m@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT:

The core idea of legally-binding promises in monetary policy is explored in this article using two different mechanisms: a currency board and an independent central bank. It examines the theoretical foundations, real-world ramifications, and relative merits of several methods for limiting discretionary monetary policy. With no space for judgement, a currency board functions by completely securing the native currency with foreign reserves. On the other hand, an independent central bank depends on its standing and credibility to make autonomous policy decisions. In order to achieve price stability, exchange rate stability, and financial market trust, this research carefully examines the efficacy, adaptability, and credibility of each method. We acquire insights into the difficulties of being constrained in monetary policy as well as the trade-offs between rigid obligations and policy discretion in central banking via a thorough examination.

KEYWORDS:
Board Versus, Central Bank, Currency, Independent.

INTRODUCTION

For transitional and emerging nations alike, the appropriate architecture of monetary institutions is a crucial problem. The view that price stability should be the main goal of monetary policy seems to have widespread acceptance. How should this goal be achieved, or more specifically, what is the right financial arrangement? A currency board and an autonomous central bank with flexible exchange rates will be contrasted in this chapter. The exchange rate regimes of developing and transitional nations vary greatly, ranging from highly strict currency pegs to free floats and many variants in between. Exchange rate pegs may provide monetary policy a useful and reliable nominal anchor while avoiding many of the challenges and institutional constraints of creating an alternative anchor, such as a workable and reliable inflation goal supported by an operationally independent central bank. As the own currency is convertible against a set exchange rate with some other currency, which is codified, whether it in a statute or otherwise, a currency board may be seen of as the most credible form of a fixed exchange rate regime. The choice of the anchor currency is often made based on expectations of stability and acceptance outside. Since the monetary base is supported by foreign reserves, there often isn't an independent monetary policy. Currency boards are now in style. They were formerly a typical form of exchange, particularly in the British Dominions. Only a few tiny, open economies continued to employ currency boards after these nations attained independence. However, quite a few nations have recently implemented currency boards or explored doing so [1], [2].

Among the nations with currency boards are Argentina, Estonia, Lithuania, Bulgaria, and Bosnia-Herzegovina. The currency board was selected in each of these situations as part of a structural reform strategy. Currency board countries were able to adapt to low inflation rates as quickly as other nations under comparable circumstances, if not more quickly and successfully. During the different crises, none of them—aside from Argentina—devalued or

was compelled to leave the currency board. which was taken from Ghosh et al., gives a description of currency boards. Recent research indicates that nations with a currency board have seen considerable success. For instance, Ghosh et al. reach the remarkable conclusion that currency boards were put in place to restore credibility after a period of significant inflation. Comparing countries with currency boards to those with floating regimes and traditional pegs, the currency board countries saw lower inflation and stronger growth. A flexible exchange rate system, together with giving the central bank independence and a clear mission for price stability, are alternatives to the creation of a currency board. It is often suggested that crucial institutional tools for maintaining price stability include a high degree of central bank independence and a clear directive for the central bank to pursue price stability. In fact, a number of nations recently increased central bank independence to demonstrate their increased commitment to price stability. A sizable body of research demonstrates that having an independent, "conservative," central bank will result in lower inflation. The decision of which layout should be favoured is thus crucial.4 This chapter answers that question. The rest of our contribution is divided into the following sections. The operation of a currency board is described in the next section. A very basic theoretical model is presented in Section 3 to compare the welfare advantages of a currency board and an autonomous central bank. Aspects that may also be important but are not covered by the model are also provides some final remarks [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

While not all currency boards are the same, they often have three things in common. First, there is a set exchange rate with a different currency that is enshrined in writing, whether it be a law or not. The ability to devalue is considerably constrained by a currency board compared to a regular peg by needing legislative permission and other constraints. The choice of the anchor currency is often made based on expectations of stability and acceptance outside.5 Since there is often no independent monetary policy, a pure currency board arrangement is the harshest kind of fixed exchange rate system.6 This is because the monetary base is supported by foreign reserves, which is the second feature of a currency board system. The reserve currency holdings of a currency board make up the majority of its assets, whilst cash held by the general public and bank deposits account for an equal amount on the liabilities side of the balance sheet. In general, low-risk, interest-bearing bonds and other assets denominated in the anchor currency make up a currency board's reserves. A currency board, unlike many central banks, does not keep domestic assets like government debt. Currency boards often keep reserves slightly over 100% of their obligations as a safety net in case the assets they hold decline in value. The net value of the currency board is reflected in these surplus reserves. Third, a currency board keeps its notes and coins convertible into the anchor currency. Assuring that bank deposits are convertible is the unique duty of banks, a currency board has no obligation to do so. There are no limitations on current-account or capital-account transactions when there is unlimited convertibility.

These qualities of a currency board have the effect of making the money supply subject to market factors and, eventually, foreign monetary policy. When individuals sell foreign currency to the currency board or when foreign funds enter the nation, the monetary base grows. Similar to how interest rates are completely set by market forces under a tight currency board where monetary actions are not allowed. The availability of domestic liquidity and interest rates are affected by changes in the board's foreign currency reserves. Economic adjustment under a currency board must be made via price and wage changes, which may be longer and more severe if structural rigidities, particularly in the labour market, have not been eliminated. According to Schuler, many contemporary currency boards are currency board-like systems rather than traditional currency boards, which are central banks that maintain part of their former authority while being subject to currency board regulations regulating the exchange rate and reserves.

The legislation allows for certain latitude under such a reformed currency board. The currency board may lend money to banks on the foreign capital markets, issue securities, or assist them financially using its surplus reserves. According to Schuler, the Lithuanian system has kept a more or less steady degree of orthodoxy throughout its history, whereas the Estonian system, which resembles a currency board, has become somewhat more so over time. Recent research suggests that nations with a currency board have done pretty well at reducing inflation. For instance, Ghosh et al. reach the remarkable conclusion that currency boards were put in place to restore credibility after a period of significant inflation. The data in Table 6.2 was taken from Ghosh et al. It demonstrates how much better the inflation performance has been under currency boards than under fixed or floated regimes. This improved performance of inflation did not come at the expense of slower growth. This result can be the result of self-selection or a rebound effect. Currency boards have, in any event, been a crucial instrument for establishing trust, attaining macroeconomic stabilisation, and generating long-term development. Similarly, Rivera Batiz and Sy come to the conclusion that currency boards tend to stabilise inflation in comparison to traditional pegs or flexible rates, even for nations like Argentina, Bulgaria, and Estonia that formed the currency board during high inflation circumstances. Additionally, they discover that currency boards exhibit less rate volatility than other nations and prefer to match domestic interest rates with anchor currencies.

The drawback is that certain currency boards had a larger real effective exchange rate appreciation than comparable peg regime nations and tended to be more sensitive to adverse employment shocks. A currency board's inability to use discretion is often seen as essential to its effectiveness. For instance, Schuler contends that a currency board is incapable of exercising judgement by design. It runs entirely passively and automatically. A currency board's primary purpose is to swap its bills and coins for the anchor currency at a predetermined rate. An orthodox currency board, unlike a central bank, doesn't provide loans to the national government, national businesses, or national banks. In a currency board system, the government can only fund its expenditures via taxes or borrowing; money cannot be printed, which would lead to inflation. Not everyone is persuaded of how a currency board works. For instance, Roubini argues that there are some nations where the currency board system appears to be successful for a while, but these nations are instead successful because they adhere to macroeconomic and structural liberalisation policies that are consistent with the maintenance of fixed rates. Without these sound policies, currency collapse and economic devastation result from fixed rates and currency boards. On the other hand, if you implement the proper economic policies, you won't need a currency board since you'll be OK without one and that will only damage you if and when you need to modify your nominal exchange rate parity in response to real exogenous shocks [5], [6].

Concluding Comments

In emerging nations, the average inflation rate decreased from the early 1990s high of 10% or more to about 5% in the late 1990s. This drop not only demonstrates the wide acceptance of the idea that the primary goal of monetary policy should be to provide low inflation, but it also partially reflects favourable supply shocks and the anti-inflationary environment in industrialised nations. Two potential approaches to price stability have been covered in this chapter: a currency board and a conservative, operationally autonomous central bank operating under a flexible exchange rate system. According to our findings, a currency board may be advantageous in certain situations but not in others. More precisely, the answer to whether a nation trying to stabilise inflation should introduce a currency board may rely on a variety of factors other than anticipated inflation in the target region. For instance, to guarantee that imported monetary policy is in accordance with the stabilisation requirements

of the pegging nation, the anchor currency should be issued in a location with a positively connected business cycle with the domestic economy [7], [8].

Currency boards may help a new currency get off to a fast start, but it is probable that the costs and advantages will eventually alter, if only because of possible changes in the situation. Currency boards are not a magic solution or fast answer. The benefits of a trustworthy currency board include low inflation and interest rates. Aside from Argentina, they have also shown to be quite resilient: there have been no forced departures. Currency boards, however, may be restrictive, particularly for nations with poor banking institutions and liberal fiscal policies. A currency board may not always exist, thus far, the majority of emphasis from academics and policymakers alike has been on how to set up and run it. This raises the problem of leaving a currency board. In principle, a currency board may become an independent central bank if it has operated credibly for a significant amount of time. However, for such a change to occur, the conditions must be favourable. One of the main issues is how to plan and carry out the departure process without jeopardising the credibility of those who determine monetary policy. According to Kopcke, a nation should be ready for a prospective exit by developing its money markets and financial institutions as well as its monetary authorities' competence to conduct policy research and make decisions.

A currency board, on the other hand, discourages these changes: "the art of conducting monetary policy can atrophy for lack of application, and credit markets can remain thin as banks become accustomed to dealing with the currency board and to holding many of their marketable financial assets abroad." Furthermore, the currency board's reputation might be harmed by the exit method specified. According to Guide and colleagues, an exchange rate regime for countries in Central and Eastern Europe that might join EMU in the future should meet a number of criteria, including promoting nominal convergence, enabling a market test for exchange rate stability, assisting in ensuring that countries join the euro zone at an appropriate exchange rate, and preparing central banks for conducting business within the euro zone. After weighing the advantages and disadvantages, these authors come to the conclusion that a currency board can, in theory, meet all of these criteria. Accordingly, if policies and conditions are still favourable, a direct transition from a currency board to EMU without a transitional period of higher exchange rates is the best course of action for the involved countries [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

A crucial issue for each country is whether to install a currency board or create an independent central bank to "tie one's hands" in monetary policy. A currency board provides an unwavering commitment to price stability and a fixed exchange rate, acting as a firm anchor for economic stability but allowing little room for maneuver in the event of shocks to the economy. In contrast, a central bank that is independent from government control is more flexible and responsive to shifting economic circumstances, but it still depends on credibility to support inflation expectations. Since rigorous commitment and policy discretion have trade-offs in the world of central banking, the choice ultimately depends on the economic environment, goals, and risk tolerance of a nation.

REFERENCES:

[1] T. Sadeh, “Hard currencies for hard times. Terror attacks and the choice of monetary anchors,” *Def. Peace Econ.*, 2011, doi: 10.1080/10242694.2010.500817.

[2] T. Sadeh, “Who can adjust to the Euro?,” *World Econ.*, 2005, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9701.2005.00751.x.

[3] M. Flandreau, “The logic of compromise: Monetary bargaining in Austria-Hungary, 1867-1913,” *Eur. Rev. Econ. Hist.*, 2006, doi: 10.1017/S1361491605001607.

[4] A. J. Schwartz, "Currency boards: their past, present, and possible future role," *Carnegie-Rochester Confer. Ser. Public Policy*, 1993, doi: 10.1016/0167-2231(93)90007-J.

[5] M. Vernengo, "Pateando la escalera también: Los bancos centrales en perspectiva histórica," *Circus*, 2014.

[6] A. Mauri, "The Currency Board and the Rise of Banking in East Africa," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2011, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.975030.

[7] G. Thieffry, "Legal Foundations of International Monetary Stability – By Rosa M. Lastra," *Eur. Law J.*, 2008, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00413_4.x.

[8] M. Leika, "FINANSŲ SISTEMOS STABILUMAS -- CENTRINIO BANKO TIKSLAS. (Lithuanian)," *Financ. Syst. Stab. AS A GOAL Cent. BANK'S POLICY.*, 2008.

[9] M. Strieborny, "Independence of a central bank and position of the European system of central banks," *Ekon. Cas.*, 2003.

[10] C. U. Uche, "From currency board to central banking: The Gold Coast experience," *South African J. Econ. Hist.*, 1995, doi: 10.1080/10113436.1995.10417249.

CHAPTER 6

BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FREE BANKING

Satyendra Arya, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- satyendra_arya17@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The idea of "free banking," which has its roots in classical liberal economic theory, offers a unique perspective on how to manage and operate financial institutions. This essay explores the fundamental ideas that support free banking by delving into its historical background and guiding principles. It addresses the core principle of relying on market dynamics and private rivalry to control the banking sector rather than considerable government involvement or central banking monitoring. The paper examines past instances of free banking systems, their achievements and difficulties, and draws conclusions from them. Additionally, it evaluates free banking's current applicability in light of contemporary financial systems, regulatory frameworks, and economic stability. We obtain insights into the concept, effect, and possible uses of free banking in the changing environment of financial institutions and regulation via a thorough examination.

KEYWORDS:
Free banking, Freedom, Government, Interference.

INTRODUCTION

A free banking system is one in which there is no government interference, no central bank, and no other financial or monetary regulator. As a result, it permits financial institutions to operate with complete freedom, with the only constraints coming from the laws of the market and 'regular' contract and commercial law. Therefore, free banking is the same as financial laissez-faire. Although the concept seems foreign to most current economists, there are numerous historical examples of free banking, and there were heated debates over it in many nations in the early nineteenth century. Even among economists who supported laissez-faire, the concept of free banking was mostly lost at that point, and it wasn't until Friedrich Hayek revived it in a well-known booklet in 1976 that it was fully rediscovered in the latter quarter of the 20th century. Hayek's idea garnered a lot of interest, which led to the creation of a sizable body of literature on the subject. The case for free banking is essentially an extension of the case for free trade in general. If free trade is desirable overall, as most economists believe it to be, then it follows that it is also desirable in specific economic sectors, such as financial services. Free trade in financial services is therefore free banking. Furthermore, if free banking is desired, all forms of government participation in the financial sector, including central banks, government-sponsored deposit insurance, and financial system regulation, should be eliminated. The majority of those who oppose free banking acknowledge the overall case for free trade but contend that free banking is an exception to this rule. They need to be able to prove that financial laissez-faire is flawed; specifically, they need to show that free banking results in some type of market failure [1], [2].

The burden of evidence also rests with them the opponents of free banking to make their case. We must assume that free trade applies to any particular issue, including the financial services industry, unless a compelling argument can be made against it. If we accept that free trade is usually advantageous, as the majority of us do, then there is at least a prima facie case in support of free banking. Therefore, it is the responsibility of those who oppose free banking to show why it is undesirable. the main concern is thus this: given that free banking

is presumed to exist, is there any evidence of a market failure that would support government action to stifle it? There is also another crucial corollary. We also need to explain why one or more market failures would result in the specific kind of government involvement we witness in the real world, such as central banks, deposit insurance schemes, and financial regulation, if we are to defend the kinds of government intervention we see in it. The following portion of this chapter elaborates on the case for free banking to enhance this quite broad argument. It investigates the effects of state interference in the financial sector and provides an example of what a free banking system may seem. It also explains why we should anticipate it to be stable. Additionally, it examines certain empirical data and contends that the basic hypotheses of the free-banking theory are supported by the data found in the historical record. The two arguably most significant forms of contemporary state intervention in the financial system are then examined in Sections 3 and 4: capital adequacy regulation, which imposes minimum capital standards on financial institutions, and deposit insurance, which protects depositors against loss in the event that their banks fail. The reader may then make their own judgements about whether or not these arguments for government involvement are strong enough to refute our original assumption that free banking is preferable [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Consider a free-market system in a hypothetically "imperfect" economic setting where knowledge is sparse and unequal, there are complex issues with agency and coordination, etc.5 Due to these issues, there are now intermediates in the financial system, which allows agents to obtain better results than they otherwise could. Banks, which invest money on behalf of client investors, some of whom own the bank's debt and others its stock, are perhaps the most significant intermediates. The majority of bank debts are deposits in one way or another, and most of these deposits are redeemable immediately. Check payments may also be made with a lot of deposits. The equity investors are the last to be paid, and their capital acts as a safety net so that a bank may sustain losses while still being able to repay its debt holders in full. There are normally a few number of countrywide branch banks and a greater number of specialty banks that serve certain specialised markets, and the banking sector demonstrates significant economies of scale but does not have a natural monopoly. By any sane criterion, the industry is also aggressively competitive and effective. how secure is the financial system, though? Depositors would be fully aware that they stood to lose their money if their bank collapsed since there would be no lender of last resort or government-run deposit insurance mechanism. Therefore, if customers believed there was a high risk of their bank collapsing, they would demand confirmation that their money was secure and would quickly terminate their accounts. Naturally, bank management would follow conservative lending policies, expose themselves to external inspection, and disclose audited accounts because they would recognise that their capacity to maintain the faith of their depositors was essential to their long-term survival. Additionally, they would provide customers peace of mind by preserving appropriate capital. The more capital a bank has, the more losses it can sustain while still being able to pay out its depositors in full. If the bank is appropriately capitalised, it can withstand any relatively "normal" losses and still pay back depositors, giving depositors peace of mind that their money is secure. The specific quantity of capital is then decided by market forces. The more capital a bank has, on average, the safer it is; but, capital is also expensive and must be provided by shareholders, who must be paid by depositors.

The result should be that banks will eventually converge on whatever capital requirements their clients have, making banks as secure as they need. Therefore, market forces will guarantee that bank clients get secure banks if they want them, which they undoubtedly do. The conclusion that banks will keep large amounts of capital under a laissez-faire system is consistent with the actual data. For instance, despite having almost no federal rules in place prior to the American Civil War, US banks had capital levels of above 40% in the majority of

years. US banks were subject to increased supervision before the turn of the century, but even then, their capital ratios were close to 20%, and when federal deposit insurance was formed in the early 1930s, capital ratios were still at or near 15%. The data supports the corresponding prediction from the free-banking theory that laissez-faire banks are very secure. Before the American Civil War, for instance, it seems that US banks were quite secure, and following, bank failure rates were lower than those of non-financial companies. Losses to depositors were similarly modest. Other largely deregulated systems, such those in Canada, Scotland, Switzerland, and other countries, likewise had minimal failure rates and losses, according to 1992. Additionally, there is little reason to believe that financial instability would result from the relationships between banks, whether as a result of pressures from the marketplace or "contagion" from weak banks to strong ones. It is commonly suggested that since 'good' banks are forced to follow the policies of 'bad' ones by competitive pressures, instability results. The fundamental claim is that if poor banks grow quickly, they may easily generate short-term profits, which encourages management of good banks to grow quickly as well. As a consequence, the banking sector as a whole experiences unnecessarily frequent boom-bust cycles. The fact that rapid growth of the kind suggested by this argument is not in the best interest of bank management or shareholders, however, is a significant flaw in it.

A bank can only grow quickly by allowing the average quality of its loans to decline, and a significant decline in loan quality would jeopardise the bank's long-term financial stability and, as a result, its capacity to retain client trust. Therefore, even if other banks looked to be doing this, it is difficult to understand why a profit-maximizing bank would choose to do this. In fact, if a bank thinks that its rivals are taking excessive risks, the most logical course of action is for it to remove itself from them and, maybe, to strengthen its financial position even more in preparation of the moment when they begin to incur losses and lose confidence. When this happens, the bank is well-positioned to steal their clients, grow its market share at their cost, and maybe even force them out of business. The bank would have to give up immediate earnings, but in the long run it would succeed. In conclusion, there is little reason to believe that free banks will be forced to cycle too much as a result of competitive forces.9 The contagion argument contends that if one bank has problems, the public may withdraw money from other banks, endangering the stability of the financial system.

This reasoning often leads to the conclusion that a central bank is necessary in order to protect against "contagion" by acting as a bank's last resort lender. This reasoning, however, overlooks the preceding observation that excellent banks have a strong incentive to keep a distance from poor ones. If the strong banks thought there was a real risk of contagion, they would act appropriately to help prevent it from happening. They would fortify themselves and limit loans to weak banks. In fact, as was previously said, they would put themselves in a position to provide a safe haven for the clients of weaker banks when their own banks ran into trouble. Thus, a significant risk of contagion is at odds with equilibrium. There is no evidence that runs are significantly infectious; instead, the normal situation when they occur is a flight to quality, with significant inflows of cash to the stronger institutions. The contagion theory has been empirically disproven as being unrealistic [5], [6].

The Impact of State Intervention

What would happen if the government got involved with this system? There isn't enough room to discuss all the ways that governments influence the financial system, but we should at least think about the effects of the two most significant types of state intervention, capital adequacy regulation and deposit insurance. So let's say the government implements a deposit insurance mechanism. Assume that this is a completely integrated system modelled after the North American system. Once it is established, depositors will no longer be motivated to scrutinise bank management, thus managers won't have to worry about upholding trust. A

bank's sensible approach to deposit insurance would be to cut its capital since the principal goal of maintaining capital strength, to preserve depositor trust, no longer applies. Even if a specific bank wanted to keep its capital strength, it would be outcompeted by rivals who reduced their capital ratios to lower their expenses and then passed part of the savings on to depositors in the form of higher interest rates. The good banks would therefore be forced to copy the bad due to competition for market share. Therefore, deposit insurance diminishes institutions' financial health, increases their risk of failure, and turns a strong capital position into a competitive liability. Additionally, it encourages banks to take greater chances at the margin because if those risks pay off, the bank receives the extra profits; but, if they don't, a portion of the cost is transferred to the deposit insurer. As a result, the bank increases its risk exposure and loses more strength than would be implied by its capital ratio alone.

