A TEXTBOOK OF ICHTHYOLOGY

Shakuli Saxena

A TEXTBOOK OF
ICHTHYOLOGY

A TEXTBOOK OF
ICHTHYOLOGY

Shakuli Saxena

ALEXIS PRESS

ALEXIS PRESS

Published by: Alexis Press, LLC, Jersey City, USA
www.alexispress.us

First Published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data

Includes bibliographical references and index.

A Textbook of Ichthyology by *Shakuli Saxena*

ISBN 979-8-89161-357-7

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

A BRIEF STUDY ON FISH EVOLUTION

Shakuli Saxena, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- shakuli2803@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Fish have made major contributions to the development of life on Earth as a diversified and old group of vertebrates. The intriguing development of fish is explored in this chapter, which traces its beginnings from early jawless creatures to the wide variety of species we see today. Fishes are a significant evolutionary connection because of the adaptations they have developed to survive in a variety of aquatic environments. The development of fish provides essential insights into the larger context of vertebrate evolution, from the formation of jaws and paired fins through the move from water to land. Furthermore, comprehension of fish evolution is crucial for both understanding our natural world and for guiding conservation initiatives that try to protect these amazing species in the face of environmental threats.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Environments, Environmental Threats, Fishes, Fish Evolution, Vertebrate Evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Around 530 million years ago, during the Cambrian explosion, the development of fish got started. The earliest craniates and vertebrates emerged during this period when the early chordates built the head and spinal column. The Agnatha, or jawless fish, are the ancestors of all other fish species. Haikouichthys is among the earliest instances. Conodonts, which resembled jawless eels, and ostracoderms, tiny fish with largely armored bodies, made their initial appearances in the late Cambrian [1]. The majority of jawless fish are now gone, although modern lampreys resemble prehistoric jawless fish. The Cyclostomata, which contains the extant hagfish, includes lampreys, and this group may have diverged from other agnathans at an early stage.

It is likely that the first jawed vertebrates emerged in the late Ordovician. The placoderms, a type of armored fish that descended from ostracoderms, and the acanthodii (or spiny sharks) are the earliest fish to be found in their fossilized forms in the Silurian [2]. The late Silurian also saw the emergence of the jawed fish that are still alive today: the Chondrichthyes (or cartilaginous fish) and the Osteichthyes (or bony fish). The Actinopterygii, or ray-finned fish, and Sarcopterygii, which includes the lobe-finned fish, are two distinct families of bony fish that have developed.

The diversity of fish greatly increased throughout the Devonian era, particularly among the ostracoderms and placoderms, as well as among the lobe-finned fish and early sharks. Because of this, the Devonian is known as the era of the fish. The tetrapods, or four-limbed vertebrates, which today include amphibians, reptiles, mammals, and birds, descended from lobe-finned fish. The early Devonian saw the emergence of transitional tetrapods, and by the late Devonian, the first tetrapods had emerged [3]. It is uncertain if the benefit of a hinged jaw is increased biting power, better breathing, or a combination of variables, but the variety of jawed vertebrates may imply the evolutionary advantage of a jawed mouth. Due to their exclusion of tetrapods, fish do not constitute a monophyletic group but rather a paraphyletic one.

Fish have had significant effects from extinction events throughout natural history, much as many other creatures. Many species were exterminated during the oldest ones, the Ordovician and Silurian extinction events. By the end of the Devonian, additional fish as well as the ostracoderms and placoderms had also perished due to the Late Devonian extinction. Conodonts became extinct during the Triassic-Jurassic extinction event, whereas spiny sharks perished during the Permian-Triassic extinction event. Fish diversity and fish supplies have also been impacted by the Cretaceous-Paleogene extinction event and the current Holocene extinction [4].

Overview

Fish may have originated from a creature resembling a coral-like sea squirt called a tunicate, whose larvae have significant similarities with early fish. It is possible that the earliest fish, like certain modern sea squirts, continued to exist in their larval state as adults. The earliest vertebrates, including the first fish, emerged during the Cambrian explosion, which increased biological diversity, some 530 million years ago.

Pikaia, Haikouichthys, and Myllokunmingia were the earliest creatures that were likely closely related to fish, or the ancestors of fish. All three of these genera first emerged approximately 530 Ma. A rudimentary notochord that could later have evolved into a vertebral column was present in Pikaia. These species featured the fundamental vertebrate body plan, including a notochord, simple vertebrae, and clearly defined heads and tails, in contrast to the other fauna that dominated the Cambrian. All of these early vertebrates depended on filter feeding near to the seafloor since they lacked jaws in the conventional sense. These were then followed by unquestionable fossil fish with thick armor that were found in rocks from the Ordovician Period (500–430 Ma) [5].

The first jawed vertebrates emerged in the late Ordovician and proliferated in the Devonian, which is often referred to as the "Age of Fishes." The actinopterygii and sarcopterygii, two families of bony fish, developed and spread across nature. The Placodermi, a group of armored fish that controlled most of the late Silurian, and practically all jawless fishes, with the exception of lampreys and hagfish, perished in the Devonian. The earliest labyrinthodonts, a transitional species between fish and amphibians, also emerged in the Devonian [6].

The settling of new niches led to a variety of body types and sometimes an expansion in size. The placoderm Dunkleosteus, which could reach a length of seven meters, and the first air-breathing fish that could stay on land for lengthy periods of time were among the giants that evolved during the Devonian Period (395 to 345 Ma) [7]. Ancestral amphibians were included in this second category.

In the following Carboniferous epoch, labyrinthodonts gave rise to the reptiles. In the late Paleozoic, anapsid and synapsid amniotas were widespread, and throughout the Mesozoic, diapsids took over as the dominating group. The bony fishes dominated the ocean. Less taxa, mostly those with relatively similar body designs, were engaged in the subsequent radiations, which included those of fish in the Silurian and Devonian eras. Arthropods were the first creatures to set foot on dry soil. Some fish could crawl onto the ground and possessed lungs as well as powerful, bone fins.

Unarmed Fish

Fishes without jaws are classified as members of the superclass Agnatha in the Chordata subphylum of Vertebrata. Agnatha, which means "no jaws" in Greek, is a word. It eliminates all gnathostome vertebrates, or vertebrates with jaws. Jawless fish were widely distributed among the first fish in the early Paleozoic, while being a modest component of the contemporary marine fauna. Haikouichthys and Myllokunmingia are two Early Cambrian animal species that are known from the early Cambrian Maotianshan shales of China [8]. These animals seem to have possessed fins, vertebrate musculature, and gills. Janvier has given them a possible assignment to Agnatha. Haikouella is a potential third agnathid from the same area. Simonetti reported the discovery of a further potential agnathid from the British Columbian Burgess Shale, which has not yet been fully characterized.

Many Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian agnathians had armored plates made of mineralized scales that were hefty, bony, and often intricately carved. It is believed that the earliest armored agnathans, the Ostracoderms, which were the forerunners of bony fish and ultimately of tetrapods (including humans), first appeared in the early Ordovician. By the Late Silurian, the agnathans had achieved the pinnacle of their development. The majority of the ostracoderms, including thelodonts, osteostracans, and galeaspids, were more closely linked to the gnathostomes than to the cyclostomes, which were the surviving agnathans. Cyclostomes seem to have diverged from other agnathans before dentine and bone, which are seen in several ancient agnathans, including conodonts, evolved. In the Devonian, agnathan populations decreased and never recovered.

Because the majority of extinct agnathans belonged to the stem group of gnathostomes, the agnathans as a whole are paraphyletic. The hypothesis that live agnathans, also known as cyclostomes, are monophyletic is highly supported by recent molecular evidence from rRNA and mtDNA. The connections between animals in phylogenetic taxonomy are often shown as a nested "family tree" known as a cladogram rather than as rankings. Definitions of phylogenetic groupings are based on how they relate to one another rather than only on physical characteristics like having a backbone. In a method known as evolutionary taxonomy, this nesting structure is often paired with conventional taxonomy.

The oldest fossil evidence of fishlike creatures is too incomplete to allow for a detailed genealogy of current fishes. The ancestors are thought to have developed in the upper reaches of streams at the end of the Ordovician Period (about 455 million years ago). An incredibly diversified collection of armor-plated fishes with jawlike features, paired fins, and bony skeletal tissue first developed at the end of the Silurian and the start of the Devonian eras that followed. These ancient organisms are referred recognized by paleontologists as the Placodermi subclass. Only a small number of placoderms survived for another 10 million years into the Mississippian subperiod (approximately the Early Carboniferous) after the placoderms achieved their peak in variety and number between the beginning and end of the Devonian (the latter around 350 million years ago). It seems that the Osteichthyes (the bony fishes) and the Chondrichthyes (the cartilaginous fishes) evolved from the placoderms during their blossoming. The two groups clearly developed separately, with the Chondrichthyes emerging considerably later than the Osteichthyes, even if the lines of development are still unknown.

A few shark-like creatures persisted in freshwater habitats, but the majority quickly migrated to the ocean, perhaps in reaction to the dry Devonian climate. There, they developed the urea retention habitus (see above, saline and water balance) to adapt to living in saline water. As opposed to what was originally thought, their cartilaginous skeleton is likely degenerate rather than primitive, and does not reflect an evolutionary stage that preceded the Osteichthyes. The Devonian placoderms known as petalichthyids, which resembled sharks and had well-developed fins and ossified bones, may have been their ancestors.

It is unclear exactly how the chimaeras and sharks and rays are related phylogenetically. The two groups may have evolved independently along parallel paths despite sharing many traits (such as having a cartilaginous skeleton, placoid scales, teeth that are simply embedded in the gums, a spiral valve in the intestine, a habit of urea retention, internal fertilization, and the absence of a swim bladder). The pyctodonts, an order of Devonian placoderms with a body shape and tooth structure that are very similar to contemporary chimaeras, are thought to have given rise to the chimaeras.

The earliest fishes of the Chondrichthyes group were shark-like in appearance. In the Late Devonian (about 380 million years ago), one order, the Pleurocanthodii, which is composed of one family of freshwater shark-like fishes, first emerged. In the Carboniferous and Early Permian (between 360 million and around 270 million years ago), pleurocanthodians were widespread; however, during the Triassic Period that followed, they vanished. These fish had an archipterygial type skeleton, which was composed of pectoral and pelvic fins with an axis and side branches. The tail of the pleurocanthodian was essentially symmetrical, inclined just slightly upward. The teeth featured two diverging prongs and a central cusp set on a button-like base, the anal fin was two-lobed, and the males possessed claspers. A long, moveable spine also protruded from the rear of the skull.

The marine fishes of the other order, the Cladoselachii, are only known from fossils from the late Middle Devonian, Carboniferous, and Early Permian eras. Each tooth in the members of this group had a lengthy base made of tissue that resembled bone. Three conical cusps, a big central one and two lesser ones on each side, emerged from this bonelike substance. The cladoselachians lacked claspers but had several lobes or cusps on their body scales. The caudal (tail) fin's shape was almost symmetrical, but the internal anatomy of the upper and lower lobes varied. The jaws had two points of articulation and extended forward to the snout [9].

The order Hybodontii, which is more closely related to contemporary sharks, descended from the cladoselachians. Some scholars classify them in the order Selachii and believe that they represent an intermediate stage in the development of the selachian. The pectoral and pelvic fins' skeletal support was similar to that of current selachians, despite the jaws having a rudimentary double articulation, with basal components protruding outward into the fins. The teeth closest to the front of the mouth often had sharp cusps, whereas the cusps of teeth further back sometimes had rounder crowns. The teeth in the front were made for grabbing prey, while the ones in the rear were made for crushing mollusks. The hybodonts first arose towards the end of the Devonian, reached their height in the Late Paleozoic, and then became extinct in the later part of the Mesozoic, but some survived until the Late Cretaceous (approximately 80 million years ago).

The Permian epoch marked the end of the Paleozoic era's significant phase of marine vertebrate radiation (diversification). The chondrichthyan fishes, which had seen their greatest blossoming during the Carboniferous, saw a significant decline at this period. They persisted in this state until the Jurassic Period (approximately 190 million years ago), when the sea's extent increased and the land's decreased. The horned shark (Heterodontus), guitarfishes, and six-gilled sharks (Hexanchus) all first emerged during the Jurassic. The majority of the families and many species of contemporary sharks, skates, and rays were extant by the end of the Cretaceous period (about 65 million years ago). Elasmobranch fishes have evolved to a large extent to what is known about them now.

Classified Annotation

Canadian ichthyologist J.S.'s most current methods for a thorough examination of the chondrichthyans are described here. Nelson. Additionally, this taxonomy incorporates parts of the well-known works of American ichthyologists H.B. Large and W.C. Alfred S. Romer, an American paleontologist, and Schroeder. Based on their research, the following summary lists the key traits that distinguish all significant extant groupings.

Paleontology and Evolution

Even while a large number of fossil fish have been discovered and characterized, they only make up a small percentage of fishes' lengthy and intricate evolutionary history. As a result, our understanding of fish evolution is still mostly incomplete. The taxonomy of fishlike vertebrates in this article divides them into seven groups, each of which has individuals with distinct fundamental anatomical arrangements and physical and physiological adaptations to the challenges given by the environment. The overarching fundamental trend has been one of younger, more suited groupings gradually replacing older ones. One or a few group members may have developed a variety of improved methods of living, such as a more effective technique of breathing, eating, or swimming. The members of the older group, with whom they battled for food, breeding grounds, or other requirements of existence, were eventually driven to extinction by these more well-adapted groupings. As the new fish species had a solid foothold, some of them proceeded to develop and adapt to new environments, where they began to supplant the existing members of the old group. Until all or almost all of the old group's members in a variety of habitats had been replaced by individuals from the more recent evolutionary line, the procedure was repeated.

Agnatha: Early Fishes Without Jaws

The oldest vertebrate fossils of specific connections are from North America's Upper Ordovician Period, some 450 million years ago, and are pieces of the dermal armor of jawless fishes (superclass Agnatha, order Heterostraci). There is little certainty that early Ordovician toothlike pieces from the former Soviet Union are agnathan remnants. It is unclear whether the North

American jawless fishes were freshwater animals carried into coastal deposits by stream activity, or if they lived in shallow coastal marine waters where their carcasses got fossilized.

Jawless fish are likely descendants of tiny, soft-bodied, ancient filter-feeding invertebrates that were similar to and likely ancestors of present sand-dwelling Cephalochordata (Amphioxus and its cousins). In the ancestors, a notochord most likely hardened the body. It's possible that the mobility of the body and the protection provided by dermal armor evolved in response to streamflow in the freshwater environment as well as the need to flee from and resist the clawed invertebrate eurypterids that lived in the same waters, even though the origin of vertebrates in fresh water is hotly contested by paleontologists. However, many paleontologists disagree that the vertebrates evolved in fresh water due to the marine distribution of the remaining primitive chordates.

Next, heterostracan remains are discovered in two Silurian-aged North American locales in what seem to be delta deposits. Remains of European heterostracan are discovered around the end of the Silurian, 416 million years ago, in what seem to be delta or coastal deposits. Lagoon or freshwater deposits from the Baltic region's Late Silurian period include jawless fishes of the group Osteostraci. Fragments of jawless fish and the oldest group of jawed vertebrates, jawed acanthodians, may be found in Silurian strata from the same area that are somewhat later in time. These strata look to have been washed out of freshwater coastal waters but are really found between marine beds.

Therefore, it is clear that both jawed and jawless vertebrates had a lengthy history of evolution by the end of the Silurian and were firmly established. However, the few fossils that have been found so far are from specialized species that could not have descended from the placoderms and bony fishes that appeared in the Devonian, the time that followed. There are no fossilized remains of the agnathans and acanthodians' more ancient forebears. There is almost little vertebrate history in the vast marine beds of the Silurian and Ordovician. The progenitors of fish-like animals are thought to have developed in highland fresh waterways, where any sparse and very tiny fossil beds may have long ago been washed away. There may never be evidence of the first creatures' remains.

All known orders of jawless vertebrates had developed by the end of the Silurian, with the possible exception of current cyclostomes, which lack the hard components that are often preserved as fossils. Cyclostomes were not recognized as fossils until 1968, when an Illinois Middle Pennsylvanian lamprey with a modern body form was discovered in sediments dating back more than 300 million years. Deposits older than the Devonian lack fossil traces of the four groups of armored jawless vertebrates. These animals most likely became extinct at that period, being replaced by the placoderms, acanthodians, selachians (sharks and relatives), and early bony fishes, which were more effective and possibly more aggressive. Cyclostomes are thought to have survived because they diverged from anaspid agnathans early on and acquired a rasping tongue-like structure and a sucking mouth that allowed them to feed on other fish. They didn't seem to face any competition from other fish groups due to their method of existence. Because of the similarity in their suctorial mouths, cyclostomes such as hagfishes and lampreys were once believed to be closely related. However, it is now known that the hagfishes, order Myxiniformes, are the most primitive living chordates and are separated from the lampreys, order Petromyzontiformes.

Filter feeding is a feeding method used by the larvae of early jawless vertebrates, including the contemporary lamprey. The early agnathans had a big gill cavity. It is believed that tiny creatures were transferred into the gill cavity together with water for breathing along with small organisms acquired from the bottom by a nibbling movement of the mouth, or more likely by a sucking activity via the mouth. The gill mechanism then filtered out small creatures and sent them to the feeding canal. Thus, the gill system developed as a mechanism for both breathing and eating. A thick layer of dermal armor covered the agnathans' head and gills, leaving their free tail area open to swimming action.

Early development of bone, cartilage, and substances like enamel was crucial for the evolution of fish and vertebrates in general. Later fish adapted these components, allowing them to adapt to various aquatic conditions and eventually onto land. The central nervous system, heart, liver, gastrointestinal tract, kidney, and circulatory system, among other fundamental vertebrate systems and tissues, were probably present in the agnathans' forebears. Bone, both internal and exterior, played a significant role in the development of vertebrates.

Early jawed fishes are Acanthodii

The Acanthodii, the first known class of jawed vertebrates, emerged in the Late Silurian, more than 416 million years ago, and were the second group of fishes to emerge. After the Devonian, the acanthodians started to disappear, although they persisted until the Early Permian, some 280 million years ago. The earliest complete specimens are found in freshwater Lower Devonian sediments, although some individuals subsequently seem to have been marine in the Devonian and Permian. The majority of the fishes were tiny, with a maximum length of 75 cm (about 30 inches).

The acanthodians' antecedents are unknown to us. They have to have evolved from a jawless vertebrate, most likely in freshwater. With practically minimal head armor and huge eyes, they seem to have been aggressive swimmers who relied greatly on eyesight. They could have eaten invertebrates as prey. The pectoral and pelvic fins' rows of spines and spine-like fins provide some support to the theory that paired fins developed from "fin folds" along the body sides.

The acanthodians' connections to other jawed vertebrates are unclear. They have characteristics shared by bony fish and sharks. Similar to early bony fish, they have internal skeletons that have partly ossified and scales that resemble ganoid gills. The bony fin spines and several features of the gill apparatus would seem to favor links with early sharks, despite the fact that some characteristics of the jaw resemble those of bony fishes rather than sharks. Although they seem to have had less effective tooth replacement and tooth structure than sharks and bony fishes, acanthodians do not appear to be especially related to the Placodermi, which may have contributed to their eventual demise.

Placodermi: Fish with Plate-Skin

The Early Devonian, or around 400 million years ago, is the earliest known record of the jawed Placodermi. At the end of the Devonian, the placoderms had been extinct for over 60 million years.

Their predecessors, who must have lived in the Silurian, are unknown. Following the advent of the placoderms, numerous other fish families evolved that were more suited to their environment, which is thought to have caused the early demise of the placoderms. Most of them became successful towards the middle of the Devonian, when some of them evolved into marine organisms. The majority of these fish possessed thick coverings of bone armor, notably on the head and front section of the body, as their name, placoderm, which means "plate skin," suggests. The tail remained loose and heterocercal (lower lobe tiny or absent, top lobe large). The majority of placoderms remained tiny, about 30 cm (12 inches) or less in length, although the arthrodires, one group, had a few maritime individuals that grew as long as 10 meters (approximately 33 feet).

The development of the fins, particularly the paired fins with well-formed basal or radial components, and the jaws, which were often amphistylic and included the hyoid and quadrate bones, were significant evolutionary improvements for placoderms. The jaws often consisted of solitary components with firmly fastened toothlike features. These jaws were too specialized to be regarded as ancestors of later bony fish groups' more versatile jaws. Although this theory is dubious, it has been suggested that the chimaera line (class Holocephali) evolved from certain arthrodires, and that sharks evolved from a group of placoderms close to the Stensioelliformes.

Even though it is sometimes grouped with placoderms, the odd 5-cm (2-inch) fossilized fish Palaeospondylus found in Middle Devonian rocks in Scotland is probably not a placoderm. Diverse hypotheses about its links with agnathans, placoderms, acanthodians, sharks, even lungfishes and amphibians, are unpersuasive, and we still don't know anything about them.

DISCUSSION

Chondrichthyes: Rays and Sharks

About 400 million years ago, the Early Devonian saw the emergence of the first sharks (class Chondrichthyes), which rose to prominence by the Devonian's conclusion and are still in existence today. While the freshwater order Xenacanthiformes persisted until the end of the Triassic, around 200 million years ago, two Early Devonian orders of primitive sharklike fishes, the Cladoselachiformes and the Cladodontiformes, became extinct by the end of the Permian, about 251 million years ago. Heterodontiformes, the last Devonian order, is still alive today.

Around 200 million to 145.5 million years ago, during the Jurassic Period, modern sharks and rays evolved from an earlier species called hybodont sharks. The hybodont Heterodontiformes most likely evolved from marine cladoselachians towards the end of the Devonian. These featured fins that were more effective in pairs and the placoderm amphystylic jaws. The ancient Port Jackson sharks (heterodonts), which are still alive but still feed mollusks, are likely to have descended from the hybodonts. It is uncertain what the surviving (but ancient) hexanchiform sharks are related to. The Carcharhiniformes (ground sharks), Lamniformes (mackerel sharks), and Rajiformes (skates and rays) are the three principal orders of current Selachii, and they all first arose during the Jurassic Period. They are distinguished by a hyostylic jaw (in which articulation includes just the hyoid bone), an advancement allowing for more jaw movement and a crucial component of the contemporary selachians' predation techniques.

During the Jurassic Period, skates and rays descended from a bottom-dwelling shark-like progenitor. The Cretaceous Period and Cenozoic Era saw the main development and diversity of current sharks, skates, and rays. Therefore, the majority of living sharks, skates, and rays, together with the teleost fishes (described below), are fundamentally of very recent origin, with their primary evolutionary radiation having taken place since Jurassic times.

Holocephali

Although the chimaeras, also known as ratfishes, or members of the class Holocephali, initially existed in the Late Devonian, they were most prevalent and diverse throughout the Mesozoic Era. The Cretaceous Period ended 65.5 million years ago, and just one of the seven orders that were recognized at the time continued to exist. Even though there are few current chimaera species known, they may sometimes be seen in large numbers in their deep-sea environment.

These fishes' affinities are up for debate. They are thought to be connected to the Devonian ptyctodont arthrodires, which featured pelvic claspers and a chimaera-like form. Holocephalians and the Selachii are thought to be closely related since they share a number of traits, including placoid scales, pelvic claspers, and the lack of real bone. On the basis of the gill arch features, it has been proposed that both holocephalians and selachians are linked to the acanthodians. To address the issue of their categorization and linkages, further evidence is required.

Fish With Fleshy Fins Are Called Sarcopterygii

The first remnants of fish in the class Sarcopterygii were discovered in Lower Devonian layers in Germany. By the end of the Devonian, some scholars claim that the rhipidistians one of the three sarcopterygian groups gave birth to the amphibians, while others think that the coelacanths and the dipnoans (lungfish) were the two other groups from which the tetrapods sprang. About 120 million years later, at the start of the Permian, the rhipidistians became extinct, but the coelacanths and the dipnoans have persisted, although in tiny numbers. The early sarcopterygians exhibit various commonalities that provide credence to the theory that they shared an ancestor. The ancestor's characteristics are still a mystery. The sarcopterygians most likely descended from unidentified Silurian freshwater fishes with jaws, which may also have been the actinopterygians' ancestors.

According to some experts, rhipidistian crossopterygians thrived in the freshwaters of the Middle Devonian, where some of them evolved pectoral and pelvic appendages strong enough and flexible enough to allow them to leave drying pools in search of ponds that retained water. This adaptation was made in response to a habitat subject to seasonal droughts. Ironically, the necessity to live in water gave rise to the earliest terrestrial amphibians.

Before the Late Permian and Triassic, when they transitioned to marine life, the early coelacanths of the Late Devonian were tiny freshwater and inshore fishes [10]. Since they are not often thought of as fossils older than the Cretaceous, it came as a huge surprise when, in 1938, a living specimen measuring 160 cm (63 inches) was collected at a depth of 120 meters (about 390 feet) off the coast of eastern South Africa. Off the coast of the Indonesian island of Sulawesi, a second species of live coelacanth was found in 1997.

The Early Devonian is when the dipnoans first emerged and were completely distinct. They were in full bloom until the Triassic Period's end, after which their numbers drastically declined [11]. Little distinguishes the contemporary Australian lungfish from one of the Triassic versions. The lungfishes that are still alive today are elongated, specialized fishes suited to live and thrive in more or less yearly ponds, notably in Africa and South America.

CONCLUSION

Insights about the evolution and diversity of one of the oldest vertebrate groups may be gained through studying fish, which is a fascinating voyage through the history of life on Earth. The paraphyletic group of fishes includes a wide variety of species, including jawless lampreys and hogfish as well as bony fish, cartilaginous sharks, and rays. Our understanding of the complexity of the natural world and the development of vertebrates as a whole is greatly enhanced by knowing more about their evolutionary past. Fish have evolved in surprising ways over millions of years to adapt to different aquatic habitats, giving rise to a variety of body types, behaviors, and ecological niches. Important turning points in this evolutionary process include the change from aquatic to terrestrial life, the appearance of jaws, the transformation of fins into limbs, and the creation of lungs in lungfishes and certain bony fish. The evolution of fish has also had a significant impact on the development of other creatures and ecosystems. For instance, prey species have evolved a variety of defensive systems and behaviors in response to the emergence of predatory fish species. Additionally, research on the evolution of fish has shed light on wider evolutionary concepts, such as genetic alterations, environmental adaptability, and the significance of transitional species in the fossil record. Even though a lot of ground has been covered in the study of fish evolution, many unanswered issues and mysteries still exist. The complexity of fish evolution is still being clarified by developments in molecular biology, paleontology, and comparative anatomy, providing a greater knowledge of the origins and connections among various fish taxa as well as their position in the tree of life.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. Freyhof, "Fish, Systematics and Evolution," in *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*, 2009. doi: 10.1016/B978-012370626-3.00193-9.

[2] Y. Sato, M. Miya, T. Fukunaga, T. Sado, and W. Iwasaki, "MitoFish and mifish pipeline: A mitochondrial genome database of fish with an analysis pipeline for environmental DNA metabarcoding," *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy074.

[3] M. Hori *et al.*, "Laterality is Universal among Fishes but Increasingly Cryptic among Derived Groups," *Zoolog. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.2108/zs160196.

[4] D. R. Bellwood, C. H. R. Goatley, and O. Bellwood, "The evolution of fishes and corals on reefs: Form, function and interdependence," *Biol. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/brv.12259.

[5] M. Kerschbaumer and C. Sturmbauer, "The Utility of Geometric Morphometrics to Elucidate Pathways of Cichlid Fish Evolution," *Int. J. Evol. Biol.*, 2011, doi: 10.4061/2011/290245.

[6] A. B. Poletto *et al.*, "Chromosome differentiation patterns during cichlid fish evolution," *BMC Genet.*, 2010, doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-11-50.

[7] D. R. Bellwood, A. S. Hoey, O. Bellwood, and C. H. R. Goatley, "Evolution of long-toothed fishes and the changing nature of fish-benthos interactions on coral reefs," *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, doi: 10.1038/ncomms4144.

[8] I. A. Hurley *et al.*, "A new time-scale for ray-finned fish evolution," *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 2007, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3749.

[9] C. Langevin, E. Aleksejeva, G. Passoni, N. Palha, J. P. Levraud, and P. Boudinot, "The antiviral innate immune response in fish: Evolution and conservation of the IFN system," *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2013.09.033.

[10] P. A. Wright and A. J. Turko, "Amphibious fishes: Evolution and phenotypic plasticity," *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2016. doi: 10.1242/jeb.126649.

[11] K. L. M. Martin and J. B. Graham, "Air-Breathing Fishes: Evolution, Diversity, and Adaptation," *Copeia*, 1998, doi: 10.2307/1447734.

CHAPTER 2

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH SENSES

Praveen Kumar Singh, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- dr.pksnd@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Fish have a remarkable variety of sensory adaptations that have developed through time to help them survive and communicate in the challenging underwater conditions where they live. This study explores the many sensory processes that support fishes' life, highlighting their astounding capacity to comprehend and react to their environment. Fishes have evolved sophisticated sensory systems during millions of years of evolution, including strong eyesight that covers a range beyond human understanding, acute hearing, mechanoreception via lateral lines, and chemosensory systems that can sense minute changes in water chemistry. Not only is it important for managing and protecting these species in a fast-changing environment, but it is also a sign of the complexity of fish senses. We get a greater understanding of the complexities of underwater existence and the difficulties fishes encounter in a constantly changing aquatic environment as we investigate the sensory world of fishes.

KEYWORDS:

Fish Senses, Mechanoreception, Species, Underwater Existence, Water Chemistry.

INTRODUCTION

Vertebrate ears seem to have evolved along several paths, although they all started with the same fundamental form of mechanoreceptor, the labyrinth. Two labyrinths are present in all vertebrates, and they are located close to the brain on the side of the head. They include a variety of sensory endings, the main jobs of which are to control muscle tone a partial contraction of the muscles and to establish the position and motion of the head and body.

The picture depicts generic drawings of vertebrate labyrinths with the typical placements of the sensory terminals for the various vertebrate groups marked [1]. There are two main divisions of these endings: an inferior division, which includes the saccule (a small sac) and its derivatives, and a superior division, which includes the three semicircular canals, the organs connected to the sense of balance, and the utricle, the small sac into which the semicircular canals open. The endolymphatic duct, which arises at or near the junction of the utricle and the saccule, terminates in an endolymphatic sac; this structure presumably controls fluid pressures inside the labyrinth and assists in waste removal.

With the exception of the cyclostomes (such as hagfishes and lampreys), the superior division of the labyrinth is surprisingly consistent in shape across the vertebrates [2]. Each semicircular canal in the utricle has a crista at its end, which is where the macular ending, or macula utriculi, is located. A papilla neglecta is found in all vertebrate groups, with the exception of placental mammals and a few other sporadic species. It is often seen on the utricle's floor or close to where the saccule and utricle join.

The macula sacculi, a saccule with its macula, are always present in the lower division of the labyrinth, although the derivatives of the saccule vary significantly across the various vertebrate groups. The lagena, also known as the macula lagenae, is a curving, flask-shaped feature found in teleosts (bony fish), amphibians, reptiles, and birds. A papilla amphibiorum is only seen in amphibians and is situated close to the point where the utricle and the saccule converge. There is a papilla basilaris in certain amphibians, all reptiles, birds, and mammals. It is a highly detailed structure that is often referred to as a cochlea in higher species [3]. The term "organ of Corti" refers to the complex sensory architecture of higher kinds of ears that include hair cells and supporting components.

The ciliated cells and accessory cells that make up the plates that make up the macular endings are all topped by an otolith, which is a calcareous mass made up of numerous calcium carbonate particles embedded in a gelatinous matrix or, in the case of teleosts, a single large mass of calcium carbonate. The sensory cells have extended cilia that are lodged in a gelatinous body, the cupula, which acts as a kind of valve across an inflated section of each semicircular canal. These mound-like groupings of sensory cells are present in the crista ends along with supporting cells. Except in amphibians, where the papillae reside on a solid foundation, the papillae are composed of plates or ribbons of ciliated cells in a structural framework that lays on a moveable membrane. These ciliated cells aren't covered by an otolithic mass or a cupula, but some of the cilia are connected either directly or indirectly to a tectorial membrane (a membrane with one edge fixed to a stationary base, thereby anchoring the cilia) or to an inertia body (a mass covering the ciliated cells and restricting the cilia's movements).

The ends serve a variety of purposes: the crista organs are used to sense rotational acceleration, the macular organs are used to detect abrupt movements, and the papillae are used for hearing. The auditory ends are either generated from the primitive labyrinthine epithelium or from the other labyrinthine receptors, as suggested by anatomical relationships.

Fish with hearing

The auditory papillae are absent in cyclostomes and elasmobranchs (such as sharks and rays), but they do have a labyrinth with maculae and cristae. However, there are two potential mechanisms by which some of these cartilaginous fish, particularly the sharks, respond to underwater sounds: either via the lateral-line apparatus or the macular organs. The earliest real ear with a hearing function among vertebrates may be found in bony fishes (teleosts). The efficiency of this ear, which may take many different shapes, as a sound receiver varies across fish; some have good hearing, while others have poor hearing. The variations result, at least in part, from the supporting processes that facilitate the use of sound energy [4].

The fundamental auditory systems of teleosts

The auditory system in the majority of fishes, particularly in many marine species, is rather basic and consists of macular endings that seem to have been repurposed from their original roles as motion and gravity detectors. The end organ's innervation the nerve supply includes connections that carry auditory information has undergone the most significant alteration, not the shape of the end organ. The saccular macula and likely the lagenar macula in most teleosts have transitioned to an auditory role, whereas the utricular macula still serves as a sensor for gravity and motion.

Through the use of the inertia principle, sound stimulates the teleost ear's simple macular ending. The majority of the fish's tissues vibrate uniformly as a result of sound waves easily traveling through the water and into the body of the animal. However, the macular otolith is a discontinuity and shows an inertia effect (resistance to movement) since its density is higher than that of the other tissues. Its movements are not only slower than those of the tissues around it, but they also likely have a smaller amplitude. As a result, when there is sound, the otoliths and other tissues move relative to one another. More precisely, there is relative motion between the cilia of the hair cells, the ends of which are in touch with the otolith, and the bodies of the hair cells, which sit on a tissue foundation. The very minor density differential between bodily tissues and otoliths renders this approach of activating the auditory hair cells ineffective [5].

Unique Stimulation Techniques

The discontinuity between the otolith and a gas bubble, which is roughly 1,000 times larger than the one between tissue and otolith in certain species of teleosts, has boosted the efficacy of hair-cell stimulation. Although there are other physical ways to do it, the most basic structure is a gas-filled sac that rests against one wall of the labyrinth and is present in clupeids, mormyrids, labyrinthine fishes, and a few other species. Long anterior extensions of the swim bladder create air sacs, one next to each utricular macula, in clupeids (such as herring), a group in which the utricular macula rather than the saccular or lagenar maculae has an auditory role. A similar state develops in the mormyrids, which include elephant-nosed fish, during infancy; but, throughout adult development, the connections with the swim bladder vanish, leaving the air sacs attached to the saccular and lagenar ends. Special glands that take gas from the blood then maintain the gas content of these sacs. Other processes may also produce air sacs.

There is no air sac close to the labyrinth in one big group of fishes known as the Ostariophysi (including catfishes, minnows, and carps), but a presumably comparable state is reached by a mechanical link between the swim bladder and fluid chambers next to the labyrinth. The Weberian ossicles are a group of three to four tiny bones that stretch from the swim bladder's anterior wall to the atrium, a chamber filled with fluid that is connected to the two labyrinths in the saccule-lagena complex via fluid passageways. The swim bladder's air and the chain of ossicles in touch with it are where there is a discontinuity in this arrangement. The ossicular (bony) chain and the fluid channels are where the relative motion caused by sound stimulation is sent to the macular terminals. But regardless of the technique used, the teleost fish's ear is essentially a macular organ. This ear is of the velocity type because it is triggered by sound that is transferred to tissues near the sensory cells and that acts differently on these cells.

Fish Have Sensitive Hearing

Although there are few experimental data, it is apparent that fish with the accessory mechanisms mentioned above have greater sensitivity and a wider frequency range than fish without them.

While many fish have upper frequency limits of about 1,000 hertz, the Ostariophysi and other specialized types have upper frequency limits of about 3,000 hertz.