In other words, deposit insurance promotes the precise behaviours that a healthy banking system should discourage, such as increased risk-taking and the preservation of weaker capital levels. A person who saw this extreme risk-taking can even mistakenly assume that the banking system requires the deposit insurance mechanism, which is really damaging it, by attributing it to the market. It is very common to confuse the main cause of financial instability for its remedy. Regulations requiring enough capital also often have undesirable effects. If the requirement is mandatory, the bank's only sensible course of action is to look for methods to lessen, and ideally do away with, the burden brought on by the capital regulation. Because the law would make the bank safer than its management would want, it would limit its ability to take lucrative risks.

Therefore, in response to this type of regulation, a bank will seek out other ways to increase risk and/or decrease its regulatory capital requirement, such as switching to riskier assets like riskier loans, taking advantage of gaps in the capital regulation to lower its capital requirement, or engaging in off-balance sheet transactions. Off-balance sheet holdings, particularly derivatives positions, are a highly practical tool for banks to raise their leverage and a very simple approach to avoid unpleasant regulatory and tax barriers. As a result, a bank will attempt to thwart capital regulations, making it difficult to determine the regulation's overall impact. The likelihood that a rule will become little more than an inconvenience increases if there are several ways to get around it, which is increasingly the case. The bank will wind up taking the same risks that it would have taken anyhow, and the regulation won't make it any safer. In fact, it's very feasible that the law would backfire and cause banks to act in a way that makes them much weaker than they would have been otherwise [7], [8].

Traditional Arguments for Deposit Insurance

We now move to explore the justifications put up for government interference in the financial services industry. We start with the justifications for state-sponsored deposit insurance, which protects depositors from losses they might otherwise incur in the event that their banks collapse. America pioneered deposit insurance in the nineteenth century, and it is now a crucial component of the financial regulatory frameworks in the majority of nations.15 Deposit insurance is necessary to safeguard "small" depositors or vulnerable individuals who may lose their funds if their bank collapsed, according to a conventional reasoning that is generally accepted, especially outside of the United States. The typical criticism of this claim is that it weakens the market discipline that would otherwise encourage banks to be strong by safeguarding depositors. However, apart from the just-made point, the majority of the time, this form of argument rests or fails on social philosophical principles. The necessity for deposit insurance is arguably most often cited as a defence against the supposed instability of the banking system. According to the reasoning, if banking is unstable, government assistance is required to reassure depositors who might otherwise be likely to run their banks. This justification has been around for a while and was often used to support the creation of

government deposit insurance in the United States during the banking crises of the early 1930s. Indeed, in the 1930s, this argument became so generally accepted that Milton Friedman and Anna Schwartz included it unchallenged in their colossal book, The Monetary History of the United States. It wasn't until the early 1980s that it was seriously questioned once more [9], [10].

The Miles Argument – Capital Adequacy Regulation Counters Asymmetric Information

David Miles has presented a fourth, more thorough justification for capital adequacy legislation. Given that previous attempts to justify capital adequacy regulation have either been insufficient or have claimed that capital adequacy regulation is necessary to counteract the effects of other interventions, his argument is significant because it appears to be the first thorough attempt to do so. His key point is that banks would retain lower than 182 capitals if depositors cannot determine the financial stability of certain banks. Information asymmetry causes a capital adequacy issue since banking has optimum capital ratios and structures. In order to implement his recommendation, a regulator should first determine the amount of capital that the bank would have continued to retain in the absence of the knowledge asymmetry. The need to defend limitations on deposit-taking financial intermediaries' lending and financing operations while there are no constraints on the balance sheet structures of automakers, hotel chains, or computer makers is Miles' starting point. Given that we agree that non-financial enterprises shouldn't be subject to such regulation, what is it about banks that makes their capital adequacy "special" enough to deserve regulation? Miles, however, has very little to say about what those potential elements may be after accepting the necessity to establish a theory of bank regulation on features that are unique to banks. His formal analysis is exceedingly broad, and his model contains nothing that would explicitly designate his company as a bank and nothing else.

The average size of bank debt contracts is actually the only explicit distinction Miles makes between banks and other firms, and he uses this fact only to imply that bank debt holders are less motivated to solve information problems as a result of this relative smaller size than debt holders at other firms. The argument is that issues with incentive monitoring are not exclusive to banks and, in any case, often have organic market remedies. Thus, Miles falls short in outlining the unique characteristics of banks that need their own regulatory framework. He must thus extend the reason for capital adequacy regulation to numerous non-financial enterprises in addition to banks, or not at all. Is it the case or not? I think not. Miles' assertion that depositors cannot evaluate the capital quality of specific banks is a key component of his approach. He acknowledges that this assumption could seem "unusual," but supports it in part by arguing that depositors find it challenging to assess bank capital since doing so implies valuing the institutions' assets. Additionally, he argues that it is not defendable because "depositors cannot depend on stock market valuations of a bank to assess the value of shareholders' capital backing their deposits; the stock market value may be increased by gearing up and stock market participants also face the problem of valuing the underlying assets of the bank." I would contend, however, that the depositor monitoring issue is not as challenging as Miles implies, and that depositors may and do evaluate the capital Free banking 183 advantages of certain banks.

Depositors' reliance on shareholders to assess bank capital partially solves this issue since they may safely trust that their money is secure provided the shareholders assign the bank a sufficiently high capital value.24 The key is that, should the bank go bankrupt, shareholders are residual claimants who can only be compensated after all depositors have been paid in full. As a result, shareholders have a strong motivation to properly assess the bank's value. If they think the bank has a high positive net worth, depositors may infer that their own money is probably secure. In practise, it often suffices for the common depositor to verify that their

bank maintains a reasonably high capital value and wait for indicators of difficulty in the media. This greatly simplifies the normal depositor's monitoring issue. Additionally, in a free banking system, banks would have a strong incentive to make depositor monitoring simpler due to the intense rivalry for market share. If banks were to stay in business, they would need to keep depositors' trust, and one way to do so is by making it very simple for depositors to confirm for themselves that their banks are sound.

The assertion that depositors cannot evaluate the balance sheets of specific banks is also experimentally debunked, at least in historical contexts when the lack of deposit insurance or other types of bailouts provided depositors with an incentive to be cautious about where they placed their money. Numerous pieces of evidence suggest that depositors did make distinctions between banks based on their different capital strengths. The actual data on bank run contagion also contradicts the Miles stance. If Miles is correct and depositors are unable to differentiate one bank from another, then a run on one bank should cause runs on all the others as well. If one bank is having problems and I am unable to tell the difference between that bank and mine, then I should probably withdraw my money. The Miles theory predicts that bank runs would spread like wildfire, but the overwhelming weight of the data suggests that this is not the case. The last question is whether regulation can outperform the laissez-faire result. Can a regulator create a workable rule to ensure that banks retain socially optimum amounts of capital, assuming for the sake of argument that depositors are unable to evaluate the capital strength of specific banks? I'd venture to say they can't. If the information required for the regulator to create a workable capital adequacy requirement is available, it may also be utilised to provide depositors with reliable signals about the capital condition of their banks, allowing them to 184 The architecture and operations of a bank set one bank's capital strength apart from another banks. Capital regulation is thus unable to alter the results of the free market since the market failure has vanished. On the other side, if this data cannot be gathered, the regulator will also be unable to do so, making Miles' capital adequacy rule impractical. Capital control is thus either possible but not essential or just not possible. State regulation falls short of improving on laissez-faire once again [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, free banking is an attractive idea built on the tenets of little government interference and competitive market forces in the financial industry. It's generated discussions on the advantages of giving financial institutions some leeway in terms of regulation, highlighting the potential advantages of innovation and efficiency. The historical record reveals that free banking systems have had a mixed record of success and failure, nevertheless. The idea of free banking has practical constraints in the modern financial world, where complexity and interconnectedness rule. It is still difficult and continuing to strike a balance between little government involvement and the need for regulatory measures to maintain financial stability and protect consumers. The experiences from earlier free banking systems provide insightful information, but the implementation of this strategy in the modern world requires careful evaluation of the dynamic changes in the financial sector and the need of reducing systemic risks.

REFERENCES:

[1] H. Okumus, E. G.-E. scientific journal, and undefined 2013, “Interest free banking in Turkey: a study of customer satisfaction and bank selection,” *core.ac.uk*, 2013.

[2] A. J. Rolnick and W. E. Weber, “New Evidence on the Free Banking Era,” *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 1983.

[3] L. F. Zegarra, “Free-banking and financial stability in Peru,” *Q. J. Austrian Econ.*, 2013.

[4] A. W. Salter and A. T. Young, "Would a free banking system stabilize NGDP growth?," *Q. Rev. Econ. Financ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.qref.2018.04.016.

[5] S. Gerlach and P. Kugler, "Money demand under free banking: Switzerland 1851–1906," *Swiss J. Econ. Stat.*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s41937-017-0013-8.

[6] C. R. Hickson and J. D. Turner, "Free banking and the stability of early joint-stock banking," *Cambridge J. Econ.*, 2004, doi: 10.1093/cje/beh036.

[7] P. Paniagua, "The robust political economy of central banking and free banking," *Rev. Austrian Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11138-015-0315-y.

[8] M. Bédard, "Contagious bank failures in a free banking system: A persistent misunderstanding," *J. des Econ. des Etudes Hum.*, 2014, doi: 10.1515/jeeh-2014-0005.

[9] P. Bagus and D. Howden, "Fractional reserve free banking: Some quibbles," *Q. J. Austrian Econ.*, 2010.

[10] I. Aslan, "Interest- free banking: reasons for choosing Islamic banking in Bingol city," *Pressacademia*, 2017, doi: 10.17261/pressacademia.2017.411.

[11] J. Bin Yusuf, H. S. Shah, M. Ayaz, and J. M. Buaben, "Interest free banking and finance in Brunei Darussalam: Present realities and future prospects," *J. Islam. Thought Civiliz.*, 2018, doi: 10.32350/jitc.82.03.

[12] L. F. Zegarra, "Free banking and bank entry in Latin America," *Investig. Hist. Econ.*, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.ihe.2013.06.013.

CHAPTER 7

COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF ISLAMIC BANKING

Avinash Rajkumar, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- avinashtmimt1982@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A distinctive and quickly expanding area of the global financial sector is Islamic banking. This essay examines the tenets, procedures, and distinguishing characteristics of Islamic banking and finance. It explores the core ideas of Islamic finance, including the rejection of interest (riba) and adherence to moral and Sharia-compliant standards. The research looks at the numerous Islamic financial services and products that are available to both private individuals and businesses, such as Mudarabah, Murabaha, and Takaful. Additionally, it looks at the obstacles and possibilities that Islamic banking poses within the larger financial environment, as well as the legal and supervisory structures that govern it. We acquire insights into the development and relevance of Islamic banking as a worldwide financial phenomenon that combines moral principles with contemporary financial practises via a thorough examination.

KEYWORDS:
Development Bank, Gulf Cooperation, Islamic Banking. Organisations.

INTRODUCTION

In Muslim nations, banking and finance underwent a significant reassessment in the second part of the 20th century. The new perspective criticises traditional interest-based banking and suggests an interest-free banking model based on Islamic finance methods. In 1963, a rural bank was established in Egypt, and two years later, a cooperative bank was established in Pakistan, putting the concept into action for the first time. Numerous Islamic banks and financial organisations have sprung up all over the globe since the Islamic Development Bank was created in 1975. There are now 166 similar institutes throughout the globe. Interest-based banking will be eliminated in favour of Islamic banking and finance, according to Pakistan, Iran, and Sudan. Islamic banking has long been a topic of policy in nations like Malaysia and Indonesia. In order to take advantage of the savings of the oil-rich Middle Eastern nations, several Western banks have begun to provide Islamic financial products. The amount of money now being handled by Islamic banks and financial organisations is believed to be $100 billion. Islamic banking is being practised progressively and to varied degrees in 23 countries, including 16 developing and emerging market nations. However, the Middle East has a significant concentration of the money.

The Middle East and the nations of the Gulf Cooperation Council account for more than 80% of the money handled by Islamic financial institutions. Despite the fact that Islamic banking has drawn practitioners from both Europe and the US, the business is still quite small but expanding. During the 1990s, it was projected that the global average annual increase of assets under the administration of Islamic financial institutions was over 15%. But lately, it has slowed down. Additionally, the majority of these organisations are tiny banks or investment firms that largely depend on fixed return borrowing. In the majority of Muslim nations, Islamic financial institutions' average yearly net earnings are less than $10 million. What distinguishes Islamic banking from Western financial practises? Are banks as effective as other financial organisations in meeting customer requirements and generating positive

returns for depositors? Since billions of money have been committed to these organisations, these issues are crucial for the growth of Islamic banking. This short chapter will outline Islamic banking theory and contrast it with contemporary use. The historical growth of Islamic banking is outlined in the next section. A straightforward theoretical model of Islamic finance is presented in Section 3. Islamic banking is covered in Section 4 of this article. Profit and loss sharing as an alternative to interest-based financing is briefly discussed in Section 5. For the growth of Islamic banking in the future, Section 6 offers some recommendations [1], [2].

DISCUSSION

Islamic banking is a concept that emerged after World War II. In order to fully grasp the concept of Islamic banking, it is important to highlight two pioneering organisations that laid the road for its subsequent development: Muslim Pilgrims Savings Corporation in Malaysia and Mit Ghamr Village Bank in Egypt. The Dar al-Mal al-Islami Group and the Al-Baraka Group are the two worldwide organisations that make up the foundation of Islamic banking.

Mit Ghamr Village Bank

Within the overall context of Islamic ideals, Mit Ghamr Village Bank integrated the concept of German savings banks with the fundamentals of rural banking. The Mit Ghamr Village Bank has been hailed as a success by Islamic banking proponents, and many believe that this early model represents the direction of Islamic banking in Muslim nations. In a rural environment where the bulk of the populace had never interacted with financial institutions before, Mit Ghamr Village Bank was a crude type of banking. They were mostly rural and religious, and they had a tendency to mistrust bankers who operated in a Western way. In addition, there weren't many local branches of such banks that they could use. This idle wealth could not be employed for profitable ventures since a significant portion of their income was set away for social gatherings, crises, and the like rather than being promptly spent. But before there could be any shift in conduct from hoarding and "real asset saving" to "financial saving," a significant portion of the population's religious beliefs needed to be respected by the financial institution. The process of capital creation might then be included for the bulk of the populace. The bank provided accounts in three different categories: savings, investment, and Zakah2 accounts. Between 1963 and 1966, the bank's deposits grew significantly, and it is reasonable to say that Mit Ghamr Village Bank was successful in putting the rural population's money to good use. The bank would take deposits from customers and provide PLS-based loans to small companies. Another significant activity was investment in commerce and industry [3], [4].

ISLAMIC BANKING IN

THEORY Islamic banking is founded on the notion of depositors and banks sharing profits and losses, as well as banks and borrowers. Islamic PLS is built on financial partnerships and trust finance.4 An Islamic bank should, according to earlier models of Islamic banking, operate on the premise of a two-tier PLS, accepting deposits based on PLS in order to lend to businesses based on PLS. Profits of an Islamic bank that exclusively accepts PLS deposits may be estimated as a difference between profit receipts from PLS loans made to business customers and profit distribution to depositors that has been adjusted for administrative costs. Islamic banks may also provide interest-free current accounts and other banking services without collecting interest in addition to PLS deposits. Savings and long-term investment accounts are suited for deposit mobilisation based on trust financing and partnerships, while current accounts are suggested based on secure custody. On the asset side, Islamic banks have access to a broad range of financing options that may be employed in place of interest. These fall under the categories of investment-based, sale-based, rent-based, and service-based

approaches. Financial partnerships and trust finance are the investment-based approaches. Trade bills, mark-ups, and buy-back contracts are all a part of the sale-based finance. Leasing, rent-sharing, and hire-purchase are all types of rent-based finance. The service-based firm offers peripheral banking services including safekeeping, locker rentals, and credit card issuing rather than real funding. In addition to the aforementioned, financing based on commissioned manufacturing has been a growing focus for Islamic banks. Once the kind of deposits and investments has been determined, calculating the return on individual deposits by bank clients and bank investments is simple. The next paragraph goes into detail about this [5], [6].

TOO MUCH EMPHASIS ON PLS?

Many Islamic economists still think that the expansion of PLS is what would define the future of Islamic banking, despite the fact that mark-up financing is already accepted as a viable form of financing. The contracts that support PLS, particularly Mudaraba, do not provide the financier management privileges, but they do give him some authority over monitoring and the ability to obtain information that is required. Islamic scholars forbid the use of collateral as a management tool in Mudaraba financing. Additionally, in the event of a project failure, no indemnification is allowed unless the entrepreneur's deliberate action is established. Mudaraba thereby tips the scales of power in the entrepreneur's advantage. This seems to be an adversarial partnership, where the concentration of management and control in the hands of the entrepreneur makes it a less desirable option for financiers. Additionally, Mudaraba Finance does not provide any extra controls for the PLS agency issue.14 If the operational earnings are distributed between the financier and the entrepreneur in proportion to the worth of each person's individual contribution in terms of financial and human capital, it can be shown that Mudaraba financing is socially efficient. Miller and colleagues demonstrate using a Mudaraba-style agreement that the authority to govern the project is unimportant if the two parties split the profit according to the value of their individual efforts. If the entrepreneur has both the management and the control of the project, the project will be launched earlier if the value of his part of the profit is larger than the value of his portion of the investment, and vice versa. In this situation, giving the financier the power of control may stop the entrepreneur from making risky investments. Separating management from control, however, may not be enough to fully resolve the agency issue. The business owner is not financially responsible for losses in Mudaraba. It will greatly lessen the agency issue to have him share the loss in proportion to the value of his human capital contribution.

Islamic legal scholars prohibit sharing losses in any way other than via individual financial contributions. This problem requires some reconsideration. In reality, when dividends are cut or even if there is some yearly loss, business owners do better than investors. In reference to the Mudaraba practise in Pakistan, the Mudaraba management business is primarily managed by the Mudaraba promoters, who get salaries and other advantages in addition to the 10% management fee in the event of a profit and only lose the management fee in the event of a loss. Therefore, under the modern Mudaraba practise, the entrepreneur's interests are relatively protected since he gets a set salary regardless of success or loss and forfeits the management charge in the latter case. The entrepreneur has more incentives to make excessive or insufficient investments in such a structure than in a straightforward Mudaraba contract. Moral hazard should be lessened by making him participate in the loss in proportion to the value of his human capital contribution. The business owner could not be held financially accountable in the worst-case scenario of loss, which is bankruptcy. Having said that, the data to far supports the claim that PLS has a limited role to play on the assets side of Islamic banks. Islamic commercial banking must be maintained as straightforward as possible, at least in the beginning, if it is to grow in a sustainable manner. PLS, although having more Islamic characteristics, does not mesh well with the practises of Islamic

commercial banking and should thus only be used by specialised Islamic investment banks [7], [8].

ISLAMIC BANKING IN THE FUTURE

As been previously suggested, Islamic commercial banking has a future if it adopts a model that depends on PLS for liabilities while basing investments on certain fixed-return financing options that are permissible to Islam, including leasing and mark-up. It is essential to employ PLS for both obligations and assets in Islamic investment banking. The following might be useful for the creation of such banking. First off, PLS performs best when applied to small and medium-sized projects with modest profit margins. As part of their industrial strategy, governments in Muslim nations—nearly all of which are developing nations—invest a significant amount of money in supporting the development of small and medium-sized businesses. In such situations, Islamic banks and other non-bank financial entities have a lot of potential. Second, certain Islamic banks need to be established as specialised institutions serving particular industries. This will enable reasonably priced project investment monitoring. Islamic banks could benefit from the present privatisation phase and the declining public sector's contribution to resource mobilisation since they can cover the development financing gap left by privatisation. Governments in practically all emerging nations, including those that are Muslim, are reducing their involvement in development financing and adopting private finance projects gradually.

The Islamic banks have a role to play if they focus on traditional sectors that formerly had a competitive advantage but are now constrained by a lack of funding to grow or modernise their businesses. Specialised financial institutions may be crucial to the growth of various sectors. Third, the Islamic investment bank should focus on tiny but expanding sectors since these businesses need outside money more than established businesses do, which often have access to loans at interest-bearing rates. It is anticipated that this will promote PLS usage. Last but not least, Islamic banks may have a comparable function to institutional investors. To meet their dual function as investors and shareholders in the business of the borrowing enterprises, this necessitates suitable alterations to the business practises and investment strategies of Islamic banks. Furthermore, there is a critical need to revamp financial rules. In the majority of Muslim nations, banks are either forbidden from acquiring controlling interests in enterprises or set up such that the management of the borrowing companies make all of the decisions. The implementation of PLS by Islamic banks has been significantly hampered by these rules and the contract's antagonistic stance against capitalists. To balance the management and control powers between Islamic banks and the managers of the enterprises they invest in, banking regulatory changes are thus necessary [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

Islamic banking is an example of how religious values and contemporary financial methods may coexist. It has become a lively and well-known subset of the financial sector, with its ethical principles anchored in Sharia law. In addition to offering a distinctive range of financial products, Islamic banking's dedication to risk-sharing, wealth distribution, and the prohibition of interest has found favour with a diversified clientele that transcends geographical and religious barriers. Islamic banking continues to provide considerable opportunity for financial institutions to serve a market of morally aware consumers seeking financial solutions that are in line with their principles, despite issues with standardisation and product innovation. Its development and influence on the global financial scene highlight its continued importance and room for expansion.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. Imam and K. Kpodar, "Islamic banking: Good for growth?," *Econ. Model.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.econmod.2016.08.004.

[2] H. Yanīkkaya and Y. U. Pabuçcu, "Causes and solutions for the stagnation of Islamic banking in Turkey," *ISRA Int. J. Islam. Financ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/IJIF-07-2017-005.

[3] H. Obeid and S. Kaabachi, "Empirical investigation into customer adoption of Islamic banking services in Tunisia," *J. Appl. Bus. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.19030/jabr.v32i4.9734.

[4] S. Aziz and Z. Afaq, "Adoption of Islamic banking in Pakistan an empirical investigation," *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2018.1548050.

[5] F. Khan, "How 'Islamic' is Islamic Banking?," *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2010.09.015.

[6] I. Butt, N. Ahmad, A. Naveed, and Z. Ahmed, "Determinants of low adoption of Islamic banking in Pakistan," *J. Islam. Mark.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JIMA-01-2017-0002.