The issue of aural sensitivity in fish has been the subject of several investigations, but the goldfish, a subspecies of carp in the Ostariophysi, has been the species that has been investigated the most. After training with an electric shock, the sound intensities necessary to block respiratory movements were examined in one well controlled experiment. Around 350 hertz was determined to have the most sensitivity, while at 1,000 hertz, sensitivity gradually decreased. The subject of whether fish can discern between tones of various frequencies is especially interesting given the straightforward physical nature of the ear. According to two studies addressing this issue, the frequency shift that is just discernible is four cycles for a tone at 50 hertz and rises consistently, first gradually and then more quickly when the frequency is increased.

When amphibians hear

Apoda, which includes legless, wormlike species like caecilians, Urodela, which includes tailed species like mudpuppies, newts, and salamanders, and Anura, which includes tailless species like frogs and toads, make up the three orders of living amphibians. Despite the fact that all three orders contain individuals with ears, little is known about these organs outside of such developed species as frogs.

The frog's auditory system

The middle-ear system is highly developed in the frog despite the lack of external ears (structures on the outside that guide sound waves inside). A cartilage disk covered in skin that is flush with the surface of the skull on either side functions as an eardrum. A rod of cartilage and bone, known as the columella, extends from this disk's inner surface through an air-filled chamber to the inner ear. The stapes, which is an enlargement at the columella's extremity, makes touch with the otic capsule's fluids through an orifice called the oval window. The round window is a second hole in the otic capsule that is protected by a thin, flexible membrane and is bordered on the outside by a fluid-filled gap that may expand into the middle ear's air-filled cavity. When sound waves with varying pressures force the eardrum to vibrate, the vibrations travel via the columella and through the oval window to the inner ear, where they are retransmitted by movements of the inner-ear fluids along a route across the otic capsule to the round window [6]. Two auditory terminals, the basilar and amphibian papillae, which have sensory hair cells that are triggered by fluid movements, are located along this channel. A tectorial membrane, which is hanging from the hair cells in such a manner that it may be moved by the oscillations of the inner-ear fluids, transmits these movements to the ciliary tufts of the sensory cells.

The papillae, which initially arise in amphibians as sense organs for hearing, contain cells that perform the same function as those in lower vertebrates. The amphibian papilla, which is present in all amphibians, and the basilar papilla, which is present in certain amphibians, are the two different forms of papillae. The papillae likely reflect two independent evolutionary developments because of their varied locations inside the inner ear. A tectorial membrane that moves in response to sound vibrations conveyed to it by the fluids of the inner ear directly activates the sensory hair cells by connecting to their cilia. This mechanical concept is used by no other animal group. The tips of the ciliary tufts in all higher kinds of ears, however, are restricted in one of many ways while the sensory cells themselves are put in motion by the sound vibrations.

DISCUSSION

Amphibians are sensitive to sound

Despite the widespread belief that all amphibians have some kind of hearing, only salamanders other than anurans have undergone experimental research. Only low frequencies, up to 244 hertz in one specimen and 218 hertz in three others, elicited responses from salamanders that had been taught to come for food when they heard a tone.

Tadpoles live in the water as larvae before undergoing a metamorphosis that prepares them for life on land, which is why frogs are of particular interest and have been researched in greater detail. The middle-ear mechanism undergoes significant changes throughout metamorphosis. The tadpole larva probably possesses an aquatic ear that develops into an aerial kind. The energetic and often loud croaking of adult frogs during the mating season has piqued interest in their hearing. Evidently, their vocalizations help them find and choose partners [7]. Leg motions in response to intense tactile stimuli may be accelerated or even suppressed by noises, according to the first experimental research of auditory sensitivity in frogs, conducted in 1905.

Two further techniques were used to measure the sensitivity and range of frogs' hearing subsequently, after several failed efforts to teach them to respond behaviorally to sonic stimuli. One of these included observing changes in the electrical potentials of the skin (electrodermal reactions) to sonic stimuli, while the other involved recording changes in the electrical potentials of the inner ear and auditory nerve. These experiments allowed for the recording of the bullfrog's inner ear potentials and electrodermal responses throughout a frequency range of 100 to 3,500 hertz. These identical reactions have been seen in treefrogs throughout a frequency range of 50 to 3,000 hertz, with the highest sensitivity occurring between 600 and 800 hertz and once more at 2,000 hertz.

Bullfrogs and green frogs' auditory nerves include impulses from single fibers, which suggests the presence of two different kinds of auditory nerve fibers. This has led to the theory that they stand for the various traits of the basilar and amphibian papillae. The basilar papilla is thought to be more sensitive to high tones, whereas the amphibian papilla is thought to be more sensitive to low tones.

The acoustic architecture of reptiles

The four orders of extant reptiles are the Crocodylia (or Crocodilia; crocodiles and alligators), Testudines (turtles), Sphenodontida (tuataras), and Squamata (lizards, snakes, and amphisbaenians). The reptile ear has many different forms, particularly within the suborder Sauria (lizards), and variations occur in all aspects of its structure: the external ear is frequently absent or may be made up of an auditory meatus (passage) of varying length; the middle ear displays several forms in the different groups; and the inner ear differs in the extent to which the auditory papilla has developed as well as in the ways in which the sensory cells are stimulated by sound.

Lizards

Auditory System

There are around 20 different families of lizards, from the diverging chameleon to the gecko, some of which have the most developed ears within the group. The auditory papilla of the species that have so far been investigated, chameleons, only has 40 to 50 sensory hair cells. On the other hand, geckos contain a few hundred hair cells, and the Gekko gecko has the most hair cells of any saurian—about 1,600. Other lizard species range from having between 60 and 200 hair cells in their inner ear, depending on the species. Iguanids are the most prevalent lizards in the Western Hemisphere [8].

The tympanic membrane and a two-element ossicular chain that connects its inner surface to the oval window of the otic capsule make up what may be considered the typical kind of middle-ear anatomy in lizards. The stapes, the expanded innermost end of the osseous (bony) columella, and the extracolumella, a cartilaginous extension that typically spreads out in two to four processes and is embedded in the fibrous layer of the tympanic membrane, make up the ossicular chain. A single middle-ear muscle found in geckos is connected to the lateral portion of the extracolumella; it is clear that when this muscle contracts, the extracolumella stiffens, damping ossicular movements and shielding the ear from extremely loud noises.

The basilar membrane, which is a plate of connective tissue, is what makes up the auditory portion (cochlea) of the inner ear. The basilar membrane has a variety of shapes, from a simple oval in iguanids to a long, tapering ribbon in gekkonids. It differs from the structure of the same name in amphibians and is certainly of a distinct origin. The center section of the basilar membrane is significantly thickened in several species, particularly in specific cochlear areas. The auditory papilla proper, or that portion of the cochlea where the sensory hair cells are maintained in a framework of supporting tissues and cells, is located above this thickening, known as the fundus. The number of cells in a row varies around the cochlea, with the hair cells typically arranged in regular transverse rows. They feature a tuft of graduated-length cilia, or "sensory hairs," on their bodies, with the longest often being directly or indirectly linked to a tectorial membrane. This membrane develops from a typically raised, sometimes conspicuously so, area of the limbus and travels as a thin web or sheet to the area of the hair cells. Rarely does the free edge of the tectorial membrane make a direct connection with the cilia of the hair cells; instead, connecting structures are often intermediate and may range from small fibers to rather large plates [9].

The ciliary tuft of a hair cell is immobilized by the tectorial membrane and the connections it has to it when the hair cell's body moves in harmony with the basilar membrane on which it sits. This stimulates the cell by causing a relative motion between the ciliary tuft and the cell body. All auditory stimulation ultimately relies on this relative motion, and the methods just discussed for getting there might be thought of as the most basic method by which sounds are experienced. Although it is used in the vast majority of ears, there are other ways to stimulate them. Another way is seen in the ciliary tufts of fish ears, where an otolith rests on them and, by its inertia, lessens and modifies the motion of the tuft in relation to the cell body. Another technique is seen in the frog papilla, where the cochlear fluids move the tectorial membrane while the sensory cell's body stays at rest.

The inertia principle takes on a different shape in certain lizards than it does in fish. In the former, as the cell body moves, a body known as a sallet rests on the ciliary tufts of a collection of hair cells and prevents the cilia from moving due to its inertia (or any analogous mechanism). As a consequence, the hair cells are stimulated and moved relative to one another, similar to how a tectorial membrane restrains us more often [10].

Only the inertial restraint way of stimulation is visible in the ears of two lizard groups; in many other families, this approach is functional for certain hair cells in particular parts of the cochlea. However, due of its numerous benefits, hair-cell stimulation by two or more distinct configurations inside the same cochlea is the norm rather than the exception. The sallet system likewise achieves excellent sensitivity, but in a different manner. It does so by forcing several cells those in common contact with a particular sallet to function in simultaneously and produce a spatial summation, in contrast to the tectorial-restraint approach, which gives exceptional sensitivity for individual cells. The sallet system has the benefit of being less susceptible to injury from very stimulating noises. When exposed to intense sound, it has been found that all of the tectorial connections to the hair cells in lizards with about equal numbers of tectorial and sallet systems, like geckos, shatter while the sallet connections remain intact. The animal can still hear, although with diminished clarity, despite the fact that its most sensitive hair cells are inactive.

Lizards' capacity for hearing

The cochlea of lizards, the lowest vertebrates, has a well-developed spatial differentiation in which certain sections react to various tonal frequencies. In frogs, where the varied reactions to tones by the two papillae may provide some information about the pitch of sounds, the challenge of tonal discrimination has been partially addressed. The process in frogs is, however, inadequate since, at most, it can only provide rudimentary and unreliable indications.

The cochlea of certain lizards, such iguanids and agamids, has a minimal amount of structural diversity. Others, like geckos, show differentiation that is almost as broad as that seen in higher vertebrates like humans. Geckos have this differentiation along their wide basilar membranes. The majority of geckos are nocturnal by nature, and they employ vocalizations to protect their unique territories and, most likely, to mate.

Despite the fact that two lizard species Lacerta agilis and Lacerta vivipara can be trained to produce feeding motions in response to a range of sounds, including tones between 69 and 8,200 hertz, most efforts to get lizards to dependably react to tonal stimuli have been unsuccessful. Recording electrical responses in the ear and the auditory nerve system is the only practical technique so far discovered to explore these animals' receptivity to sound. The peripheral reaction to noises has been studied via such investigations, but other aspects of the neurological and behavioral systems are not covered.

There are significant differences in the absolute sensitivity, the tonal areas where responsiveness is strongest, and the frequency range of electrical responses in the cochlea of numerous lizard ears.

According to research, most lizards have average hearing for lower and higher tones and excellent auditory sensitivity for tones between 100 and 4,000 hertz. Although somewhat more constrained than that of other animals, its hearing range is not significantly different from that of humans.

Snakes

Without a doubt, certain early lizard species gave rise to snakes, which lost their legs as a result of developing burrowing behaviors. While some snake species do burrow, many dwell on the ground's surface, while others are mostly aquatic, and yet others reside in trees. All, however, exhibit severe ear alterations that reflect their early experience as burrowers. For instance, none of them have an external ear, which would be an aperture for sound to enter the brain at the surface. This characteristic has led to the assumption that snakes are deaf or that they can only detect vibrations that reach them via the ground on which they crawl. This fact, together with an apparent indifference to airborne noises, has also contributed to this assumption.

This assumption is false; snakes are capable of receiving certain airborne sound waves via a system that acts as a stand-in for the tympanic membrane. This mechanism is made up of the quadrate bone, a thin plate of bone that was formerly a part of the skull but has mostly separated from it and is kept in place by ligaments. It serves as a sound pressure receiving surface and is located under the skin and muscle of the face. The columella conveys the received vibrations to its enlarged inner end, which is located in the oval window of the cochlea. It is joined to the inner surface of the quadrate bone. The sensitivity of the ear is considerably diminished if the columella is cut.

Although the sensitivity of a snake's ear varies depending on the species, it is only really sensitive to low-frequency tones, typically those between 100 and 700 hertz. The vast bulk of the conducting mechanism and the existence of tissues covering the quadrate bone are not particularly significant at this low range. Additionally, although most snakes' sensitivity to the center of the low-tone range is lower than that of most other kinds of ears, it is not much lower. The sensitivity is comparable to that of the majority of lizards with typical ear openings and middle ear mechanisms in a few snakes, however.

By recording the potentials in the cochlea of one ear while rotating the animal in front of a sound-wave source so that the ear being studied was sometimes directed toward the source and other times away from it, it was demonstrated that the snake's ears receive some aerial sound waves in addition to relying solely on vibrations transmitted from the ground. When the ear was pointed toward the source, the potentials that were recorded were noticeably higher. If the sound caused vibrations in the ground before being conveyed to the body, there would have been no difference in the reactions. This finding demonstrates that a snake's ears can identify a sound's direction based on the relative intensity of the two ears. Although all ears react to vibrations delivered to the head, snakes may detect vibrations from the ground that are present at a sufficient strength. However, this capacity is not unique to snakes.

Amphisbaenians

Reptiles belonging to the little-known amphisbaenians clade. They are seldom observed since they are burrowers and spend virtually all of their time underground. A variety of species are present in various parts of the globe, particularly in South America and Africa. Rhineura floridana, the only species in the United States, is found in certain areas of Florida.

The creatures create a network of tunnels under the earth, which they patrol in search of food like grubs and worms. Amphisbaenians don't seem to utilize their eyesight much, despite the fact that they have tiny eyes below the body's surface that can receive light via a transparent scale. However, there is evidence to suggest that they utilize hearing to find their prey.

Amphisbaenians lack a surface ear structure, similar to snakes, but vibrations are sent to the inner ear through a distinct receptive mechanism. A stapes is located in the oval window, which spans the whole lateral surface of the otic capsule. Most species have a lateral and forward-facing stapes head that is joined at the joint with an extracolumella, a rod of cartilage that projects forward along the face in the direction of the lower jaw. Below the skin's surface, the extracolumella comes into close contact with and then penetrates a thick layer of skin. The extracolumellar rod, the stapes, and subsequently the fluid of the inner ear are all where sound vibrations that are exposed to the face area eventually reach [11]. Cutting the extracolumella in various locations and monitoring how the recorded responses in the ear change have been used to demonstrate that this is the path used for sound to travel. Although it varies somewhat across species, amphisbaenians' auditory mechanisms are largely the same as those mentioned above. In certain species, the sensitivity, which varies with species as well, is unexpectedly great given the peculiar nature of the mechanism at play. Studies akin to those reported for snakes have shown that this ear hears airborne noises and can pinpoint the sound's location of origin. This ear also reacts as predicted to mechanical vibrations sent directly to the skull [12].

CONCLUSION

The extraordinary flexibility and variety of life in watery conditions are shown by the sensory capacities of fish. Fish have evolved a diverse range of sensory systems during millions of years of evolution that enable them to move, communicate, find food, evade predators, and flourish in a variety of aquatic settings. Fish have developed sophisticated senses that allow them to detect and understand their environment, ranging from the acute senses of sight, smell, and hearing to more specialized systems like electroreception and the lateral line. The capacity of fish senses to receive inputs across several modalities, sometimes using multiple senses at once, is one of their most fascinating features. By giving them a thorough grasp of their surroundings, this multimodal perception improves their chances of survival and procreation. For instance, the combination of visual and lateral line signals aids fish in detecting and reacting to water currents and surrounding objects, and certain species can detect prey or navigate in murky waters thanks to electroreception. The variances in their sensory adaptations are a reflection of the variety of fish species. For instance, the diverse variety of sensory techniques used by various fish is highlighted by the keen eyesight of predators like sharks and the capacity of certain species to detect the tiniest electric fields. Additionally, the study of fish senses has important effects that go beyond ichthyology. It sheds light on the foundational ideas underlying sensory biology, evolution, and ecology. The results of studies on fish sensory systems often have larger implications, such as the creation of technology for underwater robots, sensory prostheses, and environmental monitoring.

REFERENCES:

[1] H. Herzog, B. Klein, and A. Ziegler, “Form and function of the teleost lateral line revealed using three-dimensional imaging and computational fluid dynamics,” *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 2017, doi: 10.1098/rsif.2016.0898.

[2] J. Giske, G. Huse, and Ø. Fiksen, “Modelling spatial dynamics of fish,” *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.*, 1998, doi: 10.1023/A:1008864517488.

[3] A. Klein and H. Bleckmann, “Determination of object position, vortex shedding frequency and flow velocity using artificial lateral line canals,” *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, doi: 10.3762/bjnano.2.32.

[4] A. Kasumyan, “The vestibular system and sense of equilibrium in fish,” *J. Ichthyol.*, 2004.

[5] S. Mukherjee, “Mineral fish: Their morphological classification, usefulness as shear sense indicators and genesis,” *Int. J. Earth Sci.*, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s00531-010-0535-0.

[6] C. M. Huijbers *et al.*, “A test of the senses: Fish select novel habitats by responding to multiple cues,” *Ecology*, 2012, doi: 10.1890/10-2236.1.

[7] K. Von Frisch, “The sense of hearing in fish,” *Nature*, 1938, doi: 10.1038/141008a0.

[8] R. Fay, “Soundscapes and the sense of hearing of fishes.,” *Integrative zoology*. 2009. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-4877.2008.00132.x.

[9] J. Horowitz, R. L. Pressey, G. G. Gurney, A. S. Wenger, and K. A. Pahang, “Investigating stakeholder perceptions of fish decline: Making sense of multiple mental models,” *Sustain.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10041222.

[10] E. R. Loew, “Fish Senses,” *Environ. Biol. Fishes*, 2005, doi: 10.1007/s10641-005-2923-z.

[11] C. Agrillo and A. Bisazza, “Understanding the origin of number sense: A review of fish studies,” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2018. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0511.

[12] J. Marshall, “The Senses of Fish: Adaptations for the Reception of Natural Stimuli,” *Fish Fish.*, 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-2979.2006.00207.x.

CHAPTER 3

A BRIEF STUDY ON FISH RESPIRATION

Sunil Kumar, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- sunilagro.chaudhary@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

All living things must breathe to survive, and fish have developed sophisticated underwater respiratory systems to get oxygen from the water. The intriguing topic of fish respiration is explored in this chapter, which also explains the variety of adaptations that have made it possible for these aquatic animals to survive and flourish in different aquatic conditions. Fishes use a variety of respiration techniques, from specific adaptations for air breathing in low oxygen conditions to gills that effectively collect dissolved oxygen. Fish can effectively collect oxygen from water thanks to the countercurrent exchange mechanism in their gills, which is a key component of fish respiratory physiology. Additionally, a thorough knowledge of fish respiration is essential for recognizing the incredible adaptations that fish have made as well as for solving problems with pollution, climate change, and water quality that affect fish populations and their habitats. Investigating the intricate processes of fish respiration helps us understand the amazing mechanisms that have developed over millions of years to support life in aquatic habitats.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Habitats, Fish Populations, Fish Respiration, Respiration Techniques, Respiratory Physiology.

INTRODUCTION

Water contains dissolved oxygen and carbon dioxide, and the majority of fish exchange this gas via their gills. The gills are fleshy filaments that support the gill arches and are packed with blood vessels, giving them a brilliant red color. They are located behind and to the side of the mouth cavity. In order for the exchange of gases to take place, water inhaled constantly via the mouth travels backward between the gill bars and over the gill filaments [1]. In teleosts and many other fish, the gills are shielded by a gill cover, but in sharks, rays, and some of the oldest prehistoric fish species, the gills are shielded by flaps of skin. In order to absorb oxygen from the water and release extra carbon dioxide into the water, the blood capillaries in the gill filaments are situated near the gill surface.

The swim bladder, a hydrostatic (ballast) organ found in the body cavity directly below the kidney and above the stomach and intestine, is present in the majority of current fish species. It started off as an intestinal diverticulum. The bladder no longer connects to the digestive system in mature teleosts, notably the acanthopterygians; this state is known as physoclistic. Physostomous is the term for the link that many teleosts, especially more primitive ones, have maintained. The bladder has evolved into a lung-like organ, or at the very least, a highly vascularized supplementary breathing organ, in multiple unrelated lineages of fish. Even in well-oxygenated water, some fish with these extra organs are compulsive air breathers and will perish if refused access to the surface. Fish with a hydrostatic swim bladder may adjust the quantity of gas in the bladder to alter their depth. specific glands pump the gas, which is mostly oxygen, into the fish's bladder to increase buoyancy; a different specific organ absorbs the gas into the circulation to decrease total buoyancy and cause the fish to sink. In the bladder of certain deep-sea fish, there may be oils rather than gas. Other deep-sea and certain bottom-dwelling organisms lack or have severely diminished swim bladders. Fish swim bladders evolve in a manner similar to how terrestrial animals' lungs do. There is no question that the two structures had a common historical ancestor with early fish. Even among the most primitive fish species, such as the lungfish species Lepidosiren and Protopterus, transitional forms still exist [2].

The Cardiovascular System

The heart, arteries, capillaries, and veins make up the circulatory, or blood vascular, system. The exchange of oxygen, carbon dioxide, nutrients, and other things including hormones and waste materials happens in the capillaries. The veins, which are reached through the capillaries, carry the venous blood and waste products back to the heart, kidneys, and gills. The capillary beds in the gills and the rest of the body are two different types. The sinus venosus receives venous blood as the heart, a folded continuous muscular tube with three or four saclike enlargements, contracts rhythmically. It delivers the blood to an auricle before pumping it into the ventricle, a large muscular pump. Blood leaves the ventricle and travels to a bulbous organ at the base of the ventral aorta, close to the gills. The afferent (receiving) arteries of the gill arches receive the blood before it reaches the gill capillaries. There, waste gases are emitted into the air and oxygen is taken in. The dorsal aorta is where the oxygenated blood flows after entering the efferent (exuant) arteries of the gill arches [3]. Blood is then delivered to the body's tissues and organs from there. Reverse osmosis devices stop backflow. Thus, oxygenated blood is not returned to the heart before being distributed to the other sections of the body in fish, in contrast to reptiles, birds, and mammals.

Excretory Systems

The kidney is the main organ for excretion in fish, as it is in other vertebrates. In addition to the digestive system, the skin, and particularly the gills (where ammonia is released) of fish, there is some excretion occurring. Fishes have a unique challenge keeping their internal environment at a consistent concentration of water and dissolved chemicals, such as salts, as compared to terrestrial vertebrates. The excretory system, particularly the kidney, plays a significant role in maintaining the proper balance of a fish's internal environment (homeostasis). An essential part of preserving a fish's internal environment and thwarting the effects of osmosis includes the kidney, gills, and skin. Marine fishes inhabit a habitat where the salt content of the water is higher than what can be found within their bodies while yet sustaining life. However, compared to what their bodies need, freshwater fish can survive in water that has far lower salt levels. Osmosis tends to encourage water loss from a marine fish's body and water absorption from a freshwater fish's. Skin mucus tends to halt the process but is insufficient as a barrier to stop fluids from penetrating the porous skin. When the concentrations of dissolved compounds in two solutions on each side of a permeable membrane varies, water will flow through the membrane into the more concentrated solution while the dissolved chemicals diffuse into the region of lower concentration [4].

When compared to body weight, the kidney of freshwater fish is often bigger than that of marine fish. In both species, the kidney eliminates bodily wastes, but in freshwater fish, the kidney also excretes a lot of water to offset the water that is received via the skin. Freshwater fish must replenish the salt they typically lose to the environment. While the gills and skin of the mouth actively absorb salt from water that passes through it, they do obtain some salt from their meal. Special cells with the ability to move salts against the diffusion gradient carry out this absorption. Freshwater fish consume extremely little water on their own and in conjunction with their meal.

Because marine fishes must preserve water, their kidneys only expel a little amount of water. Marine fish consume significant amounts of saltwater to maintain their water balance, keeping the majority of the water and excreting the salt. The gills of marine fishes seem to release ammonia as the majority of nitrogenous waste. Fish that live in the sea may expel salt via groups of unique cells called chloride cells in their gills. Many teleosts, such as the salmon, must adapt to the reversal of osmotic gradients because they move between freshwater and saltwater. By spending time (typically surprisingly little time) in the intermediate brackish environment, they modify their physiological systems [5].

Marine hagfishes, sharks, and rays have blood osmotic concentrations that are about equivalent to those in saltwater, thus they don't need to consume water or put in a lot of physical effort to maintain their osmotic balance. The retention of urea in the blood keeps the osmotic concentration high in sharks and rays. Urea levels in the blood are lower in freshwater sharks.

Thyroid Glands

Along with the central nervous system, endocrine glands discharge their products into the blood and other tissues to govern and regulate a variety of bodily processes. The endocrine system of cyclostomes is well developed, and it is likely that the same was true of the early Agnatha, the ancestor of contemporary fishes. Although the endocrine systems of fish and higher vertebrates are similar, there are many subtle distinctions. The endocrine system in fishes is made up of the pituitary, thyroid, suprarenals, adrenals, pancreatic islets, sex glands (ovaries and testes), the inner wall of the gut, and the bodies of the ultimobranchial gland. Others are present, although it's unclear what they do. These organs control blood pressure, growth, osmotic pressure, general metabolic processes including fat storage and food usage, sexual activity and reproduction, as well as certain elements of skin color. The central nervous system, which collaborates with the endocrine system to sustain a fish's existence, also has some influence over a number of these processes. The nervous system is a developmental and certainly evolutionary ancestor of several components of the endocrine system [6].

The Sensory Organs and Neurological System

Like in other vertebrates, the nervous system of fishes serves as the main organ for coordinating bodily functions and properly integrating them with environmental cues. The brain and spinal cord make up the central nervous system, which is the main integrating mechanism. The brain and spinal cord's integrating centers receive sensory data from specialized receptor organs like the eyes, internal ears, nares (sense of smell), taste glands, and others through the peripheral nervous system, which is made up of nerves connecting the brain and spinal cord to various body organs.

The integrating centers of the brain and spinal cord provide information to the peripheral nervous system through a variety of nerve cells. The many organs and bodily systems, including the skeletal muscle system, get this coded information so they can respond appropriately to the initial external or internal stimulation. The autonomic nervous system, a different branch of the nervous system, works with numerous glands and organs to regulate their functions and is in close contact with the brain's integrating centers.

The fish's brain is split into a number of anatomical and functional sections, each of which serves as the main hub for integrating a specific set of reactions and behaviors while being intricately linked to the others. One particular sort of sensory experience, such as sight, hearing, or smell (olfaction), is particularly connected with a few of these centers or sections.

Olfaction

Most fishes depend on their ability to smell. Some eels with small eyes rely mostly on scent to locate food. On the dorsal side of the snout is where fishes' olfactory, or nasal, organ is found. Special sensory cells in the nasal organ's lining may detect chemicals dispersed in water, including those from food, and transmit sensory data to the brain through the first cranial nerve. The smell might act as a warning mechanism. A chemical secreted from the epidermis of an injured member of a fish's own species alarms many fish, notably different kinds of freshwater minnows.

Taste

Many fish species have highly developed taste organs or taste buds that are not only found in their mouth cavities but also on other areas of their bodies, including their heads. The barbels (also known as "whiskers") on catfishes, which often have poor eyesight, operate as additional taste organs, with the barbels surrounding the mouth being actively employed to find food on the bottom. Some naturally blind cave fish species have an exceptionally generous supply of taste buds, which can cover the majority of their body surface.

Sight

Most fishes place a high value on sight. The eye of a fish is essentially the same as the eyes of all other vertebrates, yet fish eyes are very diverse in terms of anatomy and adaption. Unless they have specialized in a manner that makes another sense (like smell) predominate, fish living in dark and gloomy water environments often have huge eyes. In this scenario, the eyes are frequently lowered. Fish living in shallow waters with intense lighting often have very tiny yet effective eyes. Cyclostomes have slightly simpler eyes than other fishes, and it's possible that having skin stretched across the eyeball lessens the effectiveness of their eyesight. The majority of fish have spherical lenses, and they may see near- or far-away objects by shifting the lens within the eyeball. A few sharks adapt by altering the lens's shape, much as terrestrial animals do. Fish that rely largely on their eyes have particularly powerful muscles for accommodation. Despite the limitations imposed by regular water turbidity and light refraction, the majority of fishes have good vision.

Although color vision may have originated in fish more than 300 million years ago, not all currently extant fish have this capacity. Some bottom-dwelling shore fishes live in areas where the water is deep enough to filter out most, if not all, colors, and these fishes appear to never see colors, contrary to experimental evidence that many shallow-water fishes, if not all, have color vision and see some colors particularly well. They seem to be unable to detect color variations when tested in shallow water.

Hearing

In a fish, hearing and balance are closely related senses. The complete hearing system is housed within the skull, on either side of the brain, and a little bit behind the eyes. Low-frequency sound waves, in particular, go through water easily and strike the head, torso, and bodily fluids immediately before reaching the hearing organs. Fish are very responsive to sound; for instance, a trout that has been trained to flee when fishermen approach will run off when it hears footsteps on a stream bank, even if it cannot see the fisherman. However, compared to humans, fish have a far smaller spectrum of audible frequencies. Many fish make noises in their swim bladders, in their throats by rasping their teeth, and in other ways to communicate with one another.

Additional senses (touch, discomfort, and other senses)

A fish or other vertebrate seldom has to depend just on one sort of sensory data to understand the nature of its surroundings. When investigating a potential food source with its oral barbels, a catfish employs both taste and touch. Fish have many touch receptors all throughout their body, much like the majority of other animals. Fishes have pain and temperature sensors as well, which probably provide them the same information that humans do. Fish have adverse reactions to stimuli that would be harmful to humans, indicating that they are aware of pain.

Gills of fish

The structures called fish gills enable fish to breathe underwater. Most fish use gills, which are covered by opercula on both sides of the pharynx (throat), to exchange gases like oxygen and carbon dioxide. Gills are protein filament-like tissues that resemble small threads. These filaments serve a variety of purposes, including as the exchange of oxygen, carbon dioxide, acids, and ammonia as well as the transmission of ions and water. A capillary network is present in each filament, providing a sizable surface area for the exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide.

By pushing oxygen-rich water through their mouths and over their gills, fish exchange gases. Capillary blood moves counter-currently to the water inside the gill filaments, producing exchange of that fluid. Through apertures on the pharynx's side, the gills force the oxygen-deficient water to the surface. Some fish have many gill openings, including sharks and lampreys. Bony fish, however, only have one gill hole on each side. The operculum, a protective bone covering, conceals this entrance.

External gills are a very basic trait shared by larval amphibians and juvenile bichirs

Gill evolution was formerly believed to have taken place along two divergent lines: gills created from the endoderm, as seen in fish species without jaws, or those formed by the ectoderm, as shown in fish with jaws. The small skate (Leucoraja erinacea), whose gills have recently been studied, may provide proof that all living fish species now descended from a single common ancestor.

Using Gills to Breathe

Fish that breathe air may be classified as facultative or obligatory breathers. Obligate air breathers, like the African lungfish, must periodically breathe air in order to stay alive. Facultative air breathers, like the hypostomus plecostomus catfish, only breathe air when necessary and can otherwise get oxygen through their gills. The majority of fish who breathe air do it facultatively, avoiding both the fitness cost of being exposed to surface predators and the energy cost of ascending to the surface.

Gills are used to breathe by all basic animals. The gills are carried directly behind the head, along the backsides of many esophageal apertures leading to the outside. A cartilaginous or bony gill arch supports each gill. Vertebrates normally develop their gills along a series of gill slits that open to the outside in the walls of the throat. The majority of species use a counter-current exchange mechanism, in which water and blood move in the opposing directions to each other, to improve the diffusion of chemicals into and out of the gill.

Gill lamellae, which resemble comb-like filaments and enhance the surface area of the gills for oxygen exchange, are what make up the gills. A fish breathes by periodically taking a gulp of water in. The water is then forced into the gill holes by drawing the sides of the fish's neck together, passing over the gills and out the other side. Fish with bones have three pairs of arches, fish with cartilage have five to seven pairs, and fish without jaws have seven pairs. Given that some of its chordate ancestors had more than 50 pairs of gills, the vertebrate ancestor undoubtedly had more arches.

The majority of the time, gills are made up of thin tissue filaments, branches, or slender tufted processes with a highly folded surface. Due to the fact that water only contains a tiny portion of the dissolved oxygen found in air, the large surface area is essential to the gas exchange of aquatic life. At STP, there are about 250 grams of oxygen per cubic meter of air. Water has a lower oxygen content than air, and oxygen diffuses more slowly in water. Compared to 210 in a litre of air, freshwater has an oxygen concentration of 8 cm3 per litre. Compared to air, water is 100 times more viscous and 777 times more dense. through comparison to water, oxygen diffuses 10,000 times more quickly through the air.

The removal of oxygen from water using sac-like lungs would not be effective enough to support life. The density of the water prevents the gills from collapsing and lying on top of each other, which is what happens when a fish is taken out of the water. Instead of using lungs, "Gaseous exchange takes place across the surface of highly vascularised gills over which a one-way current of water is kept flowing by a specialized pumping mechanism."

The gill arches, which form during fetal development and create the foundation for vital organs including jaws, the thyroid gland, the larynx, the columella (which corresponds to the stapes in mammals), and in mammals, the malleus and incus, do not develop in higher vertebrates. The tonsils, thymus gland, and Eustachian tubes, among many other structures developed from the embryonic branchial pouches, may have evolved from fish gill slits.

Scaly Fish

The term "gills" comes from the Ancient Greek word "branchia," which refers to the branchial chamber where the gills are located in bony fish. Though a few have lost some during the history of evolution, the vast majority of bony fish species have five sets of gills. The operculum may be crucial in changing the water pressure in the throat to permit correct gill ventilation and prevent bony fish from needing ram ventilation (and hence almost continual motion) to breathe. Water cannot escape the mouth due to valves there.

Bony fish often have septaless gill arches, which means that the gills alone, supported by individual gill rays, extend from the arch. Some animals keep their gill rakers. All bony fish save the most basic ones lack spiracles, although the pseudobranch that it is connected with, which is found at the base of the operculum, often does. However, this is often drastically diminished and just consists of a tiny clump of cells with no discernible gill-like structure.

A very effective technique known as countercurrent exchange is used by fish to move oxygen from the seawater to their blood. In a countercurrent exchange, blood flows through the lamellae's capillaries in the opposite direction to the direction of water flowing over the gills. Diffusion may take place all the way through the lamellae because the blood flowing in the capillaries constantly comes into contact with water that has a greater oxygen content. The upshot is that the gills can draw out more than 80% of the oxygen present in the water.

Osmolytes (such as Na+ and Cl) are also expelled by marine teleosts via their gills. For fish that want to control the osmolarity of their internal fluids, the enormous surface area of the gills often presents a challenge. Marine fish normally lose water via their gills by osmosis because seawater contains more osmolytes than the fish's internal fluids. The Na+/K+-ATPase ionocytes, previously known as mitochondrion-rich cells and chloride cells, are used by marine fish to excrete salt in order to replenish their water supply. Marine fishes use vast volumes of sea water in this process. Freshwater, in contrast, has less osmolytes than the fish's internal fluids. In order to maintain ideal blood osmolarity, freshwater fish must use their gill ionocytes to get ions from their environment.

The larvae of several extinct bony fish and amphibians have external gills that branch out from the gill arches. These get smaller as an animal age, and the lungs in most amphibians and the true gills in fish take over these functions. While the intricate internal gill system seen in fish seems to have been irreparably lost relatively early in the development of tetrapods, certain amphibians maintain the external larval gills in adulthood.

DISCUSSION

Carcinogenic Seafood

Although some more rudimentary sharks have six or seven pairs, sharks and rays normally have five pairs of gill slits that exit straight to the exterior of the body. A cartilaginous gill arch, from which a long, sheet-like septum extends and is partially supported by another piece of cartilage known as the gill ray, divides adjacent slits. On each side of the septum are the individual gill lamellae. Additionally, gill rakers—tiny projecting parts that aid in filtering food from the water might be supported at the base of the arch.

The first gill slit has a tiny hole at the rear called the spiracle. This has a tiny pseudobranch that looks like a gill but is only used to accept blood that has already been oxygenated by the genuine gills. According to certain theories, the spiracle resembles the ear opening in higher animals. The majority of sharks depend on ram ventilation, quickly swimming forward to force water into the mouth and over the gills. The spiracle may be expanded in species that move slowly or live on the bottom, particularly in skates and rays. These fish breathe by drawing water through this aperture rather than their mouths [7].