[7] M. H. Warsame and E. M. Ireri, "Moderation effect on Islamic banking preferences in UAE," *Int. J. Bank Mark.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/IJBM-08-2016-0121.

[8] T. Cham, "Determinants of Islamic banking growth: an empirical analysis," *Int. J. Islam. Middle East. Financ. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/IMEFM-01-2017-0023.

[9] N. S. Mahdzan, R. Zainudin, and S. F. Au, "The adoption of Islamic banking services in Malaysia," *J. Islam. Mark.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/JIMA-08-2015-0064.

[10] A. El-Galfy and K. A. Khiyar, "Islamic banking and economic growth: A review," *J. Appl. Bus. Res.*, 2012, doi: 10.19030/jabr.v28i5.7236.

CHAPTER 8

INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE

Chanchal Chawla, Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- chanchalchawla0@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

With a long history and changing relevance, the International Monetary Fund plays a crucial role in the global financial system. This article offers a thorough examination of the history of the IMF, focusing on its establishment in the years after World War II and its early functions of preserving exchange rate stability and providing financial support. It charts the evolution of the IMF's roles and goals through time, including how it responds to financial crises and how it works to advance world economic stability. The research also evaluates the IMF's current actions, emphasising its role in policy advising, loan programmes, and monitoring. It also examines the possibilities and difficulties that the IMF will face in the future, including how to deal with global economic imbalances, adjust to shifting financial conditions, and include social and environmental concerns into its policy framework. We learn more about the IMF's past accomplishments, present place in the global economy, and capacity to influence the direction of global finance and economic stability via this thorough research.

KEYWORDS:

Fund, International, Monetary, Subsequent adoption.

INTRODUCTION

The events of the 1990s, including the early-decade currency turmoil in Europe, the subsequent adoption of the euro, the 1994 Mexican crisis, the 1997 Asian crisis, and the 1998 Russian crisis, have all sparked discussions about changes to the design of the international monetary system and the International Monetary Fund's role in ensuring its smooth operation. The IMF has been tasked with being the "machinery" in charge of regulating the global monetary and financial system since its founding in 1944. In response to shifting economic conditions, the IMF's function has evolved throughout time. But the adjustments have always been piecemeal and have followed financial and economic shocks to the global economy. In this chapter, we will examine suggestions for potential future adjustments that might enhance the international monetary system and track its changing function. The format of this conversation is as follows. We examine trends between 1944 and 1990.

We focus on how the conditionality practise framework has evolved over time in combination with the different financial facilities. There are three distinct sub periods: the Bretton Woods System, which saw relatively low demands on the IMF's resources; the 1970s and the oil price shocks, which led to dramatically higher credit; and the 1980s, which saw the emergence of the international debt problem and increased demands on the Fund's resources. We examine the 1990s in Section 3 of this article. Greater capital market integration, a resumption of capital inflows to developing countries, and financial instability as seen by the crises in Mexico, South East Asia, and Russia all characterise this time period. These incidents have made way for IMF crisis management. They have also brought up concerns about the merits of convertibility of capital accounts, the improvement of national

financial systems, and crisis avoidance. These concerns, as well as the potential role of the IMF as a lender of last resort [1], [2].

DISCUSSION

The governments of the main Allied powers approved the creation of the IMF in 1944 with the goal of fostering economic and financial cooperation among its member nations. The method of achieving the goals outlined in Article I of the Articles of Agreement of the IMF and thought to be necessary for the economic wellbeing of the global community would be this collaboration. These goals included the promotion of exchange rate stability, the expansion and balanced development of international commerce, and the abolition of foreign currency limitations via the creation of a liberal multilateral system of current international payments and transfers. In order to achieve these goals, the member nations needed temporary access to IMF resources that were made available, as well as adequate safeguards, so they could correct imbalances in their balance of payments without taking actions that would harm their own or other countries' ability to prosper. As a result, the IMF has been seen as a source of financial assistance for its member nations which are now experiencing or may soon experience balance of payments issues. However, this financial support brought the notion of moral hazard into play by making member nations less committed to adopting or upholding acceptable regulations. The Fund sought to reduce the danger of moral hazard by making the use of its resources contingent on the execution of adjustment programmers.

These 'conditionality' policies, also known as adjustment policies, made sure that members could repay the IMF on time, allowing the IMF's finite pool of financial resources to circulate and be made accessible to other members experiencing balance of payments issues. The link between an external imbalance and the economic actions necessary to fix it has come to serve as the operational foundation for adopting conditionality throughout time. It entailed determining the kind and traits of the imbalance that has to be corrected. For instance, are there internal or external causes for the disequilibrium? Is it a reflection of foreign or internal causes? Is it temporary or enduring?1 Other goals, such as a sound growth rate, a suitable level of employment, and domestic price and currency rate stability, are sought when measures are adopted to correct an external imbalance. Economic policy effects on equity and distribution are also taken into consideration. The Fund believes that achieving these additional objectives is crucial to maintaining a strong external payments position. The Fund has based its suggested strategies for achieving the aforementioned goals on both the monetary and fiscal aspects of macroeconomic management. Reduced expenditure or increased income are two examples of the fiscal policy initiatives. By reducing the weight of the public sector in aggregate demand, the former will tend to restore economic balance, whilst the latter would decrease the percentage of private demand. Since a government's ability to restrict its spending often exceeds its capacity to generate revenues, the combination of fiscal measures will have an impact on how quickly the adjustment process proceeds.

The relevance of the connection between domestic credit growth and increases in the money supply, as well as their relationship to total spending and income, is emphasised by the monetary component of macroeconomic management. It draws attention to the connection between the imbalance in the money market and the unbalance in the products market. This strategy suggests that domestic credit growth should be in accordance with the future trajectory of desirable money holdings in the economy in order to reestablish a healthy balance between spending and income. As a result, when conditionality is used, domestic credit growth is a policy variable. Appropriate foreign borrowing methods, which may directly affect the expenditure-income flow, are an addition to the fiscal and monetary aspects of macroeconomic management. An economy may be temporarily able to maintain demand and growth levels beyond what it is capable of supporting by using foreign borrowing. The

focus of the aforementioned policies is on affecting economic absorption. Exchange rate adjustment or flexibility in exchange rate management is a strategy that tries to alter relative pricing and restore the competitiveness of local products, improving the balance of payments in the process.

That has also been a crucial IMF-recommended financial programme strategy. To guarantee that economic incentives and price signals serve their intended purposes, exchange and trade regimes should be liberalised. Numerous issues have been brought up with the stabilisation measures supporting conditionality. They may be criticised for being grounded on partial equilibrium analysis as opposed to general equilibrium analysis in the first place. If many nations combine devaluation and deflation, the competitive advantage that devaluation seeks to achieve will be offset by other devaluations, and any attempt to reduce imports under the presumption of constant exports will be undermined by falling exports. Second, if foreign trade elasticities are low, the positive impacts of devaluation on the balance of payments could not be significant. Of course, this is an empirical problem that will vary from nation to nation. Similar to this, the competitive advantage obtained by devaluation will be transient in nations that are mostly open. Last but not least, financial initiatives have come under fire for having a short-term focus whereas many of the issues are structural in nature and need for long-term strategies. They have also received criticism for overdoing the deflation. The study that follows demonstrates how, as conditionality has evolved to support growth-oriented adjustment and effective resource allocation via trade reforms, some of these concerns have been taken into consideration throughout time. The promotion of financial reforms and strengthening of banking supervision have been a recent addition to conditionality [3], [4].

The IMF under the Bretton Woods System

In order to execute conditionality, the IMF created the stand-by arrangement as the primary tool to provide members restricted access to its financial resources. The agreement defined the conditions under which a member could draw from the Fund and represented the institution's first formally expressed conviction that its support would be most useful if it were given in support of a member's policies intended to address its external imbalance. This then evolved into a more focused economic policy agenda with tools that could be measured with some degree of accuracy. Examples include the growth of domestic credit, the need for public sector borrowing, and the accumulation of foreign reserves. There were two more developments. In order to encourage policy implementation and avoid too quick rates of withdrawal, money was first made accessible over time. Second, in order to maintain access to IMF resources, the stand-by agreements imposed performance standards that had to be met. The justification for that was that a policy program's inability to meet these performance standards was a hint that it needed to be reconsidered. The IMF Executive Board formalised these conditionality procedures in 1968. The application of the aforementioned techniques is shown by the experience of the UK. In 1967, the UK had issues with its balance of payments, which resulted in significant pressure on the pound. Before providing a significant loan of $1.4 billion, or 50% of the quota, the IMF management insisted on a depreciation of the pound, which happened in November. However, the Bank of England continued to support falling bond prices in order to prevent the losses of commercial banks that had taken on significant bondholding with the Bank's assistance, which contributed to the persistence of the balance of payments issues. As a result, liquidity was increasing when tightening was required. The IMF Executive Board insisted that additional IMF resources be made available in conjunction with performance undertakings, which included agreed-upon quarterly limits on bank credit and fiscal deficit, and decided that all nations—developing and developed—should be treated equally. The government and the Bank of England both approved of these actions, which were then carefully carried out. The measures were effective, and the payments balance improved. In the middle of the 1970s, the UK had significant balance of

payments issues, prompting fresh IMF requests. Once again, the IMF acted as a catalyst for the implementation of the required fiscal reforms that the financial authorities recognised had to be taken since this time the issues were caused by fiscal weakness. Following these actions, the balance of payments quickly stabilised. The IMF's participation with the UK brought up two issues. First, it's crucial that the IMF and the government of the borrowing nation agree on the urgent actions required for the release of resources. As a result, the foreign currency market responded quickly, easing political implementation issues and assisting the recovery strategy. Second, when it came to enacting strategies to get access to IMF resources, industrialised and developing nations were treated equally. The IMF had to make sure that the borrowing nation's financial policies would be sufficient to assure prompt loan repayment.

The IMF and the International Debt Crisis

The changes in developing nations' foreign debt throughout the 1980s compelled significant adjustments to the IMF's position in the international community. Initial reactions to the second oil price rise seemed to be similar to those to the first. The first year saw an increase in global inflation, a dramatic decline in the current accounts of oil-importing nations, and the onset of a recession. But in 1980, the resemblance vanished. Many nations had manageable current account balances and low debt levels at the time of the first oil price shock, but not at the time of the second. A lengthy recession and dramatically increased nominal and real interest rates were the results of the industrialised nations' anti-inflationary measures, which were also implemented. A startling rise in debt was caused by an increase in interest payments and a decrease in exports. From $359 billion in long-term debt in 1979 to $552 billion in 1982. By 1982–1983, several emerging nations discovered that they could no longer pay their debts. Mexico, an oil exporter, was the first nation to default in 1982, and other nations soon followed. However, in Mexico's instance, the root of the problem was a highly expansionary macroeconomic strategy that was supported by unsustainable capital inflows based on the country's fast increasing oil exports. If there hadn't been a global recession, Brazil would have improved its current account by adopting the proper policy measures. The Fund thought that after the first shock of the oil price, there had been too much funding and little adjustment, and that a more aggressive approach was required to cope with the subsequent shock. With the onset of the debt crisis, the stock of Fund credit significantly increased since several countries primarily relied on it. Despite being upbeat about the prospects for inflation and growth in the debtor nations, the Fund continued to use conditionality in its assistance programmes and faced harsh criticism at the time for endorsing unrealistic policy proposals. The debt approach was originally driven primarily by the need to avoid a banking sector confidence crisis. The rare confluence of a global recession and high interest rates was assumed to be the cause of the debtors' short-term issue. Following the Baker initiative of 1985, which acknowledged the necessity for long-term growth-oriented financing, there was a turning point in debt policy. Combining adjustment, finance, and expansion was the key to the problem's solution, which required both debtors and creditors to work together. The IMF was chosen as the platform for this collaborative approach to deal with debt issues. The practises of conditionality placed a strong emphasis on microeconomic reforms and structural changes to enable effective resource allocation and the restart of growth. The IMF simultaneously made sure that money kept going to poorer nations. As a result, 'concerted' financing packages have been introduced. Before deciding to finance the debtor adjustment plan on its own, the IMF asked creditors to make financial commitments. In this sense, the IMF acted as a catalyst for capital flows to nations with the capacity and willingness to implement economic reforms. Moral hazard on the side of debtors and creditors was present in this strategy. The focus on adjustment meant a penalty to reckless borrowing on the side of the debtors, and the demand that creditors support the process with fresh funding, debt relief, or both helped to restrict it on the part of the creditors.

There was a shift towards adjustment plans that were growth-oriented and wouldn't harm future economic prospects. Additional resources, such as the improved structural adjustment facility for low-income developing countries and the structural adjustment facility, were made available to assist this strategy [5], [6].

Developments in the International Economy

By the end of the 1980s, the aforementioned measures had helped to resolve the debt issue affecting less developed nations with some degree of success. The United States, the World Bank, and the IMF - together known as the "Washington consensus" - had supported developing nations to transition from non-market economies to market economies throughout the 1980s. Through the elimination of domestic price restrictions, the development of free trade, financial deregulation, and capital account convertibility, each nation had to take action to integrate its economy with the rest of the globe. 'Free markets know best' might be used to sum up each phase. This simple approach by the IMF, which ignored the original circumstances under which the nations were working when they chose to move towards global integration, drew criticism. Although the general plan may have been sound, the specifics were not in place. And it was the specifics that sparked a string of crises in the 1990s and efforts to reconsider the IMF's function in the global economy. Capital flows into developing countries have resumed as a result of this movement towards more deregulation and global integration as well as the relatively successful settlement of the LDC debt crisis. Net capital inflows to developing nations increased from $60 billion to $194 billion between 1990 and 1996. But not all economies received the same treatment. The economies of Asia and several nations in Latin America recovered quickly, and as a result, they received the lion's share. Mexico benefited the most, accounting for one-fifth of all inflows to developing economies between 1990 and 1993 with net inflows of $91 billion.

However, Sub-Saharan Africa continued to lack in capital. In addition to this, the fall of the Berlin Wall and the fall of communist governments throughout Eastern Europe resulted in the inclusion of millions of individuals in the IMF. Due to their close proximity to Western Europe, these economies received significant capital inflows for both political and economic reasons. By lifting capital restrictions and expanding their banking and financial sectors, many nations were able to become more financially connected with the developed economies, although a number of issues persisted. Finding a way to inject capital flows into these economies was one of the main challenges. Instead of foreign direct investment, which is more likely to be reversed and result in reserve losses and/or smaller current account deficits, a major portion of capital inflows were used for financial investments. While the latter have a conventional Keynesian impact on production and employment, the former make a nation more financially vulnerable. The economic downturn, according to Calvo and Reinhart, raises real interest rates, which, combined with the maturity and, in certain instances, currency mismatch of bank portfolios, lead to financial hardship. They refer to this as the "Fisherian channel" and contend that it influences the severity and duration of the next recession. In Mexico in 1994, the susceptibility of nations to the unexpected withdrawal of funding was first shown. Following that, Russia and Asia followed suit. The way these crises were handled suggested that the IMF take on a new position as a crisis manager. The remainder of this section will explore these crises and talk about how the IMF's conditionality policy has changed over time.

Asia-Pacific Crisis The next significant wave of emerging market financial crises was more severe, even if Mexico might be seen as simply another in a long series of Latin American countries whose reforms and economy failed. The Tigers of the East were growing their economy at double-digit rates while growth in the developed Western countries had slowed to a trickle. This was accomplished by bringing in foreign technology, implementing a complex set of industrial policies, and engaging in selective protectionism. The fact that

several nations in the area tried to bind their currency rates to the US dollar provided further motivation for foreign investment. The apparent absence of exchange rate risk, when combined with the large returns available from investments in these miracle countries, led to enormous capital flows into these economies to take advantage of the investment possibilities given. This ‘miracle' started to be questioned by several economists. Paul Krugman stated that Asia's prosperity was a result of massive investment and a shift in manpower from fields to factories rather than a more effective utilisation of resources. The Tiger countries were catching up to the Western economies, and after they had sufficiently increased their capital stock and exhausted the available labour pool in the countryside, diminishing returns would start to set in and growth rates would decline. His remarks were timely even if they were contentious. Krugman projected a decline in production growth rates, export growth, and industrial output in 1994; this trend was evident in 1996. A regional macroeconomic downturn also developed into a worldwide financial crisis in 1997. There is no universal agreement on the causes of this collapse, but the general consensus is that the slowdown, coupled with flaws in the financial institutions and lax regulation, led to disaster. The widespread use of exchange rates that were essentially set contributed significantly to the capital influx, which was short-term in nature, often denominated in foreign currencies, and left unhedged. Thai exporters were placed under pressure since Japan was their primary export market as a result of the dollar's 35% increase versus the yen in 1996 and 1997. A significant speculative assault on the baht occurred when enough investors started to question the sustainability of the situation in Thailand. The impacts of the contagion may have been caused by problems with competition, financial ties, or plain investor fear. Regardless of the cause, the crisis spread quickly across South East Asia. The South Korean won came under assault, while Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines were all obliged to give up their currency pegs. The IMF considered itself as having the responsibility of ensuring the stability of the global monetary system. Thus, it regarded its primary responsibility as having a clear mandate, and its top priority to assist restore confidence to the economies hit by the crisis came naturally as a result.

The issue was approached from three angles. It started by introducing a stabilisation package and other changes within the impacted nations. Second, it supplied $117 billion in financing to South Korea, Indonesia, and Thailand from its own funds and the treasuries of industrialised countries. Third, it offered governments suggestions on how to prevent the spread of disease. The reform measures intended to stabilise the impacted nations were very divisive. A tightening of monetary policy to limit currency depreciations and the preservation of prudent fiscal policies in anticipation of the significant fiscal implications of banking sector reorganisation were among the major components. With the light of hindsight, it seemed foolish to put the brakes on practically every economy in a region experiencing an unimaginably big catastrophe. Increasing interest rates had little effect on stabilising the value of the currencies that were in free decline. The governments were prevented from boosting domestic demand with a Keynesian spending injection by "prudent" fiscal measures that were meant to provide them space to raise the money needed to recapitalize a failing banking sector. The IMF has come under fire for having a singular strategy for handling financial crises and implementing it regardless of the environment. The first test of the IMF package was conducted on wasteful governments in Latin America and Africa, whose budget deficits and propensity to print large sums of money had sparked the crises. In these situations, fiscal austerity and monetary tightening were required to address the underlying causes of the crises. The largest inflation rate in the area was just 8%, therefore Asian countries were actually operating fiscal surpluses rather than deficits and were not dealing with skyrocketing prices. There is strong reason to believe that the IMF was advocating a crisis management strategy that was one size fits all.

There were two issues with the size of the combined loan packages. Huge sums of money were made available; for example, Korea and Thailand each contributed 13 and 11% of their respective countries' 1997 GDP, while Indonesia offered government funding equivalent to 20% of that country's GDP. However, these sums fell short of meeting these countries' short-term obligations, necessitating the bailing-in of international creditors. For instance, lenders assessed Korea's short-term obligations to be $110 billion in October 1997, which is more than three times Korea's reserves. The overall amount of Korea's foreign debt, however, was less than one-third of GDP. Feldstein and others have said that all Korea required was concerted effort by creditor institutions, much as the clubs established in the 1980s to handle Latin American debt. Although they were generally in decent health, these economies were momentarily cash-strapped. The IMF may have provided assistance, as it did during the debt crisis, by providing short-term bridge loans and coordinating the commercial banks to operate in the best interests of the industry by rescheduling the obligations. The IMF accomplished this to a certain degree. However, by making such huge financial contributions, it also made sure that most international banks could withdraw their funds from the area without suffering significant losses. Once again, the IMF and public funds were used to bail out certain private sector investors. Those who worry about the moral hazard issue contend that the IMF put too much money into the issue and has simply strengthened the perceptions of foreign lenders that it would always serve as a lender of last resort [7], [8].

The consideration of the Russian situation in the next part demonstrates that there does seem to be some basis for this. The other objection is that because these economies were essentially solid, the IMF should have given them all the money they needed to refinance their loans. These economies collapsed because to a confidence crisis brought on by a mismatch between short-term obligations and long-term assets, which was a problem shared by all banks. The lender of last resort should intervene to provide liquidity and stop the crisis, just as they do if a bank run happens at a healthy institution. According to these critics, the IMF should not have required fundamental changes before funding, and by even admitting that there were issues, they encouraged even greater capital flight. The premise underlying both arguments is that the economies were, on the whole, healthy. Then, the decision is whether the IMF should serve as a lender of last resort to the entire world and offer liquidity without restrictions, or whether the private sector should be required to accept losses and rescheduling as a result of wasteful lending, with the IMF serving only as a coordination role.

The IMF acted differently because it thought the crisis went beyond a simple liquidity issue. The Fund held the opinion that the purpose of its funding was to act as a buffer until the required structural changes were implemented. It is difficult to judge whether the IMF's position is sound. Prior to the crisis, the region's macroeconomics were generally strong, and with the severe contractions brought on by the crises and the corrective measures taken, they have mostly stabilised. Few would contest the need of overhauling each country's banking system in this way. The Krugman question will determine if this liquidity crisis was transient. Were these economies at a point where a downturn was expected and issues previously covered up by strong growth would now be exposed, or did a regional recession and collapsing asset price bubbles bring down otherwise viable nations? The former viewpoint seems to have convinced the IMF. As a consequence, foreign money was used for lower-quality projects, as Krugman had expected, after the Asian economies had sufficiently increased their capital-to-output ratios. several of the cash was allocated to extremely low-quality investments, which in many instances served merely to create asset price bubbles that burst so abruptly, given the corruption and incompetence that emerged in several of these nations [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) is a symbol of how the world of international finance and economic cooperation is constantly changing. The IMF has shown adaptable and resilient throughout its history, from its post-war roots as a stabilising factor to its present multidimensional function as a protector of global economic stability. It will be crucial for the IMF to be able to tackle emerging issues including changing economic power, climate change, and income inequality. Its sustained dedication to promoting global cooperation, giving objective counsel, and aiding member nations through economic difficulties will determine its continued significance. The IMF's history of supporting financial stability and balanced growth remains crucial to the global financial infrastructure as it navigates the challenging terrain of the twenty-first century.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Daoud, E. Nosrati, B. Reinsberg, A. E. Kentikelenis, T. H. Stubbs, and L. P. King, "Impact of International Monetary Fund programs on child health," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2017, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1617353114.

[2] W. M. Corden, "International Monetary Fund," in *Palgrave Studies in the History of Economic Thought*, 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-65166-8_15.

[3] J. M. Shandra, E. Shircliff, and B. London, "The International Monetary Fund, World Bank, and structural adjustment: A cross-national analysis of forest loss," *Soc. Sci. Res.*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2010.08.004.

[4] B. Momani, "American politicization of the international Monetary fund," *Rev. Int. Polit. Econ.*, 2004, doi: 10.1080/0969229042000313064.

[5] N. M. Jensen, "Crisis, Conditions, and Capital: The effect of International Monetary Fund agreements on foreign direct investment inflows," *J. Conflict Resolut.*, 2004, doi: 10.1177/0022002703262860.

[6] O. Radonjić and M. Zec, "A fresh view twenty years on: The Asian financial debacle and the Minskyan lessons learnt by the international monetary fund," *Econ. Ann.*, 2018, doi: 10.2298/EKA1818129R.

[7] A. E. Kentikelenis, T. H. Stubbs, and L. P. King, "IMF conditionality and development policy space, 1985–2014," *Rev. Int. Polit. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/09692290.2016.1174953.

[8] T. S. van Gunten, "Washington dissensus: Ambiguity and conflict at the international monetary fund," *Socio-Economic Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1093/ser/mwv032.

[9] D. Harthanti and Nuryana, "Pengaruh IMF (International Monetary Fund) Dalam Pengambilan Kebijakan Ekonomi- Politik Indonesia Pada Masa Pemerintahan Orde Baru (1967-1974)," *Hist. J. Kajian, Penelit. Dan Pengemb. Pendidik. Sej.*, 2018.

[10] P. Nemiña and J. Larralde, "Etapas históricas de la relación entre el fondo Monetario Internacional y América Latina (1944-2015)," *America Latina en la Historia Economica*. 2018. doi: 10.18232/alhe.v25i1.858.

CHAPTER 9

A CONFLICT EXISTS BETWEEN UNIVERSAL BANKING AND SHAREHOLDER VALUE

Anushi Singh, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- anushigaur@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This essay investigates how the financial sector's goal of shareholder profit and universal banking meet in a fascinating way. The effects of universal banks' broad range of financial services, such as commercial and investment banking, asset management, and insurance, on shareholder value have come under increasing scrutiny. The paper explores the background and development of universal banking while examining the benefits and possible drawbacks of this complex business model. It looks at the difficulties universal banks confront while attempting to balance profit production across diverse sectors while maximising shareholder returns. The article also examines the governance structures and processes intended to reduce conflicts of interest and guarantee alignment with shareholder interests. We obtain insights into the difficulties and potential intersections between universal banking and the production of long-term shareholder value via a thorough investigation of the dynamically evolving financial environment.

KEYWORDS:
Complex Network, Financial Services, Shareholder Value, Universal Banking.

INTRODUCTION

The universal banks that make up the multi-product businesses in the financial services industry are multi-product firms in terms of their historical history, organisational structure, and strategic orientation. Universal banks undoubtedly successfully target the majority or all of their customer groups inside their own contexts, and they make an attempt to provide them a complete complement of the necessary financial services. Outside of their home market, they often have a more limited competitive strategy, concentrating mostly on wholesale banking and securities operations as well as international private banking while sporadically establishing retail presences abroad. This stylized profile of universal banks offers investors an amalgam of more or less distinct businesses that are connected to one another in a complex network and rely on a collection of centralised financial, informational, human, and organisational resources. This profile is notoriously difficult to manage in a way that achieves the best possible return on invested capital.

The investor's main concern is whether investing in universal bank shares represents an appealing choice for asset allocation from the perspectives of risk-adjusted total return and portfolio effectiveness. The universal bank's success versus competitors with a more narrowly focused business in more competitive markets will be greatly influenced by the answers to this issue, which in turn will have a significant impact on the bank's cost of capital. Within a clear conceptual framework, this chapter takes these concerns into account. I start by adding a variety of components that eventually decide the market value of a universal bank's equity to the presumed adjusted book value of its equity. I then inquire as to whether the equity's market value really represents the highest value a shareholder may hope to get. I conclude by outlining some of the strategic and tactical options that management has, both

within and outside the bank, to reach a fictitious maximum value of shareholder equity. Throughout the debate, any empirical data that is presented in the literature is used [1], [2].

DISCUSSION

Finding the sources of value increases over book value of stock might be the first step in the realisation of shareholder value. In the case of universal banks, the BVE is the total of the following: the par value of the shares at the time of issuance; the surplus paid in by investors at the time of issuance; retained profits recorded on the bank's records; and reserves put aside for loan losses. BVE must be increased by unrealized capital gains related to assets like equity holdings carried on the bank's books at historical cost and their current replacement values, as well as other assets and liabilities whose replacement values differ materially from historical values because of credit and market risk considerations, or their mark-to-market values, depending on the applicable regulatory and accounting framework. Thus, we have the presumptive adjusted book value of equity, which is actually not typically disclosed in bank financial statements because market-value accounting is generally not practised across broad categories of universal banking activities, with the exception of trading-account securities, derivatives, and open foreign exchange positions, for example. Like with non-financial companies like McDonald's, Coca-Cola, or any other publicly listed company, a universal bank's shareholder interests are correlated to the market value of its stock, where the number of outstanding shares equals the current market price. Due to the fact that MVE accounts for both present and anticipated future net profits, adjusted for risk, it should typically be much higher than ABVE. However, the so-called "Q" ratio for MVE/ABVE might be more or smaller than 1, and it is obviously amenable to improvement by management or shareholder action. If it is much below 1, for instance, splitting up the bank could be in the best interests of the shareholders if ABVE or more can be realised as a consequence, similar to how restructurings have increased shareholder value in industrial enterprises under the right conditions [3], [4].

Economies of Scope

The financial services industry should have a lot of room for scope economies and diseconomies, which might develop as a result of supply-side or demand-side connections. on the supply side, scope economies refer to cost reductions via the pooling of overhead costs and technological advancements through the joint production of groups of services that are broadly comparable. Supply-side diseconomies of scope can result from a number of things, including inertia, a lack of creativity and responsiveness brought on by bureaucratization and increased firm size, "turf" and profit-attribution conflicts that drive up costs or degrade product quality in meeting customer needs, or significant cultural differences within the organisation that obstruct the seamless delivery of a wide range of financial services. On the demand side, economies of scope occur when the total cost to the customer of purchasing multiple financial services from a single supplier is lower than the total cost of purchasing them from separate suppliers. This cost includes the service price as well as information, search, monitoring, contracting, and other transaction costs.

Demand-related diseconomies of scope may develop, for instance, as a result of internal information transfers deemed to be against the interests of the client or as a result of agency costs that may arise when a multi-product financial firm acts against the client's interests in the sale of one service in order to facilitate the sale of another. The management of universal banks often makes the case that greater throughput volume and expanded product and customer coverage reflect improvements to shareholder value. Network economics connected to universal banking might be seen as a particular kind of demand-side economy. Similar to

telecommunications, banking relationships with end users of financial services represent a network structure in which additional client linkages add value to existing clients by improving the feasibility or lowering the cost of accessing them so-called "network externalities" that tend to increase with the absolute size of the network itself. Each customer connection to the bank has the ability to "complement" the others and so provide value via one-way or two-way interactions including additional information or access to liquidity. Technical compatibility and coordination in time and place, which the universal bank is able to supply, determine the scope of network advantages. Additionally, networks have a tendency to be self-reinforcing, necessitating a minimum critical mass and become more dominant with scale, preventing ideal competition in network-driven financial services. Activities like currency and securities trading, worldwide custody, money transfer, and international cash management are examples of activities that display this trait. Furthermore, networks encourage user retention due to the comparatively high switching costs that have the ability to exert enormous market influence [5], [6].

X-efficiency

In addition to scale and scope advantages, it is plausible that universal banks of approximately comparable size and offering comparable service ranges might have considerably different cost structures per unit of production. Such performance discrepancies are amply supported by the comparisons of banks' cost-to-income ratios inside and across different national financial services markets, for instance. The factors include organisational design, compensation and incentive systems, and just plain better management. They also include differences in the efficiency of how labour and capital are used, effectiveness in the sourcing and application of available technology, and possibly effectiveness in the acquisition of productive inputs. If, for instance, huge organisations are differently able to make the significant and "lumpy" capital investments necessary to construct and maintain the most effective information technology and transaction processing infrastructures, then X-efficiency may be connected to size. Only major banks can afford the amounts of information technology investment seen in Exhibit 9.3. From the standpoint of shareholder value, major banks will benefit from rivalry with smaller ones if such expenditure levels lead to improved X-efficiency. Smaller businesses, however, should be able to pool their resources or outsource in order to achieve comparable efficiency. From the perspective of shareholder value, management is always under pressure from their boards of directors to perform better, to increase X-efficiency in their organisations, and to spread this pressure throughout the whole business.

Empirical Evidence of Economies of Scale, Scope and X-efficiency

What proof is there for X-efficiency, X-economies of scope, and X-economies in relation to bank performance? In universal banks, economies of size and scope will either be individually or collectively absorbed as higher profit margins or transferred to customers in the form of cheaper pricing, resulting in a gain in market share. They need to be plainly visible in the cost operations of financial services providers and in overall performance indicators. Financial sector studies of scale and scope economies provide a unique set of challenges. There are issues with the kind of empirical tests utilised, the structure of the cost functions, the presence of particular optimal output levels, and the conduct of financial businesses while optimising. Serious empirical issues are presented by the data's constrained availability and conformity. Furthermore, any study's findings that identify economies of scale and/or scope in a sample of financial institutions may not necessarily be generalizable. Over the years, several similar studies have been conducted in the banking, insurance, and securities sectors.

The majority of these empirical studies are based on estimated cost functions, and almost all of them discovered that small banks' growth in size results in economies of scale. More recent research has shown that scale efficiencies could also be present in banks with $100 million to $5 billion in assets. Scale efficiencies in the case of banks bigger than $5 billion have so far mostly gone unproven. The 200 biggest banks in the world were studied, and it was shown that although there were some modest economies of scale among the banks examined, they did grow more slowly than the less major banks throughout the 1980s. The general opinion seems to be that differences in unit costs due to scale economies and diseconomies do not exceed 5%. Therefore, according to Exhibit 9.2, scale economies seem to have very little impact on shareholder value for the majority of universal banks. The majority of empirical studies have come to the conclusion that there are some diseconomies of scope that occur when companies in the financial services sector add new product ranges to their portfolios, despite the fact that most of them have also failed to find any supply-side economies of scope in the banking, insurance, or securities sectors. The 200 biggest banks in the world, for instance, showed negative supply-side economies of scope, meaning that as the product variety grows, unit costs seem to increase. Exhibit 9.4 demonstrates that scope economies in the majority of other cost analyses of the financial services sector are either insignificant or negative. However, throughout the time period studied by several of these studies, banks were quickly moving away from an exclusive emphasis on commercial banking, and it's possible that doing so resulted in significant expenditures as they broadened the scope of their operations. We might anticipate that any strong statistical evidence of diseconomies of scope between lending and non-lending activities would be reversed in future periods if this diversification effort involved significant sunk costs, which were undertaken to achieve future expansion of market share or increases in fee-based areas of activity and were listed as expenses on the accounting statements during the study period. Neutrality or beneficial economies of scope may emerge if the banks' investments in infrastructure, manpower, and training really provide returns that are comparable to their current costs. The current data is still not conclusive.

It is also acceptable to infer that certain demand-related scope economies could occur, but that they are probably highly specialised to the different service categories and clientele groups involved. For example, there may be significant cross-selling opportunities between banking, insurance, and asset-management products for retail and private customers. However, there may be no overlap at all between advising services for mergers and acquisitions and trade financing for large corporate customers. Therefore, it is obvious that demand-related scope economies are connected to a universal bank's particular strategic positioning across customers, products, and operational regions. In fact, one of the main goals of strategic positioning in universal banking is to connect market segments in a way that allows for maximum cross-selling opportunity exploitation, or what is sometimes referred to as "strategic integrity," as well as the design of organisational structures and incentives to ensure that such exploitation actually takes place. Regarding X-efficiency, a number of scholars have discovered stark differences in cost structures across banks of comparable size, indicating that management practises are more crucial than bank size or business focus. The majority of the difference between average unit costs in the banking industry and "best practise" firms producing the same volume and range of services appears to be attributable to operating economies rather than variations in the cost of funds, according to studies conducted in the United States.

According to Siems, anomalous equity returns in US bank mergers are inversely correlated with branch-office network overlap, but they are not correlated with growing concentration levels in the areas where the bank mergers took place. This shows that greater X-efficiency rather than lessened competition was more closely linked to increased shareholder value in the mid-1990s mega-mergers. Steinherr has evaluated the profit performance and earnings

fluctuation of segmented and universal financial institutions globally in the late 1980s, with a focus on X-efficiency in universal banking. However, universal banks were found to have lower costs and credit losses than segmented banks, which the author attributes to better client monitoring made possible by the advantage that universal banks may have over their segmented counterparts in terms of private information. Segmented and universal banks are found to have achieved roughly the same profit levels.

This finding may have a number of explanations, including the fact that Hausbank relationships, which in some nations are a significant component of universal banking, frequently convert bank debt to equity as part of credit workouts for non-financial clients who are in trouble, eliminating the necessity of realising the full extent of credit losses. Together, these analyses indicate relatively little room for cost-saving measures among big universal banks. If scope economies do exist, they are most likely to be discovered on the demand side and often apply quite differently to various clientele groups. The main factor that seems to be causing the observed disparities in cost levels amongst banks is X-efficiency. In contrast to a competitive landscape populated exclusively by 800-pound gorillas, it appears that there is room in financial systems for viable financial services firms that range from large to small and from universal to specialist in a rich mosaic of institutions [7], [8].

Conglomerate Discount

It is sometimes said that shares of multi-product companies and conglomerates typically sell for less than those of businesses with a narrower focus. This 'conglomerate discount' is allegedly present for two reasons. First, it is said that conglomerates generally use capital inefficiently. Recent empirical research by Berger and Ofek evaluates the possible advantages and disadvantages of diversity. The authors show that for a sample of US organisations between 1986 and 1991, there was an average value loss in multi-product enterprises of between 13 and 15% when compared to the stand-alone valuations of the component businesses. When the multi-product companies were engaged in closely related activities falling under the same two-digit standard industrial code categorization, the value loss was less severe. The authors primarily blame cross-subsidization and excessive investment in marginally viable businesses for the majority of the value loss in conglomerates. John and Ofek demonstrate empirically that asset sales by businesses result in considerably increased shareholder value for the remaining assets. This improvement is due to both a sharper focus on the business and value increases from high prices paid by asset purchasers. Such conclusions from event studies of several industries may very well also be applicable to the varied operations covered by universal banks.

One might wonder if conglomerate discounts similar to those found in industrial firms might not also apply to universal banking structures as centralised decision making becomes more and more irrelevant to the needs of the specific businesses themselves if retail banking and wholesale banking are developing into highly specialised performance-driven businesses. Investors in conglomerate shares may also have difficulty "taking a view" and incorporating pure sectoral exposures into their portfolios, which may contribute to the conglomerate discount. Investors in firms like General Electric, for instance, effectively own a closed-end mutual fund that engages in a number of activities, including the production of diesel locomotives, big home appliances, plastics, electrical generating and distribution equipment, financial services, and aviation engines.

In contrast to Rolls Royce, which is considerably more of a "pure play" in this area, GE therefore provides investors who may have a positive view of the aviation engine industry and would wish to reflect that view in their portfolio selection with a very bad alternative. In such conditions, it is also difficult to short the undesired components of GE in order to "purify" the selection of GE shares. As a result, investors often steer clear of such equities

when creating effective asset allocation profiles, particularly highly performance-driven institutional equity portfolio managers under pressure to beat equity indexes. A universal bank that engages in retail banking, wholesale commercial banking, middle-market banking, private banking, corporate finance, trading, investment banking, asset management, and possibly other businesses effectively represents a financial conglomerate that prevents investors from optimising asset allocation across specific financial service segments, according to the portfolio logic of the conglomerate discount. Both the portfolio-selection effect and the capital-misallocation effect could reduce investor demand for universal bank shares, drive down equity prices, and result in a higher cost of capital than would be the case if the conglomerate discount didn't exist. These effects would then have an impact on the enterprise's ability to compete and its profitability[9], [10].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the interaction between universal banking and the pursuit of shareholder profit is a complex issue that depends on financial institutions' capacity to strike a balance between a variety of income sources and responsible risk management. Although the diversified character of universal banking may open up opportunities for diversified, long-term shareholder returns, it also offers possible conflicts of interest that need to be carefully addressed. In order to mitigate these conflicts and guarantee that the interests of shareholders remain paramount, regulatory frameworks, strong governance procedures, and a long-term view are essential. The key to success in this project is the careful coordination of methods that maximise both short-term profits and the long-term value of shareholders' investments, therefore balancing the two apparently incompatible aspects of universal banking and shareholder value generation.

REFERENCES:

[1] I. Walter, “Universal banking: A shareholder value perspective,” *Eur. Manag. J.*, 1997, doi: 10.1016/S0263-2373(97)00015-7.

[2] I. Walter, “Universal Banking: A Shareholder Value Perspective,” *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2013, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.8445.

[3] K. O. Oladele, “The Determinants of Value Creation in the Nigerian Banking Industry: Panel Evidence,” *Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci.*, 2013.

[4] I. Walter, “Universal Banking: A Shareholder Value Perspective,” *Financ. Mark. Institutions Instruments*, 1997, doi: 10.1111/1468-0416.00017.

[5] I. Walter, “Universal banking and shareholder value: A contradiction?,” in *Handbook of International Banking*, 2003. doi: 10.4337/9781843765646.00017.

[6] I. van Lelyveld and K. Knot, “Do financial conglomerates create or destroy value? Evidence for the EU,” *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2009.06.007.

[7] J. M. Groeneveld, “Morality and integrity in cooperative banking,” *Ethical Perspectives*. 2011. doi: 10.2143/EP.18.4.2141846.

[8] D. Garabiol, “Le modèle de la banque mutualiste : la création de valeur au bénéfice des clients ?,” *Rev. d’économie Financ.*, 2012, doi: 10.3917/ecofi.106.0139.

[9] S. Rani and M. Sharma, “Does Bancassurance Improve the Efficiency of Banks? Evidence from Indian Banks,” *IUP J. Bank Manag.*, 2016.

[10] E. Boehmer, “Business Groups, Bank Control, and Large Shareholders: An Analysis of German Takeovers,” *J. Financ. Intermediation*, 2000, doi: 10.1006/jfin.2000.0281.

CHAPTER 10

TRADING IN FOREIGN EXCHANGE BY INTERNATIONAL BANKS

Vivek Anand Singh, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- vivekanand.ima@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This essay offers a thorough analysis of the function and importance of global banks in the foreign exchange (forex) market. It examines the complex operations of forex trading by global banks with an emphasis on the workings, tactics, and effects of their involvement. The paper explores the historical development of forex markets, the emergence of global banking goliaths, and their crucial influence on the contemporary forex scene. It examines the motivations for multinational banks' forex trading, such as financial gain, risk control, and liquidity provision. The article also examines the regulatory frameworks and difficulties posed by multinational banks' engagement in currency markets, highlighting the need of openness and efficient monitoring. We acquire understanding of how foreign banks have emerged as prominent actors in the forex market and the effects of their activities on the dynamics of exchange rates and global financial stability via a thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Foreign Exchange, International Banks, Market turnover, Trading.

INTRODUCTION

Foreign currency trade skyrocketed when the Bretton Woods system of fixed exchange rates was abandoned in 1973. The advancements were characterised by two tendencies. On the one hand, there was a sharp rise in the overall volume of transactions on the foreign currency market. Foreign currency market turnover has virtually quadrupled during the last 10 years. On the other side, there was a significant shift in the kind of trading instruments. Forward contract and currency swap trading both grew over proportionately. Forward and swap trades made up around 40% of all foreign currency transactions in 1989. Ten years later, these exchanges represent 60% of total foreign exchange volume. Spot transactions, or currency swaps that must be completed within two days, have decreased as a percentage of overall transactions, although they still make up the majority of transactions for currency pairings that don't include US dollars. But in the foreign currency market, international asset management and derivatives trading have become more significant. Foreign exchange market transactions often take the form of bilateral trade agreements between counterparties at predetermined rates. A professional dealer or market maker2 who offers bid and ask prices for a particular foreign currency transaction is often one of the parties. A trader who needs exchange right away, a liquidity trader, or another dealer who wants to settle an open position from a prior transaction might be on the opposite side of the deal. The majority of currency exchange trade only includes a modest selection of currencies and occurs in a small number of financial hubs3. Dealers from international banks and foreign funds with presence in these trading locations carry it out. While some banks use subsidiaries or outside agencies, others manage their own in-house foreign currency trading operations [1], [2].

Additional details on the traits of foreign exchange dealers are available from a recent study of foreign exchange dealers in the United States. In this survey, more than 50% of all responding dealers belonged to banks with foreign headquarters, and more than 30% of these institutions had annual revenues above $1 billion US. Menkhoff conducted a similar research on foreign exchange traders in Germany and discovered that 70% of them worked for banks and 30% for multinational funds. Automated order-matching systems are used to perform a growing amount of spot trading in the foreign exchange market. According to Cheung and Chinn, on average, electronic brokers were used in 47% of respondents' transactions, whereas just 17% used conventional brokers. The remaining transactions happened directly between banks. A significant portion of multinational banks' operations involves foreign currency trading. Only 36% of the analysed dealers' trade was directly tied to client business, whereas around 64% of their trading included interbank activity. Therefore, it would seem that throughout the last several decades, the impact of global banks on foreign currency trade has increased. As market makers in the foreign currency market, dealers are faced with a challenging issue. They must provide bid and ask prices for foreign currency contracts even if they have no idea how many market participants would accept their offer. Foreign exchange traders often initiate positions in foreign currency contracts that they must swiftly close, in contrast to long-term investors. The accuracy of their exchange rate estimates determines how profitable their deal will be. For foreign exchange traders, accurate exchange rate forecasting and sound trading methods are more crucial than for holders of equities and fixed-interest assets. Foreign exchange traders have included security analysis techniques into their operations by drawing on lessons learned in other asset markets.