The fifth gill slit and the spiracle were both lost in chimaeras, setting them apart from other cartilaginous fish. An operculum, which was created from the septum of the gill arch in front of the first gill, covers the remaining slits. A well-known example of symplesiomorphy is the common ability of bony fish and cartilaginous fish to breathe via their gills. Bony fish are less closely related to sharks, rays, and other cartilaginous fish than they are to terrestrial vertebrates, which descended from a lineage of bony fishes that breathed via their skin or lungs. Because it was present in their shared ancestor but gone in the other living vertebrates, the "fishes" share their kind of gill breathing. However, we cannot conclude that bony fish are more closely connected to sharks and rays than they are to terrestrial vertebrates solely on this common characteristic.

Hagfish and Lampreys

Hagfish and lampreys don't really have gill slits. The gills are instead housed in spherical pouches that have a circular aperture to the outside. Each pouch has two gills, similar to the gill slits of larger fish. The apertures may sometimes be fused together to produce what is known as an operculum. While hagfishes may have six to fourteen pouches, depending on the species, lampreys have seven pairs. The hagfish's pouches link internally with the pharynx. In mature lampreys, a separate respiratory tube forms underneath the throat proper and closes a valve at its anterior end to separate food and water from breathing [8].

Lacking Gills for Breathing

Some fish may at least partly respire via processes that do not need gills, despite the fact that the majority of fish use their gills for this purpose. Depending on the temperature, cutaneous respiration makes about 5 to 40% of total respiration in certain species. In species that breathe air, such mudskippers and reedfish, cutaneous respiration is more significant and may make up over half of total respiration.

Fish from many groups may survive for long periods of time outside of the water. Fish that are amphibious, like the mudskipper, may survive and move about in water that is stagnant or otherwise devoid of oxygen for up to many days [9]. These fish can breathe air via a number of different techniques. Anguillid eels' skin has the potential to directly absorb oxygen. The electric eel may breathe air via its buccal cavity. The digestive systems of catfish belonging to the families Loricariidae, Callichthyidae, and Scoloplacidae allow them to breathe. With the exception of the Australian lungfish, lungfish and bichirs must surface in order to breathe in new air via the mouth and expel used air through the gills. The vascularized swim bladder of the gar and the bowfin performs the similar purpose. Many catfish, including loaches and trahiras, breathe by passing air through their guts. Like frogs, mudskippers breathe by taking oxygen via their skin. Many fish have developed what are known as auxiliary breathing organs, which draw oxygen from the surrounding air. This function is carried out by the labyrinth organ found above the gills in labyrinth fish (including gouramis and bettas). There are a few other fish that have organs that look and work like labyrinths, most notably snakeheads, pikeheads, and the catfish family Clariidae.

Fish that live in shallow, seasonally changing environments where the oxygen content of the water may change seasonally largely benefit from breathing air. Perch and cichlid fish, which are exclusively reliant on dissolved oxygen, swiftly suffocate, but air-breathing fish may often live in water that is nothing more than wet dirt. At their most extreme, certain air-breathing fish may endure weeks in damp burrows without water before going into aestivation (summer hibernation) until water is once again present [10].

CONCLUSION

The intriguing biological process of fish respiration is a prime example of how aquatic creatures have evolved to thrive in their subaquatic surroundings. Fish have evolved a variety of respiratory systems over millions of years that enable them to get oxygen from the water, guaranteeing their survival in a variety of aquatic settings. Understanding fish respiration helps us better understand the nuances of their physiology and offers important new perspectives on the evolution and adaption of vertebrates as a whole. The variety of respiratory structures and techniques among various species is one of the most noticeable characteristics of fish respiration. Fish have developed a wide range of adaptations to suit their oxygen demands in a variety of aquatic environments, including gills, lungs, and skin in addition to more specialized parts like labyrinth organs and lungfish spiracles. The intricate interaction between evolutionary forces, ecological niches, and environmental obstacles is reflected in the variety of adaptations. The relevance of oxygen availability in aquatic environments is also shown by research on fish respiration. Fish may act as sentinels for the wellbeing of aquatic habitats since they are sensitive indicators of water quality and environmental changes. In light of pollution, climate change, and habitat loss, an understanding of fish respiratory physiology aids in the preservation and management of these ecosystems.

REFERENCES:

[1] C. M. Ballintijn, “Efficiency, mechanics and motor control of fish respiration,” *Respir. Physiol.*, 1972, doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(72)90023-0.

[2] C. M. Ballintijn, “Neural Representation of a Behavioural Pattern: Fish Respiration,” *Netherlands J. Zool.*, 1984, doi: 10.1163/002829685X00136.

[3] G. M. Hughes, “A comparative approach to fish respiration,” *Experientia*, 1970, doi: 10.1007/BF01895527.

[4] K. Park, W. Kim, and H. Y. Kim, “Optimal lamellar arrangement in fish gills,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2014, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1403621111.

[5] R. B. Shartau and C. J. Brauner, “Acid-base and ion balance in fishes with bimodal respiration,” *J. Fish Biol.*, 2014, doi: 10.1111/jfb.12310.

[6] O. Akanyeti, P. J. M. Thornycroft, G. V. Lauder, Y. R. Yanagitsuru, A. N. Peterson, and J. C. Liao, "Fish optimize sensing and respiration during undulatory swimming," *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, doi: 10.1038/ncomms11044.

[7] M. A. Peck and M. Moyano, "Measuring respiration rates in marine fish larvae: Challenges and advances," *J. Fish Biol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/jfb.12810.

[8] M. N. Fernandes, F. T. Rantin, M. L. Glass, and B. G. Kapoor, *Fish respiration and environment*. 2016. doi: 10.1201/b11000.

[9] S. Lefevre, M. Bayley, and D. J. Mckenzie, "Measuring oxygen uptake in fishes with bimodal respiration," *J. Fish Biol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/jfb.12698.

[10] S. F. Perry and B. L. Tufts, "Fish Respiration," *Fish Physiol. 17*, 1998.

CHAPTER 4

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH REPRODUCTION

Devendra Pal Singh, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- dpsinghevs@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Fish reproduce in a variety of complex ways that have developed over millions of years to fit the many watery habitats that these amazing animals live in. This chapter explores the complex realm of fish reproduction, showcasing the many reproductive tactics used by various species. Fish have modified their reproductive strategies to maximize survival in their particular environments, from internal fertilization in viviparous species to external fertilization in pelagic spawners. Each species has its own unique reproductive cycle, location, and methods for safeguarding eggs and young. Additionally, social behaviors, hormone control, and environmental signals all play significant parts in the complex dance of fish reproduction. Understanding the intricacies of fish reproduction has practical repercussions for fisheries management and conservation initiatives in addition to revealing the remarkable range of life history tactics. We learn more about the extraordinary adaptations and difficulties that these aquatic inhabitants must overcome in order to maintain their species in the changing environment of the ocean as we dig further into the realm of fish reproduction.

KEYWORDS:

External Fertilization, Fish Reproduction, Hormone Control, Internal Fertilization, Watery Habitats.

INTRODUCTION

Fish reproduce in a variety of ways, but the majority lay a large number of tiny eggs that are fertilized and dispersed outside the body. Pelagic fishes often leave their eggs dangling in the open ocean. Numerous freshwater and shorefish deposit their eggs on the ground or amid vegetation. Some have eggs that stick[1]. When compared to the hundreds, thousands, and sometimes even millions of eggs deposited, only a small percentage of the young and eggs survive to adulthood.

Males have two (occasionally one) testes within their bodies that generate sperm, which is often a milky white material known as milt. Sperm ducts connect each testis to an entrance in the urogenital system behind the vent or anus in bony fish. The duct leads to a cloaca in cyclostomes, sharks, and rays. The female's vent or the substrate where she has laid her eggs might sometimes assist transport the milt to the eggs by modifying the pelvic fins. The claspers of many sharks and rays, for instance, are examples of auxiliary organs that are sometimes employed to fertilize females internally.

The eggs in females develop in two ovaries, albeit sometimes just one, and go from the ovaries through the urogenital opening and then to the outside. Some fish have internal fertilization, but the eggs are expelled before they can mature [2]. Bony fish (teleosts) and sharks, which each have roughly a dozen families, both give birth to live young. Numerous skates and rays are also live-bearers. When the eggs hatch in certain bony fish species (ovoviviparous), the young simply emerge from the developing eggs within the mother. Others (viviparous) grow within the ovaries and are fed by ovarian tissues after hatching. Fishes use a variety of alternative techniques to feed their offspring within the mother. All live-bearers give birth to a small proportion of quite big newborns. The males of at least one species of one family of mostly marine fishes, the surfperches from the Pacific coast of North America, Japan, and Korea, are born sexually mature, despite not being completely developed. Some fish are hermaphrodites, meaning they produce both sperm and eggs at various times in their lives [3]. However, self-fertilization is probably uncommon.

Rather stereotyped but sometimes intricate courting and parenting behavior, either by the male or the female or both, is often necessary to ensure successful reproduction and, in many instances, protection of the eggs and the young. Some fish construct their nests by drilling holes into the sand (such as cichlids), using plant materials and sticky threads secreted by the kidneys (such as sticklebacks), or by blowing a cluster of mucus-covered bubbles at the water's surface (such as goramis). In these buildings, the eggs are deposited. Some types of catfish and cichlids may incubate eggs inside their jaws [4].

Anadromous fish are those that migrate great distances from the ocean and up big rivers to spawn on the gravel beds where they themselves hatched. Salmon is one of these anadromous fish. Some fishes, such as freshwater eels (family Anguillidae), are catadromous fishes, meaning they spend their whole lives in fresh water before migrating to the sea to reproduce. Other fish make shorter migrations, either from lakes to streams or within the ocean, or they enter breeding sites that they would not otherwise use.

Form and Purpose

Body Layout

The fish body's fundamental composition and functionality are comparable to those of all other vertebrates. Surface or epithelium, connective (bone, cartilage, and fibrous tissues, as well as their derivation, blood), nerve, and muscle tissues are present, as are the typical four categories of tissues [5]. The organs and organ systems of fish are similar to those of other vertebrates. The typical fish has a smooth, spindle-shaped body, an anterior head, gills, and a heart that is located in the middle of the body immediately below the gill chamber. Behind the skull, in the lower anterior region of the body, lies the body cavity that houses the important organs. The anus typically appears immediately in front of the base of the anal fin and denotes the posterior terminus of the body cavity. From the back of the skull to the base of the tail fin, the spinal cord and vertebral column continue, travelling dorsally through the body cavity and into the caudal (tail) area beyond the body cavity. The majority of the body is made up of muscle, much of which is required for swimming. This fundamental body structure has undergone several modifications throughout the course of development, resulting in the numerous types of fish morphologies that are present today.

The fish's skeleton serves to protect key organs and is an essential component of its propulsion system. The spinal column, the fin supports (fin rays), and the skull bones make up the internal skeleton with the exception of the roofing bones of the head, which really belong to the external skeleton. Despite coming from the exterior skeleton, the fin supports will be discussed here due to their tight functional connection to the internal skeleton [6]. Cyclostomes, sharks, and rays have internal skeletons made of cartilage, whereas many fossil fish species and certain primitive extant fishes have internal skeletons that are largely made of cartilage but may also include some bone. The earliest vertebrates possessed a fully formed notochord, a flexible stiff rod of viscous cells encased in a strong fibrous sheath, in place of the vertebral column. The rod was partially replaced by cartilage and eventually by ossified cartilage throughout the development of contemporary fish. Sharks and rays still have a cartilaginous vertebral column, whereas bony fish have spool-shaped vertebrae that only partly replace the notochord in more ape-like living forms [7]. The jaws and gill arches of bony fishes are entirely, or at least largely, ossified in the skull. Shark and ray skin is still cartilaginous, sometimes having calcium deposits replace it partly but never having real bone.

Throughout fish history, the fins' supporting structures basal or radial bones, or both have undergone significant alteration. Paleontology and evolution are covered in more detail in the section below. On the middle of the back, the majority of fishes only have one dorsal fin. A few have three dorsal fins, but the majority have two. The solitary tail and anal fins, as well as the paired pelvic and pectoral fins, are the additional fins. Many of the relatively primitive teleosts, including trout, have a tiny fin called the adipose fin that has fin rays that resemble hair [8]. The body

Fish skin must perform a variety of tasks. It assists in preserving the osmotic equilibrium, offers the body physical protection, is the location of coloring, has sensory receptors, and, in certain fish, performs respiratory tasks. Fish skin has a huge number of mucous glands, notably in cyclostomes and teleosts, which defend against germs and help to maintain the water balance. Since mucous glands are seen in current lampreys, it stands to reason that they were also found in early fishes like the ancient agnathans from the Silurian and Devonian periods. Another purpose of fish skin is defense against abrasion and predators, and dermal (skin) bone developed early in fish development to meet this requirement. To give more support and protection, bone is believed to have initially developed in skin and only then spread into the cartilaginous regions of the fish's body. There is considerable debate about whether cartilage or bone originated first, and the fossil record does not provide a conclusive answer. In any case, dermal bone has varied properties in various fish families and has been a significant factor in fish evolution. A number of groupings may be distinguished, at least in part, by the kind of bony scales they have.

Fish have evolved significantly as a result of their scales. Fish from the past often possessed thick scales made of numerous layers of bone, enamel, and other materials, or thick bony plates. Modern teleost fish have bone scales that, while still protecting, provide the body a lot greater mobility. Modern teleosts with bony plates on their skin include certain catfish, sticklebacks, and other species. Placoid scales, a rather primitive kind of scale with a toothlike structure made up of an outer layer of enamel-like material (vitrodentine), an inner layer of dentine, and a pulp cavity containing nerves and blood arteries, were found on modern and extinct sharks. Thick cosmoid or ganoid scales might be seen on early bony fish. The exterior layer of cosmid scales is hard and enamel-like, followed by an inner layer of cosmine (a kind of dentine), and finally an isopedine layer of vascular bone. The hard outer layer, known as ganoin, is distinct chemically in ganoid scales. A cosmine-like layer and a vascular bony layer are located underneath this. The enameloid and dentine layers are absent from the thin, transparent bony scales of contemporary fish, which are referred to as cycloid and ctenoid (the latter is identified by serrations at the margins). Fish skin serves a variety of additional purposes. It apparently receives tactile, heat, and pain impulses since it is well-endowed with nerve endings. Additionally, the skin has a lot of blood vessels. The exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide between the surrounding water and the countless tiny blood capillaries near the skin surface allows certain fish to breathe in part via their skin.

The regulation of pigment allows skin to provide protection. Fish display an almost infinite variety of colors. The animal is successfully hidden by the colors, which often fit in well with the surroundings. Numerous fish utilize vivid colors to indicate their territory, identify other fish of the same species, and sometimes even designate the territory of fish of other species. By shifting pigment inside the pigment cells (chromatophores), many fish may alter their color to varying degrees. Fishes nearly always have black pigment cells (melanophores), which are often found next to other pigment cells. The structural colors of blue and green are produced by melanophores when they are positioned underneath iridocytes or leucophores, which contain the silvery or white pigment guanine. Due to light refraction via the needle-like guanine crystals, these colors are often quite bright. The red and yellow rays that have been absorbed by the black pigment (melanin) of the melanophores are often absent from the refracted blue and green colors, which are frequently comparatively pure. The cells called erythrophores, which contain the proper carotenoid pigments, generate the colors yellow, orange, and red. Combinations of melanophores, erythrophores, and iridocytes result in other colors [9].

The System of Muscles

For the majority of fish, muscles make up the majority of their bodies. The muscles in the trunk, which are typically rather tiny, make up the majority of the bulk. The trunk musculature moves the caudal fin, which is often the strongest fin. The body's muscles are typically placed on either side in rows of chevron-shaped segments. When these segments contract, the body bends on the vertebral joint, creating consecutive body undulations that flow from the head to the tail and produce driving strokes of the tail. Each of these segments is linked to neighboring vertebrae and vertebral processes. The majority of fishes move strongly ahead thanks to the latter.

Anatomy

Testes

Most male fish have two similar-sized testicles. Sharks often have bigger testicles on their right side. The lone testis in the body of the ancient jawless fish is found in the middle of the body, yet even this develops from the fusion of paired structures in the embryo. The seminiferous tubules found in the tunica albuginea, the testis of certain teleost fish, are very tiny coiled tubes. The tubules are coated with a layer of germ cells, which mature into sperm cells (sometimes referred to as spermatozoa or male gametes) from adolescence until old age. The seminiferous tubules in the rete testis, which is in the mediastinum testis, carry developing sperm to the efferent ducts, where they mature in the epididymis (see spermatogenesis). The sperm enter the vas deferens before being forced by muscle contractions through the urethra and out of the urethral opening.

Seminiferous tubules are not found in the majority of fish, however. Instead, sperm are created in sphere-shaped organs known as sperm ampullae. These are seasonal structures that release their contents during the breeding season before the body absorbs them again. New sperm ampullae start to develop and mature before to the subsequent mating season. The seminiferous tubules of higher vertebrates have many characteristics with the ampullae, including the same variety of cell types.

The location of spermatogonia in teleost testes is divided into two categories: the most frequent kind sees spermatogonia over the whole length of the seminiferous tubules, while the atherinomorph fish only have them in the distal part of these structures. When the germ cells in cysts are released into the seminiferous tubules lumen, fish may exhibit cystic or semi-cystic spermatogenesis.

Ovaries

There may be hundreds or even millions of viable eggs present in the ovary of a fish at any one moment. Follicular cells and tunica albuginea are two characteristics of ovaries that are shared by all vertebrates. The germinal epithelium may continue to produce new eggs throughout life. Only humans and certain elasmobranch fish have corpora lutea; in other animals, the ovary swiftly reabsorbs the follicle's leftovers. In teleosts, the ovary often has a hollow, lymph-filled cavity that exits into the oviduct, where the eggs are discharged. Fish with two ovaries are typically normal females. Some elasmobranchs only have the right ovary completely developed. Only one ovary, created by the fusion of the paired organs in the embryo, is present in jawless fish and certain teleosts.

Gymnovarian, secondary gymnovarian, or cystovarian fish ovaries are the three possible forms. The oocytes of the first kind are discharged straight into the coelomic cavity, where they subsequently pass via the ostium, the oviduct, and are removed. Gymnovarian secondary ovaries secrete ova into the coelom, whence they go straight to the oviduct. In the third form, the oviduct is used to transport the oocytes to the outside. Lungfish, sturgeon, and bowfin have the primordial state known as gymnovaries. The majority of teleosts have cystovaries, in which the ovary lumen continues into the oviduct. Salmonids and certain other teleosts have secondary gymnovaries.

Eggs

Fish and amphibian eggs resemble jelly. Internal fertilization occurs in the eggs of cartilaginous fish (sharks, skates, rays, and chimaeras), which display a broad range of internal and exterior embryonic development. The majority of fish species produce spawn that is externally fertilized; normally, the male inseminates the eggs after the female has laid them. Since these eggs lack a shell, they would dry up in the atmosphere. Even frogs that breathe air deposit their eggs in water or a layer of protective foam, as is the case with the Coast foam-nest treefrog (Chiromantis xerampelina).

Irregular Organs

Male cartilaginous fish (sharks and rays) and certain live-bearing ray finned fish have modified fins that serve as intromittent organs, reproductive appendages that permit internal fertilization.

They are known as gonopodiums or andropodiums in ray-finned fish and claspers in cartilaginous fish.

Some species in the Anablepidae and Poeciliidae families have gonopodia on their males. They are modified anal fins that are employed to impregnate females with milt during mating by acting as mobile intromittent appendages. The male fish's sperm is discharged through a tube-like structure produced by the third, fourth, and fifth rays of the anal fin. The gonopodium stands upright and points in the direction of the female when it is ready to mate. With hook-like modifications that enable the fish to grab the female to assure impregnation, the male quickly inserts the organ into the female's genital opening. A female becomes fertilized if her lover touches her vent with his gonopodium while she is still. In the female's oviduct, the sperm is kept alive. This enables females to fertilize themselves whenever they choose without additional male help. The gonopodium in certain species may be half as long as the whole body. Occasionally, as in the "lyretail" strains of Xiphophorus helleri, the fin is too lengthy to be useful. Females receiving hormone treatment may grow gonopodia. Breeding these is pointless. Other fishes have analogous structures with comparable traits, such as the andropodium in the Hemirhamphodon or the Goodeidae.

The males of cartilaginous fish have claspers. They are intromittent structures that have been adapted to act in the posterior region of the pelvic fins. During copulation, they are employed to route semen into the female's cloaca. In order for water to enter a siphon via a particular orifice, one of the claspers must typically be raised during the mating process in sharks. After being introduced into the cloaca, the clasper unfolds to secure its position. After then, the siphon starts to constrict, releasing water and sperm.

Physiology

The formation of teleost fish oogonia differs by group, and identifying oogenesis dynamics enables comprehension of the maturation and fertilization processes. The maturity of the oocyte is characterized by alterations in the nucleus, ooplasm, and surrounding layers. Postovulatory follicles are structures that are created after the release of the oocyte; they lack an endocrine role, have a broad, irregular lumen, and are quickly reabsorbed by a process involving follicular cells going through apoptosis. Vitellogenic oocytes that are not sparked are reabsorb via a degenerative process termed follicular atresia. Oocytes at other phases of development may also experience this phenomenon, albeit it happens less often. Some fish are hermaphrodites, meaning that they have both ovaries and testes, either at separate times throughout their life cycles or, as in hamlets, both at once.

Regenerative Methods

Fish eggs may be externally or internally fertilized. Many fish species have changed their fins to enable internal fertilization. The embryo's development may either take place externally or internally, however some species alternate between the two at different stages. There are five categories of reproductive methods according to how the zygote develops and how it interacts with the parents: ovuliparity, oviparity, ovo-viviparity, histotrophic viviparity, and hemotrophic viviparity.

DISCUSSION

Ovuliparity

Ovuliparity refers to the female producing ova, which must be externally fertilized after being laid by the female. Several fish species, such as salmon, goldfish, cichlids, tuna, and eels, are ovuliparous. The majority of these species undergo external fertilization, in which the male and female fish release their gametes into the water around them.

Oviparity

When an egg is fertilized internally, the female releases zygotes (or freshly growing embryos) into the water, often with essential exterior tissues attached. This condition is known as oviparity. Since ovuliparity is a relatively new word that might be mistaken with oviparity, it is necessary to prove that more than 97% of all known fish are oviparous (if ovuliparity is used, the majority of fish have ovulipaprity breeding strategy). Internal fertilization in oviparous fish requires the employment of an intromittent organ by the male to insert sperm into the female's vaginal hole. Examples include oviparous rays like skates and oviparous sharks like the horn shark. In these situations, the male is outfitted with a pair of claspers, modified pelvic fins.

Eggs laid by marine fish may be produced in large quantities and are often discharged into the open water column. The eggs are typically 1 millimeter (0.039 inch) in diameter. Extraembryonic membranes often surround the eggs, but no hard or soft shell is formed to enclose these membranes [10]. Some fish have thick, leathery coats, particularly when they have to survive stress or desiccation. These eggs may also be rather tiny and delicate.

Larvae are the freshly born offspring of oviparous fish. They look quite different from adolescent and adult specimens and are often poorly developed with a big yolk sac (for nutrition). In oviparous fish, the larval stage lasts just a few weeks on average, and during this time, the larvae develop quickly and undergo a metamorphosis, or change in form and structure, to become juveniles. Larvae must convert from eating on their yolk sac to feeding on zooplankton food during this transition, which relies on normally insufficient zooplankton density and causes many larvae to starve.

Ovoviviparity

In ovoviviparous fish, following internal fertilization, the eggs grow within the mother's body but get little to no nutrition directly from the mother, relying instead on the yolk, an internal food store. Every embryo grows inside of its own egg. Fish that are ovoviviparous include common species like guppies, angel sharks, and coelacanths.

Hermaphroditism

When a member of a species has both male and female reproductive organs, or has the ability to switch between having one before the other, the condition is known as hermaphroditism. Invertebrates often exhibit hermaphroditism, although vertebrates seldom do. It may be compared to gonochorism, in which every member of a species is born a male or a female and stays that way for the rest of their life. The majority of fish are gonochorists, although 14 groups of teleost fishes have been shown to be hermaphrodites.

Hermaphrodites may swap sexes sequentially, often from female to male (protogyny). If a dominant male is eliminated from a group of females, this may take place. The dominant male may be replaced by the biggest female in the harem by switching sexes over a short period of time. Fishes on coral reefs including groupers, parrotfish, and wrasses exhibit this. Protandry, when a male changes into a female, is less frequent. For instance, most wrasses have a haremic mating system and are protogynous hermaphrodites. Hermaphroditism enables intricate mating structures. Wrasses have three main types of mating behavior: polygynous, lek-like, and promiscuous. Within mating systems, group spawning and pair spawning take occur. The kind of spawning depends on the size of the male [11]. Typically, labroids engage in broadcast spawning, releasing large numbers of planktonic eggs that are dispersed by tidal currents; adult wrasses do not contact with young. Labrini, a subset of the family Labridae, contains wrasse that do not engage in broadcast spawning.

Less often, hermaphrodites may also be synchronous, which means they have both ovaries and testicles at the same time and may act as either sex at any one moment. The sex of many fishes is not fixed, but can change with physical and social changes to the environment where the fish lives. Black hamlets, for example, "take turns releasing sperm and eggs during spawning. Because such egg trading is advantageous to both individuals, hamlets are typically monogamous for short periods of time-an unusual situation in fishes."

Hermaphroditism may be advantageous, especially in fish, when one sex is more likely to live and reproduce than the other, possibly because it is bigger. The sequential hermaphrodite anemone fish are born as males and only change into females after they reach sexual maturity. In an anemone, monogamous anemone fish coexist in safety from the stings of the anemone. The female anemone fish are often bigger, and the males do not have to compete with other males. A male juvenile anemone fish comes in after a female dies, and "the resident male then transforms into a female and reproductive advantages of the large female-small male combination continue." Sex changes are reversible in other fish. For instance, some gobies may flip sexes if they are grouped according to gender (male or female).

The mangrove rivulus Kryptolebias marmoratus regularly reproduces via self-fertilization and uses meiosis to create both eggs and sperm. When an egg and sperm generated by an internal organ of a hermaphrodite combine within the body of a fish, this is how each individual hermaphrodite regularly fertilizes itself. In nature, this kind of reproduction may produce extremely homozygous lines made up of people that are genetically identical to one another. These fishes have evidently been capable of selfing for at least several hundred thousand years [12].

CONCLUSION

Fish reproduction is an intricate and varied biological process that has developed in amazing ways to allow fish to flourish in aquatic habitats all over the globe. As varied as the multitude of fish species themselves, the methods and adaptations used by fish to reproduce also reflect the impacts of environmental conditions, ecological niches, and evolutionary forces. The enormous variety of reproductive tactics seen in various species of fish is one of the most fascinating features of fish reproduction. Fish have developed a variety of reproductive strategies to fit their unique environments and behaviors, ranging from external fertilization and egg-laying to internal fertilization and live-bearing. Other fish species depend on sheer numbers of progeny to boost their chances of survival, while other fish species engage in elaborate mating activities, such as wooing rituals and complicated spawning aggregations. Insights into the wider concepts of reproductive biology, such as the functions of hormones, environmental signals, and genetic variables in controlling the reproductive cycle, may be gained through research on fish reproduction. Additionally, it offers a greater comprehension of the complex interactions between fish species and their ecosystems as well as the effects of human activities and environmental changes on fish populations. Not only is fish reproduction interesting scientifically, but it is also important practically. To manage fisheries and aquaculture sustainably, it is crucial to comprehend the reproductive biology of fish species with high economic value. The protection of endangered species and ecological restoration initiatives both benefit from understanding of fish reproduction.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. Prasad, S. Ogawa, and I. S. Parhar, "Role of serotonin in fish reproduction," *Front. Neurosci.*, 2015, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015.00195.

[2] N. W. Pankhurst and P. L. Munday, "Effects of climate change on fish reproduction and early life history stages," *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 2011, doi: 10.1071/MF10269.

[3] L. D. Arcand-Hoy and W. H. Benson, "Fish reproduction: An ecologically relevant indicator of endocrine disruption," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 1998. doi: 10.1897/1551-5028(1998)017<0049:FRAERI>2.3.CO;2.

[4] A. Ishimatsu, H. Van Mai, and K. L. M. Martin, "Patterns of Fish Reproduction at the Interface between Air and Water," *Integrative and comparative biology*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/icb/icy108.

[5] L. M. Hewitt *et al.*, "Altered reproduction in fish exposed to pulp and paper mill effluents: Roles of individual compounds and mill operating conditions," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2008. doi: 10.1897/07-195.1.

[6] M. Reinecke, "Insulin-like growth factors and fish reproduction," *Biology of Reproduction*. 2010. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.109.080093.

[7] S. Milla, N. Wang, S. N. M. Mandiki, and P. Kestemont, "Corticosteroids: Friends or foes of teleost fish reproduction?," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology*. 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.02.027.

[8] C. M. Whittington and A. B. Wilson, "The role of prolactin in fish reproduction," *General and Comparative Endocrinology*. 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.05.027.

[9] S. K. Maitra and K. N. Hasan, "The Role of melatonin as a hormone and an antioxidant in the control of fish reproduction," *Frontiers in Endocrinology*. 2016. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2016.00038.

[10] C. B. Schreck, W. Contreras-Sanchez, and M. S. Fitzpatrick, "Effects of stress on fish reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny," *Aquaculture*, 2001, doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00580-4.

[11] C. B. Schreck, "Stress and fish reproduction: The roles of allostasis and hormesis," *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.*, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.07.004.

[12] J. Zeilinger, T. Steger-Hartmann, E. Maser, S. Goller, R. Vonk, and R. Länge, "Effects of synthetic gestagens on fish reproduction," *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2009, doi: 10.1897/08-485.1.

CHAPTER 5

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH ECOLOGY

Upasana, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- upasana35954@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Fish ecology is a multidisciplinary discipline that investigates how different fish species interact with their surroundings to provide insight on the crucial function that these aquatic creatures play in ecosystems all over the globe. This chapter explores the field of fish ecology and explains the complex network of interactions that control fish populations, communities, and habitats. Fishes live in a variety of aquatic habitats, from freshwater rivers and lakes to marine ecosystems, and they also occupy a variety of biological niches. Topics including habitat preferences, eating habits, predator-prey dynamics, migratory patterns, and the impact of environmental influences on fish populations are all covered by the study of fish ecology. Additionally, it emphasizes the crucial part that fish play in the stability of ecosystems and the cycling of nutrients, making them essential environmental health indicators. We learn more about the delicate balance of life below the surface and the ecological importance of these aquatic residents as we negotiate the treacherous seas of fish ecology. Furthermore, in a world that is changing quickly, this information is essential for efficient fisheries management as well as the preservation of fish species and their ecosystems.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Habitats, Environmental Health, Fish Ecology, Fisheries Management, Migratory Patterns.

INTRODUCTION

With the exception of very hot thermal ponds and highly salty, alkaline lakes like the Dead Sea in Asia and the Great Salt Lake in North America, almost all natural bodies of water support fish life. The geological history and development of Earth, as well as fishes' capacity for evolutionary change and habitat adaptation, all contribute to the current distribution of fish species. It is possible to see that fish are dispersed geographically and according to habitat. Freshwater and saltwater habitats vary greatly from one another. Even in close proximity, most fish in a marine environment vary from those in a freshwater one, although some, like salmon, move between the two. There are a variety of freshwater environments that may be seen [1]. The physical characteristics of fish found in different habitats mountain torrents, Arctic lakes, tropical lakes, temperate streams, and tropical rivers will vary. The fish fauna will vary even in closely related settings, such as when a tropical mountain torrent joins a lowland stream. The several types of marine environments include rocky coasts, sandy coastlines, muddy shores, bays, estuaries, deep ocean floors (benthic), mid-water oceanic (bathypelagic), surface oceanic (pelagic), and others. Additionally, even when both habitats are found along the same coastline, rocky coastal coastlines in tropical and temperate climates will have distinct fish floras.

Although much is known about the fish's current geographic range, nothing is known about how it came to be. The freshwater fish fauna of North America and Eurasia is made up of many different species that are connected to one another and probably have an origin. The South American and African faunas are connected, very ancient, and most likely a result of the two continents' drifting apart. A portion of the southern Asian fauna seems to have crossed into Africa and is connected to the central Asian fauna. Although the tropical beach fauna of the Atlantic Ocean has Indo-Pacific components [2], it is comparatively small and most likely younger than the tremendously extensive shore-fish faunas of the Indian and tropical Pacific seas. The marine flora of the Arctic and Antarctic are quite distinct from one another. The North Pacific's shore fauna is highly unique, whereas the North Atlantic's is more constrained and presumably younger. In terms of family groupings, pelagic oceanic fishes, particularly those in deep seas, exhibit minimal geographic isolation. The deep ocean environment is mostly the same all across the globe, however there are some species variances that highlight regions that are influenced by ocean currents and water masses.

Biological Evolution

Life Experience

A fish's ability to adapt to its physical, chemical, and biological environment affects every element of its existence. Studies must take into consideration all the interconnected features of fish, including behavior, movement, reproduction, and anatomical and physiological traits [3]. The incredibly large range of life cycles that fishes exhibit is correlated with their adaption to an exceptionally wide number of settings. A few days to many weeks or more after the eggs are dispersed in the water, the vast majority hatch from very little eggs. In the meantime, until body parts like fins, skeletons, and certain organs are completely created, newly born young are still only partly developed and are referred to as larvae. Larval life may be quite protracted, with some lampreys remaining larval for at least five years, however it is often relatively short less than a few weeks. Before attaining sexual maturity, young and larval fish must develop significantly, and because of their tiny size and other considerations, they often need a different environment from that of the adults. For instance, the majority of tropical shore fishes have pelagic larvae. Fish larvae have varied nutritional needs, and they often inhabit shallow waters where they may be less vulnerable to predators [4].

The number of years a fish lives after reaching adult size depends on a variety of variables, including inherent aging rates, predation pressure, and the environment in the area. It's possible that a species' lifespan in the safe haven of an aquarium has little to do with how long its individuals survive in the wild. Many tiny fish have a maximum lifespan of one to three years. However, in certain species, people may live for 10, 20, or even 100 years.

Behaviour

Fish behavior is a complex and multifaceted topic [5]. The way a particular fish reacts to environmental stimuli relies on the hereditary properties of its nervous system, what it has learnt from prior experiences, and the kind of stimuli, much as in almost all creatures with a central nervous system. However, unlike the wide range of human reactions, a fish's behavior is stereotyped and not much altered by "thought" or learning, thus researchers must be careful not to oversimplify fish behavior.

Fish use their normal senses of sight, smell, hearing, touch, and taste as well as specialized lateral line water-current detectors to comprehend their surroundings. A technique that is best described as electrolocation assists in perception in the few fish species that produce electric fields. Depending on the fish's other adaptations, one or more of these senses is often accentuated at the cost of others. Others, with tiny eyes, seek and eat exclusively by scent such as certain eels, whereas fish with huge eyes may have diminished sense of smell [6].

The three most critical aspects of a fish's life feeding, reproduction, and enemy escape are the focus of specialized behavior. Sardines, for example, use their schooling behavior to escape predators when at sea. However, this behavior is also influenced by and related to sardines' reproduction and feeding needs. Beaked parrot fishes, which eat coral and move in tiny groups from one coral head to the next, cannot move in the same way as predatory fishes, which are often solitary and lie in wait to dart abruptly after their prey. In addition, schooling is common among certain predatory fish that live in pelagic habitats, such tunas.

Since fishes don't have actual eyelids, they all sleep in an apparently motionless condition where they keep their equilibrium but move slowly. Most people can flee if they are assaulted or disturbed. A few different species of fish rest on the bottom. The majority of catfish, certain loaches, some eels, and electric fish are entirely nocturnal creatures that spend the day hiding out in holes, dense foliage, or other protected areas of the environment while looking for food at night.

Particularly in breeding behavior, communication between members of a species or between members of two or more species is sometimes of utmost importance. Visual communication between a little fish known as a cleaner fish and a huge fish of a completely different species is one example of this. In order to eliminate gill parasites, the bigger fish often permits the cleaner to enter its mouth [7]. Even though the bigger fish is often a predator, the cleaner is easily distinguished by its unique color and behavior and is not eaten as a result. Chemical signals, known as pheromones, are often used in communication.

Locomotion

Numerous fish have streamlined bodies and can move about easily in open water. Fish movement has a strong relationship to habitat and ecological niche (how an animal is generally positioned in relation to its surroundings). In both marine and freshwater, many fish species swim above the surface and have mouths that are ideal (and sometimes the only) places for them to eat. These fishes are often long and thin, with the ability to dart at surface insects or other surface fishes and then flee from predators; notable examples are needlefishes, halfbeaks, and topminnows (such as killifish and mosquito fish). Oceanic flying fishes use their tail's lower lobe to provide push in the water as they accelerate above the water's surface to flee from their predators. Then, using their larger, wing-like pectoral and pelvic fins, they glide hundreds of yards. Freshwater flying fish from South America leap and push themselves out of the water to get away from predators [8]. The majority of fish species are so-called mid-water swimmers, which come in a wide variety and inhabit a variety of settings. For example, the robust fusiform tunas and the trouts have evolved strong, speedy swimming abilities that allow the tunas to hunt food quickly in open water and the trouts to survive the swift currents of streams and rivers. The body shape of trout is ideally suited to a variety of environments. Although they are not typically powerful, fast swimmers, fish that dwell in relatively calm waters, such as bays, lake edges, or sluggish rivers, are able to swim quickly for brief periods in order to avoid predators. The sunfish and freshwater angelfish kept by aquarists are two examples of these species that have their sides flattened. Fish that live near the bottom or substrate often have slow swimming abilities. Sardines and herrings of the open ocean, as well as many tiny minnows of streams and lakes, are examples of fishes that feed on plankton in open water and can swim quickly and strongly [9].

There are many different sorts of bottom-dwelling fish, and they have all had their body forms and swimming styles altered in various ways. Rays often remain near to the bottom and move by undulating their huge pectoral fins, having evolved from powerful mid-water sharks. Similar-looking flounders travel over the bottom by undulating their whole bodies. A frequent motion in gobies is for bottom fish to dart from one location to another while resting on the bottom in between moves. The mudskipper, a goby cousin, prefers to reside at the edge of pools along the coast of muddy mangrove swamps. It quickly flips over the muck and out of the water to get away from its attackers. A few catfish, synbranchid eels, so-called climbing perch, and other fish travel through soggy terrain in search of waters that are more fertile than the ones they left. They wriggle their bodies to move, sometimes employing powerful pectoral fins; the majority have auxiliary air-breathing systems. In mud holes or rocky crevices, many fish that reside on the bottom may be found. These areas are frequented by marine eels and gobies, who often wander far from their cave-like homes. Some bottom-dwelling animals, including clingfish (Gobiesocidae), have strong adhesive disks that let them to hold onto the substrate in places where the waves are strong, such rocky shores [10].

Evolution

Fish evolved as the sister of the tunicata as a vertebrate. Tetrapods often share fish traits, such as possessing vertebrae and a skull, since they arose from deep within the fishes group as the sister of the lungfish. Ostracoderms, a type of tiny, armored fish without jaws, are the earliest fish known from fossil records. Lineages of fish with no jaws are mostly gone. The lampreys are an extant group that may resemble prehistoric pre-jawed fish. Placodermi fossils include the earliest jaws. Instead of possessing individual teeth, they had modified oral surfaces on their jaw plates that served the numerous functions of teeth. The variety of jawed vertebrates may be a clue to the jawed mouth's evolutionary benefits. It is unknown if a hinged jaw benefits from a stronger bite, better breathing, or a combination of factors. Fish may have originated from a species resembling a coral-like sea squirt, since its larvae share many characteristics with early fish. It's possible that the earliest fish retained their larval state until maturity, much as certain sea squirts do today.

Phylogeny

Because fish are a paraphyletic group, any clade that includes all fish also includes tetrapods. These later creatures are not fish, despite having fish-like morphologies like whales and dolphins (see Evolution of Cetaceans) and the extinct ichthyosaurs, both of which developed a fish-like body shape as a result of secondary aquatic adaption. Tetrapods are a subset of Osteichthyes in a cladistic sense.

Diversity

The name "fish" most accurately denotes any non-tetrapod craniate (i.e., an animal having a skull and, in most instances, a backbone) that has gills throughout life and whose limbs, if any, are in the form of fins. Fish are not a single clade, unlike groups like birds or mammals, but rather a paraphyletic assortment of species, including hagfishes, lampreys, sharks and rays, ray-finned fish, coelacanths, and lungfish. Indeed, compared to other fish like ray-finned fish or sharks, lungfish and coelacanths are closer cousins of tetrapods (such as mammals, birds, amphibians, etc.), suggesting that the last common ancestor of all fish was also an ancestor of tetrapods. Use of the phrase "fish" as a biological group must be avoided since paraphyletic groupings are no longer recognized in contemporary systematic biology.

Many aquatic species that are often referred to as "fish" are really other aquatic species, such as shellfish, cuttlefish, starfish, crayfish, and jellyfish. Even scientists of the past could not distinguish between species; in the sixteenth century, natural historians labeled as fish seals, whales, amphibians, crocodiles, even hippopotamuses. However, all mammals, including cetaceans like whales and dolphins, are not considered fish under the definition given above. The genuine fish are often referred to as finfish (or fin fish) to differentiate them from these other creatures, particularly in aquaculture.

A typical fish has two sets of paired fins, usually one or two (rarely three) dorsal fins, an anal fin, and a tail fin, has jaws, has skin that is typically covered in scales, is ectothermic, has a streamlined body for rapid swimming, breathes atmospheric oxygen through an accessory breathing organ, extracts oxygen from water using gills, and lays eggs. Exceptions exist for each requirement. Some warm-blooded adaptations may be seen in shark species, tuna, and swordfish, which can dramatically raise their body temperatures above the surrounding water's temperature. Fish with different swimming and streamlining abilities range from species like eels and rays that can only swim 0.5 body lengths per second to fish like tuna, salmon, and jacks that can swim 10 to 20 body lengths per second [11]. Numerous species of freshwater fish use a number of various structures to get oxygen both from the water and the air.

The paired lungs of lungfish are comparable to those of tetrapods, the labyrinth organ of gouramis serves a similar purpose, and several catfish, including Corydoras, collect oxygen via the gut or stomach. Seahorses, pufferfish, anglerfish, and gulpers are just a few examples of apparently unfishlike creatures that have very varied body shapes and fin arrangements [12]. Similar to moray eels, other fish can have scales of a variety of different types, including placoid (typical of sharks and rays), cosmoid (fossil lungfish and coelacanths), ganoid (a variety of fossil fish as well as living gars and bichirs), cycloid, and ctenoid (these last two are found on most bony fish). Even some fish deposit their eggs on land near water or spend the majority of their lives on land. On mudflats, mudskippers graze and socialize with one another before diving below to retreat to their burrows. One unidentified species of Phreatobius has been referred to be a real "land fish" since it only inhabits wet leaf litter like a worm-like catfish. Many species are referred to as "cavefish" and are found in subterranean lakes, rivers, or aquifers. From the enormous 16-meter (52-foot) whale shark to the small 8-millimeter (0.3-inch) stout infantfish, fish come in all sizes.

The variety of fish species is nearly comparable across freshwater and marine (oceanic) habitats. Marine fish diversity is concentrated in the Indo-Pacific on coral reefs, whereas continental freshwater fish diversity is highest in vast river basins of tropical rainforests, particularly the Amazon, Congo, and Mekong basins [13]. Neotropical freshwaters alone are home to more than 5,600 different species of fish, making up nearly 10% of all vertebrate species on Earth. More freshwater fish species may be found in very rich areas of the Amazon basin than are found in the all of Europe, such Canto State Park.

The snailfish (*Pseudoliparis belyaevi*), which was photographed in the Izu-Ogasawara Trench off the coast of Japan in August 2022 at a depth of 8,336 meters, is the deepest fish that has so far been discovered. A robotic lander used in a research mission financed by Victor Vescovo's Caladan Oceanic and headed by Professor Alan Jacimieson of the University of Western Australia captured footage of the fish. Finfish diversity is unevenly distributed across the different categories, with teleosts accounting for the majority of live fish (96%), and more than half of all vertebrate species. The evolutionary links between all groupings of live fishes (and their corresponding variety) and the four-limbed vertebrates (tetrapods) are shown in the cladogram below.

DISCUSSION

Degradation Of Freshwater Fish Habitat

Waterways that have been purposefully altered by humans can no longer operate normally due to changes in stream flow, water temperature, and other factors. Dams alter the habitat, impede movement and connectivity, interrupt linear water flow, and result in significant geological channel shifts. These effects have the potential to alter the trophic structure of fish populations in lakes, streams, and rivers. Aquatic species have fewer alternatives for survival as a result of enormous habitat destruction brought on by unnatural water flow below dams. The dam construction prevents upstream migration, which may lead to population decreases since fish are unable to reach typical feeding and/or breeding areas. Upstream species richness, or the amount of fish species in the biological community, is often impacted by dams. Additionally, fish populations may become isolated as a result of dams, and this lack of connectedness may lead to issues with inbreeding and poor genetic diversity. The fragmentation of habitats and influence on community assembly structure brought on by connection loss might exacerbate challenges already faced by fragile species.

Another unforeseen result of dam and land use projects is temperature changes. Since temperature is a crucial component of the stability of aquatic ecosystems, changes in the temperature of streams and rivers may have significant effects on biotic communities. Thermal signals are used by many aquatic larvae, mostly insects in this case, to control their life cycles. Since most fish diets include a significant amount of insects, this may be a serious nutritional issue. As their metabolic rates rise and their desire to breed and eat increases, temperature may alter fish behavior and dispersion patterns as well. Aquatic ecosystems need connectedness because linear systems are more susceptible to fragmentation. Because they live in tiny bodies of water that are often near to human activity and are therefore quickly contaminated by garbage, chemicals, waste, and other substances that are damaging to freshwater environments, freshwater fish are especially sensitive to habitat degradation [14].

Changes in land use have a significant impact on aquatic ecosystems. Deforestation has the potential to alter the structure and sedimentary makeup of streams, altering how many fish species use their habitats and thus reducing species richness, evenness, and variety[15]. Freshwater environments may be harmed by agriculture, mining, and simple infrastructure construction. Excess nitrogen and phosphorus from fertilizer runoffs may produce large algal blooms that block sunlight, reduce water oxygenation, and render aquatic animals' habitats unusable. Chemicals from manufacturing and mining find their way into the ground and into waterways via runoff. Since paved roads, cement, and other common infrastructure do not absorb materials and all dangerous contaminants enter rivers and streams directly, more runoff finds its way into streams. Fish are very sensitive to variations in the pH, salinity, hardness, and temperature of the water, all of which may be caused by contaminants in runoff and indirectly by changes in land use.

Exotic Kinds

A species is said to be alien (or non-native) if it does not normally exist in a certain region or habitat. This contains eggs and other species-related biological material [16]. If exotic species harm the environment or the economy, they are deemed invasive. Many populations of indigenous fish are threatened by the introduction of invasive fish species into ecosystems. Along with invasive species that devastate prey populations or outcompete native fishes, the native species struggle to survive. Richness of native species is inversely connected with high populations of alien fish. The alien species has a fitness advantage over indigenous creatures since it was abruptly introduced into a population rather than developing with other organisms. As a result, it lacks established predators, prey, parasites, etc. that other species do.

One such instance is the extinction of the native cichlids in Lake Victoria as a result of the carnivorous Nile perch (Lates niloticus) invasion [17]. Although the precise date is uncertain, the Ugandan Game and Fisheries Department secretly put Nile perch into Lake Victoria in the 1950s, maybe to enhance recreational fishing and expand the fishery. The Nile perch population increased significantly in the 1980s, and this development was accompanied by a significant rise in the fishery's worth. The ecology of the lake was altered by this increase in Nile perch populations. The estimated 500 species of indigenous cichlids have been reduced by practically half. Only three sport fish species two of which were invasive were remained by the 1990s to sustain the once-multispecies fishery [18]. The most recent studies have shown that the surviving cichlids are rebounding as a result of the recent increase in commercial fishing for Nile perch, and the remaining cichlids have the highest phenotypic plasticity and are able to respond swiftly to environmental changes.

The yellowfin cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarkii macdonaldi), which was discovered in 1889 and was recognized as a subspecies of the cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarkii), was found only in the Twin Lakes of Colorado, USA, and was exterminated as a result of the introduction of the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) in the late 19th century. In the 1880s, the rainbow trout was brought to Colorado. The yellowfin cutthroat trout was no longer being recorded by 1903. It is currently thought to be extinct. There are several initiatives to get rid of rainbow trout from their non-native environments since they are invasive all over the globe [19].

CONCLUSION

Fish ecology is a broad area of research that sheds important light on fish behavior, relationships, and ecological functions in aquatic habitats. The complicated interactions between different fish species and their surroundings have been unearthed by scientists via intensive study, offering insight on the biological processes that build aquatic ecosystems. These results have significant ramifications for managing sustainable fisheries as well as environmental preservation. The enormous variety of fish species and their adaptation to varied aquatic settings are two of fish ecology's most remarkable features. Fish have developed a wide range of physiological, behavioral, and anatomical adaptations to prosper in their specialized habitats, whether they live in freshwater streams, lakes, or the open ocean. These adaptations include very diverse sensory systems, migratory patterns, reproductive behaviors, and eating tactics. The complicated food webs that support fish populations and the interdependence of aquatic environments have both been shown through the study of fish ecology. Fish are important both as prey and as predators, affecting the dynamics of whole ecosystems. For the purpose of conserving biodiversity and protecting the health of aquatic habitats, understanding these relationships is crucial.

REFERENCES:

[1] D. Starrs, B. C. Ebner, and C. J. Fulton, "All in the ears: Unlocking the early life history biology and spatial ecology of fishes," *Biol. Rev.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/brv.12162.

[2] S. E. Jackson *et al.*, "We like to listen to stories about fish: Integrating indigenous ecological and scientific knowledge to inform environmental flow assessments," *Ecol. Soc.*, 2014, doi: 10.5751/ES-05874-190143.

[3] A. D. Olds *et al.*, "The ecology of fish in the surf zones of ocean beaches: A global review," *Fish Fish.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/faf.12237.

[4] S. R. M. Rebelo, C. E. C. de Freitas, and M. G. M. Soares, "Fish diet from Manacapuru Big Lake complex (Amazon): A approach starting from the traditional knowledge," *Biota Neotrop.*, 2010, doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032010000300003.

[5] T. R. Roberts and I. G. Baird, "Traditional fisheries and fish ecology on the Mekong River at Khone waterfalls in southern Laos," *Nat. Hist. Bull. Siam Soc.*, 1995.

[6] S. B. Brandt and K. J. Hartman, "Innovative Approaches with Bioenergetics Models: Future Applications to Fish Ecology and Management," *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 1993, doi: 10.1577/1548-8659(1993)122<0731:iawbmf>2.3.co;2.

[7] O. M. Lönnstedt and P. Eklöv, "Environmentally relevant concentrations of microplastic particles influence larval fish ecology," *Science (80-.).*, 2016, doi: 10.1126/science.aad8828.

[8] W. C. Leggett, "The Ecology of Fish Migrations," *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 1977, doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.08.110177.001441.

[9] M. R. Douglas and M. E. Douglas, "Molecular Approaches to Stream Fish Ecology," *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.*, 2010.

[10] R. Moreno-Amich, Q. Pou-Rovira, A. Vila-Gispert, L. Zamora, and E. García-Berthou, "Fish ecology in Lake Banyoles (NE Spain): A tribute to Ramon Margalef," *Limnetica*, 2006, doi: 10.23818/limn.25.22.

[11] G. Haidvogl, R. Hoffmann, D. Pont, M. Jungwirth, and V. Winiwarter, "Historical ecology of riverine fish in Europe," *Aquatic Sciences*. 2015. doi: 10.1007/s00027-015-0400-0.

[12] R. A. M. Silvano and J. Valbo-Jørgensen, "Beyond fishermen's tales: Contributions of fishers' local ecological knowledge to fish ecology and fisheries management," in *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 2008. doi: 10.1007/s10668-008-9149-0.

[13] P. B. McIntyre and A. S. Flecker, "Ecological Stoichiometry as an Integrative Framework in Stream Fish Ecology," *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.*, 2010.

[14] A. S. Flecker and W. J. Matthews, "Patterns in Freshwater Fish Ecology," *Copeia*, 1999, doi: 10.2307/1447409.

[15] P. F. Sale, "Appropriate spatial scales for studies of reef-fish ecology," *Austral Ecol.*, 1998, doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.1998.tb00721.x.

[16] J. A. C. C. Nunes *et al.*, "Global trends on reef fishes' ecology of fear: Flight initiation distance for conservation," *Marine Environmental Research*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.02.011.

[17] K. D. Fausch and S. Nakano, "Research on fish ecology in Japan: A brief history and selected review," *Environ. Biol. Fishes*, 1998, doi: 10.1023/a:1007381807235.

[18] S. Villéger, S. Brosse, M. Mouchet, D. Mouillot, and M. J. Vanni, "Functional ecology of fish: current approaches and future challenges," *Aquat. Sci.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00027-017-0546-z.

[19] A. M. Darnaude, "Fish ecology and terrestrial carbon use in coastal areas: Implications for marine fish production," *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 2005, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00978.x.

CHAPTER 6

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH MIGRATION

Ashutosh Awasthi, Associate Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- ashuaw@yahoo.in

ABSTRACT:

Fish migration is an amazing natural occurrence that occurs outside of aquatic settings and illustrates the flexibility and toughness of aquatic creatures. This study explores the fascinating topic of fish migration, illustrating the many goals and tactics that fish use to span rivers and seas. Fish migrate for a variety of reasons, such as to reproduce, eat, and escape poor environmental circumstances. An intricate interaction between environmental signals, navigational abilities, and physiological adaptations lies at the heart of the processes behind fish migration. Marine animals travel over enormous oceanic stretches, whereas freshwater and diadromous species make epic migrations while navigating barriers like dams and waterfalls. The secrets of fish migration are revealed when we understand it, and this knowledge has significant consequences for conservation and fisheries management. We learn about the interdependence of aquatic ecosystems as we dig into the rich tapestry of fish migration. We also learn how crucial it is to protect these migratory pathways from environmental changes.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Creatures, Fish Migration, Physiological Adaptations, Marine Animals, Migratory Pathways.

INTRODUCTION

Fish migrate in large groups from one region or water body to another. Numerous fish species regularly migrate across lengths of a few meters to many thousands of kilometers, and they do so on time spans ranging from daily to yearly or longer. The main reasons for such migrations are often improved feeding or reproduction, however sometimes the causes are not evident. Schools of fish travel during fish migrations on a scale and for a longer period of time than they do during regular daily activities. Anadromous migration, in which adult fish live in the sea and move to fresh water to spawn, and catadromous migration, in which adult fish dwell in fresh water and travel to salt water to spawn, are two examples of specific forms of migration [1].

Fish that forage in the ocean often travel great distances between their breeding, feeding, and nursery habitats. Ocean currents and the availability of food in various locations at various times of the year are linked to movements. The fact that the fish cannot recognize their own progeny and that migrating in this manner avoids cannibalism may be partially responsible for the migratory patterns. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea classifies several species as highly migratory species. The treaty treats these enormous pelagic fish differently from other fish since they go in and out of other countries' exclusive economic zones.

Freshwater eels are a kind of catadromous fish that undertake lengthy migrations, whereas salmon and striped bass are well-known anadromous fish. Many marine fish migrate vertically during the day and night, rising to the top to eat at night and sinking to deeper strata of the ocean during the day. The bull shark is a euryhaline species that can travel freely between fresh and salt water. Some species, like tuna, follow temperature gradients and migrate north and south at various periods of the year. The fishing business is very interested in the patterns of migration. Freshwater fish also migrate about; often, they swim upriver to spawn, and these customary migrations are increasingly being hampered by the construction of dams.

Classification

Zoologists have created empirical categories for fish migrations, just as they have for many other facets of fish life. The first two words below have been in widespread use for a very long time, while the others are more recent. Salmon, striped bass, and sea lamprey are examples of anadromous fish, which migrate to fresh water to spawn from the sea up (Greek: aná, "up," and "course," Catadromous fish, like eels, move from fresh water into the sea to reproduce (Greek: kata, "down," and dromos, "course"). The following terminology were created by George S. Myers in a 1949 journal paper [2]. The first word turned out to be helpful, while the others failed to catch on.

All fish that move between saltwater and freshwater are diadromous. Diadromous is a phrase that originated from Classical Greek (, "through"; and "running"), much like the two previously stated well-known terms. Fish that travel from freshwater to the sea or vice versa but not for spawning are called amphidromous. Potamodromous fish are those whose migrations take place entirely in fresh water. Fish that live and migrate only in the ocean are called oceanodromous. In spite of the fact that these categories were first developed for fish, they are in theory applicable to any aquatic creature.

Feed Fish

Large migrations of forage fish often occur between their spawning, feeding, and nursery habitats. The typical route used by schools of a certain stock between these sites is a triangle. One population of herrings, for instance, has its breeding grounds in southern Norway, its feeding grounds in Iceland, and its nursery grounds in northern Norway. These broad triangular migrations may be crucial since forage fish cannot tell their own young apart while they are eating.

The Atlantic and Arctic waters are home to the smelt family forage fish known as capelin. At the edge of the ice shelf in the summer, they feed on thick swarms of plankton. Krill and other crustaceans are also consumed by larger capelin. The capelin travel in the spring and summer to feed in plankton-rich regions between Iceland, Greenland, and Jan Mayen. They come inshore in vast schools to spawn. Ocean currents have an effect on the migration. Capelin that have reached maturity migrate far northward to feed in the spring and summer around Iceland. From September to November, people migrate back. In December or January, the spawning migration begins north of Iceland. The primary spawning locations and larval migration paths are shown in the graphic on the right. Green capelin travels to feeding areas, blue capelin travels back, and red capelin travels to breeding grounds [3].

Researchers from Iceland describe how they used an interacting particle model to the capelin population in Iceland and were able to estimate the spawning migratory path for 2008 in a study that was published in 2009.

Species That Migrate Widely

The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)'s Article 64 is where the phrase "highly migratory species" (HMS) first appeared. Although an appendix (UNCLOS appendix 1) identifies the species that the parties to the treaty regard to be highly migratory, the treaty does not offer an operational meaning of the word. These include wahoo, pomfret, marlin, sailfish, swordfish, saury and oceangoing sharks, dolphins and other cetaceans, as well as tuna and species that resemble tuna, such as bigeye, yellowfin, blackfin, small tunny, southern bluefin, and bullet.

These oceanodromous animals with high trophic levels migrate across oceans for feeding—often on forage fish or reproduction. They also have widespread geographic ranges. As a result, many species may be found both within and outside of the 200 nautical mile (370 km) exclusive economic zones. Since they are pelagic species, they spend most of their time in the open ocean and do not reside close to the ocean bottom, but they may spend a portion of their life cycle there.

Straddling stocks and transboundary stocks may be used as comparisons for highly migratory animals. Both within and outside of an EEZ, straddling stock range. transnational stock range in at least two nations' EEZs. A stock may be both straddling and transnational. Physical tagging might make it difficult to ascertain the population structure of highly migratory animals. Fish populations from different ocean basins have a difficult time being distinguished by short-range PCR products, microsatellites, and SNP-arrays, which are traditional genetic markers. However, yellowfin tuna, albacore, and wahoo population genomic research employing RAD sequencing has been able to differentiate populations from various ocean basins and demonstrate fine-scale population structure [4]. The population structure of striped marlin has also been better understood using similar population genomics techniques.

Other Instances

The Pacific salmon species, including Chinook (king), coho (silver), chum (dog), pink (humpback), and sockeye (red) salmon, are some of the most well-known anadromous fishes. Small streams of freshwater are where these fish spawn. They then go to the sea to develop and spend two to six years there. The salmon return to the streams where they hatched when they are mature to breed. Salmon may travel hundreds of kilometers upriver, therefore people must build fish ladders in dams to let the fish pass. The three-spined stickleback, sea lamprey, sea trout, and shad are more examples of anadromous fishes.

A number of Pacific salmon, including Chinook, Coho, and Steelhead, have been introduced into the US Great Lakes and have developed the ability to migrate wholly inside freshwater between their birthplace waters and feeding sites. Freshwater eels migrate over remarkable catadromous routes. Examples are the American and European eels, which travel great distances from freshwater rivers to breed in the Sargasso Sea. Their larvae might then float in the currents for months or even years before returning as glass eels or elvers to their original rivers and streams.

The bull shark, which may be found in the Zambezi River in Africa and Lake Nicaragua in Central America, is an example of a euryhaline animal. Bull sharks can be found in both of these freshwater environments, but they also travel to and from the ocean. Bull sharks from Zambezi Lake specifically go to the Indian Ocean whereas bull sharks from Lake Nicaragua Lake move to the Atlantic Ocean. Many marine animals migrate to the top at night to eat and then descend during the day, a phenomenon known as diel vertical migration. Many big marine fishes, like the tuna, migrate north and south every year in response to changes in ocean temperature. These are very significant for fisheries [5].

For spawning, freshwater (potamodromous) fish often migrate less distance, typically from lake to stream or vice versa. However, the endangered Colorado pikeminnow of the Colorado River system may undergo lengthy potamodromous migrations. Migrations to the natal spawning grounds may easily cover 100 km, with radiotagging studies reporting maximum distances of 300 km. In order to get to extremely particular spawning places in whitewater canyons, Colorado pikeminnows may migrate upstream or downstream throughout their migrations, which also exhibit a high degree of homing. Fish-eating birds have been known to distribute fish. They transport eggs in their digestive systems before dumping them in new locations with their feces. Fish eggs that have survived a bird's digestive system have a poor survival rate.

Exploitation of History

Since the beginning of time, people have taken advantage of certain anadromous fish during their migration into freshwater streams, when they are more easily caught. There are known Millingstone Horizon-era societies that took use of the anadromous fisheries in Morro Creek and other estuaries around the Pacific coast. Since ancient times, the Paiute tribe in Nevada has collected migratory Lahontan cutthroat trout along the Truckee River. This kind of fishing is being used today, and the U.S. The Lahontan cutthroat trout may be sustained by appropriate populations according to research that has been funded by the Environmental Protection Agency.

Genes From Myxovirus

Salmonids experience a wider variety of viruses from both freshwater and marine settings due to their anadromous lifestyle. The GTP-ase family of proteins known as myxovirus resistance (Mx) proteins contributes to viral immunity. Previously, it was shown that rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) have three distinct Mx genes to support viral defense in both habitats. There are between 1 and 9 Mx genes per fish species, with certain outliers like the Gadiformes having completely lost all of their Mx genes. Wang et al. (2019) conducted research to find more possible Mx genes in rainbow trout. That research led to the discovery of six more Mx genes, currently known as Mx4-9. Additionally, they came to the conclusion that the trout Mx genes "differentially expressed constitutively in tissues" and that this expression is enhanced throughout development. During development, the Mx gene family is highly expressed in the blood and gut, which suggests that they are essential for the fish's developing immune system [6]. The notion that these genes are crucial for viral defense implies that they are essential for the trout's success in an anadromous existence.

Fish Movement

Every year, a variety of fish species pass through one section of the ocean. Some are actual migrants who often travel long distances. Before joining the adult population in the feeding grounds, young fish often depart the spawning grounds for regions where they mature into juveniles. Adults go for the spawning area and then come back to the feeding area. Fish migration patterns are influenced by currents and oceanic variables. While adult fish often migrate against the stream as they move toward breeding areas, eggs, larvae, and young float passively with the river. Thus, adult motions are active rather than inert, and fish react to their surroundings, such as the climate. There are three different types of migratory fish: oceanodromous, anadromous, and catadromous.

Ocean-going fish

Oceanodromous fish are fish that live and move entirely in the ocean. They are found all throughout the world's seas. They vary from one another mostly in terms of how and how far they migrate. The best-known oceanodromous species is the herring (Clupea harengus), which is the subject of intensive study due to its economic significance. It may be divided into a number of populations, or local races, which do not mingle freely. Each also has a distinct pattern of migration. Different species of herring spawn in the North Sea at various times of the year and on various grounds. For example, Buchan herring spawn in August and September off the Scottish coast and migrate to the coast of southwestern Norway; Dogger Bank herring spawn in September and October in the center of the North Sea and along the English coast; and Downs herring spawn from November to January off the French coast. The yearly cycle of oceanographic conditions in the North Sea is directly related to the variety of migratory and reproductive seasons.

Herring and cod both migrate in similar ways (Gadus morhua). Other species migrate much further; in the Atlantic, for instance, white tuna (Germo alalunga) may be observed wintering between the Azores and the Canary Islands before returning to spawn in the spring. Then, in July, they make their way to the seas around Iceland after migrating northward to the Gulf of Gascogne. Red tuna (Thunnus thynnus) populations may be found all throughout the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. They spawn in the western Mediterranean in May and June. They moved northward to forage throughout the summer, eventually reaching the Arctic Ocean. Along the Atlantic and Pacific coasts of North America, comparable migrations take place.

Aquatic Animals

Fish that are anadromous are marine species that go to freshwater to reproduce. Their adjustments to the requirements of various environments are accurate, especially when it comes to the salinity of the water. In lakes or higher streams, salmon (Oncorhynchus salmo) spawn in the chilly, clear water. Gravel beds are where eggs are placed. While Pacific salmon sometimes migrate to the sea in their first year, the juvenile of the Atlantic salmon stay in fresh water for two to three years, perhaps for as long as six. Fish that are adults often spend two or three winters in the water, but sometimes just one. After undergoing changes in color and other external traits, they return to fresh water as grilse (adolescents) or adults and spawn. After a single spawning, some Atlantic salmon perish in freshwater, while others migrate back to the ocean [7].

Salmon tagging has shown that European species may migrate from Scotland to Norway and vice versa. Between latitudes 45° and 65° N, with surface waters that range in temperature from 2 to 11 °C (36 to 52 °F), Pacific salmon are most likely found in the Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea.

A large percentage of the fish return to the river where they hatched, according to research done in Canada and the United States on juvenile salmon traveling to the Pacific. The tagging of Atlantic salmon has shown that a small number of surviving had travelled to a certain river twice or even three times over the course of many years. Instead of returning to the stream where the eggs were placed, adults raised from experimentally transplanted eggs do so in the stream where they were born or grew. In addition to other methods of orienting, such as making use of celestial characteristics, topographical cues are thought to be crucial in identifying the original habitat. But olfaction, or the sense of smell, plays the most significant part. According to experiments, migratory salmon are drawn to the stream's waters since it is where they will breed. Early developmental experiential imprinting permits a mature fish to react to waters that contain chemicals with a certain odor or that have a particular temperature.

Cyprinid Fish

Fish that are catadromous spend the most of their life in fresh water before migrating to the ocean to spawn. The 16 species of eels of the genus Anguilla, the two most well-known of which are the North American eel (*A. rostrata*) and the European eel (*A. anguilla*), serve as examples of this kind. Both European and North American eels spawn in the Sargasso Sea, a region located mostly at latitude 26° N and longitude 55° W, in the warm, salty waters of the Atlantic Ocean, at depths of 400 to 700 meters (about 1,300 to 2,300 ft). Leptocephali, translucent, leaf-like forms with relatively tiny heads, are formed from the pelagic eggs and are transported by the Gulf Stream to the shallow waters of the continental shelf. A transformation happens when they are approximately two and a half years old and around eight centimeters (just over three inches) long. The leptocephali develop into cylindrical, pigmented, bottom-dwelling creatures known as elvers. In the spring, they start to travel upwards in freshwater streams after arriving in coastal waters as glass eels. Millions of juvenile fish congregate to create a thick mass many kilometers long during their stunning upstream migration. The eels reach their maximum size in freshwater and become yellow. They remain in this state for 10 to 15 years until transforming into silver eels with bigger eyes. They then swim back to their breeding grounds (the Sargasso Sea) and pass away. It is unclear how these eels migrate, especially when they return to the Sargasso Sea. It's possible that North American and European eels are members of the same species.

DISCUSSION

Amphibians And Reptiles

The majority of reptiles and amphibians presumably only travel within a fairly small range over the seasons. Being generally unable of traveling very far, they tend to become lethargic in response to unfavorable circumstances. They are able to spend the full year in a certain location thanks to this kind of reaction.

Only during the reproductive season can reptiles and amphibians make movements resembling migration. Then, thousands of frogs and toads migrate to certain locations every year, such as ponds and lakes, where they congregate. Following reproduction, the animals scatter and eventually settle back in their natural habitat [8]. The arrau, also known as the South American river turtle (*Podocnemis expansa*), migrates along rivers in massive swarms that may obstruct boat traffic. To deposit their eggs, turtles congregate on the sandbars of major rivers. Giant land tortoises (*Testudo elephantopus*) live mostly in the upper humid zone of the Galápagos Islands, where food is plentiful, but they descend to the lower dry zone to deposit their eggs. Even though they move slowly and have a large body mass, they cover around 50 kilometers (30 miles) through unfriendly terrain.

On the other hand, sea turtles travel a great distance to deposit their eggs, which they subsequently disseminate across a large region. The Gulf of Mexico and the West Indies are where green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*), who lay their eggs on the Costa Rican coast, disperse after hatching. Green turtles that were tagged on Ascension Island, which is located midway between Africa and South America, have been found 2,300 kilometers (1,400 miles) distant on the coast of Brazil.

Birds

Bird migration is the most obvious. Due to their high metabolic rates, the majority of animals need a rich, ample supply of food on a regular basis. In any particular place, this circumstance may not necessarily hold true over the whole year. Birds have so developed a very effective way of moving quickly across huge distances while using very little energy. Since there are several intermediate varieties between the two groups, the traits of migratory birds and those of nonmigratory species are not drastically different. In reality, all transitory forms might appear in a single species or local population, in which case partial migration is considered to have occurred.

Nomadic flights may also take place in addition to ordinary migration. For instance, in Australia's desert regions, ducks, parrakeets, and seedeaters would emerge in a location after sporadic and erratic rainfall, breed there, and then fly off to other locations. Nomadism is a reaction to erratic ecological circumstances [8].

European Union

While the populations of many bird species in northern and eastern Europe have strong migratory tendencies, those in western Europe are more stationary. While some birds migrate throughout the winter, others stay in the Mediterranean or the southwest of the continent. A large number of migratory communities go south of the Sahara to Africa. Several major routes are determined by geography. The Alps serve as a significant obstruction for migrating birds. Aproximately 150 species migrate westward and southwestward, while others migrate southeast [9].

In western Europe, tits (Parus), goldfinches (*Carduelis carduelis*), and blackbirds (*Turdus merula*) are often stationary; in northern Europe, where their flights mimic a brief migration, they are typically migratory. In western Europe, where vast numbers of starlings (Sturnus vulgaris) congregate from eastern Europe, they are stationary. Additionally, huge flocks spend the winter in North Africa.

Warblers, flycatchers, and wagtails are examples of insectivorous (insect-eating) birds that are extremely migratory and spend the winter in the tropics, mostly in Africa. They go to Tanzania on the east coast, Sierra Leone on the west coast, and all the way to the southernmost point of the continent. Although some of these migrants only travel in the southeast, the majority go across the Mediterranean through various routes, mostly in the western part. *Oriolus oriolus*, the golden oriole, and *Lanius collurio*, the red-backed shrike, both go through Greece and Egypt to East Africa. Swifts (*Apus apus*), house martins (*Delichon urbica*), and barn swallows (*Hirundo rustica*), in particular, spend the winter in Africa south of 20° N latitude, mostly in South Africa, the Congo River region, and certain coastal parts of West Africa [10].

The stork (*Ciconia ciconia*), one of the most well-known migrants among nonpasserines (nonperching birds), migrates to tropical Africa through two distinct flyways. The population of storks that nests west of a line that follows the Weser River in Germany flies southwestward through France and Spain, past the Strait of Gibraltar, and travels through West Africa; the eastern population, which is by far the larger population, travels over the Straits of the Bosporus, through Turkey and Israel, and travels through east Africa. These well-defined paths are most likely a consequence of the stork's dislike of lengthy flights over water.

Swans, geese, and ducks are examples of migrants. These birds spend part of their winter in tropical Africa and part in western Europe. They are expected to spend the winter in lakes and rivers in Africa, from Senegal in the west to Sudan in the east, where tens of thousands of pintails (*Anas acuta*) and garganeys (*Anas querguedula*) assemble every year. A molt migration occurs when certain ducks leave their breeding grounds to molt (the process by which old feathers are replaced) in locations where they are safest from predators during the period, they are unable to fly. The ducks fly to their final winter quarters after molting.

Common migrants include wading birds (shorebirds), the majority of which breed in Arctic tundra and spend the winter along seacoasts from western Europe to South Africa. Scientists have noted that over the course of their lengthy migrations, shorebirds, such as the white-rumped sandpiper (*Calidris fuscicollis*), run an elevated risk of death from weariness and bad weather. The Arctic tundra, a favorite shorebird nesting habitat, supports lower predator population densities than places farther south, and consequently more newly born young survive to maturity. They hypothesize that this cost is offset by the advantage of less nest predation.