Asset management has been affected by security analysis for many years. We may differentiate between fundamental analysis, which rests its predictions on projections of factors known to affect asset values, and technical analysis, which seeks to extract information about present and future prices from time series of previous prices. The efficient markets theory posed a challenge to security analysis at the same time as these methods were widely used in foreign currency trading after the mid-1970s switch to flexible exchange rates. When all systematic information about a market is already reflected in the market price, such market is said to be informationally efficient. The semi-strong version additionally takes into account recent information that is known to affect pricing, while the weak form simply takes into account information from the past. The foundation of technical analysis, knowledge gleaned from historical prices, is negated by the efficient markets theory in its weak version. The efficient markets hypothesis is incompatible with fundamental analysis in its semi-strong version. An unofficial appeal to the possibility of arbitrage serves as the foundation for the case for informationally efficient markets.

Dealers might profit indefinitely if they were able to glean knowledge from historical pricing. Grossmann and Stiglitz demonstrate in a formal model that this argument presumes the lack of information acquisition costs. Markets can't be informationally efficient if expenditures must be incurred to gather and process information. The efficient markets hypothesis has been broadly accepted in the academic community, at least as a benchmark instance, even if information costs cannot be completely disregarded in general. Ironic that the market makers responsible for setting these informationally effective pricing should need such accurate forecasts of the same prices. A contentious discussion regarding the "irrationality" of all security assessments has resulted from the academic disagreement. Malkiel states in his well-known book A Random Walk down Wall Street that technical techniques are often entertaining and consoling but have little genuine utility. We like criticising it. Our bullying behaviour is motivated by two factors: The technique is obviously wrong, and it's simple to criticise. Even while it can seem cruel to choose such deserving victims, keep in mind that we are attempting to save your money. Strong assertions like this that security analysis is useless contrast sharply with the common use of technical and fundamental analysis [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

The foreign currency market uses comparable trading procedures to other asset markets. Every time the real exchange rate passes certain threshold levels, trading choices are made based on predicting algorithms, enabling traders to either join or exit the market. When the exchange rate drops to a level below which they anticipate it will rise, dealers offer to purchase the currency, and when the rate rises to a level below which they anticipate it will reduce, dealers offer to sell the currency. Traders utilise past data on the exchange rate mechanism and knowledge of other factors that are believed to have an impact on the exchange rate to anticipate such important numbers. It is feasible to divide the approaches used to research the exchange rate process into two categories by using an analogy with security analysis in stock markets. Fundamentalists use their evaluations of 'fundamental' variables to predict future changes in exchange rates. Fundamental analysis is used in stock market analysis to forecast probable future earnings of the companies whose stocks are being examined. The "fundamentals" of the foreign exchange market are the macroeconomic factors that are purportedly in charge of setting the exchange rate. The two basic factors that are employed the most often are the variations in interest rates and the relative buying power of the different currencies. The former is based on the notion that a currency's buying power significantly affects its value, at least over the long term. Capital flows across nations are anticipated to be significantly impacted by differences in interest rates between nations. Fundamental analysts predict price levels and interest rate variations using data on macroeconomic and monetary policy issues. Currency rate analysts must depend on macroeconomic models of the foreign currency market, unlike stock market analysts who work with information on company and industry profitability. Technical traders, the second set of professionals, research historical data on exchange rate fluctuations. Their techniques vary from complex econometric time-series models to simple guidelines for spotting data patterns they expect to recur in the future. These traders are sometimes referred to as chartists since they search for patterns in the market. A few well-known technical analysis methods are covered in the paragraph that follows.

Technical Analysis

Technical analysis combines the processes of prediction and decision-making. Many books and manuals provide various trading recommendations based on the analysis of past prices. These manuals instruct traders on how to create indicators and choose wisely when to purchase and sell. Only a few typical strategies are covered in this chapter since there are many variations of the core concepts. Every trading rule derives patterns from historical price information that the analyst may utilise to predict future price movements. They often provide suggestions on when to buy and sell the item as well. Some of these techniques do the analysis via charts or diagrams, while others compute moving averages and other relevant indicators. We often distinguish between trend identification and pattern recognition procedures, which are based on explicit investigation of previous exchange rate movements, and momentum rules, which provide some prior value as a signal for buying and selling decisions.

Trend Recognition

Price ranges are established using methods for trend detection. Exchange rate thresholds that haven't been crossed or undercut in a while are identified, as are daily maximum and minimum values. By connecting these essential elements, we may create a range within which exchange rate movements should be kept to a minimum, at least in the short term. Such crucial lines are often provided by financial analysts who work for banks or other companies. Figure 10.1 is an example of one such chart. A period might be anything from an hour to a day or even longer. The magnitude of the exchange rate deviation during a certain

time is shown by vertical lines. The pivotal lines, which are often referred to as resistance or support lines, describe a range in which the exchange rate is most likely to fluctuate. When the currency rate crosses the limitations of these trend lines, it will be interpreted as a buy or sell signal. Consider the case when the exchange rate crosses the buy signal for foreign currency resistance line from below. If the price deviates below the support line, a similar recommendation to sell is provided. For instance, Curcio et al. investigate hourly exchange rate fluctuations using Reuters' online resistance and support lines [5], [6].

Moving averages

Another method is built on moving averages. For this, moving averages of a certain length, such as 60, 90, or 200 days, are computed. The moving average is designed to identify patterns after unpredictably large short-term fluctuations have been removed. The moving average is again compared to real changes in the currency rate on a chart. A shift in the market position is anticipated each time the actual exchange rate crosses the moving average. For example, if the exchange rate passes through the moving average curve from below, buying the currency in question is recommended; selling the currency is advised as soon as the actual exchange rate falls below the moving average curve. These important levels are not always used by traders. To avoid making too many position modifications, the rule may be adjusted such that a position is updated only when the real exchange rate falls below the moving average by a certain amount.

Fundamental Analysis

intrinsic analysis makes an effort to anticipate asset values by looking at the factors influencing an asset's intrinsic worth. The cash flow a stock generates after being appropriately discounted determines its market value. Therefore, fundamental analysts focus on the earning potential and risk characteristics of organisations in order to anticipate stock prices. In actuality, macroeconomic data like inflation, unemployment, trade deficits, and monetary and fiscal policy indicators serve as the foundation for fundamental analysis of exchange rates. The precise relationship between this data and the currency rate isn't always obvious. Open economy macroeconomic models of the exchange rate may have an impact on the indicators chosen, and the regular availability of this data may provide even another argument for focusing on such variables. Although there exist explicit formal models of the exchange rate, they are seldom used in practise.

The two formal models that are most often employed are the interest parity theory and the purchasing power parity theory. Both are predicated on the idea of arbitrage. Purchasing power parity makes use of the law of one price, assuming that tradable goods and services in one nation are essentially the same as those in another. The ratio of the price indices of these two economies must finally equal the rates of exchange of their respective currencies for profitable arbitrage to be possible. The interest parity hypothesis states that the rates of return on all assets worldwide must be equal. According to the idea of uncovered interest parity, domestic investors who can earn the domestic interest rate on an investment made in their home country must also be able to obtain the same return on investment from a foreign venture after taking into account expected changes in the exchange rate. The return on the overseas investment is equal to the foreign interest rate plus the projected rate of change in the exchange rate. As a result, interest parity determines the anticipated rate of change of the exchange rate. Economists easily admit that these theories need to be sufficiently altered before they can be applied to actual data since they ignore transaction costs in international trade and investment. For PPP and uncovered interest parity to hold, decision-makers must also be risk-neutral. Since risk neutrality is a key presumption, the real application of these two techniques is thus questioned. Few economic theories, however, have gone through such in-depth empirical testing. Despite these efforts, there is still a lot of disagreement about how

much these concepts add to our knowledge and ability to predict changes in exchange rates. A special issue of the Economic Journal is dedicated to the subject of "Controversy: Exchange Rates and Fundamentals." According to Dixon, who acknowledges this in the introductory piece, the applicability of traditional macroeconomic considerations in understanding exchange rate movements has been a disputed topic. A model that Flood and Rose provide in the same issue that is based on uncovered interest parity seems to do a little bit better. The presentations at this symposium, however, do not substantially support Meese and Rogoff's hypothesis that fundamental analysis would provide a more thorough explanation of exchange rate fluctuations than a random walk model [7], [8].

Profitability of Technical Analysis

Given the mistrust of academic economists, empirical examination of the profitability of technical trading rules seems to be a logical response. Since technical analysis was first developed to deal with financial assets other than exchange rates, tests were initially conducted using stock market data. Alexander made the case in 1961 that, after accounting for transaction costs, applying technical trading methods would not provide noticeably higher profits. This point of view has gained popular despite the fact that traders and fund managers are utilising technical analysis more often. In the 1980s, a number of articles revealed profitable charting techniques in numerous asset markets, notably in the futures markets. Mills recently evaluated a moving average and a trading range rule for the London Stock Exchange using daily data from 1935 to 1994. The profitability of these principles may be calculated for the sample period and any subsample periods before 1980. But from 1980 to 1994, profitability declines, and a buy-and-hold strategy would have generated a higher return. Sweeny was the first to demonstrate the viability of technical trading guidelines for the forex market; Taylor subsequently confirmed this conclusion. More recently, Curcio et al. examined a variety of technical trading techniques in the foreign exchange market using hourly data. In contrast to past study, these authors strictly implemented the rule that traders must close their positions at the end of a trading day. In surveys, traders said that they employed technical analysis mostly for short-term views, which was used to support this limitation. Even after taking transaction costs into account, Curcio et al. could not find any evidence to justify the profitability of the rules they investigated. Chang and Osler investigate the head-and-shoulders pattern for currency trading using daily data from 1973 to 1994. The authors go to considerable lengths to follow the recommendations of well-known trader manuals in order to effectively identify buying and selling signals.

They find that although the British pound, Swiss franc, French franc, and Canadian dollar do not benefit from the head-and-shoulders pattern, the German mark and the Japanese yen do. Chang and Osler arrive to the conclusion that the profitability of this rule must be acknowledged despite the fact that trading in all of these currencies produced a sizeable net profit. This concise examination of empirical research shows that there is contradictory evidence in favour of the viability of technical trading strategies. If a trader using a technical trading technique provides a return that is bigger by more than the transaction costs than the return from buying the currency and keeping it at the current interest rate, it is often considered to be profitable. Most studies do this in order to compare the excess return of the trading strategy to the return of a buy-and-hold strategy. Even though this approach seems straightforward and elementary, there are major differences in how profitability is determined in the literature. Profitability, for example, is described by Chang and Osler as "the cumulative percent change in the exchange rate between entry and exit, with the sign adjusted to reflect whether the simulated speculator was long or short." There is no comparison of any kind of return from a reference activity. By contrasting the average return from the trading rule with the average return from the exchange rate for the relevant time, Curcio et al. provide a contrast to this. Although interest differentials are not taken into consideration, when

employed in the context of hourly trading, their method may be likened to a buy-and-hold strategy [9], [10].

Trading Strategies and Economic Theory

Even while a variety of basic elements also play a role, the findings of questionnaire studies of foreign exchange market dealers in several countries consistently show the importance of technical analysis for their trading decisions. their market players seem to be quite confused about the factors influencing the exchange rate over both short and long time horizons, based on the range of their responses. Although speculative trading has a significant short-term impact on currency rates, a stylized portrayal of the data from these surveys reveals a sense that fundamental factors have a greater long-term impact. These surveys show that market participants do not hold the efficient markets hypothesis, which contends that all information is immediately reflected in the exchange rate, to be true. Despite their apparent conviction that generally available information about macroeconomic variables will be incorporated in the exchange rate very quickly, in fact within less than a minute, it is not thought that the same is true of other types of information not based on commonly observable variables. Particularly, the speculative activities of market players are covered by the missing data. Short-term traders claim that making money comes from imitating successful traders. Theoretical research by Levin, Flood, and Marion as well as Menkhoff's questionnaire study both lend credence to the concept that technical analysis may function as a mechanism for coordinating traders' opinions and producing self-fulfilling prophecies. Speculative trading, which is defined as trading done only for the goal of taking advantage of arbitrage opportunities, may be evaluated using two opposing criteria. Friedman contends that speculation is a vital instrument for obviating arbitrage opportunities and expediting the process of reestablishing equilibrium. Contrarily, it may be said that speculative activity creates its own momentum at the expense of non-speculative traders. Among those who agree with this viewpoint are Stein, Flood, and Marion. In a recent article, Carlson and Osler provide an interesting justification of these many theories of speculation. They allow for arbitrary shocks that change the foreign exchange market's equilibrium. Increased speculation lessens the effect of these shocks on equilibrium prices, so enhancing market stability, lowering volatility, and assisting in the return to equilibrium. But when there are more speculators involved, the effect of such shocks on the exchange rate is increased, which makes speculation unstable and increases volatility. Carlson and Osler isolate these effects into a simple foreign exchange trading model. The excess demand for foreign currency becomes more elastic due to speculation, which makes it less volatile in response to supply and demand shocks originating on the basic side of the economy. This article gives a slightly modified version of their model to illustrate this point. Should speculators' decisions be affected by shocks [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it is important to note that multinational banks have a significant impact on the world foreign currency market. Profit-oriented considerations, risk-management techniques, and the vital role of supplying liquidity all motivate their engagement. These financial behemoths have developed through time to become major actors, influencing the dynamics of currency rates and the entire forex environment. However, their engagement also presents substantial difficulties, such as the need for efficient regulatory monitoring to guarantee stability and transparency. International banks' position is a crucial topic of discussion in debates about international finance and monetary policy as they continue to negotiate the complex world of currency trading. As a result, their decisions will have a significant impact on the stability and efficiency of the global financial system.

REFERENCES:

[1] Y. W. Cheung and M. S. Yiu, "Offshore renminbi trading: Findings from the 2013 Triennial Central Bank Survey," *Int. Econ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.inteco.2017.09.001.

[2] T. C. Wong, J. Wong, and P. Leung, "The foreign exchange exposure of Chinese banks," *China Econ. Rev.*, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.chieco.2009.03.003.

[3] P. B. Kenen, "Currency internationalisation: An overview," *BIS Pap.*, 2011.

[4] Y. Huang and R. Bailis, "Foreign policy 'trilemmas': understanding China's stance on international cap-and-trade," *Clim. Policy*, 2015, doi: 10.1080/14693062.2014.941319.

[5] S. Benoit, C. Hurlin, and C. Perignon, "Implied Risk Exposures," *Review of Finance*. 2015. doi: 10.1093/rof/rfu050.

[6] R. W. Staiger and A. O. Sykes, "'Currency manipulation' and world trade," *World Trade Rev.*, 2010, doi: 10.1017/S1474745610000340.

[7] M. K. A. Shah, Z. Hyder, and M. K. Pervaiz, "Central bank intervention and exchange rate volatility in Pakistan: An analysis using GARCH-X model," *Appl. Financ. Econ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1080/09603100902967553.

[8] T. Verheyden, L. De Moor, and F. Van Den Bossche, "Research in International Business and Finance," *Res. Int. Bus. Financ.*, 2015.

[9] D. He and X. Yu, "Network effects in currency internationalisation: Insights from BIS triennial surveys and implications for the renminbi," *J. Int. Money Financ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jimonfin.2016.07.009.

[10] A. V. Contreras *et al.*, "ENMX: An elastic network model to predict the FOREX market evolution," *Simul. Model. Pract. Theory*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.simpat.2018.04.008.

[11] V. S. Svirskyi and O. Y. Melykh, "Monetary mechanisms for managing competitiveness of national economy: currency wars," *Mark. Manag. Innov.*, 2017, doi: 10.21272/mmi.2017.4-29.

[12] Z. Huang, "The central bank and speculators in the foreign exchange market under asymmetric information: A strategic approach and evidence," *J. Econ. Bus.*, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.jeconbus.2005.11.001.

CHAPTER 11

THE ADMINISTRATION AND FUNDING OF GLOBAL TRADE

Vipin Jain, Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- vipin555@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The settlement and finance processes supporting global trade transactions are thoroughly examined in this article. It explores the complex procedures, tools, and frameworks that make cross-border trade in commodities and services possible. The research looks at the different payment methods used in international commerce, from conventional open account and letters of credit arrangements to cutting-edge digital payment options. Additionally, it examines the function of financial institutions like banks in supplying trade financing and reducing risks for importers and exporters. The article also examines the changing nature of trade finance, including how globalisation, technology breakthroughs, and regulatory changes have affected it. We learn about the innovations and complexity involved in the settlement and financing of international commerce via a thorough investigation, emphasising its crucial role in promoting connectivity and global economic progress.

KEYWORDS:
Administration, Funding, financial institutions, Global Trade.

INTRODUCTION

The operations of exporters and importers, the exchange of products and services, international payments and exchange rates, and the function of international banking and finance are only a few of the topics covered by international trade activities. Exporters and importers often persuade their banks to secure for them the most affordable means of settlement and payment. The best financial instruments that may be utilised to fund international commerce are often determined from the available financial markets by banks and other financial institutions. Therefore, it is straightforward to define export finance as a method of funding export sales. Based on these considerations, international banking may be seen as include financing of international commerce. The provision of bank credit facilities to a firm to satisfy its borrowing requirements in connection with its international trade activity is often referred to as international trade financing. The funding gap between any credit supplied in the trade contract and the need to finance stock and debtors, for instance, may be filled using international trade financing approaches. Historically, overdraft facilities have been used by commercial banks in the UK to fill this financing shortfall. Furthermore, it is widely recognised that conventional internal working capital financing often still provides clients engaged in international commerce with a completely suitable answer.

The extra assurance that banks may get via transactional control, and therefore the capacity of the banks to issue loan facilities where they would not otherwise be able to, is a key benefit of trade finance products and procedures. Structured loans are the predominant method of financing international commerce, and they provide a clear picture of any possible risk areas. Structured loans for trade financing often have rolling limits and maturity dates that are coordinated with the borrower's cash flow from sales of products, providing the banks with a number of benefits. The bank may exert transactional control and reduce risks by using trade finance instruments (such as documentary collections, letters of credit, and so on). Credit facilities are better aligned to the customer's trade cycle and transactional needs.

For instance, the sale of the underlying items is more closely correlated with repayment. Any repayment delay serves as an early indicator of cash issues. Banks' capacity to manage risk is enhanced by structured facilities since they improve the quality of account information. A previous security interest in the funded items may exist in certain cases, allowing banks to sell the underlying assets. The ability of banks to get higher levels of assurance via trade finance approaches has a beneficial impact on their readiness to provide credit facilities to clients engaged in international commerce. As a result, clients in the UK benefit from the usage of trade financing products by commercial banks in a variety of ways. First off, banks can be willing to provide trade financing facilities even if the customer's regular credit options are completely used or the amount of limitations asked are not supported by the customer's balance sheet. Second, the client may assess the profitability of particular transactions, including financial charges, thanks to facilities designed specifically for them [1], [2].

Third, in acknowledgment of the enhanced transactional control and reduced risk profile, banks may be willing to give a lesser profit for the client with a high credit standing and balance sheet than on a typical overdraft. The inverse connection between the risk encountered by the importer and the risk faced by the exporter serves as the operational framework that connects all of the components of international trade finance in this chapter. We must keep in mind that importers and exporters naturally evaluate risk in global commerce from different perspectives in order to fully grasp this framework. An exporter's high level of risk is always there when an importer finds a payment arrangement to be completely satisfying, and vice versa. This inverse connection may be visualised as a risk ladder where, as you go up the ladder, the risks for the importer progressively grow while those for the exporter gradually diminish. Typically, two key factors will determine the payment method. Before the sales contract is signed, there are first the talks between the exporter and importer. An importer who is determined to buy products from a certain supplier (perhaps owing to quality or cost) may not have much of a choice but to accede to the exporter's request for a specific kind of payment method. Second, it's crucial to consider how business is conducted in the concerned nations. Open account commerce, for instance, is customary in trade between the UK, the EU, and North America, but documentary credits are often used in trade between the UK, the Middle East, and several Asian nations.

The exporter or importer should keep in mind while negotiating the form of payment that his or her choice will impact both the risk of payment and the alternative trade finance arrangements accessible. The numerous settlement techniques often employed in short-term (where the settlement is done normally within a maximum 12-month period) international commerce are the main topic of this chapter, which focuses on the financing of international trade. The effectiveness of these strategies is then assessed from both the exporter's and importer's perspectives. The longer-term international trade settlement procedures and frameworks are beyond the purview of this chapter. Governmental entities or significant guarantor agencies are often involved in funding methods for medium- to long-term international commerce. The remaining portion of the chapter is divided into four pieces in what follows. The primary conditions of payment and settlement under open account trading are examined. Documentary collections are covered in Section 3. Section 4 discusses two major forms of financing: recourse loans against collections and non-recourse financing. The major categories of documentary credits are examined in Section 5. The summary and conclusion are presented [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

In open account trading, the payment between the exporter and the importer is enforced without the involvement of a bank in the settlement of trade finance. The importer and exporter must have perfect confidence in order for this kind of trade finance to work. Therefore, under these conditions of payment, the importer receives the papers of title (such as bills of lading). When the importer signs off on the payments, he or she is basically

promising to pay after a certain credit term. The legal system and political system give the safeguards to guarantee that the exporter and importer preserve their half of the transactions, hence this mode of settlement is frequently utilised in commerce between the UK, North America, and Europe. A simple invoice might be utilised as the settlement document. This option is the best from the importer's perspective since it avoids the expensive bank fees connected with other settlement methods. Banks might be utilised to offer short-term financing or other conventional working capital facilities, not necessarily to guarantee that both parties would satisfy their settlement responsibilities. However, if the exporter and the importer do not already have a mutually beneficial relationship, open account trade might be troublesome and dangerous. The exporter losing control of the products is the biggest danger. Therefore, it is advised that the conditions should be used in situations when the connection and trust between the exporter and the importer are solid.