American North

The winter threats faced by North American birds are the same as those faced by European species. The primary migratory routes, which run from north to south and include the Atlantic Oceanic Route, the Atlantic Coast Route, the Mississippi Flyway, the Central Flyway, the Pacific Flyway, and the Pacific Oceanic Route, are determined by the continent's topography. Despite the fact that a large number of birds spend the winter in the Gulf States, the main wintering region stretches across Mexico and Central America to Panama, which has the highest density of winter bird inhabitants in the whole globe.

The ruby-throated hummingbird (*Archilochus colubris*), which breeds in southern Canada, spends the winters as far south as Panama in Central America. Some of these birds span the Gulf of Mexico continuously. Many American flycatchers (Tyrannidae), which are mostly insectivorous, migrate in the same manner as hummingbirds due to their dietary needs. Others spend the winter in the

Gulf States, such as the phoebe (*Sayornis phoebe*). Large flocks of birds, including the American robin (*Turdus migratorius*) and many species of grackles, congregate in the Gulf States. The American wood warblers' (Parulidae) migratory flights are among the most breathtaking in all of North America [11]. Others, like the blackpoll warbler (Dendroica striata), go to Guiana, Brazil, and Peru through the West Indies and spend the winter in the Gulf States and the West Indies. The Canada goose's east-west migratory paths in the spring stretch throughout North America, from Hudson Bay to as far south as Chesapeake Bay.

Several tanagers, like the bobolink (*Dolichonyx oryzivorus*) and scarlet tanager (*Piranga olivacea*), migrate across the eastern United States and via Cuba to the marshy areas of Bolivia, southern Brazil, and northern Argentina during the winter. The American golden plover (*Pluvialis dominica dominica*), which makes a massive loop through most of the New World, winters in this region of South America. After building their nests in the tundras of Alaska and Canada, the plover congregates in Labrador in eastern Canada before flying 3,900 kilometers (2,400 miles) across the ocean (the quickest route) to Brazil. On their way back, they fly across South and Central America, the Gulf of Mexico, and finally the Mississippi Valley [12].

CONCLUSION

The amazing behaviors and adaptations of aquatic species are on display during fish migration, a stunning natural occurrence that occurs when they travel across various aquatic habitats. Scientists and environmentalists have been captivated by this intricate and varied element of fish biology for centuries, and current research is still revealing new details about fish migration. The huge variety of species and the different motivations for fish migration are two of its most remarkable features. Fish use a variety of tactics to cover great distances in seas, rivers, and lakes, whether it's for breeding, foraging, or fleeing bad circumstances. These migrations often coincide with environmental signals like temperature, light, and water flow, demonstrating fish's extraordinary capacity to perceive and react to their environment. Fish migration is crucial to ecosystem dynamics because it helps maintain the integrity of the food web, energy flow, and nutrient cycling in aquatic habitats. The study of fish migration is crucial for both ecological and human interests since their travels may have an influence on regional economies, fisheries, and cultural traditions. Furthermore, for conservation efforts, a knowledge of fish migration is essential. Threats to several migratory fish populations include habitat loss, pollution, climate change, and overfishing. Important migration corridors and ecosystems, such spawning grounds and migratory pathways, are often the subject of conservation activities.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. L. C. Ribas, J. P. Sherry, A. R. Zampronio, H. C. Silva de Assis, and D. B. D. Simmons, "Inhibition of immune responses and related proteins in Rhamdia quelen exposed to diclofenac," *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/etc.3742.

[2] D. Chen, A. S. Leon, P. Hosseini, N. L. Gibson, and C. Fuentes, "Application of Cluster Analysis for Finding Operational Patterns of Multireservoir System during Transition Period," *J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.1061/(asce)wr.1943-5452.0000772.

[3] J. Rosset *et al.*, "Temporal Patterns of Migration and Spawning of River Herring in Coastal Massachusetts," *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/00028487.2017.1341851.

[4] W. Pan, C. Weinhouse, … B. F.-P. 2017 A., and undefined 2017, "Untangling Drivers of Mercury Exposure in Peru: Impact of Migration, Diets, and Gold Mining," *paa.confex.com*, 2017.

[5] K. Appeaning Addo, P. N. Jayson-Quashigah, S. N. A. Codjoe, and F. Martey, "Drone as a tool for coastal flood monitoring in the Volta Delta, Ghana," *Geoenvironmental Disasters*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s40677-018-0108-2.

[6] V. A. Y. Pratami, P. Setyono, and S. Sunarto, "Zonasi, Keanekaragaman, dan Pola Migrasi Ikan di Sungai Keyang, Kabupaten Ponorogo, Jawa Timur," *J. Ilmu Lingkung.*, 2018, doi: 10.14710/jil.16.1.78-85.

[7] R. Huusko, P. Hyvärinen, M. Jaukkuri, A. Mäki-Petäys, P. Orell, and J. Erkinaro, "Survival and migration speed of radio-tagged atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) smolts in two large rivers: One without and one with dams," *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2017-0134.

[8] W. M. Potts, A. C. Winkler, M. C. Parkinson, C. V. D. Santos, W. H. H. Sauer, and A. R. Childs, "Comparing catch rate, conventional tagging, and acoustic telemetry data for understanding the migration patterns of coastal fishes," *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2017-0428.

[9] C. Wor, M. K. McAllister, S. J. D. Martell, N. G. Taylor, and C. J. Walters, "A lagrangian approach to model movement of migratory species," *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2017-0093.

[10] E. L. McCann, N. S. Johnson, and K. L. Pangle, "Corresponding long-term shifts in stream temperature and invasive fish migration," *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2017-0195.

[11] M. H. T. Hipondoka, B. C. W. van der Waal, M. H. Ndeutapo, and L. Hango, "Sources of fish in the ephemeral western iishana region of the Cuvelai–Etosha Basin in Angola and Namibia," *African J. Aquat. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.2989/16085914.2018.1506310.

[12] H. T. T. Hue, S. Pradit, C. Jarunee, A. Lim, T. Nitiratsuwan, and C. Goncalo, "Physical properties of three songkhla lagoon fish species in the lower gulf of Thailand during and after the monsoon season," *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.15666/aeer/1605_61136127.

CHAPTER 7

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH COMMUNICATION

Anil Kumar, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- anilsingh2929@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Fish communication offers insights into the intricate ways in which these organisms communicate and traverse their underwater environs. It is an intriguing and multidimensional component of aquatic life. In order to provide insight on the many sensory modalities and behaviors that fish use to communicate and develop social relationships, this chapter investigates the various processes and goals underlying fish communication. Fish use a variety of signals to convey a variety of information, from mating and territorial defense to predator alerts and group cooperation. These signals include visual displays, auditory cues, and chemical markers. The intricacy of fish social structures and the significance of these interactions for their survival and reproduction are revealed when the subtleties of fish communication are understood. It also has ramifications for conservation efforts since it emphasizes how crucial it is to maintain the healthy ecosystems that sustain these intricate communication networks. We come to appreciate the vivid and linked underwater world that fishes live in more and more as we dive into the world of fish communication.

KEYWORDS:

Auditory Cues, Chemical Markers, Fish Communication, Social Relationships, Underwater World.

INTRODUCTION

When one animal sends out a signal and utilizes it to modify another animal's behavior, communication has taken place. Any behavioural, anatomical, or physiological characteristic that has developed particularly to convey knowledge about the sender and/or the surrounding environment and to prompt the sensory system of the recipient to alter behavior is referred to as a signal. Since cues are informative features that have not been chosen for communicative goals, they vary from signals in this regard. The bird is utilizing the sound as a signal to convey its awareness to the predator, for instance, if it issues a warning cry to a predator and the predator abandons the hunt as a result [1]. The contact is not seen as an effort at communication, however, if a rat forages in the leaves and creates a sound that draws a predator.

The physical characteristics of air and water cause the signal transmission process during communication to vary in speed and clarity. This implies that aquatic species cannot share the same structures and communication methods as terrestrial animals. A fish that is surrounded by water will require a different mechanism to detect chemicals than a horse that can smell the air to identify pheromones.

Various communication modalities, including as visual, auditory, tactile, chemical, and electrical signals, are used by aquatic species to communicate. Any of these types of communication need specialized signal-producing and -detecting organs. As a result, distinct classes and species of aquatic creatures have diverse sensory systems that differ substantially from those of terrestrial animals in terms of their structure, distribution, and operation.

Aquatic species' fundamental modes of communication are comparable to those of terrestrial creatures. Communication may generally be used to promote social recognition and aggregation, to find, attract, and assess mate candidates, as well as to participate in territorial or mate-related conflicts. Aquatic animal species may sometimes communicate with one another. The most frequent interspecies communication occurs between prey and predator or between creatures living in symbiotic or mutualistic partnerships [2].

Communication Methods

Acoustic

The use of sound as a signal is referred to as acoustic communication. Aquatic and semi-aquatic invertebrates and vertebrates often communicate acoustically, and many species are able to do so utilizing both infrasound and ultrasound. Aquatic creatures can employ sound signals for long-distance communication but terrestrial species cannot because sound travels quicker and further in water than it does in the air. For instance, a blue whale may use sound to communicate with another blue whale hundreds of kilometers away in the ocean.

Aquatic animals have a variety of techniques to make and detect both vocal and non-vocal sounds, in contrast to terrestrial species, which often have a consistent manner. Fish may make noises with their swim bladder or pectoral fin, such as boat whistles, grunts, and croaks. Frogs and toads are amphibians that use vibrating tissues in airflow to produce vocalizations. For instance, whereas pipid frogs utilize their laryngeal muscles to generate an implosion of air and a clicking sound, frogs use their vocal sacs and an air-recycling mechanism to produce sound. Aquatic animals with a larynx, like seals and otters, can make sound [3]. While on land, fiddler and ghost crabs may make non-vocal sounds by hitting, drumming, or tapping on a substrate, but aquatic invertebrates like cleaner shrimp often clap their claws to make noise.

Mechanoreceptors are used by aquatic creatures to pick up auditory information. Other aquatic animals, excluding aquatic mammals with external ears, have ear openings containing mechanoreceptors. The sound-detecting organs of aquatic invertebrates including lobster, crabs, and shrimp are exterior sensory hairs and interior statocysts.

Uses for acoustic signals include:

a. **Social aggregation:** Bigeye fish make 'click' noises to preserve school structure and con-specific cries to aid in social aggregation.
b. **Social recognition:** In all pinnipeds, moms and pups communicate using a distinctive vocalization that enables them to recognize one another after extended periods of foraging. The mother also uses this distinctive vocal repertoire to entice her youngster into the water or into a haul-out.

c. **Mate attraction:** Male elephant fish utilize auditory signals to entice females from a distance since they often stay in their area and hatch. A male will grunt, groan, and growl in that sequence when a gravid female enters his area to alert her to his presence, entice her, and prepare her for spawning. Until she leaves the area, the calls won't stop.
d. **Antagonistic interaction:** During conflicts, baleen whales and toothed whales slap their tails to create loud, low-frequency noises both below and in the air to signal their danger.

Visual

Animals that live in water employ visual cues including size, color, pattern, and movement to communicate. These visual characteristics may also be thought of as signals if they alter. Due to limited light penetration in turbid regions, or in areas with increasing depth and high habitat complexity, animals living in coastal or marine environments are more likely to employ visual signals than species living in riverine or turbid environments [4].

It has been hypothesized that certain fish and cephalopods use light-reflective features on their bodies to actively make and control polarized light patterns for communication. For instance, a'red' stripe of iridophores on the dorsolateral side of a loliginid squid reflects polarized light at an oblique angle. Physiological factors may regulate the level and pattern of polarization on the loliginid squid. Photoreceptors are used by animals to sense visual signals. Even in low light, certain semi-aquatic animals can see and maybe communicate through visual signals because to eyesight modifications including bigger eyes and tapetum. It is believed that certain fish, mantis shrimp, and squid have eyes with a particular photoreceptor structure or orientation that enable them to recognize polarized light.

Contrary to the atmosphere, the precise light spectrum and intensity vary depending on the environment. The color of the water an animal lives in seems to affect the spectrum sensitivity of their retinal photoreceptors, which may sometimes change when they relocate to a new place to improve visual acuity.

Visual cues are used for:

a. **Social recognition:** Pacific spot dolphins have distinctive body patterns that signify the rank and social function of each individual dolphin. For instance, a white jaw tip denotes a dominant male dolphin.
b. **Mate evaluation:** Male crabs use their protruding claws to indicate to receptive ladies that they are good partners. Although it hasn't been proven, the frequent "head jerk" motion of sea otters is supposed to indicate reproductive state.
c. **Aggression:** Male cuttlefish engage in zebra displays to express their desire to fight competing males. The intensity of the pattern contrast indicates the strength of the individual.

Chemical

Pheromones are chemical compounds that are used as a means of communication by many aquatic animals.

Pheromone production and secretion are often regulated by specialized glands or organs. While aquatic animals are capable of producing both water-soluble and water-insoluble pheromones, they often create soluble signals because they are simpler to disperse in water [5]. Water-soluble compounds are often released into the fluid around them, while water-insoluble chemicals are expressed on the animal's body surface.

Through a pair of nephron-pores, crustaceans may emit urine carrying chemical messages, and they can also deposit pheromones produced by their tegmental glands on their body surface. Fish use their excretory pores and gills to emit pheromones via their urine. Amphibians like frogs and toads used their breeding glands to make water-soluble pheromones. While pinnipeds have smell glands suspected to create pheromones around the vibrissae and hindquarters, mammals like dolphins emit water-soluble pheromones in their excretions.

Mechanoreceptors may sense chemical signals. Chemoreceptors are located on the antennules of crustaceans. By moving their antennae and generating water currents that bring the chemicals in their environment toward them, they can sample chemical signals nearby. Fish's nasal cavities are lined with mechanoreceptors. It is hypothesized that to improve chemical detection, the multi-ciliated cells on the rim of their nasal canals produce a water flow [6].

The majority of semi-aquatic animals, reptiles, and amphibians have tongues and noses. Sea otters and pinnipeds often engage in 'nosing' behaviors near conspicuous smell glands on land, indicating some sort of chemical signal recognition. Because most of these species block their nasal aperture underwater and because semi-aquatic mammals are known to have diminished olfactory nerves, bulbs, and tracts, it was previously believed that they did not communicate chemically underwater. The semi-aquatic star-nosed mole and water shrew, on the other hand, have been discovered to be able to detect chemicals underwater by expelling air bubbles onto objects or smell trails and then inhaling the bubbles again, which now transport the chemical signals back via the nose.

Uses for chemical signaling include:

a. **Mate attraction and evaluation:** Male Magnificent Tree frogs release the pheromone splendipherin, which has been shown to draw in female frogs. The female snow crab and female helmet crab use aquatic chemical signals to promote their receptive ness and draw in males.
b. **Agonistic interaction:** It is believed that the quantity and concentration of urine pheromone released by crayfish during an agonistic conflict reveal the likelihood that a resource will be held and may hasten the end of the conflict.

Electrocommunication

Only aquatic species have been found to use electrocommunication because water is a far better conductor of electricity than air. Fish are the only aquatic creatures that can transmit and receive electrical impulses, making them the only animals that can successfully communicate via electrical signals. A variety of species can sense electrical signals [7]. Weakly electric fish have the ability to produce electric organ discharge (EOD), also known as a continuous electrical discharge, using specialized electric organs. For instance, electric eels have three pairs of abdominal organs: the main organ, the hunter's organ, and the sach's organ. These organs store electrolytes that may create electricity. The EOD may be species-specific and sometimes even individual-unique. Additionally, electric fish may change the frequency, volume, length, quiet intervals, amplitude, and chords of their EOD. Natural EOD and deliberate EOD modifications are all social signals that have been found to correspond with a variety of social settings.

Utilizing tuberous electroreceptors that are sensitive to high-frequency stimuli, electric fish are able to sense electrical impulses. Different types of electroreceptors may be found throughout the body in a variety of locations. Ampullae of Lorenzini electroreceptors, for instance, are found in the pores on the snouts and other parts of the heads of sharks. Over their bodies, electric eels contain several patches of tuberous sensors.

Uses for electrical signals include:

Agonistic interaction: There are two variations of EOD that may indicate two distinct things during agonistic interactions. A lengthy period of no electrical discharges is seen as an appeasement act to deter assaults. A more complicated signal involves variations in electrical discharge rate, although generally speaking, bigger variations tend to serve as a threat signal, conveying a high level of attack desire and being useful in causing retreat or restraining assaults.

Tactile

Touch, often known as tactile communication, is only effective at extremely short distances since it requires bodily contact. In very close-range conditions, visual displays can easily transform into tactile messages. In a social setting, prolonged stroking and rubbing of the snout, rostrum, flippers, pectoral fins, dorsal fin, flukes, belly, or even the whole body are examples of tactile messages. Tactile cues that are more violent include biting, raking, butting, or ramming. The somatosensory system, which reacts to changes at the surface or within the body, is how animals feel touch. The majority of aquatic creatures have mechanoreceptors on their skin's surface. Pinnipeds also have them on their vibrissae, while whales have them on their hair.

Uses for tactile signaling include:

Hylodes japi female frogs engage in mating rituals in which they contact the male's dorsum with their gular regions and their feet to indicate that they are willing to mate.

Multiple-modal interaction

A signal that combines many sensory modalities is referred to be multimodal. For instance, the male ***Hylopes japi*** frog's mating display combines audio cues (peeps and squeals) with visual signals (foot shaking, throat display, toe flagging). Other terrestrial species are also shown to employ multimodal signaling, in addition to aquatic ones. Multiple quality signals may be sent at once using multimodal signaling, which is expected to improve the signal's performance in noisy or unpredictable situations. The game theory's foundation, restrictions on cost functions, potential cross-modal mistakes, and examples of many characteristics all support multimodal signaling by giving signalers and viewers biological advantages.

Interspecific Dialogue

Interplay Between Prey and Predator

To dissuade the predator from pursuing and/or eating them, prey often exhibits pursuit-deterrent signs. Toxic substances may signal with a pursuit-deterrent signal. For instance, the fire-bellied toad will strike a defensive posture and show the predator its colorful belly in response to an assault. The toad's vivid color warns potential predators that it is poisonous, preventing them from attacking.

In addition, prey may consistently communicate to predators that they are challenging to capture or subdue, which prompts the predator to retreat or shift its attention to another member of the prey population. Guppies, for instance, may provide a visual indication of approaching and scrutinizing a potential predator, which tells the predator that the guppies are aware of it and will be more difficult to capture. It has been shown that cichlid, the guppies' main predator, are less inclined to attack the fish that display examining behaviors. Predators seldom interact with their preys, but when they do, the majority of the signals they send are deceptive.

DISCUSSION

Symbiotic Relationship That Is Mutualistic

When two creatures of different species 'operate together' and gain from one another, such connection is called a mutualistic one. Sometimes, this reciprocal advantage is the result of communication between two species. An example of this is the mutualistic symbiotic interaction between an alpheid, or snapping shrimp, and a goby, a tiny bottom-dwelling fish. Typically, a goby will wait at the entrance of a burrow that a shrimp has dug and is maintaining. The goby would observe while the shrimp worked on the tunnel. The goby will flick or beat its tail on the shrimp's antennae if it detects a possible threat [8]. The shrimp will retreat inside their burrow in response to this tactile indication indicating the presence of potential danger, and the goby will do the same. Both the goby and the shrimp gain from this communication since the goby will be permitted to utilize the burrow as a shelter and the shrimp may safely use more energy on maintaining the shelter.

Sound Reception in Vertebrates: Fish and Amphibian Auditory Systems

Vertebrate ears seem to have evolved along several paths, although they all started with the same fundamental form of mechanoreceptor, the labyrinth. Two labyrinths are present in all vertebrates, and they are located close to the brain on the side of the head. They include a variety of sensory endings, the main jobs of which are to control muscle tone (a partial contraction of the muscles) and to establish the position and motion of the head and body.

The picture depicts generic drawings of vertebrate labyrinths with the typical placements of the sensory terminals for the various vertebrate groups marked. There are two main divisions of these endings: an inferior division, which includes the saccule (a small sac) and its derivatives, and a superior division, which includes the three semicircular canals, the organs connected to the sense of balance, and the utricle, the small sac into which the semicircular canals open. The endolymphatic duct, which arises at or near the junction of the utricle and the saccule, terminates in an endolymphatic sac; this structure presumably controls fluid pressures inside the labyrinth and assists in waste removal.

With the exception of the cyclostomes (such as hagfishes and lampreys), the superior division of the labyrinth is surprisingly consistent in shape across the vertebrates. Each semicircular canal in the utricle has a crista at its end, which is where the macular ending, or macula utriculi, is located. A papilla neglecta is found in all vertebrate groups, with the exception of placental mammals and a few other sporadic species. It is often seen on the utricle's floor or close to where the saccule and utricle join.

The *Macula sacculi*, a saccule with its macula, are always present in the lower division of the labyrinth, although the derivatives of the saccule varies significantly across the various vertebrate groups. The lagena, also known as the macula lagenae, is a curving, flask-shaped feature found in teleosts (bony fish), amphibians, reptiles, and birds. A papilla amphibiorum is only seen in amphibians and is situated close to the point where the utricle and the saccule converge. There is a papilla basilaris in certain amphibians, all reptiles, birds, and mammals. It is a highly detailed structure that is often referred to as a cochlea in higher species. The term "organ of Corti" refers to the complex sensory architecture of higher kinds of ears that include hair cells and supporting components [9].

The ciliated cells and accessory cells that make up the plates that make up the macular endings are all topped by an otolith, which is a calcareous mass made up of numerous calcium carbonate particles embedded in a gelatinous matrix or, in the case of teleosts, a single large mass of calcium carbonate. The sensory cells have extended cilia that are lodged in a gelatinous body, the cupula, which acts as a kind of valve across an inflated section of each semicircular canal. These mound-like groupings of sensory cells are present in the crista ends along with supporting cells. Except in amphibians, where the papillae reside on a solid foundation, the papillae are composed of plates or ribbons of ciliated cells in a structural framework that lays on a moveable membrane. These ciliated cells aren't covered by an otolithic mass or a cupula, but some of the cilia are connected either directly or indirectly to a tectorial membrane (a membrane with one edge fixed to a stationary base, thereby anchoring the cilia) or to an inertia body (a mass covering the ciliated cells and restricting the cilia's movements).

The ends serve a variety of purposes: the crista organs are used to sense rotational acceleration, the macular organs are used to detect abrupt movements, and the papillae are used for hearing. The auditory ends are either generated from the primitive labyrinthine epithelium or from the other labyrinthine receptors, as suggested by anatomical relationships.

Fish With Hearing

The auditory papillae are absent in cyclostomes and elasmobranchs (such as sharks and rays), but they do have a labyrinth with maculae and cristae [10]. However, there are two potential mechanisms by which some of these cartilaginous fish, particularly the sharks, respond to underwater sounds: either via the lateral-line apparatus or the macular organs. The earliest real ear with a hearing function among vertebrates may be found in bony fishes (teleosts). The efficiency of this ear, which may take many different shapes, as a sound receiver varies across fish; some have good hearing, while others have poor hearing. The variations result, at least in part, from the supporting processes that facilitate the use of sound energy.

The Fundamental Auditory Systems of Teleosts

The auditory system in the majority of fishes, particularly in many marine species, is rather basic and consists of macular endings that seem to have been repurposed from their original roles as motion and gravity detectors [11]. The end organ's innervation the nerve supply includes connections that carry auditory information has undergone the most significant alteration, not the shape of the end organ. The saccular macula and likely the lagenar macula in most teleosts have transitioned to an auditory role, whereas the utricular macula still serves as a sensor for gravity and motion.

Through the use of the inertia principle, sound stimulates the teleost ear's simple macular ending. The majority of the fish's tissues vibrate uniformly as a result of sound waves easily traveling through the water and into the body of the animal. However, the macular otolith is a discontinuity and shows an inertia effect (resistance to movement) since its density is higher than that of the other tissues. Its movements are not only slower than those of the tissues around it, but they also likely have a smaller amplitude. As a result, when there is sound, the otoliths and other tissues move relative to one another. More precisely, there is relative motion between the cilia of the hair cells, the ends of which are in touch with the otolith, and the bodies of the hair cells, which sit on a tissue foundation. The very minor density differential between bodily tissues and otoliths renders this approach of activating the auditory hair cells ineffective [12].

CONCLUSION

The study of fish communication is an intriguing and complicated area that reveals the many ways that aquatic creatures communicate, interact, and traverse their social and ecological surroundings. Through in-depth study, scientists have uncovered a complex tapestry of behaviors and communication strategies used by fish species, illuminating the breadth of their sensory and social capacities. The variety of methods and signals utilized to transmit information by fish is one of its most amazing features. Fish have developed a variety of instruments to communicate with their conspecifics and other species, ranging from visual displays like color changes and body postures to chemical cues released into the water, audio communications, and even electrical communication. These means of communication are used for a variety of activities, including wooing and mating, staking out a territory, teaming up on a hunt, and alerting danger. Beyond simple interpersonal relationships, fish communication is essential to the operation of aquatic ecosystems. Fish species' communication with one another may affect how they react to environmental signals, migrate, and engage in eating. For an understanding of the dynamics of aquatic food webs and the operation of aquatic ecosystems, it is essential to understand these interactions. The study of fish communication also has applications to human endeavors. The management of fisheries, aquaculture, and conservation initiatives may all benefit from a better understanding of fish communication. For instance, understanding the noises or chemical signals that specific species respond to or avoid may assist to enhance fishing techniques or lower unintended bycatch.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Z. Siregar, “TABELA AND FISH FARMING ARE LOCAL WISDOMS ETHNIC SUMATERA FOR SUPPORTED ON SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE IN NORTH SUMATERA, INDONESIA,” *J. Pertan. Trop.*, 2017, doi: 10.32734/jpt.v4i2.3080.

[2] N. Islam, M. Kazi Mohammed, S. Shabnam, M. Rifat, and K. Afsana, “Role of food demonstration with intensive counselling in increasing dietary diversity among pregnant women: Rural bangladesh perspective,” *Ann. Nutr. Metab.*, 2017.

[3] J. M. Soon and L. Manning, “Food smuggling and trafficking: The key factors of influence,” *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2018.09.007.

[4] Delanty *et al.*, “Fish stock assessment of the river barrow catchment 2015,” *Inl. Fish. Irel.*, 2017.

[5] O. A., S. H., M.-A.-C. S., H. S., and F.-K. A., “The effect of perinatal fish oil supplementation on neurodevelopment and growth of infants: a randomized controlled trial,” *Eur. J. Nutr.*, 2018.

[6] Y. Fakhri *et al.*, “Systematic review and health risk assessment of arsenic and lead in the fished shrimps from the Persian gulf,” *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2018.01.046.

[7] E. M. Milner, “Environmental determinants of early childhood development in rural Kenya,” *Diss. Abstr. Int. Sect. B Sci. Eng.*, 2018.

[8] T. Suyanto, I. M. Zen, K. Prasetyo, P. Isbandono, G. Gamaputra, and I. P. Purba, “The study perception of social sciences and law faculty students for hoax in social media,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/953/1/012151.

[9] K. P. Maruska, J. M. Butler, K. E. Field, and D. T. Porter, “Localization of glutamatergic, GABAergic, and cholinergic neurons in the brain of the African cichlid fish, Astatotilapia burtoni,” *J. Comp. Neurol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/cne.24092.

[10] S. Ratnaningtyas, A. Sutardi, and Y. Makbul, “The influence of entrepreneurship capability to micro and small business growth in Indonesian fish processing industry,” *Int. J. Eng. Technol.*, 2018, doi: 10.14419/ijet.v7i3.25.17476.

[11] Z. A. MUCHLISIN *et al.*, “Short Communication: Fish fauna of Lake Lauik Tawar and Lake Laulo, Simeulue Island, Indonesia,” *Biodiversitas J. Biol. Divers.*, 2017, doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d180242.

[12] C. Y. Chen *et al.*, “A Critical Time for Mercury Science to Inform Global Policy,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b02286.

CHAPTER 8

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH FEEDING STRATEGIES

Kusum Farswan, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- kusumfarswan.14feb@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The intriguing and varied aspects of fish biology that reflect their adaptive adaptations to distinct ecological niches and nutritional preferences are their feeding tactics. This study explores the complex world of fish feeding tactics, revealing the astounding variety of methods used by various species to survive in aquatic conditions. Fishes have developed a variety of morphological, physiological, and behavioral adaptations to get food, ranging from herbivorous grazers that eat algae to carnivorous predators who hunt prey. For identifying and catching prey or for digesting plant materials, these tactics include specialized jaws, teeth, and sense organs. Different species have quite different eating habits, which are governed by things like diel patterns and water temperature. Understanding fish eating behaviors has consequences for fisheries management, conservation, and ecosystem dynamics in addition to illuminating the complexity of fish ecological functions. As we maneuver through the complexities of fish feeding, we learn more about the astounding variety of life that exists below the water's surface and the crucial role that these tactics play in forming aquatic ecosystems.

KEYWORDS:

Behavioral Adaptations, Carnivorous Predators, Fish Eating, Fish Feeding, Strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Because the density of water is about equal to that of the prey, aquatic feeding mechanisms have a harder time than those that feed on land because the prey tends to be pushed away when the mouth is closed. Robert McNeill Alexander was the first to notice this issue. Because of this, aquatic predators particularly bony fish have developed a variety of specialized feeding strategies, including filter, ram, suction, protrusion, and pivot feeding. The majority of aquatic predators combine more than one of these fundamental ideas [1]. A common generalist predator, like the cod, for instance, combines suction with some protrusion and pivot eating.

Vacuum Feeding

By sucking the prey into the predator's mouth, suction feeding is a technique for consuming a prey item in fluids. The dorsal rotation of the dermatocranium, lateral expansion of the suspensorium, and depression of the lower jaw and hyoid all work together to produce this highly synchronized action. Through quick movements that cause a reduction in pressure in the buccal cavity, suction feeding successfully captures prey by trapping it in the flow of rushing water from the front of the mouth into the oral cavity. Compression and expansion are the two primary stages of this feeding method. The opening of the jaws to seize prey occurs during the expansion phase. All suction feeders exhibit comparable motions throughout the growth phase, with a few minor variances due to the kinesis of the skull. The jaws shut and water is squeezed out of the gills during the compression phase.

While all fish species exhibit suction feeding, those with more cranial kinesis have greater suction potential due to more intricate skull connections that let the buccal cavity to expand further and produce more negative pressure. Most often, this is accomplished by widening the skull's lateral expansion. Additionally, it is known that the premaxillary bone in the upper jaw, which is a derived feature of anterior protrusion, increases the force that must be used to swallow the prey. Only fishes belonging to the teleostei clade have protruded jaws through a movable premaxilla. However, a widespread myth about these fish is that their only or preferred way of eating is suction. Ram feeding is the main form of prey acquisition in Micropterus salmoides, however they may alternate between the two or utilize both, as do many teleosts. Additionally, it's a prevalent belief that fish with more basic traits also engage in suction feeding. Even while these fishes can produce suction at the mouth opening, the requirements for pure suction feeding include little to no body movement toward their prey [2].

Tradeoffs

The pace of jaw opening and shutting, the mobility of the bony parts in the skull, and the proportion of ram to suction feeding behavior are the three primary hypothesized tradeoffs that govern the effectiveness of prey acquisition. The predicament that arises from a highly dynamic cranium is at the core of the first two criteria. When the skull is very mobile, there is a trade-off between having a fast jaw opening (high kinesis) and a fast bite transmission (low kinesis). Although the link between mechanical advantage and the rate of lower jaw depression is more complicated, it is generally agreed that animals that use high-speed assaults have greater cranial kinesis than species that use low-speed attacks. In order to crush the hard-shelled food that is a component of their diet, species that consume durophagous prey have also developed specific skull morphologies. The skulls of durophagous animals are often more fused and have shorter jaw lengths. Because of their morphology, the skulls are less dynamic than those of piscivorous animals. When a person has shorter jaw lengths and a more immobile skull, they may bite with greater power, which compromises their capacity to open their jaws more quickly when their jaw lengths are larger.

Ram feeding is combined with suction feeding habits, which results in the third major tradeoff within suction feeding. Ram eating includes the predator moving while having its mouth open to swallow the prey. The majority of animals swim toward their prey while employing suction to bring the prey into the mouth in order to maximize their chances of catching elusive prey. The Ram Suction Index (RSI), which determines the ratio of usage for ram and suction during prey acquisition, is used to measure this variability in relative utilization. The predator's morphology and the prey's enigmaticness may both have an impact on the RSI ratio. Extreme ram feeding occurs when a predator swims over an immobile prey item with open jaws to devour the prey. Ram feeding and suction feeding are on opposing ends of the eating spectrum. Sit-and-wait predators that quickly compress their jaws to seize food (like frogfish, Antennariidae) exhibit extreme suction feeding [3]. The amount of each feeding technique that a person employs varies greatly, particularly when body motions are taken into account. Although it depends on the species, the relative usage of ram and suction feeding may assist gauge how well prey is captured.

The mouth aperture illustrates yet another trade-off between the capacity to catch big, difficult prey with higher failure rates (large gape) and the ability to catch tiny, elusive prey with higher success rates (lower gape). As opposed to an animal with a broader gape, a predator with a narrow mouth aperture may produce a powerful suction force. This was shown by Wainwright et al. (2007) by contrasting the success of feeding of the largemouth bass, Micropterus salmoides, and the bluegill sunfish, Lepomis macrochirus. With increased flow velocity and acceleration, macrochirus was shown to have better accuracy and a smaller gape than M. Salmoides have a wider gape, less accurate flow, and slower acceleration. The largemouth bass were able to catch bigger, more evasive prey thanks to their greater gape, however. The way water enters a predator's mouth may be altered by using ram feeding in addition to suction feeding. Predators may alter the flow of water around the mouth and concentrate it into the mouth by using the ram. However, too much ram may cause a bow wave to form in front of the predator, which can force the prey away from the body of the predator. The overall tradeoff between having a big gape with lesser accuracy and the ability to catch larger prey against having a smaller gape with higher accuracy but the size of prey is constrained is represented by the mouth aperture and RSI. The strong kinesis in the fish skull and the elusiveness of particular prey kinds have resulted in the three primary tradeoffs. However, possessing kinesis in the skull may help a predator develop new strategies for improving prey capture efficiency.

Feeding A Ram

Ram feeding is an underwater feeding technique in which the predator advances while maintaining an open mouth, enveloping the prey and the water around it. The prey is locked in place during ram feeding, and the predator moves its jaws past the prey to seize it. By allowing water to flow through the mouth, the motion of the head may prevent the fluid from creating a bow wave that would otherwise force the prey away from the jaws. This may be done by having a mouth that is swept back, as in the case of baleen whales, or by enabling water to escape via the gills, as in the case of sharks and herring. Many animals, including water snakes and gar fish, have developed narrow snouts through time [4].

Copepod hunting by herring is common. The herrings change to ram eating if they come across copepods schooling in large numbers. They swim with their opercula completely stretched and their mouths spread wide. They shut and clean their gill rakers for a few milliseconds every few feet (filter feeding). The red gills are apparent in the shot below; click to expand it. The fish all open their mouths and opercula wide at the same moment. The spacing between the fish in a grid pattern is equal to the copepods' jump length.

Stomach Feeding

Rorquals use a kind of eating termed lunge feeding to consume plankton. A kind of inverted suction feeding known as lunge feeding occurs when a whale swallows a massive breath of water, which is subsequently filtered by the baleen. The animal must first accelerate to build up enough velocity to fold its elastic neck (buccal cavity) around the amount of water to be taken. This is a unique and severe feeding technique from a biomechanical standpoint. The water then passes back through the baleen, holding the food particles back. Buccal rills are a particular adaption to this feeding method that are both very elastic and muscular.

Rotate Feeding

The head is turned upward while rotating on the neck joint to move the mouth towards the prey during pivot feeding. Pipefish using this particular feeding strategy include sea horses and sea dragons. The quickest feeders in the animal world employ this technique to acquire prey in as little as 5 microseconds (shrimpfish *Centriscus scutatus*).

The locking mechanism in which the hyoid arch is folded beneath the head and aligned with the urohyal, which links to the shoulder girdle, holds the key to the rapidity of pivot feeding. The alignment of two bars in a four-bar linkage first traps the head in a ventrally bent position. The trigger mechanism for unlocking is debatable but is likely in lateral adduction, since it causes the head to fly up and move the mouth toward the prey within 5-10 ms of the locking mechanism being released.

Protrusion

By use of mechanical connections, protrusion is the elongation of the mouth or premaxilla toward the prey. Only contemporary bony fishes with their many linked links in the head have protrusion been seen. The slingjaw wrasse and the sand eel are notable examples since they can expand their mouths by several centimeters [5]. Dragonfly larvae (nymphs), which have hydraulic lower mandibles that protrude forward to grab food and transport it to the top jaw, are another example of protrusion in action.

Feeding through suspension vs filter

The gill rakers of fish, the baleen of whales, or the ostia of sponges are some examples of opposing techniques for removing food particles from a water flow.

feed filters

In filter feeding, the organism itself is mostly responsible for producing the water flow. Examples include establishing a pressure gradient, actively swimming, or moving the cilia.

Feeding while suspended

When feeding in suspension, the water flow is mostly external, and the particles move relative to the background water flow, as in sea lilies.

Fish actions

Any behavior that an animal engages in to get nutrition is considered feeding. The wide range of methods used to get food is a reflection of the variety of foods consumed and the wide range of animal species. For its metabolism, the live cell needs an almost constant flow of resources. The bodily fluids surrounding each cell in multicellular organisms serve as the immediate source of nourishment. Despite the toll that the cells endure, the contents of these fluids are maintained at a reasonably constant level, mostly by the mobilization of nutrients that are stored within the body. For example, in vertebrates, glucose is stored in the liver, lipids are stored in the fat tissues, and calcium is stored in the bones. But unless the animal consumes nutrients from the outside, these reserves will run out. Feeding behavior refers to actions taken for this reason.

DISCUSSION

Needs for food for higher animals

Nutrients are used by cells as a source of energy (catabolism) and as building blocks for processes of maintenance and development (anabolism). Only the breakdown of complex chemical compounds, mostly carbs and lipids, provides energy to multicellular creatures. Animals are classified as heterotrophic creatures since they are solely dependent on other living things or their detritus for energy. All animal life ultimately relies on the presence of organisms (mostly plants) that can utilise inorganic energy sources, of which solar radiation is by far the most significant. Some microbes, however, get their energy from the oxidation of basic inorganic substances [6].

Food must include sufficient quantities of each chemical element that the body needs for anabolism. Four of the roughly 35 elements presently recognized to exist in animal cells oxygen, carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen make up about 95% of the weight of the cell; the other nine calcium, phosphorus, chlorine, sulfur, potassium, sodium, magnesium, iodine, and iron contribute to around 4%. These components' respective roles are essential. The remaining 20 or so are referred to as trace elements since they only make up a small percentage of a cell's weight. Although some of them could unintentionally enter cells, many of them carry out essential tasks (see nutrition).

It is significant to highlight that animal cells are unable to create some essential complex molecules from simple chemicals. Instead, certain major organic molecules such so-called important food components as the vitamins, some amino acids, and some fatty substances must act as building blocks. Higher animals often seem to have more constrained synthetic abilities than lower creatures and hence need more vital meals. Vertebrate gut microorganisms are capable of producing chemicals that are necessary for the host but do not necessarily need to be present in the latter's diet.

Kinds of food purchases

The definition of the phrase "feeding behavior" is unclear since a significant portion of animal evolution includes adaptations for food acquisition. Birds' migratory patterns, for example, undoubtedly changed as a consequence of periodic food shortages; now, however, individual birds begin their trip before food becomes limited [7]. Therefore, migration is not taken into account in this section, which instead focuses on food-directed actions that are facilitated by a requirement for nutrients in an individual's body, crucial though it may be in a species' feeding ecology. Activities like host searching and internal parasite acceptance for themselves or their progeny are likewise prohibited for similar reasons.

Some feeding habits, however, are difficult to categorize into either of these types on their own. Spiders, for instance, use their webs to sift their prey out of the air, but they also provide the insects they catch guided reactions. The majority of the members of classes II, IIIA, and IIIB are selective feeders, whereas class I of the Yonge-Nicol system is made up mostly of filter feeders. Selective feeding calls for strong sensory and neurological systems as well as, in most situations, a lot of movement. Therefore, it is mostly seen among higher animals. The primordial sea anemones, however, are selective feeders in that they hold onto their stinging cells until they are alerted by chemical and tactile cues that food is available despite being able to paralyze rather big animals with them. Conversely, although being highly developed animals, whalebone whales are filter feeders. They filter off large plankton (krill) while swimming at the surface with their mouths open using hundreds of horny plates with hairlike fringes hanging down from the roof of their mouths. Because of the availability of such a plentiful food source, their feeding habits have evolved very differently from those of the majority of other mammals [8].

In every instance, the eating habits that a species develops are the consequence of an interaction between structural traits that are inherited from their ancestral line and ecological conditions that they have been exposed to. The complexity of these relationships renders generalizations unprofitable. The most effective strategy is to examine each species as a distinct instance in the context of its complete biology [9]. Below are a few instances. Sponge, coelenterates, polychaete worms, echinoderms, brachiopods, mollusks, arthropods, protochordates, fish, birds, and several more taxa all include filter feeders. The variety of filtering tools is to be anticipated.

Constantly lashing cilia in oysters propel water currents through perforated gill plate pores at speeds of up to 34 liters (about 36 quarts) per hour. Smaller particles less than two microns (0.002 millimeters) in size are mucus-wrapped and carried by other cilia to designated food grooves, where they are followed to the mouth by the action of yet more cilia. Particles that are too big, too heavy, or irritating are sorted out and rejected by a variety of mechanical techniques. The polychaete worm Chaetopterus filters the water it pumps down its burrow using a bag of mucus released by unique body appendages. The bag's 40 angstrom (40 10-7 millimeter) broad mesh holes may even catch individual molecules of big proteins. The food-filled bag is brought to the mouth every 20 minutes, swallowed, and then replaced with a fresh one. Vermetus gigas, a sessile sea snail, secretes mucus threads that may reach a length of 30 centimeters (12 inches), stretch out from the shell, and ensnare tiny plankton. The threads are periodically pulled back into the mouth and ingested.

Filter feeders have a higher range of eating habits than selective feeders, which are seen in key animal groups such coelenterates, annelids, echinoderms, mollusks, arthropods, and vertebrates. One interesting finding is how different groups handle the same meal in various ways depending on their unique skills. One example is the consumption of bivalve mollusks by animals. By exerting constant pressure with its sucker tube feet, the starfish Asterias pulls the valves open. It then everts its stomach through its mouth to eat the delicate tissues inside the shell. The Sycotypus snail sneakily eats oysters by waiting until the valves open, inserting its shell between the valves and proboscizing the soft portions with its tubular feeding mechanism. Another snail, Natica, uses sulfuric acid, which is released by a gland on the proboscis, to drill a clean hole in a clam while supporting the scraping action of a file-like device called a radula. Fulgur, another snail, uses its columellar muscle to contract and smash a clam shell against its own shell. The oyster catcher

(*Haematopus ostralegus*) is a bird that expertly uses its chisel-shaped beak to sever the closing muscles of cockles. Herring gulls (*Larus argentatus*) shatter shells by dropping them upon rocks. Enhydra lutris, a sea otter, uses its forepaws to hold a stone between them to thrash a clam open while floating on its back.

A few more instances highlight the diversity of adaptations in selective feeding. The slow-moving praying mantis (orthopteran insects of the family Mantidae) track insect prey until it is within reach, at which point they carefully position themselves and extend forelegs that are designed for gripping quickly and correctly [10]. Bats utilize an ultrasonic echolocation technique to locate prey in murky environments; certain fish employ electric pulses in a slightly similar way. When luring fish into their large mouths, anglerfish dangle a bait-like appendage of the first dorsal spine (luminous in deep-sea species). Some labroid fishes, which feed on the parasites on the body of other fish, approach their victims by dancing; some blennies cunningly imitate this behavior before quickly biting the fin of the unaware victim.

Even without prior exposure to stinging insects, shrikes use specific wiping motions to remove the sting from certain prey. The cheetah, or hunting leopard (Acinonyx jubatus), chases antelope at more than 95 kilometres per hour (60 miles per hour), whereas the peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus) dives for birds at speeds exceeding 160 kilometers per hour (100 miles per hour). In one fish drive, over a thousand cormorants may participate. Instead of hunting, some species steal food from other species' members. These robbers include marauding skuas and jaegers (Stercorariidae) and man-of-war birds (Fregata), which force weaker relatives to spit out already-swallowed prey, and various tropical flies that set up shop along the army ants' line of march and steal the passing workers [11].

The survival benefit to the species of choosing the food sources for which it can effectively compete served as the driving force behind the development of each of these adaptations. For the same reason, closely similar species that coexist in the same location may take use of distinct aspects of the environment. For example, forest titmice (Parus) feed in various tree branches, while the larvae of several species of the moth genus Eupithecia prefer various food plants. A species may become specialized to one kind of food as a consequence of such evolution, as many internal parasites and phytophagous (plant-eating) insects have done. Such food could be unusual, like the larva of a moth called Galleria that eats beeswax. In some species, like the herring gull, each individual makes use of a variety of meals, reducing the danger of starving since it is improbable that all food sources would run out at once [12].

CONCLUSION

Fish feeding techniques are a fascinating and varied component of aquatic ecology that show off how well fish species can adapt to their habitats and nutritional requirements. Fish can find food in a variety of aquatic settings thanks to a variety of behaviors, anatomical adaptations, and foraging approaches, as shown by the research of these strategies. The enormous range of techniques seen among various species is one of the most noticeable features of fish feeding tactics. Plankton, algae, insects, other fish, and even debris are just a few of the many food sources that fish have evolved to use. This variety of food options is a reflection of the complexity of aquatic ecosystems and the interactions between predators and prey. To accommodate their eating habits, fish have evolved an astounding array of anatomical modifications. Specialized mouthparts, teeth, jaws, and sensory organs among these modifications enable them to grab, grip, or filter their prey effectively. Some species have developed amazing habits that increase their effectiveness at eating, such as filter feeding, ambush predation, or cooperative hunting.

REFERENCES:

[1] D. E. Burkepile and M. E. Hay, "Herbivore species richness and feeding complementarity affect community structure and function on a coral reef," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2008, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801946105.

[2] M. Hasenbein *et al.*, "Assessments at multiple levels of biological organization allow for an integrative determination of physiological tolerances to turbidity in an endangered fish species," *Conserv. Physiol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1093/conphys/cow004.

[3] *et al.*, "Diet Composition and Feeding Strategy of Gobiid Fishes in Pabean Bay, Indramayu," *J. Ilmu Pertan. Indones.*, 2018, doi: 10.18343/jipi.23.2.137.

[4] M. A. Kolmann, J. M. Huie, K. Evans, and A. P. Summers, "Specialized specialists and the narrow niche fallacy: A tale of scale-feeding fishes," *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1098/rsos.171581.

[5] L. H. Tonella, R. Fugi, O. B. Vitorino, H. I. Suzuki, L. C. Gomes, and A. A. Agostinho, "Importance of feeding strategies on the long-term success of fish invasions," *Hydrobiologia*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10750-017-3404-z.

[6] M. C. Willis, J. Richardson, T. Smart, J. Cowan, and P. Biondo, "Diet composition, feeding strategy, and diet overlap of 3 sciaenids along the southeastern united states," *Fish. Bull.*, 2015, doi: 10.7755/FB.113.3.5.

[7] T. Giarrizzo, U. Krumme, and W. Wosniok, "Size-structured migration and feeding patterns in the banded puffer fish Colomesus psittacus (Tetraodontidae) from north brazilian mangrove creeks," *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 2010, doi: 10.3354/meps08852.

[8] S. M. Laske, A. E. Rosenberger, M. S. Wipfli, and C. E. Zimmerman, "Generalist feeding strategies in Arctic freshwater fish: A mechanism for dealing with extreme environments," *Ecol. Freshw. Fish*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/eff.12391.

[9] H. Pethybridge *et al.*, "Temporal and inter-specific variations in forage fish feeding conditions in the NW Mediterranean: Lipid content and fatty acid compositional changes," *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 2014, doi: 10.3354/meps10864.

[10] S. Pasquaud, V. David, J. Lobry, M. Girardin, B. Sautour, and P. Elie, "Exploitation of trophic resources by fish under stressful estuarine conditions," *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 2010, doi: 10.3354/meps08387.

[11] A. Bernal, M. P. Olivar, F. Maynou, and M. L. Fernández de Puelles, "Diet and feeding strategies of mesopelagic fishes in the western Mediterranean," *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2015.03.005.

[12] T. Hecht and C. D. van der Lingen, "Turbidity-induced changes in feeding strategies of fish in estuaries," *South African J. Zool.*, 1992, doi: 10.1080/02541858.1992.11448269.

CHAPTER 9

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH PARASITES

Kuldeep Mishra, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- mishraypikuldeep@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Intriguing and ecologically important, fish parasites play complicated functions in the life cycles of diverse animals and often serve as environmental health indicators in aquatic habitats. The fascinating world of fish parasites is explored in this study, which also highlights the variety of these microbes and their intricate interactions with their hosts. Protozoa, helminths, and crustaceans are just a few examples of the many creatures that make up fish parasites; each has a unique life cycle and impact on the fish it parasitizes. The host behavior, physiology, and even ecosystem dynamics are affected by the parasite relationships, which vary from commensalism to parasitism. Environmental variables, host populations, and human activities all have an impact on the occurrence and range of fish parasites. Understanding fish parasites has consequences for fisheries management, aquaculture, and the preservation of aquatic ecosystems in addition to offering insights into the intricate workings of aquatic food webs. We acquire a greater understanding of the interdependence of life in aquatic habitats as well as the delicate balance that exists between hosts and their parasitic counterparts as we explore further into the world of fish parasites.

KEYWORDS:

Aquaculture, Aquatic Habitats, Helminths, Fish Parasites, Fisheries Management, Protozoa.

INTRODUCTION

Fish are susceptible to illnesses and parasites much like people and other animals. Specific and non-specific disease defenses are present in fish. Skin, scales, and the mucus layer released by the epidermis which traps germs and prevents their growth are examples of non-specific defenses. Fish may produce inflammatory reactions that increase blood flow to contaminated places and supply white blood cells that try to kill the viruses if pathogens manage to get past these defenses. Specific defenses are specialized immune reactions to certain diseases that the fish's body has identified. Aquaculture and ornamental fish have increasingly employed vaccinations in recent years, for diseases such Aeromonas salmonicida, furunculosis in salmon, lactococcosis and streptococcosis in farmed grey mullet, tilapia, and koi herpes virus. VHS, ICH, and whirling sickness are a few fish illnesses that are significant economically [1].

Parasites

Fish parasites are a frequent occurrence in nature. It is possible to learn about the ecology of host populations through parasites. For instance, in fisheries biology, different populations of the same fish species that coexist in one area might be distinguished using parasite communities. Parasites also have a number of specific characteristics and life-history techniques that allow them to inhabit hosts. Understanding these intriguing elements of parasite ecology might provide light on how hosts protect themselves against parasites.

Normally, parasites (and diseases) must refrain from killing their hosts since the absence of hosts may result in the parasite's extinction [2]. The natural variation in host defense tactics may be sufficient to maintain host populations in a sustainable state, or evolutionary restrictions may work to prevent parasites from killing their hosts. Male threespine sticklebacks' courting dances may be hampered by parasite infestations. The females reject them when that occurs, indicating a potent mechanism for the selection of parasite resistance. The tapeworm Schistocephalus solidus turns infected threespine stickleback white and then makes them more buoyant so that a predatory bird can catch them, which is the next host for the parasite in the next stage of its life cycle. However, not all parasites want to keep their hosts alive, and there are parasites with multistage life cycles who go to some trouble to kill their host.

There are two types of parasites: internal (endoparasites) and external (ectoparasites). Some internal parasites of fish are spectacular, such as the philometrid nematode Philometra fasciati, which lives inside the ovary of female Blacktip grouper [3]. The adult female parasite is a red worm that can grow up to 40 centimeters in length, with a diameter of only 1.6 millimeters. the males are very The most common external parasites found on fish gills are monogeneans and some types of parasitic copepods, which can be extremely numerous. Other external parasites found on fish gills include leeches and, in seawater, larvae of gnathiid isopods. Isopod fish parasites are mostly external and feed on blood. The larvae of the Gnathiidae family and adult cymothoidids have

Other parasitic diseases include *Glugea, Ceratomyxa shasta, Kudoa thyrsites, Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae, Cymothoa exigua, Gyrodactylus salaris, Ichthyophthirius multifiliis, cryptocaryon,* velvet disease, *Brooklynella hostilis*, Hole in the head, leeches, nematodes, flukes, carp lice, and salmon lice. The eradication of all parasites would not necessarily be beneficial, despite the fact that they are generally regarded as harmful. Parasites are responsible for at least half of the diversity in life; they play a crucial ecological role by weakening prey, which would take ecosystems some time to adapt to; and without parasites, organisms may eventually tend to asexual reproduction, reducing the diversity of sexually dimorphic traits [4].

Healthier Fish

The best known of these cleaner fish are the bluestreak cleaner wrasses of the genus Labroides found on coral reefs in the Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean. These small fish maintain so-called "cleaning stations" where other fish, known as hosts, will gather and perform particular movements to attract the attention of the cleaner fish. Cleaning behaviours have been observed in a number of other fish groups.

The ocean sunfish may have more than 40 species of parasites on its skin and internally, which causes the fish to seek relief in a variety of ways. In temperate areas, cleaner wrasses and other fish live in drifting kelp fields, and they help remove parasites from the skin of visiting sunfish. In the tropics, the mola will ask reef fishes for cleaner assistance.

Mass Extinctions

Some diseases result in mass die offs. One of the more bizarre and recently discovered diseases produces huge fish kills in shallow marine waters. It is caused by the ambush predator dinoflagellate Pfiesteria piscicida. When large numbers of fish, like shoaling forage fish, are in confined situations such as shallow bays, the excretions from the fish encourage this dinoflagellate, which is not normally toxic, to produce free-swimming zoospores. If the fish remain in the area, continuing to provide nourishment, then the zoospores start secreting a neurotoxin. This toxin results in the fish developing bleeding lesions, and their skin flakes off in the water. The dinoflagellates then eat the blood and flakes of tissue while the affected fish die. Fish kills by this dinoflagellate are common, and they may also have been responsible for kills in the past which were thought to have had other causes. Kills like these can be viewed as natural mechanisms for regulating the population of exceptionally abundant fish. The rate at which the kills occur increases as organically polluted land runoff increases.

Untamed Salmon

The fish responds by walling off the parasitic infection into a number of cysts that contain milky fluid, which is an accumulation of a large number of parasites, according to Canadian biologist Dorothy Kieser, who claims that the protozoan parasite *Henneguya salminicola* is frequently found in the flesh of salmonids. In the case of Henneguya, the spores enter a second host, most likely an invertebrate, in the spawning stream. When juvenile salmon out-migrate to the Pacific Ocean, the second host releases a stage infective to salmon. The parasite is then carried in the salmon until the next spawning cycle. The myxosporean group of parasites has a complex lifecycle where the salmon is one of two hosts [5].

At the Pacific Biological Station in Nanaimo, researchers spent a lot of time studying *Henneguya salminicola* in the middle of the 1980s. In particular, an overview report from that time noted that "the fish that have the longest fresh water residence time as juveniles have the most noticeable infections." The report also notes that at the time the studies were conducted, stocks from the middle and upper reaches of large river systems in British Columbia like the Fraser, Skeena, and Nass and from mainland coastal streams in the southern half of B.C. "At the time the studies were conducted, stocks from the middle and upper reaches of large river systems in British Columbia are more likely to have a low prevalence of infection," the report states. It can only exist in fish and cannot harm or survive in warm-blooded creatures, including humans.

Henneguya salminicola is found in southern British Columbia, according to Klaus Schallie, Molluscan Shellfish Program Specialist of the Canadian Food Inspection Agency. Likewise, and in all salmon species. I've previously looked at smoked chum salmon sides that were covered with cysts, and certain sockeye runs in Barkley Sound, which is in southern British Columbia on the west coast of Vancouver Island, are known for having a high prevalence of infection.

Sea lice are ectoparasites that feed on mucous, blood, and skin, and migrate and latch onto the skin of wild salmon during free-swimming, planktonic naupli and copepodid larval stages, which can persist for several days. Sea lice are particularly *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* and a variety of *Caligus species*, including *Caligus clemensi* and *Caligus rogercresseyi*, can cause deadly infestation

Wild Salmon

In 1972, a monogenean parasite known as *Gyrodactylus salaris*, popularly known as salmon fluke, migrated from Norwegian hatcheries to wild salmon and decimated certain wild salmon populations.

In 1984, infectious salmon anemia (ISAV) was discovered in Norway in an Atlantic salmon hatchery. Eighty per cent of the fish in the outbreak died. ISAV, a viral disease, is now a major threat to the viability of Atlantic salmon farming. It is now the first of the diseases classified on List One of the European Commission's fish health regime. Amongst other measures, this requires the total eradication of the entire fish stock should an outbreak of the disease be confirmed on any farm. ISAV seriously affects salmon farms in Chile, Norway, Scotland and Canada, causing major economic losses to infected farms. As the name implies, it causes severe anemia of infected fish. Unlike mammals, the red blood cells of fish have DNA, and can become infected with viruses. The fish develop pale gills, and may swim close to the water surface, gulping for air. However, the disease can also develop without the fish showing any external signs of illness, the fish maintain a normal appetite, and then they suddenly die [6]. The disease can progress slowly throughout an infected farm and, in the worst cases, death rates may approach 100 per cent. It is also a threat to the dwindling stocks of wild salmon. Management strategies include developing a vaccine and improving genetic resistance to the disease.

A recent study in British Columbia links the spread of parasitic sea lice from river salmon farms to wild pink salmon in the same river. In the wild, diseases and parasites are typically at low levels and kept in check by natural predation on weakened individuals. However, in crowded net pens they can become epidemics. Diseases and parasites also transfer from farmed to wild salmon populations. The European Commission (2002) concluded "The reduction of wild salmonid abundance is a serious problem." Pesticides and antibiotics are often used to control parasites and illnesses.

Fish On Coral Reefs

Fish living on coral reefs are quite diverse. As a result, coral reef fish parasites exhibit enormous variation. Nematodes, Platyhelminthes (cestodes, digeneans, and monogeneans), leeches, parasitic crustaceans like isopods and copepods, and different microorganisms like myxosporidia and microsporidia are among the parasites of coral reef fish. Some of these fish parasites have heteroxenous life cycles, which means they have a variety of hosts, including sharks (particular cestodes) and mollusks (indigenous species). The intricacy of the interactions between parasites and their different and many hosts is increased by the coral reefs' great biodiversity. According to numerical estimations of parasite biodiversity, certain species of coral fish may have up to 30 different parasite species. There are about 10 parasites per fish species. In terms of co-extinction, this has an effect. Results for the coral reef fish of New Caledonia point to the eventual co-extinction of at least 10 parasite species in the event that a coral reef fish species of average size became extinct.

Aquatic Animals

Aquarium ornamental fish are prone to a variety of illnesses. The majority of aquarium tanks have a little amount of water and a lot of fish. Thus, the majority or all of the fish in a tank may get infected with communicable illnesses very quickly. Ornamental fish in a tank may suffer direct injury from an inefficient nitrogen cycle, unsuitable aquarium plants, and possibly dangerous freshwater invertebrates, or both. Despite this, maintaining good water quality and a well-balanced environment within the tank may help avoid or prevent many illnesses that affect confined fish. In new aquariums, ammonia poisoning is a frequent sickness, particularly when they are first fully populated. The expense of diagnosing and treating illnesses is sometimes seen as more difficulty than the worth of the fish due to their typically tiny size and the cheap cost of replacing infected or dead aquarium fish [7].

Mechanism Of Defense

By fish species, immune systems differ. The actual lymphoid organs are lacking in fish without jaws (lampreys and hagfish). These fish depend on organ-specific lymphoid tissue to manufacture their immune cells. For instance, the anterior kidney (or pronephros), certain regions of the intestine (where granulocytes grow), and the production of erythrocytes, macrophages, and plasma cells are similar to the early stages of hagfish bone marrow. Sharks and rays are cartilaginous fish, which have better developed immune systems.

The epigonal organs, which are lymphoid tissue similar to human bone that surround the gonads, the Leydig's organ inside the walls of their esophagus, and a spiral valve in their gut are three specialized organs that are exclusive to chondrichthyes. Granulocytes, lymphocytes, and plasma cells typical immune cell types are found in these organs. Additionally, they have a distinct thymus and a well-developed spleen, which is their most significant immune organ and is where different lymphocytes, plasma cells, and macrophages are produced and stored. Sturgeons, paddlefish, and bichirs are chondrostean fish, and their hearts are frequently covered with tissue that contains lymphocytes, reticular cells, and a few macrophages.

Their heart is a major site for the production of granulocytes within a mass that is associated with the meninges (membranes surrounding the central nervous system). An essential component of the hemopoietic system, the chondrostean kidney is where erythrocytes, granulocytes, lymphocytes, and macrophages are produced [8].

Similar to chondrostean fish, bony fish (or teleostei) have key immune tissues that include the kidney, particularly the anterior kidney, which contains a variety of immune cells. Furthermore, teleost fish have a thymus, spleen, and other immune cells dispersed throughout their mucosal tissues (such as the skin, gills, stomach, and gonads). Teleost erythrocytes, neutrophils, and granulocytes are thought to exist in the spleen, similar to the human immune system, whereas lymphocytes are thought to be the main cell type present in the thymus. In 2006, the zebrafish, a type of teleost fish, was shown to have a lymphatic system like that of humans. Although it hasn't been proved yet, this system is probably where naïve (unstimulated) T lymphocytes gather while awaiting an antigen.

DISCUSSION

Disease And Parasite Transmission

Bait fish may transfer harmful organisms across habitats via capture, transportation, and cultivation, putting those ecosystems in peril. In order to stop the spread of fish infections, such as viral hemorrhagic septicemia, by bait fish, some American states, including Michigan, implemented legislation in 2007. Trout and salmon shouldn't be used as bait due to the possibility of spreading *Myxobolus cerebralis* (whirling illness). Anglers who incorrectly gather or use bait or who dump their bait buckets into fishing areas may increase the risk of contamination. Fishing from one place to another may violate the law and introduce parasites and fish that are not native to the ecology.

Consume Raw Fish

While parasites aren't a health risk in properly cooked fish, they are when people eat raw or minimally preserved fish, such sashimi, sushi, ceviche, and gravlax. Customers need to be informed of this danger given how popular these raw fish meals are. To eliminate parasites, raw fish must be refrigerated for at least seven days at a temperature of 20 °C (4 °F). Home freezers may not be cold enough to kill parasites, which is something you should be aware of. Due to the potential for parasites, fish that spend all or a portion of their life in fresh water were traditionally disregarded for sashimi (see Sashimi article). Freshwater fish parasite infections are a significant issue in various regions of the globe, notably Southeast Asia. Salmon and other fish that spend a portion of their lives in saline water may potentially be a concern. According to research conducted in Seattle, Washington, all wild salmon have roundworm larvae that may infect humans. Salmon reared in farms was found to be free of roundworm larvae in the same research. Although a 2020 meta-analysis of the available data reveals that since 1980 there has been a substantial rise in parasites in the species of marine fish that are eaten uncooked, parasite infection of people from raw fish has historically been uncommon in the industrialized world [9].

There are three primary categories of parasites: diphyllobothrium (a cestode/tapeworm), anisakis (a nematode/roundworm), and clonorchis sinensis (a trematode/fluke). Countries where people consume raw or undercooked fish, such as those in Asia, Eastern Europe, Scandinavia, Africa, and North and South America, are susceptible to infection by the fish tapeworm Diphyllobothrium latum. Anisakis infection risk is especially high in fish that may reside in rivers, such as mackerel (saba) and salmon (shake) of the family Salmonidae. Generally, you may prevent such parasite infestations by boiling, burning, salt- or vinegar-preserving, or freezing overnight. Salmon and ikura (salmon roe) are never consumed raw, even by Japanese people. Despite their appearance, these items are really frozen over night to avoid parasite infestations, especially anisakis.

Louse On Fish

Any member of the crustacean subclass Branchiura, a group of parasites that affect migratory marine and freshwater fish, is referred to as a fish louse, also known as a carp louse, plural fish lice, or carp lice. The majority of the around 120 recognized species are found in the genus Argulus. A wide carapace gives the fish louse its unique oval-shaped, flattened body. Compound eyes, two sizable suckers, four pairs of branching thoracic swimming limbs, and a small, unsegmented belly are some of the other distinguishing morphological characteristics. About 10 to 30 mm (0.4 to 1.2 inches) is how long the body is. The majority of fish lice are good swimmers, but several species like to tumble through the water. They use their powerful suckers to cling to the host's skin, and their modified disk-like piercing and sucking mouthparts let them to feed on the host's blood or mucus. They don't carry their eggs attached to the body like many other similar parasitic crustaceans; instead, they deposit them.

Louse of The Crust

Any of the several tiny aquatic invertebrates of the subphylum Crustacea (phylum Arthropoda), which are parasites of fish, is referred to as a crustacean louse (plural: Crustacean Lice). Fish lice (subclass Branchiura), copepod fish parasites (subclass Copepoda), amphipod and isopod fish parasites (class Malacostraca), and crustacean lice are all examples of parasites on crustaceans. Of the latter, the family Cymothoidae (order Isopoda) is of particular importance since it solely infests freshwater and marine fishes and is a parasite. In several freshwater fish species from South America, the crustacean lice may reside on the fish's outer skin, under the bony gill guard, in the mouth cavity, or even within the host's belly cavity. The cymothoids' primary adaptation is the growth of robust thoracic claws for stable adhesion. These parasites sometimes survive the canning process thanks to their effective claws and end up in canned fish products [10].

Shellfish

Any aquatic creature with a shell that belongs to the phyla Mollusca, Crustacea (phylum Arthropoda), or Echinodermata is referred to as a shellfish. The phrase is often used to refer to the groupings' edible species, particularly those that are fished for or produced for commerce. Oysters, mussels, scallops, and clams are just a few of the bivalve mollusks that are among the most valuable shellfish globally in terms of trade. Additionally, several gastropod mollusks are sold, including abalone, whelk, and conch [11]. The most common types of crustaceans that are captured and consumed are the shrimp and prawns from the genera Penaeus and Crangon in the coastal seas from North Carolina to Mexico, as well as shrimp and prawns from the genera Palaemon and Crangon off the coast of Europe. The king crab, the Dungeness crab, and its related species are all highly prized, as are the American lobster, Norway lobster (also known as the Dublin Bay prawn), and the South African rock lobster. Locally favored echinoderms include sea urchins and sea cucumbers (trepang, or bêche-de-mer). The restaurateur's propensity to call his dishes based on size rather than species has led to much uncertainty over the nomenclature of shellfish [12].

CONCLUSION

Fish parasite research is a fascinating and difficult topic of study that sheds light on the complex interactions that exist between fish hosts and the wide variety of parasites that live within them. Despite often being harmful to the host, this symbiotic cohabitation gives a unique viewpoint on the ecological and evolutionary dynamics of aquatic habitats. The range of parasitic organisms that may infect fish, such as helminths (worms), protozoans, crustaceans, and other microbes, is one of the most noticeable features of fish parasites. Each parasite has developed unique adaptations throughout time to take advantage of its fish host, and their complicated life cycles often include numerous hosts and life stages. Fish parasites have the potential to significantly strain host populations in terms of ecology and evolution. They may have an impact on host development, growth, and reproduction, which may have an impact on fish population dynamics and interactions in aquatic environments. An important part of the organization of aquatic food webs and communities is the interaction between parasites and hosts. The study of fish parasites also has applications in aquaculture and fisheries management. The sustainability of wild fisheries and the effectiveness of fish farming operations may both be negatively impacted by parasitic diseases that result in decreased fish health and growth. In order to lessen the effects of fish parasites on fish populations and stop disease outbreaks, it is essential to understand their life cycles and transmission processes. Fish parasites are also useful environmental and water quality indicators. Affected aquatic habitats may show changes in parasite incidence and variety as a result of pollution, habitat loss, and host population changes.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. J. Villalba-Vasquez *et al.*, “Temporal and spatial variations in the metazoan parasite communities of the Panama spadefish, Parapsettus panamensis (Pisces: Ephippidae), from the Pacific coast of Mexico,” *Invertebr. Biol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/ivb.12232.

[2] R. Kuchta, A. Choudhury, and T. Scholz, “Asian Fish Tapeworm: The Most Successful Invasive Parasite in Freshwaters,” *Trends in Parasitology*. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2018.03.001.

[3] K. Maina *et al.*, “Risk factors associated with parasites of farmed fish in Kiambu County, Kenya,” ~ *217 ~ Int. J. Fish. Aquat. Stud.*, 2017.

[4] A. Armentia *et al.*, “Molecular diagnosis of allergy to Anisakis simplex and Gymnorhynchus gigas fish parasites,” *Allergol. Immunopathol. (Madr).*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.aller.2016.12.008.

[5] S. Rueckert, W. Hagen, A. T. Yuniar, and H. W. Palm, “Metazoan fish parasites of Segara Anakan Lagoon, Indonesia, and their potential use as biological indicators,” *Reg. Environ. Chang.*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10113-008-0076-2.

[6] M. Lõhmus and M. Björklund, “Climate change: What will it do to fish-parasite interactions?,” *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 2015. doi: 10.1111/bij.12584.

[7] G. Schmahl, H. Taraschewski, and H. Mehlhorn, “Chemotherapy of fish parasites,” *Parasitology Research*. 1989. doi: 10.1007/BF00931157.

[8] S. M. Shehata, R. A. Mohammed, M. H. Ghanem, Y. M. Abdelhadi, and M. K. Radwan, “Impact of the Stresses Environmental Condition on the Prevalence of Parasite in Fresh Water Aquaculture,” *J. Fish. com*, 2018, doi: 10.21767/1307-234x.1000147.

[9] K. D. Lafferty, “Ecosystem consequences of fish parasites,” *Journal of Fish Biology*. 2008. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2008.02059.x.

[10] M. Tavares-Dias, “Current knowledge on use of essential oils as alternative treatment against fish parasites,” *Aquatic Living Resources*. 2018. doi: 10.1051/alr/2018001.

[11] C. Rauque, G. Viozzi, V. Flores, R. Vega, A. Waicheim, and G. Salgado-Maldonado, “Helminth parasites of alien freshwater fishes in Patagonia (Argentina),” *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw.2018.09.008.

[12] N. J. Smit, W. Malherbe, and K. A. Hadfield, "Alien freshwater fish parasites from South Africa: Diversity, distribution, status and the way forward," *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw.2017.06.001.

CHAPTER 10

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH VISION

Heejeebu Shanmukha Viswanath, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- shanmukhaviswanath92@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A fascinating aspect of aquatic biology is fish vision, which provides a window into the sensory world of these amazing animals and reveals the adaptations that enable them to survive and navigate in aquatic habitats. This study highlights the mechanics and unique characteristics of fish visual systems as it digs into the complexity of fish vision. Fish have developed an astounding variety of visual adaptations, from sharp vision that extends beyond the range of human perception to specialized characteristics like tapeta lucida that improve their vision in low-light conditions. Based on location, ecological niche, and diurnal or nocturnal habits, their visual acuity varies. Understanding fish vision has consequences for conservation, fishery management, and the advancement of underwater technology in addition to revealing the variety of sensory experiences found in aquatic habitats. The rich tapestry of life under the waves and the crucial role that vision plays in the survival and prosperity of these aquatic residents are revealed as we investigate the complicated world of fish vision.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Habitats, Fish Vision, Fishery Management, Tapeta Lucida, Visual Adaptations.

INTRODUCTION

For the majority of fish species, vision is a crucial sensory organ. Although they have a larger spherical lens, fish eyes resemble those of terrestrial animals like birds and mammals. Fish often modify focus by moving the lens closer to or farther from the retina whereas birds, mammals, and humans typically change the shape of their lenses to do this. Most fish species have color vision, and fish retinas typically include both rod cells and cone cells for scotopic and photopic vision. Fish vary in their sensitivity to polarized light and their ability to perceive UV.

The lamprey among fish without jaws has well-developed eyes, whereas the hagfish simply has simple eye spots. The protovertebrate that is believed to be the progenitor of the current hagfish was apparently forced into extremely deep, dark waters where they became less susceptible to seen predators and where having a convex eye-spot, which catches lighter than a flat or concave one, is beneficial. Fish eyes, for instance, are adapted to the gloomy environment of the deep sea, showing how fish vision has evolved to fit its visual environment [1].