Documentary Collections Introduction

A creditor (often an exporter) in one nation may get money from a debtor (typically an importer) in another country via the collection service offered by a bank. The International Chamber of Commerce has produced standard international regulations covering the function and duties of banks in collections. The Uniform Rules for Collection (URC) are these. All UK banks and the majority of banks globally have embraced the URC, which is widely recognised. When a bank organises a collection on a customer's behalf, it typically handles two sorts of documents. Financial papers, including a bill of exchange, promissory note, and others, make up the first category. A bill of lading, invoices, insurance policies, and maybe additional papers like a certificate of inspection or certification of origin are included in the second category of significant business documents. A collection is referred to be a documentary collection if both commercial and financial records are contained, as opposed to a clean collection, which solely includes financial documents. Banks in the UK may be divided into two categories for their collecting services: export/outward collections and import/inward collections. In the former, the bank agrees to pay an overseas party for financial or commercial papers on behalf of a UK exporter. The exporter could or might not be a client of a UK commercial bank. In the latter, the bank works with a correspondent bank overseas to help a foreign supplier get payment on a bill of exchange, promissory note or check from a UK importer. It is important to remember that the UK debtor may or may not be a client of a UK commercial bank. There are four conventional parties to a collection. The principle is the first party. In order to collect money from a debtor in the UK, either the client, the UK exporter, who entrusts an outward collection to commercial banks in the UK, or a foreign supplier, who entrusts the collection to a bank in his or her home country, are involved. The remitting bank is the second party. Documents from the bank are submitted here. The collecting bank is the third party; typically, it is a correspondent bank of the remitting bank or the bank that the principle has indicated in his or her instructions to the remitting bank. The presenting bank, which delivers the paperwork to the debtor for acceptance and payment, is also engaged in this. The presenting bank and the collecting bank are often the same bank. The debtor, who is often the importer, is the fourth party. In general, an exporter bank client and a foreign correspondent bank working on behalf of an exporter in its home nation are the two primary sources from which commercial banks in the UK normally get orders to manage collections.

Collection Process (Outward Collections):

Exporters This section provides a quick explanation of how the exporter's side of the collecting process really works. In the beginning, the client (the exporter) bargains a business agreement with a foreign buyer and exports his or her products. The exporter then gives his or her bank in the UK (i.e., the remitting bank) copies of their financial and commercial paperwork. The remitting bank does a prima facie review of papers to make sure that

everything seems to be in order, even though it is not required by law to do so. Documents are sent from the remitting bank to the collecting bank. Following receipt, the collecting bank follows the remitting bank's instructions. The collecting bank arranges for the importer to examine the paperwork while handling a documentation collection. Although the practise differs from bank to bank, this should technically be done on the bank's property. The collecting bank distributes the papers upon payment, acceptance of the bill, or issuance of a promissory note if the importer believes they are in order. When performing a clean collection, the collecting bank either gets the debtor to pay up front or gets his or her consent to do so later. The bill will stay in the collecting bank's custody outside of the country between the date of acceptance and the maturity date. When the invoice is paid, the collecting bank should immediately transfer the funds to the remitting bank (minus any applicable fees). The remitting bank then funds the exporter's account with the profits (again minus any relevant fees).

Documents against payment versus documents against acceptance

A bill of exchange should always be settled by the importer according to the instructions provided by the exporter. There are two appropriate methods to do this. Documents against payment (D/P) is the first. This indicates that the importer must pay the amount in full on sight. When the importer has paid the bill, the collecting bank releases the shipment paperwork. Documents against acceptance (D/A) are the second. This indicates that the exporter is granting the importer credit conditions. The "term" of the bill, commonly referred to as "usance," is the duration of the credit. The importer/drawee must accept the bill by signing it as a commitment to pay it at a specified future date. The importer may take the necessary paperwork and clear his or her products when the bill has been signed by means of acceptance. The collecting bank will then keep the bill of exchange until it matures and offer it once again for payment by the drawee at that time. Typically, until the importer makes payment, the exporter retains possession of the goods under the D/P conditions (via the presenting bank). If the importer is unable to pay or will not pay, the exporter may contest the invoice, take the importer to court (which may be costly and difficult to manage from afar), locate another buyer, or arrange for the auction sale of the items [5], [6].

Advantages of collections for the exporter

Documentary collections offer a method of settlement in international trade that, like documentary credits, offers a middle ground between trading on open account (which benefits the importer, who typically pays after the goods are received) and payment in advance (which benefits the exporter, who receives payment before the goods are shipped). The risks for both the exporter and the importer are reduced by employing banks as middlemen to collect payment from the importer for items that the exporter has already supplied, as well as the delay between the exporter receiving cash and the importer receiving goods. When dealing with documentary collections, the exporter may keep possession of the items up until the customer approves the invoice. For the exporter, the latter arrangement is more secure. By securing an advance on the bill's security, the client (the exporter) may be able to acquire capital against the collection. Having access to bank financing gives the exporter more freedom when negotiating the conditions of payment with foreign clients. If commerce was conducted on open account conditions, the collecting bank may have more influence over the foreign debtor and be better equipped to get payment. Collections are more affordable than documentary credits, which do provide a more secure way to collect money from international buyers. Both a promissory note and a bill of exchange, which are employed in documentary collections, are legally enforceable after they have been issued and handed to the drawee. Therefore, accepted term bills and promissory notes provide the exporter some type of security while also granting the buyer a period of credit. For the following reasons, document collections, as opposed to open account trading, hasten the

transfer of monies to the exporter. The collecting bank has a duty to promptly deliver all required paperwork, as well as to present an acceptable bill for payment no later than the due date. The collection bank makes sure that the buyer only receives the papers after accepting or paying the invoices. In most cases, this will motivate the importer to pay or accept the bill in a timely manner in order to get the products. If it is indicated in the collection order, the collecting bank may send the exporter an expedited transfer of the profits once payment has been received. One significant benefit of documentary collection is that the exporter, who is the customer, may retain ownership of the items until the overseas buyer has either paid for them or accepted the bill of exchange (less one original). Due to the fact that the bill of lading is a document of title, the collecting bank has constructive control over title to the goods on behalf of its customer so long as a full set of originals are held by the bank until the foreign buyer pays for the goods, accepts a bill of exchange, or issues a promissory note. The debtor must adhere to the exporter's conditions as outlined in the collection order before the collecting bank may release this title. The issue is different if a waybill (for instance, an aviation waybill) is included in the commercial papers of a collection rather than a bill of lading since a waybill is not a document of title. With the assistance of the collecting bank, the exporter may still maintain control over the products by consigning them to that bank or to its order, that is, by designating the bank in the buyer's nation as the consignee of the goods. Thus, with the overseas collecting bank's approval, the items may be transferred to their destination into its custody. The products won't be released until the foreign buyer pays for them or accepts a bill of exchange, at which point the collecting bank will release them. Only if the bank has agreed in advance to be the consignee could goods be consigned to the bank [7], [8].

Possible disadvantages of collections for the exporter

There are four basic drawbacks of collecting for the exporter in general. First, the foreign buyer could not want to pay or might accept a bill only after seeing the paperwork. Therefore, the exporter must choose whether to discard the products, set up storage, or reship them. Second, it is possible for document remittance and collection times to be somewhat sluggish (i.e., there may be a delay while the importer reviews the papers and provides the required payment instructions), and an exporter may need to wait for the resulting money. Delaying the presenting of documentation for payment or acceptance until the products have arrived is customary in several nations, which adds to the wait. The exporter is responsible for paying any expenses associated with delays or obstacles, such as having to store goods at the port of destination until the buyer accepts delivery. Third, by using term bills, the exporter may provide the importer credit terms (i.e., a buyer credit mechanism to encourage a sale). Even while the exporter may be able to get financing from his or her own bank against the collection, doing so will still cost the exporter money unless the cost is included in the price of the commercial sales contract with the buyer. Fourth, any costs incurred by a collecting bank in connection with protesting a bill are passed along to the exporter. As a result, the exporter should instruct the bank in his or her collection order to only protest if the likely benefits outweigh the costs (for example, the value of the goods compared to an alternative sale value, the solvency of the buyer, and so forth).

Documentary Credits Introduction

A letter of credit (L/C), commonly referred to as a documentary credit, is a written commitment made by a bank on behalf of a buyer or importer to pay a seller a certain amount of money within a certain period of time, provided that the seller delivers papers precisely in line with the L/C's provisions. Given that revocable L/Cs might be amended or even cancelled by the importer without giving the exporter prior notice, it is appropriate to presume that banks exclusively deal with irrevocable L/Cs. Revocable L/Cs are thus relatively uncommon since they do not adequately ensure payment to the exporter. Up until

the exporter receives money, this privilege may be utilised whenever it suits the user. As a result, the exporter faces a high risk that a revocable L/C may be revoked after the items have been made and dispatched. The issue of getting money directly from the customer would then be presented to the exporter. Once granted, an irreversible L/C cannot be changed or revoked without the beneficiary (the exporter)'s prior consent. Because the issuing bank and the confirming bank continue to guarantee payment to the exporter even if the importer changes his or her mind, an irreversible L/C provides the exporter with additional protection.

The buyer/importer can specify the precise documentation that the seller must provide in order to be paid, and an irrevocable L/C can be a very efficient way to settle with foreign parties. It also offers extra security for both the importer and the exporter: the exporter receives an assurance from the buyer's bank that he or she will be paid, assuming that documents are submitted strictly in accordance with the L/C. The L/C also specifies an expiration date and a deadline for shipping to encourage the seller to send out the products and paperwork as soon as possible. The importer/buyer, also known as the applicant, and the exporter execute a sales agreement that calls for L/C payment. The bank of the importer is given instructions to issue an L/C in the exporter's favour, including all of the L/C's terms and conditions as well as the specifics of the papers that are needed. The L/C is issued by the buyer's bank, often referred to as the issuing or opening bank, to a bank in the exporter's nation, which is typically the exporter's own bank and is referred to as the advising bank.

The advising bank notifies the exporter of all the conditions and paperwork needed and verifies the validity of the L/C. Without making any promise to pay the exporter, the advising bank may agree to handle the L/C. The advising bank, on the other hand, may be asked by the issuing bank to add its confirmation to the L/C, which entails that the latter bank adds its own conditional guarantee of payment to the one previously supplied by the issuing bank. The confirming bank in this scenario is the exporter's bank. The advising/confirming bank notifies the exporter/supplier, who is also known as the beneficiary of the L/C, that an L/C has been created in his/her favour with all the conditions and documentation needed to receive payment. Once the products have been delivered, the exporter sends the necessary paperwork to the advising/confirming bank or, on rare occasions, to a bank known as a nominated bank that has agreed to act as the exporter's representative in the L/C negotiation in order to collect payment. The bank carefully examines the papers it receives to make sure they perfectly match what is stated in the L/C. Transport papers, such as a complete set of bills of lading, copies of the invoice, a certificate of insurance (when the exporter is responsible for paying the insurance), and a bill of exchange drawn on the issuing bank or the advising bank are often included in the documentation. The exporter will be paid if the documentation are deemed to be in good order. If the issuing bank finds the documentation to be in order, the advising/confirming or designated bank then sends the documents to it and gets payment either at sight (instant payment) or term (if a credit period is provided). The issuing bank then releases the paperwork to the importer in exchange for payment from the importer [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

As the lifeblood of cross-border trade, the settlement and financing of international trade are essential elements of the global economic system. The complex systems and tools used, from conventional letters of credit to digital payment platforms, enable the frictionless exchange of goods and services across international borders. Banks in particular play a crucial role in controlling risks related with trade financing and maintaining the seamless flow of transactions for exporters and importers. The environment of trade finance continues to develop as the globe becomes more digitally linked and networked, with globalisation, technology advancements, and regulatory changes influencing its course. This development emphasises the ongoing significance of effective and secure international trade settlement and

finance processes in encouraging economic growth, expanding global trade, and bolstering international economic connections.

REFERENCES:

[1] G. Kripke, "GFT - Food fight: What the debate about food security means at the WTO," *Can. Food Stud. / La Rev. Can. des études sur l'alimentation*, 2015, doi: 10.15353/cfs-rcea.v2i2.118.

[2] M. Dabbous, C. Rémuzat, C. Dussart, and M. Toumi, "PCP33 - COULD THE US ADMINISTRATION IMPOSE DRUG PRICE RAISES IN THE EUROPEAN UNION (EU)?," *Value Heal.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2018.09.508.

[3] R. Sharp and U. R. Sumaila, "Quantification of U.S. Marine Fisheries Subsidies," *North Am. J. Fish. Manag.*, 2009, doi: 10.1577/m07-170.1.

[4] J. A. Hedlund and R. D. Atkinson, "The Rise of the New Mercantilists: Unfair Trade Practices in the Innovation Economy," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2011, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1004514.

[5] J. McGee and R. Taplin, "The role of the Asia Pacific Partnership in discursive contestation of the international climate regime," *Int. Environ. Agreements Polit. Law Econ.*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10784-009-9101-2.

[6] C. Brzozowski, "The Department of Homeland Security's Role in Protecting the National Economy," *Homel. Secur. Aff.*, 2017.

[7] M. Wein, "Time for a global mercantilist index," *Int. Econ.*, 2014.

[8] C. L. Whitehair and M. G. Wolfe, "Near-term U.S. military and commercial launch systems. A post cold war assessment of future needs," *Acta Astronaut.*, 1994, doi: 10.1016/0094-5765(94)90268-2.

[9] R. M. Nelson and M. A. Weiss, "IMF reforms: Issues for Congress," in *International Financial Reform: Implementation and Implication*, 2014.

[10] A. L. Fernandes-Alcantara, "Vulnerable youth: Employment and job training programs," in *Responding to Employment Challenges of At-Risk Youth: Federal Programs and an Advancement Framework*, 2015.

CHAPTER 12

COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL BANKING CRISES

Sumit Kumar, Assistant Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- sumit888@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The worldwide banking crises are examined in-depth in this essay, along with their origins, effects, and mitigation strategies. The research goes into the historical background of global financial crises, looking at prominent examples and their underlying causes. It assesses the economic, financial, and social repercussions of such crises, highlighting their profound implications on a national and international level. The article also looks at how to avoid and handle financial crises via the use of regulatory frameworks, international cooperation, and crisis management techniques. We get insights into the intricacy of global banking crises, their systemic hazards, and the need of proactive actions to improve financial stability, protect depositors, and stop economic contagion via a thorough examination.

KEYWORDS:
Crises, Financial Stability, Financial Issues, International banking.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, there has been an increase in global competitiveness in numerous sectors. Financial and banking services are also included. In the industrial countries in the late 1970s and early 1980s and in many developing countries afterward, rapidly declining transaction costs as a result of technological innovation and the removal of capital controls have combined to significantly increase the volume of short-term international financial flows. Today's global markets for core investment and commercial banking services for example, syndicated lending or the underwriting of bond and stock issues are concentrated on the main global financial hubs. Many of the biggest banks in the world are focusing on cross-border market entry and cross-border acquisition as a way to boost sales of services like corporate banking and goods like mortgages and credit cards. In addition, the 'internet revolution' is having an influence on many facets of banking and is expected to hasten the trend towards internationalisation of banking. New questions concerning financial stability are being brought up by this globalisation. Could financial issues, potentially coming from a remote region of the world distant from the main financial centres, cause serious solvency issues for the world's banks and endanger the operation of the global financial markets? This chapter's goal is to investigate if rising risks of a similar worldwide financial catastrophe are indeed brought on by globalisation of banking. To address this problem, it is first necessary to define what a financial crisis and, in particular, an international banking crisis are.

It is desirable to limit the use of the term "banking crisis" to situations when widespread bank failure endangers the stability of the banking system and the smooth functioning of the payments system, where we address the theory and history of banking crises. Thus, the intensity and influence on the operation of the financial system as a whole that separate banking crises from other financial issues. Financial setbacks, asset price collapses, or even a string of failed banks, may not always indicate a financial crisis. We only experience a banking crisis when the stability of the financial system is in jeopardy, and only then is there a clear need for intervention to save failing banks. Even in recent years, when the rate of bank failures has increased significantly in contrast to the early post-war decades, banking crises,

as described above, have, at least in industrialised nations, been relatively uncommon events. By this criteria, the only wealthy nations to have recently faced a domestic financial crisis were Spain in the early 1980s, Scandinavia, and maybe even Japan in the 1990s. Emerging market countries have had more banking crises, including the well-known issues in Venezuela, Mexico, and Thailand. It is reasonable and generally recognised to define a financial crisis in this rigorous manner
. What then do we mean when we talk about a global financial crisis?1 Based on this concept of a banking crisis, a scenario where financial issues spread worldwide and cause banking crises in several nations is a logical description. Even while such an event would be more of a domestic than an international worry, we might still label it as an international banking crisis when financial troubles spread internationally and cause a banking crisis in a single nation. International financial crises have been very unusual, much more so than domestic banking crises, according to any of these criteria. In fact, it seems impossible to cite even a single instance of a true international banking crisis ever occurring. The past shows a number of close calls or not so close calls episodes when losses or liquidity issues on overseas exposures seemed, at the time at least, to pose a danger to domestic banking crises in other nations. The collapse of Credit Anstalt in the 1930s, the Herstatt disaster in 1974, the losses on sovereign financing in the early 1980s, and most recently issues in developing markets in 1997 and 1998 are some examples of these incidents.2 The fall of Bretton Woods, issues with the European Exchange Rate Mechanism in 1992 and 1993, and stock market crashes in 1929 and 1987, among other significant international financial issues, did not, however, pose a danger to start banking crises in other nations. So this is what we mean when we talk about a global financial crisis. We respond to our main query whether or not the dangers of a global financial crisis are raised by globalisation of the banking industry in a historical and comparative manner. The theory and history of banking crises are examined. The third section examines the causes and spread of financial crises, explores how they spread internationally, and determines if the chance of a worldwide banking crisis has grown or decreased recently. Finally, Section 4 examines and rejects the argument for a global lender of last resort to address a potential global financial crisis. It summarises and closes [1], [2].

DISCUSSION

The history and theory of banking crises evolved concurrently and in close proximity to one another. It is likely that this was due to the theory's development in the nineteenth century, which was heavily influenced by those who had been or currently were active in the banking industry, or, most notably in Walter Bagehot's case, commentators on contemporary financial structures and occurrences. The only issues that were considered financial crises up to this century were those related to the banking system. Financial or other asset market crashes were a byproduct of previous "manias," a product of human gullibility and foolishness, and were a legitimate topic for study by the impartial observer without necessitating any legislative action. Extraordinary Popular Delusions and the Madness of Crowds, the title of Charles McKay's book, succinctly captures this mindset. However, financial system crises were considered to be grave, even hazardous, events. In a recent statement supporting this viewpoint, Anna Schwartz referred to these situations as "real" crises. Such a crisis is fueled by the worry that there may never be a way to pay, which, in a fractional reserve banking system, causes a rush for high-powered money. There are 'pseudo' crises in contrast to these ‘real' crises. Specifically, these include "a decline in asset prices, of equity stock, real estate, commodities, depreciation of the exchange value of the national currency; financial distress of a large nonfinancial firm, a large municipality, a financial industry, or sovereign debtors." Even while such a loss of wealth is distressing, it does not constitute a financial catastrophe.

A "pseudo crisis" is merely an abnormally big instance of a misguided investment, and in an uncertain environment, mistakes in investing are unavoidable. When the stability of the whole banking system is in jeopardy, that is when a financial crisis is "real." Such "real"

crises have been relatively uncommon, but they have become increasingly frequent recently. On the basis of this definition, it is clear that events that are usually referred to be worldwide crises, such as those in Latin America in the early 1980s and Russia in 1998, were neither crises outside of these nations nor necessarily internal to them. According to Schwartz, neither the United States nor Britain have had a similar crisis since 1933. The crisis's underlying cause is not addressed in Schwartz's term. It adheres to the tradition of Thornton and Bagehot in that way, as well as in the concept itself. Their strategy tried to define a problem before advancing a solution. A "real" crisis in the Schwartz meaning is perilous because it may result in an unplanned and undesirable decline in the money stock, which would trigger a recession and maybe a depression. In addition to a decrease in the money multiplier, falling bank deposits also contribute to the monetary pressure.

As well as other authors in this tradition, recommended the following line of action to avoid this squeezing. Whichever nation endures such a shock should have its central bank freely lend on security. It shouldn't limit lending to the kind of securities that it would typically take for discount. Unrestricted advances should be given upon request, but at an interest rate higher than it was before the financial crisis. These loans need to be given to the market, or to anybody who provides suitable security. Additionally, it should be made apparent that the central bank would intervene in that fashion in the event of a crisis; doing so lowers the chance of runs since it makes it appear less essential to seek for liquidity when one knows the central bank will do so. What could bring about a disaster this "real"? Palgrave gives a definition of crises under the title "Crises, Commercial and Financial" before going on to describe how numerous crises from the nineteenth century developed: Financial crises occur when pressure builds to a critical level in business affairs. His account of what happened in 1825 is an illustration: The next significant crisis struck in 1825, and it was among the worst that the nation's banking and commercial [emphasis added] institutions had ever experienced. At this time, there was a lot of speculation, mostly over loans, mining endeavours, and other international investments. The foreign currency markets were so severely depressed that the bank's bullion was almost continuously depleted. The early stage of the panic was preceded and produced by many and significant banks failures as well as a situation of commercial disrepute. The low interest rate that had been in place for a while before, and which was reportedly extended by the Bank of England's activities, preceded, presumably caused, and undoubtedly supported and fostered the trend towards speculating and the excessive extension of credit. Palgrave cites Tooke and Levi as having provided many more details and provides various instances of these sequences of occurrences.