The visual environment of water

Compared to terrestrial species, fish and other aquatic creatures live in a distinct habitat with regard to light. Light is absorbed by water, therefore as depth increases, the quantity of light accessible rapidly diminishes. Additionally, due to water's optical characteristics, certain light wavelengths are absorbed to varying degrees. For instance, water absorbs longer-wavelength visible light (such as red and orange) more so than shorter-wavelength light (such as green and blue). Even though it has a shorter wavelength than violet, ultraviolet light may reach deeper than visible spectrum. Aside from these characteristics that apply to all water, the presence of various salts and/or chemicals in the water may cause different bodies of water to absorb light at different wavelengths. As a result of water's high absorption capacity, the quantity of light that enters the ocean decreases (or is muted) increasing depth. Only 45% of the sun energy that strikes the ocean's top is still present at one meter deep in clear ocean water. Only 16% of the light is still visible at a depth of 10 meters, and at a depth of 100 meters, there is only 1% of the original light. Beyond 1000 meters, no light can enter.

Along with general attenuation, the seas absorb certain light wavelengths at various rates. The visible spectrum's outermost and innermost wavelengths attenuate more quickly than its intermediate wavelengths do. The longer wavelengths absorb more quickly. Red is absorbed in the top 10 meters of pure ocean water, orange by roughly 40 meters, and yellow vanishes before 100 meters [2]. The deepest penetration is achieved by shorter wavelengths, with blue and green light. This explains why objects seem blue underwater: the wavelengths of light that the eye receives determine how colors are interpreted by the eye. Because it reflects red light and absorbs other colors, an item looks red to the eye. Red is the only color that can be seen by the eye. Everything has a blue tint underwater because blue is the only color of light that is accessible at depth and can be reflected back to the eye. Because there is no red light to bounce off of an item at depth, it will not seem red. Only until the other wavelengths of light are intentionally given, like as by lighting the item with a diving light, would objects in water appear as their true colors, which are only visible at the surface when all wavelengths of light are still present.

Arrangement and purpose

Fish eyes resemble those of other vertebrates, especially the tetrapods (birds, mammals, amphibians, and reptiles, all of which descended from a fish ancestor), in general. The cornea is where light enters the eye, traveling via the pupil to the lens. However, elasmobranches (like sharks and rays) have a muscular iris that permits pupil diameter to be altered. Most fish species seem to have set pupil sizes. Different shapes of pupils, including circles or slits, are possible.

Although lenses are typically spherical, certain species may have somewhat elliptical lenses. Fish lenses are typically more spherical and denser than those of terrestrial species. As opposed to air on land, where there is a significant difference between the cornea's and the surrounding water's refractive indices, the lens must do the bulk of the refraction in an aquatic environment. The spherical lenses of fish are capable of producing clear pictures free of spherical aberration because of "a refractive index gradient within the lens exactly as one would expect from optical theory [3]."

Light is transmitted via a clear liquid medium after passing through the lens until it reaches the retina, which is home to the photoreceptors. Since the photoreceptors are located on the inner layer, like in other vertebrates, light must travel through layers of other neurons before it can reach them. Rod and cone cells are found in the retina. Fish eyes and those of other vertebrates share several characteristics. Typically, light enters via the cornea's fish-eye and travels through the pupil to the lens. While a few fish species have a muscular iris that enables the modification of the pupil diameter, the majority of fish species have fixed-sized pupils.

Compared to other terrestrial animals, fish have more spherical lenses. Fish have a lens that can be moved further or closer from the retina to change the focus, while mammals and birds often change the shape of their eye lenses. Both rod cells and cone cells, which are responsible for scotopic and photopic vision, are often present in a fish's retina [4]. Fish of most species can see color. Some species can perceive ultraviolet light, whereas others are sensitive to polarized light.

The fish retina has both rod cells, which have excellent visual sensitivity in low light, and cone cells, which have superior temporal and spatial resolution. By comparing the absorbance of various kinds of cones, they provide the potential of color vision. Marshall et al. claim that the majority of creatures in the maritime ecosystem lack or have limited color vision. However, compared to land, the water has a wider variety of color vision. Extremes in photic environment and color behavior are mostly to blame for this.

Eye's Retina

Rod cells in the retina are utilised in low light circumstances because they provide great visual sensitivity (at the expense of acuity). By comparing the absorbances of various kinds of cones, which are more sensitive to various wavelengths, cone cells enable the potential of color vision and provide greater spatial and temporal resolution than rods. The ratio of rods to cones varies depending on the ecology of the fish species in question; for example, those that spend most of their time active during the day in clear waters will have more cones than those that prefer low light conditions. In contrast to deeper water, where only a small band of wavelengths is present, surroundings with a wider range of wavelengths are more conducive to the use of color vision.

The retina's photoreceptors are not evenly distributed throughout. For instance, the fovea has a larger density of cone cells than other regions. Fish may have two or three specializations for high acuity for capturing prey, for example or sensitivity for dim light coming from below, for example. During an individual's development, the distribution of photoreceptors may also shift over time. This is particularly true for animals that often transition between various light conditions during their life cycle (such as shallow to deep seas or freshwater to ocean). or when a fish's development is accompanied by alterations in its food intake, as is the case with the Antarctic icefish *Champsocephalus gunnari* [5].

Some species contain a tapetum, which is a reflective layer that reflects light that enters the retina before passing back through it. By providing photons a second opportunity to be detected by photoreceptors, this improves sensitivity in low light situations, which is beneficial for animals that are nocturnal or deep-water dwellers. However, the resolution is sacrificed as a result. Some animals may successfully cover their tapetum with a black pigment layer to turn it off when it's too light. Since the retina needs more oxygen than the majority of other tissues, it requires a lot of oxygenated blood to function at its best.

Accommodation

The vertebrate eye adjusts its focus on an item as it gets closer or further away via a process known as accommodation. Fish and amphibians often change focus by shifting the lens closer to or away from the retina, in contrast to birds and mammals, who accomplish accommodation by deforming the lens of their eyes. They make use of a unique muscle that modifies the distance between the lens and the retina [6]. The retractor lentis muscle is relaxed for close vision in bony fish, while the protractor lentis muscle is relaxed for distance vision in cartilaginous fish. In order to compensate for distant vision, bony fishes move the lens closer to the retina, while cartilaginous fishes move the lens further from the retina.

Strengthening Pictures

A device that stabilizes pictures during quick head movements is required. This is accomplished through the vestibulo-ocular reflex, a reflex eye movement that preserves the picture in the center of the visual field by generating eye movements in the direction opposite to head movements, stabilizing images on the retina. For instance, the eyes move to the left when the head goes to the right, and vice versa. The vestibulo-ocular reflex in humans is a reflex eye movement that stabilizes retinal pictures when the head moves by causing an eye movement in the opposite direction. This preserves the image in the center of the field of vision. Similar to this, when a fish moves its tail, a vestibulo-ocular reflex stabilizes visual pictures on the retina. The inner ear works as the biological equivalent of an accelerometer in camera image stabilization systems in many species, including humans, to stabilize the picture by moving the eyes. An inhibitory signal is given to the extraocular muscles on one side of the head when a rotation is detected, while an excitatory signal is sent to the muscles on the other side. The eyes move to make up for the situation. Human eye movements often trail head movements by less than 10 milliseconds. The horizontal vestibulo-ocular reflex circuitry in both bony and cartilaginous fish is shown in the figure on the right [7].

a. The movie "Goldfish" depicts the primary three-neuron vestibulo-ocular reflex connecting the ipsilateral and contralateral MR motoneurons with the horizontal semicircular canal.
b. "Flatfish" demonstrates how compensatory eye movements are formed by diverting horizontal canal impulses to vertical and oblique motoneurons after a 90° shift of the vestibular relative to visual axis (metamorphosis).

Horizontal canal/second order neurons in "Shark" also project to ipsilateral AI neurons as well as contralateral ABD and MR motoneurons. ATD, or the Ascending Tract of Deiter, is a first order vestibular neuron.

Ultraviolet

Four ocular pigments that absorb different light wavelengths help fish see. A chromophore and the transmembrane protein opsin combine to form each pigment. Variations in wavelength absorption and visual variety have been made possible by opsin mutations. Some vertebrates have the ability to absorb UV light (360 nm) due to a mutation in the opsin on the SWS-1 pigment, which enables them to view UV-reflective objects. This visual characteristic has evolved and been preserved in a broad variety of fish species throughout evolution, indicating it is beneficial. UV vision may be connected to mating choice, communication, and foraging.

The main explanation for the development of UV vision in certain fish species is that it plays an important role in mate choice. According to behavioral studies, African cichlids use visual signals to choose a partner.

Typically, their breeding grounds are in shallow seas that are very transparent and UV light permeable. African cichlid males are typically blue in color and reflect UV radiation. When these reflecting visual signals are available, females are able to make the right choice of a partner within their species.

This shows that accurate mate selection depends on the detection of UV light. Guppies and three-spined sticklebacks' male appeal is likewise increased by UV reflecting color patterns. In experiments, female guppies spent a lot more time examining males with UV-reflective coloring than those whose coloration inhibited UV reflection. Similar to this, female three-spined sticklebacks chose males that were visible in the whole spectrum over those that were hidden by UV filters.

These findings clearly imply that UV sensing plays a part in sexual selection and, therefore, reproductive fitness. The ability to sense UV radiation has a significant influence on fish partner selection, which has enabled the characteristic to endure through time. Additionally, foraging and other communication activities may be connected to UV vision. Fish of many different species can see in the UV region of the spectrum, which is above violet [8].

Sometimes a fish only uses its ultraviolet vision for a portion of its life cycle. For instance, young brown trout utilize UV vision to better locate zooplankton when they reside in shallow water. They relocate to deeper waters with less UV radiation as they become older. The ultraviolet-reflecting coloration of the two-stripe damselfish, Dascyllus reticulatus, is thought to be used by this species as an alarm signal to other fish of its genus. If a predatory species' eyesight is not UV sensitive, they cannot see this. The fact that certain fish utilize UV as a "high-fidelity secret communication channel hidden from predators" and that other fish species use ultraviolet to send social or sexual signals provides further evidence in support of this theory.

Polized lighting

Although it seems plausible in certain species, it is difficult to determine if a fish is sensitive to polarized light. It has been conclusively shown in anchovies. For migratory animals, the ability to detect polarized light may provide higher contrast and/or directional information. Dawn and dusk are when polarized light is most prevalent. Polarized light reflected from a fish's scales may make it easier for other fish to see it against a backdrop of haze and may provide schooling fish vital information about their location and orientation in relation to other fish. According to several research, some fish can adjust their eyesight to twice their typical prey sighting distance by employing polarization.

Twin Cones

The majority of fish have double cones, which are two cone cells connected together. The peak absorbance of each component of the double cone may vary, and behavioral data is consistent with the assumption that each kind of individual cone inside a double cone might give distinct information (i.e., the signal from individual members of the double cone need not always be added together).

DISCUSSION

Habitation Adaptation

Epipelagic fish, which inhabit surface waters down to a depth of around 200 meters, live in a sunny environment where visual predators employ visual systems that are developed pretty much how one would anticipate. However, there may still be peculiar modifications. In order to view both below and above the water's surface simultaneously, four-eyed fish have eyes that are elevated above the top of their heads and separated into two distinct regions. Despite only having two eyes in reality, four-eyed fish have eyes that are uniquely specialized for their surface-dwelling existence. The fish's eyes are located on top of its head, and just the bottom portion of each eye is visible underwater when it floats at the surface [9]. The eye contains two pupils that are joined by a portion of the iris and is separated into two parts by a strip of tissue. The eye's top half is designed for seeing in the air, while the bottom half is designed for vision in water. To accommodate for the difference in the refractive indices of air and water, the eye's lens varies in thickness from top to bottom. The majority of the time these fish are at the water's surface. The terrestrial insects that are readily accessible at the surface make up the majority of their diet.

In deeper waters, where there is not enough sunlight to enable photosynthesis, up to a depth of 1000 meters, mesopelagic fishes may be found. These fish have special adaptations that allow them to live active lives in low light. The majority of them have big eyes and are visual predators. Some fish that live in deeper waters have eyes that are tubular, have large lenses, and only contain rod cells that point upward. These enable binocular vision and have a high sensitivity to light signals of all sizes [10]. This adaptation enhances the predator's terminal vision at the cost of its lateral vision, enabling it to see smaller species like squid and cuttlefish that are silhouetted against the darkness above them. Some fish contain a retroreflector behind the retina, which increases sensitivity to light under low-light conditions. Flashlight fish have both of these in addition to photophores, which they combine to detect fish eyeshine.

The bathypelagic fishes are located lower in the water column, below 1000 meters. Since there is no light and the water is completely dark at this level, the fish are sedentary and have evolved to use the least amount of energy possible to survive. At these depths, bioluminescence is the sole source of light. The absence of light forces the creatures to depend on their other senses in addition to eyesight. Their little eyeballs may not even be functional [11].

Flatfish are found in the ocean's deepest depths. Benthic fish known as flatfish have negative buoyancy, allowing them to settle on the ocean bottom. Although they are bottom dwellers, flatfish are often found in estuaries and on the continental shelf rather than deep sea. The form of a typical bony fish is elongated and symmetrical when flatfish larvae hatch. The larvae float in the water as plankton instead of living on the bottom. They eventually begin to change into the adult form. The fish becomes blind on one side when one of the eyeballs migrates over the top of the head and onto the opposite side of the body. The larva lowers to the bottom, placing its blind side on the subsurface, after losing its swim bladder and spines [12].

Colouration

Fish have developed advanced coloration techniques throughout time. For instance, prey fish have strategies for utilizing coloration to impede visual predators' ability to notice them. These changes in pelagic fish generally focus on a decrease in silhouette, which is a kind of camouflage. One way to achieve this is to compress their body laterally to lessen the area of their shadow. In the case of epipelagic fish, countershading is another technique that may be used to blend in, as can counter-illumination in the case of mesopelagic fish. By coloring the fish with darker colors at the top and lighter pigments at the bottom in a manner that the coloring matches the backdrop, countershading is accomplished [13]. The animal's brighter ventral portion blends into the sunlight from below when seen from below, while its darker dorsal area blends into the blackness of the water above when viewed from above. The creation of light from ventral photophores, which aims to balance the light intensity coming from the fish's underside with the background light intensity, produces counter lighting via bioluminescence.

Benthic fish, which reside on the bottom, may conceal themselves physically by burrowing into sand or hiding in crevices, or they can conceal themselves visually by blending into their surroundings or by mimicking objects like rocks or seaweed. These gadgets may be excellent deterrents against predators, but they are also useful weapons in the hands of the predators. For instance, the deepwater velvet belly lantern shark conceals itself from its victim by using counter-illumination.

False eyespots may also be seen on certain fish species. The huge black patch on each side of the body's posterior gives the foureye butterflyfish its name. A bright white ring surrounds this location, which resembles an eyespot [14]. It's difficult to see because of a black strip on the skull that passes through the real eye. Because of this, a predator may mistake the fish's back for its front, believing it to be larger than it really is. When frightened, the butterflyfish flees, bringing the fake eyespot closer to the predator than the head. The fake eyespot deceives the predator into thinking the fish will escape tail first since most predators target for the eyes.

A benthopelagic coastal fish with a high laterally compressed body is the John Dory. Its body is quite tiny, making it difficult to view from the front. It also includes a sizable black area on either side that serves as a "evil eye" that flashes when danger is around. It has the multifocal vision and depth awareness required to capture prey because to the huge eyes located at the front of the skull. Additionally confusing prey, which is subsequently dragged into its mouth, is the John Dory's eye spot on the side of its body.

Barreleyes

A family of tiny, peculiar-looking mesopelagic fishes known as barreleyes gets their name from the barrel-shaped, tubular eyes that are often pointed upward to search for the silhouettes of possible food. Barreleyes feature dominating, telescopic eyes that stick out from the skull. Although they typically look upward, these eyeballs may sometimes turn to face forward in certain species. They have huge lenses, a retina with a high density of rhodopsin (the "visual purple" pigment), a remarkable quantity of rod cells, and no cone cells.

A translucent protective dome that resembles the dome over an aircraft cockpit covers the top of the head of the barreleye species, *Macropinna microstoma*, and allows observers to glimpse the eye lenses. The dome is strong and adaptable, and it likely shields the eyes from the nematocysts (stinging cells) of the siphonophores, which are thought to be the source of the food that the barreleye takes [15].

The brownsnout spookfish, a different species of barreleye, is the only vertebrate species known to use a mirror rather than a lens to focus an image in its eyes. Because it uses both reflecting and refractive optics to see, it is uncommon. A diverticulum, which is an ovoid bulge on the side of the main tubular eye, is mostly separated from the eye by a septum. The majority of the eye's interior is lined with retina, and two corneal openings one pointing up and the other down allow light to enter the diverticulum and the main eye, respectively. Like other fish, the primary eye uses a lens to concentrate its vision. But within the diverticulum, a curved composite mirror formed of several layers of tiny reflecting plates possibly constructed of guanine crystals derived from the retinal tapetum reflects and concentrates light onto the retina. The fish can look both up and down at once because to the split structure of the brownsnout spookfish eye. Additionally, the mirror system gathers light better than a lens. The diverticulum probably helps to detect bioluminescent flashes from above and below, while the primary eye presumably serves to detect things silhouetted against sunlight.

Sharks

Shark eyes have identical lenses, corneas, and retinas to those of other vertebrates, but they are very highly suited to the aquatic environment thanks to a tissue called the tapetum lucidum. This tissue, which is located below the retina, reflects light back to it and improves vision in the dark water. As a result of certain sharks having higher nighttime adaptations, the tissue's efficiency differs. No teleost fish, including many sharks, can constrict and enlarge their pupils way humans can. Sharks have eyelids, but because the water around them washes their eyes, they do not blink. Some animals have nictitating membranes to protect their eyes. When the shark is hunting or being attacked, this membrane conceals its eyes. Some animals, such as the great white shark (Carcharodon carcharias), don't have this membrane, therefore they may defend themselves while hitting prey by rolling their eyes backwards instead.

There is disagreement about how much sight plays a role in shark hunting. Others cite the nictating membrane as proof that sight is crucial, while others contend that electro- and chemoreception are more essential. The shark probably wouldn't guard its eyes if they weren't crucial. The use of sight most likely changes depending on the species and water quality. The shark may switch between a monocular and stereoscopic field of view at any moment. In a micro-spectrophotometric analysis of 17 species of sharks, it was discovered that 10 of them had retinas with only rod photoreceptors and no cone cells, giving them excellent night vision but colorblindness. The other seven species, which only perceive in shades of green and grey, are thought to be functionally colorblind because they lack both rods and the one kind of cone photoreceptor that is sensitive to green. The research suggests that rather than color, object contrast versus the backdrop may be more crucial for object recognition [16].

CONCLUSION

A fascinating investigation into the aquatic animals' sensory environment is the study of fish vision. It demonstrates the incredible visual talents and adaptations fish have made to move across the underwater habitats they live in and find food. Fish vision research is a dynamic and multifaceted discipline that is always revealing exciting new information about these aquatic animals' visual systems. The great diversity of visual adaptations seen among many species is one of the most fascinating features of fish vision. Fish have developed a variety of eye structures, including changes in the lens's shape, how photoreceptor cells are arranged, and the emergence of specialized features like the tapeta lucida. With the help of these modifications, fish can see in a variety of lighting settings, from the bright, sunny surface waters to the dark, oceanic depths. Beyond only figuring out how fish perceive their environment, fish eyesight is being studied. It includes looking into partner choice, prey detection, predator avoidance, and visual communication behaviors. Fish's visual interactions are more complicated because to the usage of bioluminescence, color patterns, and body postures as visual cues. The study of fish vision has wider implications for managing fisheries and understanding aquatic ecosystems. Fish's eating patterns, hunting tactics, and reactions to environmental changes are all influenced by their capacity to recognize and react to visual signals. Effective conservation efforts, sustainable fisheries management, and the development of fish-friendly technology like bycatch reduction devices all depend on this understanding. In addition, fish eyesight provides insight into topics outside of biology. For ideas on how to create underwater vision systems for autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) and remote-operated vehicles (ROVs), engineers and robotics specialists turn to fish vision. These devices for underwater exploration and study may be better designed if we have a better understanding of how fish receive visual information.

REFERENCES:

[1] L. Wilkins, N. J. Marshall, S. Johnsen, and D. Osorio, “Modelling colour constancy in fish: Implications for vision and signalling in water,” *J. Exp. Biol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1242/jeb.139147.

[2] B. Zion, A. Shklyar, and I. Karplus, “Sorting fish by computer vision,” *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, 1999, doi: 10.1016/S0168-1699(99)00030-7.

[3] S. B. Han, J. H. Kim, and H. Myung, “Landmark-based particle localization algorithm for mobile robots with a fish-eye vision system,” *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, 2013, doi: 10.1109/TMECH.2012.2213263.

[4] T. Tada, A. Altuna, and S. Yokoyamaa, “Evolutionary replacement of UV vision by violet vision in fish,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0903839106.

[5] O. Nokelainen, N. Hubbard, A. E. Lown, L. E. Wood, and M. Stevens, “Through predators’ eyes: Phenotype-environment associations in shore crab coloration at different spatial scales,” *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.1093/BIOLINNEAN/BLX101.

[6] D. Escobar-Camacho, E. Ramos, C. Martins, and K. L. Carleton, “The opsin genes of amazonian cichlids,” *Mol. Ecol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/mec.13957.

[7] U. E. Siebeck, G. M. Wallis, L. Litherland, O. Ganeshina, and M. Vorobyev, “Spectral and spatial selectivity of luminance vision in reef fish,” *Front. Neural Circuits*, 2014, doi: 10.3389/fncir.2014.00118.

[8] M. Dowlati, M. de la Guardia, M. Dowlati, and S. S. Mohtasebi, “Application of machine-vision techniques to fish-quality assessment,” *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*. 2012. doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2012.07.011.

[9] N. G. Hairston, K. T. Li, and S. S. Easter, “Fish vision and the detection of planktonic prey,” *Science (80-.).*, 1982, doi: 10.1126/science.7146908.

[10] D. Escobar-Camacho, J. Marshall, and K. L. Carleton, “Behavioral color vision in a cichlid fish: Metriaclima benetos,” *J. Exp. Biol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1242/jeb.160473.

[11] D. J. White, C. Svellingen, and N. J. C. Strachan, “Automated measurement of species and length of fish by computer vision,” *Fish. Res.*, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2006.04.009.

[12] B. Niu, G. Li, F. Peng, J. Wu, L. Zhang, and Z. Li, “Survey of Fish Behavior Analysis by Computer Vision,” *J. Aquac. Res. Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.4172/2155-9546.1000534.

[13] J. R. Martinez-De Dios, C. Serna, and A. Ollero, “Computer vision and robotics techniques in fish farms,” *Robotica*, 2003, doi: 10.1017/S0263574702004733.

[14] U. E. Siebeck, G. M. Wallis, and L. Litherland, “Colour vision in coral reef fish,” *J. Exp. Biol.*, 2008, doi: 10.1242/jeb.012880.

[15] B. I. Evans and R. D. Fernald, “Metamorphosis and fish vision,” *J. Neurobiol.*, 1990, doi: 10.1002/neu.480210709.

[16] F. Abbas and M. P. Meyer, “Fish Vision: Size selectivity in the zebrafish retinotectal pathway,” *Current Biology*. 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.043.

CHAPTER 11

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH ADAPTATIONS

Ashutosh Awasthi, Associate Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- ashuaw@yahoo.in

ABSTRACT:

Fish adaptations are evidence of the inventiveness of evolution, which has enabled these aquatic animals to successfully inhabit a variety of underwater settings. This chapter covers the intriguing world of fish adaptations, showing the many and extraordinary characteristics that allow fish to flourish in a variety of settings, including freshwater streams, deep-sea depths, and coral reefs. Fish have developed a remarkable array of morphological, physiological, and behavioral adaptations, such as streamlined body forms for effective swimming, specialized fins and appendages for unusual mobility, and sensory enhancements that go beyond human capacity. These ecologically specific adaptations ensure their survival and success in the face of a variety of threats, like as predation and environmental changes. Understanding fish adaptations highlights the fragility of these specialized features in the context of climate change and habitat degradation, which enhances our awareness of the richness of aquatic life and informs conservation efforts. We get a deep understanding of the amazing variety of life under the water's surface as well as the complex interaction between form and function that has shaped the development of these aquatic wonders as we delve into the realm of fish adaptations.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Life, Coral Reefs, Deep-Sea Depths, Fish Adaptations, Freshwater Streams.

INTRODUCTION

Even while a large number of fossil fish have been discovered and characterized, they only make up a small percentage of fishes' lengthy and intricate evolutionary history. As a result, our understanding of fish evolution is still mostly incomplete. The taxonomy of fishlike vertebrates in this article divides them into seven groups, each of which has individuals with distinct fundamental anatomical arrangements and physical and physiological adaptations to the challenges given by the environment. The overarching fundamental trend has been one of younger, more suited groupings gradually replacing older ones. One or a few group members may have developed a variety of improved methods of living, such as a more effective technique of breathing, eating, or swimming. The members of the older group, with whom they battled for food, breeding grounds, or other requirements of existence, were eventually driven to extinction by these more well-adapted groupings [1]. As the new fish species had a solid foothold, some of them proceeded to develop and adapt to new environments, where they began to supplant the existing members of the old group. Until all or almost all of the old group's members in a variety of habitats had been replaced by individuals from the more recent evolutionary line, the procedure was repeated.

Agnatha: Early fishes without jaws

The oldest vertebrate fossils of specific connections are from North America's Upper Ordovician Period, some 450 million years ago, and are pieces of the dermal armor of jawless fishes (superclass Agnatha, order Heterostraci). There is little certainty that early Ordovician toothlike pieces from the former Soviet Union are agnathan remnants. It is unclear whether the North American jawless fishes were freshwater animals carried into coastal deposits by stream activity, or if they lived in shallow coastal marine waters where their carcasses got fossilized.

Jawless fish are likely descendants of tiny, soft-bodied, ancient filter-feeding invertebrates that were similar to and likely ancestors of present sand-dwelling Cephalochordata (Amphioxus and its cousins) [2]. In the ancestors, a notochord most likely hardened the body. It's possible that the mobility of the body and the protection provided by dermal armor evolved in response to streamflow in the freshwater environment as well as the need to flee from and resist the clawed invertebrate eurypterids that lived in the same waters, even though the origin of vertebrates in fresh water is hotly contested by paleontologists. However, many paleontologists disagree that the vertebrates evolved in fresh water due to the marine distribution of the remaining primitive chordates.

Next, heterostracan remains are discovered in two Silurian-aged North American locales in what seem to be delta deposits. Remains of European heterostracan are discovered around the end of the Silurian, 416 million years ago, in what seem to be delta or coastal deposits. Lagoon or freshwater deposits from the Baltic region's Late Silurian period include jawless fishes of the group Osteostraci. Fragments of jawless fish and the oldest group of jawed vertebrates, jawed acanthodians, may be found in Silurian strata from the same area that are somewhat later in time. These strata look to have been washed out of freshwater coastal waters but are really found between marine beds.

Therefore, it is clear that both jawed and jawless vertebrates had a lengthy history of evolution by the end of the Silurian and were firmly established. However, the few fossils that have been found so far are from specialized species that could not have descended from the placoderms and bony fishes that appeared in the Devonian, the time that followed. There are no fossilized remains of the agnathans and acanthodians' more ancient forebears. There is almost little vertebrate history in the vast marine beds of the Silurian and Ordovician. The progenitors of fish-like animals are thought to have developed in highland fresh waterways, where any sparse and very tiny fossil beds may have long ago been washed away [3]. There may never be evidence of the first creatures' remains.

All known orders of jawless vertebrates had developed by the end of the Silurian, with the possible exception of current cyclostomes, which lack the hard components that are often preserved as fossils. Cyclostomes were not recognized as fossils until 1968, when an Illinois Middle Pennsylvanian lamprey with a modern body form was discovered in sediments dating back more than 300 million years. Deposits older than the Devonian lack fossil traces of the four groups of armored jawless vertebrates. These animals most likely became extinct at that period, being replaced by the placoderms, acanthodians, selachians (sharks and relatives), and early bony fishes, which were more effective and possibly more aggressive. Cyclostomes are thought to have survived because they diverged from anaspid agnathans early on and acquired a rasping tongue-like structure and a sucking mouth that allowed them to feed on other fish. They didn't seem to face any competition from other fish groups due to their method of existence. Because of the similarity in their suctorial mouths, cyclostomes such as hagfishes and lampreys were once believed to be closely related [4]. However, it is now known that the hagfishes, order Myxiniformes, are the most primitive living chordates and are separated from the lampreys, order Petromyzontiformes.

Filter feeding is a feeding method used by the larvae of early jawless vertebrates, including the contemporary lamprey. The early agnathans had a big gill cavity. It is believed that tiny creatures were transferred into the gill cavity together with water for breathing along with small organisms acquired from the bottom by a nibbling movement of the mouth, or more likely by a sucking activity via the mouth. The gill mechanism then filtered out small creatures and sent them to the feeding canal. Thus, the gill system developed as a mechanism for both breathing and eating. A thick layer of dermal armor covered the agnathans' head and gills, leaving their free tail area open to swimming action.

Early development of bone, cartilage, and substances like enamel was crucial for the evolution of fish and vertebrates in general. Later fish adapted these components, allowing them to adapt to various aquatic conditions and eventually onto land. The central nervous system, heart, liver, gastrointestinal tract, kidney, and circulatory system, among other fundamental vertebrate systems and tissues, were probably present in the agnathans' forebears. Bone, both internal and exterior, played a significant role in the development of vertebrates.

Early jawed fishes are Acanthodii

The Acanthodii, the first known class of jawed vertebrates, emerged in the Late Silurian, more than 416 million years ago, and were the second group of fishes to emerge. After the Devonian, the acanthodians started to disappear, although they persisted until the Early Permian, some 280 million years ago. The earliest complete specimens are found in freshwater Lower Devonian sediments, although some individuals subsequently seem to have been marine in the Devonian and Permian [5]. The majority of the fishes were tiny, with a maximum length of 75 cm (about 30 inches).

The acanthodians' antecedents are unknown to us. They have to have evolved from a jawless vertebrate, most likely in freshwater. With practically minimal head armor and huge eyes, they seem to have been aggressive swimmers who relied greatly on eyesight. They could have eaten invertebrates as prey. The pectoral and pelvic fins' rows of spines and spine-like fins provide some support to the theory that paired fins developed from "fin folds" along the body sides.

The acanthodians' connections to other jawed vertebrates are unclear. They have characteristics shared by bony fish and sharks. Similar to early bony fish, they have internal skeletons that have partly ossified and scales that resemble ganoid gills. The bony fin spines and several features of the gill apparatus would seem to favor links with early sharks, despite the fact that some characteristics of the jaw resemble those of bony fishes rather than sharks. Although they seem to have had less effective tooth replacement and tooth structure than sharks and bony fishes, acanthodians do not appear to be especially related to the Placodermi, which may have contributed to their eventual demise.

Placodermi: fish with plate-skin

The Early Devonian, or around 400 million years ago, is the earliest known record of the jawed Placodermi. At the end of the Devonian, the placoderms had been extinct for over 60 million years. Their predecessors, who must have lived in the Silurian, are unknown. Following the advent of the placoderms, numerous other fish families evolved that were more suited to their environment, which is thought to have caused the early demise of the placoderms. Most of them became successful towards the middle of the Devonian, when some of them evolved into marine organisms. The majority of these fish possessed thick coverings of bone armor, notably on the head and front section of the body, as their name, placoderm, which means "plate skin," suggests. The tail remained loose and heterocercal (lower lobe tiny or absent, top lobe large). The majority of placoderms remained tiny, about 30 cm (12 inches) or less in length, although the arthrodires, one group, had a few maritime individuals that grew as long as 10 meters (approximately 33 feet).

The development of the fins, particularly the paired fins with well-formed basal or radial components, and the jaws, which were often amphistylic and included the hyoid and quadrate bones, were significant evolutionary improvements for placoderms. The jaws often consisted of solitary components with firmly fastened toothlike features. These jaws were too specialized to be regarded as ancestors of later bony fish groups' more versatile jaws. Although this theory is dubious, it has been suggested that the chimaera line (class Holocephali) evolved from certain arthrodires, and that sharks evolved from a group of placoderms close to the Stensioelliformes. Even though it is sometimes grouped with placoderms, the odd 5-cm (2-inch) fossilized fish Palaeospondylus found in Middle Devonian rocks in Scotland is probably not a placoderm. Diverse hypotheses about its links with agnathans, placoderms, acanthodians, sharks, even lungfishes and amphibians, are unpersuasive, and we still don't know anything about them.

Chondrichthyes: rays and sharks

About 400 million years ago, the Early Devonian saw the emergence of the first sharks (class Chondrichthyes), which rose to prominence by the Devonian's conclusion and are still in existence today. While the freshwater order Xenacanthiformes persisted until the end of the Triassic, around 200 million years ago, two Early Devonian orders of primitive sharklike fishes, the Cladoselachiformes and the Cladodontiformes, became extinct by the end of the Permian, about 251 million years ago. Heterodontiformes, the last Devonian order, is still alive today.

Around 200 million to 145.5 million years ago, during the Jurassic Period, modern sharks and rays evolved from an earlier species called hybodont sharks. The hybodont Heterodontiformes most likely evolved from marine cladoselachians towards the end of the Devonian. These featured fins that were more effective in pairs and the placoderm amphystylic jaws. The ancient Port Jackson sharks (heterodonts), which are still alive but still feed mollusks, are likely to have descended from the hybodonts [6]. It is uncertain what the surviving (but ancient) hexanchiform sharks are related to. The Carcharhiniformes (ground sharks), Lamniformes (mackerel sharks), and Rajiformes (skates and rays) are the three principal orders of current Selachii, and they all first arose during the Jurassic Period. They are distinguished by a hyostylic jaw (in which articulation includes just the hyoid bone), an advancement allowing for more jaw movement and a crucial component of the contemporary selachians' predation techniques.

During the Jurassic Period, skates and rays descended from a bottom-dwelling shark-like progenitor. The Cretaceous Period and Cenozoic Era saw the main development and diversity of current sharks, skates, and rays. Therefore, the majority of living sharks, skates, and rays, together with the teleost fishes (described below), are fundamentally of very recent origin, with their primary evolutionary radiation having taken place since Jurassic times.

Holocephali

Although the chimaeras, also known as ratfishes, or members of the class Holocephali, initially existed in the Late Devonian, they were most prevalent and diverse throughout the Mesozoic Era. The Cretaceous Period ended 65.5 million years ago, and just one of the seven orders that were recognized at the time continued to exist. Even though there are few current chimaera species known, they may sometimes be seen in large numbers in their deep-sea environment. These fishes' affinities are up for debate. They are thought to be connected to the Devonian ptyctodont arthrodires, which featured pelvic claspers and a chimaera-like form. Holocephalians and the Selachii are thought to be closely related since they share a number of traits, including placoid scales, pelvic claspers, and the lack of real bone. On the basis of the gill arch features, it has been proposed that both holocephalians and selachians are linked to the acanthodians. To address the issue of their categorization and linkages, further evidence is required.

DISCUSSION

Fish with fleshy fins are called Sarcopterygii

The first remnants of fish in the class Sarcopterygii were discovered in Lower Devonian layers in Germany. By the end of the Devonian, some scholars claim that the rhipidistians one of the three sarcopterygian groups gave birth to the amphibians, while others think that the coelacanths and the dipnoans (lungfish) were the two other groups from which the tetrapods sprang. About 120 million years later, at the start of the Permian, the rhipidistians became extinct, but the coelacanths and the dipnoans have persisted, although in tiny numbers. The early sarcopterygians exhibit various commonalities that provide credence to the theory that they shared an ancestor. The ancestor's characteristics are still a mystery. The sarcopterygians most likely descended from unidentified Silurian freshwater fishes with jaws, which may also have been the actinopterygians' ancestors [7].

According to some experts, rhipidistian crossopterygians thrived in the freshwaters of the Middle Devonian, where some of them evolved pectoral and pelvic appendages strong enough and flexible enough to allow them to leave drying pools in search of ponds that retained water. This adaptation was made in response to a habitat subject to seasonal droughts. Ironically, the necessity to live in water gave rise to the earliest terrestrial amphibians. Before the Late Permian and Triassic, when they transitioned to marine life, the early coelacanths of the Late Devonian were tiny freshwater and inshore fishes. Since they are not often thought of as fossils older than the Cretaceous, it came as a huge surprise when, in 1938, a living specimen measuring 160 cm (63 inches) was collected at a depth of 120 meters (about 390 feet) off the coast of eastern South Africa. Off the coast of the Indonesian island of Sulawesi, a second species of live coelacanth was found in 1997.