To summarise what has been said so far, the idea that financial market collapses do not constitute crises in and of themselves was created in the nineteenth century and reiterated in the twentieth by Schwartz and others. They may trigger financial system runs, resulting in "real" crises. The beginning of one might cause the other by causing a rush for liquidity.5 However, to paraphrase Palgrave once more: "Commercial crises may occur without any reference to the circulating medium, as has been exemplified in Hamburg and elsewhere." Were and still are these crises unpredicted occurrences? Certainly, the well-known Diamond and Dybvig model of a financial crisis would imply that they are. According to that approach, crises are brought on by an unforeseen random shock, or the "sunspot theory of crises." The renowned paper is, of course, subject to criticism. However, it is useful to think about whether the traditional banking crises they mimic were genuinely random rather than just looking at these. Examining both the seasonal and cyclical pattern of crises reveals a significant amount of data in support of this.

Both modern and nineteenth-century authors have recognised seasonal consistency. According to Miron, financial panics in the nineteenth century in both Europe and the United States followed a seasonal pattern. For US data, a 2 test disproves the idea that crises

occurred at random throughout the year with a 0.001% level of confidence. Twelve of the 29 financial panics listed by Kemmerer between 1873 and 1908 occurred in the spring, while 10 more occurred in September or December, according to an analysis of his list. Similar findings are obtained from Kindleberger's list of panics that occurred in Europe between 1720 and 1914. A 2 test disproves the idea that the population is distributed equally throughout the year; similarly, to the United States, a pronounced predominance fell in the spring or the fall. Jessons' argument is still the most often used to explain this seasonality. He noticed that interest rates follow a seasonal pattern that corresponds to the agricultural cycle in asset demand. When there was a seasonal upturn in the demand for both money and credit, banks' reserve/deposit ratios decreased in the spring and the fall. Therefore, the most vulnerable times for financial systems were in the spring and the fall. When central banks began flattening the interest rate cycle, the seasonal pattern in interest rates virtually disappeared.6 Did the incidence of crises follow a seasonal or periodic pattern? It is important to take a new look at the data since seasonal consistency does not always indicate cyclical regularity. Throughout the nineteenth century, a lot of authors made notice of how often 'commercial crises' happened. The years listed by Palgrave are 1753, 1763, 1772–73, 1783, 1793, 1815, 1825, 1836–39, 1897, 1866, 1875, and 1890. "A review of the years with acute commercial distress in the records suggests periodicity." Trade and banking have continued over the 140 years despite war and peace, a silver standard, a gold standard, a suspension of cash payments, periods of plenty, and times of need; yet, the deadly years have come around with a significant amount of cyclical regularity. In addition to Langton, Jessons, Mills, and Chubb, periodicity was also mentioned. Table 15.1 lists the major "commercial crises" identified by Palgrave, along with a short summary of each from a recent source.

According to the Burns and Mitchell chronology of British economic cycles, only one of these "commercial crises" that of 1847 did not occur at a business cycle high. This seems to indicate a tight relationship with succeeding recessions. Unfortunately, it's unclear how much significance that discovery has. This is due to the methodology used by Burns and Mitchell to produce their cycle chronology. Their approach included examining a wide number of series for various economic characteristics before making a determination on how long each cycle should last have based on their findings. This is problematic since they saw the incidence of a financial panic, one of the series they looked at, as evidence that the economy was near or at a business cycle peak. Comparing the Burns and Mitchell chronology to the one that Capie and Mills generated by estimating a segmented trend model casts more doubt on the conclusion. Although Capie and Mills' study only dates back to 1870, they vary from Burns and Mitchell in terms of the timing of three peaks and one trough between that year and 1907. Gary Gorton has conducted study with regard to the United States next. He took the stance that either banking crises are systematic and connected to "occurrences of a threshold value of some variable predicting the riskiness of bank deposits" or they are random events, perhaps self-confirming equilibria in settings with numerous equilibria. The fundamental information for the first phase of the time in the United States is provided.

The business cycle peaks were often when crises occurred, although this was not always the case. After 1914 and again after 1934, deposit behaviour altered, but crises persisted as a systematic phenomenon tied to the economic cycle. 'The recession hypothesis provides the clearest explanation of how agents generate conditional expectations based on past knowledge. Depositors reevaluate the riskiness of deposits when enterprises start to collapse, which is a leading sign of a recession.7 It may be argued that Gorton's finding supports the viewpoints of Mitchell and Palgrave/Burns. But due to the stark differences in the two nations' financial systems' frameworks, vigilance is advised. The significance of banking structure is then covered. Therefore, it would seem that there is enough regularity in crises—regularity in the sense that they are connected to earlier causative or, at the very least, enabling events—to suggest that it is a valuable exercise to explore for underlying causes and

preventative measures. They are not, in other words, uncontrollable random occurrences like meteor strikes or sunspots. Of course, the aforementioned refers to traditional liquidity issues. Even in the very recent past, capital shortages did not cause banking crises; rather, a lack of liquidity did. This held true even throughout the American Great Depression. This undoubtedly stands in stark contrast to certain recent occurrences, most notably the Scandinavian and Japanese financial crises. why there is a capital shortage.

As was noted above, one strong argument against crises being entirely random occurrences is the timing of their occurrence, both seasonally and throughout time. Consideration of the macroeconomic circumstances at the time they are most likely to happen lends more support for the idea that crises are not random events and offers advice on how to reduce their likelihood. Banks in a variety of nations have faced serious issues during the last 20 years. Without government backing, institutions in some circumstances would have failed, and whole financial systems would have collapsed in others if there hadn't been a time of low interest rates during which they could rebuild capital and recover earnings. Such events seem to need a two-pronged trigger, including macroeconomic instability and a time of financial liberalisation. Rapid increases in bank lending and asset prices seem to be a conduit for these impacts. This connection may be explained in a number of ways. One is the simple fact that less regulation enables banks and other institutions to go into industries in which they have no prior expertise. Some claim that the rise in asset market volatility has made issues worse, while others claim that the volatility of economies has increased.

Additionally, it has been said that deregulation has an impact on stability through altering institutional and ownership structures in addition to encouraging bank development. This has an impact on risk attitudes, and the changes that result may not only be often unanticipated but also unpredictable. What bearing does the data have on these hypotheses on how a period of financial instability can result from the confluence of liberalisation and macroeconomic volatility? Recent unpublished Bank of England research has compared different nations using industrialised nations' experience as a guide. A summary of financial issues and related economic situations. In this table, a few aspects jump out. Most importantly, banking issues were a necessary but not sufficient prerequisite for financial liberalisation. It also appears necessary for the economy to experience a downturn, which is often followed by a sharp decline in asset values across a number of markets. This is in line with two comprehensive studies that looked at both smaller industrial economies and emerging economies. Eight countries six developing and two developed that had systemic banking issues in the 1980s were examined by Lindgren et al. In each instance, the crisis was preceded by liberalisation and was followed by a recession in the economy. Based on an analysis of prior crises in 25 bigger developing and industrial nations between 1970 and 1995, Kaminsky et al. tried to offer leading indicators to signal an impending crisis.

The strongest indications, according to their research, are increases in real interest rates, wide money expansion relative to the monetary base, and reductions in production growth and equities prices.8 But this in no way implies that financial liberalisation leads to instability. Many economies that have experienced economic liberalisation for some years before to a recession, like Germany, were unaffected by banking issues. In fact, the majority seem to have banking systems with sophisticated techniques for managing risks. The overall picture suggests that there is vulnerability throughout the liberalisation process, a process in which new entrants—both local and foreign—perform worse than institutions that have already been in place for a while. The significant impact that property prices seem to play in starting financial troubles is another aspect of Table 15.3. This was brought to light once again in 1997 during the east Asian financial crisis. In conclusion, it is reasonable and expected to claim that cross-country comparisons show the majority of instances of serious issues in the banking industry have been linked to prior financial liberalisation and a time of loose

monetary policy. Property prices have been a fairly common transmission route; an unsustainable increase followed by a fall appears key in setting off significant losses of bank capital. Overall, it does seem inevitable that stable monetary policy promotes stable banking sectors, whereas unstable monetary policy promotes unstable banking sectors [3], [4].

The Macro Policy Background International Transmission

It is now widely acknowledged in the field of international finance that only a freely floating exchange rate or a rigidly fixed one, such as a currency board or even a monetary union like the European Monetary Union, is sustainable in the presence of a respectable level of international capital mobility. Milton Friedman initially brought this up in 1958, and since then, a number of writers have formalised his points; McCallum provides a helpful current assessment. The choice of exchange rate regime has somewhat comparable ramifications for the financial sector, although this is still a relatively new discovery. This section will first summarise how the choice of exchange rate regime impacts the ways in which crises spread from one nation to the next, and then it will demonstrate how a specific regime may either encourage instability or at the very least worsen the consequences of a shock. A nation lacks monetary independence with a fixed exchange rate in terms of transmission. Domestic monetary conditions must be set domestically under a floating rate system. This indicates that a banking liquidity crisis would spread from nation to country with a fixed rate but cannot under a floating system - other than via pure contagion. The Great Depression serves as a good illustration of the first. There was no influence on the banking system in either Britain or Canada from the waves of bank failures and resulting monetary contraction in the United States. Despite being the US's top economic partners, none of these nations had any financial crises during that period. They protected themselves in both situations by severing the connection between their currencies and the US dollar. What are the risks of a system with adjustable pegs? East Asia in 1998 is a clear illustration of how it might worsen financial problems. Although the East Asian crises were not all exactly same, they did have certain characteristics [5], [6].

Asset price booms were followed by asset price busts, issues with the banking system, and currency flight. That tale is not brand-new. Commentators' assumptions were based on a limited view of history, which is why they expressed astonishment when crises developed without any issues in the public sector. Ronald McKinnon succinctly explains why the asset price crash quickly resulted in widespread banking issues and later currency issues: "Banks and other financial institutions were poorly regulated but their depositors were nevertheless insured - explicitly or implicitly - against bankruptcy by their national governments." The disproportionate accumulation of short-term foreign debt was brought on by the ensuing moral hazard. The regulated exchange rate system supported this growth of foreign currency debt. Due to the guarantees, there was undiversified borrowing by banks as well as undiversified lending. Furthermore, the issue was significant in scope, and once again because of the guarantees, the banks had little collateral to give in return for liquidity from the central bank. Many of the banks involved were forced to provide loans on the instruction of the government rather than in accordance with business standards, which compounded these already significant difficulties. For stability or to enable lender-of-last-resort action, the system could not have been worse designed. Even if such action had been possible, the fall in the value of the East Asian currencies undermined the capital position of the banks through their net foreign currency debt. Crisis developed after a crash [7], [8].

Are the Risks of an International Banking Crisis Increasing?

Let's rephrase our definition first. An international banking crisis is the spread of financial issues that, in the absence of policy action, would have put at risk the stability of the banking system and the smooth functioning of the payments system in several nations. According to

this criteria, instances that even remotely resemble international financial crises have been very uncommon. Credit Anstalt, Herstatt, and the difficulties with sovereign debt financing throughout the previous century can't truly be classified as full-fledged global financial crises. The dangers of a worldwide financial crisis in the early twenty-first century have also decreased rather than grown, in comparison to the scenario in the second half of the twentieth century, despite the globalisation of banking, we might claim. The flow of our case is as follows. First, we review the primary factors that contribute to financial crises that were previously covered in this part, concluding that the likelihood of a local or global banking crisis has decreased. The possibility that a domestic crisis may spread globally and turn into an international crisis is the second thing we take into account. It has not, as we discover. Compared to a decade or more ago, macroeconomic policymaking, particularly monetary policy, is today considerably more stable. A fundamental transformation has occurred with the industrialised world's central banks moving towards greater independence and the universal adoption of inflation targeting. The UK is a shining example of the acceptance of monetary discipline, starting with the Conservative government of Margaret Thatcher's early 1980s endorsement of monetarist policies and ending with the decision of Tony Blair's Labour government in 1997 to grant the Bank of England operational independence in monetary policy. By creating the euro and switching from a domestic monetary policy that was more tightly regulated by politics to one that was politically independent, some of the more inflation-prone countries of southern Europe have found a different way to achieve monetary policy discipline.

This does not imply that there won't be any future financial issues. Another noteworthy example is the UK, where the 1980s' management of inflation and ensuing reduction of interest rates were followed by a boom in asset prices and consumer spending. Similar asset price and consumption booms were possible in several of the Eurozone's members, particularly Portugal and Ireland, in the late 1990s. However, a major portion of these situations involve issues with switching from a regime of high and fluctuating inflation to one of low and stable inflation. The adoption of monetary discipline, once the new regime has gained widespread understanding and approval, promises to increase banking sector stability across all industrialised nations, thus reducing the likelihood of a global financial crisis. Additionally, banks' internal controls and external oversight have typically improved in recent years. Large-scale projects of bank privatisation have been implemented in France, Italy, Greece, and other countries. The experience of portfolio losses and loan default has led to advancements in risk management on a worldwide scale. 'Value at Risk' modelling is now essentially used by all investment banks to track their market risk exposure. Value at risk is not a perfect indicator of risk exposure, but it is still far better than none at all. Commercial banks today usually maintain far stricter controls over all of their credit exposures than they did a decade ago in Scandinavia, France, the US, the UK, and other countries. The fact that fixed exchange rates are unsustainable under a system of capital mobility has been confirmed by experience with exchange rate issues, such as those that occurred in the European ERM in 1992 and 1993 or in South East Asia in 1997. Therefore, the option between a fixed exchange rate and a floating exchange rate has been made in all the major nations.

As a result, banks are either less vulnerable to currency risk or the dangers are so clear that they must be virtually totally hedged. Additionally, a number of advancements have fortified local and worldwide payment networks and almost eliminated the chance of a domestic or even international payment system meltdown. The majority of big value payment systems currently function on a real-time gross settlement basis, including the euro TARGET system that links the payment systems of the euro region. This breakthrough eliminates the risk that the collapse of a single institution may impair payments activity, however it is not free since liquidity must be provided by someone. Stringent operational requirements are now implemented where systems still function on a net basis, such as the New York CHAPS,

thereby eliminating the possibility of a systemic catastrophe. The early 2002 implementation of continuous linked settlement will significantly minimise systemic risk in the foreign currency markets. It can be fairly safely asserted that a Herstatt crisis could only occur in today's payment systems if some cataclysm were first to wipe out the majority of the world's financial institutions, despite the fact that central bankers on the Bank for International Settlements committee on payment and settlement systems remain vigilant. A global financial crisis today may include payments breakdowns as a side effect, but they cannot be a contributing cause. Thus, it is obvious that all of these key elements, including macroeconomic, structural, and global financial arrangements, have changed to lower the likelihood of banking crises. Even while the likelihood of local banking issues has decreased, it might be argued that increasing foreign exposure may have raised the chance of an international financial crisis.

We have many arguments to counter this claim. First off, there hasn't been much progress made in terms of commercial banking globalisation. With a few banks having a sizable international corporate and trade financing operation, the majority of commercial banking exposures are still domestic. Even inside the euro zone, where cross-border financial activity is still very minor, this is true. This indicates that the probability of a domestic banking crisis is still significant, but that there is little chance that a bank failure would result in the failure of several nations' banking institutions and payment networks. Second, there would be two countervailing effects if commercial banking exposures were to become global, as is quite likely to occur in the euro region over the next years once a true financial services single market is formed. Bank portfolio diversification will outpace the rising worldwide transmission of bank problems. The likelihood of a single bank failing is significantly reduced in the case of substantially higher globalisation of commercial banking and unaltered nation and sector hazards. A financial crisis in this scenario, if it materializes, would be global in scope due to the globalisation of banking systems.

However, the chance of a crisis is much reduced. Third, as commercial banking expands internationally, there will be more international rivalry in the banking industry and, most crucially, a larger global market for the purchase of banks via mergers and acquisitions. Since a clear focus on profitability and appropriate risk-adjusted returns to shareholders will be the only effective defence against acquisition, this will in turn promote better governance and improved standards of risk management and internal control. This is assuming that governments do not seek to protect their own local institutions. When an acquisition does occur, the target bank will often benefit from the transfer of superior practises. Global investment banking has seen a quickening of internationalisation. Compared to commercial banking, the nature of risk is completely different in this situation. Market risk exposure for investment banks is now strictly regulated. Instead, the big investment banks must deal with significant business risks, particularly the current very real threat of a global fall in securities issuance and trading. What if, however, these companies experienced a significant collapse? Overcapacity issues and the need to curtail or even shut down important international investment banking activities would follow. This wouldn't constitute a global financial crisis any more than a collapse in the market for steel or automobiles would. There would not be a direct influence on the price and liquidity of financial assets now since investment banking activity revenue has migrated so much from trading to fee-based issuance and market making. Additionally, the integrity of the financial system, bank depositors, payments activity, or a contraction or liquidation of the investment banking industry are not under danger. Such changes would not constitute a global financial catastrophe [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

International financial crises are difficult problems with significant global effects. They highlight the need of strong regulatory and supervisory frameworks, global collaboration, and

efficient crisis management techniques. The effects of these crises, which include social difficulties, financial instability, and economic upheaval, highlight how systemic they are and how urgent it is to take preventative action. Vigilance and resilience-building are essential to avoid and reduce the catastrophic impacts of international banking crises, maintain financial stability, and promote sustainable economic development as the global financial environment continues to change. International financial crises need constant watchfulness and flexible policymaking. The need of a proactive strategy, which includes early warning systems, stress testing, and responsible risk management procedures, is emphasised by the lessons learnt from previous disasters. Furthermore, the global financial system's interconnection highlights the need of improved international collaboration and information-sharing channels in order to identify and fix risks as soon as possible. Staying ahead of possible crisis triggers needs constant adaptation and a dedication to preserving the stability and resilience of the global banking industry in an age of accelerating financial complexity and technology breakthroughs.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. Dungey and D. Gajurel, “Contagion and banking crisis - International evidence for 2007-2009,” *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2015.08.007.

[2] M. Stolbov, “Anatomy of international banking crises at the onset of the Great Recession,” *Int. Econ. Econ. Policy*, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s10368-014-0293-8.

[3] R. Boucekkine, K. Nishimura, and A. Venditti, “Introduction to international financial markets and banking systems crises,” *Journal of Mathematical Economics*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jmateco.2016.12.003.

[4] T. Nitschka, “About the soundness of the US-cay indicator for predicting international banking crises,” *North Am. J. Econ. Financ.*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.najef.2011.02.004.

[5] M. Dungey, J. P. A. M. Jacobs, and Lestano, “The internationalisation of financial crises: Banking and currency crises 1883-2008,” *North Am. J. Econ. Financ.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.najef.2015.01.003.

[6] E. Cubillas, A. R. Fonseca, and F. González, “Banking crises and market discipline: International evidence,” *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2012.04.011.

[7] R. Moessner and W. A. Allen, “Banking crises and the international monetary system in the Great Depression and now,” *Financ. Hist. Rev.*, 2011, doi: 10.1017/S0968565011000035.

[8] O. Accominotti, “International banking and transmission of the 1931 financial crisis,” *Econ. Hist. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/ehr.12736.

[9] B. Derudder, M. Hoyler, and P. Taylor, “Goodbye Reykjavik: International banking centres and the global financial crisis,” *Area*, 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1475-4762.2010.00968.x.

[10] J. A. Batten and P. G. Szilagyi, “International banking during the Global Financial Crisis: U.K. and U.S. perspectives,” *Int. Rev. Financ. Anal.*, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.irfa.2012.07.008.

CHAPTER 13

LESSONS LEARNED FROM PREVIOUS FINANCIAL CRISES FOR BANK REGULATION

Pankhuri Agarwal, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dreams.pankhuri@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This study offers a thorough analysis of the regulatory lessons from previous financial crises for banks. It explores the underlying causes and outcomes of various crises, including the global financial crisis of 2008 and its aftereffects. The paper analyses the contribution of regulatory frameworks to financial crises as well as their mitigation, identifying areas where regulatory changes have been put into place. It examines how risk management, adequate capital, and liquidity constraints might improve financial organizations' resilience. The report also examines the difficulties brought about by the financial markets' quick evolution, technological advancements, and global interconnection. We get insights into the changing regulatory environment for banks via a thorough examination, highlighting the necessity for flexible and progressive strategies to maintain financial stability and safeguard the interests of depositors and the larger economy.

KEYWORDS:
Analyse, Crises, Financial, Regulation.

INTRODUCTION

Our goal is to analyse the previous financial crises that have affected both developed and developing nations, and to draw lessons from them, particularly with regard to the supervision and regulation of banks and the creation of the best "regulatory regime." This will be accomplished by outlining a number of broad principles intended to reduce the likelihood of banking difficulty. The principles of an efficient regulatory framework must take into account a broader variety of concerns than just imposing regulations on bank activities, just as the causes of financial crises are multifaceted. The plans for involvement in the case of bank difficulties and failures are also included in what would be referred to as a "regulatory regime." This is due to their incentive and moral hazard effects, which may have an impact on how banks and their clients behave in the future and the likelihood of crises. The chapter's main purpose is to examine alternate methods for accomplishing the regulatory goals of systemic stability and consumer protection. One recurring idea is that what are sometimes seen as "alternatives" are really complements to a comprehensive regulatory framework. The ability in developing a regulatory strategy resides in how the many elements of the regime are coordinated, since the regulatory regime extends beyond the laws and monitoring carried out by regulatory bodies.

A regulator's first reaction is often to make new regulations when a specific regulatory issue arises. By concentrating on the rules element of the regulatory system, this suggests an incremental approach to regulation. The chapter makes the case that using incremental rules might have severe drawbacks since it could weaken the impact of other mechanisms and, as a result, make the regime less successful overall at attaining its primary goals. This topic is not addressed, despite the fact that there is a sizable scholarly discussion regarding whether or not banks should be regulated at all. According to certain studies, the economic basis for

bank regulation has not been well-established, and in some circumstances, regulatory failure rather than market failure is to blame for banking issues. The impacts of safety-net systems' moral hazard are highlighted in particular. Schwartz takes a similar tack. A different source has provided a broad economic justification for financial regulation, including externalities, market flaws, economies of scale in monitoring, gridlock issues, and moral hazard related to safety nets.