The Early Devonian is when the dipnoans first emerged and were completely distinct. They were in full bloom until the Triassic Period's end, after which their numbers drastically declined. Little distinguishes the contemporary Australian lungfish from one of the Triassic versions. The lungfishes that are still alive today are elongated, specialized fishes suited to live and thrive in more or less yearly ponds, notably in Africa and South America [8].

Actinopterygii: Ray-finned fish

The biggest class of fishes is known as Actinopterygii, or ray-finned fishes. It has been around since the Early Devonian, almost 400 million years ago, and comprises of 42 orders with more than 480 families, at least 80 of which are only known from fossils. With around 26,900 live species, this class encompasses the vast majority of fish species that are currently known to exist. Actinopterygian evolution may be broken down into three fundamental phases or evolutionary radiations, each of which corresponds to a different degree of structural efficiency.

It is possible that the Chondrostei originally appeared as early as the Early Devonian, then grew in population and complexity until the Permian, after which they started to fall and were almost extinct by the middle of the Cretaceous, 100 million years ago. The earliest actinopterygian stock from which all subsequent chondrosteans and holosteans descended is the chondrostean order Palaeonisciformes. They were the most prevalent fishes of their day, were rather tiny, and generally looked like later fishes. They possessed jaws and tails that were different from those of modern fish. They had heterocercal tails. As opposed to most contemporary fishes, their bodies had thick ganoid scales that abutted each other. Large eyes set far forward, lengthy jaws with the upper jaw tightly connected to the completely armored cheek, and a comparatively weak lower jaw muscle were characteristics of Palaeonisciformes.

They produced a wide range of sorts, including those with long bodies and jaws, bottom-dwelling species that consumed microorganisms, marine reef fish with deep bodies, and reef fish that consumed coral. Modern teleosts have mostly displaced all of these. The remaining Chondrostei include the bottom-feeding marine and freshwater sturgeons, the peculiar plankton-eating paddlefishes of the Yangtze River (Chang Jiang) of China and the Mississippi River in North America, as well as the freshwater bichirs and reedfishes (family Polypteridae) of Africa. There is significant debate over the polypterids' affiliation, and this group has sometimes been included in the Sarcopterygii class.

Some chondrostean orders often referred to as subholosteans developed traits that came close to the holostean degree of anatomic organization. In the Early Triassic, one of these orders the Parasemionotiformes evolved from the Palaeonisciformes and may be the source of at least some holosteans. The Pholidophoriformes, which gave origin to contemporary bony fishes, or teleosts, are at the end of this evolutionary chain [9].

A stage in the development of a collection of chondrostean orders, the holosteans are believed to be of mixed origin. Because of this, the division or infraclass Holostei does not reflect a single lineage. The approach of the tail toward the homocercal state and the equal number of fin rays and basal components of the fin rays are significant holostean traits. The holostean is a better swimmer than the chondrostean under both of these circumstances, as well as when its body scales are thinner. Another significant step in holostean evolution was the release of the upper jaw from the preopercular bone of the cheek, which allowed for more mobility of the gill chamber and jaws as well as more potent lower jaw muscle development.

There are five known orders of holosteans, and their greatest evolutionary radiation took place during the Triassic, Jurassic, and Cretaceous eras, when teleosts were only starting to grow and chondrosteans were in decline. Amia calva, the bowfin, and numerous species of gars, Lepisosteus, all found in North America, make comprise the two holostean groupings that are still alive today. The Amiiformes are considered to be the teleosts' closest extant cousins according to current theories on the development of bony fish.

The vast majority of fish in existence today are included in the infraclass or division Teleostei of bony fishes. Their homocercal caudal fin and caudal skeleton first occur in the fossil record around 200 million years ago (as the family Leptolepididae). They descended from the Pholidophoriformes, an extinct order of holosteans. Characteristically, this group fell in between the teleosts and chondrosteans. Within the previous 50 million years, teleosts expanded to their full potential, and they now clearly outperform their holostean forebears in terms of functionality. Because of the improvement in tail structure, they can swim further and have a feeding and gill-ventilating system that is much more effective.

The development of the bony fishes, which have a body design that maximizes swimming efficiency, has taken a long time. The development of the fins and the tail has been particularly significant. A single continuous tail and anal fin that was split at the vent and continued forward along each side to the head is thought by some experts to be the source of the paired fins. Later, the pieces connecting the anal, caudal, pelvic, and pectoral fins disappeared. Sharks and rays have horny fin rays, but many early fossil fish have bone-like fin rays. Scales that were laying in the fin folds are likely what gave sarcopterygians and actinopterygians their bony fin rays. Modern teleost fish feature spiny or flexible fin rays made of solid continuous bone or jointed pieces of bone (referred to as soft rays). Fish belonging to the phylum Acanthopterygian have spiny initial dorsal fins.

Because of its asymmetry, the initial tail fin of ancient fishes was a poor swimming device. One of the most noticeable aspects of fish evolution is the gradual improvement in tail form over 400 million years. In early fish, the vertebral axis of the tail either curved upward (heterocercal) or downward (hypocercal) [10], and a flesh lobe protruded from it. Because the driving force is unevenly distributed in relation to the body axis, this kind of tail cannot function as a forceful driving mechanism. The fish moves its body and tail in an undulating manner when swimming because of its asymmetrical tail. Since it has remained too inflexible for appropriate propulsive action, the tail of certain fishes with a diphycercal tail (with the axis of the vertebrae reaching along the center of the fin lobe) formed in both contemporary and ancient fishes, remains rather useless. Only teleost fishes have developed full homocercal tail fins, which have about equal upper and lower lobes and robust muscles that move strong fin rays with an extremely flexible basal joint.

The presence of more than 400 families indicates that teleosts have a very diverse range of anatomical forms and habitats. There are around 12 superorders or subdivisions that may be made, each with a unique evolutionary importance. Uncertain links with other teleosts exist between the extinct, rather basic group known as the Leptolepidimorpha, which is still poorly understood. The superorder Osteoglossomorpha, which makes up the second group, is made up of extinct and rather primitive teleosts. The few surviving species are primarily tropical and have a global range, although they have evolved to live in specific settings. The third group, the Elopomorpha, still has some very basic surviving members, such the tarpons, but the great range of specialized real eels dominate this group's diversity. Herrings and anchovies are members of the Clupeomorpha, a group of rather primitive fishes that have evolved primarily to live near the ocean's surface. A few species hatch in freshwater habitats but spend the most of their lives in the sea because they are anadromous. The Protacanthopterygii are a diverse group of rather primitive orders that are found in marine, deep-sea, and freshwater environments. Some examples include trouts, smelts, and Argentines [11]. The Ostariophysi, which includes characins, carps, minnows, loaches, suckers, and catfishes, is a significant class of freshwater fishes.

The other groupings seem to share similar evolutionary patterns but have different fossil histories and are still poorly known. Each group has a propensity to grow bone shelves under the eyes as well as spiny fin rays in the dorsal and anal fins (reduced in some). The pelvic fins have a propensity to advance on the body, reorganizing swimming techniques and resulting in a minor increase in maneuverability. All three groups are most likely related and are thought to have descended from an early protacanthopterygian progenitor. Many different deep-sea open-ocean plankton feeders and predators are members of the Scopelomorpha, some of which include light organs. The cods are the most significant fish in the group of fishes known as Paracanthopterygii for humans. The third superorder, the Acanthopterygii, comprises the dominating fish species in tropical, temperate, and arctic marine shore environments as a consequence of the extensive dissemination of current spiny-rayed fishes. Additionally, they inhabit freshwater environments, particularly ponds, slow-moving streams, and lakes. Important open-ocean members of the superorder include tunas. The movable, protractile mouth of the acanthopterygians has undoubtedly been essential to their effective radiation [12].

CONCLUSION

The astounding variety and adaptability of aquatic life are attested to in awe-inspiring ways by the adaptations of fish. Fish have evolved a startling variety of morphological, physiological, and behavioral adaptations during millions of years of evolution that allow them to flourish in a variety of aquatic habitats. Fish have molded their biology in complex and often inventive ways to meet the obstacles of existence below the surface of the water, as shown by the study of these adaptations. The enormous range of shapes and functions seen among many fish species is one of the most stunning elements of their adaptations. To fill their unique niches, fish have developed an astounding variety of body forms, fins, scales, and colour patterns, ranging from the streamlined bodies of fast-swimming predators to the flattened shapes of species that live on the bottom. These adaptations demonstrate the intricate interaction between ecological functions, environmental factors, and evolutionary forces. Additionally, fish have evolved specific anatomical characteristics such gills for oxygen extraction from water, swim bladders for buoyancy control, and lateral lines for water movement sensing. They can inhabit different biological niches and depths throughout aquatic habitats because to their adaptations. Fish have similarly intriguing behavioral adaptations. Fish engage in a wide range of behaviors that help them survive and reproduce, from intricate mating rituals to team-based hunting tactics and navigational abilities. These activities illustrate the complexity of fish social interactions by often involving complicated sensory perception and communication systems.

REFERENCES:

[1] N. Berois, M. J. Arezo, N. G. Papa, and G. A. Clivio, "Annual fish: Developmental adaptations for an extreme environment," *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol.*, 2012, doi: 10.1002/wdev.39.

[2] C. Hahn, M. J. Genner, G. F. Turner, and D. A. Joyce, "The genomic basis of cichlid fish adaptation within the deepwater 'twilight zone' of Lake Malawi," *Evolution Letters*. 2017. doi: 10.1002/evl3.20.

[3] M. G. M. Soares, N. A. Menezes, and W. J. Junk, "Adaptations of fish species to oxygen depletion in a central Amazonian floodplain lake," *Hydrobiologia*, 2006, doi: 10.1007/s10750-006-0207-z.

[4] D. Giordano, R. Russo, G. di Prisco, and C. Verde, "Molecular adaptations in Antarctic fish and marine microorganisms," *Marine Genomics*. 2012. doi: 10.1016/j.margen.2011.09.003.

[5] K. S. Arimi, "Determinants of climate change adaptation strategies used by fish farmers in Epe Local Government Area of Lagos State, Nigeria," *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 2014, doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6452.

[6] D. Soares and M. L. Niemiller, "Sensory adaptations of fishes to subterranean environments," *Bioscience*, 2013, doi: 10.1525/bio.2013.63.4.7.

[7] C. L. Raymond, D. L. Peterson, and R. M. Rochefort, "The North Cascadia adaptation partnership: A science-management collaboration for responding to climate change," *Sustain.*, 2013, doi: 10.3390/su5010136.

[8] C. Eizaguirre and T. L. Lenz, "Major histocompatability complex polymorphism: Dynamics and consequences of parasite-mediated local adaptation in fishes," *Journal of Fish Biology*. 2010. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02819.x.

[9] W. Xiao, "The hypoxia signaling pathway and hypoxic adaptation in fishes," *Science China Life Sciences*. 2015. doi: 10.1007/s11427-015-4801-z.

[10] P. F. Larsen, P. M. Schulte, and E. E. Nielsen, "Gene expression analysis for the identification of selection and local adaptation in fishes," *Journal of Fish Biology*. 2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02834.x.

[11] P. Franzoi, A. Franco, and P. Torricelli, "Fish assemblage diversity and dynamics in the Venice lagoon," *Rendiconti Lincei*. 2010. doi: 10.1007/s12210-010-0079-z.

[12] J. Marshall, "The Senses of Fish: Adaptations for the Reception of Natural Stimuli," *Fish Fish.*, 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-2979.2006.00207.x.

CHAPTER 12

A BRIEF DISCUSSION ON FISH CONSERVATION

Devendra Pal Singh, Assistant Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- dpsinghevs@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The important and complex task of fish conservation is to protect the delicate balance of aquatic ecosystems and the vast variety of aquatic life. This study explores the crucial area of fish conservation, highlighting the urgent need for global cooperation in efforts to save fish populations and their habitats. Fish confront a variety of problems, such as overfishing, habitat loss, pollution, and climate change. Fish live in freshwater, marine, and brackish settings. These problems have effects that go beyond fish populations, affecting the integrity of aquatic ecosystems and the means of subsistence for people who depend on fishing. Diverse activities, such as responsible fishing methods, habitat restoration, the creation of marine protected areas, and the enforcement of conservation laws are all included in fish conservation measures. A comprehensive approach to conservation has also shown the value of integrating traditional knowledge and indigenous traditions. It is crucial to protect these species for future generations since fish have inherent significance in both ecological and cultural settings. An urgent answer to the worldwide dilemma confronting aquatic biodiversity is to understand fish conservation. This is not only a theoretical endeavor. We learn more about the interconnection of life in aquatic habitats and the crucial role that responsible stewardship plays in preserving the health and resilience of these crucial ecosystems as we investigate the many facets of fish conservation.

KEYWORDS:

Aquatic Biodiversity, Ecosystem, Fish Conservation, Fishing, Marine.

INTRODUCTION

Fishery, the site or time of a commercial fishing operation, or the gathering of fish, shellfish, and marine animals. Fisheries vary from tiny family businesses utilizing conventional fishing techniques to huge enterprises employing the most cutting-edge technology. Small-scale fishing is often done in seas near to a home port, while factory ships, which can process the catch on board, frequently go thousands of kilometers. consider industrial fishing.

Less than 1% of the world's population eats fish, and the industry's varied risks prevent substantial expansion [1]. The unpredictability and high perishability of the crop, the weather, environmental issues including pollution, and the expensive cost of gear and equipment all prevent the sector from growing. The herring family, which includes sardines, anchovies, and menhaden, provides around one-fourth of the entire global marine crop. About one-sixth of them are members of the cod family, which includes haddock, hake, pollock, cusk, and ocean perch. The other ten percent of the catch is made up of salmon, flounder, halibut, sole, shellfish, trout, and other freshwater species like carp and catfish. Another ten percent is made up of tuna, bonita, and mackerel.

High-frequency sonar equipment used in commercial fishing to identify schools of fish is known as a fish-finder, sometimes known as a Netsonde. It broadcasts sound waves downward and collects echoes from the ocean floor or from nearby schools of fish, which also serves as a distance indicator between the ship and the fish. There are two main kinds, the first of which is a straightforward "echo sounder" that projects directly downward from the ship and displays the water's depth and the presence of fish. A considerably larger area is covered and more detailed information about the location of schools of fish is provided by more sophisticated sonars or scanners that emit signals in all directions [2].

Spearfishing

After World War II, spearfishing, a pastime of underwater hunting, grew quickly around the globe. It first gained popularity in the early 1930s. Sharks and barracuda in saltwater, as well as such nongame animals as carp in freshwater, may be the targets of underwater hunters. Simple hand spears to cannons that can pierce the biggest fish are all examples of underwater weaponry. The Hawaiian sling, a hardwood tube with an elastic loop at one end, is the most basic weapon. A variety of spearheads are attached to the shaft, which is dragged through the tube and then pulled back to lengthen the loop. The shaft is thrust forward upon release. Alec Kramarenko developed an underwater pistol in the middle of the 1930s that used a compressed spring to drive the spear. A short time later, a Frenchman named Maxime Forlot created a spring-propelled cannon, while his fellow countryman Georges Beuchat created a widely used spear gun that was driven by a rubber elastic band. In order to drive the spear, other weapons were created that utilized compressed air, gunpowder, carbon dioxide, or another gas. Juan Vilarrubis of Spain created one of these guns in 1956, and it quickly gained popularity because to its force, precision, and ease of use.

The aforementioned are rifle-like weapons in which the spear is either directed along the top surface of the barrel or passes through a lengthy barrel. A trigger mechanism in each of them releases the spear shaft. A line is sometimes attached to the shaft of spearfishing weapons to facilitate retrieving. The line firmly retains the quarry when it strikes. A skin diver's war generally doesn't start with stalking and shooting his prey underwater. A fish must be retained on a harpoon line and landed after being struck. With huge fish, this can include towing the diver through the water while they are submerged. Every year, spearfishing events at the municipal, state, and international levels are held by diving groups. The athletes dive while holding their breath since scuba diving is not allowed during the events. In a similar activity known as bowfishing, a hunter shoots fish that are found in shallow water using a traditional bow and arrows that have been particularly made for the purpose. Hunters who wade or use shallow-draft boats to move in the water are after freshwater species like carp and gar [3].

Industrial Fishing

Commercial fishing is the capturing of fish, seafood, and other resources from lakes, rivers, and seas with the intention of selling them. Around 250 million people were directly employed by the commercial fishing sector at the beginning of the twenty-first century, and an estimated one billion people relied on fish as their main source of animal protein.

One of humanity's first occupations is fishing. In coastal regions all throughout the globe, including those of China, Japan, Peru, Brazil, Portugal, and Denmark, ancient mounds of abandoned mollusk shells, some dating to prehistoric periods, have been discovered. These mounds, called kitchen middens (from the Danish kkenmdding), show that early people consumed sea mollusks. According to archaeological evidence, people then discovered how to capture fish using nets and traps [4]. These expeditions were initially restricted to lakes and rivers, but as fishing gear and boats improved, people began venturing farther out onto the continental shelves, the relatively shallow ocean plains between the land and the deeper ocean areas. This was also a part of the diet in certain shelf regions where seaweed was common.

Throughout history, fishing technology has advanced, making use of better and bigger ships, more advanced fishing gear, and varied food preservation techniques. Today, commercial fishing is allowed in all sorts of waterways around the globe, with the exception of those where it is hampered by depth, hazardous currents, or legal restrictions. As in tiny local, traditional, or artisanal fisheries, commercial fishing may be carried out simply using small boats, minimal technological equipment, and little to no automation. With strong deep-sea boats and advanced mechanical machinery comparable to that used in other contemporary industrial operations, it can also be done on a huge scale. Sea life, including algae, is harvested. The two kinds of fish that are captured are pelagic, which lives on the top of the open sea, and demersal, which lives at or near the bottom, but sometimes in mid-water.

Common demersal fish include cod, haddock, hake, pollock, and various varieties of flatfish. Pelagic fish include species like tuna and its cousins as well as herring and similar species. Pelagic and demersal fish may both sometimes be found far from coastal areas [5]. Most notably, crustaceans (lobsters, spiny lobsters, crabs, prawns, shrimp, and crayfish) and mollusks (oysters, scallops, mussels, snails, squid, and octopuses) are among the other aquatic species that may be the target of commercial fishing. Commercial fishing also aims to catch some animals (whales, porpoises), reptiles (serpents, crocodiles), amphibians (frogs), many different kinds of worms, coelenterates (coral, jellyfish), and sponges. In many nations, the majority of these creatures are classified as fish by law.

Both freshwater and saltwater are used economically to produce a variety of algae. On the coast or in the ocean, seaweed is gathered. In many nations, algae serve a significant ecological function in a variety of ways, including as food for humans, cow fodder, fertilizer, and a source of raw materials for certain businesses. Fresh water lake, river, and pond and salt water inshore, mid-water, and deep sea are used to categorize different types of fisheries. Another categorization is based on the catch, as in fishing for sponges, salmon, and whaling. Harpooning, seining, trawling, and lining are some of the fishing techniques that are used to classify fisheries. Although fisheries are regarded as renewable resources, overfishing is a serious danger to aquatic biodiversity and has resulted in the depletion of fish and other seafood in many areas. Additionally, using less-selective fishing techniques like gillnets or bottom trawls leads in significant bycatch (accidental capture of non-target species); according to some estimates, bycatch may account for up to 40% of the total catch [6]. Both the health of aquatic ecosystems and the continuous productivity of commercial fishing depend on the sustainable management of fisheries.

In this chapter, organized fishing for financial gain is discussed, with a focus on automated industrial techniques, equipment, and boats. In the article whaling, which is less fishing than it is hunting an aquatic animal, the history and techniques of whaling are covered separately. See the article fishing for information on angling or recreational fishing. See aquaculture for information on the managed reproduction and care of certain fish and aquatic species. See nutrition, human for details on the usage and worth of fish and marine items as food.

Commercial fishing history

Fish and shellfish were originally harvested by food-gathering peoples from tiny streams, inundation regions, tidal zones, lakes with shallow water, and along the shoreline. According to some experts, the primitive fishing equipment used back then made it difficult to catch fish. However, shellfish may be readily collected by hand, and the presence of ancient kitchen middens suggests that they were an important food source [7]. Most foods were first consumed immediately and were not kept, but as people grew and food demands rose, methods for preserving seafood via drying, smoking, salting, and fermenting were developed. Large-scale catching became desired, leading to the development of specialized equipment. Collective efforts with more powerful, bigger gear took the role of solitary fishing.

Throughout the ages, fishing gear and techniques became better, and eventually bulk fisheries were formed throughout Europe. During the Middle Ages, herring were abundantly fished across northern Europe. Before the Italian explorer John Cabot visited the Grand Banks of Newfoundland in 1497, cod fishing already existed there. In the 17th century, both the Atlantic and the South Pacific saw the start of large-scale whaling. Before the fishing business became mechanized at the end of the 19th century, sailing boats evolved to fit local circumstances and fisheries. The pinnacle of such advances were the Grand Banks schooners. They fished for cod on voyages that may take up to six months, setting sail from New England, Nova Scotia, and Newfoundland, and salting the catch for shipment to Europe, Africa, and the Caribbean. Individuals set and hauled longlines by hand while fishing from tiny wooden dories. A handful of Portuguese ships continued to operate alongside contemporary steel ships in the early 21st century. Portuguese ships also sailed yearly to the Grand Banks. Around Europe, smaller cutters and yawls fished using drift gill nets and setnets. Beam trawls, which were pulled downwind under sail and then hauled back to the vessel's side, were widely employed in the North Sea and English Channel, notably for flatfish.

The size and weight of fishing equipment rose when steam-driven winches came into use. In the latter quarter of the 19th century, steam steadily took the role of sail as a means of propulsion. Steam was thereafter replaced by the internal combustion engine; however, steam trawlers were still in use until the 1950s. Early 20th-century motorization of smaller vessels led to the widespread adoption of the inboard diesel engine, with the exception of the tiniest boats, which continue to often use gasoline-powered outboard motors.

Increases in fishing gear size, quantity, or both might result in bigger catches. Longlines with tens of thousands of hooks replaced simple lines with one or two hooks. Pots were placed in great numbers, and single little traps were joined into networks of hundreds [8]. The invention of netmaking machines that produced netting in broad sheets allowed for the substantially increased size of nets. The traditional indigenous netting fibers (linen and hemp) were replaced by cotton and hard fibers via the use of mechanical netmaking. However, all natural fibers, particularly those made of cellulose, start to decay with time, therefore the invention of rot-proof nets constructed of synthetic fibers after World War II was a significant development. Although various types of fishing gear now use knotless netting instead of the traditional knotted netting, mechanical netmaking mostly remains similar.

With the development of the motor block for towing the equipment at the start of the 1950s, purse seining mechanization advanced significantly. A power-driven drum was another crucial hauling tool that was used to transport and store seine nets, gill nets, purse seines, and even giant trawl nets. Drums were first used in longline tuna fishing by the Japanese. Another significant breakthrough was the stern chute for stern trawlers, which allowed for extensive automation of gear handling and was made feasible by collaboration between naval architects and fishing-gear specialists.

With the British factory trawler experiment in the late 1940s, which showed the significant benefit of huge stern trawlers that processed their catch on board, a period of fast technological advancement in vessel design started [9]. The concept was swiftly expanded by nations looking to fish far-off resources, and by the middle of the 1960s, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom, Japan, Poland, East Germany, and Spain were all operating these massive ships with a length of up to 100 meters (328 feet). The vast resources of tiny pelagic fish were exploited similarly, mostly for the production of fish meal. modest boats with manually controlled natural fiber nets supplied modest shore-based canning and fish meal operations in the late 1940s. Large fleets of 25-meter (82-foot) purse seiners were feeding industrial mother ships with up to 300 tons of fish per day by the late 1960s. The biggest factory ships could process, freeze, and transport as much as 1,500 tons per day in the first three years of the twenty-first century.

In order to increase their people' access to protein, emerging nations worked to use more advanced fishing techniques. The majority mainly depend on artisanal fishing, sometimes operating from exposed beaches in canoes or tiny boats with little equipment. Many nations were able to greatly improve their catches because to the development of outboard engines, bigger boats, and synthetic nets.

Fishing

The biggest danger to the biodiversity of the world's seas is overfishing, and recent data provided for American fisheries may be used as an example of how serious the issue is. All fisheries whose main stocks are located inside the nation's exclusive economic zone, or EEZ, are required to report on their condition to Congress on a regular basis by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). (Beyond its territorial waters, each coastal nation may establish an EEZ extending 370 km (200 nautical miles) from shore, within which the coastal state has the right to exploit and regulate fisheries and engage in a variety of other activities for its benefit.) [10] The areas involved are sizable, encompassing parts of the Atlantic, Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and Pacific from off San Diego to the Bering Sea out to the west of the Hawaiian island chain along with the northernmost parts of the Arctic and Antarctica. At the beginning of the twenty-first century, the NMFS determined that about 100 fish populations were overfished or approaching overfishing, whereas about 130 species were not considered to be overfished. The data were inadequate for conclusions to be drawn for around another 670 fish populations. As a result, it was determined that just under half of the assessable populations were overfished. Two-thirds of the stocks for the main fisheries—those in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Gulf of Mexico were overfished.

The majority of the hundreds of stocks, about which fisheries scientists know little, are not thought to be commercially significant enough to merit further study. In the latter part of the 20th century, western North Atlantic fisheries unintentionally caught one species, the barn-door skate (Raja laevis). This is a huge fish, as the name implies, and it has to be documented. Every year, its population shrank until by the 1990s, when no more were being collected and it was declared an endangered species.

Gathering and Logging

Terrestrial ecosystems exhibit similar signs of overharvesting. For instance, even when forests are not entirely destroyed, it is possible to deliberately cut down important trees like mahogany from a region, eradicating both the tree species and all the creatures that depend on it. Another example is the Hawaiian Island's native coast sandalwood (*Santalum ellipticum*), which was nearly entirely eradicated from its ecosystems for its wood and scented oil. The most trafficked natural commodity is rosewood, which comes in a variety of species and is utilized in beautiful furniture. Some species are over farmed in order to be kept alive and marketed as pets or decorative plants rather than being slaughtered. For instance, the pet trade has put several parrot species in danger across the globe, and collectors are endangering the existence of many cactus and orchid species.

DISCUSSION

Subsequent Extinctions

There will probably be other extinctions after the first one, maybe even an avalanche. Some of these secondary extinctions are easy to explain; for instance, one or more parasite species will probably go extinct for every bird or mammal that does. Bird and mammal species often contain parasites on or within them that can only thrive on their hosts, according to well-studied species.

Changes brought on by other extinctions might be quite challenging. Ecological communities that include a variety of species interact with one another to create a food web (also known as a food chain). The loss of one species will have an impact on all other species that depended on it, consumed it, or otherwise benefitted or suffered from it. These species will then have an impact on more species. According to ecological theory, secondary extinction patterns are highly complex, making them potentially challenging to prove.

Species that are highly dependent on one another should exhibit secondary extinctions that are the easiest to spot. Consideration of nectar-eating birds provides anecdotal evidence for secondary extinctions in the Hawaiian Islands. The mamo (Drepanis pacifica), the black mamo (*Drepanis funerea*), and the iiwi (*Vestiaria coccinea*) were three nectar-feeding Hawaiian honeycreepers that had long decurved (downward-curving) beaks, the kind that were adapted to inserting into appropriately long and curved flowers before modern human activity on the islands [11]. While the third bird is extinct on two islands, very uncommon on a third, and declining on others, the first two species are extinct.

As previously said, widespread habitat degradation is probably to blame for the disappearance of several Hawaiian bird species. Additionally, certain species were hunted by native Hawaiians for their feathers. However, in the case of the three honeycreepers mentioned above, it's possible that their demise came after imported goats and pigs decimated significant nectar-producing plants. Numerous native lobelias, including those of the genera Trematolobelia and Clermontia, have unmistakably evolved to be pollinated by the three honeycreepers, and the plants were once significant understory species in the forest. These plant species have gone extinct at a pace that is clearly higher than that of the rest of the flora, perhaps as a result of their vulnerability to introduced animal herbivores. However, it is unclear whether the plants became extinct first, followed by their avian pollinators, or the other way around.

Similar to this, certain Hawaiian birds that have survived seem to be extremely specialized feeders and are in danger due to the loss of their food sources. For instance, the akiapolaau (*Hemignathus munroi*), a different uncommon honeycreeper, is an insectivore that mostly consumes insects on huge koa (*Acacia koa*) trees (see acacia). However, due to overharvesting of the trees for their desirable wood, there aren't many koa forests left today. Another Hawaiian honeycreeper, the palila (*Loxioides bailleui*), is threatened because it feeds almost solely on the seeds of the mamane (*Sophora chrysophylla*), a tree that is grazed by goats and sheep that have been imported.

A secondary extinction story is almost always an unsatisfying narrative due to the difficulties in sorting through the different potential causes of what occurred in the past. It is abundantly clear from small-scale ecological studies that altering the abundance of one species will have a cascade impact on the abundance of other species. The reports are convincing enough that special consideration should be given to the long-term effects of recent extinctions.

Worldwide Warming

Carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases produced as byproducts of human activity have many, intricate repercussions on the whole planet. One of these is global warming, which is a rise in the world's average surface temperature. In various locations, the temperature of the land and the seas is rising at varying rates. While temperature increases in the tropics are more subdued, the Arctic and Antarctic seem to be warming up the most. In addition, sea levels are rising due to melting glaciers and ice sheets. Additionally, the frequency and severity of other storms and severe weather events may also be increasing, which might affect river flow. While certain regions and their ecosystems are getting wetter, others are becoming drier and hotter, which increases the likelihood of wildfires occurring there. The body of scientific knowledge about how the Earth functions is continually growing and changing, and understanding of global warming and its consequences on species is advancing especially swiftly at the moment. The connection between modifications to Earth's physics and chemistry and biodiversity has been dramatically defined since the turn of the twenty-first century. The exact impact of global warming on species extinction rates is yet unknown, although it is fairly probable that they will be significant.

It is now evident that the majority of species are expanding their geographic ranges toward colder regions and beginning significant yearly processes like breeding, migration, and blooming earlier. Over 80% of the approximately 1,500 species of animals and plants from a broad range of ecosystems throughout the globe were shifting in the direction predicted from global warming, according to a research that was released in the early 21st century [12]. The northern borders of animal and plant species in Europe and North America have been consistently moving north, according to another research that was released around the same time. These animals' spatial movements affect crucial ecological interactions with their prey, predators, rivals, and illnesses. Others may suffer, such as when migrating insect-eating birds arrive too late to take advantage of the emergence of the moth larvae that they usually feed to their young.

Even while scientists are aware that species would probably migrate into cooler habitats toward the poles or up mountain sides, they will find it challenging to anticipate the precise alterations since there are so many diverse variables at play. For instance, a recent research looked at how butterfly ranges and the ecology of their diets changed in Britain throughout the final half of the 20th century [13]. Unexpectedly large increases in the range of two species were discovered. One species was confined to small, isolated habitat patches because it was physically impossible for it to fly to larger, more populous regions. The second butterfly species was able to utilize a previously untapped food plant that grew in shady places that were previously too cool. Rising temperatures increased the number and density of suitable patches, allowing the butterfly to reach the distant patches by making use of intervening newly available ones as "stepping-stones." The butterfly was able to significantly increase its range thanks to this shift in food. near least near the northern limits of their ranges, both times the species profited from the warmer environment. It doesn't take much creativity to see the results if, for instance, the mosquito Aedes aegypti, which transmits dengue hemorrhagic disease, were to unexpectedly extend its territory over the southern United States, which is now its northern limit.

Is there any information that may be usefully deduced regarding the expected effects of climate change on species extinction? Scientists can forecast where a species should go in the future if it is to exist in the same range of climatic circumstances as it does today, assuming that the change has straightforward consequences. The actual worry is that if circumstances change, this range of favorable conditions, or the species' climatic envelope, may disappear altogether, meaning that there may never again be favorable conditions for a species.

Given all other factors being equal, it stands to reason that species with smaller geographic ranges would likely experience greater damage than those with larger ranges. If a species has a vast range, global warming may force it to move from the south to the north, although the extent of its range may only vary somewhat. The species could have lived in many of the same places before and after climate change [14]. One may anticipate the American robin, whose broad range was already mentioned, to act in this manner.

CONCLUSION

The urgent need for fish conservation derives from the complex problems that aquatic environments and the many fish species that live there face. While understanding the risks and pressures that these populations confront, it is an area of research and activity that acknowledges the significant ecological, economic, and cultural relevance of fish. Recognizing the interdependence of aquatic ecosystems is one of the most compelling elements of fish conservation. The lives of millions of people who depend on fisheries for food and money as well as the preservation of biodiversity depend on healthy fish populations. Additionally, fish are essential for the stability of ecosystems, the cycling of nutrients, and the control of aquatic food webs. Diverse tactics are used to conserve fish, such as restoring and protecting habitat, managing fisheries sustainably, creating marine protected areas, and reducing pollution and overfishing. These tactics seek to protect vital ecosystems, maintain genetic variety, and encourage ethical fishing methods that strike a balance between human needs and ecological sustainability. The myriad dangers to fish populations, such as habitat loss, climatic change, invasive species, and pollution, must also be addressed as part of conservation. To provide practical solutions and regulations that guarantee the long-term survival of fish species, multidisciplinary cooperation between scientists, decision-makers, and local populations are necessary.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. J. Collares-Pereira and I. G. Cowx, "The role of catchment scale environmental management in freshwater fish conservation," *Fish. Manag. Ecol.*, 2004, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2400.2004.00392.x.

[2] S. J. Cooke, C. Paukert, and Z. Hogan, "Endangered river fish: Factors hindering conservation and restoration," *Endangered Species Research*. 2012. doi: 10.3354/esr00426.

[3] P. L. Angermeier, "Fish Conservation: A Guide to Understanding and Restoring Global Aquatic Biodiversity and Fishery Resources," *J. North Am. Benthol. Soc.*, 2008, doi: 10.1899/0887-3593(2008)27[802:br]2.0.co;2.

[4] N. Gupta *et al.*, "God's fishes: Religion, culture and freshwater fish conservation in India," *ORYX*, 2016, doi: 10.1017/S0030605315000691.

[5] L. S. Syafei, "Fish biodiversity and Conservation in Inland Waters," *J. Penyul. Kelaut. dan Perikan. Indones.*, 2017.

[6] I. G. Cowx and M. Portocarrero Aya, "Paradigm shifts in fish conservation: Moving to the ecosystem services concept," *J. Fish Biol.*, 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03144.x.

[7] R. E. Connon, K. M. Jeffries, L. M. Komoroske, A. E. Todgham, and N. A. Fangue, "The utility of transcriptomics in fish conservation," *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2018. doi: 10.1242/jeb.148833.

[8] T. B. Gurung, "Native fish conservation in Nepal: Challenges and opportunities," *Nepal. J. Biosci.*, 2013, doi: 10.3126/njbs.v2i0.7492.

[9] A. Maceda-Veiga, O. Domínguez-Domínguez, J. Escribano-Alacid, and J. Lyons, "The aquarium hobby: can sinners become saints in freshwater fish conservation?," *Fish Fish.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/faf.12097.

[10] G. M. Reid, T. Contreras Macbeath, and K. Csatádi, "Global challenges in freshwater-fish conservation related to public aquariums and the aquarium industry," *Int. Zoo Yearb.*, 2013, doi: 10.1111/izy.12020.

[11] J. P. Bhatt, S. Tiwari, and M. K. Pandit, "Environmental impact assessment of river valley

projects in upper Teesta basin of Eastern Himalaya with special reference to fish conservation: a review," *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*. 2017. doi: 10.1080/14615517.2017.1354642.

[12] T. E. Grantham *et al.*, "Missing the Boat on Freshwater Fish Conservation in California," *Conservation Letters*. 2017. doi: 10.1111/conl.12249.

[13] S. J. Cooke *et al.*, "Angling for endangered fish: Conservation problem or conservation action?," *Fish Fish.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/faf.12076.

[14] A. K. Whitfield and P. D. Cowley, "The status of fish conservation in South African estuaries," *J. Fish Biol.*, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02641.x.