The economic case for regulation is taken for granted for the purposes of this chapter. The need to incorporate the many regulatory regime elements into a comprehensive regulatory strategy—all of which are essential but not sufficient—is a major subject. While external regulation plays a part in promoting a secure financial system, that involvement is rather small. The incentive systems that private banking agents must deal with, the effectiveness of the essential market and government oversight of banks, and internal corporate governance policies all bear equal and growing importance. External regulation is simply one part of systems designed to build safe and sound financial systems; if it is too strict, it may weaken other processes and lessen the effectiveness of the system as a whole. The fact that the regulatory framework is more broadly defined than externally enforced regulation on financial firms has been a recurring subject. Relying only or even mostly on external control, monitoring, and supervision by the "official sector" under the present circumstances would be a mistake. A basic set of prescriptive guidelines for cautious and compliant activity are insufficient in the dynamic and complicated world of banking and finance [1], [2].

Thinking strategically about the broader regime is riskier than thinking just in terms of incremental changes to regulations. The trade-offs between the different components must be considered in light of this. In some situations, the stronger one or more of the components become the weaker one or more of the others and to a degree, that may reduce the overall impact. The difficulty in creating a regulatory strategy rests more in integrating the seven elements of the regime than it does in selecting one alternative over another. The goal is to arrive at the ideal combination, together with careful selection of regulatory tools within each. Therefore, it is not necessary to choose between market discipline or regulation, or between competition and oversight on the one hand, and regulation and supervision on the other. The idea behind a regulatory strategy is that they aren't choices, but rather parts of a comprehensive plan to accomplish the goal of systemic stability. A major concern for the regulator is how its actions can affect not only the regime's goals directly but also the other parts of it. In particular, the concern is how regulation affects the incentive structures within firms as well as the potential role of market discipline and monitoring.

The ideal combination of the elements will evolve over time. Four structural shifts within the regulatory regime are argued to be desirable over time and as the market environment in which banks operate becomes more complex: external regulation needs to become less prescriptive, more flexible, and differentiated as between different institutions; more emphasis needs to be placed on incentive structures and the contribution that regulation can make to creating appropriate incentive structures; and market discipline. The chapter's outline is as follows. A summary of recent financial crises is given at the outset. Some frequent components of financial crises are discussed. The multifaceted character of current cries, examines the impacts of liberalisation and makes a difference between the steady-state features of a deregulated financial system and the transitional effects connected with the change from one regime to another. The implications of the nature and genesis of banking crises are then brought together in Section 6 by laying out certain principles intended to reduce the likelihood of financial hardship in the banking sector. Section 6 first analyses the structure of a regulatory regime and the trade-offs between its components. Conclusions and a general analysis are provided [3], [4].

DISCUSSION

Banking crises are obviously not random or isolated occurrences, given their frequency and diversity during the previous 15 years. Banks have seen high levels of non-performing loans all around the globe, significant capital erosion, bank failures, and the need for enormous support operations. Since the Great Depression of the 1920s, the failure rate of banks has increased more than at any other period. In the recent past, non-performing bank loans in Indonesia, Malaysia, South Korea, and Thailand amounted to around 30% of total assets. Banking crises have been very expensive. About 25% of the time, the expense has been more than 10% of GNP. According to Evans, the costs of crises were estimated to be 40% in Thailand, 15% in Korea, and 45% in Indonesia as a percentage of GDP. These expenses for paying commitments to depositors under the broad guarantees that the government implemented to address systemic crises, as well as public sector payments to finance the recapitalization of bankrupt banks, are included in these statistics. Banking crises are a complex interacting combination of structural, financial, and economic flaws that occur almost constantly and everywhere. A good overview of the reciprocal relationship between banking systems and macro policy is provided by Lindgren et al. in 1996. Many crises have had macroeconomic causes, and they are often brought on by the abrupt exodus of liquid foreign money from a nation.

According to Brown bridge and Kirkpatrick, triple crises in the corporate, financial, and currency sectors have often occurred during financial crises. Reinforcing dynamics between capital flows, macro-policies, and weak financial and corporate sector institutions made East Asian nations more susceptible to a financial catastrophe. It is undeniably not a new phenomenon, and the relationship between balance of payments and financial crises has been well researched. Systemic crises are almost always preceded by significant macroeconomic adjustment, which often results in the economy entering a recession after a prior strong cyclical upswing. While abrupt changes in the macro economy and often in asset values have preceded financial crises, it would be incorrect to attribute all financial instability to macroeconomic instability. While macroeconomic instability may be the direct cause of a financial crisis, the crisis often develops as a result of the macroeconomic instability exposing pre-existing flaws in the banking system. Over lending, poor risk analysis and management, and other issues are examples of problems that are often pre-sown in the cycle's early upswing; errors committed in the upswing manifest themselves in the downswing. The downswing phase exposes past mistakes and overconfidence. For instance, up until 1997, South East Asia saw a decade of strong economic development, which masked the impact of problematic bank lending practises. Expectations have often been unstable and asset values, especially real estate, have been prone to huge fluctuations in nations that have gone through financial crises. Asset price increases that are sudden are often followed by similarly abrupt declines. Overconfidence and euphoria are often brought on by an early gain in asset values, which in turn increases demand for borrowed money and banks' readiness to provide credit [5], [6].

Some Common Elements in Banking Distress

Recent financial crises in both rich and underdeveloped nations have been examined, and it has been shown that they are not just a result of bad laws. Weak internal risk analysis, management, and control systems within banks, insufficient official supervision, weak incentives within the financial system generally and financial institutions in particular, insufficient information disclosure, and insufficient corporate governance arrangements both within banks and their large corporate clients, are five traits that have been consistently observed. It is a fallacy to believe that banking crises are only attributable to flaws in the macro economy, even in an unstable or unpredictable macroeconomic environment. The lack of legislation, oversight, and market discipline on banks, as well as internal issues with banks,

are partly to blame. While each financial crisis has certain characteristics that are country-specific, they also have a lot in common. Most systemic banking crises seem to be preceded by a number of factors. The setting for succeeding crises often consists of a brief period of explosive expansion in bank lending, as well as irrational optimism about the future of the economy.

These are usually made worse by sudden, uncontrollable increases in asset values, which result in exaggerated credit requests and banks' readiness to provide loans. In the process, loan interest rates often include risk premia that are insufficient. This is a variation of the usual Fisher and Minsky thesis, which holds that bank troubles during a downturn are caused by debt build-up during an upturn. Bank lending saw significant expansion during this time, and concentrated loan portfolios often with a large proportion of real estate often developed. This is partially due to the fact that property seems to be either an appealing lending proposal or a reliable type of collateral for bank loans during times of fast asset-price inflation. But since the credit is essentially speculative, when the oversupply in the real estate market becomes apparent, the bubble pops. In other words, although specific project risks may be correctly estimated, overall portfolio risks are often not. Additionally, the value of the collateral is not given enough consideration when bank lending is expanding quickly, particularly when asset prices are rising. Bank choices sometimes entail insider connections and political pressures since banks don't always act as completely independent actors.

Due to the notion of an implied guarantee, such government intervention in lending choices weakens incentive structures and undermines lender discipline. Both internal and external factors have contributed to crises in the past. Myopic attention to one side obscures the crucial fact that systemic crises have both macro and micro causes. In the end, all financial crises are caused by inadequate internal risk analysis, management, and control systems. The instability in other areas should not be used to cover up or justify failings in this aspect of bank management. Additionally, banking crises often occur after significant regulatory system changes that result in new market circumstances. Banks nearly always take on greater risk during periods of fast balance sheet expansion, particularly when such periods follow a regime change and a period of fierce rivalry. This is due to a number of factors: In order to gain market share, banks start to lower their risk thresholds. Risks are also under-priced. Rapid balance sheet growth also tends to weaken internal control systems. Growth itself can also lead to unwarranted optimism and the development of a growth momentum. Finally, portfolios can become unbalanced if new lending opportunities are concentrated in a small number of industries. When all banks pursue fast-growth plans at the same time, as is often the case, borrowers wind up being over indebted and more hazardous, which in turn makes lending institutions more vulnerable [7], [8].

A MULTIDIMENSIONAL PROBLEM The recent banking crises in South East Asia have, as always, been complex and the causes have been multidimensional. While evident macro-policy failures and volatile and structurally weak economies have been contributory factors, fundamentally unsound banking practices, perverse incentive structures and moral hazards, and weak regulation and supervision have also been major contributory factors. A myopic concentration on any single cause fails to capture the complex interactions involved in almost all banking and financial crises. This suggests that the response to avoid future crises also needs to be multidimensional, involving macro policy, the conduct of regulation and supervision, the creation of appropriate incentive structures, the development of market discipline, and the internal governance and management of financial institutions. As a prelude to a consideration of the principles to reduce the probability of future banking fragility, the remainder of this section briefly considers the main components of recent banking crises. While the experience of each country varies in detail, there is a remarkable degree of commonality, including the experience of financial fragility in some developed economies.

A discussion of the factors behind the Scandinavian banking crises of the early 1990s is given in Andersson and Viotti and Benink and Llewellyn. Reflecting the multidimensional aspect of financial distress, the main causal factors are considered under eight headings: volatility in the macro economy; the inheritance of structural weaknesses in the economy; bad banking practices; hazardous incentive structures and moral hazard within the financial system; ineffective regulation; weak monitoring and supervision by official agencies; the absence of effective market discipline against hazardous bank behaviour due partly to the lack of transparency and the disclosure of relevant information; and structurally unsound corporate governance mechanisms within banks and their borrowing customers. We find that the recent distress of banks in South East Asia is a product of a volatile economy combined with bad banking practices, weak regulation, ineffective supervision both by official agencies and the market, and hazardous incentive structures. All of this induced excessive lending and risk taking by banks [9], [10].

Weak Monitoring and Supervision

Banks need oversight, just like any other business. In addition to the usual principal-agent problems, government organisations constantly watch over and oversee banks. Almost all of the study nations experienced some kind of supervision failure in actuality. In many nations, regulatory compliance was not enforced by oversight organisations. Banks specifically in Korea and Indonesia did not adhere to regulatory capital adequacy standards or other rules. Moreover, political pressure and the lack of transparency in the accounts of banks and their business clients contributed to the inadequate supervision of linked lending limitations. Supervisory authorities have often lacked the political will to exert strict oversight. This may be linked to the unfavourable incentive structures that politicians and other individuals who stand to benefit from reckless banking must contend with. While risky activity might benefit certain stakeholders, cautious banking is a public good. Others have pointed out how there isn't enough political will to implement strict regulation in Eastern Europe's transitional economies. The fact that supervisory intensity has often not been changed to reflect financial system liberalisation, new business activities, and risk profiles of banks that arose in a more deregulated market context, adds another dimension to supervisory failure. The next section goes into greater depth about this. When banks aggressively reacted to deregulation in the second part of the 1980s, this was also true of Scandinavian nations. The character of the regulatory environment must be reflected in the kind and degree of official oversight. While this changed in practise, monitoring was often not strengthened enough to go along with it.

Assessment

This section's main argument is that previous financial crises have been multifaceted and complicated mixtures of several interrelated stresses and vulnerabilities. A myopic emphasis on specific causative factors is likely to yield a skewed picture as well as ineffective policy and reform recommendations. The experience of many nations has shown the deadly concoction of structural and fundamental economic weaknesses, risky incentive structures, lax and ineffective regulation, inadequate official supervision, and a lack of market discipline over banks. As a result, reform must happen via many channels at once, which adds to the process' complexity and difficulty. In Section 6, we return to this subject.

Liberalization: Stock Adjustment Versus Steady State

Numerous financial crises have been linked to regulatory system changes and the liberalisation process. The economies of South East Asia were heavily controlled for many years, with prohibitions on foreign entrance into the banking system, caps on interest rates, and lending expansion by financial institutions, among other things. These limitations were loosened at different points throughout the 1990s, and the rate of financial liberalisation quickened. Williamson and Mahar's study of 34 economies that underwent financial

liberalisation in the 1980s and 1990s demonstrates that virtually all of them had financial crises to varied degrees. In a similar vein, Kaminsky and Reinhart discovered that the financial sector had liberalised during the preceding five years in the majority of their sample of nations that had gone through banking crises. They come to the conclusion that financial liberalisation aids in the anticipation of banking crises in several nations. According to Goldstein and Folkerts-Landau, there is a general trend where deregulation increases competitiveness and is then followed by a rise in financial instability. Financial liberalisation, according to Demirguc-Kunt and Detragiache, increases the likelihood of a banking crisis. They also discover that the likelihood is less the more robust the institutional preconditions for market discipline and liberalisation in terms of contract enforcement, a lack of corruption, involvement from the bureaucracy in lending decisions, and so forth. This supports the conventional understanding that market environment changes significantly as a result of liberalisation and that some fundamental elements of a functioning market system must exist for the new regime to be stable and effective. The important thing is that institutional methods and structures must be compatible with the current market environment. When changes are made to the market system without equivalent adjustments to institutional procedures, problems occur. While periods of deregulation and liberalisation have frequently been followed by periods of banking distress in both developed and underdeveloped countries, a distinction needs to be made between the effects of switching from one regulatory regime to another and the characteristics of a steady-state liberalised financial system. The instability that may exist during the transition phase does not always persist in the subsequent steady state.

The Steady

State A more competitive market environment may also be more dangerous, notwithstanding the fact that part of the financial turmoil is linked to the change from one regime to another. This is because competition has a negative impact on the value of the banking franchise. For instance, Keeley examines how deregulation and increasing competition may lead banks to act riskier since they diminish the value of the banking franchise. The likelihood of a bank failing increases with the estimated future value of the banking franchise since owners and management take on more risk and stand to lose more money as a result. According to an IMF analysis, rising competition and the presence of safety nets throughout the 1980s led to a rise in banking risks. Demsetz et al. and Caprio and Summers both come to similar findings. Hellman et al. test the hypothesis that moral hazard rises as bank franchise values decline by examining the link between bank franchise values and financial market liberalisation using data to proxy bank franchise values. Their findings support the notion that franchise values are often lower in nations with liberalised financial markets and that banking crises are more likely to occur there. According to Shafer, financial markets with less regulation are likely to be permanently more unstable. Previous, highly regulated regimes often served to shield financial institutions by suppressing competition. The regulatory authorities most likely misjudged the size of the generated economic rents. Although it was one of the goals of public policy, in many situations the degree to which deregulation and liberalisation would promote competition in the banking business was overestimated. These mistakes prevented adequate monitoring, supervision, and prudential regulatory responses. Sijben highlights the possible tension and trade-off between stability and efficiency, arguing that although financial sector deregulation and liberalisation are necessary for efficiency reasons, doing so may jeopardise stability by fostering more competition. According to Hellweg, the lack of a disintermediation threat and the generally low level of competition in the Swiss banking sector between the late 1930s and the 1970s may have contributed to Switzerland's low incidence of bank failures. High profit margins in banking as a consequence increased franchise values and made it possible to swiftly replace capital after write-downs brought on by loan write-offs. According to the Hellweg investigation, larger levels of risk are produced

in banks as a result of more competition in the banking sector since it provides incentives for greater risk taking [11], [12].

Corporate Governance

Corporate governance practises with banks vary from those with other kinds of firms for a number of reasons. First, regulation of banks is necessary for systemic stability and consumer protection, which gives corporate governance arrangements a new dimension. Regulation is in part a reaction to the shortcomings of the corporate governance systems used by banks. Second, governmental organisations continuously monitor and supervise banks. The acts of official agencies may have an influence on the incentives faced by other monitors, such as shareholders and even depositors, because shareholders and official agencies are somewhat duplicating monitoring effort. This has two direct consequences for private corporate governance. However, official and market surveillance are not entirely interchangeable for the reasons previously mentioned. Third, unlike most other kinds of businesses, banks have a fiduciary obligation with their clients. Due to this, extra principal-agent interactions are created with banks that are often absent with non-financial companies. Banks have a systemic component, and because in some cases the social costs of bank failures may exceed the private costs, there is a systemic concern with the behaviour of banks that does not exist with other companies.

This is the fourth reason why corporate governance mechanisms are different in banks. Fifth, banks are covered by safety-net policies that aren't offered to other businesses. Regarding monitoring and control, this has ramifications for the incentive structures that owners, managers, depositors, and the market must deal with. Internal corporate governance and the market in corporate control are two broad techniques for enforcing discipline on the management of organisations that are affected by all of these factors. Despite the fact that banks and other companies vary significantly, corporate governance concerns in banks have surprisingly garnered little attention. Little is known about how the two governing systems interact with one another and, in particular, the amount to which they are complementary or offsetting, according to Flannery, which is a major problem. The degree to which corporate governance procedures are appropriate and effective for the management and control of risks is a fundamental problem in the management of financial businesses. Senior management determines the company's business strategy, regulatory environment, and ethical standards.

Effective management of these activities will benefit companies and help achieve the FSA's statutory goals, according to the FSA in the UK. Concerns about company structure, the capacity of shareholders to hold managers accountable, the openness of corporate structures, the authority and power of directors, internal audit procedures, and the lines of responsibility for managers are all covered by corporate governance systems. In the end, shareholders are the ones who incur the biggest risks, and agency issues may lead managers to take more chances with the bank than the owners would want. The extent to which shareholders are represented on the bank's board of directors, the extent to which shareholders have the authority to discipline managers, and the information shareholders have access to regarding the actions of the managers to whom they have delegated decision-making authority are all raised as a result of this. The Basle Committee has correctly asserted that a bank's board of directors and senior management must exercise effective supervision. It indicates that the board should approve the bank's overarching policies and internal operating procedures.

The main thrust of the argument is that "lack of adequate corporate governance in the banks seems to have been an important contributory factor in the Asian crisis," and "the boards of directors and management committees of the banks did not play the role they were expected to play." Sinha has offered helpful insights, concluding, for example, that while regulatory authorities in the UK approve the appointment of non-executive directors of banks, these

directors are typically much less effective at overseeing top management than is the case in manufacturing firms. When Sinha analyses the corporate governance structures between banks and manufacturing companies in the UK, she finds that banks have lower top management turnover than other companies, and that this turnover doesn't seem to be tied to share price performance. Prowse further demonstrates that banks have worse shareholder responsibility and board oversight efficacy than non-financial enterprises. The degree to which all of this is the product of moral hazard brought on by official control and oversight is an intriguing possibility. This is another illustration of potential unfavorable trade-offs within a regulatory environment. The motivation for shareholders and non-executive directors to do so may decrease as regulatory agencies create regulations and supervise institutions. It may be assumed that regulators have access to more information than shareholders and non-executive directors, and therefore their own monitoring would just be a needless duplication of the work being done by official supervisors. The contribution of institutional investors and non-executive directors to the efficiency of corporate governance practises in banks requires further study. The Basle Committee has acknowledged that several structural methods of corporate governance exist in various nations. The Committee supports all actions that improve bank corporate governance, however it hasn't taken a position on any one ideal model [13], [14].

CONCLUSION

In summary, the previous financial crises particularly the global financial crisis of 2008 have taught us priceless lessons about how to regulate banks. These crises brought home how crucially important it is to have strong regulatory frameworks that give risk management, capital adequacy, and liquidity needs first priority. Additionally, they revealed the weaknesses in the financial system brought on by complicated financial products, poor management, and excessive risk-taking. As a result, regulatory measures have been started to address these flaws, attempting to improve the financial institutions' resilience and safeguard the larger economy. But the constantly changing environment of financial markets, technological advancements, and global interconnection continue to pose difficulties. Since financial stability must remain at the forefront of regulatory goals while defending depositor interests and fostering a robust and sustainable financial system, the lessons learned from recent crises emphasise the need for flexible, forward-thinking, and globally coordinated approaches to bank regulation.

REFERENCES:

[1] L. Dal Maso, K. Kanagaretnam, G. J. Lobo, and S. Terzani, “The influence of accounting enforcement on earnings quality of banks: Implications of bank regulation and the global financial crisis,” *J. Account. Public Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2018.09.003.

[2] H. Li, H. Liu, A. Siganos, and M. Zhou, “Bank regulation, financial crisis, and the announcement effects of seasoned equity offerings of US commercial banks,” *J. Financ. Stab.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jfs.2016.06.007.

[3] M. A. ElBannan, “The Financial Crisis, Basel Accords and Bank Regulations: An Overview,” *Int. J. Account. Financ. Report.*, 2017, doi: 10.5296/ijafr.v7i2.12122.

[4] M. Fratzscher, P. J. König, and C. Lambert, “Credit provision and banking stability after the Great Financial Crisis: The role of bank regulation and the quality of governance,” *J. Int. Money Financ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jimonfin.2016.02.015.

[5] F. Vazquez and P. Federico, “Bank funding structures and risk: Evidence from the global financial crisis,” *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2015.08.023.

[6] G. Opromolla, “Facing the financial crisis: Bank of Italy’s implementing regulation on hedge funds,” *J. Invest. Compliance*, 2009, doi: 10.1108/15285810910971274.

[7] J. C. A. Teixeira, F. J. F. Silva, A. V. Fernandes, and A. C. G. Alves, “Banks’ capital, regulation and the financial crisis,” *North Am. J. Econ. Financ.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.najef.2014.01.002.

[8] J. Dermine, “Bank regulations after the global financial crisis: Good intentions and unintended evil,” *Eur. Financ. Manag.*, 2013, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-036X.2013.12017.x.

[9] D. Bostandzic and G. N. F. Weiß, “Why do some banks contribute more to global systemic risk?,” *J. Financ. Intermediation*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jfi.2018.03.003.

[10] S. H. Chen, “What determines bank productivity? International evidence on the impact of banking competition, bank regulation, and the global financial crisis,” *Int. Financ. Rev.*, 2013, doi: 10.1108/S1569-3767(2013)0000014009.

[11] W. W. ElKelish and J. Tucker, “Bank regulation and stock market stability across countries,” *J. Financ. Regul. Compliance*, 2016, doi: 10.1108/JFRC-09-2015-0049.

[12] R. A. Werner, “A lost century in economics: Three theories of banking and the conclusive evidence,” *Int. Rev. Financ. Anal.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.irfa.2015.08.014.

[13] S. Bell and A. Hindmoor, “Structural Power and the Politics of Bank Capital Regulation in the United Kingdom,” *Polit. Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0032321716629479.

[14] E. J. Schoen, “The 2007–2009 Financial Crisis: An Erosion of Ethics: A Case Study,” *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3052-7.